

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40

**STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
BEFORE THE
NEW HAMPSHIRE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION**

**RE: PENNICHUCK WATER WORKS, INC.
DW 23- xxx**

2023 QUALIFIED CAPITAL PROJECT ADJUSTMENT CHARGE FILING

**DIRECT TESTIMONY
OF
John J. Boisvert**

February 13, 2023

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

Professional and Educational Background

Q. What is your name and what is your position with Pennichuck Water Works, Inc.?

A. My name is John J. Boisvert. I am the Chief Engineer of Pennichuck Water Works, Inc. (the “Company” or “PWW”). I have worked for the Company since February 1, 2006. I am a licensed professional engineer in New Hampshire and Maine.

Q. Please describe your educational background.

A. I have a Bachelor of Science degree and a Master of Science degree in Civil Engineering from the University of New Hampshire in Durham, New Hampshire. I also have a Master’s degree in Environmental Law and Policy from Vermont Law School in South Royalton, Vermont.

Q. Please describe your professional background.

A. Prior to joining the Company, I served as a Team Leader for Weston & Sampson Engineers of Portsmouth, New Hampshire in their Water Practices Group from 2000 to 2006. Prior to Weston & Sampson I was employed by the Layne Christensen Company of Shawnee Mission, Kansas as Regional Manager for their Geosciences Division in Dracut, Massachusetts from 1994 to 2000. I completed graduate school in 1992 and was employed by Hoyle, Tanner, & Associates of Manchester, New Hampshire as a Project Engineer from 1992 to

1 1994. Prior to entering full time graduate programs at the University of New
2 Hampshire and Vermont Law School I was employed by Civil Consultants of
3 South Berwick, Maine as a Project Engineer from 1986 to 1989 and by
4 Underwood Engineers of Portsmouth, New Hampshire as a project Engineer
5 from 1985 to 1986.

6
7 **Q. What are your responsibilities as Chief Engineer of the Company?**

8 A. As Chief Engineer, I manage and oversee the Company's Engineering
9 Department. I lead the Company's Asset Management program. As head of the
10 Engineering Department, I am responsible for the planning, design, permitting,
11 construction, and startup of major capital projects, including pipelines,
12 reservoirs/dams, building structures, pumping facilities, treatment facilities, and
13 groundwater supplies. The Engineering Department staff provides regular
14 technical assistance to the Company's Water Supply Department, Distribution
15 Department, Customer Service Department, and Senior Management.

16
17 **Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?**

18 A. My testimony will present the major Qualified Capital Projects initiated and
19 completed in 2022 as well as providing details of the major capital projects
20 planned and budgeted for 2023-2025 as part of the Company's 2023 Qualified
21 Capital Project Adjustment Charge ("QCPAC") filing. My testimony supports, and
22 is in addition to, testimony being provided by the Company's Chief Operating
23 Officer Donald L. Ware for this docket. Detailed project listings mentioned in this

1 testimony are detailed in Exhibit DLW-1, Pages 1-5 including with Mr. Ware's
2 testimony.

3

4 **Q. What types of projects can be described as “major capital projects”?**

5 A. Major capital projects require significant capital investment and are approved

6 annually in the Company's capital budget by the Company's Board of Directors.

7 Projects are associated with dams, treatment facilities, pumping facilities, storage

8 tanks, water main replacements, valve and hydrant replacements, building facility

9 improvements and refurbishments, as well as non-structural efforts to improve

10 Company performance, such as Asset Management. These generally include:

- 11 • The replacement of infrastructure that has: (1) reached or is reaching the
12 end of its useful life, (2) does not achieve the level of service required of it
13 (water quality, capacity, and efficiency), or (3) the Company's ability to
14 properly maintain it (outdated/lack of repair parts, etc.) is either
15 impractical or more costly to repair or rehabilitate than replacing it.
- 16 • Infrastructure upgrades to improve system performance.
- 17 • Investments to ensure compliance with the primary and secondary Safe
18 Drinking Water Act (“SDWA”) standards.
- 19 • Engineering studies and evaluations to assess infrastructure and system
20 performance to aid in planning future capital investment needs.
- 21 • The implementation of processes and systems such as Asset
22 Management, which incorporates/integrates Geographical Information
23 Systems (GIS), Computerized Management and Maintenance System

1 (CMMS- Cityworks as of 12/31/2020), electronic time and record keeping,
2 as well as inventory management, allowing the Company to have access
3 to the data and information needed to make cost effective, immediate and
4 long-term operations and planning decisions.

