

STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION



CONSOLIDATED COMMUNICATIONS HOLDINGS, INC.
JOINT PETITION FOR FINDINGS IN FURTHERANCE OF THE ACQUISITION OF
FAIRPOINT COMMUNICATIONS, INC. AND ITS NEW HAMPSHIRE OPERATING
SUBSIDIARIES BY CONSOLIDATED COMMUNICATIONS HOLDINGS, INC.

DOCKET NO. DT 16-872

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
RANDALL VICKROY
OF THE LIBERTY CONSULTING GROUP
ON BEHALF OF
COMMISSION STAFF

[REDACTED]

APRIL 19, 2017
(REVISED MAY 12, 2017)

Contents

I. INTRODUCTION	3
II. OVERALL CONCLUSIONS.....	5
III. FAIRPOINT FINANCIAL RESULTS	6
IV. KEY MEASURES OF FINANCIAL HEALTH	10
V. FAIRPOINT'S DEBT	11
VI. FAIRPOINT'S FINANCIAL RISK	14
VII. RECENT CONSOLIDATED PERFORMANCE MEASURES.....	17
VIII. CONSOLIDATED'S FINANCING.....	18
IX. CONSOLIDATED'S FINANCIAL RISKS	20
X. CONSOLIDATED/FAIRPOINT MERGED FINANCIAL PROJECTIONS.....	23
XI. CHANGES IN EMPLOYEE NUMBERS	28
XII. STRESS TESTS OF CONSOLIDATED/FAIRPOINT	29
XIII. CONCLUSIONS	34

1 **I. INTRODUCTION**

2 **Q. Please identify yourself for the record.**

3 A. My name is Randall Vickroy. I am a senior consultant for The Liberty Consulting Group
4 ("Liberty"). My Liberty business address is 279 North Zinns Mill Road, Suite H,
5 Lebanon, Pennsylvania 17042.

6 **Q. Please describe your background and experience.**

7 A. I have spent my entire career in utility corporate finance, with extensive hands-on
8 experience with the financial management, credit rating issues, and transaction financing
9 issues, such as those this docket raises. I spent 12 years at Public Service Company of
10 Colorado, a major Mountain States electric and gas utility. I began as a financial analyst
11 in the corporate finance and planning department, and then became financial supervisor,
12 director of analysis, business development manager, and assistant to the chief financial
13 officer. My responsibilities included financial planning, capital acquisition, capital
14 spending analysis and allocation, treasury operations, securitization financing, project
15 financing, mergers and acquisitions, cash management, and investor relations.

16 I have been consulting since 1991 on corporate finance, planning, and business
17 issues in the electric, natural gas, and telecommunications industries. During that time, I
18 have provided consulting services to utility regulatory commissions and to companies in
19 over 30 states and in three foreign countries. I received a Bachelor of Arts from
20 Monmouth College with a major in business administration and a Masters of Business
21 Administration degree from the University of Denver with an emphasis in finance.

22 I have managed the issuance of first mortgage bonds, common equity, pollution
23 control bonds, leveraged leases, medium-term notes and commercial paper, and arranged

1 credit facilities. I established at Public Service Company of Colorado financing facilities
2 unique in the utility industry in the 1980s, including accounts receivable and inventory
3 securitization, equipment financing through a revolving master lease, a medium-term
4 note facility, and a customer financing facility through a commercial bank. I have been
5 addressing utility financial issues for Liberty for about 25 years. More information
6 regarding my background, qualifications, and professional experience is contained in
7 Appendix LCG-1 filed with the direct testimony of John Antonuk and Dr. Charles King
8 in this proceeding.

9 I began working on financial issues for Liberty in 1992 examining Public Service
10 Electric & Gas for the New Jersey Board of Public Utilities. I have performed for Liberty
11 the following utility merger/acquisition or credit risk/financial transaction projects,
12 mostly for utility regulatory commissions:

- 13 • FairPoint Northern New England acquisition from Verizon for Commission Staff.
- 14 • Oncor acquisition by NextEra Energy for the Staff of the Public Utility
15 Commission of Texas.
- 16 • TXU acquisition by Energy Future Holdings for the AARP.
- 17 • Duke Energy Carolinas for the North Carolina Utilities Commission Staff (two
18 engagements).
- 19 • Delmarva Power/Pepco Holdings for the Delaware Public Service Commission
20 (two engagements).
- 21 • PSE&G for the New Jersey Board of Public Utilities.
- 22 • Nova Scotia Power/Emera for the Nova Scotia Utilities Board.
- 23 • ETG/AGL Holdings for the New Jersey Board of Public Utilities.

- 1 • NUI Utilities/NUI for the New Jersey Board of Public Utilities.
- 2 • Virginia Power/Dominion Resources for the Virginia Corporation Commission.
- 3 • New Jersey Natural/NJR for the New Jersey Board of Public Utilities.
- 4 • South Jersey Gas/SJI for the New Jersey Board of Public Utilities.
- 5 • Hawaiian Electric Company/HEI for the Hawaii Department of Commerce and
- 6 Consumer Affairs.
- 7 • Major Northeastern Utility holding company — consulting on structure and
- 8 affiliate relationships.

9 **Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?**

10 **A.** The purpose is to present the results of my evaluation of the proposed acquisition of
11 FairPoint Communications, Inc. and its New Hampshire Operating Subsidiaries by
12 Consolidated Communications Holdings, Inc. My testimony will generally refer to these
13 applicants by the terms “FairPoint” and “Consolidated.” It will also generally refer to the
14 proposed acquisition as the “Acquisition.” That evaluation applied the standards that we
15 understand the Commission will apply in considering the Acquisition. I address the
16 financial aspects of Consolidated’s capability under the standards described in Section II
17 (The Standards Applicable in Reviewing the Acquisition) of the direct testimony of Mr.
18 Antonuk and Dr. King filed in this proceeding.

19 **II. OVERALL CONCLUSIONS**

20 **Q. Please describe how you viewed Consolidated’s post-acquisition financial capability**
21 **in the context of providing basic service and relationships with other**
22 **telecommunications carriers in New Hampshire.**

1 A. Given Consolidated's lack of financial separation between facilities and operations for
2 those two business areas and the others in which Consolidated engages, maintaining the
3 capability to provide basic service and to effectively conduct relationships with other
4 telecommunications carriers requires the maintenance of overall financial health.
5 Financial distress or failure in other areas cannot be isolated. It was therefore appropriate
6 to conduct a holistic examination of post-Acquisition finances and financial risks to
7 address financial capability under the standards described in the testimony filed by Mr.
8 Antonuk and Dr. King.

9 **Q. What did you conclude overall from your evaluation under this standard?**

10 A. This testimony offers three principal conclusions:

- 11 • Consolidated has the financial capability to meet the standards required by New
12 Hampshire statutes.
- 13 • There is a material risk that FairPoint will have difficulty in efficiently
14 undertaking refinancings required over the next several years.
- 15 • The pledge of assets that would accompany Consolidated's Acquisition presents
16 an inappropriate potential risk, the remedy for which is addressed in the testimony
17 filed by Mr. Antonuk and Dr. King.

18 **III. FAIRPOINT FINANCIAL RESULTS**

19 **Q. Please provide a brief overview of FairPoint's financial results since its emergence
20 from bankruptcy in 2011.**

21 A. FairPoint experienced poor financial results following its emergence from bankruptcy in
22 January 2011 through the end of 2014. In 2011, FairPoint immediately experienced a
23 substantial impairment of intangible assets and goodwill and net income losses. In the

1 following three years, 2012, 2013, and 2014, FairPoint recorded substantial net income
2 losses. By December 31, 2014, FairPoint had accumulated a total stockholder's equity
3 deficit of about \$600 million, due primarily to recurring operating losses in its continuing
4 operations.¹

5 FairPoint's net income results improved significantly in 2015 and 2016, with
6 reported net income of \$90 million and \$104 million in those two years, respectively.
7 FairPoint's current collective bargaining agreements with its unions expire in 2018.

