

STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

January, 29, 2025 - 1:01 p.m.
21 South Fruit Street
Suite 10
Concord, NH

RE: DE 23-004

PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE D/B/A
EVERSOURCE ENERGY:

Proposed Purchase of Receivables Program
(Hearing)

PRESENT: Hearing Examiner Sarah Fuller, Esq., *Presiding*

APPEARANCES: Reptg. Public Service Company Of New Hampshire
d/b/a Eversource Energy:

David K. Wiesner, Esq.

Reptg. New Hampshire Dept. of Energy:

Alexandra K. Ladwig, Esq.

Reptg. NRG Retail Companies:

Joey Lee Miranda, Esq. (*Robinson & Cole*)

Reptg. Community Power Coalition of New
Hampshire:

Clifton Below

Court Reporter: Nwamaka Dawson

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

I N D E X

PAGE

SUMMARY OF THE DOCKET BY HEARING EXAMINER FULLER 4

WITNESS PANEL: BRENDEN O'BRIEN
DARYUSH DONAYVI

Direct Examination by Mr. Wiesner 7

QUESTIONS BY HEARING EXAMINER FULLER 13

* * * * *

CLOSING STATEMENTS BY:

Ms. Miranda 21

Mr. Below 22

Ms. Ladwig 22

Mr. Wiesner 22

E X H I B I T S

NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
6	Technical Statement and Revised Versions of Tariff Terms and Conditions and Electric Supplier Services Master Agreement filed September 23, 2024	Pre-marked
7	CPCNH Position Statement Regarding Eversource's Proposed Revisions to its ESSMA and Tariff filed October 8, 2024	Pre-marked
8	NRG Retail Companies' Comments Re: Supplier Terms and Conditions and Supplier Services Master Agreement filed October 8, 2024	Pre-marked
9	Department of Energy Position Statement filed October 8, 2024	Pre-marked
10	Eversource Revised Versions of Tariff Terms and Conditions and Electric Supplier Services Master Agreement filed December 16, 2024	Pre-marked

P R O C E E D I N G S

1
2 HEARING EXAMINER FULLER: All right, for the
3 record, I'm Sarah Fuller. This is the final hearing on phase
4 2 for the proposed purchase of receivable program for Public
5 Service Company of New Hampshire, d/b/a Eversource Energy. It
6 is January 29th of 2025; it's 1:00 in the afternoon. We're at
7 docket at DG (sic) 23-004.

8 I am a senior advisor to the Public Utilities
9 Commission. Pursuant to 363:17, I've been assigned as the
10 hearing examiner in this matter. And we're going to proceed
11 today with hopefully our final hearing in this docket. The
12 authority to convene a hearing in this matter is provided
13 under RSA Chapter 541-A, RSA 53-E, RSA 374, and RSA 378.

14 As background, I know that on January 10th of 2023,
15 Eversource filed a proposal for its purchase of receivable,
16 the POR program, pursuant to RSA 53-E and our Administrative
17 Rules, the 2200s. The parties filed a joint settlement on the
18 POR program and that was approved by the Commission on August
19 22nd of 2024, by order number 27,049. And the approval of
20 that is settlement included the phase 2 proceeding. So we're
21 here today on the phase 2 proceeding to review the Electric
22 Supplier Service Master Agreement, ESSMA, and Eversource
23 tariff NH PUC number 10, correct? Is it Eversource is -- I
24 always --

1 Attorney Wiesner, it's titled the "Tariff NH PUC
2 Number 10, Electricity", correct?

3 MR. WIESNER: Yes. That's correct.

4 HEARING EXAMINER FULLER: Okay.

5 MR. WIESNER: So we have only filed the provisions
6 that relate to supplier service.

7 HEARING EXAMINER FULLER: Okay. That's fine.

8 I just wanted to make sure -- in the course of two
9 days, this is the third one I've done on these. I want to
10 make sure I didn't change the title.

11 So we're going to start with appearances. And then
12 if there's any preliminary procedural issues, we'll go into
13 that; and then we'll take the testimony of the Eversource
14 witnesses.

15 So I'll start with the Company and Attorney Wiesner.

16 MR. WIESNER: So David Wiesner, representing Public
17 Service Company of New Hampshire, doing business as Eversource
18 Energy; not aware of any additional procedural schedules. And
19 our witnesses are in the witness box ready to go.

20 HEARING EXAMINER FULLER: Okay. I think you had
21 noticed that -- I had three individual witnesses. I'm fine
22 with two witnesses. But just for the record, who are we
23 missing today?