5

6 **Q. What is the process that the Company employs and what are the factors**
7 **the Company considers when developing the capital budget for water main**
8 **replacements?**

9 The Company considers several factors in developing a capital budget for water
10 main rehabilitation, replacement, and/or new construction. The Company has
11 completed the first phase of its Asset Management Initiative. The Company has
12 inventoried its pipeline assets and documented them within its GIS (Geographical
13 Information System) database. An initial condition assessment and a preliminary
14 evaluation of the consequence of failure of certain water main assets has been
15 completed. This application and effort have thus far served as an effective tool to
16 determine which assets are most critical and should be evaluated in more detail
17 for possible inclusion in the current 2023 – 2025 capital budgets/forecasts. With
18 the transition to a new Computerized Management and Maintenance software,
19 the Asset Management Initiative continues to be expanded to: (1) look more
20 closely at specific assets to identify the risk of failure, (2) determine if there is a
21 structural failure (break), or (3) the asset is not attaining the required level of
22 service (water quality, flow, or pressure). The usage of the Asset Management
23 system in this regard has provided the ability to facilitate more predictive

1 guidance in planning for and implementing future capital expenditures. This
2 approach is ongoing and being refined or enhanced as more data and
3 information on the Company's assets becomes available. This Asset
4 Management approach considers the following for all assets including:

- 5 • Water main break/failure history;
- 6 • Water quality problems;
- 7 • Fire protection flows;
- 8 • The proximity of and support provided to key critical customers (public safety,
9 government, hospitals, etc.);
- 10 • Coordination with gas company (or other buried utility assets) replacement
11 projects;
- 12 • Geographic grouping of streets where mains are to be replaced/rehabilitated
13 for improved efficiency by aggregating main replacement work in close
14 proximity to each other;
- 15 • The opportunity to take advantage of efficiencies gained from coordinating
16 with the paving, storm water and sewer projects of cities and towns served by
17 the Company, in the replacement of water mains where substandard plastic
18 or aging unlined cast iron water mains are present. There are cost savings in
19 pavement repair and traffic control costs associated with completing projects
20 while the municipality or other utility company is also working on a street.
- 21 • Industry guidelines of the American Water Works Association for the
22 replacement of water mains using an average life expectancy for water mains
23 of 100 years, absent specific information on a particular asset. The Company

1 considers this rate to be a reasonable basis of main replacement planning
2 and determination, until such time that the Asset Management System will
3 better and more fully allow for a more system/asset specific assessment to be
4 performed. In terms of targeted water mains to be considered and evaluated,
5 the Company, based on GIS assets, still has approximately: (1) 31.9 miles of
6 unlined cast iron water main in service, most of which is over 100 years old
7 and was installed beginning in 1853, (2) about 38.3 miles of Asbestos cement
8 water main (most of which was installed between the mid 1950's and 1960's),
9 (3) 0.6 miles of small diameter steel water main installed primarily in the
10 1950's, and (4) 0.6 miles of substandard plastic water mains, and (5) 3.35
11 miles of unknown material that was installed by the original developer in the
12 1970's and 1980's (prior to the NHDES setting minimum standards on water
13 main materials). Replacement of aging and substandard infrastructure will
14 continue to be a major driver of the Company's water main replacement for
15 the foreseeable future.

16

17 **Q. What are the major projects the Company started in 2021 that the Company**
18 **completed as part of the 2022 Capital Budget?**

19 A. Two projects, the Kessler Farm Tank Replacement and the Coburn Woods
20 Water Main Replacement had delayed starts due to schedule impediments
21 directly or indirectly impacted by Covid-19 construction availability and delays,
22 supply chain disruption, protocols, and policies. The Kessler Farm Tank (W/O#
23 2101759) was bid in 2020 and construction started in March 2021. The tank was

1 used and useful by the end of 2021, but certain external and cosmetic
2 components was not finished until the second quarter of 2022, including final site
3 restoration and concrete finishing/coloring of the external tank.

