CHAIRMAN Martin P. Honigberg

COMMISSIONERS Kathryn M. Bailey Michael S. Giaimo

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR Debra A. Howland

STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE



PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 21 S. Fruit St., Suite 10 Concord, N.H. 03301-2429

August 15, 2019

TDD Access: Relay NH 1-800-735-2964

Tel. (603) 271-2431

FAX No. 271-3878

Website: www.puc.nh.gov

NHPUC 15AUG 19an 11:35

Debra A. Howland Executive Director New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission 21 South Fruit Street, Suite 10 Concord, NH 03301

RE: DW 17-165 Abenaki Water Company, Inc. – Rosebrook Water System
Request for Change in Rates
Recovery of Rate Case Expenditures

Staff recommends that the Commission approve the recovery of Abenaki Water Company Inc.'s (Abenaki or the Company) Rosebrook Water Division's (Rosebrook) revenue differential between temporary and permanent rates and its rate case expenses (collectively Recovery Amount) in the amount of \$145,559 through the collection of a monthly customer surcharge over a period of 18 months. Staff also recommends that the Commission grant approval of Abenaki's motion for confidential treatment, as amended, of certain billing rates and employee information included in its rate case expense filing.

On January 30, 2019, Abenaki filed a request for recovery of rate case expenses¹, a reconciliation between temporary and permanent rates, a surcharge proposal for collection of its Recovery Amount, and a motion for protective order and confidential treatment (Motion for Confidentiality) of supporting information included in the filing. Abenaki's filing was submitted in accordance with a settlement agreement approved by the Commission in Order No. 26,205 on December 27, 2018. Abenaki filed redacted and confidential versions of information to support its rate case expenses, which included schedules and other supporting documentation, pursuant to N.H. Code Admin. Rules Puc 1905.03(a)-(d). On February 11, 2019, Abenaki filed a corrected form of the supporting documentation to comply with the filing requirements at N.H. Code Admin. Rules Puc 201.04(b) and (c). On May 8, 2019, Abenaki filed a request for recovery of additional rate case expenses and a revised surcharge proposal. On July 15, 2019, the Company filed a request for recovery of a second set of additional rate case expenses and an amended motion for protective order and confidential treatment (Amended Motion for Confidentiality).

¹ The rate case expenses pertaining to this docket are inclusive of expenses relative to the determination of a return on equity in this case. Recovery of rate case expenses is governed N.H. Code Admin. Rules Puc 1900 et seq.

Abenaki requested recovery of \$156,499, comprised of \$39,533 for the temporary to permanent rate difference and \$116,966 in rate case expenses. The Company proposed recovery of those costs through the collection of a \$21.05 surcharge applied to the monthly bills of all Rosebrook metered accounts over 18 monthly billing periods. This metered-based surcharge, in which the charge is allocated equally to all metered accounts regardless of customer type, was calculated by dividing Abenaki's requested Recovery Amount by number of metered accounts: \$21.05 surcharge = \$156,499 Recovery Amount / 413 metered accounts / 18 months.

Staff reviewed Abenaki's calculation of the temporary to permanent rate difference and confirms that its computation is consistent with the methodology agreed upon in the settlement agreement and approved by Order No. 26,205, and that the amount, \$39,533, was calculated correctly. Staff, therefore, recommends that no adjustment be made to this component of the Recovery Amount, pursuant to RSA 378:29. Staff notes that approval for recoupment of the temporary to permanent rate difference is consistent with the Commission's ratemaking authority and the just and reasonable rates set by Order No. 26,205. As such, Staff recommends that the Commission find Abenaki's request for recoupment of the difference between temporary and permanent rates just and reasonable, pursuant to RSA 378:7.

In reviewing the Company's rate case expenses, Staff notes that the Commission has historically treated prudently-incurred rate case expenses as a legitimate cost of service appropriate for recovery through rates. West Swanzey Water Company, Inc., Order No. 26,146 at 2 (June 14, 2018). After reviewing the Company's submitted rate case expenses. Staff identified items totaling \$10,941 which should be disallowed, pursuant to N.H. Code Admin. Rules Puc 1907.01. Those items include charges for work related to separating Rosebrook's financial information from that of Abenaki's other water systems, charges which were not adequately supported, and expenditures not germane to the determination of rates in this case. Staff, therefore, in light of the requirements of N.H. Code Admin. Rules Puc 1900, recommends reducing the amount of recoverable rate case expenses, from \$116,966 to \$106,026. Staff, furthermore, recommends reducing the overall Recovery Amount to \$145,559 (\$39,533 + \$106,026).

