

DT 99-180

**BELL ATLANTIC/US WEST INTERPRISE AMERICA, INC.**

**Order Nisi Approving Interconnection Agreement**

**O R D E R   N O.   23,402**

**February 4, 2000**

On November 17, 1999, New England Telephone and Telegraph Company (Bell Atlantic) and US West Interprise America, Inc. d/b/a Interprise America, Inc. (Interprise America) jointly filed with the New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission (Commission) a negotiated Interconnection Agreement (Agreement). The Agreement was filed for approval pursuant to section 252(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (TAct).

The agreement filed modifies the terms and conditions of the interconnection agreement between Bell Atlantic and xDSL Networks, Inc. (xDSL) which was approved by Commission Order No. 22,265 dated July 26, 1999. The initial term of the agreement expires on September 1, 2001. The agreement remains in effect beyond the initial term after which at least a three month, but not greater than nine month notice is required to terminate the agreement. The agreement shall be null and void if neither party has ordered a facility, service, or arrangement hereunder by September 1, 2000.

This Agreement provides, inter alia, for transmission/routing of exchange service traffic and exchange access traffic, transmission/termination of other types of traffic and joint

network configuration. It further provides for unbundled access, resale, collocation, number portability, dialing parity, access to rights of way, access to data bases, and directory assistance service. The parties will exchange technical and traffic information which will be kept proprietary; each party will maintain facilities within its own network and will not interfere with the other party's systems.

This Agreement is a comprehensive set of terms and conditions that will facilitate the entry of Interprise America as a competitive local exchange carrier (CLEC) in New Hampshire. The parties agree to jointly engineer, plan and operate a diverse transmission system with which they will interconnect their respective networks. The Agreement specifies the designation of interconnection points, provides for a joint grooming plan, and provides for the physical interface of facilities.

The interoffice facilities are priced on an unbundled basis to allow for use with other unbundled network elements, thus creating numerous facilities-based and/or resale options to Interprise America in the provisioning of exchange and exchange access services. The Agreement also includes detailed unbundling of local outside plant and central office facilities that would allow Interprise America to provide digital and other high-tech services with minimal future negotiating or "grooming" of the Agreement.

Prices in this filing are virtually the same as those in previously approved non-cellular interconnection agreements for the services/elements that are common. Staff points out that the TAct does not require that a telecommunications company sell each service/element for the same price or terms to each requesting party. A CLEC can request the entire agreement of another CLEC as demonstrated by this filing.

A Statement of Generally Available Terms (SGAT) was filed by Bell Atlantic on July 11, 1997 and took effect October 20, 1997 per order 22,692 (August 25, 1997) subject to continued review pursuant to section 252(f)(4) of the TAct. This allows Interprise America and other competitors to purchase services or unbundled elements that may not be covered by their interconnection agreement.

The Staff has recommended approval of the Agreement between Interprise America and Bell Atlantic based on a review of the petition, the Agreement and verbal clarification provided by Bell Atlantic.

We have reviewed the filing and find it meets the standards of section 252(e)(2)(A) of the TAct for approval of a negotiated Agreement. The Agreement does not appear to be discriminatory to any carrier not a party to the negotiations and is consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity. We will approve it on a nisi basis in order to provide any interested

party an opportunity to submit comments or request a hearing pursuant to RSA 374:26.

We note that as new competitors enter the market, greater pressure is put on the 603 area code, so long as today's antiquated number assignment process remains in effect. Accordingly, we will require that Interprise America request and use numbers responsibly and conservatively, and to join in exploring alternative mechanisms to use existing numbers as efficiently as possible and thereby avert the need for a new area code. By granting this authority Interprise America is required to comply with our orders on number conservation including Order No. 23,385 issued January 7, 2000 and Order No. 23,392 issued January 27, 2000 as well as further orders issued by the Commission concerning this matter.

**Based upon the foregoing, it is hereby**

**ORDERED NISI**, that the Interconnection Agreement negotiated between Interprise America and Bell Atlantic is approved; and it is

**FURTHER ORDERED**, that Interprise America is required to comply with our Order No. 23,385 issued January 7, 2000, Order No. 23,392 issued January 27, 2000, and further orders issued by the Commission concerning number conservation; and it is

**FURTHER ORDERED**, that Interprise America will notify the Commission within ten days of making their first facility-based

commercial call in any exchange that has not already been opened to a "fresh look opportunity" as ordered in Docket DE 96-420. The recommended method of notifying the Commission is to provide a copy of the "Confirmation of Code Activation Form" which is used to notify the North American Numbering Code Administrator.

**FURTHER ORDERED,** that the Petitioner shall cause a copy of this Order Nisi to be published once in a statewide newspaper of general circulation, such publication to be no later than February 11, 2000 and to be documented by affidavit filed with this office on or before February 21, 2000; and it is

**FURTHER ORDERED,** that all persons interested in responding to this petition be notified that they may submit their comments or file a written request for a hearing on this matter before the Commission no later than February 21, 2000; and it is

**FURTHER ORDERED,** that this Order Nisi shall be effective March 6, 2000, unless the Commission provides otherwise in a supplemental order issued prior to the effective date.

By order of the Public Utilities Commission of New  
Hampshire this fourth day of February, 2000.

---

Douglas L. Patch  
Chairman

---

Susan S. Geiger  
Commissioner

---

Nancy Brockway  
Commissioner

Attested by:

---

Thomas B. Getz  
Executive Director and Secretary