

**NORTHERN UTILITIES, INC.  
NEW HAMPSHIRE DIVISION  
NOVEMBER 2021 / OCTOBER 2022 ANNUAL PERIOD  
COST OF GAS ADJUSTMENT FILING  
PREFILED TESTIMONY OF  
CHRISTOPHER A. KAHL**

1 **I. INTRODUCTION**

2 **Q. Please state your name and business address.**

3 A. My name is Christopher A. Kahl. My business address is 6 Liberty Lane West,  
4 Hampton, New Hampshire.

5 **Q. For whom do you work and in what capacity?**

6 A. I am a Senior Regulatory Analyst for Unitil Service Corp. (“Unitil Service”), a subsidiary  
7 of Unitil Corporation (“Unitil”). Unitil Service provides managerial, financial, regulatory  
8 and engineering services to the principal subsidiaries of Unitil. These subsidiaries are  
9 Fitchburg Gas and Electric Light Company d/b/a Unitil, Granite State Gas Transmission,  
10 Inc. (“Granite”), Northern Utilities, Inc. d/b/a Unitil (“Northern” or “the Company”), and  
11 Unitil Energy Systems, Inc. I am responsible for developing, providing and sponsoring  
12 certain reports, testimony and proposals filed with regulatory agencies.

13 **Q. Please summarize your professional and educational background.**

14 A. I have worked in the natural gas industry for over twenty-five years. Before joining  
15 Unitil in January 2011, I was employed as an Analyst with Columbia Gas of  
16 Massachusetts (“Columbia”) where I had worked since 1997 in supply planning. Prior to  
17 working for Columbia, I was employed as an Analyst in the Rates and Regulatory Affairs  
18 Department of Algonquin Gas Transmission Company (“Algonquin”) from 1993 to 1997.  
19 Prior to working for Algonquin, I was employed as a Senior Associate/Energy Consultant

1 for DRI/McGraw-Hill. I received a Bachelor of Sciences degree and a Masters of Arts  
2 degree in Economics from Northeastern University.

3 **Q. Have you previously testified before the New Hampshire Public Utilities**  
4 **Commission or for Unitol?**

5 A. Yes, I have testified before the Commission in the 2021 / 2020 Annual Cost of Gas  
6 (“COG”) proceeding, Docket No. DG 20-154 and the 2019 / 2020 Annual COG  
7 proceeding, Docket No. DG 19-154. I have testified in numerous other Cost of Gas  
8 proceedings as well.

9 **Q. Please explain the purpose of your pre-filed direct testimony in this proceeding.**

10 A. This proceeding reflects the annual COG filing and will present both the 2021 / 2022  
11 Winter Season and 2022 Summer Season COG rates as well as various ancillary rates. I,  
12 Francis Wells, Manager of Gas Supply for Unitol Service, and Elena Demeris, Senior  
13 Regulatory Analyst for Unitol Service are sharing the responsibility of supporting the  
14 proposed New Hampshire Division 2021 / 2022 Annual COG and other proposed rate  
15 adjustments in this proceeding.

16 Mr. Wells is sponsoring the customer demand forecast and the resulting forecasted gas  
17 sendout and gas costs he developed for the Maine and New Hampshire Divisions. He is  
18 also providing the Capacity Allocation Percentages, the Peaking Demand Rate  
19 calculation and the Re-Entry Rate and Conversion Rate calculations.

20 Ms. Demeris is sponsoring the calculation of the 2021 / 2022 Local Distribution  
21 Adjustment Clause (“LDAC”), and the typical customer bill impacts resulting from the  
22 proposed 2021 / 2022 Winter Season and 2022 Summer Season COG rates.

1 My testimony presents and explains the New Hampshire Division's 2020 / 2021 Annual  
2 COG Reconciliation, the calculation of the 2021 / 2022 annual COG and the rates  
3 Northern proposes to charge customers for the November 1, 2021 to April 30, 2022  
4 Winter Season, and for the May 1, 2022 to October 31, 2022 Summer Season. In  
5 addition, I will also discuss some of the proposed ancillary rates that are to be effective  
6 November 1, 2021 and May 1, 2022.

7 **Q. Please provide a list of the Attachments that you have prepared in support of your**  
8 **testimony.**

9 Before providing the list of attachments, I would like to point out that the filing has been  
10 reorganized in order for the Commissioners, Commission Staff, the Office of the  
11 Consumer Advocate, and any other interested parties to more easily tie the schedules to  
12 the testimony. Previously, the proposed ancillary rates and other supporting information  
13 were located in the rear section of the filing. The new format of the filing presents the  
14 schedules in a more sequential order, consistent with the order in which they are  
15 referenced in the testimony. All attachment numbers are preceded by the initials of the  
16 witness sponsoring the attachment. These attachments are now grouped with the  
17 attachments pertaining to the testimony of the witness thus allowing the reviewer to more  
18 easily match up testimony and attachments. In adopting this new format, the Company  
19 has not eliminated any of the schedules provided in previous filings. The Attachments  
20 that I have prepared in support of my testimony are listed below.

21

Attachment NUI-CAK-1	Allocation of Northern Fixed Capacity Costs To New Hampshire and Maine Divisions
Attachment NUI-CAK-2	Allocation of New Hampshire Fixed Capacity Costs To Months and Seasons
Attachment NUI-CAK-3	Division Sales and Sendout Forecast
Attachment NUI-CAK-4	Allocation of New Hampshire Demand Costs To New Hampshire Firm Sales Rate Classes
Attachment NUI-CAK-5	Allocation of Northern Commodity Costs To New Hampshire and Maine Divisions
Attachment NUI-CAK-6	New Hampshire Division Commodity Cost Analysis
Attachment NUI-CAK-7	Northern Utilities Inventory Activity
Attachment NUI-CAK-8	Allocation of New Hampshire Variable Gas Costs To New Hampshire Firm Sales Rate Classes
Attachment NUI-CAK-9	Calculation of High and Low Load Factor Rate Adjustments
Attachment NUI-CAK-10	2020 - 2021 Annual Reconciliation
Attachment NUI-CAK-11	Bad Debt Calculation
Attachment NUI-CAK-12	New Hampshire Division (Over) / Under-collection Balances and Interest Calculations
Attachment NUI-CAK-13	Summary of Cost of Gas Rate Calculations
Attachment NUI-CAK-14	Comparison of Proposed Rates to Current Rates
Attachment NUI-CAK-15	Supplier Balancing Charge
Attachment NUI-CAK-16	Prior Year Re-entry Rate and Conversion Rate Revenues
Attachment NUI-CAK-17	Short Term Debt Limit Calculation

