DW 20-071 ATKINSON AREA WASTE WATER RECYCLING, INC. PETITION TO APPROVE PERMANENT RATES RATE CASE EXPENSE

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY DATA REQUESTS – SET 4 RATE CASE EXPENSES

Atkinson Area Waste Water Recycling, Inc. DW 20-071

Date Request Received: 04/22/2022 Date of Response: 05/06/2022 Request No. DOE 4-1 Witness: Stephen P. St. Cyr

DOE 4-1

Pursuant to PUC Admin Rule 1904.02, in the determination of whether a Rate Case Expense is allowable or not, various factors which shall be considered are listed in PUC Admin Rule 1904.02(b). Please indicate, and explain further, what section(s) of 1904.02(b) the Company believes are applicable to its instant request, as well as any other factors the Company wishes to be considered. In addition, please explain what considerations the Company used when it contracted with the outside firm, Stephen P. St. Cyr & Associates, and an affiliated entity, Lewis Builders Development, Inc., (LBD) in the presentation of its Rate Case, and whether or not those services were obtained under a competitive bidding process / RFP or not, and why not if the Company was required to do so pursuant to PUC Admin Rule 1905.04.

RESPONSE DOE 4-1:

The expenditures were incurred in the preparation of the notice, petition, testimony, schedules and supporting documents, review and response to data requests, preparation for and participation in technical session and hearings and review of NHPUC orders and implementation of such orders. The issues were somewhat "novel or complex" in that much of the expenses were estimated. The costs were incurred to "prepare and present the rate case." Mr. St. Cyr's hourly rate was the same used in other work for the Company and in other rate cases going on at the same time. The Company's costs were based on actual hourly rates plus overhead plus indirect costs per formula as part of management agreement. The Company believes that the work was "relevant and reasonably necessary to the rate case proceeding" and resulted in a settlement agreement with the DOE. The Company did not use a competitive bidding process since it was not required to do so as per PUC 1905.04(a), namely less than 600 customers.

Date Request Received: 04/22/2022 Date of Response: 05/06/2022 Request No. DOE 4-2 Witness: Stephen P. St. Cyr

DOE 4-2

Pursuant to PUC Admin Rule 1905.03, regarding the documentation required to be filed with Rate Case Expenses requested for recovery, please provide the following:

- (e) a statement that the expenses requested for recovery are consistent with the utility's written procurement policy; and
- (f) the Company's procurement policy in place at the time for each requested expense; and
- (g) if not provided elsewhere, an executed copy of the contract(s) entered into with each service providers, Stephen P. St. Cyr & Associates, and LBD, that generated the expenses; and (h) a statement of whether the contract was obtained after negotiations or competitive bids.

RESPONSE DOE 4-2:

- e) AAWWR does not have a written procurement policy but are consistent with its past and present procurement practices.
- f) See response to (e).
- g) AAWWR did not enter into a formal contract with Stephen P. St. Cyr & Associates, and LBD as it pertains to the rate case. AAWWR does have a management agreement with LBD which provides for administrative and management/regulatory services.
- h) See response to (g).

Date Request Received: 04/22/2022

Request No. DOE 4-3

Date of Response: 05/06/2022

Witness: Stephen P. St. Cyr

DOE 4-3

Pursuant to PUC Admin Rule 1905.01, regarding Estimated Rate Case Expenses, the Company is required to keep the Commission informed at the time of filing a full rate case, and every 90 days thereafter, regarding the actual and estimated rate case expenses. The only filing of the actual and estimated rate case expenses in the PUC Virtual File Room appear to be on Bates Page 141 of the "Updated Supplemental" filed on 9/17/2020, marked as tab #16. Please explain further.

RESPONSE DOE 4-3:

AAWWR recently filed its quarterly report of Rate Case Expenses pursuit to Puc 1905.01 for the 2nd, 3rd & 4th quarters of 2020, the 4 quarters of 2021 and the 1st quarter of 2022.

