

Eversource

**Removal of Mercury Boilers
at Schiller Station**

Monthly Status Report

June 2017

Date: July 31, 2017
Subject: Schiller Station Units 1 & 2 Mercury Boiler Removal
Monthly Status Report # 8 – June 2017

Summary

The mercury boiler equipment removal project through June 2017 was proceeding as planned up to June 13, 2017 when an employee of the demolition contractor Manafort Brothers Inc. (“MBI”) voiced concern regarding a potential mercury exposure. At that time, all work related to cutting, burning and handling steel components was stopped to evaluate the situation. As a result, no demolition work occurred from June 13th through the end of the month to allow time for worker safety re-evaluation by the contractor. Actual expenses for the first eight months of activity continue to be in line with the overall estimated cost range. No environmental concerns have been raised beyond those expected and typical at this time. The potential safety issue briefly noted above is described in more detail below (in Significant Issues Section).

Due to the recent work-stop, the current expected project completion date of October 15, 2017 will likely be extended into 2018. The schedule is currently being reviewed. An updated schedule will be included in the next monthly status report. The revised schedule is due to the work stoppage for safety re-evaluation and a work transition to orientate several new contractor employees as well as to institute revised procedures to allow a safe restart of work.

Background

On October 21, 2016 in Order No. 25,956 the Commission approved Eversource’s removal of two mercury boilers and associated equipment located at Schiller generating station as recommended by the Commission’s auction advisor, J.P. Morgan

The Order noted:

“The immediate launching of the Schiller abatement effort offers several advantages within this framework. Eversource has intimate, operationally-developed knowledge of Schiller Station conditions that would enhance the efficiency of the remediation effort. Prompt remediation of the conditions at Schiller Station will tend to make the asset a more attractive acquisition target, and protect potential operational synergies among the Schiller, Newington, and Merrimack Station facilities.”

And the Commission concluded:

“We are also satisfied that the cost-review checks called for by the terms of the Stipulation will enable the Commission, Staff, and the other parties to monitor the effort for cost overruns and other potential issues. We will require that Eversource provide monthly updates on the cost and progress of the removal to Staff and we will require Staff to bring any substantial variances to our attention. We therefore find that undertaking the proposed removal of the two mercury boilers and related equipment from the Schiller generation station is prudent within the framework of the divestiture auction. We will monitor the manner in which Eversource conducts the removal to ensure that the removal is prudently managed.”

Status**1.0 Cost**

The total project cost estimate is in the range of \$20 - 30 million based on information provided by Eversource's vendors. The Company has incurred cost through June 2017 of approximately \$8.6 million. No changes to the overall estimated cost range are expected at this time. Please refer to page 6 and page 7 for details of the actual cost by month.

2.0 Schedule

The anticipated schedule and corresponding status is being reviewed and will be updated in the next monthly status report:

	Projected Schedule	Schedule Status
Nov 2016	Mobilize & Testing (a)	On Target
Dec 2016	ACM (b)	On Target
Jan 2017	ACM & Demolition (c)	On Target
Feb 2017	ACM & Demolition	Schedule Revised
Mar 2017	ACM & Demolition	On Target
Apr 2017	ACM & Demolition	On Target
May 2017	ACM & Demolition	On Target
Jun 2017	Delay – Safety Re-Evaluation	Delay (d)
Jul 2017	Delay – Safety Re-Evaluation	
Aug 2017	ACM & Demolition	
Sep 2017	Demolition	
Oct 2017	Demolition	
Nov 2017	Demolition	
Dec 2017	Demolition	
2018	Demolition/Completion (TBD)	
	Target completion date: 2018	

Notes:

- (a) "Mobilize" includes staging of temporary office trailers, parking, decontamination area, setting up enclosures, and preparing work & safety plans. "Testing" involves *in situ* pre-demolition chemical characterization of materials to determine proper offsite disposal criteria.
- (b) "ACM" = Abatement of Asbestos Containing Material.
- (c) "Demolition" = Demolition of Units 1&2 components over a 9-month period. Components to be removed include: stack, turbines, boilers, control room, coal bunker and all associated piping, lines and conduits.
- (d) Schedule impact due to Safety Re-Evaluation, new employee orientation, revised procedures and gradual start once work proceeds again.

3.0 Permitting and Notifications

The existing project permits as described in the November 2016 monthly status report are in good standing with no issues. Those are listed below.

