STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

PETITION OF PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE d/b/a EVERSOURCE ENERGY 2022 ENERGY SERVICE SOLICITATION

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF LUANN J. LAMONTAGNE, JAMES R. SHUCKEROW, and PARKER LITTLEHALE

January 12, 2023

| 1 | I. INTRODUCTION | | |
|---|-----------------|---|--|
| 2 | | | |
| 3 | Q. | Please state your names. | |
| 4 | A. | Our names are Luann J. LaMontagne, Parker Littlehale and James R. Shuckerow. | |
| 5 | | We previously provided our credentials and work experience in this docket as part | |
| 6 | | of the testimony filed on December 8, 2022. | |
| 7 | | | |
| 8 | II. P | URPOSE | |

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

A.

Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?

The purpose of our testimony is to support the Company's request for Commission approval of Eversource's planned procurements of full requirements power from wholesale energy providers for 50 percent of its Large Customer Group and ES rate for the Large Customer group for the period of February 1, 2023 through July 31, 2023, which represents a forecasted load of approximately 60,000 MWh, or approximately an average of 14 MW per hour over the six months. ES is provided to retail Eversource customers who are not taking service from a competitive supplier and is currently provided to under 7% of industrial customers. Load requirements may change from time to time and winning suppliers are responsible for their share of supply regardless of changes in customer demand for any reason, including daily load fluctuations, increased or decreased usage, demand-side management activities, load migration to competitive suppliers, extreme weather

1 and similar events. Suppliers are required to supply their share of load for the full 2 delivery term of February 2023 through July 2023. This testimony describes the solicitation process used by Eversource to procure full requirements power, the 3 4 results of the solicitation and selection of a supplier, and the development of the 5 RPS rate adder. 6 Q. Please provide a list of attachments to your testimony. 7 A. LJL-10 Energy Service RFP for February 1, 2023 through July 31, 2023 LJL-11 RFP Results and Selection of Supplier 8 **Eversource Proxy Price** 9 LJL-12 RPS Rate Adder 10 LJL-13 LJL-14 **Executed Transaction Confirmation** 11 12 III. ENERGY SERVICE SOLICITATION PROCESS 13 14 Q. Please describe the process Eversource used to procure the remaining 50% of 15 uncovered load for default energy service supply for the Large Customer 16 group for service from February 1, 2023 through July 31, 2023. 17 A. Eversource conducted a second RFP for procurement of ES supply for the 18 remaining 50% of load for the Large Customer group because the Company received no bids for this load with the first round RFP, and the Commission 19 20 subsequently directed the Company to conduct this second round RFP. The second 21 RFP, like the first, was conducted in accordance with applicable law, Commission 22 directives, and the Settlement Agreement from Docket No. DE 17-113 approved by 23 Commission Order No. 26,092, and consistent with the manner of similar 24 solicitations for other companies throughout New England. On December 16, 2022, Eversource issued a request for proposals ("RFP" – Attachment LJL-10) for 25 26 power supply services for Eversource's ES covering 50% of the Large Customer 27 group load for February 2023 through July 2023. Notices of the issuance of the 28 RFP were sent to prior participants in Eversource's wholesale supply solicitations,

numerous other ISO-NE wholesale market participants and potential suppliers, and

29

| 1 | | the RFP was posted on Eversource's Wholesale Supply (New Hampshire) website. |
|----|-------|---|
| 2 | | Therefore, the RFP had wide distribution throughout the New England energy |
| 3 | | supply marketplace. |
| 4 | | Proposals were to be stated on an "as-delivered" energy basis to Pool Transmission |
| 5 | | Facilities ("PTF") within the Eversource metering domain, with prices stated |
| 6 | | monthly on a fixed \$/MWH basis. Prices could vary by calendar month but were |
| 7 | | required to be uniform for the entire calendar month and cover the entire delivery |
| 8 | | term. Offers were due on January 10, 2023, a winning supplier was selected the |
| 9 | | same day, and a transaction confirmation was executed the following day. |
| 10 | IV. I | ENERGY SERVICE SOLICITATION RESULTS |
| 11 | Q. | What are the decision-making criteria used by the Company to analyze the |
| 12 | | bids received? |
| 13 | A. | Eversource takes into account the totality of the circumstances surrounding the |
| 14 | | RFP and the service period that it covers. The main criteria are the number of |
| 15 | | bidders participating, the number of bids received, how the bid prices are clustered, |
| 16 | | or distributed, and as an ancillary measure, the proxy price. These criteria are |
| 17 | | particularly telling regarding the state of the market. |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | Historically, Eversource's Large Customer group has been load that suppliers are |
| 20 | | reluctant to bid on—and this was the case long before the current market |
| 21 | | volatility—as it is a relatively small amount of load that has a high degree of |
| 22 | | uncertainty and chance of customer migration. |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | Q. | Did Eversource have a market price expectation as to the results of the |
| 25 | | procurement? |
| 26 | A. | In support of the decision-making criteria discussed above, Eversource |
| 27 | | independently prepares a "proxy" supplier price using the Company's internal |
| 28 | | analysis to evaluate the reasonableness of the offers received. The proxy price is |

