STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

DE 23-068

ELECTRIC AND GAS UTILITIES

2024 - 2026 Triennial Energy Efficiency Plan

Procedural Order Re: Request for Briefing

Pursuant to New Hampshire Code of Administrative Rules, Puc 203.32, the Commission determines that briefing would assist the Commission in its determination of the issues presented. The Commission requests that the joint utilities, the New Hampshire Department of Energy, and the Office off the Consumer Advocate file individual briefs in response to the following questions, and invites intervenors to file briefs as well. Consistent with Puc 203.32(e), intervenors are encouraged to file joint briefs, to the extent that they advocate the same result or arguments as other parties. Briefs shall be due on or before the close of business on September 22, 2023. Reply briefs are not requested, but the parties are afforded the opportunity to file reply briefs on or before the close of business on October 6, 2023.

Codification of Frameworks

In reviewing the joint utilities' responses to Commission-issued record requests and requests for specific answers filed on August 25, 2023, the Commission notes that the joint utilities discussed codified frameworks in the following contexts:

- The "Granite State Test and benefit-cost *framework* was then codified in law with the passage of HB 549," Response 1-007-1 (emphasis added).
- The discount rate is "consistent with the existing *framework* and in compliance with HB 549 and SB 113, which are the relevant and prescribed parameters for Plan approval." Response 1-007-1 (emphasis added).

• The baseline data is "the performance incentive *framework* codified in law by HB 549." Response 1-007-3 (emphasis added).

The Commission notes that RSA 374-F:3, VI-a(d) reads: "Notwithstanding any subsequent commission order to the contrary, the joint utility energy efficiency plan and programming *framework* and components, including utility performance incentive payments, lost base revenue calculations, and Evaluation, Measurement, and Verification process that were in effect on January 1, 2021, shall remain in effect *until changed by an order* or operation of law as authorized in subparagraphs (3) and (5)." (emphasis added).

The Commission requests that the parties address the following questions on frameworks and components that were developed under the authority of the Commission and subsequently codified:

- 1. Is the Commission legally precluded from changing in this proceeding any input, assumption, or variable of the Granite State Test¹ or other benefit-cost testing frameworks approved by Commission order? In responding, please separately address each of the following:
 - a. Inputs, assumptions, or variables explicitly addressed in RSA 374-F:3, IV-a
 (d)(1)–(5). E.g., savings impacts associated with free-ridership for those programs and measures where such free-ridership may have a material impact on savings figures, planned electric system savings, etc.
 - Inputs, assumptions, or variables not explicitly addressed in RSA 374-F:3,
 IV-a (d)(1)-(5) but addressed in the New Hampshire Cost Effectiveness
 Review.

 $^{^{1}}$ For the purposes of this question, and consistent with the Notice of Adjudicative Proceeding in this matter, the Commission intends to reference the *New Hampshire Cost-Effectiveness Review*, October 14, 2019 in reviewing the Granite State Test framework.

- i. Please specifically address the statements referencing impacts in the New Hampshire Cost Effectiveness Review such as "the Commission should recognize that evolution of policy guidance and consider including those impacts in the primary Granite State Test." New Hampshire Cost Effectiveness Review at 31; "... the Commission should periodically reassess whether the utilities' methods of accounting for impacts are appropriate." Id. at 36.
- c. Inputs, assumptions, or variables that the New Hampshire Cost Effectiveness Review states could be updated or monetized over time. E.g., Avoided Ancillary Services, Avoided Credit and Collection Costs, Reduced Risk, Increased Reliability, Market Transformation, or Income Eligible Participant Impacts. *Id.* at 51.
- 2. Is the Commission legally precluded from changing in this proceeding the role, composition, or function of any working group convened under the authority of a prior Commission order?
- 3. Is the Commission legally precluded from changing in this proceeding any input, assumption, or variable in the performance incentive framework previously established by Commission order?
- 4. Is the Commission legally precluded from changing in this proceeding any input, assumption, or variable in the lost base revenue framework previously established by Commission order?

Statutory Policy Priorities and Statements

In its preliminary position statement, the Nature Conservancy emphasized RSA 378:37's policy statement of "maximizing the use of cost-effective energy efficiency and other demand side resources." Transcript of July 27, 2023, Prehearing Conference at 39.

The Commission's Notice of Adjudicative Proceeding also identifies RSA 378:37. The Commission requests that the parties address the following questions:

- 5. Are the policy priorities and statements in RSA 374-F:3 and 378:37 complementary or conflicting?
- 6. What is the difference between "optimized" and "maximize" as those words are used in relation to energy efficiency in the policy priorities and statements in RSA 374-F:3 and 378:37?
- 7. Does the section title "Least Cost Energy Planning" have any interpretive value with respect to the policy statement in RSA 378:37?
- 8. Does *Appeal of Algonquin Gas Transmission*, 170 N.H. 763, 774 (2018) establish any principles or rules applicable to the Commission's review under RSA ch. 374-F in this proceeding?

So ordered, this seventh day of September, 2023.

Daniel C. Goldner Chairman Pradip K. Chattopadhyay
Commissioner

Carleton B. Simpson Commissioner

Service List - Docket Related

Docket#: 23-068

Printed: 9/7/2023

Email Addresses

ClerksOffice@puc.nh.gov Faisal.DeenArif@energy.nh.gov Scott.T.Balise@energy.nh.gov keith.black@cpowerenergymanagement.com rburke@nhla.org john.butler@eversource.com campbellm@unitil.com carroll@unitil.com nancy.chafetz@cpowerenergymanagement.com jessica.chiavara@eversource.com clemsenrobertsa@nhec.com rclouthier@snhs.org Michael.J.Crouse@oca.nh.gov Tyler.Culbertson@libertyutilities.com Energy-Litigation@energy.nh.gov paul.b.dexter@energy.nh.gov downesm@unitil.com kimberly.dragoo@libertyutilities.com kdrought@nhla.org jay.e.dudley@energy.nh.gov Stephen.R.Eckberg@energy.nh.gov steven.elliott@eversource.com maromilee.emerick@eversource.com sam@cleanenergynh.org thomas.c.frantz@energy.nh.gov sandra.gagnon@eversource.com sgeiger@orr-reno.com glenshawp@nhec.com loreley@cleanenergynh.org dgoldberg@synapse-energy.com gonzalezs@nhec.com meredith.hatfield@tnc.org jenningsm@nhec.com maureen.karpf@libertyutilities.com James.King@libertyutilities.com nkrakoff@clf.org

donald.m.kreis@oca.nh.gov

marc.lemenager@eversource.com heidi.w.lemay@energy.nh.gov Molly.M.Lynch@energy.nh.gov mainc@unitil.com emalone@synapse-energy.com nelson.medeiros@eversource.com frank.melanson@eversource.com peter.miezejeski@eversource.com morans@unitil.com munozc@nhec.com nelsonj@nhec.com nhregulatory@eversource.com elizabeth.r.nixon@energy.nh.gov amanda.o.noonan@energy.nh.gov ocalitigation@oca.nh.gov katherine.peters@eversource.com tina.poirier@libertyutilities.com kschultz@synapse-energy.com michael.sheehan@libertyutilities.com karen.sinville@libertyutilities.com chris@cleanenergynh.org dsosland@acadiacenter.org eric.stanley@libertyutilities.com taylork@nhec.com mark.p.toscano@energy.nh.gov mark.toussaint@eversource.com stower@nhla.org jacqueline.m.trottier@energy.nh.gov jvanrossum@clf.org kiersten.williams@eversource.com woodsca@nhec.com twoolf@synapse-energy.com Adam.Yusuf@Libertyutilities.com