# **TAB 10**

**Temporary Rate Testimony** 

Puc 203.06(b)

### STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

### **BEFORE THE**

### **PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION**

Docket No. DW 22-032

Pennichuck Water Works, Inc. Permanent Rate Proceeding

## DIRECT JOINT TESTIMONY OF LARRY D. GOODHUE AND DONALD L. WARE IN SUPPORT OF PETITION FOR TEMPORARY RATES

June 27, 2022

### Table of Contents

I.	INTRODUCTION	3
II.	REQUEST FOR TEMPORARY RATES	5
III.	JUST AND REASONABLE FINDING AND CONCLUSION	11

#### I. INTRODUCTION

1

- 2 Q. Mr. Goodhue, would you please state your name, address, and position with the
- 3 Pennichuck East Utility, Inc.?
- 4 A. My name is Larry D. Goodhue. My business address is 25 Walnut Street, Nashua, New
- 5 Hampshire. I am Chief Executive Office and Chief Financial Officer of Pennichuck Water
- 6 Works, Inc. (the "Company" or "PWW"). I have been employed with PWW since December
- 7 2006. I am also Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer of Pennichuck Corporation
- 8 ("Pennichuck"), which is the corporate parent of PWW.
- 9 Q. Please describe your educational background.
- 10 A. I have a Bachelor in Science Degree in Business Administration with a major in
- 11 Accounting from Merrimack College in North Andover, Massachusetts. I am a licensed Certified
- 12 Public Accountant in the State of New Hampshire. My license is currently in inactive status.
- 13 Q. Please describe your professional background.
- 14 A. Prior to joining Pennichuck, I was the Vice President of Finance and Administration and
- previously the controller with METRObility Optical Systems, Inc. from September 2000 to June
- 16 2006. In my more recent role with METRObility, I was responsible for all financial, accounting,
- 17 treasury and administration functions for a manufacturer of optical networking hardware and
- software. Prior to joining METRObility, I held various senior management and accounting
- 19 positions with several private and publicly traded companies.
- 20 Q. What are your responsibilities as Chief Executive Officer of Pennichuck?
- 21 A. As Chief Executive Officer, I am responsible for the overall management of Pennichuck
- and its subsidiaries, including PWW. I report to the Board of Directors. I also work closely with
- 23 the Chief Operating Officer, the Corporate Controller, Treasurer, Assistant Treasurer, Chief

- 1 Engineer, Director of Water Supply, Distribution Manager, the Director of Human Resources
- and the Director of Information Technology to: (1) implement short and long-term financial and
- 3 operating strategies, (2) insure the adequate funding of debt and expenses, and (3) to enable
- 4 Pennichuck's utility subsidiaries to provide high quality water service at affordable rates, on a
- 5 consistent basis.
- 6 Q. Mr. Ware, please state your name and position with Pennichuck East Utility.
- 7 A. My name is Donald L. Ware. I am the Chief Operating Officer of PWW which is a
- 8 subsidiary of the Pennichuck Corporation. I am employed by and have worked for Pennichuck
- 9 Water Works, Inc. since 1995. I am a licensed professional engineer in New Hampshire,
- 10 Massachusetts, and Maine.
- 11 Q. Please describe your educational background.
- 12 A. I have a Bachelor in Science in Civil Engineering from Bucknell University in
- 13 Lewisburg, Pennsylvania and I completed all required courses, with the exception of my thesis,
- 14 for a Master's degree in Civil Engineering from the same institution. I have a Master's in
- Business Administration from the Whittemore Business School at the University of New
- 16 Hampshire.
- 17 Q. Please describe your professional background.
- 18 A. Prior to joining the Company, I served as General Manager of the Augusta Water District
- in Augusta, Maine from 1986 to 1995. I served as the District's engineer between 1982 and
- 20 1986. Prior to my engagement with the District, I served as design engineer for the State of
- 21 Maine Department of Transportation for six months and before that as design engineer for
- 22 Buchart-Horn Consulting Engineers from 1979 to 1982.
- Q. What are your responsibilities as Chief Operating Officer of PWW?