prepared on the same date that suppliers' bids are due so that the Company is using the most current and analogous market information to analyze the reasonableness of the offers received. While a sound process properly run and robust participation are the most preferred attributes of any open solicitation, a consistently calculated proxy price provides a reference point that facilitates analysis of the degree of market reflectiveness, and therefore reasonableness, of those offers. Eversource uses the approach described below to develop such proxy prices.

Eversource calculates the cost of energy and capacity components (LJL-12 - Eversource Proxy Price) and then applies a multiplier to account for other cost elements. The energy component represents forward energy prices, and the capacity component represents known regional capacity costs. The multiplier—a representation of other cost elements including ancillary services, ISO-NE administrative costs, and supplier risk premiums developed from recent winning offers received in New Hampshire—is applied to the energy and capacity cost components and the result provides an estimate of where supplier offers are expected to fall, which allows the Company to assess the market-reflectiveness of bids received with a reasonable degree of certainty.

A.

Q. Did Eversource receive a sufficient response to the RFP?

Eversource did receive acceptable RFP results. Eversource evaluated the results and selected the winning supplier using Eversource's decision-making criteria, further supported by the proxy price and taking into account current market conditions. The Company also considered supplier compliance with non-price bidding requirements and general bidder qualifications, as well as risk relative to price and ability to serve the load. For the winning bid from January 10, 2023, Eversource entered into a Transaction Confirmation for the service period of February 1, 2023 through July 31, 2023 with the winning supplier. Together, a Transaction Confirmation and a Master Power Supply Agreement ("MPSA") provide the terms for the purchase of ES from the supplier, and both were executed within a day of receiving the bids. A copy of the executed Transaction

1 Confirmation is included in this filing as Confidential Attachment LJL-14. 2 Executed MPSAs with winning bidders were previously provided in Docket No. 3 DE 18-002. 4 5 Q. Please discuss the results and the analysis leading to the selection of winning 6 supplier. 7 A. The results and the identification of the winning bid are shown in Confidential 8 Attachment LJL-11. In addition to price and the ability to meet credit requirements, 9 Eversource also considered the following regarding each supplier: experience in providing similar services to Eversource, demonstrated understanding of the market 10 11 rules related to the provision of ES, demonstrated understanding of its obligations 12 under the MPSA, and any past or present events that are known that may adversely affect a supplier's ability to provide ES. Eversource has previously experienced 13 successful full requirements power supply transactions with the selected supplier, 14 15 and the supplier performed competently during the service periods for which it was selected. The supplier has not been downgraded by any prior experience and has 16 17 met the credit requirements outlined by the MPSA and RFP. 18 19 Q. How did the RFP outcome compare with the Company's expectations and 20 what is that attributable to? 21 There was a possibility that no suppliers would bid on the second round RFP, so the A. 22 Company was pleased to receive bids. However the results did largely meet the 23 Company's expectations once all relevant considerations pertaining to this load 24 were taken into account. The selected bid during the second round RFP was 25 approximately 20% less than the selected bid during the first round RFP on December 6, 2022. Given the totality of the circumstances and the state of the 26 market, the Company determined the winning bid is reasonable and recommends 27 28 the Commission approve it. 29 Is the Company proposing rates for the Large Customer group for the 30 Q. February through July ES service period? 31

| 1 | A. | Yes. If the Commission approves the bid for the second half of the Large Customer |
|---|----|---|
| 2 | | group load being recommended by Eversource in this filing, this bid will be |
| 3 | | combined with that approved by the Commission in Order No. 26,747 on December |
| 4 | | 14, 2022, and will result in the monthly variable rates depicted below, which are |
| 5 | | further detailed in Ms. Paruta's testimony and page 2 of Attachment MBP-7: |