Staff recommends that a usage-based surcharge be used as an alternative to a meter-based surcharge, which it believes would provide a more equitable basis for apportioning collection of the Recovery Amount among all customer types. Staff computed the rate for this method by dividing total costs by gallons of water consumed by Rosebrook customers during the 18-month period that began on January 1, 2018 and ended on June 30, 2019 (historic consumption). Using this formula, Staff computed a usage-based surcharge of \$2.566 per thousand gallons consumed: \$2.566 surcharge = \$145,559 Recovery Amount / 56,726.891 thousand gallons.

Staff compared the charge of a meter-based surcharge to that of a usage-based surcharge for each customer type. The monthly fee under a meter-based surcharge, computed by dividing Recovery Amounts by the number of metered accounts, 413, and amortized over 18 months would be \$19.58 per account. Staff classified Rosebrook

customers into one of three categories, (1) residential or (2) commercial, based on the diameter of the service connection to the meter, or (3) Omni Mount Washington, LLC properties (Omni Properties). The diameter of the service connection for each of those categories is as follows: residential, 5/8"; commercial, 1"; and Omni Properties, 5/8" to 6". Staff's calculation for a usage-based surcharge rate is included with this letter. Under the usage-based method, the monthly surcharge for customers in each category based on average historic consumption would be as follows: Residential, \$3.50; Commercial, \$10.01; and Omni Properties, \$6,467.20. Staff's calculations for a meter-based surcharge and a usage-based surcharge for customers in each category are included with this letter.

Staff analyzed the bill impact to customers of both surcharge methods by computing the monthly surcharge to total bill (surcharge-to-bill) ratio for the three customer categories and identifying the method in which the ratios had the least amount of disparity among the categories. Average historic consumption was assumed for the usage-based surcharge calculations. The ratios for a usage-based surcharge ranged from 11.83% to 24.45% for three customer categories and were in a narrower band than those for a meter-based surcharge, which ranged from 1.59% to 45.86%. The surcharge-to-bill ratios for each customer category were as follows under a usage-based surcharge: residential, 12.83%; commercial, 11.83%, and Omni Properties, 24.45%; and as follows under a meter-based surcharge: residential, 45.86%; commercial, 21.26%; and Omni Properties, 1.59%. Staff's calculations of the surcharge-to-bill ratios are attached to this letter. Because the surcharge-to-bill ratios are more closely aligned among customer categories with a usage-based surcharge, Staff asserts that a usage-based surcharge would provide a more equitable impact to rates than one that is meter based. In addition, Staff posits that recovery of the Recovery Amount through a usage-based surcharge is consistent with the basis used for surcharges approved by the Commission in other rate cases for both gas and electric utilities. See Northern Utilities, Inc., Order No. 26,129 (May 2, 2018).

As such, Staff recommends that the Recovery Amount of \$145,559 should be recovered from Abenaki Rosebrook's customers on a usage-base, as detailed above, over an 18-month period, and that the Commission find that this surcharge just and reasonable pursuant to RSA 378:7.

Abenaki's Motion for Confidentiality seeks confidential treatment of certain billing rates and employee information included with its January 30, 2018 submission of documents to support rate case expenses, pursuant to N.H. Code Admin. Rules Puc 203.08. The motion avers that the supporting information includes confidential and competitively sensitive hourly billing rates of the Company's attorneys and compensation information and taxpayer identification numbers of its non-officer employees. Abenaki stated that it is its practice to maintain the compensation and personal data of its employees in confidence and that it does not disclose that information to the public. Disclosure of that information would otherwise reveal the salary structure of Abenaki's employees and constitute an invasion of privacy to those employees. Disclosure could also undermine Abenaki's ability to hire and retain employees, which would result in

competitive harm to Abenaki. Abenaki's Amended Motion for Confidentiality adds, to the initial motion, a request for confidentiality of supporting rate case expense documents submitted on February 11, 2019, May 8, 2019, and July 15, 2019, and specifically identifies the affected pages in each submission by number.