1

2

3

1 **II Summary**

2 **Q. Please Summarize Northern’s proposed 2021 / 2022 Summer Period and Winter**  
3 **Period COG rates and describe how they compare to last year’s rates.**

4 A. Table 1 below provides Unitil’s proposed 2021 / 2022 Winter Period COG rates and  
5 compares them to the average COG rates for the 2020 / 2021 Winter Period. As this table  
6 shows, Winter Period COG rates are higher than those in 2020 / 2021 by \$0.2077 for  
7 residential customers and higher by \$0.1988 and \$0.2114 per therm for High and Low  
8 Load Factor Commercial / Industrial (“C / I”) customers, respectively.

9 **Table 1**

10 **Winter Period Cost of Gas Rates**

Class	2021 / 2022 Proposed Rate per therm	2020 / 2021 Average Rate per therm	Percent Change From 2020/2021 Winter Period
Residential Non-Heat (R-5, R-6 & R-10)	\$0.9392	\$0.7315	28.39%
C & I - High Load Factor (G-50, G-51 & G-52)	\$0.8453	\$0.6465	30.75%
C & I - Low Load Factor (G-40, G-41 & G-42)	\$0.9551	\$0.7437	28.43%

11

12 Table 2 below provides Unitil’s proposed 2021 / 2022 Summer Period COG rates and  
13 compares them to the average COG rates for the 2020 / 2021 Summer Period. As this  
14 table shows, the proposed COG rates are \$0.0146 higher for Residential customers,

1 \$0.0239 higher for High Load Factor C / I customers and \$0.0154 higher for Low Load  
 2 Factor C / I customers.

3 **Table 2**

4 **Summer Period Cost of Gas Rates**

Class	2021 / 2022 Proposed Rate per therm	2020 / 2021 Average Rate per therm	Percent Change From 2020 / 2021 Summer Period
Residential Non-Heat (R-5, R-6 & R-10)	\$0.5176	\$0. 4970	4.14%
C & I - High Load Factor (G-50, G-51 & G-52)	\$0.4740	\$0. 4501	5.31%
C & I - Low Load Factor (G-40, G-41 & G-42)	\$0.5445	\$0. 5291	2.91%

5

6 A summary of the calculation of these rates, and the components that make up these rates  
 7 is provided in Attachment NUI-CAK-10. A more detailed comparison of 2021 / 2022  
 8 residential COG rates to 2021 / 2022 residential rates is provided in Attachment NUI-  
 9 CAK-14. I will describe the reasons for the change in COG rates later in my testimony.  
 10 Customer bill impacts resulting from the change in COG rates are discussed in the  
 11 testimony of Ms. Demeris and are presented in Attachment1 NUI-SED-3.

12 **II. COST OF GAS FACTOR**

13 **Q. Please provide an overview of how Northern’s COG-related costs are allocated to**  
 14 **the New Hampshire Division rate classes.**

1 A. The allocation of Northern’s costs to the New Hampshire Division rate classes is derived  
2 through three steps. They are as follows:

3 Step 1 – Allocate total Northern costs between the New Hampshire and Maine Divisions.

4 Step 2 - Allocate New Hampshire Division costs to the Winter and Summer Seasons.

5 Step 3 – Allocate New Hampshire Division seasonal costs to each rate class.

6 I will provide a detailed explanation of how these three steps are conducted.

7 **A. Allocation of Northern’s Demand-Related Costs to the Maine and New**  
8 **Hampshire Divisions**

9 **Q. Please explain how Northern’s projected fixed costs, i.e. (a) pipeline reservation and**  
10 **gas supply demand charges, (b) underground storage capacity costs and (c) peaking**  
11 **resource capacity costs are allocated between Northern’s Maine and New**  
12 **Hampshire Divisions.**

13 A. Northern’s total demand costs are allocated to the Maine and New Hampshire Divisions  
14 by application of the Modified Proportional Responsibility (“MPR”) methodology. The  
15 MPR methodology allocates fixed gas costs to the Maine and New Hampshire Divisions  
16 in a two-step process: (1) costs, by resource type<sup>1</sup>, are allocated to months by application  
17 of MPR allocation factors; and (2) the costs allocated to each month are then allocated to  
18 the Maine and New Hampshire Divisions based on the relative shares of Design Year

---

<sup>1</sup> Pipeline, storage and peaking.

1 demand<sup>2</sup> in that month. This MPR methodology was approved by the Commission  
2 pursuant to settlements in Docket Nos. 2005-087 and 2005-273.

3 As I will explain in more detail below, I used the MPR methodology to allocate  
4 Northern's projected total annual demand costs to the Maine and New Hampshire  
5 Divisions for the 2021 / 2022 Winter Season (November 2021 through April 2022) and  
6 for the 2022 Summer Season (May 2022 through October 2022).

7 **Q. Please give an overview of the process you followed to derive the MPR allocator**  
8 **used to assign Northern's projected total demand costs for the 12-month period**  
9 **November 2021 through October 2022 to the Maine and New Hampshire Divisions.**

10 A. I have prepared Attachment NUI-CAK-1 to explain how I calculated the MPR factors  
11 and how I used these factors to allocate Northern's total demand costs for November  
12 2021 through October 2022 ("COG Period") to the Maine and New Hampshire Divisions.  
13 In this attachment, I distinguish between two types of demand costs; Capacity-related and  
14 Off-system Peaking demand costs. Capacity-related demand costs reflect the resource  
15 costs of Pipeline, Storage and On-system Peaking supplies, as well as credits for capacity  
16 release and asset management agreements, for both Sales Service and capacity assigned  
17 Delivery Service customers. Off-system Peaking demand costs reflect the costs

---

<sup>2</sup> For the MPR allocation process, Design Year demand is calculated as the actual demand to Maine and New Hampshire Division's firm sales and assigned capacity / non-grandfathered transportation customers for the period May 2020 through April 2021, adjusted to reflect design weather conditions from November through April and normal weather conditions from May through October.