24 MR. WIESNER: Mr. Davis, I believe, was on the

1 witness list, and he was unavailable for today's hearing. But
2 the two witnesses we have should be able to address any
3 questions that arise.

4 HEARING EXAMINER FULLER: Perfect. Thank you.

5 And for the Department of Energy?

6 MS. LADWIG: Alexandra Ladwig, on behalf of the
7 Department.

8 HEARING EXAMINER FULLER: Thank you.

9 And for NRG, please?

10 MS. MIRANDA: Good afternoon. Joey Lee Miranda from
11 Robinson & Cole, on behalf of the NRG Retail Companies.

12 HEARING EXAMINER FULLER: Thank you.

13 And Community Power Coalition of New Hampshire?

14 MR. BELOW: Clifton Below, on behalf of the
15 Community Power Coalition. Thank you.

16 HEARING EXAMINER FULLER: Thank you.

17 All right, so we have no procedural issues.

18 I do have an exhibit list that is Exhibits Number 6
19 through 10 that I'm going to work off of today.

20 And then we have the two witnesses, which are Mr.
21 O'Brien and --

22 MR. DONYAVI: Daryush Donyavi.

23 HEARING EXAMINER FULLER: Mr. Donyavi. Okay. Thank
24 you. All right, so I'm going to have the witnesses raise your

1 right hands for me.

2 (WHEREUPON BRENDAN O'BRIEN, DARYUSH DONAYAVI, were
3 duly sworn and cautioned by the Hearing Examiner.)

4 HEARING EXAMINER FULLER: Thank you.

5 Your witnesses.

6 DIRECT EXAMINATION

7 BY MR. WIESNER:

8 Q I'll begin with Mr. O'Brien and ask if you could
9 please state your name and title with Eversource.

10 A (O'Brien) Sure. My name is Brendan O'Brien, and I'm
11 the director of revenue and regulatory accounting at
12 Eversource Energy. And in my role, I support all Eversource
13 operating companies, including the Public Service Company of
14 New Hampshire.

15 Q And what are your responsibilities in that position?

16 A (O'Brien) My role, I cover all aspects of the
17 financial reporting and accounting for all regulatory,
18 revenue, and accounts receivable matters for all Eversource
19 operating companies, including the Public Service Company in
20 New Hampshire.

21 Q Have you previously testified before this Commission?

22 A (O'Brien) Yes, I have. I've testified previously in
23 this docket and others in New Hampshire. And I've also
24 testified in Connecticut with the Public Utilities Regulatory

1 Authority, as well as submitted written testimony to the
2 Massachusetts Department of Public Utilities.

3 Q And did you file a technical statement and also the
4 revised versions of the Company's tariff terms and conditions
5 and Electric Supplier Services Master Agreement submitted on
6 September 23rd and December 16th, which have been marked for
7 identification this afternoon as Exhibits 6 and 10?

8 A (O'Brien) Yes, I did. The technical statement and
9 revised tariff and Electric Supplier Services Master
10 Agreement, or ESSMA, are intended to comply with the
11 Commission's orders and directives in is consistent with the
12 settlement agreement that was approved to file for review the
13 specific terms and conditions of both the tariff and the
14 ESSMA, required to be amended in order for the implementation
15 of the purchase of receivables program here in New Hampshire,
16 including how the tariff and ESSMA apply to the community
17 power aggregations. The most recent versions, which were
18 filed on December 16th of 2024, include additional changes to
19 reflect further discussions with all parties intended to reach
20 a consensus on the necessary language revisions.

21 Q And were that technical statement and the related
22 revised documents prepared by you or at your direction?

23 A (O'Brien) Yes, they were.

24 Q Do you have any changes or updates to make at this

1 time?

2 A (O'Brien) No, I do not.

3 Q And so do you adopt technical statement and related
4 documents filed September 23rd and December 16th as your
5 testimony for purposes of today's hearing?

6 A (O'Brien) Yes, I do.

7 Q Thank you.

8 Now, turning to Mr. Donyavi, would you please state
9 your name and title with Eversource?

10 A (Donyavi) My name is Daryush Donyavi.

11 HEARING EXAMINER FULLER: You have -- is your
12 microphone --

13 A (Donyavi) There we go.

14 HEARING EXAMINER FULLER: Thank you.

15 A (Donyavi) My name is Daryush Donyavi. I'm the manager
16 of electric energy supplier services for Eversource Electric
17 Distribution Utilities, including PSNH. And I also am the
18 manager for gas supplier services in Massachusetts.