Date Request Received: 04/22/2022 Date of Response: 05/06/2022

Request No. DOE 4-4 Witness: John Sullivan

DOE 4-4

On November 23, 2021, the Company filed their request for recovery of Rate Case Expenses. On December 9, 2021, the Company, through Ms. Wood, sent an e-mail containing additional rate case recovery supporting material to certain individuals, including some at the Department of Energy, rather than the official Discovery Service List. Please distribute this information through the official Discovery Service List in order to ensure it is properly distributed to all interested parties.

RESPONSE DOE 4-4:

The Company will distribute the above filings to the official Discovery Service List.

Date Request Received: 04/22/2022 Date of Response: 05/06/2022 Request No. DOE 4-5 Witness: Stephen P. St. Cyr

DOE 4-5

The Company proposes to recover the amount of \$48,062.85 over 36 months.

- a) How did the Company determine the time frame of 36 months was appropriate?
- b) Did the Company consider any other timeframes?

RESPONSE DOE 4-5:

The Company considered recovering the amount over 24, 36 and 48 months. The Company thought that the recovery over 24 months resulted in too high of a payment per customer. The Company thought that the recovery over 48 months resulted in too much of a delay for the Company to get reimbursed for expenditures it has already paid. The Company thought that the recovery over 36 months resulted in the right balance between the monthly amount per customer and the reimbursement of such expenditures to the Company.

Date Request Received: 04/22/2022 Date of Response: 05/06/2022 Request No. DOE 4-6 Witness: Stephen P. St. Cyr

DOE 4-6

The Company proposes to recover the amount of \$48,062.85 by a flat fee of \$20.54 per customer, per month.

- a) How did the Company determine that a flat fee was appropriate?
- b) How did the Company determine that an additional \$20.54 per month was appropriate?
- c) Did the Company consider any other methods of allocating the requested recovery amount?
- d) Please indicate the average customer bill before and after the requested monthly Rate Case Expense surcharge and present the increase in terms of dollars and percentage.

RESPONSE DOE 4-6:

- a) The Company determined that a flat fee was appropriate since its related water utility past rate case expenditures were recovered via a flat fee as approved by the NHPUC.
- b) See response to 4-5.
- c) No.
- d) The average bill for a residential sewer customer is \$31.78. Adding the proposed monthly rate case expense of \$20.54 would increase the total bill to \$52.32. That is a percentage increase of about 65%. The average bill for the commercial customer is \$2,280.36. Adding the proposed monthly rate case expense of \$20.54 would increase the total bill to \$2,300.90. That is a percentage increase of about 1%.

Date Request Received: 04/22/2022 Date of Response: 05/06/2022 Request No. DOE 4-7 Witness: Stephen P. St. Cyr

DOE 4-7

The approving Order (No. 26,547) stipulated a revenue requirement based upon 44 customers, 43 Residential and 1 Commercial. This was derived from the agreed upon average number of customers taking sewer service from the Company over the next 3 years as currently there is only 1 customer taking sewer service from the Company, the commercial customer. However, the request for recovery of Rate Case Expenses is calculated over 65 customers, in that regard:

- a) Does the Company's current proposal cease recovery after 36 months from the date authorized by the Commission or when each of the potential 65 customers begins taking service?
- b) What does the Company propose to do with any amounts that are not collected at the end of 36 months?
- c) What does the Company propose if more than 65 customers are added to the system during the next 36 months?
- d) What amount does the Company propose to recover from customers who join in any month after the first month of authorized recovery, such as month 2, 3, 4 or 34, 35, or 36?
- e) Please explain further these and any other circumstances which stem from the Company's unique situation regarding the existing and the anticipated customer base.

RESPONSE DOE 4-7:

- a. The Company's proposal requests recovery of rate case expenditures from 65 customers. As such, each customer will pay its share over 36 months, starting from the first month each customer takes service. Under the Company's proposal, the full amount of the PUC approved rate case expenditures should be recovered 36 months after the last customer takes service.
- b. The Company's proposal ceases recovery 36 months after a customer begins taking service.
- c. The Company currently contemplates 65 customers. It is possible that more customers over the original 65 could be added before the Company fully recovers the current rate case expenditures. If more customers are added, they will pay the same \$20.54 per month until the company collects the total approved rate case expenses of \$48,062. This will reduce the burden on the original 65 customers.
- d. The Company proposes to recover the monthly amount for 36 months, namely \$739.44 (\$20.54 x 36).
- e. The Company has 1 commercial customer and anticipates having 64 residential customers. The residential customers will reside in one of two 32 unit buildings.

