1	STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE					
2		PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION				
3	October 4, 20	07 - 7:00 p.m.				
4	Kearsarge Regional High School 457 North Road					
5	North Sutton,	New Hampshire				
6						
7						
8	RE:	DT 07-027				
9		KEARSARGE TELEPHONE CO., WILTON TELEPHONE CO., HOLLIS TELEPHONE CO., AND MERRIMACK COUNTY TELEPHONE CO.: Petitions for				
10		Alternative Regulation Pursuant to RSA 374:3-b.				
11		(Public statement hearing)				
12						
13	PRESENT:	Chairman Thomas B. Getz, Presiding Commissioner Clifton Below				
14		Commissioner Ciffon Below				
15	ADDEADANCES.	(No appearances taken)				
16	APPEARANCES.	(NO appearances taken)				
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23	COU	RT REPORTER: Steven E. Patnaude, CCR				
2.4						

1				
2				
3		I N D E X		
4			PAGE	NO.
5	Presentation by Mr. I	Reed (TDS Telecom)	7	
6				
7	PUBLIC STATEMENTS BY	:		
8	1	Ms. Julie Griffiths	14	
9	1	Mr. Francesco Aldanese	17	
10	1	Ms. Helen Kingsley	18	
11	1	Mr. Robert Manchester	19	
12	1	Ms. Linda Powell	20	
13	1	Ms. Kathryn Polaski	23, 24	
14	1	Ms. Alexis Polaski	24	
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				

{DT 07-027} [Public Statement Hearing] (10-04-07)

1	PROCEEDINGS			
2	CHAIRMAN GETZ: Good evening. We're			
3	going to open the public statement in Public Utilities			
4	Commission docket DT 07-027. My name is Tom Getz. I'm			
5	the Chairman of the Public Utilities Commission. And,			
6	seated at the table in front is Clifton Below, who is also			
7	a Commissioner at the Public Utilities Commission.			
8	Commissioner Morrison is on vacation this week. And, also			
9	here tonight, in the back, is Anne Ross, is the Director			
10	of our Legal Division, and Mike Cisco is in our Consumer			
11	Affairs Division, and also two members of the Office of			
12	Consumer Advocate, Ken Traum and Steve Eckberg.			
13	This docket concerns a request by the			
14	TDS Companies filed on March 1, 2007 for its subsidiaries,			
15	the Wilton, Hollis, Kearsarge, and Merrimack Telephone			
16	Companies, seeking approval of an alternative regulation			
17	plan. The plan is filed pursuant to legislation passed in			
18	2005 and amended in 2006, and which is set forth in RSA			
19	374:3-b, and we provided copies of that legislation that			
20	had been handed out.			
21	I'll begin with some background on the			
22	Commission and the process for handling a case like this,			
23	and also discuss how we'll handle the public statement			
24	hearing tonight. The term "Public Utilities Commission"			
	{DT 07-027} [Public Statement Hearing] (10-04-07)			

```
1 refers both to the 65 employees that work for the agency
```

- 2 and the three Commissioners that make the decisions in the
- 3 cases that come before the agency. The three
- 4 Commissioners, myself, Commissioner Below, and
- 5 Commissioner Morrison, will be acting in the same manner
- 6 as judges in this case, and we are subject to the same
- 7 kinds of rules as judges. Most important, we are subject
- 8 to what are called "ex parte rules", and this means that
- 9 we cannot talk about the merits of an ongoing case with
- 10 anyone, except when there is notice and opportunity for
- all parties to participate. And, this evening is an
- 12 example of a situation where there has been notice and an
- opportunity to participate, and we can hear what people's
- 14 positions are on the case.
- 15 As for the process used in the
- 16 proceeding, it is a formal judicial style proceeding that
- includes written and oral testimony, discovery,
- 18 cross-examination, briefs, and a written decision that we
- 19 make that is subject to rehearing and appeal to the New
- 20 Hampshire Supreme Court. And, this is similar to what
- 21 occurs in a typical civil trial.
- 22 At this point in the case, TDS has filed
- 23 its petition asking us to approve it for an alternative
- 24 regulation. We've held an initial procedural hearing,
  - {DT 07-027} [Public Statement Hearing] (10-04-07)

1

called a "prehearing conference", and that was on May 4,

```
2
       2007, and after that we approved a procedural schedule on
 3
       May 29, and that culminates in hearings in the first week
 4
       of December. We've also scheduled three public statement
 5
       hearings, and which tonight is the second of the three.
 6
                         As required by the procedural schedule,
 7
       TDS has filed written testimony, and the other parties,
 8
       including Staff of the Commission and the Consumer
       Advocate, are conducting discovery, which means they're
 9
10
       asking questions of the Company that will help in writing
       testimony, which is due, I believe, October 12th.
11
12
                         I want to emphasize one very important
13
       point about the process and the Commissioners' roles,
14
       which is this: We have formed no opinion on whether the
       petition should be approved or denied, nor should we. Our
15
       job is to hear all the evidence, and then make a decision
16
       based on that evidence.
17
                         Now, let me explain a little bit about
18
19
       the public statement hearing and what we're trying to
20
       accomplish this evening, which are a couple of things.
21
       First, TDS will be given time to briefly explain its
22
       proposal. We expect that there are many questions about
23
       the proposal, and we are hopeful that their presentation
       will answer some or all of those questions for you.
24
```