19 Q And what are your responsibilities in your position
20 with respect to electric suppliers?

21 A (Donyavi) I'm responsible for managing relationships
22 with the competitive suppliers doing business with
23 Eversource's electric utility affiliates in New Hampshire,
24 Massachusetts, and Connecticut, and as well as the gas market

1 in Massachusetts; including their execution and compliance
2 with the Electric Supplier Services Master Agreement.

3 Q Have you testified before us at this Commission?

4 A (Donyavi) I've not previously testified before this
5 Commission in a formal proceeding that I'm aware of.

6 Q But you have testified before other state regulatory
7 Commissions?

8 A (Donyavi) Yes. In Connecticut quite, quite many
9 times, and Massachusetts as well.

10 Q And did you file a technical statement and revised
11 versions of the Company's tariff terms and conditions and
12 ESSMA that were submitted on September 23rd and December 16th
13 and have been marked for identification as Exhibits 6 and 10?

14 A (Donyavi) Yes, I did.

15 Q And were that technical statement and the related
16 documents prepared by you or at your direction?

17 A (Donyavi) Yes, they were.

18 Q Do you have any changes or updates to make at this
19 time?

20 A (Donyavi) No, I do not.

21 Q And do you adopt the technical statement and the
22 related documents filed September 23rd and December 16th as
23 your testimony for purposes of today's hearing?

24 A (Donyavi) Yes, I do.

1 Q And I'll now turn it back to Mr. O'Brien. Just to ask
2 if you could briefly describe the proposed revisions to the
3 tariff and ESSMA, that the Company has filed for review and
4 approval during this second phase of the proceeding?

5 A (O'Brien) Sure. As described in the technical
6 statement and as shown in the proposed revised tariff terms
7 and conditions and ESSMA as filed on December 16th and marked
8 as Exhibit 10, the Company proposes revisions to the two
9 documents to facilitate the implementation of the purchase of
10 receivables program in New Hampshire. Those revisions include
11 the discount rate percentage formula, and specific payment
12 provisions in the tariff language, and the corresponding
13 changes to the Supplier Services Agreement.

14 In both cases, changes are made to acknowledge the
15 special nature of the community power aggregations under the
16 PUC 2200 rules and the community aggregation statute.

17 Based on parties' comments and our follow-up
18 discussions with all parties, we made further changes that
19 include removing the credit worthiness and credit support
20 requirements, and ensuring that the discount percentage rate
21 formula language adheres more closely to the settlement
22 agreement.

23 In some cases, the original language of the ESSMA has
24 been restored, and a new definition of the EDI standards that

1 references the current use of the Massachusetts EBT standards
2 has been added to the tariff.

3 Finally, a number of other clean-up changes have been
4 made that achieve greater clarity and consistency throughout
5 the two documents. It is important to note that the changes
6 are shown as tracked against the current effective forms of
7 the tariff and contract, and so do not show all revisions from
8 the prior proposed amended versions as they were submitted.

9 Q And finally, I'll ask both witnesses whether, in their
10 opinion, the revised tariff terms and conditions and ESSMA
11 contract form as filed on December 16th, are needed in order
12 to implement the New Hampshire POR program and are otherwise
13 just and reasonable?

14 A (O'Brien) Yes, they are.

15 A (Donyavi) I agree, yes they are.

16 MR. WIESNER: That's all I have on direct. They are
17 yours, Examiner.

18 HEARING EXAMINER FULLER: Thank you.

19 We'll go to cross, Department of Energy?

20 MS. LADWIG: Nothing from the Department.

21 HEARING EXAMINER FULLER: Attorney Miranda?

22 MS. MIRANDA: No questions. Thank you.

23 HEARING EXAMINER FULLER: Mr. Below?

24 MR. BELOW: No questions. Thank you.

1 HEARING EXAMINER FULLER: Leave it all to me today.
2 Which is fine.

3 QUESTIONS BY HEARING EXAMINER FULLER:

4 Q And either witness can answer this. I think it's
5 probably for Mr. Donyavi. How many service agreements need to
6 be signed in order for all of the service agreements that take
7 effect?

8 A (Donyavi) So there are 57 aggregations. Each one is
9 set up individually. There are a couple that are county
10 setups. So between the 21 unique suppliers, which encompasses
11 two of the aggregation setups, I would say, roughly, 57 would
12 need to sign the new -- the new agreement.