4
5 The Coburn Woods project mobilized in November 2020. Some construction was
6 completed but winter set in halting work. Construction resumed in April 2021
7 with approximately \$755,000 worth of water main replacement (954 LF), services
8 (35 totaling 1,200 LF), and valves (9) going used and useful in 2021. The winter
9 of 2021-2022 halted additional work. In 2022, work was initiated again (W/O #'s
10 2200500) to complete the remaining phase 1 valve installations that will
11 eventually facilitate the next phase of water main replacement planned for 2024
12 and 2025. The value of the 2022 work is \$183,474.

13
14 The Company did not have water main replacement/additions in 2022 that began
15 and went used and useful in 2021.

16
17 **Q. What were the major water main projects completed in 2022?**
18 A. Exhibit DLW 1-5, Page 2 lines 41-51 identify eleven street locations where aging
19 unlined cast iron and small diameter steel/galvanized water main was replaced
20 driven by Asset Management. This work totaled \$1,742,789 in 2022. In addition,
21 Exhibit DLW 1-5, Page 2 lists Garden Street (line 55 at \$49,536).

22

1 In 2022, there were several water main design initiatives on projects that would
2 be bid in 2022 or early in 2023 for construction in 2023. The design process for
3 2022 water main replacement was moved up in response to supply chain
4 concerns over the long lead times (40+ weeks in some cases) on water main and
5 water service materials. By designing the 2023 projects in 2022, projects could
6 be bid in late 2022 or early in 2023 such that contractors could be engaged early
7 in order to receive project materials in time to complete projects, or project
8 section so they could be in service by December 31, 2023.

9
10 **Q. Please identify and describe water main projects budgeted or planned for**
11 **in 2023-2025.**

12 A. Proposed water main construction and corresponding water main trench
13 restoration is presented, by year, below. The majority of the water main being
14 replaced is in Nashua and is near or greater than 100 years old. The pipe is
15 generally 2-inch through 8-inch diameter unlined cast iron pipe (CI). Most of this
16 pipe suffers from internal corrosion (tuberculation) resulting in substandard fire
17 flows. This internal corrosion also increases the risk of the delivery of
18 substandard quality water to our customers, including bacteria (from the potential
19 loss of chlorine residual) and colored water from flow fluctuation or pipe
20 disturbance. Some of the work in 2022-2024 may be done in conjunction with
21 sewer improvement projects by the City of Nashua. The City schedules and
22 completes their work annually based upon a July 1st – June 30th fiscal year and
23 does not finalize and provide the Company with their capital project plans until

1 March or April each year. And finally, a substantial amount of water main
2 construction will be the replacement of small diameter steel and galvanized steel
3 water mains. These small diameter steel mains are suffering from both internal
4 and external corrosion and are very brittle. As such they lack flow capacity and
5 they do not withstand heavy vibration from paving operations and nearby
6 excavation of other buried utilities.

7

8 **Budgeted 2023 Water Main Replacements/Additions**

9 Water main work is anticipated within the City of Nashua and the Town of
10 Amherst as part of ongoing replacement of aging infrastructure. The projects
11 total approximately \$6,134,650 in reinvestment. Much of this effort will be
12 associated/coordinated with other utility work and road reconstruction.

13 Specific Projects are as follows:

- 14 - Swan Street: Replacing 160 LF of 1953 2" Steel main with 4" PVC
- 15 - Chapman Street: Replacing 160 LF of 1948 1.25" Steel main with 4"
16 PVC
- 17 - Cote Avenue: Replacing 470 LF of 1938 8" CI main with 8" DI
- 18 - Savoy Street: Replacing 140 LF of 1947 1.25" Steel main with 6" DI
- 19 - Walnut Street: Replacing 1450 LF of 1888-1951 6" CI main with 12"
20 DI
- 21 - Salem Street: Replacing 1450 LF of 1888-1927 4" & 6" CI main with
22 6" DI

