

<b>ORIGINAL</b>	
N.H.P.U.C. Case No.	<i>DT 07-027</i>
Exhibit No.	<i>58 Bailey</i>
Witness	<i>Reed &amp; Gault</i>
<b>DO NOT REMOVE FROM FILE</b>	

Kearsarge Telephone Company  
Merrimack County Telephone Company  
Docket No. DT 07-027  
Company Responses  
To OCA Phase II, Set 1 Data Requests  
PUBLIC  
May 22, 2009

**OCA 1.11:** On page 10 of his testimony, Mr. Reed states that "customers ...in most of the [Sutton] exchange ... not only have a choice of using wireline or wireless, they also have a choice among wireless carriers either through differences in technology or through wireless carrier roaming agreements." Please provide information about the costs associated with the "Roaming %" as listed in Table 2 of Exhibit E.

**RESPONSE:** We did not research Roaming or the myriad of plans available by the carriers. In most cases roaming is transparent to the wireless customer. Examples of wireless plans including roaming capabilities/charges were included in earlier discovery in this case, including Staff 1-38, 1-54 and segTEL 1-2. The Maine Public Advocate Office publishes the Rate Watchers Guide that, while a Maine publication provides a comparison chart that might be helpful. This can be accessed at [www.maine.gov/meopa/ratewatcher/index.htm](http://www.maine.gov/meopa/ratewatcher/index.htm).

Michael C. Reed is responsible for this response.