1 associated with Northern's Off-system Peaking resources used for Sales Service  
2 customers only.

3 Attachment NUI-CAK-1 is arranged in the following six sections;

4 (1) Total Capacity-related demand costs, by type of resource (Pipeline, Storage,  
5 On-system Peaking, and other capacity related costs and credits), are summarized  
6 in Lines 1 through 14.

7 (2) Capacity-related demand costs for each resource type are allocated to each  
8 month in the COG Period according to MPR allocators that were developed  
9 specifically for each resource type, as shown on Lines 16 through 52, where the  
10 MPR allocators are based on design year sendout volumes for each resource type.

11 (3) Capacity-related demand costs that are allocated to each month in Section 2  
12 are allocated to the Maine and New Hampshire Divisions according to design year  
13 total firm sendout as shown in Lines 53 through 96.

14 4) Off-system Peaking demand costs, shown on Line 97, are allocated to each  
15 month in the Winter Period according to MPR allocators that were developed  
16 based on the dispatch of Sales Service customer demand as shown in Lines 99  
17 through 106.

18 5) Off-system Peaking demand costs that are allocated to each month in Section 4  
19 are then allocated to the Maine and New Hampshire Divisions according to design  
20 year total Sales Service sendout as shown in Lines 108 through 123.

1           6) Total Demand costs for each division are then calculated by applying the ratio  
2           of each division's Capacity-related demand costs and Off-system Peaking demand  
3           costs to Northern's total costs as shown in Lines 124 through 137. From these  
4           calculations, the PR allocators are determined. As shown, for November 2021  
5           through October 2022, the PR allocators are 59.01% for the Company's Maine  
6           Division and 40.99% for the New Hampshire Division.

7           I note the second column of Pages 2, 4 and 6 of Attachment NUI-CAK-1 describes the  
8           sources of data and explains the calculations included in Attachment NUI-CAK-1, on  
9           Pages 1, 3 and 5. Similar explanations are included in other attachments referenced in  
10          my testimony.

11   **Q.    Why are Off-system Peaking demand costs listed in steps 4 through 6 allocated**  
12    **separately from all other demands costs?**

13    A.    Northern no longer purchases Off-system Peaking supplies for capacity-assigned  
14          Delivery Service customers in either its Maine or New Hampshire Divisions<sup>3</sup>.  
15          Accordingly, these costs should not be included in the allocation of Capacity-related  
16          demand costs because the associated dispatch of these resources includes capacity-  
17          assigned (i.e. Sales Service plus capacity-assigned Delivery Service) load. A capacity  
18          resource, like the Company's Off-system Peaking Supplies, that reflects only the cost

---

<sup>3</sup> Northern ceased purchasing Off-system Peaking supplies for capacity assignment customers in the New Hampshire Division effective November 1, 2016.

1 associated with Sales Service customers, should be allocated between divisions based on  
2 the dispatch of Sales Service load only.

3 **Q. Please explain how you allocated Northern’s forecasted total Capacity-related**  
4 **demand costs to the months in the COG Period.**

5 A. Lines 3 through 5 of Attachment NUI-CAK-1 show Northern’s total projected demand  
6 costs for Pipeline, Storage, and On-system Peaking resources<sup>4</sup>. Also included are  
7 estimates of Northern’s Capacity Release and Asset Management revenues, which I have  
8 summarized in Lines 8 and 9 of Attachment NUI-CAK-1.

9 The development of the MPR factors and the application of these factors to allocate  
10 Pipeline, Storage and On-system Peaking demand costs to each month are shown on  
11 Attachment NUI-CAK-1, Lines 20 through 25, Lines 36 through 43 and Lines 47 through  
12 52, respectively. In addition, Lines 29 through 32 show the calculation of the Storage  
13 Injection Fees, by month. Storage Injection Fees represent capacity costs that comprise  
14 the portion of Northern’s pipeline capacity that is used to transport gas to and from the  
15 underground storage fields. If the Company expects to incur such fees, they are added to  
16 the Storage demand costs, as shown on Line 42, and subtracted from the Pipeline demand  
17 costs, as shown on Line 57. However, as indicated, for the 2021 / 2022 Winter Season,  
18 storage injection fees are zero. This is because Northern is purchasing storage gas

---

<sup>4</sup> The forecast of demand costs is provided in Schedule 20-FXW.

1 directly at the underground storage facility thereby eliminating the need for transportation  
2 to the facility and the associated transfer of costs.

3 Northern's fixed capacity costs that have been allocated to each month are summarized  
4 and consolidated on Lines 54 through 60. Lines 54, 55 and 56 repeat the Pipeline,  
5 Storage, and On-system Peaking capacity costs from Lines 25, 43, and 52. Line 57  
6 shows the credit to Pipeline capacity costs that is related to the Storage Injection Fees that  
7 have been added to the Storage capacity costs<sup>5</sup>. In addition, 1/5 of total Capacity Release  
8 revenues are allocated evenly to each month from November through March, as shown  
9 on Line 58, and 1/6 of total Asset Management revenues are allocated evenly to each  
10 month from November through April, as shown on Line 59.

11 **Q. How are the total Capacity-related Demand Costs and the Capacity Release and**  
12 **Asset Management revenues, which have been allocated to each month according to**  
13 **the process that you described above, allocated to the Maine and New Hampshire**  
14 **Divisions?**

15 A. Northern's Total Capacity-related Demand Costs<sup>6</sup> and Capacity Release and Asset  
16 Management revenues allocated to each month are then allocated to the Maine and New  
17 Hampshire Divisions according to the design year total firm sendout for both divisions,  
18 which is shown in Lines 65 and 66 of Attachment NUI-CAK-1; the calculated  
19 percentages are provided in Lines 70 and 71. In accordance with Commission-approved

---

<sup>5</sup> As indicated, for the 2021 / 2022 Winter Season, the credit is zero due to purchases being made directly at the storage facility.

<sup>6</sup> Costs reflect pipeline, storage and on-system peaking resources.

1 settlements<sup>7</sup>, the design-year firm sendout quantities for the COG Period as shown on  
2 Lines 65 and 66 are the sendout quantities required to serve the Maine and New  
3 Hampshire Divisions' firm sales and transportation customers that are subject to the  
4 assigned-capacity requirements under design winter conditions from May 2020 to April  
5 2021.

