

From: Jochen Meissner

Sent: Monday, November 27, 2023 7:54 PM

To: PUC: Clerks Office <ClerksOffice@puc.nh.gov>

Subject: DE 22-060, Consideration of Changes to the Current Net Metering Tariff Structure, Including Compensation of Customer-Generators

EXTERNAL: Do not open attachments or click on links unless you recognize and trust the sender.

To the Clerks of the PUC:

I am both, a small solar energy producer via co-ownership of a farm property in Wonalancet, NH and a residential consumer without solar panels in Durham, NH. I am very concerned about the discontinuation of the PUC current system for compensating customers who share surplus solar power on the grid. The three investor-owned utilities accept 75% as fair compensation and a fair balance among the interests of Customer-Generators, the utility companies, and NH residents who do not have net-metered energy devices. Wearing both hats of customer generator and not-generating customer, I feel the downsides of changing the current net metering system outweigh the upsides.

DOWN-SHIFTING: The VDER Study acknowledged that, for residents without net-metered devices, “Monthly bills would increase by a small percentage for non-DG customers (1% to 1.5% for residential).”

REDUCE TOTAL DEMAND: But on the positive side, the VDER Study found that Customer-Generated net-metering (DG systems) “can generate energy and thereby decrease utility load, reducing the total demand that must be met by New Hampshire’s utilities – and the ISO New England (ISO-NE) wholesale markets. This can reduce utility costs, generating avoided cost values.”

BETTER TRANSMISSION EFFICIENCY: Electricity used at the property where it is generated does not suffer transmission loss. Electricity that must travel through transmission lines, from where it is generated to where it is used, does lose power along the way.

SUPPORT FOR LOCAL ECONOMY: Energy purchased from our legacy utilities is generated out-of-state, so the money NH residents use to pay their energy bills is sent out of New Hampshire. Solar panels are installed by businesses located in NH – they create jobs and keep money in the state. Money saved by those who net-meter is money that can be spent in the state, also adding to our economy.

The economic advantages of a stable net-metering system outweigh the disadvantage of minimal downshifting. Our three investor-owned utilities jointly gave their support to continuing the current system. Eversource spokesperson William Hinkle testified in favor of the economics of the system: “New Hampshire’s net metering policy—which is among the most balanced in New England—has been effective in encouraging the growth of [solar]resources in our state, and there is no evidence that the current compensation level is creating unjust cost shifts.”

Thank you for considering my view.

Jochen Meissner