

**Sent:** Tuesday, December 17, 2024 10:49 AM

**To:** info@unitil.com

**Cc:** PUC: Clerks Office <clerksoffice@puc.nh.gov>; Chicoine, Jared

<jared.s.chicoine@energy.nh.gov>; EllmsJr, Christopher J

<Christopher.j.ellmsjr@energy.nh.gov>; Kreis, Donald <Donald.M.Kreis@oca.nh.gov>

**Subject:** Opposition to Shifting of Unitil Supply Costs onto Non-Unitil Supply Customers (PUC Docket No. DE 24-065)

**EXTERNAL:** Do not open attachments or click on links unless you recognize and trust the sender.

---

To Unitil Chairman and CEO Thomas Meissner:

I am writing to express my opposition to shifting costs from customers on Unitil energy service onto customers not purchasing energy service from Unitil. Please express this concern in your response to the Public Utilities Commission on December 20th.

It is my understanding that the Public Utility Commission has requested that Unitil shift costs associated with Unitil energy supply onto customers who were and are not taking Unitil energy supply. I do not support Unitil charging customers through rates that have nothing to do with the costs that are being charged.

Customers that are not participating in Unitil energy supply should not be put at risk for paying for the costs of Unitil energy supply. Customers who buy power in the competitive market, from a competitive supplier, or from Community Power should not have to pay the costs for Unitil energy service.

I urge Unitil to explain to the PUC on December 20th why this practice is harmful to New Hampshire ratepayers, undermines the competitive supply market, and why the PUC should not force Unitil to shift costs of Unitil power supply onto customers that have made a choice to not to use Unitil's supply rates.

I am copying the PUC Clerk, the Department of Energy, and the Office of Consumer Advocate on this email so that my concern will be entered into PUC Docket No. DE 24-065 as a public comment.

Sincerely,

Matt Miller

Pembroke, NH