Date Request Received: 04/22/2022 Date of Response: 05/06/2022
Request No. DOE 4-8 Witness: Stephen P. St. Cyr

DOE 4-8

Referencing the December 9, 2021 e-mail: St. Cyr Invoice dated May 2019 for \$1,145.50

The Company provided support indicates \$52.50 of that invoice requested for recovery, relates to "Year-end" work and that work on the "Rate Case" totaled "\$0". Please explain further.

RESPONSE DOE 4-8:

Upon review, the Company agrees that such amount should not be included with rate case expenditures.

Date Request Received: 04/22/2022 Date of Response: 05/06/2022

Request No. DOE 4-9 Witness: John Sullivan

DOE 4-9

Referencing the December 9, 2021 e-mail: the Lewis Builders Development, Inc. (LBD) Invoice # 20213 dated March 31, 2020

The Company provided support indicates the charge for \$414.02 covering 3.4 hours appears to be for "Reviewing previous petition from 2007 and PUC Rules and prepare draft petition to transfer AAWW ownership and pre-filed testimony". Please clarify which 2007 docket the support references, and explain further how these charges relate to the <u>rate case</u> and should be considered eligible for recovery as a Rate Case Expense.

RESPONSE DOE 4-9:

After reviewing the item in question, we agree that it should not be included as rate case expenses.

Date Request Received: 04/22/2022 Date of Response: 05/06/2022 Request No. DOE 4-10 Witness: John Sullivan

DOE 4-10

Referencing the December 9, 2021 e-mail: the St. Cyr Invoice dated June 6, 2020 for \$1,067.50

The Company provided support indicates work performed on 4/28 for .125 hours was for a conference call regarding "change of ownership". Please explain further how these charges relate to the <u>rate case</u> and should be considered eligible for recovery as a Rate Case Expense.

RESPONSE DOE 4-10:

The 0.125 hours is not included in the rate case expenses. The rate case expense amount is highlighted in yellow on the invoice: 7.25 hours @\$140 per hour = \$1,015.00. The rate case expense schedules submitted to the PUC do not include the 0.125 hours regarding "change of ownership."

Date Request Received: 04/22/2022 Date of Response: 05/06/2022 Request No. DOE 4-11 Witness: John Sullivan

DOE 4-11

Referencing the LBD Invoice # 20908 dated September 30, 2020

It appears the Company provided support covering 48 of the 52 hours, and that the remaining 4 hours, listed on Line #6 of the Invoice, for Legal Labor, appear to be missing. Please provide the additional support for those hours.

RESPONSE DOE 4-11:

The timecard for 4 hours was inadvertently omitted. It is attached.

Date Request Received: 04/22/2022 Date of Response: 05/06/2022 Request No. DOE 4-12 Witness: John Sullivan

DOE 4-12

Referencing the December 9, 2021 e-mail: the LBD Invoice # 21138 dated November 30, 2020

Please provide additional information regarding the exhibits and the petition referenced in the supporting material, how it relates to the rate case, and where that information can be found in the docket book / virtual file room on the PUC website.

RESPONSE DOE 4-12:

The time entry dated November 19, 2020 for Heidi Granlund pertains to responses to data requests and does not appear in the virtual file room docket book. The entries on November 11, 2020, November 12, 2020, and November 13, 2020 were miscoded to this matter and the Company withdraws those from its rate case expense reimbursement request.

Date Request Received: 04/22/2022 Date of Response: 05/06/2022 Request No. DOE 4-13 Witness: John Sullivan

DOE 4-13

Referencing the December 9, 2021 e-mail: the LBD Invoice #22051 dated August 31, 2021 One of the charges, covering 3 hours of services for a total of \$466.95 is supported as "AAWWR Hearing". There does not appear to be a hearing for AAWWR during the time covered by this bill. Please provide additional information regarding the services provided.