8 **Q. Are FairPoint's net income losses and negative shareholder equity the most**
9 **important measures of its financial health?**

10 **A.** No. In the wireline industry sector where both FairPoint and Consolidated operate, book
11 net income and balance sheet equity levels have limited value in determining financial
12 health and viability. Declining revenue streams from voice, access, and convertible
13 sources, only partially offset by growth in broadband revenues, cause net income
14 measures that tend to indicate minimal or negative book net income for operating
15 companies in this consolidating business sector. However, cash flows for operating
16 companies tend to be strong and relatively steady, allowing heavily debt-leveraged
17 financial structures.

18 The dynamics of leveraged financing structures make meeting debt financing
19 covenants and related restrictions crucial in the face of revenue declines. Like
20 Consolidated, several wireline companies have become consolidators of wireline
21 operating companies, merging with other operating companies to provide a source of
22 growth, as "organic" growth in revenue has tended to be flat or negative.

¹ FairPoint Response to Data Request Staff 1-4; FairPoint SEC Form 10-K for 2015, page 34.

1 “Earnings Before Interest, Taxes and Depreciation and Amortization”
2 (“EBITDA”) margins measure operating profitability and cash flow strength. These
3 metrics have importance in measuring cash flow available to service capital expenditures
4 and heavy debt obligations. The most important financial ratios in the wireline sector
5 concern “Net Leverage” coverages, which measure the total company debt divided by the
6 EBITDA generated to support capital expenditures and debt service.

7 **Q. Please describe FairPoint’s losses of revenue in recent years, especially in the voice,
8 access, and convertible categories.**

9 A. FairPoint has suffered severe declines in residential voice lines in each of the years 2014,
10 2015, and 2016 (11.4 percent, 12.2 percent, and 10.7 percent, respectively). Driven by
11 high voice line losses, FairPoint voice service revenues declined by 8 percent, 11 percent,
12 and 8 percent, respectively, over those three years.²

13 FairPoint access revenues have also declined significantly. Access revenue has
14 decreased year-over-year by 7 percent, 4 percent, and 7 percent from 2014 through 2016.³
15 FairPoint attempts to offset the declines in its legacy services of voice, access, and
16 “convertible” (business voice, non-ethernet special access, and other convertible)
17 categories) through growth in broadband, ethernet and hosted and advanced services.
18 However, those growth services have not provided enough revenue growth to offset
19 declines in the legacy services.

20 **Q. How extensive are the losses in FairPoint total revenues over the past three years?**

² FairPoint SEC Form 10-Ks for 2015 on pages 38 and 39, and for 2016 on pages 39 and 40

³ FairPoint SEC Form 10-Ks for 2015 on page 40, and for 2016 on page 40.

1 A. According to its SEC Form 10-Ks, FairPoint has lost 4.0 percent, 4.7 percent, and 4.1
2 percent of its total revenues in 2014, 2015 and 2016, respectively.⁴ In February 2017,
3 Moody's Investors Service noted that FairPoint's primary challenges "are related to the
4 erosion of voice revenues at a faster pace than the modest growth in data and internet
5 services revenue and the intense competition from cable operators." Moody's also stated
6 that the "long-term trend remains in line with a mid-single digit percentage pace of
7 annual revenue declines."⁵

8 Standard and Poor's addressed declining revenues in a March 7, 2016 ratings
9 summary, stating that: "... we believe that growth in the company's data and internet
10 services segment will not be able to offset the decline in the company's legacy service
11 offerings, resulting in overall revenue decline in the low-single digit percent area."⁶ Both
12 rating agencies have recognized FairPoint's revenue performance as a major factor in
13 their "weak business risk" assessments.

14 **Q. Please describe FairPoint's operating profitability and cash flow strength over the**
15 **past several years, as specifically measured by EBITDA margins?**

16 A. FairPoint has experienced weak EBITDA margins in the past several years, ameliorated
17 by expense reductions beginning in February 2015, which served to improve margins.
18 Moody's reported FairPoint's annual EBITDA margins for the four-year period from
19 2012 through 2015 as 21.4 percent, 20.8 percent, 15.9 percent, and 27.0 percent,
20 respectively. Moody's observed that the wireline industry peer group has a range of
21 EBITDA margins in the 30 to 40 percent range, making FairPoint a performance a

⁴ FairPoint SEC Form 10-Ks for 2015 on page 34, and for 2016 on page 35.

⁵ FairPoint Response to Data Request Staff 1-1, Moody's February 3, 2017 report on FairPoint.

⁶ FairPoint Response to Data Request Staff 1-2.6, S&P ratings summary on FairPoint dated March 7, 2016.

1 laggard under this key cash flow metric. However, Moody's saw FairPoint as
2 significantly improving its EBITDA margins in 2016 and beyond to the 30 to 32 percent
3 range, which represent significant improvement.⁷ Standard and Poor's, however, has not
4 expressed similar optimism about FairPoint's EBITDA margins, noting "[w]eak
5 profitability relative to other incumbent telephone operators with adjusted EBITDA
6 margins in the mid-20's area."⁸

7 FairPoint's EBITDA margins have been much lower than those of Consolidated.
8 FairPoint's historical EBITDA margins **BEGIN CONFIDENTIAL** [REDACTED]
9 [REDACTED] **END CONFIDENTIAL**, as noted by
10 both credit rating agencies.⁹

11 **IV. KEY MEASURES OF FINANCIAL HEALTH**

12 **Q. Please explain the financial metric "net leverage" and its relevance in measuring**
13 **financial health and viability in the wireline industry.**

14 **A.** The financial dynamics of the wireline business cause many operating companies to
15 structure heavily-leveraged financing platforms. Despite flat or declining total revenues,
16 cash flow tends to be strong and steady, allowing for internal funding of capital
17 expenditures. Heavy debt leveraging can offer a less expensive form of long-term
18 financing on an after-tax basis. For heavily leveraged companies, meeting debt financing
19 covenants and related restrictions becomes crucial, requiring companies to manage to
20 meet these covenants with room to spare.

⁷ FairPoint Response to Data Request Staff 1-1, Moody's Credit Opinion on FairPoint dated February 3, 2017, page 2.

⁸ FairPoint Response to Data Request Staff 1-2.6, S&P Credit Summary dated March 7, 2016, page 2.

⁹ Consolidated Response to Data Request Staff 1-9, page 27.

1 “Net Leverage” becomes an important financial measure in the debt financing of
2 many wireline companies. Net leverage divides the net debt of a company by its annual
3 EBITDA - - measuring the amount of debt financing divided by the operating cash flow
4 produced to pay for capital expenditures, support dividends, and service debt. The higher
5 the “net leverage”, the greater the financial risk present for servicing debt. Financing
6 covenants for wireline companies typically include a maximum net leverage for the
7 trailing 12 months of financial results, with potential defaults on the debt if the maximum
8 levels are exceeded.

9 Minimum interest coverage, or 12-month EBITDA/interest expense, comprises a
10 second prominent financial measure in wireline industry financing. Debt financing for
11 Consolidated and for FairPoint includes maximum leverage and minimum interest
12 coverage covenants. Moody’s and Standard and Poor’s credit rating opinions focus
13 heavily on net leverage financial metrics and on their individual components for wireline
14 companies.

15 **V. FAIRPOINT’S DEBT**

16 **Q. Please describe FairPoint’s debt financing.**

17 **A.** FairPoint has operated under two primary pieces of debt financing since 2013. In
18 February 2013, FairPoint entered into a Credit Agreement that included a \$640 million
19 term loan maturing in 2019 and a \$75 million revolving credit facility maturing in 2018.
20 At the same date, FairPoint also issued \$300 million of 8.75 percent senior secured notes,
21 also due in February 2019. As of December 31, 2016, FairPoint had total outstanding

1 debt of about \$916 million; the Term Loan and the Senior Notes (over \$900 million)
2 mature in February 2019, and the revolving credit matures in February 2018.¹⁰

3 FairPoint pledges its common stock as security for its debt obligations, but has not
4 provided pledges of its assets as collateral or loan guarantees as security.¹¹

5 FairPoint is subject to variable interest rates under the Credit Agreement for the
6 term loan and revolving credit portions, both utilizing the Intercontinental Exchange
7 London Interbank Offered Rate (“LIBOR”) as a base rate, with a minimum contracted
8 floor rate of 1.25 percent for the term loan. Term loan interest is paid at the base rate plus
9 a 6.25 percent financing margin, resulting in effective rates of 7.5 percent or more. In
10 2016, FairPoint was paying a weighted average rate of about 7.9 percent for its senior
11 note, term loan, and revolving credit borrowings. The applicable rate financing margin
12 for the revolving credit facility is 5.50 percent.¹² These rates are extremely high in
13 today’s financial markets. FairPoint’s bankruptcy and its financial performance since
14 emergence from bankruptcy cause debt capital markets to view FairPoint relatively
15 unfavorably.