6 **Q. Is the same process used for allocating Capacity-related demand costs also used for**  
7 **Off-system Peaking demand costs?**

8 A. Yes. Lines 101 through 106 of Attachment NUI-CAK-1 use the same process for  
9 allocating resource costs to each month as that used in Lines 47 through 52. Also, Lines  
10 109 through 123 use the same process for applying monthly costs to divisional sendout as  
11 used in Lines 62 through 77. As shown in Lines 121 and 122, Off-system Peaking  
12 demand costs are allocated to each division based on the design winter dispatch of Sales  
13 Service customers only.

14 **Q. Finally, how are the combined PR Allocators for both Capacity-related and Sales**  
15 **Service demands calculated?**

16 A. The combined PR allocators are based on the percentage of total Capacity-related and  
17 Off-System Peaking PRs costs allocated to each division. Lines 125 and 130 of  
18 Attachment NUI-CAK-1 show the Capacity-related PR allocators while Lines 126 and  
19 131 show the corresponding values for Off-system peaking PR allocators. Lines 127 and

---

<sup>7</sup> These settlements were approved in Docket Nos. 2005-87 and 2005-273.

1 132 show the combined PR Allocators, 59.01% for Maine and 40.99% for New  
2 Hampshire, used to assign costs between divisions.

3 **B. Allocation of New Hampshire Demand-Related Costs to Seasons**

4 **Q. Please explain how the projected annual demand-related costs that are allocated to**  
5 **the New Hampshire Division are then assigned to be recovered in the 2021 / 2022**  
6 **Winter Season and the 2022 Summer Season.**

7 A. Northern allocates costs between the seasons as well as among customer classes through  
8 the Simplified Market Based Allocation (“SMBA”) method. I have prepared Attachment  
9 NUI-CAK-2 to show detailed support for the allocation of New Hampshire Division  
10 Sales Service demand costs to months, and then to seasons utilizing the SMBA method.  
11 Lines 2 through 4 of Attachment NUI-CAK-2 summarize the Pipeline and Storage and  
12 On-system Peaking demand costs that are allocated to the New Hampshire Division, as  
13 determined in Attachment NUI-CAK-1. Lines 12 through 22 of Attachment NUI-CAK-2  
14 show the calculation of Net Demand Costs for firm sales customers, which is Total  
15 Demand Costs allocated to the New Hampshire Division less the capacity assignment  
16 revenues from New Hampshire Division transportation customers. The Winter and  
17 Summer Season rates that will be charged to New Hampshire Division firm sales  
18 customers from November 2021 through October 2022 will recover: (1) the Net Pipeline

1 Demand costs shown on Line 19; (2) the Net Storage costs shown on Line 20; and (3) the  
2 On-system Peaking demand costs shown on Line 21 of Attachment NUI-CAK-2.<sup>8</sup>

3 Lines 26 through 40 of Attachment NUI-CAK-2 show the calculation of pipeline demand  
4 costs for sales customers, separated into (1) Base Use demand costs and (2) Remaining  
5 Use demand costs.<sup>9</sup> The Base Use that is shown on Line 31 of Attachment NUI-CAK-2  
6 is the average projected daily use in July and August 2022<sup>10</sup> for all firm sales classes. The  
7 Base Pipeline Use Demand cost that is shown on Line 39 of Attachment NUI-CAK-2 is  
8 calculated by multiplying Firm Sales Base Use, shown on Line 31, times the weighted  
9 average annual cost of pipeline capacity, as shown on Line 35 of Attachment NUI-CAK-  
10 2. Line 40 shows the Remaining Pipeline Use Net Pipeline Demand costs for sales  
11 customers, which is the difference between total net Pipeline and Product Demand costs  
12 and Base Pipeline Use demand costs.

13 Lines 44 through 49 of Attachment NUI-CAK-2 show the calculation of the Proportional  
14 Responsibility (“PR”) allocator that is used to allocate (a) Remaining Use Net Pipeline  
15 Demand costs and (b) Storage and On-system Peaking costs related to Firm Sales  
16 customers for twelve months, November 2021 through October 2022. Lines 51 through  
17 55 show the calculation of the PR factor that is used to allocate (c) Capacity Release and  
18 Asset Management revenues, (d) Interruptible margins and Re-entry Rate and Conversion

---

<sup>8</sup> These direct demand costs are adjusted by Outage Replacement expenses (Line 75); Capacity Release and Asset Management revenues (Line 77); Interruptible margins (Line 78); and Re-Entry Rate and Conversion Rate Credits (Line 79).

<sup>9</sup> This separation is necessary because the SMBA allocation methodology allocates Base Use demand costs to seasons on a different basis than Remaining Use demand costs.

<sup>10</sup> Average Projected Daily demand by class in July and August is shown in Attachment NUI-CAK-3, Line 48.

1 Rate revenues and (e) Off-system Peaking Supplies to the Winter Season months,  
2 November 2021 through April 2022. These PR factors are summarized by type of  
3 capacity cost at lines 60 through 65. Line 60 of Attachment NUI-CAK-2 shows that  
4 1/12<sup>th</sup> of the net annual Base Use pipeline demand costs is allocated to each month, and  
5 Lines 69 through 79 show the detailed allocation to months of all components that are  
6 included in the Total Net Demand Costs, based on the “All Months” and “Peak Months  
7 Only” allocation factors.

8 As shown on Line 80 of Attachment NUI-CAK-2, \$12,798,284 of total direct demand  
9 costs are allocated to the 2021 / 2022 Winter Season, and \$1,354,125 is allocated to the  
10 2022 Summer Season.

11 **Q. Please explain the annual Outage Replacement expense of \$44,367 listed on Line 75**  
12 **of Attachment NUI-CAK-2.**

13 A. The Outage Replacement expense listed on Line 75 pertains to two separate occurrences of  
14 requiring replacement supplies to maintain service to Northern’s customers during a pipeline  
15 outage. The first outage occurred in in June 2020 and the second outage occurred in June  
16 2021.