RESPONSE DOE 4-13:

The reference to a "hearing" was referring to a Teams settlement conference organized by attorney Schwarzer held on 8/12/21 at 10 am.

Date Request Received: 04/22/2022 Date of Response: 05/06/2022 Request No. DOE 4-13 Witness: John Sullivan

DOE 4-14

Referencing the December 9, 2021 e-mail: the LBD Invoice # 22152 dated September 30, 2021 for \$5,022.23

Please provide additional information regarding the reference, including specific times and attendees, in the Company's supporting material to the Microsoft Teams meetings with DOE held on 9.8.2021 and 9.9.2021 for 5.5 hours each.

RESPONSE DOE 4-14:

Both time entries reference Teams meetings between attorneys Anthony Augeri and Mary Schwarzer discussing the settlement agreement terms and exhibits that were ultimately filed with the Commission on September 23, 2021, virtual file room docket book entry #45. Those entries also include revisions to the settlement agreement and exhibits.

Date Request Received: 04/22/2022 Date of Response: 05/06/2022 Request No. DOE 4-15 Witness: John Sullivan

DOE 4-15

Referencing Page 2 of the 11.23.2021 filing

The Company's filing indicates an "estimate to complete" of approximately 10 additional hours totaling \$1,261 (\$472.83 + \$315.24 + \$472.50) from LBD and Mr. St Cyr. Please explain further.

RESPONSE DOE 4-15:

Since the Company filed for the rate case expenses, the Company has received invoices from the following:

Lewis Builders Development \$1,582.97 Steve St Cyr \$770.00

The Company is now updating its estimated cost to complete to be:

Lewis Builders Development \$392.58 (John Sullivan 2 hrs, Tony Augeri 1 hr, Heather

Wood 1 hr)

Steve St Cyr \$472.50 (3.38 hrs)

These are the estimated costs to review and respond to these data requests.

Date Request Received: 04/22/2022 Date of Response: 05/06/2022 Request No. DOE 4-16 Witness: John Sullivan

DOE 4-16

Please provide a copy of the DRAFT Tariff page(s) the Company anticipates filing reflecting the Company's current Rate Case Expense recovery proposal.

RESPONSE DOE 4-16:

Please see attached proposed draft tariff pages

Date Request Received: 04/22/2022 Date of Response: 05/06/2022 Request No. DOE 4-17 Witness: John Sullivan

DOE 4-17

Referencing Company's filing of December 1, 2021 regarding its application to the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) to revoke its Qualified Subchapter S Subsidiary (Q-Sub) Election:

Please indicate whether the IRS approved the Company's application, and please provide any and all documentation received from the IRS with regard to its decision.

RESPONSE DOE 4-17:

The company filed the revocation of its Q-sub election on 11/29/21. No response from the IRS has been received.

Response to DOE DR 4-11

LEWIS BUILDERS DEVELOPMENT, INC.

54 SAWYER AVENUE ATKINSON, NH 03811 EMPLOYEE #: 1931

NAME: Laura J. Spratt

						1/ / 1/ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
<u>Date</u>	Day	Time In	Out	<u>In</u>	Time Out	Comments	Worked
9/12/20	Saturday						0.00
9/13/20	Sunday						0.00
9/14/20	Monday	7:00 AM	12:00	12:30pm	4:30 PM		9.00
9/15/20	Tuesday	7:00 AM	12:00	12:30pm	4;30PM		9.00
9/16/20	Wednesday	7:00 AM	12:00pm	12:30pm	4:30PM		9.00
9/17/20	Thursday	7:00 AM	12:00 PM	12:30 PM	4:30 PM		9.00
9/18/20	Friday	7:00 AM	12:00PM	12:30 PM	4:30 PM		9.00
			Anı	2 ./	Addition	al hours not shown above:	
Comments:			TUN	mv			45.00