- 1 A. As Chief Operating Officer, I am responsible for PWW's overall operations, including
- 2 customer service, water supply, distribution, and engineering. I work closely with PWW's Chief
- 3 Engineer and other senior managers to help develop PWW's Annual and three-year Capital
- 4 Improvement Plans.
- 5 II. REQUEST FOR TEMPORARY RATES
- 6 Q. What is the purpose of your joint testimony?
- 7 A. There are two purposes. First, the joint testimony is offered for the purpose of supporting
- 8 PWW's request for an increase in its revenue requirement by 13.46% (or 13.06% overall) as
- 9 shown on Puc 1604.06 Schedule A and Schedule 1 of PWW's permanent rate filing. Pursuant to
- those schedules, PWW has demonstrated that for the twelve months ended December 2021, its
- revenues have been insufficient to cover its needs. Second, this joint testimony is offered to
- support PWW's request for a temporary rate increase in customer rates presuming the
- 13 Commission suspends the taking effect of PWW's permanent rate tariff schedules.
- 14 Q. Would you please explain Schedule A Perm-Conventional entitled "Pennichuck
- 15 Water Works, Inc.", Computation of Revenue Deficiency?
- 16 A. Schedule A was prepared to illustrate the Company's revenue deficiency using the
- 17 ratemaking methodology approved in DW19-084 for the twelve months ended December 31,
- 18 2021, which is the test year used in this docket. As shown on this schedule, the Company's rate
- of return is 3.00%, based on the test year's weighted average cost of debt and a return on equity
- of 5.05% based on methodology authorized in Order No. 26,383 in DW 19-084. The revenue
- 21 deficiency under the current ratemaking methodology for the twelve months ended December
- 22 31, 2021, was \$4,722,302 and would result in a revenue increase of 13.06%. This revenue
- 23 deficiency calculation is based on the Company's actual performance during the test period

- 1 including pro forma adjustments. The pro forma adjustments are explained in detail in Mr.
- Ware's permanent rate testimony. Based on a pro forma test year, under PWW's modified
- 3 ratemaking methodology, which includes a 5-year trailing average calculation for certain items
- 4 of the allowed revenue calculations, PWW would be entitled to a permanent revenue increase of
- 5 13.06% based on a revenue deficiency of \$4,722,302, as shown on Sch A.
- 6 Q. Please describe the changes to the Company's plant in service and its earned return
- 7 since the conclusion of its last rate case.
- 8 A. The Company's plant in service increased by \$26.423 million to approximately \$248.170
- 9 million at the end of 2021 when compared to the plant in service at the end of 2019, the test year
- for Company's last rate filing. For the same period, it should be noted that the Company's
- operating expenses increased by approximately \$1.7 million or 11.67%.
- 12 Q. Please explain the reasons for the Company's revenue deficiency.
- 13 A. The Company's revenue deficiency is driven by the combination of an increase in
- operating expenses between 2019 and 2021 in addition to additional debt service obligations
- associate with the Company's cumulative investment in its infrastructure of almost \$30 million
- over that same time frame.
- 17 Q. Can you explain why the revenue deficiency is not so easy to decipher when reading
- a copy of PWW's 2021 Annual Report on file with the Commission?
- 19 A. Sure. As the Commission is aware from PWW's prior rate cases, PWW's income and
- 20 expense statements on file with the Commission in the annual report do not accurately reflect
- 21 PWW's long-term debt owed to its parent, Pennichuck. For example, the Commission's form,
- 22 Statements of Income and Expense, is formulated around an investor owned utility that has a
- 23 return on equity and depreciation expense in its revenue requirement. Also, the statements PWW