- Demolition Permit with the City of Portsmouth
- Abatement Notification to NHDES

4.0 Critical Path Items Completed / Underway

Asbestos Abatement – 87% complete

Dismantling/Demolition – 31% complete

Gross asbestos abatement is taking place over 6 months and dismantling/demolition including integrated asbestos abatement over 11 months, involving 5 elevations in varied locations of the Unit 1 and 2 boiler house area. The work began at the higher elevations and is proceeding to the lower elevations. Where possible, work is being completed in parallel. The asbestos and dismantling work during June included the following:

Continued removal of asbestos refractory from Unit 1 and Unit 2 side walls between El. 59' and El. 82'.

- Collection & disposal of approximately 98 cubic yards of asbestos.
- Continued removal of mercury and non-mercury piping at El. 59'.
- Continued demolition of the Turbine 2 mercury and non-mercury piping at El. 36'.
- Commencement of demolition of the Turbine 2 condensers.
- Completed demolition and removal of Turbine 2 condenser tubing.
- Collection & disposal of approximately 78 tons of metal (non-mercury impacted and mercury impacted).
- Maintenance of existing containments and erection of future work area containments.

5.0 Significant Issues

On June 13, 2017, a Safety Stand-Down was initiated by the contractor MBI, halting all mercury demolition and removal work while MBI began an investigation into potential employee exposure to mercury. This Stand-Down was issued because a MBI employee reported the results of a home mercury urine test to the on-site MBI management staff at the project site. The employee stated he had concerns regarding potential exposure to mercury and provided a photograph of the test result to MBI staff. The MBI staff immediately sent the employee to the Occupational Health Services of Portsmouth Regional Hospital (“PRH”) facility for blood analysis of mercury and metals. The results of this test confirmed an elevated level of mercury in the employee’s blood. MBI then began sending all of their employees for additional mercury testing. The results of these tests (for some employees) according to MBI’s Occupational Health Physicians indicated mercury levels in blood above the normal range but not near the levels required for toxicity or poison.

As a result of the blood testing, MBI held an all-hands safety conference that included their subcontractor, Absolute Environmental (“AE”). The safety conference addressed the potential mercury exposure to workers and discussed the signs and symptoms of mercury exposure. MBI presented a plan to better understand and define the potential exposure and discussed a plan that would allow for the completion of the work. Following the safety conference, all MBI and AE crew members and site supervisors completed another round of blood and urine sampling for mercury. The test results were received during late June and are currently being reviewed by MBI’s Occupational Health Physicians who are now reporting that the detected concentrations of mercury in the blood and urine samples are not near the levels that would indicate toxicity or symptoms related to poisoning.

Due to the potential exposures described above, MBI has been conducting a root cause analysis investigation and an evaluation of all mercury related work practices. In addition, MBI is developing a return to work plan to be implemented following the completion of the root cause investigation and work practice evaluation.

In addition to the issue described above, MBI received a letter from the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (“OSHA”) informing them (MBI) that OSHA received a notice of alleged hazards pertaining to, “Employees not adequately being protected while removing material containing asbestos and mercury.” OSHA requested MBI immediately perform an investigation of the alleged conditions and advise OSHA in writing of the results of the investigation. Since then, MBI has been working closely with OSHA to provide the requested documentation.

6.0 Cost & Schedule Impacts

The expected completion date of October 15, 2017 will likely be delayed into 2018 due to the issues described above in Section 5. Eversource requested that MBI provide a detailed schedule once the safety issues have been resolved. MBI's work on the project is expected to begin again during the first week of August 2017. The cost of the project is expected to remain within the original range.

7.0 Agency Visits, Inspections & Audits

During June, NHDES regulators visited the site by Eversource invitation to review the demolition process and finalize the Alternative Air Compliance Plan. There were no issues as a result of this NHDES visit.

8.0 Next Report Due

The next monthly report will be submitted to the Commission by August 31, 2017.

