

From: lowhall [<mailto:lowhall@comcast.net>]
Sent: Wednesday, February 28, 2018 9:58 AM
To: PUC:
Subject: DG 17-198 Granite Bridge Project

I would like to share my concerns about the proposed Granite Bridge Project. New Hampshire should be concerned with providing renewable energy that does not affect the environment and climate change. Investing in infrastructure to support fossil fuels as the ice caps melt and the seas rise is foolhardy at best. Methane gas and the leaks and emissions that may occur cannot be ignored. They may be a cost of doing business for the utility but have the possibility of affecting the health of many New Hampshire residents. Not to mention the blast zone issues for houses and highways near the proposed storage tank facility. Refer to the LNG explosion in Washington state in 2014.

If Canada wants to get its fracked fuel to Nova Scotia for export let them build pipelines across Canada and see how their citizens feel about the dangers. The cheap energy they are promising will only last until they can profit more from exports and we will be complicit in allowing this to happen.

Lastly, the Motion for Confidential Treatment that has been requested for this project is a clear indication that Liberty intends to pass the cost of this project on to the ratepayers directly. Acushnet MA recently won a court case declaring it unconstitutional to fund a gas pipeline capital project in this manner.

As an Epping resident, I strongly vote no to the approval of the Granite Bridge pipeline and LNG storage tank.

Emily Low