17 **Q. Please provide additional information on the first pipeline outage.**

18 A. The first pipeline outage was due to upstream construction involving the addition of a new  
19 compressor to the Eliot Compressor Station as part of Portland Natural Gas Transmission



1 System's (PNGTS) Portland Xpress Project (PXP)<sup>11</sup>. In Northern's 2020-2021 COG filing,  
2 the Company requested, and was allowed, recovery of \$119,666<sup>12</sup> for the fixed cost portion  
3 of acquiring compressed natural gas ("CNG") to maintain service. However, the Company  
4 had also incurred an additional \$18,105 of expenses it did not include in the 2020-2021 COG  
5 filing. As result, Northern is proposing to collect the New Hampshire Division's share of  
6 the remaining charges in this filing. Of the remaining amount, the portion allocated to the  
7 New Hampshire division, \$7,575, is based on the PR Allocator in effect at the time of the  
8 outage (41.84%). An itemized list of the additional expenses is provided on page 1 of  
9 Confidential Attachment NUI-CAK-2A.

10 **Q. Please provide additional information on the second pipeline outage.**

11 A. The second outage was due to work at the Westbrook gate station for the PNGTS<sup>13</sup> WXP  
12 project. As with the work on the Eliot Compressor Station, this project required the shut-  
13 down of a portion of Northern's system. In this case, all gas flowing onto Northern's  
14 system from both PNGTS and Maritimes and Northeast Pipeline was shut down and  
15 required the acquisition of a replacement supply on this portion of Northern's system. The  
16 total demand cost for the replacement supply was \$90,000<sup>14</sup>. Of this amount, the portion  
17 allocated to the New Hampshire division, \$36,792, is based on the PR Allocator in effect at

---

<sup>11</sup> Northern's distribution system in Eliot is fed by a single pipeline interconnection and the construction of the compressor station required the station to shut down thereby necessitating CNG supply in order to maintain service to that portion of its system.

<sup>12</sup> Total CNG demand costs were \$286,008 of which 41.84% were assigned to the New Hampshire division.

<sup>13</sup> Portland Natural Gas Transmission System

<sup>14</sup> The invoice for this demand cost is provided on page 2 of Confidential Attachment CAK-2A.

1 the time of the outage (40.88%). The expenses associated with this outage is discussed in  
2 greater detail in Mr. Wells' testimony.

3 **C. Allocation of New Hampshire Winter and Summer Season Demand Costs to**  
4 **Customer Classes**

5 **Q. Please explain how the New Hampshire Division sales service demand-related costs**  
6 **that were allocated to the Winter and Summer Seasons are allocated to each sales**  
7 **rate class.**

8 A. The New Hampshire Division sales service Base Use demand-related costs for each  
9 month are allocated to each Sales Service rate class based on that class's pro rata share of  
10 total forecasted firm sendout to sales customers under normal weather conditions in that  
11 month. The Remaining Use demand-related costs for each month are allocated to each  
12 Sales Service rate class based on that class's pro rata share of total forecasted firm sales  
13 design day, temperature-sensitive demand.

14 I have prepared Attachment NUI-CAK-3 to show the calculation of the factors that are  
15 used to allocate New Hampshire Division Sales Service Winter and Summer Season Base  
16 Use demand-related costs for each month to each sales service rate class. The firm sales  
17 forecast, shown on Lines 1 to 16, and the firm sendout forecast by class, shown on Lines  
18 18 to 33, are used to determine: daily Base Use, shown on Lines 35 to 48; Base Use  
19 sendout, shown on Lines 49 to 64; and Remaining Use sendout, shown on Lines 66 to 80.  
20 The Base and Remaining Use sendout values for each class are used to allocate the  
21 seasonal demand costs to the New Hampshire Division firm sales classes.

1 I have prepared Attachment NUI-CAK-4 to show the allocation of Winter and Summer  
2 Season New Hampshire Division Net Demand costs to each firm sales rate class, based  
3 on (a) the New Hampshire Net Demand costs that are allocated to each Winter Season  
4 and Summer Season month as shown in Attachment NUI-CAK-2, Lines 69 through 79,  
5 and (b) the rate class allocators as shown Attachment NUI-CAK-3, Lines 49 to 80. The  
6 Base Use Sendout allocators, which are used to allocate base demand costs to firm sales  
7 rate classes, are shown on Lines 3 through 22 of Attachment NUI-CAK-4. The  
8 Remaining Use Design Day allocators, which are used to allocate all other demand-  
9 related costs and credits to firm Sales Service rate classes, are shown on Lines 39 through  
10 48.

11 The following table shows the location in Attachment NUI-CAK-4 of the Net Demand-  
12 related costs and credits by component allocated to each firm sales rate class:

Demand Cost Component	Attachment NUI-CAK-4
Base Capacity	Lines 24 through 37
Remaining Pipeline Capacity	Lines 50 through 66
Peaking and Storage Demand	Lines 68 through 84
Off-system Peaking Demand & Outage Expense	Lines 86 through 102
Capacity Release & Asset Mgmt. Revenues	Lines 105 through 121
Interruptible, Re-entry & Conversion Revenues	Lines 123 through 139
Total Non-Base Capacity Costs	Lines 141 through 155
Total Capacity Costs	Lines 157 through 175

13  
14 **D. Allocation of Variable Costs**

15 **Q. Please provide a description of Variable costs, and explain how Variable costs are**  
16 **allocated to Northern's Maine and New Hampshire Divisions.**

1 A. Variable costs include commodity costs and variable pipeline and storage costs<sup>15</sup> for firm  
2 sales. Mr. Wells prepared a forecast of Northern's variable gas costs by month, which is  
3 provided in Attachment NUI-FXW-8. These variable gas costs have been allocated  
4 between the Maine and New Hampshire Divisions based on each Division's percentage  
5 of monthly firm normal sendout. I have prepared Attachment NUI-CAK-5 to show the  
6 allocation of the 2021 / 2022 Winter and Summer Season variable gas costs between the  
7 Maine and New Hampshire Divisions.

8 **Q. Please explain Attachment NUI-CAK-5.**

9 A. Lines 1 through 10 of Attachment NUI-CAK-5 show the projected sendout volumes, by  
10 month and by resource type, which Mr. Wells provided to me. Mr. Wells also provided  
11 the projected variable costs by month and by type of gas supply resource that are shown  
12 on Lines 12, 20 and 21 of Attachment NUI-CAK-5. This Attachment also shows  
13 projected Off-system Sales revenues on Line 22. The pipeline commodity costs shown  
14 on Lines 12 and 19 are based on projected NYMEX prices as of September 14, 2021.  
15 The total variable gas costs for firm Sales Service, on Lines 24 and 36, are allocated to  
16 the Maine and New Hampshire Divisions based on projected monthly firm sales sendout  
17 in each division; the allocators are shown on Lines 40, 41, 45 and 46. Attachment NUI-  
18 CAK-5 also shows the allocation of Commodity costs to the two Divisions, (Maine  
19 Division: Lines 51 through 57; New Hampshire Division: Lines 59 through 65). Finally,

---

<sup>15</sup> Variable costs include pipeline usage/commodity charges, pipeline fuel retention, storage commodity injection and withdrawal charges, and storage fuel retention.