- 1 - Dawn Street: Replacing 450 LF of 1954 1.5" Steel & 2" Steel main
- 2 with 4" PVC
- 3 - Amory Street: Replacing 570 LF of 1887 8" CI main with 12" DI
- 4 - Union Street: Replacing 520 LF of 1909-1978 1.25" Steel& 4" CI
- 5 main with 12" DI
- 6 - Berkeley Street: Replacing 3080 LF of 1888-1912 6" CI main with 8"
- 7 DI
- 8 - Temple Street: Replacing 975 LF of 1888 8" CI main with 12" DI
- 9 - Raymond Street: Replacing 2300 LF of 1887 8" CI main with 8" DI
- 10 - Hanover Street: Replacing 840 LF of 1888 4" CI main with 8" DI
- 11 - Blossom Street: Replacing 2395 LF of 1893-1916 4" & 6" CI main with
- 12 8" DI
- 13 - Jones Court: Replacing 180 LF of 1.5 inch Galvanized Steel with
- 14 4inch PVC
- 15 - Troy Street: Abandon Replacing 290 LF of 1.5" Steel & 2" Steel
- 16 main
- 17 - Broadview Avenue: Replacing 435 feet of 1.5 Galvanized Steel & 8 inch
- 18 DI with 4 inch PVC & 8 inch DI
- 19 - Ritter Street: Replacing 210 LF of 1893 6" CI main with 8" DI
- 20 - Dexter Street: Replacing 1885 LF of 1941-1949 6" & 8" CI main with
- 21 8" DI
- 22 - Crown Street: Replacing 225 LF of 1901 6" CI main with 8" DI
- 23 - Hobbs Avenue: Replacing 490 LF of 1906 6" CI main with 8" DI

- 1 - Elm Street: Replacing 330 LF of 1892 6" CI main with 8" DI
- 2 - Newbury Street: Replacing 2250 LF of 1888-1940 6" & 8" CI main with
- 3 8" DI

4 In 2023, there will also be pavement restoration costs of approximately \$12,000
5 for water mains installed in 2022 and approximately \$131,000 for design of water
6 main replacement projects to be constructed in 2024.

7

8 **Planned 2024 Water Main Replacements/Additions**

9 Water main work is anticipated within the City of Nashua and the Town of
10 Amherst as part of ongoing replacement of aging infrastructure. Approximately
11 6,050 LF will be associated with aging infrastructure replacement at a budget of
12 approximately \$3,180,250. The specific locations include:

13

- 14 - Ferson Street: Replacing 430 LF of 1931-1938 8" CI main with 12"
- 15 DI
- 16 - West Otterson Street: Replacing 260 LF of 1936 8" CI main with 8" DI
- 17 - Thomas Street: Replacing 420 LF of 1908-1926 6" CI main with 6" DI
- 18 - Sawyer Street: Replacing 1620 LF of 1896-1907 6" CI main with 8"
- 19 DI
- 20 - Balcom Street: Replacing 1225 LF of 1911-1923 8" CI main with 8"
- 21 DI
- 22 - Crown Street: Replacing 225 LF of 1901 6" CI main with 8" DI
- 23 - Palm Street: Replacing 420 LF of 1890 4" CI main with 6" DI

1 - McKean Street: Replacing 1690 LF of 1888 6" CI main with 8" DI

2

3 In 2024, there will also be pavement restoration costs of approximately
4 \$1,783,950 for water mains installed in 2023 and approximately \$107,610 for
5 design of water main replacement projects to be constructed in 2025.

6

7 **Planned 2025 Water Main Replacements/Additions**

8 Water main work is anticipated within the City of Nashua and the Town of
9 Amherst as part of ongoing replacement of aging infrastructure. Water main
10 replacements total approximately 9,160 LF for 2024 at a budget of \$2,346,000
11 and includes the following locations/categories:

12 - Reed Court: Replacing 170 LF of 1968 1" Copper main with 2"
13 HDPE

14 - Atwood Court: Replacing 130 LF of 1950 2" Steel main with 2" HDPE

15 - Lucier Street: Replacing 340 LF of 1928-1947 1.5" Steel & 4" CI
16 main with 8" DI

17 - Atherton Avenue: Replacing 200 LF of 1959 2" Steel main with 4" PVC

18 - Riverview Street: Replacing 190 LF of 1951 2" Steel main with 4" PVC

19 - Foster Court: Replacing 165 LF of 1963 1" Copper main with 2"
20 HDPE

21 - Highland Place: Replacing 230 LF of 1924 2" Galvanized Steel main
22 with 4" PVC