As such, Staff recommends that the Commission grant Abenaki's Motion for Confidentiality and Amended Motion for Confidentiality. Staff agrees with the Company's concerns that disclosure of the hourly billing rate of Abenaki's attorneys along with the compensation and tax identification numbers of its non-officer employees could cause competitive harm to Abenaki and constitute an invasion of privacy to its employees. The Commission has granted confidential treatment to similar information in the past. See, e.g., *Aquarion Water Company of New Hampshire, Inc.*, Order No. 25,586 (October 22, 2013) and *Pennichuck Water Works, Inc.*, Order No. 24,701 (November 22, 2006).

In summary, Staff recommends the Commission approve Abenaki's collection of a Recovery Amount totaling \$145,559 through a usage-based surcharge to be included in Rosebrook customer bills and based on a rate of \$2.566 per 1,000 gallons of usage over 18-monthly billing periods, and that the Commission find this surcharge just and reasonable pursuant to RSA 378:7. Staff further recommends that Abenaki's Motion for Confidentiality and Amended Motion for Confidentiality be granted.

Staff also recommends that, no later than 60 days following the expiration of the 18-monthly billing periods, Abenaki be required to (1) file, a reconciliation which shows, by month, customer category, and customer groups within those categories, the Recovery Amount collected, gallons consumed, and remaining Recovery Amount balance and (2) post in its books of accounting the amount of any over- or under-collection to a deferred credit or deferred debit account created specifically for this purpose. In addition, Staff recommends that any over- or under-collection of Recovery Amount following the expiration of the 18-monthly billing periods will be considered in Rosebrook's next rate case proceeding.

Before filing this letter with the Commission, Staff inquired of Abenaki and the OCA of their respective positions regarding Staff's recommendations. Abenaki stated that it would be filing a subsequent response to Staff's recommendations with the Commission. Staff, therefore, requests that the Commission hold the record open until the filing of that response. The OCA indicated no response to Staff's recommendations.

If you have any questions concerning this matter, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

David Goyette Utility Analyst III, Gas-Water Division

Attachments: Rate Case Expense Staff Recommendation Schedule

Surcharge Calculation

cc: Service List

DW 17-165, Abenaki - Rosebrook Rate Case Deferred Rate Case and ROE Expense Temporary/Permanent Rate Reconciliation Recoupment

	Р	ompany roposed Amount	_Ad	Staff justments		Staff roposed Amount
Temporary to permanent rate difference	\$	39,533			\$	39,533
RC and ROE expenses filed 1/30/19 and 2/11/19		96,015		(5,062)		90,953
RC and ROE expenses filed 5/8/19		4,788		(952)		3,837
RC and ROE expenses filed 7/15/19		6,796		(4,927)		1,869
PUC ROE consultant-Woolridge	_	9,367	_		_	9,367
Total amount to be recovered	\$	156,499	\$	(10,941)	\$	145,558
Number of Customers		413				413
Average per Customer	\$	378.93			\$	352.44
Proposed Recovery Period - Number of Months	_	18			-	18
Average per Customer per Month	\$	21.05			\$	19.58