13 Q And I know that -- I think it's page -- starts on 14
14 and then goes to page 16 of Exhibit 10, which talks about the
15 implementation process, the implementation of the POR program.
16 Can you just walk me through it on a basic level of how
17 Eversource envisions this happening in a step-by-step process?

18 A (O'Brien) Like, from a -- like, an upgrades
19 perspective, or just, like, the process of the discount rate
20 and all the calculations that would go through?

21 Q So on a basic level, how long are they going to take
22 after approval of the -- I have to use the acronym -- the
23 ESSMA and tariff from the Commission, if we so approve, will
24 it take for the Company to submit your first request for

1 approval of your DPR?

2 A (O'Brien) Yes. So the Company is working through the
3 discount rate calculation currently. We expect to file that
4 in the month of February and would -- would likely seek
5 Commission approval in either late March or early April in
6 order to be prepared for the May 1st implementation date.

7 Q Okay. So we're looking at a May 1st implementation
8 date. And then are there provisions if you have suppliers
9 that have not signed their contracts?

10 A (Donyavi) When we implement POR, we will not have the
11 ability to differentiate between who signed the new service
12 agreement and not. So everyone will be receiving POR that has
13 a complete load of customers. So of that being signed in time
14 or not. But we will still endeavor to -- to have them all
15 signed.

16 A (O'Brien) And just one other comment too on the -- on
17 the May 1st date, the Company is working through all the
18 necessary system upgrades and items that are required to
19 convert the purchase of receivables program in New Hampshire.
20 We currently don't expect any delays, but -- but obviously
21 that -- that May 1st date is also contingent upon the system
22 being fully up and -- and prepared for the purchase of
23 receivables program. But we currently do not expect any
24 delay. But I just wanted to note that, for the record.

1 Q Is it -- and I appreciate that you don't expect any
2 delays. I was quickly reading the settlement approval or
3 settlement agreement. Did Eversource estimated the time it
4 was going to take to do that, to get the upgrades in?

5 A (O'Brien) Yeah, I forget the total number of months, I
6 think -- said exactly what it was. But I think with where we
7 are, we're -- we're on pace for the May 1st date. But
8 obviously just wanted to, you know, make the -- all parties
9 aware that that's still an ongoing process to prepare.

10 Q On page -- there are references in the I believe the
11 ESSMA, I always forget the second S, in the ESSMA, there's a
12 reference to NEPOOL, and I believe in one of the responses of
13 the testimony, it might have been CPCNH, they said that NEPOOL
14 was an outdated reference and recommended switching to ISO New
15 England. And I do see that there is references to ISO New
16 England. Is there a reason why there is still references to
17 NEPOOL? And if you're looking for the reference to NEPOOL,
18 it's Exhibit 10, Bates page 1; Exhibit 10, Bates page 1. It's
19 1-B and 1-D.

20 A (O'Brien) I see where you're reading from.

21 Q Yeah. So my question is should that be revised? And
22 should the references to NEPOOL be revised or is it in
23 there -- or can you respond -- I believe it was CPCNH that
24 said that was an outdated reference. Or I can give you -- I

1 can take a break and you can -- if you don't know the answer,
2 that's fine too. But --

3 A (Donyavi) Maybe a quick break.

4 Q Okay. So let's just take a five-minute break and you
5 guys can discuss it. And then maybe you can talk if it's
6 still an issue.

7 HEARING EXAMINER FULLER: I believe, Mr. Below,
8 you're the person who pointed that out.

9 So I'll come back. It's 1:20. I'll come back in
10 ten minutes and we can address it.

11 So we're off the record right now. Thank you.

12 (Recess at 1:18 p.m., recommencing at 1:31 p.m.)

13 HEARING EXAMINER FULLER: Okay. We're back on the
14 record in case 23-004.

15 BY HEARING EXAMINER FULLER:

16 Q I think we just had a question about the NEPOOL
17 references, the ESSMA.

18 Any response from the witnesses? Yes, go ahead.

19 A (Donyavi) Yes. So any reference from NEPOOL will
20 change to ISO New England, or under participant, or ISO -- ISO
21 load obligation respectively, where -- where it applies in the
22 document.

23 HEARING EXAMINER FULLER: And Attorney Wiesner, it's
24 that acceptable if -- in any order I write, that's just a

1 requirement?