23 - Palm Street: Replacing 420 LF of 1890 4" CI main with 6" DI

- 1 - Long Avenue: Installing 115 LF of new 8" DI to complete loop
- 2 - Long Avenue: Replacing 65 LF of 1939 1.25" Steel main with 2"
- 3 HDPE
- 4 - Short Ave: Replacing 210 LF of 1926 6" CI main with 8" DI
- 5 - 2nd Street: Replacing 235 LF of 1961 Steel and 2005 2" Copper
- 6 main with 4" PVC
- 7 - Yvonne Street: Replacing 200 LF of 1929 1.25" Steel main with 2"
- 8 HDPE
- 9 - Daniels Street: Replacing 205 LF of 1955 1.5" Steel main with 2"
- 10 HDPE
- 11 - George Street: Replacing 195 LF of 1948 2" CI main with 2" HDPE
- 12 - Tetreau Street: Replacing 450 LF of 1957 1.25" Galvanized Steel
- 13 main with 2" HDPE
- 14 - Notre Dame Street: Replacing 385 LF of 1926 & 1950 1.5" Steel & 2"
- 15 Steel main with 2" HDPE
- 16 - Haines Street: Abandoning 75 LF of 1934 1.5" Steel main
- 17 - Santerre Street: Replacing 530 LF of 1961 & 1962 2" Steel main with
- 18 4" PVC
- 19 - Lakeside Avenue: Replacing 266 LF of 1949 1.25" Steel main with 4"
- 20 PVC
- 21 - St. Lazare Street: Replacing 405 LF of 1955-1959 1.5" Steel and 2"
- 22 Steel main with 4" PVC

- 1 - Spaulding Avenue: Replacing 435 LF of 1924-1940 1.25" Steel, 2" Steel,
2 and 6" CI main with 4" PVC
- 3 - Arlington Avenue: Replacing 265 LF of 1920 & 1926 2" Galvanized Steel
4 and 4" CI main with 4" PVC
- 5 - Auburn Street: Replacing 1190 LF of 1882-1887 4" and 8" CI main
6 with 8" DI
- 7 - Eaton Street: Replacing 490 LF of 1912 6" CI main with 12" DI
- 8 - North 2nd Street: Replacing 140 LF of 1919 6" CI main with 4" PVC
- 9 - Alstead Avenue: Replacing 320 LF of 1920 4" CI main with 4" PVC
- 10 - Bordeaux Street: Replacing 275 LF of 1960 2" Steel main with 4" PVC
- 11 - King Street: Replacing 865 LF of 1923 & 1957 6" CI main with 6"
12 DI

13 In 2025, there will also be pavement restoration costs of approximately
14 \$1,100,850 for water mains installed in 2024 and approximately \$180,000 for the
15 design of water main replacement projects to be constructed in 2026.

16

17 **Q. Your testimony states that water main replacement projects may vary each**
18 **year due to balancing the investment in water main replacements with**
19 **other major capital projects. What are those other types of projects?**

20 A. The Company has typically targeted overall capital investment (reinvestment)
21 between \$8 million-\$12 million per year. The Company is limited to investing no
22 more than around \$11.5 million per year in total capital expenditures due to the
23 limits on the maximum amount that it can fund annually through its Fixed Asset

1 Line of Credit (“FALOC”) during construction. The FALOC is subsequently re-
2 financed annually to long-term debt by issuing bonds using the New Hampshire
3 Business Finance Authority as its conduit to the tax-exempt and taxable bond
4 markets.

5 These “other major capital project” investments are associated with vertical
6 assets, including storage tanks, pumping stations, treatment facilities, source of
7 supply, and process related improvements (SCADA, Asset Management, etc.).

8 In some years there may be more need for horizontal asset investment (main
9 replacements) rather than vertical assets. In other years the opposite may be
10 true. The balancing of these focused objectives is necessary to maintain a
11 balance between timely replacement of aging infrastructure, while also keeping
12 water rates from increasing too quickly, in order to fund those incurred costs.

13
14 **Q. What were the other major projects completed in 2022?**

15 A. The following projects are representative of the major capital work completed in
16 2022.

17
18 Meter Radio Replacement Year 2 (W/O# 2200381): The Company replaced
19 2,142 radios in 2022 at a cost of \$236,311.

20
21 Kessler Farm Tank (W/O# 2101759 at a cost of \$200,776): The Kessler Farm
22 Tank was substantially complete, and used and useful in December 2021. There

1 was additional carry over work in 2022 for final site restoration and exterior
2 concrete finishing that could not be completed in 2021 due to winter conditions.