- files don't fully reflect the Company's cash position. In PWW's situation, it shares with its sister
- 2 subsidiaries a requirement to contribute its ranked share of the City Bond Fixed Revenue
- 3 Requirement ("CBFRR"), as approved in the final Order for Docket No. DW 11-026. That cost
- 4 is a significant driver of PWW's revenue deficiency.
- 5 Q. What increase is PWW seeking for temporary rates and why?
- 6 A. The Commission routinely suspends the Company's permanent rate tariff schedules.
- 7 Therefore, in anticipation of that event, PWW is seeking a temporary rate increase of 7.21% over
- 8 the permanent rates granted in PWW's last rate case, DW 19-084. The 7.21% temporary rate
- 9 increase is equal to the sum of the QCPAC surcharges of 3.90% granted in DW20-020, the
- 1.56% QCPAC surcharge granted in DW21-023 and the \$1.75% being sought in DW22-006.
- 11 The temporary increase being sought will ensure that PWW has sufficient cash to pay for its debt
- obligation to the City of Nashua, the NH Department of Environmental Services and its various
- Bond Holders, as well as to continue to pay for its operating expenses. PWW seeks this
- temporary rate increase so that it can continue to bring cash flow into the Company in a timely
- manner and meet the Company's debt service repayment obligations and operating expenses, as
- well as maintain compliance with loan covenants both at the PWW level and Pennichuck parent
- 17 level.
- 18 Q. Why isn't PWW seeking some inclusion in its proposed temporary rates for
- increases in operating expenses?
- A. At the end of 2021 the Company had a combined RSF account balance of \$5,163,252.
- Based on current projections of 2022 Revenues and Expenses it is expects that while 2022
- Expenses are projected to exceed 2022 Revenues by about \$1.4 million that the cash needed to
- pay for that shortfall is available in the combined RSF accounts and if the cash is withdrawn

- from the RSF accounts to pay for this shortfall the projected 12/31/2022 RSF balance will be
- 2 about \$4.1 million which is still in excess of the targeted combined imprest value of the RSF
- 3 accounts of \$3.92 million.
- 4 Q. Does PWW plan any rate design changes for temporary rates?
- 5 A. No. The temporary rate increase will be applied evenly to all customer rate classes with
- 6 no new changes in rate design.
- 7 Q. How will temporary rates impact the surcharges of PWW's QCPAC program?
- 8 A. If, and only if, temporary rates are approved equal to the value of the aggregate QCPAC
- 9 surcharges already approved, or in the process of being approved, as was done in the Pennichuck
- East Utility, Inc. permanent rate proceeding, DW 20-156, PWW proposes that it suspend the
- 11 Qualified Capital Project Adjustment Charges (QCPAC) approved for PWW's 2019 and 2020
- 12 Qualified Capital Projects (QCP) granted in DW 20-020 and DW21-023 at a total of 5.46% and
- the QCPAC sought for 2021 QCP's in DW 22-006 at 1.75%. The Company would require this
- 14 to be accomplished in a manner that does not interrupt the timing and value of the collection of
- these necessary cash flows in any manner to service existing debt obligations, but would be
- willing to pursue this for the simple reason that, if temporary rates are granted in this manner, it
- would allow the billing of these monies in a manner that is far less confusing. PWW believes
- eliminating the QCPAC charge during the time temporary rates are in place will be less
- 19 confusing to customers because customer bills will not change multiple times during the
- 20 pendency of this rate proceeding, and the number of "line items" on the bills would be reduced
- from four items to one. The incorporation of the approved 5.46% and the sought 1.75% QCPAC
- increases into the requested Temporary rate increase of 7.21% results in temporary rates that are
- 23 approximately 50%; of the revenue increase sought in PWW's Rate Filing. PWW believes

- suspending the collection of the QCPAC surcharge while temporary rates are in place balances
- 2 the interests of PWW and its customers in this rate case, will result in less frequent rate changes
- 3 experienced by customers during this rate case, will mitigate rate shock from the implementation
- 4 of the permanent rate, and will result in just and reasonable rates. However, it is vitally
- 5 important to note that this transference would need to be seamless as to the collection of monies,
- 6 as they are converted from the QCPAC surcharges to the temp rates authorized.
- 7 Q. On what date is PWW requesting temporary dates be effective and why?
- 8 A. We are requesting that PWW be granted temporary rates, effective August 1, 2022, on a
- 9 service rendered basis. This effective date complies with the requirement that utilities provide
- the Commission and customers with thirty (30) days' notice of a rate change. This proposed
- effective date is dependent on PWW being able to fully recover the difference between
- temporary and permanent rates at the conclusion of this proceeding. This would also be the date
- for which the collection of the QCPAC surcharges would convert to the Temp rates approved.
- 14 Q. Is there any benefit of temporary rates to customers?
- 15 A. Yes. In addition to the QCPAC accommodation proposed above, the granting of
- temporary rates in this manner would mitigate the rate impact on customers by phasing-in the
- permanent rate. At present, the approved QCPAC's have created a 5.46% increase over the
- permanent rates granted in DW19-084. The proposed temporary rate of 7.21% would create
- another step in rates before the full increase in permanent rates takes place allowing for a gradual
- 20 increase in rates over a period of time and limiting the amount of recoupment of the difference
- 21 between the approved permanent rate and the rates collected of the period between the
- suspension of the requested rates and the final rate order issued in this petition. Otherwise, if the
- 23 needed revenue requirement was put into customer rates all at once at the conclusion of the rate