Large Customer Energy Service Rates

| 7 | Month | Rate (\$/kWh) |
|----|---------------|---------------|
| 8 | February 2023 | \$0.48321 |
| 9 | March 2023 | \$0.32083 |
| 10 | April 2023 | \$0.21612 |
| 11 | May 2023 | \$0.17003 |
| 12 | June 2023 | \$0.14779 |
| 13 | July 2023 | \$0.18098 |

6

14 V. RENEWABLE PORTFOLIO STANDARDS

- 15 Q. Previously you stated that the procurement of full requirements Energy
 16 Service did not include RPS obligations. How will Eversource fulfill the RPS
 17 requirements associated with Energy Service?
- A. In accordance with the Settlement Agreement in Docket No. DE 17-113,

 Eversource will manage its RPS needs outside of the ES RFP process. Consistent
 with the manner employed by Eversource for ES customers in New Hampshire over
 many previous years, by Eversource's affiliated companies in other jurisdictions,
 and by other New Hampshire utilities, Eversource will fulfill RPS requirements
 through purchases of Renewable Energy Credits ("RECs") from the issuance of
 periodic RFPs, through purchases directly from producers, through the bilateral
- 25 market, or through Alternative Compliance Payments ("ACPs") to RECs.
- Eversource will manage RPS compliance in this matter for all Eversource ES load.
- 27 Regarding fulfillment of Class I Renewable Energy Certificate ("REC")
- requirements, Eversource will continue to purchase Class I RECs from the Burgess

1 BioPower and Lempster Wind facilities under existing PPAs. The REC amounts 2 purchased from these sources may more than meet Energy Service obligation 3 quantities, eliminating the need for other Class I purchases. Q. How will RPS requirements be reflected in Energy Service customers' rates? 4 A. 5 Eversource has established an RPS Adder rate based on REC class percentage requirements, current market price information as of the full requirements power 6 7 supply RFP due date, and any RECs currently existing in inventory. Development of the RPS Adder is outlined in Attachment LJL-13 and was approved in Order No. 8 26,747 (at 9). The RPS component of ES rates will apply these factors to reflect the 9 10 current expected cost of RPS compliance obligations. The RPS Adder and the rate developed to recover the costs of full requirements power supply procurements 11 from suppliers comprise the two components of the overall ES rate. Please refer to 12 13 Ms. Paruta's testimony which addresses ES rate development. 14 Q. Are there any provisions unique to the Burgess BioPower and Lempster Wind 15 PPAs due to the purchase requirements discussed above? 16 A. Since the 2015 Agreement calls for the costs of those PPAs to be recovered via the Stranded Cost Recovery Charge ("SCRC"), a transfer price must be set for Class I 17 RECs obtained under those PPAs which are used to satisfy the RPS compliance 18 needs of ES customers. Eversource has established the Class I transfer price 19 20 according to the Settlement Agreement from Docket No. DE 17-113 and the 21 methodology described in the June 28, 2017 Joint Testimony of Shuckerow, White 22 and Goulding in Docket No. DE 17-113. The \$/REC transfer price is the current market price for Class I RECs shown in Attachment LJL-13, and the volume of 23 24 Class I RECs needed for RPS compliance for ES during February 2023 through July 2023, will be transferred at that price. 25 26 27 28

29

| 1 | VI. CONCLUSION | |
|----|----------------|--|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | Q. | How does Eversource view the outcome of this second solicitation for the |
| 4 | | provision of Energy Service for the second half of the load for the Large |
| 5 | | Customer group for the service period of February 1, 2023 through July 31, |
| 6 | | 2023? |
| 7 | A. | The outcome of this RFP and the selected bid the Company is recommending for |
| 8 | | approval are reflective of current market conditions for the load for Eversource's |
| 9 | | Large Customer group. Eversource recommends that the Commission approve the |
| 10 | | bid to be combined with the bid approved in December, resulting in the rates |
| 11 | | described previously in this testimony and that of Ms. Paruta. |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | Q. | Will this recommendation result in just and reasonable rates? |
| 14 | A. | Yes. |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | Q. | Does that complete your testimony? |
| 17 | A. | Yes, it does. |