1 Attachment NUI-CAK-5 shows the inventory finance costs for underground storage and  
2 LNG resources (Lines 82 to 84), the allocation of these costs to the Maine and New  
3 Hampshire Divisions (Lines 87 to 89), and the allocation of New Hampshire Division's  
4 allocated share of annual inventory finance costs to the Winter Season, using the firm  
5 sales remaining sendout allocators (Lines 98 to 100).

6 I have prepared Attachment NUI-CAK-6 to summarize the New Hampshire Division  
7 variable gas costs that were determined in Attachment NUI-CAK-5. This attachment also  
8 shows the calculation of base and remaining commodity costs.

9 **Q. Please explain how you calculated the inventory finance costs for underground**  
10 **storage and LNG resources that are included in Attachment NUI-CAK-5.**

11 A. The allocation of inventory finance charges to the Company's Maine and New  
12 Hampshire Divisions are shown on Lines 87 and 88 of Attachment NUI-CAK-5. These  
13 inventory finance costs, as shown on Lines 82 and 83 were calculated based on  
14 forecasted inventory activity calculations which are shown in Attachment NUI-CAK-7.

15 **Q. Please explain how the New Hampshire Division variable gas costs for sales**  
16 **customers are allocated to each firm sales class.**

17 A. I have prepared Attachment NUI-CAK-8 to show the allocation of New Hampshire  
18 Division variable gas costs to each firm sales class. Lines 1 to 21 show the calculation of  
19 the Base Sendout allocators by rate class. Lines 22 to 35 show the allocation of the

1 monthly New Hampshire Division Base Commodity costs<sup>16</sup> to each rate class. Lines 37  
2 to 56 show the calculation of the Remaining Sendout allocators by rate class. Lines 57 to  
3 70 show the allocation of the monthly New Hampshire Division Remaining Commodity  
4 costs<sup>17</sup> to each rate class. A summary of all commodity costs allocated to the New  
5 Hampshire Division's firm sales classes is shown on Lines 71 to 84.

6 **E. Adjustments**

7 **Q. Once direct demand and commodity costs are determined for the rate classes, are**  
8 **any adjustments made?**

9 A. Yes. Since Residential COG rates are based on the average cost of gas (total seasonal  
10 cost of gas divided by total seasonal demand), and the High and Low Load Factor  
11 Commercial and Industrial ("C&I") COG rates are determined through the SMBA  
12 method, an adjustment to C&I COG rates is required in order to properly recover all  
13 costs. Attachment NUI-CAK-9 adjusts C&I COG rates in order to account for differences  
14 between the average cost and SMBA methodologies. This adjustment is based on the  
15 difference in total projected costs that would be assigned to Residential customers under  
16 the two methodologies, and applies the difference to the C&I customer classes based on  
17 their percentage of total allocated C&I demand and commodity costs.

---

<sup>16</sup> New Hampshire Division Base Commodity costs by month are shown in Attachment NUI-CAK-6, Line 34.

<sup>17</sup> New Hampshire Division Remaining Commodity costs by month are shown in –Attachment NUI-CAK-6, Line 35.

1           **F. Refunds**

2           **Q. Are there any refunds included in this filing?**

3           A. Yes there is a small refund of \$11,075 from Maritimes for interruptible service plus  
4           interest. The refund was included in the August 2021 invoice from Maritimes.

5           **G. Indirect Costs and Miscellaneous Charges / Credits**

6           **Q. Please explain the 2020 / 2021 Annual COG Reconciliation.**

7           A. The 2020 / 2021 Annual COG Reconciliation is provided as Attachment NUI-CAK-10.  
8           As Page 1 of this Attachment indicates, the projected October 31, 2020 annual ending  
9           balance is an under-collection of \$237,004. As shown on Page 1 of this Attachment, the  
10          allocation of the ending balance between seasons is based on the portion of projected  
11          sales that occur in each season. Similar allocations are provided for Working Capital  
12          (Attachment A) and Bad Debt (Attachment B) of this Annual Reconciliation.

13          **Q. How did Northern develop its current projected Bad Debt expense for inclusion in  
14          the 2021 / 2022 Winter Season and 2022 Summer Season COGs?**

15          A. To develop its bad debt projections, Northern forecasts 12 months of customer  
16          write-offs for both supply and distribution service. This forecast is based on actual  
17          experience and any recent unexpected increases or decreases in the number of customer  
18          write-offs. As shown on Line 14 of Attachment NUI-CAK-11, for the twelve months  
19          ended December 31, 2022, Northern projects annual Bad Debt expense to be \$400,000.  
20          The projected annual Bad Debt expense was then allocated to supply (38%) and

1 distribution (62%) services based on the actual Bad Debt experience of these components  
2 over the 12-months ended July 31, 2021. This is shown on Lines 7 and 5, respectively, of  
3 Attachment NUI-CAK-11. The annual Bad Debt expense forecast allocated to supply  
4 was then allocated further to the 2021 / 2022 Winter Season (90%) and 2022 Summer  
5 Season (10%) based on the allocation of direct demand costs between the Winter and  
6 Summer seasons. This breakout establishes the Winter Season Bad Debt of \$137,320  
7 (Line 16) and a Summer Season Bad Debt expense of \$14,529, (Line 17). I have also  
8 included these expenses at lines 36 and 144 in Attachment NUI-CAK-13.

