From: Deen Arif, Faisal <Faisal.DeenArif@energy.nh.gov>
Sent: Friday, August 11, 2023 10:41 AM
To: James Bonner <James.Bonner@libertyutilities.com>
Cc: Michael J. Sheehan [Liberty] <michael.sheehan@libertyutilities.com>; Tyler Culbertson
[Liberty] (Tyler.Culbertson@libertyutilities.com) <Tyler.Culbertson@libertyutilities.com>;
Dexter, Paul <Paul.B.Dexter@energy.nh.gov>; Schwarzer, Mary
<Mary.E.Schwarzer@energy.nh.gov>; Mark Thompson [Consultant - RDAF]
<mark@forecon.com>; Gil Hugh Peach [Consultant - RDAF]
<hgp@PeachandAssociatesLLC.onmicrosoft.com>
Subject: Qs related to DG 22-045 (RDAF issues)

Hello Jim,

Thank you very much for the explanation about the source data for decoupling calculations
(including the calculation of Equivalent Bills and True-Ups) at our session on July 17, 2023. It
was helpful.

During our meeting, Mike Sheehan mentioned that we can continue our discussion about
additional data requirements. Below is a list of information that we believe would be useful to us
(and the PUC) in understanding/verifying the true-up calculations. We believe the requested info
below (with the exception of the request for software program codes) could have been provided
in response to DOE Data Request Set 3 issued October 27, 2022.

1. Please provide the source data for the equivalent bill (EB) calculation for Decoupling Year 4 (DY4
   from September 1, 2021 to August 31, 2022) and DY3 (from September 1, 2020 to August 31,
   2021). If this data is too voluminous, please provide data for January 2022 (i.e., one month of
   data in DY4) for the residential group and the commercial group along with all relevant data in
   the succeeding four months (i.e., the true-up period) for that one month that you deem
   necessary to get a complete picture of January 2022 data.

2. Please identify and provide all software program codes needed to use the data provided above
   to review/verify the EB calculations and the true-up calculations.

3. For DY3 and DY4, please provide both the data and a narrative explanation of various reasons
   for performing the true-up process (for example, the various reasons an estimated EB went up

after four months). Also, please provide a clear demonstration of the impact(s) of each reason on the target revenue, allowed revenue, and actual revenue. For the purpose of responding to this question, please use and/or modify the attached Excel shell as you deem fit.

4. For DY3 and DY4, and for each impacted rate class, provide both the data and a narrative explanation of the rate schedule re-classification and its impact on target revenue, allowed revenue, and actual revenue. For the purpose of responding to this question, please use and/or modify the attached Excel shell as you deem necessary.

Deen

603-271-2441

Summary of Equivalent Bills (Ebs) True-Ups

Data Identification

Month:	January 2022
Rate class:	R-1, R-3, R-4, G-51, G-53 etc.
Frequency:	Monthly

Actual Revenue

Actual Revenue before True-up:	**$**
Actual Revenue after True-up:	**$**

Reasons for EB Adjustments	Impact on Target Revenue ($)	Impact on Target Revenue as % of Actual Revenue after true-up	Impact on Allowed Revenue ($)	Impact on Allowed Revenue as % of Actual Revenue after true-up	Impact on Actual Revenue ($)	Impact on Actual Revenue as % of Actual Revenue after true-up
Existing EBs issued that should not have been issued		#VALUE!		#VALUE!		#VALUE!
Existing EBs NOT issued that should have been issued		#VALUE!		#VALUE!		#VALUE!
Customer ceases to be a Liberty customer		#VALUE!		#VALUE!		#VALUE!
New customers		#VALUE!		#VALUE!		#VALUE!
Customer re-classification		#VALUE!		#VALUE!		#VALUE!
Other reasons …		#VALUE!		#VALUE!		#VALUE!
…		#VALUE!		#VALUE!		#VALUE!
…		#VALUE!		#VALUE!		#VALUE!