Job#	Phase Code		Job#	Phase Cod	le
20-9700	01-070	AAWW - MISC, LEGAL	19-9800	01-070	HAWC - MISC, LEGAL
18-9701	01-070	AAWW - Rate case	19-9715	01-070	AAWW - Finance petition
20-9718	01-070	AAWW - Change of Ownership	20-9826	01-070	HAWC - SBA Loan Pet
06-0790	01-070	ATK HGTS - LEGAL	19-9717	01-070	AAWW - Tariff petition
13-4600	01-070	AFI - SITE DEVELOPMENT	19-9850	01-070	HAWC - 2020 RATE CASE
13-4990	01-070	ACI - MISC. LEGAL	19-9812	01-070	HAWC - Kent Farm litigation
13-4090	01-070	AF, LLC - MISC. LEGAL	19-9809	01-070	HAWC - TISDALE
13-3012	01-070	ECL - MISC. LEGAL	19-6800	01-070	HAWSCO - MISC. LEGAL
20-9992	01-070	LBI - MISC. LEGAL	19-6466	01-070	HAWC - SNH Interconnection
04-0690	01-070	LBDI - Caleb Commons II	20-9992	01-070	OFFICE - MISC LEGAL
20-9822	01-070	HAWC - Hadley Special Contract	p 19-9808	01-070	HAWC - SMALL UTILITY ROE
19-9805	01-070	HAWC - Atkinson Tank Pet.	19-9810	01-070	HAWC - CIAC tax invest.
20-9821	01-070	HAWC - Heritage Estates Ciac Ta	a> 19-0990	01-070	CHLC - Winchester Hgts
19-9814	01-070	HAWC - SNH Finance Pet.	19-9811	01-070	HAWC - Tariff Petition - CIAC
18-0390	01-070	LBDI - Pleasant View Farm	12-0890	01-070	LBDI - SMR Legal

DATE	COMPANY	PHASE	ACTIVITY	HOURS
			Update Caleb commons spread sheet with activity from the	
09/14/20	04-0690	01-070	weekend.	2.5
09/14/20	19-0990	01-070	Town of Hampstead researching	4.0
09/15/20	04-0690	01-070	Make changes to deed for 42 Ward Way, prepare high pressure agreement. Follow up again with Hudkins on escrow	1.5
09/15/20	19-0990	01-070	Town of Hampstead researching	4.0
09/16/20	04-0690	01-070	work on title company paperwork and our documents for 33 Ward Way	2.5
09/16/20	19-0990	01-070	Work on WH registration application	5.0
09/17/20	18-9701	01-070	prepare supplemental filing with bates numbering and cover letter. To AA for review and email to service list & PUC	4.0
09/17/20	04-0690	01-070	continue to work on documents for title companies	2.5
09/18/20	04-0690	01-070	tc with Mary re: caleb II buyers. Rec'd more paper work from title company	2.5
09/18/20	19-0990	01-070	continue with WH application	4.5
09/18/20	19-9850	01-070	prepare draft motion to withdraw	0.5
		01-070		
		01-070		
			OFFICE TIME NOT CHARGEABLE TO JOBS	

DW 20-071 DOE Position Statement Attachment Set 4 Data responses Response to DOE DR 4-16

NHPUC No. 3 - Water SUPPLEMENT NO. 1

ATKINSON AREA WASTE WATER RECYCLING, INC.

Authorized	by NHPUC Order #	in Docke	et DW 20-071 dated	, 2022
ssued:	March 31, 2022	Issued by:	Christina Lawis Marsa	
Effective:	, 2022	Title:	Christine Lewis Morse, Vice President	

DW 20-071
DOE Position Statement Attachment
Set 4 Data responses
Response to DOE DR 4-16
PAGE 2

NH PUC SUPPLEMENT NO. 1 WATER ATKINSON AREA WASTE WATER RECYCLING, INC.

GENERAL	SEDVICE.	- Metered
GLINLINAL	JULYICE .	·IVILILICLD

RATE SCHEDULE - "GM"

AVA	II AR	II ITY:

This schedule is ava	ilable to all sewe	er service in the	Company's fo	ollowing franchise
areas:				

Town of Atkinson: Atkinson Core System

CHARACTER OF SERVICE:

Receiving and transporting of sewage from the Customer's premises, subject to the Terms and Conditions of this Tariff. The Company will make every effort to maintain normal outflow but shall not be liable for the failure of either the outflow or the distribution system when such failure is due to the elements, natural causes, breaks, leaks, unusual or concurrent droughts, or waste or unlawful use of water or unauthorized discharge of effluent.