- 1 proceeding, it might create rate shock to customer's budgets. The rate shock would be further
- 2 exacerbated because the recoupment between temporary and permanent rates would also be
- 3 reflected in customer rates all at once. Rate gradualism is a well-known regulatory concept that
- 4 makes temporary rates just and reasonable. Phasing in the permanent rate increase will reduce
- 5 the rate increase at the conclusion of the rate case and, importantly, reduce the amount recovered
- 6 from customers for the recoupment surcharge between temporary and permanent rates.
- 7 Q. Are you aware that N.H. Admin. Rules Puc 1203.05 provides that rate changes be
- 8 implemented on a service rendered basis?
- 9 A. Yes. PWW plans to implement temporary rates on a service rendered basis, consistent
- with the Commission's rules.
- 11 Q. Will the temporary rates be spread uniformly across customer classes?
- 12 A. Yes, as stated earlier, PWW plans no new change in rate design of its customer rate
- groups for either temporary or permanent rates. It is important to remember that the Commission
- previously approved a phased-in rate change in PWW's last rate case, Docket No. DW 19-084.
- 15 That rate change involves Municipal Fire Protection Service increases of 3% over the prior
- 16 years' rate for six years while all other G-M charges (except for G-M Residential Fixed, Private
- 17 Fire Protection, and special contract fixed charges) decrease by a corresponding amount equal to
- 18 the approved revenue requirement. This rate adjustment applies before imposition of permanent
- 19 rates in this instant rate case and is the only rate design change impacting this case. This rate
- change is seen in the Report of Proposed Rate Changes at Schedule 9.
- Q. What steps will PWW take to notify customers of the temporary rates?

10

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> "Rate gradualism" is the concept of progressively changing rates over time to mitigate rate shock to customers that has been cited by the Commission on multiple occasions. *2021-2023 Triennial Energy Efficiency Plan*, Docket No. DE 20-092, Order No. 26,553 at 16 (November 12, 2021) citing *Development of New Alternative Net Metering Tariffs*, Docket No. DE 16-576, Order No. 26,029 at 33 (June 23, 2017).

- 1 A. Simultaneously, with this filing, PWW is posting its rate filing and request for temporary
- 2 rates on its web site. PWW will also be completing a direct mailing to each customer with a
- 3 notice of the rate filing and the requested rates, both temporary and permanent in over four
- 4 weeks between late June and late July in the form of a bill stuffer. Additionally, PWW has sent
- 5 letters to all town officials and State of NH delegation officials, for the communities the
- 6 Company serves in its franchise territories, in order to fully apprise them of the filings. This is
- 7 something the Company has done in the normal course of its rate case filings in the past, in order
- 8 to keep officials in the communities served aware of proceedings for rate increases. These letters
- 9 will be mailed in the same timeframe that this case is filed with the Commission.

### 10 III. JUST AND REASONABLE FINDING AND CONCLUSION

- 11 Q. Do you believe that the temporary rates proposed by PWW are just and reasonable
- 12 and in the public interest?
- 13 A. Yes. As demonstrated by the analysis described above and in the permanent rate case
- materials filed by PWW in this docket, PWW is not earning sufficient revenues to meet its
- expenses including property taxes, and other necessary and prudent operating expenses. The
- 16 requested temporary rates will also serve to mitigate rate shock and promote rate gradualism.
- 17 Temporary rates are fully reconcilable which protects customers from any over-collection and
- temporary rates provide PWW with partial rate relief until permanent rates become effective.
- 19 Because the temporary rates are a balance between PWW and its customers, we believe they are
- 20 just and reasonable.
- 21 Q. Does that conclude your testimony on temporary rates?
- 22 A. Yes.