2 MR. WIESNER: Yes, we would propose to address that
3 in the compliance filing once the order is issued.

4 HEARING EXAMINER FULLER: Okay. Thank you.

5 I don't have any other questions for the witnesses.

6 Does anybody need to follow-up on my questions?

7 A (Donyavi) I do have --

8 BY HEARING EXAMINER FULLER:

9 Q Oh. I like that even better.

10 A (Donyavi) I wanted to clarify. You had asked how many
11 master agreements would need to be signed with the POR. It's
12 actually, there are 24 unique suppliers. And then there are
13 87 master agreements because of municipal aggregation. But
14 majority of those would be covered by three different
15 aggregators, majority for the aggregation setups that they
16 have. So it's not like there's 87 suppliers; there's 24
17 unique, and then there are 87 aggregations. So each
18 aggregation setup has a master agreement. So that would be
19 handled by, you know, three different parties.

20 MR. DOWNING: Not 87 aggregations, 87 total
21 agreements.

22 A (Donyavi) I'm sorry, I'm sorry. 87 total agreements.

23 MR. DOWNING: There's less than that number count of
24 aggregations. Sorry about that. Just wanted to make sure we

1 clarified.

2 HEARING EXAMINER FULLER: Okay. Just for the record
3 for our transcript, sir, what's your name?

4 MR. DOWNING: Aaron Downing.

5 HEARING EXAMINER FULLER: Okay.

6 MR. DOWNING: I'm supplier services account
7 executive in New Hampshire for Eversource.

8 HEARING EXAMINER FULLER: Okay. I think the court
9 reporter can't hear you, so just hit the button in front of
10 you.

11 MR. DOWNING: Oh, I'm sorry.

12 (Counsel confer)

13 MR. DOWNING: Okay. Hi.

14 HEARING EXAMINER FULLER: And just state your name
15 for me.

16 MR. DOWNING: Yeah. It's Aaron Downing.

17 HEARING EXAMINER FULLER: Yep.

18 MR. DOWNING: Just the last name spelled the way it
19 sounds.

20 HEARING EXAMINER FULLER: And your position with
21 Eversource?

22 MR. DOWNING: I'm a Eversource supplier services
23 account executive.

24 HEARING EXAMINER FULLER: Okay. So just for the

1 court reporter, are you all set with the interjection that Mr.
2 Downing made? And for the record?

3 THE COURT REPORTER: I would like the spelling,
4 please.

5 MR. DOWNING: A-A-R-O-N, and Downing is spelled,
6 D-O-W-N-I-N-G.

7 THE COURT REPORTER: Thank you.

8 HEARING EXAMINER FULLER: Thank you.

9 Thank you, sir.

10 MR. DOWNING: Thank you.

11 HEARING EXAMINER FULLER: Okay.

12 BY HEARING EXAMINER FULLER:

13 Q So just go back, what is it, 24 unique suppliers with
14 87 aggregations. Is it going to be 24 supplier agreements?

15 A (Donyavi) No. My apologies. There are 24 unique
16 suppliers.

17 Q Yeah.

18 A (Donyavi) And for those master agreements, we'd have
19 to get signed and returned. And there are 63 municipal
20 aggregations, which together create the 87 total master
21 agreements that we would have to get signed and returned.

22 And then, just for clarification, majority of those
23 are, as you know, 63 of them are municipal aggregation. And
24 of those, majority of them are (indiscernible) municipal

1 aggregators. So it's not like there's a lot of supplier
2 service agreements or master agreements that have to go
3 around. So the bulk of them are going to municipal
4 aggregators.

5 Q Explain it to me. Remembering I don't do exactly what
6 you do for a living. So of the master agreements that have to
7 get signed?

8 A (Donyavi) Yes.

9 Q How many are you going to send out?

10 A (Donyavi) We're going to send out 87.

11 Q 87. You send them to the 24 unique and 63 to the
12 municipals, which totals the 87?

13 A (Donyavi) Yes.

14 Q Okay. And you need to get 87 back or is your
15 testimony that one person or one entity can sign at the same
16 time? I mean, are you getting 87 back, or is one agreement
17 going to cover 4 separate things?

18 A (Donyavi) No.

19 Q 87 back?

20 A (Donyavi) 87. Yeah.

21 Q Okay. Thank you.

22 A (Donyavi) Yeah.

23 HEARING EXAMINER FULLER: Anybody else need to
24 follow up? I have no other questions for the witnesses.

1 Doesn't seem like anybody else has any other questions,
2 either.

3 You can stay in the box if you're comfortable there.
4 You can also take a seat in the audience, whatever you would
5 prefer. If you're good there, then you can stay there.