RATES:

The following Surcharge is to recover rate case expenses of \$48,062.85 as approved.

Surcharge per Customer: \$20.54 per month

TERMS OF PAYMENT:

This Surcharge will be in effect for each customer for a total of Thirty Six (36) billing months per customer commencing with the first monthly billing for which sewer service has been provided until the rate case expenses of \$48,062.85 are collected. Bills under this rate are net and will be rendered monthly and are due and payable upon presentation. All accounts thirty (30) days past due will be assessed a late fee of Ten Dollars (\$10.00) at the discretion of the Company.

lssued: Effective:	March 31, 2022 , 2022	Issued by: Title:	Christine Lewis Morse, Vice President	

Authorized by NHPUC Order # _____ in Docket DW 20-071 dated _____.

DW 20-071 ATKINSON AREA WASTE WATER RECYCLING, INC. PETITION TO APPROVE PERMANENT RATES DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY- RATE CASE EXPENSE DATA REQUESTS SET 5

Date Request Received: 06/15/2022 Date of Response: 06/22/2022 Request No. DOE 5-1 Witness: Stephen P. St. Cyr

DOE 5-1

Referencing PUC Admin Rule 1905.03 (g):

Please provide any and all executed contracts, official engagement letters, or other binding agreement, detailing the extent and cost of the services provided for the Company's Rate Case by Stephen P. St. Cyr & Associates, and LBDI. If no contract, engagement letter, or agreement exists, please explain the arrangement adopted for the retention and payment of the companies and whether the Company would adopt a different approach in its next rate case.

RESPONSE DOE 5-1:

There was no written "contract, engagement letter, or agreement" between either AAWWR and Stephen P. St. Cyr & Associates ("SPS&A"), or between AAWWR and LBDI.

With respect to SPS&A, Mr. St. Cyr has been providing accounting services to AAWWR for years. Mr. St. Cyr also provided regulatory services to AAWWR in 2007, the year of the last AAWWR rate case. In addition, Mr. St. Cyr has been providing accounting and regulatory services for HAWC for years. Mr. St. Cyr prepared rate case schedules and testimony, drafted/reviewed Company responses to data requests, prepared for and participated in technical sessions and settlement conferences, participated in discussions leading to the settlement agreement and prepared for and participated in hearings. Mr. St. Cyr was paid the same hourly rate charged for accounting services to AAWWR and the same hourly for services provided to HAWC and other water and sewer utilities for similar services. For the next rate case, SPS&A will prepare an engagement letter for AAWWR's consideration.

With respect to LBDI, LBDI provides management, operation, and maintenance, legal, accounting, etc. to AAWWR since AAWWR has no employees. As part of this rate case, LBDI authorized SPS&A to prepare rate case schedules and testimony, prepared testimony, prepared Company responses to data requests, prepared for and participated in technical sessions and settlement conferences, participated in discussions leading to the settlement agreement and prepared for and participated in hearings. LBDI was paid the same hourly rate charged for similar services to HAWC.

Date Request Received: 06/15/2022 Date of Response: 06/22/2022 Request No. DOE 5-2 Witness: John Sullivan

DOE 5-2

Referencing DOE 4-4

Please verify if the information submitted in the December 9th, 2021 e-mail was filed to the docket service list and provide the date it was filed.

RESPONSE DOE 5-2:

The company filed the information originally just to DOE on December 9, 2021. The Company filed both redacted and confidential versions of the December 9, 2021 submission to the Commission on June 17, 2022 with a copy of the redacted version sent to the service list, and a copy of the confidential version was sent to DOE's attorney. The Company plans to file updated rate case expenses for the period from the December 9, 2021 submission to present in this same manner. See response to DOE 5-3.