16 **Q. What critical financial covenants does FairPoint’s Credit Agreement include?**

17 **A.** The yearly financial covenant thresholds on net leverage for FairPoint since 2014 have
18 been 5.50X, 5.25X and 5.00X. The threshold drops to 4.75X in 2017, and continues
19 through Credit Agreement maturity in February 2019. FairPoint had to maintain a
20 minimum 2.00X interest coverage through 2015, increasing to 2.25X for 2016 through
21 maturity in February 2019.

¹⁰ FairPoint 2016 SEC Form 10-K, pages 51 and 75.

¹¹ Consolidated Response to Data Request Staff 1-17 Supplement.

¹² FairPoint 2016 SEC Form 10-K, page 51.

1 **Q. Compare FairPoint’s historical and projected net leverage and interest coverage**
 2 **metrics to those covenant thresholds.**

3 A. The table below shows FairPoint’s net leverage and interest coverage results historically
 4 and as projected through 2021. Almost all FairPoint debt matures in February 2019;
 5 projected information past this date assumes the ability to refinance debt on similar terms.
 6 That assumption is not a certainty, but represents a primary risk for FairPoint as a stand-
 7 alone entity on a going forward basis. **BEGIN CONFIDENTIAL**

FairPoint Financial and Covenant Highlights¹³

	2014A	2015A	2016F	2017F	2018F	2019F	2020F	2021F
EBITDA Margin	█%	█%	█%	█%	█%	█%	█%	█%
Position Reductions	█	█	()	()	()	()	()	()
Net Leverage Covenant	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█
FairPoint Ratio	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█
Interest Coverage Covenant	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█
FairPoint Ratio	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█

9 **END CONFIDENTIAL**

10 **Q. What is your view of FairPoint’s projected stand-alone financial results and**
 11 **financial covenant coverages?**

12 A. FairPoint’s past EBITDA margin performance makes projected increases to **BEGIN**
 13 **CONFIDENTIAL** █ **END CONFIDENTIAL** percent in 2020 and 2021
 14 optimistic. The table above shows **BEGIN CONFIDENTIAL** █
 15 █ **END CONFIDENTIAL** from 2016 through 2021 - - a primary reason
 16 for FairPoint’s forecasts generating improvements in EBITDA margins. FairPoint
 17 estimated a **BEGIN CONFIDENTIAL** █ **END CONFIDENTIAL** reduction

¹³ Consolidated Response to Data Request Staff 3-9.2.

1 in annual employee and non-employee expenses during this period. The projections of
2 post-acquisition synergies by Consolidated are additive to these stand-alone projections
3 made by FairPoint.

4 **Q. How have FairPoint's leverage levels changed after its bankruptcy?**

5 A. FairPoint's Debt/EBITDA(leverage) ratio, as calculated by Moody's, decreased from
6 13.6X in 2010 to 5.9X in 2011.¹⁴ Moody's "leverage" calculations are drastically
7 different than FairPoint's covenant net leverage, which is the relevant leverage coverage
8 metric for the company. FairPoint's covenant net leverage is lower than that of
9 Consolidated, and is at about average levels compared to its other peers in the wireline
10 business.

11 **Q. How has FairPoint protected itself from variable interest rate risk?**

12 A. FairPoint entered two interest rate swap agreements totaling \$170 million, effective
13 September 30, 2015. The interest rate swap agreements mature on September 30, 2017.
14 The swap agreements require FairPoint to pay a fixed rate of 2.665 percent in exchange
15 for the variable interest rates due under the Credit Agreement.¹⁵ At the end of 2016,
16 FairPoint was exposed to variable interest rates in the amount of about \$446 million
17 under the Credit Agreement, and \$616 million after September 30, 2017.¹⁶

18 **VI. FAIRPOINT'S FINANCIAL RISK**

19 **Q. How do the rating agencies view FairPoint's financial risk?**

¹⁴ FairPoint Response to Data Request Staff 1-2.2.

¹⁵ Id.

¹⁶ FairPoint 2016 SEC Form 10-K, page 75.

1 A. Moody's and Standard and Poor's have maintained equivalent and level credit ratings of
2 B2 and B, respectively, for FairPoint since 2012. Moody's has summarized its ratings
3 rationale on FairPoint as follows:

4 *FairPoint's B2 corporate family rating reflects its moderate leverage,*
5 *improved cost structure offset by its weak revenue trajectory. The primary*
6 *challenges facing FairPoint are related to the erosion of voice revenues at a*
7 *faster pace than the modest growth in data and internet services revenue and*
8 *the intense competition from cable operators. Despite top line pressure, credit*
9 *metrics have stabilized due to cost structure improvements following the*
10 *company's resolution of labor negotiations last year. The meaningful*
11 *improvement in cost structure has resulted in near term stability despite the*
12 *company's fundamental competitive challenges. The ratings are also*
13 *supported by the company's strong footprint and asset base in its core*
14 *markets, its large base of recurring revenues and consistent positive free cash*
15 *flows.¹⁷*

16 Moody's sees FairPoint as significantly improving its EBITDA margins in 2017
17 and 2018 to 32 percent. Moody's calculates EBITDA margin significantly differently
18 from FairPoint, making direct comparisons difficult.

19 Standard and Poor's last provided a full ratings summary on FairPoint in March
20 2016. S&P noted "weak profitability relative to other incumbent telephone operators with
21 the adjusted EBITDA margins in the mid- 20 percent area." It gave FairPoint a "weak"
22 (second lowest of 6 levels) business risk profile, and a "highly leveraged" (lowest of 6

¹⁷ FairPoint Response to Data Request Staff DR 1-1, Att. 1, Moody's credit opinion dated February 3, 2017.

1 levels) financial risk profile. S&P's views are less positive than Moody's about future
2 FairPoint EBITDA margins. Noting that FairPoint is subject to a stepped-down maximum
3 leverage covenant to 5.0 times in the third quarter of 2016, S&P expected a more than 20
4 percent covenant cushion going forward.¹⁸

5 Standard and Poor's also addressed a "downside scenario" important in evaluating
6 FairPoint's future risks:

7 *We could lower the rating if there is a decline in adjusted EBITDA margins to*
8 *the low 20 percent area and revenue declines in the mid- single digit*
9 *percentage area or more over multiple quarters, resulting in negative free*
10 *operating cash flow and leverage rising above six times with no prospects for*
11 *improvement. We could also lower the rating if liquidity is pressured and the*
12 *company is unable to demonstrate a viable plan to refinance its debt*
13 *maturities in 2018 and 2019.*

14 **Q. Please address FairPoint's prospects for efficiently refinancing its debt in February**
15 **2019.**

16 **A.** FairPoint's accounting financial results have improved in 2015 and 2016, but its debt
17 covenant coverages have not improved. FairPoint has experienced slight increases in net
18 leverage and slight decreases in interest coverages - - both negative trends. The very
19 specialized calculations of FairPoint's covenants cut against the cost improvements seen
20 by Moody's and Standard and Poor's, painting a less optimistic picture.

21 We agree that one should consider economically efficient FairPoint re-financing
22 in 2019 "uncertain" and heavily dependent upon FairPoint's financial performance over

¹⁸ FairPoint Response to Data Request Staff DR 1-2.6.

1 the next two years. FairPoint may be able to re-finance its debt on a stand-alone basis, but
2 appears unlikely to improve on its “deep junk category” interest rates, which currently
3 include very expensive term loan interest rates of 7.50 percent and senior notes interest
4 rates of 8.75 percent.