Public Service Company of New Hampshire d/b/a Eversource Energy
Removal of Mercury Boilers Deferred Costs
Monthly Status Report for June 2017

Line #	Description	Jan 2017	Feb 2017	Mar 2017	Apr 2017	May 2017	Jun 2017	Jul 2017	Aug 2017	Sep 2017	Oct 2017	Nov 2017	Dec 2017	Total 2017
1	Internal Cost:													
2	-Employee Labor	\$ 34,463	\$ 31,022	\$ 32,376	\$ 32,105	\$ 35,099	\$ 34,447	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 199,511
3	-Employee Misc. Expense	105	225	254	142	386	248	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,360
4	-Materials & Supplies	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5														
6	Vendor Cost:													
7	-O'Connor Corp.	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
8	-William Scotsman Inc.	1,510	1,510	1,510	834	2,430	590	-	-	-	-	-	-	8,384
9	-Mohlin & Company	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	12
10	-GZA GEO Environmental Inc.	51,358	39,130	59,349	49,738	51,517	55,687	-	-	-	-	-	-	306,780
11	-Ayer Electric Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	-Manafort Brothers	1,086,678	240,929	2,065,674	969,690	2,242,510	(471,604)	-	-	-	-	-	-	6,133,878
13														
14	Monthly Total	1,174,126	312,817	2,159,162	1,052,509	2,331,942	(380,631)	-	-	-	-	-	-	6,649,924
15														
16	Balance	\$ 2,986,806	\$ 3,299,622	\$ 5,458,784	\$ 6,511,293	\$ 8,843,235	\$ 8,462,604	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
17														
18	Average Balance	\$ 2,399,743	\$ 3,143,214	\$ 4,379,203	\$ 5,985,039	\$ 7,677,264	\$ 8,652,919	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
19	Tax Impact @ 40.330%	(967,816)	(1,267,658)	(1,766,133)	(2,413,766)	(3,096,241)	(3,489,722)	-	-	-	-	-	-	
20	Average Investment	\$ 1,431,926	\$ 1,875,556	\$ 2,613,071	\$ 3,571,273	\$ 4,581,023	\$ 5,163,197	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
21	Stipulated Rate of Return	0.67%	0.67%	0.67%	0.67%	0.67%	0.67%	0.67%	0.67%	0.67%	0.67%	0.67%	0.67%	
22	Interest	\$ 9,588	\$ 12,558	\$ 17,497	\$ 23,961	\$ 30,736	\$ 34,642	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 128,983
23														
24	Monthly Total Including Interest	1,183,714	325,375	2,176,659	1,076,470	2,362,678	(345,989)	-	-	-	-	-	-	
25														
26	Cumulative Total Including Interest	3,003,565	3,328,940	5,505,599	6,582,069	8,944,747	8,598,758	8,598,758	8,598,758	8,598,758	8,598,758	8,598,758	8,598,758	

Public Service Company of New Hampshire d/b/a Eversource Energy
Removal of Mercury Boilers Deferred Costs
Monthly Status Report for 2016

Line #	Description	Jan 2016	Feb 2016	Mar 2016	Apr 2016	May 2016	Jun 2016	Jul 2016	Aug 2016	Sep 2016	Oct 2016	Nov 2016	Dec 2016	Total 2016
1	Internal Cost:													
2	-Employee Labor	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 34,510	\$ 34,490	\$ 69,001
3	-Employee Misc. Expense	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	230	243	473
4	-Materials & Supplies	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9,889	183	10,072
5														
6	Vendor Cost:													
7	-O'Connor Corp.	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 23,000	\$ 33,058	\$ (149)	\$ 55,909
8	-William Scotsman Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4,993	1,510	6,503
9	-Mohlin & Company	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	10,100	1,838	11,938
10	-GZA GEO Environmental Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	23,000	33,809	56,809
11	-Ayer Electric Inc.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	46,941	(11,238)	35,703
12	-Manafort Brothers	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	680,010	886,262	1,566,272
13														
14	Monthly Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	23,000	842,732	946,948	1,812,679
15														
16	Balance	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 23,000	\$ 865,732	\$ 1,812,679	\$ 1,812,679
17														
18	Average Balance	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 11,500	\$ 444,366	\$ 1,339,206	
19	Tax Impact @ 40.330%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(4,638)	(179,213)	(540,102)	
20	Average Investment	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 6,862	\$ 265,153	\$ 799,104	
21	Stipulated Rate of Return	0.67%	0.67%	0.67%	0.67%	0.67%	0.67%	0.67%	0.67%	0.67%	0.67%	0.67%	0.67%	0.67%
22	Interest	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 46	\$ 1,775	\$ 5,350	\$ 7,171
23														
24	Monthly Total Including Interest	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	23,046	844,507	952,298	
25														
26	Cumulative Total Including Interest	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	23,046	867,553	1,819,851	