Date Request Received: 06/15/2022 Date of Response: 06/22/2022 Request No. DOE 5-3 Witness: John Sullivan

DOE 5-3

Referencing the Company's response to DOE Rate Case Expense 4-8, 4-9, 4-12, & 4-15:

Please provide an updated total of the amount the Company is requesting to recover as rate case expenses, as well as an updated monthly surcharge, that reflects the adjustments agreed to by the Company.

RESPONSE DOE 5-3:

The updated total of the rate case expenses is attached.

Date Request Received: 06/15/2022 Date of Response: 06/22/2022 Request No. DOE 5-4 Witness: John Sullivan

DOE 5-4

Referencing DOE 4-7

In discussions among the parties on June 14, 2022, the Company provided an update regarding the status of the occupancy of the two residential buildings the Company serves and the resulting customer count.

- a. Please summarize that updated information, and a timeframe from when it has been indicated to the Company that those buildings will be at, or approximately at, full occupancy.
- b. Additionally, if the Company has been noticed that there may be additional customers who request service from the Company before the end of the requested rate case expense recovery period and are therefore not reflected within the currently requested surcharge calculation, please indicate what the Company intends to do in that instance.
- c. Please confirm the Company's willingness to a report detailing the status of the recovery of the rate case expenses and an updated customer count as part of its required PUC Annual Report.

RESPONSE DOE 5-4:

- a. At the 6/14/22 meeting, the Company informed DOE that there are 33 customers at each residential building. The number consists of 32 residential units plus a house water meter that supplies the utility room and building irrigation. In its earlier discussions with DOE, the Company schedules only included the 32 residential units as customers. The Company is now requesting that the schedules be changed to include 33 customers per building. As of June 16, 2022, the Company has 33 customers at the first building. It is fully occupied. The Company has been told that the first customers in the second building will begin occupancy in late July 2022, with full occupancy expected by the summer of 2023.
- b. The Company has been told that there is a third building to be constructed over the next 12 months (+/-). If those customers request service before the rate case expenses have been fully recovered, the Company plans to charge those customers the same monthly amount as approved in the rate case recovery plan. Once the Company has fully recovered the approved amount, it will stop rate case billings for all customers. The company believes this is best for all customers since it may reduce the total amount paid by the initial customers. This means that some of the initial 67 customers will pay less than 36 payments.

c. The Company agrees to add a report to the PUC Annual Report detailing the status of the recovery of the rate case expenses and an updated customer count.

ATKINSON AREA WASTE WATER RECYCLING INC 186.01 - Deferred Rate Case Expenses 6/21/22