		Total:	10,941.00
DATE	VENDOR	DESCRIPTION (Abenaki's)	Disallow
2/12/2018	STEPHEN ST. CYR & ASSOCIATES	Rate Case Prep & Review-Jan 2018	388.13
11/6/2017	NEW ENGLAND SERVICE COMPANY	Restate RBW Financials for Rate Case (XXXXXX XXXXXXXX April Labor)	270.00
11/6/2017	NEW ENGLAND SERVICE COMPANY	Restate RBW Financials for Rate Case (XXXXXX XXXXXXXX April Labor)	270.00
11/6/2017	NEW ENGLAND SERVICE COMPANY	Restate RBW Financials for Rate Case (XXXXXX XXXXXXXX April Labor)	270.00
11/6/2017	NEW ENGLAND SERVICE COMPANY	Restate RBW Financials for Rate Case (XXXXXX XXXXXXXX May Labor)	225.00
11/6/2017	NEW ENGLAND SERVICE COMPANY	Restate RBW Financials for Rate Case (XXXXXX XXXXXXXX May Labor)	195.00
11/6/2017	NEW ENGLAND SERVICE COMPANY	Restate RBW Financials for Rate Case (XXXXXX XXXXXXXX May Labor)	420.00
11/6/2017	NEW ENGLAND SERVICE COMPANY	Restate RBW Financials for Rate Case (XXXXXX XXXXXXXX June Labor)	270.00
11/6/2017	NEW ENGLAND SERVICE COMPANY	Restate RBW Financials for Rate Case (XXXXXX XXXXXXXX June Labor)	270.00
11/6/2017	NEW ENGLAND SERVICE COMPANY	Restate RBW Financials for Rate Case (XXXXXX XXXXXXXX June Labor)	300.00
8/15/2017	NEW ENGLAND SERVICE COMPANY	XXXXXX XXXXXXXX Rate Case Labor-Jul 2017	93.75
8/11/2017	NEW ENGLAND SERVICE COMPANY	XXXXXX XXXXXXXX - Rate case mileage - Review & training	123.35
8/11/2017	NEW ENGLAND SERVICE COMPANY	July Labor (XXXXXX XXXXXXX July labor).	60.00
10/1/2017	NEW ENGLAND SERVICE COMPANY	XXXXXX XXXXXXXX - Rate case review & assistance	9.53
10/20/2017	NEW ENGLAND SERVICE COMPANY	XXXXXX XXXXXXXX - Rate Case labor - Sep 2017	2.79
10/20/2017	NEW ENGLAND SERVICE COMPANY	XXXXXX XXXXXXXX - Rate Case labor- Sep 2017	62.97
1/24/2018	NEW ENGLAND SERVICE COMPANY	XXXXXX XXXXXXXX - Rate Case Labor- Dec 2017	51.08
3/5/2018	NEW ENGLAND SERVICE COMPANY	XXXXXX XXXXXXXX - Rate Case labor · Feb 2018	14.31
4/16/2018	NEW ENGLAND SERVICE COMPANY	XXXXXX XXXXXXXX • Rate Case labor - Mar 2018	55.25
4/30/2018	NEW ENGLAND SERVICE COMPANY	XXXXXX XXXXXXXX - Rate Case Labor - Apr 2018	28.08
6/4/2018	NEW ENGLAND SERVICE COMPANY	XXXXXX XXXXXXXX • Rate Case Labor · May 2018	85.51
11/9/2018	NEW ENGLAND SERVICE COMPANY	XXXXXX XXXXXXXX - Rate Case Labor- Oct 2018	24.70
11/9/2018	NEW ENGLAND SERVICE COMPANY	Rate Case Exp - In a Pinch	46.00
1/17/2019	NEW ENGLAND SERVICE COMPANY	XXXXXX XXXXXXXX - Rate Case Labor- Dec 2018	122.10
1/31/2019	NEW ENGLAND SERVICE COMPANY	XXXXXX XXXXXXXX - Rate Case Labor - Jan 2019	57.47
2/28/2019	STEPHEN ST.CYR & ASSOCIATES	Rate Case Prep & Review - Jan 2019	472.50
2/28/2019	New England Service Company	XXXXXX XXXXXXXX - Rate Case Labor - Feb 2019	36.94
2/28/2019	STEPHEN ST.CYR & ASSOCIATES	Rate Case Prep & Review - Feb 2019	385.00
5/9/2018	ROE - Postage & Advertising	ROE - Postage & Advertising	234.00