9 **Q. How were Northern's Working Capital Costs derived?**

10 The Working Capital Costs were based on a formula approved in Northern's 2017 base  
11 rate proceeding, Docket No. DG 17-070. This formula derives the working capital  
12 percentage by dividing the supply related net lag of 10.02 days by 365 days and then  
13 multiplying the result by the prime interest rate. Based on the current prime rate of  
14 3.25%, the Working Capital Percentage is 0.0892%. This percentage, when multiplied  
15 by each season's forecasted Direct Cost of Gas, yields a 2021 / 2022 Winter Season  
16 Working Capital Cost of \$28,555 and a 2022 Summer Season Working Capital Cost of  
17 \$4,002. These amounts are included in Attachment NUI-CAK-13 at lines 29 and 138.

18 **Q. Please explain the costs related to the Company's local production and storage**  
19 **facilities, and Other Administrative and General ("A&G") expenses that are**  
20 **included in the Winter Season COG.**



1 A. Northern's local production and storage costs were set at \$476,106 in the Company's  
2 most recent base rate case proceeding, Docket No. DG 17-070, and are recovered solely  
3 in the Winter Season. Also in the last base rate case proceeding, A&G expenses were set  
4 at \$580,455. Of this amount, \$463,606 is recovered from sales customers in the Winter  
5 Season and \$116,849 is recovered in the Summer Season. These amounts are included in  
6 Attachment NUI-CAK-13 on lines 40, 42 and 150 respectively.

7 **Q. Please explain the calculation of the Winter and Summer interest expense.**

8 A. Interest expense is calculated in Attachment NUI-CAK-12 (Line 100) and is based on the  
9 latest prime rate and expected costs and revenues during the Winter and Summer seasons.  
10 Winter and Summer period interest expense is also shown on Attachment NUI-CAK-13,  
11 on Lines 21 and 130 respectively

12 **H. Cost of Gas Factor**

13 **Q. Please explain the calculation of the proposed New Hampshire Division COG**  
14 **Factors or Rates for the 2021 / 2022 Winter Season and the 2022 Summer Season.**

15 A. Attachment NUI-CAK-13, which is similar to the Company's COG tariff Pages 40  
16 through 43, has been prepared to explain the calculation of the proposed 2021 / 2022  
17 Winter and 2022 Summer COG Factors. Attachment NUI-CAK-13 shows the calculation  
18 of the Winter and Summer Season COGs for each of Northern's three COG Rate Groups:  
19 (1) Residential classes R-5, R-6 and R-10; (2) C&I Low Winter use classes G-50, G-51  
20 and G-52; and (3) C&I High Winter use classes G-40, G-41 and G-42.

1 As shown on Page 3 of the Attachment, the 2021 / 2022 Winter Season projected  
2 Average COG is \$0.9392 per therm (Line 66), which is the sum of the average Total  
3 Direct COG, \$0.9057 per therm (Line 59) and the average Indirect COG, \$0.0335 per  
4 therm (Line 63). As shown of Page 7 of the Attachment, the 2022 Summer Season, the  
5 projected Average COG is \$0.5176 per therm (Line 175), which is the sum of the average  
6 Total Direct COG, \$0.5036 per therm (Line 168) and the average Indirect COG, \$0.0140  
7 per therm (Line 172).

8 Also shown on the Attachment are the proposed Residential COG Factors for the 2021 /  
9 2022 Winter Period (Line 68) and the 2022 Summer Period (Line 177), the proposed C&I  
10 Low Winter Use COG Factors for the 2021 / 2022 Winter Period (Line 72) and 2022  
11 Summer Period (Line 181), and the proposed C&I High Winter Use COG Factors the  
12 Winter 2021 / 2022 Winter Period (Line 92) and 2022 Summer Period (Line 201).

13 **Q. Please explain the calculation of the Working Capital allowances for the 2021 / 2022**  
14 **Winter Season.**

15 The total Working Capital allowance, \$22,721 as shown on Line 33 of Attachment NUI-  
16 CAK-13 is the sum of the current period working capital allowance (Line 29) plus the  
17 prior seasonal allocation of Working Capital reconciliation balance (Line 31).

18 **Q. Please explain the calculation of the Bad Debt allowance for 2021 / 2022 Winter**  
19 **Season.**

1 A. The Bad Debt allowance, \$75,370 (Line 38), is the sum of the current period bad debt  
2 allowance (Line 36) plus the seasonal allocation of the Bad Debt reconciliation balance  
3 (Line 37).

4 **Q. Please explain the calculation of the 2022 Summer Season Working Capital**  
5 **allowances.**

6 The total Working Capital allowance, \$2,532 as shown on Line 141 of Attachment NUI-  
7 CAK-13 is the sum of the current period working capital allowance (Line 138) plus the  
8 prior seasonal allocations of Working Capital reconciliation balance (Line 139).

9 **Q. Please explain the calculation of the Bad Debt allowance for 2022 Summer Season.**

10 A. The Bad Debt allowance, (\$1,085) (Line 146), is the sum of the current period bad debt  
11 allowances (Line 144), plus the seasonal allocations of the Bad Debt reconciliation  
12 balance (Line 145).

13 **Q. Is Northern proposing any credits to the COG for transportation customers**  
14 **returning to Sales Service?**

15 A. Northern is projecting a combined total of \$5,000 in revenues associated with the Re-  
16 entry Rate and Conversion Rate. This amount is included in Attachment NUI-CAK-13 at  
17 Line 14.

18 **I. Summary Analyses**

19 **Q. How does the proposed average 2021 / 2022 Winter Season Residential COG rate**  
20 **compare to the average 2020 / 2021 Winter Season Residential COG rate?**

1 A. Attachment NUI-CAK-14 compares the proposed 2021 / 2022 Winter Season Residential  
2 COG rate to the average 2020 / 2021 Winter Season Residential COG rate. As this  
3 Attachment indicates, there were no adjustments to COG rates during the 2020 / 2021  
4 Winter Period. This Attachment also shows that the proposed 2021 / 2022 Winter Season  
5 COG rate, \$0.9392 per therm, is about \$0.2077 per therm higher than the average 2020 /  
6 2021 Winter Season COG rate, \$0.7315 per therm. The increase is due to a significant  
7 increase in commodity costs. The impact of higher commodity costs is partially offset by  
8 an increase projected sales, and a smaller reconciliation under-collection compared to the  
9 prior year. Commodity costs are higher due to large increases in NYMEX prices. The  
10 change in costs and projected sales for Residential customers is also applicable to C&I  
11 customers.