5 **VII. RECENT CONSOLIDATED PERFORMANCE MEASURES**

6 **Q. Compare Consolidated’s EBITDA margins over the past several years to its**
7 **industry peers.**

8 A. Consolidated’s comparatively strong recent EBITDA margins have exceeded **BEGIN**
9 **CONFIDENTIAL** █ percent yearly (█ percent, █ percent, █ percent and a █
10 percent for 2013 through 2015 and estimated for 2016). **END CONFIDENTIAL**
11 Consolidated also projects EBITDA margin improvements to **BEGIN**
12 **CONFIDENTIAL** █ percent in 2017, and █ percent for 2020 and
13 beyond.¹⁹ **END CONFIDENTIAL** Consolidated reports that the sale of EIS, a low-
14 margin equipment business, in December 2016 will increase future EBITDA margins.
15 Consolidated has recorded comparatively strong EBITDA margins in part due to
16 partnership payments from Verizon and to higher levels of USF subsidy payments.²⁰

17 **Q. Compare Consolidated voice revenue losses to those of FairPoint, which you**
18 **described previously.**

19 A. Consolidated’s total revenues have increased substantially since 2012. Consolidated’s
20 total revenues increased from about \$478 million in 2012 to about \$776 million in 2015,
21 primarily due to two acquisitions:

¹⁹ Consolidated Response to Data Request Staff 1-7 financial model, CNSL stand-alone tab.

²⁰ Consolidated Response to Data Request Staff DR 1-2.12.

- 1 • SureWest Communications in 2012;
- 2 • Enventis Corporation in 2014.

3 Consolidated has also sold at least three smaller businesses in recent years, further
4 complicating revenue comparisons.

5 Consolidated has experienced less dramatic voice revenue and line losses than
6 FairPoint has during the past two years. Consolidated's voice services revenue decreased
7 by 3 percent during 2016, and, excluding the addition of Enventis revenue from the 2014
8 acquisition, decreased by about 5.5 percent during 2015. FairPoint's voice revenue
9 decreased by 8 percent and 11 percent in those same two years. Consolidated's SEC
10 Form 10-K attributed the decline in voice service revenue to 10 percent and 9 percent
11 declines in access lines during the two years. FairPoint reported voice line yearly declines
12 of 10.7 percent and 12.7 percent in 2016 and 2015, respectively.²¹

13 **VIII. CONSOLIDATED'S FINANCING**

14 **Q. Please describe Consolidated's debt financing structure and debt instruments.**

15 **A.** Consolidated entered into a Restated Credit Agreement with its lenders on October 5,
16 2016, under which the company obtained term loans in the amount of \$900 million
17 (Initial Term Loan), with a maturity date of October 2023. The new financing was used
18 to pay off outstanding term loans in the amount of \$885 million. The new term loans
19 have an interest rate of 3.0 percent plus the LIBOR rate, which is subject to a 1.0 percent
20 floor. The Restated Credit Agreement also includes a revolving loan facility of \$110
21 million maturing in October 2021. Consolidated used its Restated Credit facility to
22 provide an additional \$935 million in term loans to acquire FairPoint - - a financing

²¹ Consolidated SEC Form 10-K for 2016.

1 amendment that was announced in December 2016, following announcement of the
2 FairPoint Acquisition.²²

3 The second major piece of Consolidated's current debt financing is \$500 million
4 of 6.50 percent coupon rate Senior Notes maturing on October 1, 2022. If the Senior
5 Notes are repaid in full on or prior to March 31, 2022, the Initial Term Loan will then be
6 subject to early maturity on March 31, 2022. Consolidated issued \$200 million of the
7 Senior Notes in 2014, and an additional \$300 million in June 2016.²³ The interest rates on
8 Consolidated's term loans and Senior Notes are 3.25 percent and 2.25 percent lower than
9 the comparable securities of FairPoint, offering an important consideration in the
10 economics of the proposed Acquisition.

11 **Q. Please describe the financial covenants of Consolidated's Restated Credit**
12 **Agreement and Senior Notes.**

13 A. The Restated Credit Agreement net leverage covenant calls for an event of default on the
14 term loans if the net leverage ratio at the end of any quarter is greater than 5.25 times.
15 The interest coverage covenant calls for a default if the interest coverage at the end of any
16 quarter is less than 2.25 times. In addition, if the net leverage ratio is greater than 5.10
17 times at the end of any quarter, Consolidated would be required to suspend dividends on
18 its common stock, subject to certain exceptions. During a dividend suspension,
19 Consolidated would be required to repay debt in an amount equal to 50 percent of any
20 increase in available cash, as defined in the loan documents.²⁴

²² FairPoint SEC 10-K for 2016, page 37.

²³ FairPoint SEC 10-K for 2016, page 49.

²⁴ Consolidated SEC Form 10-K for 2016.

1 The financial covenants for Consolidated’s Senior Notes are even more
 2 restrictive. If Consolidated’s net leverage ratio is greater than 4.75 times, the company
 3 may not pay dividends. The Senior Notes’ net leverage ratio is calculated differently than
 4 occurs under the Restated Credit Agreement, and credits Consolidated for synergies
 5 expected to be achieved through acquisitions.

6 **IX. CONSOLIDATED’S FINANCIAL RISKS**

7 **Q. How does Consolidated’s key net leverage ratio compare to that of industry peers?**

8 A. Consolidated as a stand-alone entity has **BEGIN CONFIDENTIAL** [REDACTED] **END**
 9 **CONFIDENTIAL** levels of net leverage, both on a historical basis and as projected in
 10 company forecasts. Consolidated has **BEGIN CONFIDENTIAL** [REDACTED] **END**
 11 **CONFIDENTIAL** than its wireline industry peers - - and **BEGIN CONFIDENTIAL**
 12 [REDACTED] **END CONFIDENTIAL** as well. The table below summarizes net
 13 leverage as calculated by the company and relevant to its Restated Credit Agreement
 14 financial covenants. The table includes FairPoint’s stand-alone projections as well.
 15 **BEGIN CONFIDENTIAL**

Net Leverage Ratios, 2016-2021²⁵

	2016E	2017E	2018E	2019E	2020E	2021E
CCI Net Debt/Adjusted EBITDA	[REDACTED] X					
FairPoint Net Debt/Adj. EBITDA	[REDACTED] X					

17 **END CONFIDENTIAL**

18 A presentation to the Consolidated Board of Directors about the FairPoint Acquisition
 19 compared 2016 leverage ratios for Consolidated and its wireline peer group.
 20 Consolidated’s net leverage for 2016 was shown as **BEGIN CONFIDENTIAL** [REDACTED] **END**
 21 **CONFIDENTIAL** times, and mean and median net leverage of a peer group including

²⁵ Consolidated Response to Data Request Staff 1-9, page 8 and Response to Data Request Staff 3-9.2.

1 CenturyLink, Frontier, Cincinnati Bell, Windstream, Lumos and FairPoint at **BEGIN**

2 **HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL** [REDACTED] **END HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL** times.²⁶

3 Consolidated strategic planning documents express a desire to **BEGIN HIGHLY**
4 **CONFIDENTIAL** [REDACTED]

5 [REDACTED].²⁷ **END HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL** The
6 FairPoint Acquisition would immediately improve Consolidated's net leverage to below
7 4 times.

8 **Q. Describe Consolidated's dividend policy and how the FairPoint acquisition would**
9 **affect dividend payout ratios.**

10 **A.** Consolidated's dividend policy calls for the payment of \$1.55 per common share
11 annually. The dividend provides for a healthy current return to shareholders of 6 to 7
12 percent, supporting Consolidated's common share price. The payment of about \$110
13 million in dividends annually significantly reduces the free cash flow of Consolidated - -
14 consuming resources otherwise available for capital expenditures, buying back shares, or
15 making voluntary prepayments to reduce debt levels.

16 Consolidated has projected that its dividend payout ratio would **BEGIN**
17 **CONFIDENTIAL** [REDACTED]

18 [REDACTED] **END CONFIDENTIAL** percent or below. The FairPoint
19 acquisition would reduce the 2020 dividend payout ratio to **BEGIN CONFIDENTIAL**

20 [REDACTED] **END CONFIDENTIAL** percent.²⁸ From a credit and financial risk standpoint,
21 Consolidated's dividends reduce financial flexibility, and limit the potential for

²⁶ Consolidated Response to Data Request Staff 1-9, page 27.