3
4 CMMS Cityworks PLL Implementation (W/O# 2201250 at a cost of \$10,139):

5 This effort was required carry over work from 2021. Cityworks PLL is primarily
6 an engineering function/application (accessed by other Company departments)
7 used to initiate, track progress, document, and manage the following:

- 8
- 9 • New service applications
 - 10 • Main extension agreements and main extension construction
 - 11 • Capital projects (water main replacement, treatment/booster stations, and
12 tanks for example)

13 Cityworks PLL allows for the transfer of electronic documents such as plans,
14 specifications, contract documents, test results and material shop drawings
15 between the Company, engineers, contractors, and customers. The process
16 enables project documents to be attached and save as part of the work order file
17 within Cityworks. This allows all stakeholders within the Company to review
18 project status and project materials when access to project information is
19 needed, in a more efficient and timely manner.

20 Carbon Filter Media Replacement (W/O# 2202953 at a cost of \$1,432,922): In

21 order to ensure compliance with the NHDES standard for perfluorooctanoic acid
22 (PFOA) of 12 parts per trillion (ppt), the Company replaced the granular activated

1 carbon filter media in 8 or its 12 filter beds due to breakthrough of PFOA in the
2 filter effluent.

3

4 Bowers Dam and Spillway Final Design (W/O# 2201369 at a cost of \$120,140):

5 The final design of improvements to the Bowers Dam and Spillway was
6 completed including plans and specifications that were ready to be bid. Project
7 bidding and construction has been delayed due to the long lead time for the
8 delivery of the spillway gate and structure as well as the availability of \$700,000
9 of Federal Grant funds which will cover about 25% of the project costs. The
10 Federal funds are not available until the middle 2023 not leaving time in 2023 to
11 secure a contractor and complete the work by year end. No construction can
12 start until those funds are secured therefore this project has been deferred to
13 2024 in order to be constructed within a single year.

14

15 Engineering Studies (W/O# 2205826 at a cost of \$113,182)- Chemical Feed and

16 Storage: The project is an engineering evaluation of existing chemical feed and

17 storage system at the Company's main water treatment facility in Nashua, NH.

18 The existing facilities were placed into service prior to 2009. Since 2009, the

19 Company has seen an increase in treatment chemical use due to changing water
20 quality due to environmental/climate conditions as well as a shift from

21 Pennichuck Brook to the Merrimack River as the primary source of supply due to

22 elevated levels of PFOA above the NHDES standard. The Company engaged

23 the consulting firm of CDM Smith to evaluate and make recommendations, if any,

1 for the Company to follow to ensure that chemical feed and storage facilities are
2 adequate and in compliance with NHDES regulations. The treatment chemical of
3 most concern is the primary coagulant ferric chloride. The Company has seen a
4 near 40% increase in the volume of ferric chloride on average to treat current
5 water conditions since 2012. It appears that the trend of increased chemical is
6 will continue to increase in the near term. At this rate of use, the required volume
7 of chemical storage at the water treatment facility is less than required by
8 NHDES drinking water standards. The CDMSmith evaluation concluded that
9 additional storage is required. In addition, the pumps that feed chemical into the
10 treatment process are undersized for the anticipated demand and will need to be
11 upsized. The Company will be pursuing the design and permitting of new and/or
12 expanded chemical feed and storage facilities to meet current and future demand
13 and raw water quality as well as to ensure regulatory compliance.

14
15 **Q. Please identify and describe other projects planned for 2023 - 2025.**

16 A. The selected projects are the more significant non-water main projects described
17 by year below as follows:

18 **2023 Projects**

19 Meter Radio Replacement Year 3 (Budget \$364,000): In 2023 the Company will
20 continue the process of replacing approximately 2,800 customer meter radios
21 that are at or approaching their useful life.

22

1 AWIA RRA – ERP Projects (Budget \$200,000): The company will be completing
2 assessments of the recommendations derived from the RRA-ERP to prioritize
3 improvements that result in risk mitigation and improved emergency response.

4 These may include but limited to:

- 5 • Security enhancements at remote facilities including locks, alarms,
6 security lighting, cameras, fencing, etc.
- 7 • Redundancy improvements/additions such as back up pumps, portable
8 pumps and generators, or water main improvements
- 9 • Computer hardware and software upgrades and enhancements
- 10 • Cybersecurity initiatives
- 11 • Structural enhancements to building structures to withstand extreme
12 weather events
- 13 • SCADA system improvements including a possible transition from radio
14 telemetry to more reliable communication technologies.