		Total:	10,941.00
DATE	VENDOR	DESCRIPTION (Abenaki's)	Disallow
Jul 2017	NEW ENGLAND SERVICE COMPANY	XXXXXX XXXXXXXX, pgs 4-6 of XXXXX, Jul 2017	153.98
Aug 2017	NEW ENGLAND SERVICE COMPANY	XXXXXX XXXXXXXX, pgs 9-17 of XXXXX, Aug 2017	615.93
Sep 2017	NEW ENGLAND SERVICE COMPANY	XXXXXX XXXXXXXX, pgs 26-27 of XXXXX	110.48
Sep 2017	NEW ENGLAND SERVICE COMPANY	XXXXXX XXXXXXXX, pgs 37-49 of XXXXX, Sep 2017	909.23
Nov 2017	NEW ENGLAND SERVICE COMPANY	XXXXXX XXXXXXXX, pgs 84-89 of XXXXX, Nov 2017	997.22
Jan 2018	NEW ENGLAND SERVICE COMPANY	XXXXXX XXXXXXXX, pgs 145-148 of XXXXX, Jan 2018	131.99
11/7/2017	NEW ENGLAND SERVICE COMPANY	Modified Affiliate agreement to be at cost, April 2017.	-414.05
11/7/2017	NEW ENGLAND SERVICE COMPANY	Modified Affiliate agreement to be at cost, May 2017.	-429.38
11/7/2017	NEW ENGLAND SERVICE COMPANY	Modified Affiliate agreement to be at cost, June 2017.	-429.38
11/7/2017	NEW ENGLAND SERVICE COMPANY	Modified Affiliate agreement to be at cost, July 2017	-475.39
4/5/2019	New England Service Company	XX - Labor Charges	18.90
4/15/2019	Stephen St. Cyr	March 2019 Services	262.50
5/24/2019	Stephen St. Cyr	April 2019 Services	105.00
5/31/2019	New England Service Company	XX - Labor Charges	86.92
7/2/2019	NH Brown Law	Rate Case Expenses	1,260.00
4/5/2019	New England Service Company	XX - Labor Charges	56.69
4/5/2019	New England Service Company	XX - Labor Charges	97.29
4/10/2019	New England Service Company	ROE Meeting Mileage	8.54
4/15/2019	Stephen St. Cyr	March 2019 Services	56.35
5/10/2019	Scott Madden, Inc.	Feb 2019 XX XX	448.50
5/24/2019	Stephen St. Cyr	April 2019 Services	4.02
6/18/2019	Stephen St. Cyr	May 2019 Services	12.08
7/2/2019	NH Brown Law	ROE Petition Expenses	56.35
4/5/2019	New England Service Company	XX - Labor Charges	56.69
4/5/2019	New England Service Company	XX - Labor Charges	76.13
4/10/2019	New England Service Company	ROE Meeting Mileage	6.68
4/15/2019	Stephen St. Cyr	March 2019 Services	44.10
5/10/2019	Scott Madden, Inc.	Feb 2019 XX XX	351.00
5/24/2019	Stephen St. Cyr	April 2019 Services	3.15
6/18/2019	Stephen St. Cyr	May 2019 Services	9.45
2/7/2019	NH Brown Law	ROE Petition Expenses	44.10
4/5/2019	New England Service Company	XX - Labor Charges	56.69
4/5/2019	New England Service Company	XX - Labor Charges	249.56
4/10/2019	New England Service Company	ROE Meeting Mileage	21.90
4/15/2019	Stephen St. Cyr	March 2019 Services	144.55
5/10/2019	Scott Madden, Inc.	Feb 2019 XX XX	1,150.50
5/24/2019	Stephen St. Cyr	April 2019 Services	10.33
5/31/2019 6/18/2019	New England Service Company Stephen St. Cyr	XX - Labor Charges	53.43
7/2/2019	NH Brown Law	May 2019 Services ROE Petition Expenses	30.97 144.55

Abenaki Water Company - Rosebrook DW 17-165 Change in Rates Rate Case Surcharge Analysis

	Distribution-Based					Usage-Based							
Residential Customers	\$	19.58	per acc	ount		\$ 2.	.566	per 1,000 gallon	s cons	sumed			
Commercial Customers		19.58	per acc	ount		2.	.566	per 1,000 gallons	s cons	sumed			
Omni Properties		313.28	all Omr	ni acco	unts	2.	.566	per 1,000 gallons	s cons	sumed			
T. 10. 0 0 1			A	-						445.550			
Total Rate Case Surcharge			\$ 145	,559					\$	145,559			
Divided by Total Number of Cust	tome	rs		413									
					Divided by Tot	al Avg Co	ns (ir	n 1,000 gals)		56,727			
Proposed Surcharge			\$ 1	9.58					\$	2.566			

BILL IMPACT ANALYSIS

Rate case expense bill impact based on average consumption over historic 18-month period (1/2018-6/2019) stated at proposed rates.

DISTRIBUTION-BASED (based on total number of accounts)

			% of Total			% of Total	Om	ıni Properties	% of Total
	Res	sidential	Bill	Cor	mmercial	Bill	(1	6 accounts)	Bill
Fixed Charge	\$	15.00		\$	49.48		\$	3,762.61	
Consumption Charge		8.78			25.12			16,226.22	
Rate Case Surcharge		19.58	45.16%		19.58	20.79%		313.28	1.54%
Average Monthly Bill	\$	43.36		\$	94.18		\$	20,302.11	

<u>USAGE-BASED</u> (based on historic, 18-month consumption)