²⁷ Consolidated Response to Data Request Staff 1-66.2, page 32.

²⁸ Consolidated Response to Data Request Staff 1-9, page 11.

1 meaningful debt reduction. These circumstances have resulted in an “aggressive financial
2 risk assessment” from Standard and Poor’s.²⁹ Of the six levels of financial risk
3 assessment, Consolidated is slotted in the fifth level, while FairPoint is rated in the sixth,
4 or lowest, level.

5 **Q. How do the rating agencies view Consolidated’s business and financial risk,
6 especially as compared to FairPoint?**

7 A. Moody’s and Standard and Poor’s have issued equivalent stand-alone corporate credit
8 ratings of B1 and B+, respectively, for Consolidated. These ratings are one rating notch
9 higher than FairPoint’s B2/B ratings. Both rating agencies give Consolidated’s secured
10 debt a rating one notch higher (Ba3 and BB-, respectively) than the corporate rating. The
11 agencies consider Consolidated’s business and financial risk profiles to be stronger than
12 those of FairPoint. Standard and Poor’s “Fair” rating of Consolidated’s business risk
13 reflects above-average EBITDA margins relative to peer wireline companies, stable
14 distributions from wireless partnerships, and a fiber-based network that allows for
15 potential entry increases in video and data penetration rates. By comparison, FairPoint
16 has received a “Weak” business risk assessment from Standard and Poor’s.

17 Consolidated has also received a financial risk assessment somewhat more
18 favorable than that of FairPoint (the fifth-level, “Aggressive” assessment, indicating less
19 risk than FairPoint’s sixth, and lowest, “Highly Leveraged” profile). Standard and Poor’s
20 has also analyzed Consolidated’s “recovery expectations” for debt holders to be 70 to 90

²⁹ Consolidated Response to Data Request Staff 1-2.12, page 5.

1 percent versus only 50 to 70 percent for FairPoint, denoting significantly better financial
 2 risk levels for Consolidated.³⁰

3 **X. CONSOLIDATED/FAIRPOINT MERGED FINANCIAL PROJECTIONS**

4 **Q. What are the projected revenue, EBITDA, and cash flow results for a merged**
 5 **Consolidated and FairPoint?**

6 **A.** Management provided projections for the merged entity in a “pro forma model” to the
 7 Consolidated Board of Directors and to the credit rating agencies (summarized in the
 8 following table). The pro forma model shows a compound average growth rate (CAGR)
 9 of **BEGIN CONFIDENTIAL** ██████████ **END CONFIDENTIAL** percent for revenue from
 10 2016 through 2021. The model shows a corresponding growth in adjusted EBITDA of
 11 **BEGIN CONFIDENTIAL** ██████████ **END CONFIDENTIAL** percent annually on a CAGR
 12 basis. Unlevered free class cash flow was projected to grow by **BEGIN**
 13 **CONFIDENTIAL** ██████████ **END CONFIDENTIAL** percent annually. **BEGIN**
 14 **CONFIDENTIAL**

15 **Merged CCI/FRP ProForma (\$ in millions)³¹**

	2016E	2017E	2018E	2019E	2020E	2021E	CAGR
Revenue	\$██████████	\$██████████	\$██████████	\$██████████	\$██████████	\$██████████	██████████%
Adj. EBITDA	\$██████████	\$██████████	\$██████████	\$██████████	\$██████████	\$██████████	██████████%
CAPEX	\$██████████	\$██████████	\$██████████	\$██████████	\$██████████	\$██████████	██████████%
ULCFC	\$██████████	\$██████████	\$██████████	\$██████████	\$██████████	\$██████████	██████████%

16 **END CONFIDENTIAL**

17 Consolidated has included the same EBITDA margins for Consolidated and FairPoint as
 18 developed in their stand-alone forecasts. Consolidated projects adjusted EBITDA of over
 19 **BEGIN CONFIDENTIAL** ██████████ **END CONFIDENTIAL** percent from 2017 through

³⁰ Consolidated Response to Data Request Staff 1-2.12 and FairPoint Response to Data Request Staff 1-2.6.

³¹ Consolidated Response to Data Request Staff 1-9, page 14.

1 2024, while FairPoint’s **BEGIN CONFIDENTIAL** [REDACTED] **END**
 2 **CONFIDENTIAL** from 2020 through 2024.³² The adjusted EBITDA forecasts include
 3 estimated synergies for FairPoint due to the merger, with the projected Consolidated
 4 synergies additive to the assumed changes in numbers of positions projected by FairPoint
 5 management on a stand-alone basis.

6 **Q. What are the expected acquisition impacts on Consolidated’s cash flow per share,**
 7 **net leverage and dividend payout ratios?**

8 **A.** As the next table shows, the Acquisition provides substantial improvements to
 9 Consolidated’s cash flow, net leverage, and dividend payout ratio. Projections show the
 10 Acquisition reducing net leverage in 2017 from **BEGIN CONFIDENTIAL** [REDACTED]
 11 [REDACTED] **END CONFIDENTIAL**. The net
 12 leverage improvements are important to Consolidated’s financial flexibility and improved
 13 ability to withstand stress factors after the transaction. Consolidated has a long-term
 14 strategic target for net leverage in the range of 3.5 times, and the Acquisition provides
 15 significant progress toward this target. **BEGIN CONFIDENTIAL**

CCI/FRP Pro Forma Financial Changes³³

Year	FCF Share		Net Leverage		Payout Ratio	
	CCI	Pro Forma	CCI	Pro Forma	CCI	Pro Forma
2018	\$ [REDACTED]	\$ [REDACTED]	X	X	[REDACTED] %	[REDACTED] %
2019	\$ [REDACTED]	\$ [REDACTED]	X	X	[REDACTED] %	[REDACTED] %
2020	\$ [REDACTED]	\$ [REDACTED]	X	X	[REDACTED] %	[REDACTED] %

17 **END CONFIDENTIAL**

18 The FairPoint acquisition is also projected to be strongly accretive to Consolidated’s cash
 19 flow per share, with improvement from **BEGIN CONFIDENTIAL** \$ [REDACTED] to \$ [REDACTED] **END**

³² Consolidated Response to Data Request Staff 1-7, CCI + FRP Merger Output tab.
³³ Consolidated Response to Data Request Staff 1-9, pages 11 and 18.

1 CONFIDENTIAL per share in 2017. Dividends per share also would fall from about
2 BEGIN CONFIDENTIAL [REDACTED] percent to [REDACTED] END CONFIDENTIAL percent per share
3 in 2017, as projected cash flow growth is BEGIN CONFIDENTIAL [REDACTED]
4 [REDACTED] END CONFIDENTIAL.

5 Consolidated also projects meeting its maximum payout ratio target of 65 to 70 percent
6 post-Acquisition, for similar reasons.

7 **Q. How does Consolidated plan to finance the Acquisition?**

8 A. Consolidated announced in December 2016 that the FairPoint acquisition would be
9 financed with an exchange of common stock and a \$935 million term loan. The stock
10 exchange will result in ownership ratios of about 72 percent for Consolidated
11 shareholders and about 28 percent for FairPoint shareholders.

12 The acquisition debt comes as an expansion of the Consolidated Restated Credit
13 Agreement that was recently closed in October 2016, shortly before the announcement of
14 the FairPoint acquisition. Consolidated's "Senior Secured Credit Facility" that provided
15 \$900 million in "Initial Term Loans" was expanded to provide an "Incremental Term
16 Loan B-2" in the amount of \$935 million.³⁴ Incremental Term Loan B-2 has a maturity
17 of seven years, and the same terms and financial covenants as the existing Senior Secured
18 Credit Facility, with the same lenders, financial covenants, interest rate margin, LIBOR
19 floor rate, guarantors and collateral.³⁵ The \$935 million Term Loan B-2 will more than
20 double Consolidated's secured term loans from \$900 million to about \$1.83 billion as of

³⁴ Consolidated prefiled direct testimony of Steven Childers, page 14, lines 17-22.

³⁵ Consolidated Response to Data Request Staff 1-3, page 6.