15 English Woods Alternative Source Interconnection (Budget \$350,000): This
16 project will be the completion of an interconnection water main from the
17 Company’s Powder Hill system to the English Woods CWS in Bedford. The
18 English Woods CWS is served by bedrock wells that have limited capacity (there
19 is a water restriction history at English Woods) and lack redundancy during
20 maximum day conditions. There is no available ready land to install additional
21 wells in a different aquifer. The interconnection watermain will connect from the
22 Company’s existing Powder Hill water main on Donald Street and run
23 approximately 2,300 linear feet through a cross country easement to connect at

1 the English Woods Station. Water from Powder Hill is purchased from
2 Manchester Water Works (MWW). MWW uses chloramines to disinfect its water
3 while chlorine is used at English Woods. Treatment equipment will be added to
4 the English Woods Station to remove chloramines, in order for the Company to
5 maintain using chlorine as its primary disinfectant.

6
7 Parish Hills - Coburn Tank Area HP Zone Watermain Design (Budget \$40,000):

8 The Coburn Tank Area of the Nashua Core water system is in the western side
9 of Nashua at the Hollis Town line. The is heavily developed with single family
10 homes in the elevated ground area surrounding the Coburn Storage Tank.

11 Because the water storage elevation in the Coburn Tank is not much higher than
12 the homes near the tank, working pressures are very low as some homes have
13 pressure less than 15 pounds per square inch (psi) and even more have less
14 than the Company minimum target pressure of 40 psi. This project, coupled with
15 the Coburn Tank Area HP Booster Station project below, will create a constant
16 pressure booster station to improve service to customers at higher ground
17 elevation that experience pressures below regulatory minimums. The project
18 includes the installation of 4 inch diameter water main along with appropriate
19 control valves to provide domestic demand. Fire flow will be maintained by the
20 existing 8 and 12 inch mains in the area.

21

1 Parish Hills - Coburn Tank Area HP Booster Station Design (Budget \$20,000):

2 This project is coupled with the Coburn Tank Area Watermain described above,
3 to address chronic low pressures near the Coburn Tank.

4
5 Carbon Filter Media (Budget \$600,000): In order to ensure compliance with the
6 NHDES standard for perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA) of 12 parts per trillion (ppt),
7 the Company needs to refresh or change out the existing granular activated
8 carbon filter media in 4 of its 12 filter beds not completed in 2022.

9
10 Security Cameras at the Water Treatment Plant (Budget \$ 106,000): The camera
11 project is being completed as a result of the AWIA RRA-ERP evaluation to
12 enhance security around and within the water treatment facility and as an action
13 to mitigate potential risk to the facility and staff working within the facility from
14 potential malevolent acts or other safety concerns.

15
16 Esri Utility Network Transition (Budget \$253,000): The ArcGIS desktop
17 application ("Desktop") the Company is presently using is being replaced by a
18 new program, ArcGIS Pro ("Pro"). There are many features in Pro that streamline
19 workflows, improve the quality of web maps, as well as introduce data structuring
20 capabilities not available in Desktop. Esri has announced that Desktop will no
21 longer be supported after March 1, 2026. In addition to that, there will be no
22 more updates to Desktop after version 10.8.1, which was released in August
23 2020. Given the fact that there will be no more updates to the Desktop software

1 and all updates and enhancements will be made in Pro, transitioning prior to
2 2026 will allow continued development of our GIS and Asset Management
3 system without having to redo changes and modifications that make between
4 now and 2026.

5
6 Nashua Water Treatment Facility Improvements Design (Budget \$600,000): This
7 design project follows the evaluation of the Company's chemical storage and
8 chemical feed capacity at the water treatment facility completed with the
9 assistance of our consultant CDMSmith. The design will be based on the report
10 conclusions as discussed earlier in this testimony.

11
12 **2024 Projects**

13 Parish Hills - Coburn Tank Area HP Zone Watermain Construction (Budget
14 \$800,000): The project will add approximately 1,600 feet of 4 inch diameter
15 watermain and appurtenances from the proposed booster stations to provide
16 residences near the Coburn Tank with pressure greater than 45 pounds per
17 square inch.