12 **Q. How does the proposed 2022 Summer Season Residential COG rate compare to the**  
13 **filed 2021 Summer Season COG rate?**

14 A. Attachment NUI-CAK-14 also compares the proposed 2022 Summer Season Residential  
15 COG rate to the average 2021 Summer Season Residential COG rate. As this  
16 Attachment indicates, the proposed 2022 Summer Season average COG rate, \$0.5176 per  
17 therm, is \$0.0206 per therm higher than the 2021 Summer Season Average COG,  
18 \$0.4970 per therm. As with the Winter COG rate, commodity costs are higher than last  
19 year. However, this is offset by significantly higher projected sales and a lower  
20 reconciliation under-collection compared to the prior year. This change in costs and  
21 projected sales for Residential customers is also applicable to C&I customers.

22 **Q. Why is the variance in the Winter Season larger than in the Summer Season?**

1 A. Seasonal variances can differ for a number of reasons. For the 2020 / 2021 annual COG  
2 period, material increases in NYMEX prices have boosted pipeline supply costs as well  
3 as peaking supply costs including LNG. This has resulted in increased commodity costs  
4 in both the Summer and Winter Periods. However, when compared to the 2021 / 2022  
5 Winter period, the 2022 Summer Period has a larger year-over-year increase in forecasted  
6 sales. This increase in sales helps to offset the increase in costs. In addition, 2021  
7 Summer COG rates increased this past April which reduced the cost differential between  
8 the 2022 and 2021 summer COG prices.

9 **III. ANCILLARY CHARGES & SUPPORTING INFORMATION**

10 **Q. What ancillary charges and schedules have you updated for this filing?**

11 A. I have provided updates to four ancillary charges / schedules and supporting information  
12 to four separate schedules. First, I have updated the Supplier Balancing Charge to be  
13 effective November 1, 2021. The proposed charge remains unchanged at \$0.71 per  
14 MMBtu. I have prepared Attachment NUI-CAK-15 to support the updated Supplier  
15 Balancing Charge calculation. Second, I have updated the On-system Peaking Demand  
16 charge to be effective November 1, 2021 through April 30, 2022. The proposed charge is  
17 \$71.85 per Dth. Support for this charge is provided by Mr. Wells in Attachment NUI-  
18 FXW-5. Both the Supplier Balancing Charge and On-system Peaking Demand Charge  
19 are included in Tariff Page No. 141, Appendix A.

20 Third, I have updated Tariff Page 156 which updates the capacity allocation percentages  
21 for all non-exempt Delivery Service customers for the period November 1, 2021 through

1 October 31, 2022. The calculations supporting the capacity allocators are provided by  
2 Mr. Wells in Attachment NUI-FXW-7.

3 Lastly, I have updated the Re-entry Rates and Conversion Rates to be effective  
4 November 1, 2021 through April 30, 2022, and May 1, 2022 through October 31, 2022.  
5 For both High and Low Load Factor C&I customers the Re-entry Rate is \$0.0000 per  
6 therm in both the Winter and Summer Seasons. In the Winter Season, the proposed  
7 Conversion Rate is \$0.5915 per therm for High Load Factor customers and \$0.4817 per  
8 therm for Low Load Factor C&I customers. In the Summer Season, the Conversion Rate  
9 is \$0.0000 per therm for both High and Low Load Factor customers. These rates appear  
10 on Tariff Page No. 158, Appendix D. Support for these rates is provided by Mr. Wells in  
11 Attachment NUI-FXW-11.

12 **Q. Are there any additional schedules that are included in this filing?**

13 A. Yes, Attachments NUI-CAK-16 and NUI-CAK-17 have not been discussed in my  
14 testimony. Attachment NUI-CAK-16 provides the historical revenues from the Re-entry  
15 Rate and Conversion Rate Surcharges that are applied to transportation customers  
16 returning to the Company's Sales Service. Attachment NUI-CAK-17 determines  
17 Northern's short-term debt limit calculation for the period November 2021 through  
18 October 2022.

19 **IV. FINAL MATTERS**

20 **Q. Will the Company propose to revise the 2021 / 2022 Winter Season COG rates if it**  
21 **receives any new or updated information on gas supplier or transportation rates?**

1 A. If requested by Commission Staff, the Company will file a revised calculation of its 2021  
2 / 2022 Winter and Summer Season COG rates to reflect updated gas and pipeline  
3 transportation cost projections as well as any other cost information a few weeks prior to  
4 the effective date of the Winter Season, November 1, 2021. In addition, the Company  
5 will file proposed changes to the approved 2021 / 2022 Winter Season COG rates when  
6 the projected end-of-season variance exceeds 2% of the target projected cost of gas. As  
7 mentioned above, Attachment NUI-CAK-12 projects Northern's monthly COG  
8 over/under collections, balances and interest. Northern will update this schedule each  
9 month with actual costs and updated NYMEX prices in order to determine the variance  
10 between the latest projected end-of-season balances and the target end-of-season balances  
11 established in this COG filing. As indicated on Line 94 on that Attachment, Northern  
12 projects a target balance over collection of \$5,157,224<sup>18</sup> on April 30, 2022. This target  
13 balance will be updated in December to adjust for the actual balance effective November  
14 1, 2021. If, during the upcoming Winter Season, the Company's monthly projected April  
15 30, 2022 ending balance varies from the target balance by 2% or more of total target  
16 projected gas costs, then the Company will file to adjust the 2021 / 2022 Winter Season  
17 COG for the subsequent month. These rates will take effect without further action by the  
18 Commission for any decrease and for increases up to 25% of the initially-approved 2021 /  
19 2022 Winter Season COG rates.

---

<sup>18</sup> This over-collection is projected to be near \$0 by October 31, 2021.

1           Lastly, the Company will also file proposed changes to the approved 2022 Summer  
2           Season COG when the projected annual variance exceeds 4% of the target projected gas  
3           costs. If, during the upcoming Summer Season, the Company's updated projected  
4           October 31, 2021 ending balance varies from the target Annual COG period balance by  
5           4% or more of total Summer Period projected gas costs, and a rate change will help to  
6           lower the annual reconciliation balance, it will then file to change the 2022 Summer COG  
7           for the subsequent month. These rates will take effect without further action by the  
8           Commission for any decrease and for increases up to 25% of the initially-approved 2022  
9           Summer Period COG.

10   **Q.    Does this conclude your testimony?**

11   **A.    Yes it does.**