1 the closing date. Consolidated will also retain its Senior Notes of \$500 million, resulting
2 in total Pro Forma debt of about \$2.3 billion.³⁶

3 **Q. What is included in Consolidated's projections of \$55 million per year in**
4 **"synergies" through the implementation of the FairPoint Acquisition?**

5 **A. These synergies comprise an important portion of the "economic headlines" driving the**
6 **acquisition. Consolidated has estimated synergies of \$55 million annually, with estimated**
7 **costs to achieve the synergies of BEGIN CONFIDENTIAL \$ [REDACTED] END**
8 **CONFIDENTIAL in 2017 and 2018. Consolidated included estimated synergies of**
9 **BEGIN CONFIDENTIAL \$ [REDACTED] END CONFIDENTIAL annually from**
10 **reductions in management positions. Reductions in BEGIN CONFIDENTIAL [REDACTED]**
11 **[REDACTED] END CONFIDENTIAL in**
12 **synergy operating expense reductions. Management estimated savings from BEGIN**
13 **CONFIDENTIAL [REDACTED]**
14 **[REDACTED] END CONFIDENTIAL.**
15 **These three categories add to about BEGIN CONFIDENTIAL \$ [REDACTED] END**
16 **CONFIDENTIAL, but Consolidated has publicly announced \$55 million as a target for**
17 **measuring Acquisition implementation performance.³⁷**

18 Wireline industry sector acquisitions generally are evaluated on the generation of
19 synergies produced. Consolidated has based synergy estimates on its previous experience
20 with its own acquisitions of North Pittsburgh, SureWest, and Enventis. Those three
21 acquisitions generated synergies as a percentage of cash operating expenses of BEGIN

³⁶ Consolidated Response to Data Request Staff 3-5.

³⁷ Consolidated Response to Data Request Staff 1-9, page 12.

1 CONFIDENTIAL [REDACTED] percent, [REDACTED] percent and [REDACTED] percent, respectively, with a median of
2 [REDACTED] percent END CONFIDENTIAL. Twelve other wireline industry consolidations
3 were also reviewed since 2005 by Consolidated. Those other consolidations had median
4 reported synergies of BEGIN CONFIDENTIAL [REDACTED] END CONFIDENTIAL percent
5 of cash operating expenses. Consolidated determined that the targeted level of synergies
6 would be about BEGIN CONFIDENTIAL [REDACTED] END CONFIDENTIAL percent of cash
7 operating expenses, noting that FairPoint is BEGIN CONFIDENTIAL [REDACTED]
8 [REDACTED] .³⁸
9 END CONFIDENTIAL The synergies are also considered a portion of BEGIN
10 CONFIDENTIAL [REDACTED]
11 [REDACTED] END
12 CONFIDENTIAL.

13 Q. How have the credit rating agencies viewed the Acquisition?

14 A. Moody's and Standard & Poor's have each maintained Consolidated's credit ratings, and
15 assigned the same ratings to both the Consolidated \$900 million refinancing and the \$935
16 million Term Loan B. Moody's and Standard & Poor's have assigned ratings of B1/B+ to
17 the Consolidated corporate family and Ba3/BB- ratings to the secured term loans.

18 Moody's has stated that the FairPoint acquisition is positive for Consolidated's
19 financial standing, because it will result in a decrease in leverage, increased scale and the
20 potential for growth through greater investment in the legacy FairPoint properties.

21 Moody's also notes that synergy cost savings and the usage of FairPoint's net operating

³⁸ Consolidated Response to Data Request Staff 1-9, page 13.

1 losses (“NOLs”) will be mostly offset by higher dividends, removing much of the cash
2 benefit.³⁹

3 Standard and Poor’s has stated that Consolidated’s estimates of net leverage and
4 adjusted EBITDA, including the cost synergies, are achievable, and would “modestly
5 lower” net leverage by 0.3 times by its own calculations.⁴⁰

6 **XI. CHANGES IN EMPLOYEE NUMBERS**

7 **Q. Please compare FairPoint’s stand-alone and Consolidated’s Pro Forma forecasts of**
8 **changes in numbers of positions.**

9 A. FairPoint’s financial forecasts included a **BEGIN CONFIDENTIAL** [REDACTED]
10 [REDACTED]
11 [REDACTED]
12 [REDACTED]
13 [REDACTED]
14 [REDACTED]
15 [REDACTED]
16 [REDACTED] ⁴¹ **END CONFIDENTIAL**

17 **Q. What economic values do synergies and FairPoint’s NOLs have in Consolidated’s**
18 **analysis?**

19 A. Consolidated estimated the present value of net synergies at about **BEGIN**
20 **CONFIDENTIAL \$** [REDACTED] **END CONFIDENTIAL** after-tax, for the first five
21 years, and an additional **BEGIN CONFIDENTIAL \$** [REDACTED] **END**

³⁹ Consolidated Response to Data Request Staff 1-1.1.
⁴⁰ Consolidated Response to Data Request Staff 1-1.2.
⁴¹ Consolidated confidential representation at Technical Session on April 10, 2017.

1 CONFIDENTIAL for 2022 and beyond. Consolidated estimated the present value of the
2 NOLs at about BEGIN CONFIDENTIAL S [REDACTED]
3 [REDACTED] END CONFIDENTIAL.⁴²

4 XII. STRESS TESTS OF CONSOLIDATED/FAIRPOINT

5 Q. Please discuss the primary risks to financial stability for a merged
6 Consolidated/FairPoint.

7 A. Liberty identified four variables as important potential threats to Consolidated's post-
8 Acquisition financial capabilities. Steep declines in total revenues for the merged entity
9 comprise the first, given recently experienced declines in revenues for legacy services,
10 such as voice and access, which may be partially offset by growth in broadband and data
11 revenue. FairPoint has experienced declines in its total revenues of more than 4 percent in
12 each of the last three years, and even steeper declines prior to that. Consolidated
13 estimates compound annual growth rates of BEGIN CONFIDENTIAL [REDACTED] END
14 CONFIDENTIAL percent for the merged entities in its forecasts through 2021.

15 EBITDA margins comprise a second key risk area. Forecasts have included
16 EBITDA margins for legacy Consolidated of more than BEGIN CONFIDENTIAL [REDACTED]
17 END CONFIDENTIAL percent, and for legacy FairPoint BEGIN CONFIDENTIAL
18 [REDACTED] END CONFIDENTIAL. Both forecasted EBITDA figures
19 project BEGIN CONFIDENTIAL [REDACTED]
20 [REDACTED] END CONFIDENTIAL. EBITDA margins include the components of revenue
21 and cash flow from operations, making such margins important cash profitability

⁴² Consolidated Response to Data Request Staff 1-9, page 30.

1 measures for the wireline industry segment. Shortfalls in forecasted EBITDA margins
2 pose an important risk for the merged entities on a going-forward basis.

3 Synergy levels present a third risk area for the merged entities. Consolidated has
4 set forth synergies “targets” of \$55 million per year, “headlined” to make their attainment
5 a primary factor in the success of the Acquisition, especially with the debt and equity
6 investor communities. **BEGIN HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL** [REDACTED]

7 [REDACTED]

8 [REDACTED]

9 [REDACTED] **END HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL.**⁴³

10 LIBOR rate variability presents a fourth source of financial risk. Consolidated has
11 negotiated two secured term loans, one of \$900 million and a second, Term Loan B, for
12 \$935 million. Both sources of debt financing have exposure to variable interest rate risk.
13 The interest rates on both term loans tie to LIBOR. This variable-rate index has been at
14 historically low levels in recent years. Consolidated recognizes in the Joint Petition and in
15 its direct testimony that variable interest rates could easily rise substantially above the
16 current, historically low levels. In fact, substantial increases in variable interest rates
17 appear probable in the future, and create important risk for the merged companies.

18 Liberty recognizes that increases in other wireline business variables (such as
19 capital expenditure levels) pose risks to the merged entities; however, we consider the
20 four listed areas as posing the greatest potential risk to the financial capability of
21 Consolidated following closing of the Acquisition.

22 **Q. Please describe the stress tests that you asked Consolidated to perform.**

⁴³ Consolidated highly confidential representation at Technical Session on April 10, 2017.