18
19 Parish Hills - Coburn Tank Area HP Booster Station Construction (Budget
20 \$550,000): This project is coupled with the Coburn Tank Area Watermain
21 described above. The project is to be a small three pump above ground booster
22 station to provide residences near the existing Coburn Tank that have pressures
23 less than what regulation and good practice require/recommend.

1
2 Bowers Dam Spillway reconstruction/increase capacity (Budget \$2,300,000): The
3 project was designed in 2022 and was pushed to 2024 because of the availability
4 of Federal grant funding to partially fund the work. The Company plans to
5 complete a reconstruction of the Bowers Dam spillway in response to a letter of
6 deficiency issued by the NHDES. The spillway reconstruction will increase the
7 capacity of the spillway to ensure passage of the required flood flows and for
8 more efficient operations of the overall required height of the dam spillway, as
9 required by NHDES revised 100-year flows. The work will also include,
10 depending upon the final analysis and design, enhancements to the earthen
11 abutments to increase stability and ensure against overtopping during potential
12 and designed for, flood events.

13
14 AWIA RRA – ERP Projects (Budget \$200,000): The company will be completing
15 assessments of the recommendations derived from the RRA-ERP to prioritize
16 improvements that result in risk mitigation and improved emergency response.

17 These may include but limited to:

- 18 • Security enhancements at remote facilities including locks, alarms,
19 security lighting, cameras, fencing, etc.
- 20 • Redundancy improvements/additions such as back up pumps, portable
21 pumps and generators, or water main improvements
- 22 • Computer hardware and software upgrades and enhancements
- 23 • Cybersecurity initiatives

- 1 • Structural enhancements to building structures to withstand extreme
2 weather events
- 3 • SCADA system improvements including a possible transition from radio
4 telemetry to more reliable communication technologies.

5

6 Meter Radio Replacement Year 4 (Budget \$ 364,000): In 2024, the Company will
7 continue the process of replacing approximately 2,800 customer meter radios
8 that are at or approaching their useful life.

9 Year 1, Nashua Water Treatment Facility Chemical Feed and Storage

10 Construction (2024 Budget \$8,500,000 Overall Budget \$12,400,000): This is a
11 gross estimate of the construction of the improvements to the water treatment
12 facility chemical feed and storage systems based upon the completed design on
13 2023. The project anticipates a major building expansion to house chemical bulk
14 storage and additions/improvement chemical feed pumps, controls, monitoring,
15 and piping. The budget is a high level “place holder” estimate, which will be
16 revised as needed when the final design is completed in 2023. Since this project
17 is a “one time” special project outside of the normal capital work completed
18 annually by the Company, it will be funded via a special bond issuance
19 consistent with the size and scope of the project and the construction schedule
20 that will take two years, for which the Company will be preparing and filing a
21 Financing approval docket with the Commission, when the final estimated project
22 amounts and timing is known and measurable for this major multi-year project.

1 Vacuum Excavator Truck (Budget \$600,000): This is actually a new equipment
2 purchase and is a recognized need for the Company. The Vacuum Excavator
3 Truck will allow for safe, more “surgical” and efficient excavation around other
4 utilities, reduce excavation costs and pavement repairs by minimizing the size of
5 required excavation and minimize the reliance on outside contractors.

6
7 **2025 Projects**

8 Meter Radio Replacement Year 5 (Budget \$364,000): In 2025, the Company will
9 continue the process of replacing customer meter radios that are at or
10 approaching their useful life.

11
12 Year 2, Nashua Water Treatment Facility Chemical Feed and Storage

13 Construction (2025 Budget \$3,900,000 Overall Budget \$12,400,000): This is a
14 gross estimate of the construction of the improvements to the water treatment
15 facility chemical feed and storage systems based upon the completed design on
16 2023. The project anticipates a major building expansion to house chemical bulk
17 storage and additions/improvement chemical feed pumps, controls, monitoring,
18 and piping. The budget is a high level “place holder” estimate, which will be
19 revised as needed when the final design is completed in 2023.

20
21 Carbon Filter Media Replacement Round 1 (Budget \$1,500,000): This will be the
22 beginning of the next round of GAC filter media replacement to ensure

1 compliance with the NHDES standard of 12 ppb for PFOA. The plan is to
2 complete 1/3rd of the filter beds each year beginning with Filters 1a through 4b.

3

4 **Q. Does this conclude your testimony?**

5 A. Yes.