			% of Total			% of Total	On	nni Properties	% of Total
	Res	idential	Bill	Co	mmercial	Bill	(1	l6 accounts)	Bill
Fixed Charge	\$	15.00		\$	49.48		\$	3,762.61	
Consumption Charge		8.78			25.12			16,226.22	
Rate Case Surcharge		3.59	13.12%		10.28	12.11%		6,640.59	24.94%
Average Monthly Bill	\$	27.37		\$	84.88		\$	26,629.42	

Abenaki Water Company - Rosetrook DW 17 -165 Change in Rates Comparison Rate Analysis HOTEL COMMERCIAL RESIDENTIAL Meter Size # of Meters % of Total Meters Totals Meters % of Total Rate Totals Rate Totals Meters Rate Totals Rate 14.37% 107 15.00 1.605.00 15.00 15.00 107 15.00 1.605.00 22 12% 5/8 x 3/4 254 15.00 3,810.00 34 12% (6) 15,00 9000 15.00 248 15.00 3 720 00 51 28% 46 49.48 2.276.08 20 38% (4) 49 48 197 92 (3) 49,48 148 44 39 49 48 1,929.72 26,60% 3 61% 201.40 402.80 20140 20140 0,00% 201.40 402.80 121 1 315 74 0.00% 438.58 1 315.74 11 78% (3) 438.58 438.58 438.58 1.756.15 1.756.15 15.73% (1) 1,756.15 1,756,15 1,756.15 1,756 15 0.00% 14844 394 Totals 413 11.165,77 100 00% (16) 3,762 61 (3) Consumption Rate: 0.00627 (\$6.27/1,000 gallons) USAGE IN GALLONS (Historic 18-month Consumption 18 month Total Mar- 18 May-18 Jun-18 Jul-18 Aug-18 Sep-18 Oct-18 Nov-18 Dec18 Jan-19 Feb-19 Mar-19 Apr-19 May 15 Jun-19 Total Gals Surcharge Account Jan-18 Apr- 18 14,920 7,876 216,324 555.09 6,727 8,858 13,520 15,430 16,571 13,169 13,707 9,001 13,202 6.928 Commercial 9,967 2,951,340 1,654,881 1,562,897 46,582,451 3.139.187 2.752.328 1.946.195 2.573.714 2.323,093 2.252.443 1.368.059 119,530.5 Denni Entities 2.918.197 2.748.159 3.066.075 3.093.638 3.581.318 3.750.704 2.324.181 2.576.042 Crawford Ridge 34,011 63,221 41.064 7.765 14.698 88.803 29 727 40.420 83,097 18,098 16.095 49 830 56.013 76.881 91 924 20 597 8.711 6 717 747 672 1 918 53 Dartmouth Ridge 52,900 30,706 24,508 12,689 47.748 27,714 34,993 33,818 25,906 24,525 16,475 23,552 33,582 26,027 23,833 9,838 21,524 24,165 494,503 1,268 89 65,566 116,915 117,364 33,742 38,622 53,668 1,542,098 3,957 02 174.462 Forest Cottage 105 641 132 017 98 039 23.522 34.958 50.203 95.319 101.430 61.320 89.795 150,115 47 406 1 157 735 2 970.79 Fairway Village 55,500 86,952 69.890 14,342 33,501 75,467 89.042 141,143 62,887 53,993 46 119 84 294 90 209 86.927 62 583 23 949 33 531 Mt. Madison 8,696 17,812 10,961 611 2,478 5,812 13,322 28,041 10,204 9,023 6.712 18,484 17,485 21,716 14.106 7,161 5.019 8.061 205,704 527.84 38.911 24.947 1.857 15.096 18.046 26.726 12.291 13,281 10,213 21,635 28,658 33,758 28,685 3.813 6.201 8.766 329,178 844.67 34 119 2.175 Mt. View Mt Washington Homes 26,477 32,301 25.004 4.676 8.811 17.709 26.599 39,509 23.935 31.043 37,034 41,461 38,485 47.088 21.214 5.917 21.579 12.386 461,228 1.183 51 61,657 129,545 179,771 262.129 169.312 115,023 86 164 259,318 221,406 241 581 162,248 41.716 137 621 84 196 2.729.026 7,002 68 Mt. Washington Place 148,114 216,234 159,203 53,788 11,024 10,000 Presidential View 24,923 6,698 9,049 7,744 10,655 19.819 11.473 68,278 46,488 27,549 48,928 38,430 22,757 6.580 434,259 1.114.31 33.591 30,273 43,505 13,987 9,204 530,273 1,360.68 24.879 54,972 15.266 15.201 15.123 41.452 53,356 59,995 9.668 Rosebrook 41.093 64.190 39.913 8.571 9.565 10.333 Riverfront 