1 A. Liberty requested that Consolidated perform stress tests on the four key variables
2 identified above, using the company's "Pro Forma" financial model as the base case.
3 Consolidated management has used that Pro Forma financial model to provide financial
4 forecasts presented to its Board of Directors, to the rating agencies, and to the investor
5 community.

6 Our requested stress tests one through four tested the sensitivity of Consolidated's
7 future financial viability to negative changes in the four financial factors (total revenues,
8 adjusted EBITDA, synergies realized, and interest rate variability). Consolidated has
9 advised that it considers the net leverage financial covenant for its Senior Notes to be the
10 most restrictive financial covenant that must be met in the future. Violating the Senior
11 Notes' net leverage covenant maximum of 4.75 times would cause suspension of
12 Consolidated's dividends, and result in a technical default on the Notes. Consolidated
13 may consider the suspension of its dividends to have a significantly adverse effect on its
14 stock price and its ability to effectively raise equity capital. A default on its Senior Notes
15 would also create a serious challenge to Consolidated's financial capability as a going
16 concern.

17 Liberty requested that Consolidated perform the stress tests in a manner that
18 would permit determination of the negative change in each variable that would cause the
19 most restrictive Consolidated financial covenant to be in violation.

20 **Q. Please describe the results of Stress Test 1.**

21 A. Stress Test 1 used Total Revenues as the variable. Consolidated lowered the total
22 revenues in each forecasted year through 2024 until reaching net leverage of 4.75 times

1 under the Senior Notes covenant.⁴⁴ The stress test indicated that Consolidated has ample
2 “headroom” to bear revenue decreases. It could lose **BEGIN CONFIDENTIAL** █
3 █ **END CONFIDENTIAL** percent of its forecasted
4 revenue in each year, without approaching violation of its most restrictive financial
5 covenant.

6 **Q. Please describe the results of Stress Test 2.**

7 A. Stress Test 2 used EBITDA Margins as the variable. Consolidated lowered EBITDA
8 margins for each legacy Consolidated and legacy FairPoint in each forecasted year
9 through 2024, until reaching net leverage of 4.75 times under the Senior Notes
10 covenant.⁴⁵ Stress Test 2 indicates that Consolidated has a fair amount of “headroom”
11 regarding EBITDA margin decreases. Consolidated could lose **BEGIN**
12 **CONFIDENTIAL** █ **END CONFIDENTIAL** percentage points in EBITDA
13 margins from its forecasts in each year without approaching violation of its most
14 restrictive financial covenant.

15 **Q. Please describe the results of Stress Test 3.**

16 A. Stress Test 3 used Synergies Realized as the variable. Consolidated lowered Acquisition
17 synergies in each forecasted year through 2024, until reaching net leverage of 4.75 times
18 under the Senior Notes covenant. Stress Test 3 indicates that Consolidated has a **BEGIN**
19 **CONFIDENTIAL** █ **END CONFIDENTIAL** for failure to
20 reach announced synergy amounts. Consolidated could have **BEGIN CONFIDENTIAL**
21 █ **END CONFIDENTIAL** in

⁴⁴ Consolidated Response to Data Request Staff 5-11, Confidential Attachment.

⁴⁵ Id.

1 each year of the forecast, without approaching violation of the Senior Notes financial
2 covenant. Stress Test 3 indicates that Consolidated's future financial capability is not
3 threatened by synergy realizations.

4 **Q. Please describe the results of Stress Test 4.**

5 A. Stress Test 4 used Interest Rates as the variable. Liberty requested that Consolidated
6 determine the increase in LIBOR interest rates (for each year of the Pro Forma forecasts)
7 that would cause a violation under the most restrictive financial covenant included in its
8 debt financings. Consolidated performed a stress test analysis on interest rates for 2017
9 only, using the interest coverage covenant. The reported results showed that an increase
10 of about **BEGIN CONFIDENTIAL** [REDACTED] **END CONFIDENTIAL** percentage points in
11 LIBOR would trip the interest rate coverage covenant. Consolidated's Pro Forma variable
12 rate debt levels remain **BEGIN CONFIDENTIAL** [REDACTED] **END**
13 **CONFIDENTIAL** throughout the forecasts. We would therefore anticipate similarly
14 **BEGIN CONFIDENTIAL** [REDACTED] **END CONFIDENTIAL** "break point" LIBOR interest
15 rates for 2018-2024, indicating lower sensitivity of financial capability to interest rates.⁴⁶

16 **Q. Please describe the results of Stress Test 5.**

17 A. Stress Test 5 did not add any variables, but sought a calculation that combined the
18 Synergies Realized and EBITDA margin factors. Stress Test 5 sought to test the
19 deterioration of these two factors simultaneously. Note that synergies are included in the
20 calculation of adjusted EBITDA for the financial covenants (and therefore in the
21 calculation of EBITDA margins), causing this stress test to be only a one-factor test on a
22 5 percent decline in EBITDA margins. This stress test does not trip the 4.75 times Senior

⁴⁶ Consolidated Response to Data Request Staff 5-11, Confidential Attachment.

1 Note covenant - - a result consistent with Stress Test 2 regarding EBITDA margin
2 declines.

3 **Q. Please describe the results of Stress Test 6.**

4 A. Stress Test 6 sought to examine a case where all four of the negative risk factors
5 combined, *i.e.*, total revenue declines, EBITDA margin decreases, synergies unrealized,
6 and assuming a 300 basis point increase in LIBOR interest rates. Stress Test 6 tests
7 negative changes in multiple risk factors simultaneously. Assuming five percent
8 reductions in revenues and EBITDA margin as well as large increases in LIBOR rates
9 tests Consolidated's financial results strenuously. The result of this stress test is that

10 **BEGIN CONFIDENTIAL** [REDACTED]
11 [REDACTED]
12 [REDACTED]
13 [REDACTED]

14 **END CONFIDENTIAL**

15 **XIII. CONCLUSIONS**

16 **Q. What overall conclusions are important to assessing the overall financial capability**
17 **of Consolidated post-Acquisition?**

18 A. Based on the data and analysis discussed above, Liberty has formed conclusions
19 regarding Consolidated's financial capabilities post-Acquisition. We have formed
20 conclusions regarding debt financing and financial market risks, and Consolidated's
21 addressing of these risks. We have also formed a conclusion regarding FairPoint's
22 refinancing risk, because its existing term loans mature in February 2019.

1 Revenue retention and EBITDA margins comprise important factors in
2 maintaining Consolidated's financial capability post-Acquisition. Liberty has also formed
3 conclusions regarding those factors. Based on the stress tests that Liberty requested, we
4 have also considered Consolidated's expected performance in weathering negative
5 changes in key risk factors.

6 **Q. What have you concluded regarding Consolidated's debt financing for the**
7 **acquisition?**

8 A. Consolidated has secured comparatively attractive financing for the FairPoint
9 Acquisition. It provides much more cost-effective debt financing and financing stability
10 until 2023. The \$935 million Term Loan B provides reasonable terms and relatively low
11 interest rates, resulting in savings of \$35 million per year as compared to FairPoint's
12 existing financing. The term loan is priced with a 300 basis point financing margin and a
13 LIBOR floor rate of 1.00 percent, resulting in a current interest rate of 4.0 percent. In
14 comparison, FairPoint's term loans currently charge interest at 7.5 percent, and its senior
15 notes at 8.75 percent.

16 Consolidated's Initial (\$900 million) and Incremental (\$935 million) term loan
17 debt financing arrangements remove re-financing risks in 2019 that face FairPoint if it
18 were to remain a stand-alone company. Consolidated's term loan financing has maturities
19 in October 2023, a full 4½ years later than the looming maturities of FairPoint's debt.

20 **Q. What have you concluded regarding FairPoint's refinancing risks?**

21 A. FairPoint faces maturities for both its term loan and its senior notes, totaling over \$900
22 million, in February 2019. FairPoint has difficulties with debt financing markets, due to
23 its bankruptcy and financial performance following emergence from the bankruptcy.

1 FairPoint's financial performance has improved in 2015 and 2016 due to lower operating
2 costs. If FairPoint were to post positive financial results in 2017 and 2018, the company
3 may well be able to re-finance its debt. However, FairPoint faces real re-financing risk at
4 this point, and would probably be saddled with financing terms and interest rates similar
5 to its "lower high-yield" debt financing currently in place.