Response to DOE DR 5-3

			2020 Rate	Steve		Other	
Date	Description	Ref	Case	St Cyr	LBD	Costs	
5/5/19	Steve St Cyr		52.50	52.50			
10/31/19	Lewis Builders Dev		486.56		486.56		
10/31/19 3/1/20	Lewis Builders Dev		191.14 192.50	192.50	191.14		
3/1/20	Steve St Cyr Lewis Builders Dev		803.68	192.50	803.68		
3/31/20	Lewis Builders Dev		499.26		499.26		
4/4/20	Steve St Cyr		787.50	787.50			
4/30/20	Lewis Builders Dev		172.00 673.75	673.75	172.00		
5/2/20 6/6/20	Steve St Cyr Steve St Cyr		1,015.00	1,015.00			
7/5/20	Steve St Cyr		1,487.50	1,487.50			
7/31/20	Lewis Builders Dev		2,198.78		2,198.78		
8/1/20	Steve St Cyr		840.00	840.00			
8/19/20 9/30/20	NH Union Leader Lewis Builders Dev		1,368.40 3,805.10		2 905 10	1,368.40	
10/4/20	Steve St Cyr		700.00	700.00	3,805.10		
10/31/20	Lewis Builders Dev		3,749.24	. 00.00	3,749.24		
11/2/20	Steve St Cyr		1,172.50	1,172.50			
11/10/20	Patnaude		207.50			207.50	
11/30/20	Lewis Builders Dev		1,753.99		1,753.99		
11/30/20 12/5/20	Lewis Builders Dev Steve St Cyr		1,011.34 306.25	306.25	1,011.34		
12/31/20	Steve St Cyr		297.50	297.50			
12/31/20	Lewis Builders Dev		575.91		575.91		
12/31/20	Lewis Builders Dev		45.97		45.97		
1/31/21	LBD # 21337		1,822.54	1 051 25	1,822.54		
2/2/21 2/28/21	Steve St Cyr LBD # 21429		1,951.25 280.26	1,951.25	280.26		
3/7/21	Steve St Cyr		157.50	157.50			
3/31/21	LBD # 21522		182.22		182.22		
4/3/21	Steve St Cyr		315.00	315.00			
4/30/21	LBD # 21620		2,709.80 402.50	402.50	2,709.80		
5/2/21 5/31/21	Steve St Cyr LBD # 21702		636.57	402.50	636.57		
6/2/21	Steve St Cyr		315.00	315.00	000.07		
6/30/21	LBD # 21815		69.44		69.44		
7/2/21	Steve St Cyr		140.00	140.00			
7/31/21	LBD # 21915		69.44	122 50	69.44		
8/1/21 8/31/21	Steve St Cyr LBD # 22051		122.50 2,146.16	122.50	2,146.16		
9/6/21	Steve St Cyr		1,067.50	1,067.50	_,5.10		
9/30/21	LBD # 22152		5,022.33		5,022.33		
10/12/21	Steve St Cyr		1,583.75	1,583.75			
10/31/21	LBD # 22262 LBD # 22351		3,147.39 1,027.15		3,147.39 1,027.15		
11/30/21 12/3/21	Steve St Cyr		1,027.15 595.00	595.00	1,027.15		
12/23/21	Susan Robidas	transcript	596.00	333.00		596.00	
12/31/21	LBD # 22425		366.09		366.09		
12/31/21	Steve St Cyr		70.00	70.00			
1/31/22	LBD # 22558		422.27	70.00	422.27		
2/3/22 3/1/22	Steve St Cyr Steve St Cyr		70.00 35.00	70.00 35.00			
3/31/22	LBD # 22761		34.72	33.00	34.72		
4/30/22	Steve St Cyr		796.25	796.25			
4/30/22	LBD # 22862		385.68		385.68		
5/31/22	LBD #22991		316.36	402.50	316.36		
6/4/22 6/17/22	Steve St Cyr LBD w/e 6/3/22 & 6/1	10/22	402.50 177.98	402.50	177.98		
6/17/22	LBD w\e 6/17/22 - J. S		108.24		108.24		
6/17/22	LBD w\e 6/17/22 - A.		385.63		385.63		
6/17/22	LBD w\e 6/17/22 - H.	Wood	173.33		173.33		
	LBD estimate to comp	olete	223.68		223.68		
	LBD estimate to comp Steve St Cyr estimate		216.48 857.50	857.50	216.48		
	Total Rate Case Expe				35 246 72	2 171 00	
	TOTAL RATE CASE EXP	511362	53,794.88	16,406.25	35,216.73	2,171.90	

DW 20-071 DOE Position Statement Attachment Set 5 Data responses

7/8/2022

Customers per settlement agreement 67
Expenses per customer 802.91

Recovery period 36 months

Monthly amount per customer \$ 22.30

Response to DOE DR 5-3

Docket #: 20-071 SERVICE LIST - DOCKET R

SERVICE LIST - DOCKET RELATED - Email Addresses Printed: 7/8/2022

ClerksOffice@puc.nh.gov aaugeri@lewisbuilders.com amanda.o.noonan@energy.nh.gov anthony.j.leone@energy.nh.gov charlie@hampstead water.comchris@atkinsoncc.com christopher.r.tuomala@energy.nh.gov harold@lewisbuilders.comhtombarello@lewisbuilders.comhwood@lewisbuilders.comjayson.p.laflamme@energy.nh.gov john@lewisbuilders.com Joshua@lewisbuilders.comkaren.j.moran@energy.nh.gov mary.e.schwarzer@energy.nh.govocalitigation@oca.nh.gov robyn.j. descoteau @energy.nh.govstephenpstcyr@yahoo.com Suzanne.G. Amidon 1 @ energy.nh.gov

Page #: 1