6 All right. Mr. Below, do you want to provide any
7 testimony today?

8 MR. BELOW: No, thank you.

9 HEARING EXAMINER FULLER: Okay. Thank you.

10 All right. There's no other testimony, we're going
11 to go to closing comments.

12 I will start with Attorney Miranda?

13 MS. MIRANDA: Thank you. The NRG Retail Companies
14 do support adoption of the revised terms and conditions and
15 supplier services agreement that have been proposed by
16 Eversource, with the change testified today regarding the
17 reference to ISO New England. We believe that the approval
18 would result in a POR program and related changes that are
19 just and reasonable and in the public interest. And we
20 encourage the Commission to act as swiftly as possible to
21 ensure that we can have a POR potentially live in the
22 Eversource service territory by, on/or about May 1st of this
23 year.

24 HEARING EXAMINER FULLER: Thank you.

1 Mr. Below?

2 MR. BELOW: Yes. Thank you. The coalition supports
3 this resolution. We appreciate all the parties working
4 together collaboratively. And we particularly note in this
5 instance that Eversource made some significant concessions to
6 reach a general consensus from what they'd originally
7 proposed, and we appreciate that; and believe that the results
8 is consistent with the original settlement agreement,
9 consistent with the statute and the PUC rules, and we support
10 it as just and reasonable and in the public interest. Thank
11 you.

12 HEARING EXAMINER FULLER: Thank you.

13 Attorney Ladwig?

14 MS. LADWIG: Thank you. I will echo the comments of
15 NRG and CPCNH. The Department recommends that the Commission
16 approve Eversource's proposed tariff terms and conditions and
17 supplier services agreement changes as being just and
18 reasonable and in the public interest. Thank you.

19 HEARING EXAMINER FULLER: Thank you.

20 All right. Attorney Wiesner?

21 MR. WIESNER: Oh, thank you. The Company
22 appreciates the cooperation and the good faith efforts of the
23 parties to review and comment on proposed revisions to the
24 tariff terms and the ESSMA form. As provided for in the

1 approved settlement agreement, this second phase of the
2 proceeding involves those new tariff and contract language
3 changes that are necessary to implement POR in New Hampshire.

4 The revised versions of those two documents filed
5 December 16th reflect further discussions with the parties to
6 reach consensus on changes needed to implement POR this year.
7 The parties' discussions were successful, and those versions
8 of the tariff and contract language reflect a general
9 consensus and agreement among the parties regarding the
10 changes necessary for Eversource to implement POR in New
11 Hampshire. Company believes that the versions of the tariff
12 and contract it filed last month are reasonable and
13 appropriate as written and should be approved by the
14 Commission.

15 And please note, as we've heard today from the
16 witnesses, that the new tariff language and revised supplier
17 contract form must be in effect prior to POR program
18 implementation, at least for those suppliers who are active in
19 the state and are using consolidated billing provided by the
20 Company.

21 As you also heard, we are continuing to plan for
22 implementation on May 1st of this year, but of course, that
23 implementation date is subject to potential extension if
24 implementation is unavoidably delayed for reasons such as

1 those referenced in the revised tariff language. Timely
2 approval of the tariff and contract language changes is
3 needed, so the Company can have all competitive suppliers who
4 are using consolidated billing, sign the new modified form of
5 the ESSMA.

6 Accordingly, we would respectfully request that the
7 Commission approve by February 21st, if possible, the proposed
8 revisions to the relevant tariff terms and the ESSMA contract
9 form; including how those provisions will apply to community
10 power aggregations under the statute and PUC 2200 rules. That
11 timing would allow the Company to keep this project on track
12 and meet the target implementation date of May 1st. Thank
13 you.

14 HEARING EXAMINER FULLER: You're welcome. All
15 right. I appreciate everybody's time today.

16 Is there any objection to striking identification on
17 all the exhibits submitted today and accepting them into
18 evidence?

19 Seeing none, that's what we will do. We'll strike
20 the identification and enter all exhibits as full exhibits in
21 this docket.

22 If there's nothing else to cover, we are adjourned.
23 I appreciate everybody's time today.

24 (Whereupon the hearing was adjourned at 1:41 p.m.)

CERTIFICATE

I, Traci Fine, a court-approved proofreader, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the official electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter, to the best of my professional skills and abilities.

TRANSCRIPTIONIST(S): Deanna Hinchy, CDLT-254

TRACI FINE, CDLT-169
Proofreader

February 7, 2025