6 As noted above, Consolidated's Term Loan B financing for the FairPoint
7 Acquisition takes 2019 re-financing risk off the table, and provides substantially lower
8 financing costs that strengthen legacy FairPoint's post-Acquisition financial health.

9 **Q. How are revenue retention and EBITDA margin results expected to change post-**
10 **Acquisition?**

11 **A.** Consolidated has exhibited strong performance regarding these two important financial
12 factors historically. Consolidated's performance in revenue retention and EBITDA
13 margins (indicating strong cost control) has been much better than that of FairPoint.
14 Consolidated is forecasting legacy FairPoint's total revenues to **BEGIN**
15 **CONFIDENTIAL** [REDACTED] **END CONFIDENTIAL** annually following
16 the Acquisition, as compared to annual losses of more than 4 percent during each of the
17 past three years. Consolidated has also projected improvements in FairPoint's **BEGIN**
18 **CONFIDENTIAL** [REDACTED] **END CONFIDENTIAL**, which
19 seems to be attainable if it achieves reasonable success in improving revenue retention
20 and cost control efforts, which include reduced positions and other synergies. **BEGIN**
21 **CONFIDENTIAL** [REDACTED]
22 [REDACTED] **END CONFIDENTIAL**

23 **Q. What do you conclude from the results of the stress tests performed?**

1 A. Consolidated's financial projections as pressured in the stress tests described in the
2 previous section have indicated that the company can withstand material declines in
3 revenues, synergies, and EBITDA margins, as well as increases in variable interest rates.
4 The individual-risk stress tests successfully completed to date indicated that Consolidated
5 would retain significant "headroom" above financial distress levels if each of these risks
6 were realized. The stress tests indicate that Consolidated would have **BEGIN**
7 **CONFIDENTIAL** ■ **END CONFIDENTIAL** percent or more headroom regarding
8 declines in total revenues and EBITDA margin. Synergies realized could be significantly
9 negative before causing financial distress for Consolidated, indicating lower levels of
10 sensitivity to synergy realization risk. Interest rates also would have to increase to
11 exorbitant levels for Consolidated to experience financial distress.

12 The combined-factor scenario (Stress Test 6) was the only stress test that would
13 cause Consolidated to experience financial distress post-Acquisition, mainly in the form
14 of default events that would cause suspension of the company's dividend payments. Only
15 by simultaneously imposing all four of the specified risks in a worst case scenario would
16 Consolidated trip its net leverage financial covenants. We would consider that case to be
17 extreme and unlikely to occur; it would not be surprising if it were to cause financial
18 distress in any of the wireline industry companies.

19 **Q. Do you have areas of concern where Consolidated is "weaker" regarding its**
20 **financial capability?**

21 A. Consolidated has a higher level of net leverage at about **BEGIN CONFIDENTIAL** ■
22 ■ **END CONFIDENTIAL**. On a stand-alone basis, Consolidated was
23 projecting **BEGIN CONFIDENTIAL** ■ **END**

1 **CONFIDENTIAL** for the foreseeable future, at least through the end of its forecasts in
2 2024. Consolidated was clearly not comfortable with **BEGIN CONFIDENTIAL** █
3 █
4 **END CONFIDENTIAL** in the long term.⁴⁷

5 The FairPoint Acquisition provides Consolidated with a unique opportunity to
6 **BEGIN CONFIDENTIAL** █ **END CONFIDENTIAL** its net leverage levels
7 without using cash flow to pay down debt. The acquisition of FairPoint, which has lower
8 levels of debt due to its bankruptcy, immediately reduces Consolidated net leverage to
9 **BEGIN CONFIDENTIAL** █ **END CONFIDENTIAL** times. According to
10 Consolidated's post-acquisition forecasts, the **BEGIN CONFIDENTIAL** █
11 █. **END CONFIDENTIAL**⁴⁸

12 The combination of the financial characteristics of Consolidated and FairPoint
13 results in **BEGIN CONFIDENTIAL** █ █ █ █ █ **END**
14 **CONFIDENTIAL** for Consolidated post-acquisition, greatly improving weakness in its
15 **BEGIN CONFIDENTIAL** █ **END CONFIDENTIAL**.⁴⁹

16 **Q. How are Consolidated's dividend payout levels and related financial flexibility**
17 **changed with the FairPoint acquisition?**

18 **A.** Consolidated's dividend levels and dividend payout ratios represent weaknesses on a
19 stand-alone basis. Without the FairPoint Acquisition, the Consolidated dividend payout
20 ratio was expected to near 80 percent, well above its strategic target of 65 to 70 percent.

⁴⁷ Consolidated Response to Data Request Staff 1-9.

⁴⁸ Id.

⁴⁹ Id.

1 That high dividend payout ratio caused lower financial flexibility and reduced the
2 capability to pay down debt with voluntary prepayments.

3 The FairPoint Acquisition also offers a unique opportunity for Consolidated to
4 improve its dividend payout ratio and financial flexibility. The Acquisition **BEGIN**

5 **CONFIDENTIAL** [REDACTED]

6 [REDACTED]

7 [REDACTED] **END CONFIDENTIAL.** The

8 acquisition reduces Consolidated's dividend payout ratio to **BEGIN CONFIDENTIAL**

9 [REDACTED] **END CONFIDENTIAL** during the 2018-2020 period, greatly

10 increasing financial flexibility and providing increased cash for financially beneficial

11 actions such as stock buy-backs or debt prepayments.⁵⁰

12 **Q. What is your view of Consolidated's debt financing for the Acquisition insofar as it**
13 **pledges FairPoint assets as collateral?**

14 **A.** The ability to "scavenge" the FairPoint network and other operating assets in the event of
15 severe financial distress is a large concern. One can fairly question whether financial
16 capability is in all respects sound if such an extreme measure is required to support it.

17 Liberty requested information and analysis on the pledge of assets included in
18 Consolidated's financial agreements. A portion of the \$35 million in financing cost
19 savings estimated by Consolidated is due to pledging assets as collateral, but the potential
20 future risks of such an asset pledge are not clear. Consolidated has stated that that "[t]he
21 risk, should there be a default event, is the same as a pledge of stock" (such as in
22 FairPoint's the existing debt financing). "Please see section 5.1 of the Collateral

⁵⁰ Id.

1 Agreement.”⁵¹ However, pledging the stock of a physically and organizationally intact
2 entity is not the same as potentially removing assets that may be central to providing
3 service, including service provided to basic service customers and competitive carriers at
4 the wholesale level.

5 The collateral agreement dated 2007 is applicable to all Consolidated secured debt
6 financing, including both the “Initial” and “Incremental” term loans. Interpreting the
7 Collateral Agreement takes legal experience beyond Liberty’s, and likely beyond the
8 capability of knowledgeable and experienced general practitioners of the law. It cannot
9 be said to be clear to anyone not an expert in the law applicable to commercial secured
10 financings and other secured transactions. For example, the Collateral Agreement
11 includes provisions⁵² stating that:

12 *If an event of default shall occur and be continuing, The Administrative Agent, on*
13 *behalf of the Secured Parties ...*

14 *... may forthwith sell, lease, assign, give options or options to purchase, or*
15 *otherwise dispose of and deliver the Collateral or any part thereof (or contract to*
16 *do any of the foregoing), in one or more parcels at public or private sale or sales,*
17 *at any exchange, brokers board or office of the Administrative Agent or Secured*
18 *Party ...*

19 The Collateral Agreement later states that such sales are “... subject to the prior approval
20 of or notice to and non-opposition of the FCC or any applicable PUC.” These provisions
21 do not clearly provide for prior approval by this Commission for any sales in whole or in

⁵¹ Consolidated Response to Data Request Staff 1-17 Supplement.

⁵² Consolidated Response to Data Request Staff 1-12.4, pages 17 and 18.

1 part of the FairPoint network or other operating assets as collateral that could severely
2 damage the integrity of FairPoint's communications network to the detriment of all of its
3 customers, including those taking basic service and those relying on the FairPoint
4 network to provide competitive carrier services. Mr. Antonuk's testimony proposes a
5 condition to address this significant concern.

6 **Q. Does that complete your testimony?**

7 **A. Yes.**