16,221 19,115 13,490 8,571 7,680 12,208 18.240 9.413 8.621 11 371 8.223 24,360 25.287 26 417 21.302 4.495 6.152 16.822 257.988 662.00 45,239 44,755 26,409 31,987 20,976 67,900 90,656 96,880 129,220 37.381 37,720 32,430 849.973 2,181.03 Stickney Circle 35,127 63,095 37,537 13,695 14,264 24,702 18.418 19.075 8,815 2,435 2,077 3.396 188.409 24.058 13.143 12,046 18.518 5,248 10,452 4.802 23,406 Stone Hill 11,990 2.830 1,431 5.569 1.890,981 56,726,821 \$ 145,561.03 2.001,742 Total Gallons Used 3 531 044 3,580,556 3,661,353 3,268,167 3,830,004 4,235,129 3,752,495 1.589.592 2.854.019 3.081.819 2,335,186 3,761,428 3,460,171 3,292,500 3,014,037 1,586,598 Allocation of Rate Case Expenses: % of Grand 3.59 Average Residential Surcharge would be Tamporary / Permanent Rate Difference 39 535 Average Residential Customer uses 1,400 gallons per month ner month 90 954 Total Average Residential Surcharge: 64.62 \$25,460.28 over 18 months RC and ROF expenses filed 1/30/19 and 2/11, 5 (Order #26,205, page 5 stated 15,720 gallons per year or 1,310 gallons per month) 3,637 RC and ROE expenses filed 5/8/19 Average Commercial Surcharge would be: 10.28 per month RC and ROE expenses filed 7/15/19 1 2 3 Average Commercial Customer uses 4,006 gallons per month Total Average Commercial Surcharge 185.04 \$ 555.12 over 18 months PUC's ROE Consultant-Woolridge 145.559 Surcharge Based On Number of Accounts Divide by: Number of Accounts 413 18 Divide by: Recovery Months Monthly Rate per Account 19.58 5 6 640.59 Average Omni usage 2,587,914 gallons per month Average Omni Surcharge would be: per month Succhage Based on Consumption \$ 119,530.62 119,530.62 over 18 months Total Average Omni Surcharge: Stivide by: Total Gallons Consumed 56,726,821 Rate per Gallon Consumed 0.002566 Grand Total Average Surcharge \$ 119,780 28 100 00% Rate per 1000 Gallons Consumed 2,566 (Abenaki bills per 1000 gallons) 145,546.02 USAGE-BASED (based on historic, 18-month consumption) Omni % of Total % of Total Properties % of Total (16 accts) Residential Bill Commercial 49.48 \$ 3,762.61 Fixed Charge 15.00 25 12 16,226.22 Consumption Charge 8,78 10 28 12 11% 6,640 59 24 94% Rate Case Surcharge 3 59 13 12% \$ 26,629 42 S Average Monthly Bill \$ 27.37 84.88 DISTRIBUTION-BASED (based on total number of accounts) Omni % of Total % of Total Properties % of Total Commercial (16 accts) Bill Residential 49.48 \$ 3,762 61 Fixed Charge \$ 15.00 25.12 16,226 22 Consumption Charge 8 78 1253 45 16% 1958 2079% 313.28 1.54% Rate Case Surcharge

94 18

\$ 20.302 11

Average Monthly Bill

41.36

Executive.Director@puc.nh.gov amanda.noonan@puc.nh.gov anthony.leone@puc.nh.gov cellms@omnihotels.com Christopher.tuomala@puc.nh.gov david.goyette@puc.nh.gov donald.kreis@oca.nh.gov james.brennan@oca.nh.gov jayson.laflamme@puc.nh.gov joseph.vercellotti@puc.nh.gov mab@nhbrownlaw.com ocalitigation@oca.nh.gov pdoucette@newenglandservicecompany.com pluongo@plymouthrock.com pmueller@comcast.net pradip.chattopadhyay@oca.nh.gov Randal.Suozzo@des.nh.gov robyn.descoteau@puc.nh.gov rosebrook.president@gmail.com stacey.burgess@mclane.com stephenpstcyr@yahoo.com steve.frink@puc.nh.gov thomas.getz@mclane.com

viggo.fish@mclane.com

8/15/2019

Printed: