

---

**From:** Lila Kohrman-Glaser <lila350nh@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, February 26, 2018 9:39 AM  
**To:** PUC - Executive.Director; PUC:  
**Cc:** Kreis, Donald  
**Subject:** DG 17-198 Liberty Utilities Granite Bridge Project

Dear Ms. Howland:

This is a request for information pursuant to Puc 104 and Puc 201.07. Please file this letter as a public comment.

I am writing on behalf of 350 New Hampshire and as a New Hampshire citizen who will be impacted by decisions made regarding the Granite Bridge Pipeline.

I request unredacted copies of all documents which Liberty Utilities submitted in support of its Granite Bridge petition, as identified in its motion for protective order. For the reasons explained in the opposition to that motion, filed by the Office of Consumer Advocate, I ask that the motion for protective order be denied and unredacted copies be made public immediately. It concerns me deeply that access to this information is being restricted, and I question why Liberty Utilities wishes to obscure any part of the process.

Liberty Utilities is proposing a capital investment of almost \$312 million for Granite Bridge, which would be passed along to ratepayers during the projected 55-year life span for the pipeline, and 40-year life span for the LNG facility. New Hampshire is a signatory to the Under2 Memorandum of Understanding, under which signatory governments made a commitment to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions towards net-zero by 2050. It should be obvious that it will be impossible for the State to meet that commitment if it approves fossil fuel projects such as Granite Bridge. Moreover, the public must be allowed to scrutinize the details of the proposal in order to evaluate the company's claims if there is to be any confidence that the public interest has been served.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

--

Lila Kohrman-Glaser  
350 NH Operations Coordinator  
(203)-733-9704