{DT 07-027} [Public Statement Hearing] (10-04-07)

```
1
       However, tonight is not the occasion to cross-examine the
 2
       Companies about its proposal, but I understand Mr. Reed is
 3
       prepared to stay around after we close the formal part of
 4
       this public statement hearing and try to answer questions
 5
       individually that you may have.
 6
                         Second, this is an opportunity for you
 7
       to tell us whether you support or oppose the plan, express
 8
       your concerns about the proposal, or recommend areas that
       you think we should examine. While the statements made
 9
10
       tonight do not constitute the kind of evidence on which we
11
       can base a decision, these comments typically prove
       helpful in identifying areas that our Staff and the
12
13
       Consumer Advocate can investigate, and they're also
14
       helpful in setting out areas that we can pursue through
       our own questions at the hearing. If you would like to
15
       speak tonight, there are sign-up sheets available that
16
       probably have already been handed out. You can indicate
17
18
       whether you'd like to speak or you can make written
19
       comments on that, and we will take those comments and they
       will become part of our file.
20
21
                         We have a stenographer, Mr. Patnaude,
22
       who will be reporting the comments tonight. So, if you
23
       could just come down to this microphone when you'd like to
       speak, and speak clearly so he can record this for the
24
```

{DT 07-027} [Public Statement Hearing] (10-04-07)

```
1 transcript, and then that transcript will become part of
```

- 2 our record as well and be available on our website. And,
- 3 anything that you want to try to follow up about this
- 4 proceeding or other proceedings, our website is
- 5 www.puc.nh.gov.
- 6 I think that's all of the introductory
- 7 remarks to explain the process this evening. So, I'll
- 8 turn it over to Mr. Reed.
- 9 MR. REED: Good evening. I'm Mike Reed,
- 10 from TDS Telecom. I'm State Government Regulatory Affairs
- 11 Manager. That means I do legislative and regulatory work.
- 12 With me tonight is Deborah Martone. She is also a State
- 13 Government Affairs Manager, her office is in Contoocook.
- 14 Beside her, Mark Violette, he is the Manager of our
- 15 markets. He's also in Contoocook. And, behind them,
- trying to hide, is Ed Raymond, our Service Manager for
- 17 Merrimack County Telephone Company.
- 18 Before I begin, I just want to say right
- 19 out of the starting gate, the reason for the legislation
- and the reason for our petition under the legislation is
- 21 to try to get our regulatory burden, our regulatory
- 22 requirements in line with the changing competitiveness of
- the market. That's what's behind this petition. I'm
- going to try to provide some brief details as I go through

 $\{DT\ 07-027\}$  [Public Statement Hearing] (10-04-07)

```
that and try to flesh that out a little bit for you.
 1
 2
                         As Chairman Getz pointed out, Kearsarge
       Telephone Company, Wilton Telephone Company, Hollis
 3
 4
       Telephone Company, and Merrimack County Telephone Company,
 5
       have petitioned the PUC for approval of an alternative
       form of regulation in the RSA he mentioned, 374:3-b. This
       RSA has been in effect since the middle of last year. Our
 8
       companies are going to be the first incumbents, small
       incumbent telephone companies to petition the Commission
 9
10
       for a change in regulation under that statute.
11
                         I thought the easiest way to do this is
12
       just hit the highlights of the statute and how the plan
13
       that the TDS companies have filed meets the requirements
14
       of that statute. RSA 374:3-b simply states that "a small
       incumbent location exchange carrier", like Kearsarge
15
       Telephone Company, "may petition the PUC for an
16
       alternative form of regulation that will be comparable to
17
       a competitive local exchange carrier." Today, competitive
18
19
       local exchange carriers have a different level of
20
       regulation than incumbent companies, like Kearsarge
21
       Telephone Company. This statute is an attempt to bring
22
       the incumbent local exchange carriers to that same level
23
       as the competitors. While it's a very important step to
       us, and it's a step in the right direction, I think it's
24
       {DT 07-027} [Public Statement Hearing] (10-04-07)
```

```
1 important to point out this statute, nor our plan, doesn't
```

- 2 bring us in equal regulation to some of our biggest
- 3 competitors. The biggest competitors being wireless, the
- 4 voice-over-the-Internet protocol companies, like a Vonage,
- or even cable companies, who are not regulated by the
- 6 Public Utilities Commission. So, while this is a
- 7 lessening of regulation, it brings us in line with
- 8 competitive local exchange carriers, it doesn't completely
- 9 level the playing field.
- 10 Our petition and our proposed plan
- 11 provides details of the regulations that we think are
- 12 changed. There's several rules at the Commission. We
- 13 filed in our plan includes what rules we think need to be
- 14 changed. A key to this filing with the Commission is that
- 15 PUC, this is the statute, the statute quotes "the PUC
- shall approve our petition based on meeting five different
- 17 criteria." And, one of the keys is finding that
- 18 competitive wireline, wireless or broadband is available
- 19 to the majority of the customers in each of our exchanges.
- 20 Kearsarge Telephone Company has got six exchanges.
- 21 Merrimack County Telephone Company, I'm not sure if anyone
- is from Merrimack County, Merrimack County has eight
- 23 different changes. And, there's different levels of
- 24 competition in each of those exchanges.
  - {DT 07-027} [Public Statement Hearing] (10-04-07)

```
As I said, we've provided detail to the
 1
 2
       Commission, along with the impacts that our company is
 3
       experiencing from this competition. The PUC is continuing
       to review the information and evaluate and ask us
 5
       additional questions, along with the OCA. The second
       criteria, and I think it's a very key element, especially
       when I've read some of the press coverage that has been
 Я
       out there as a result of this petition. The statute
       provides for maximum basic local service rates do not
 9
10
       exceed the largest incumbent telephone company in the
       state, which now is Verizon, and that no increases in
11
12
       basic local service rates can exceed 10 percent a year to
13
       that cap rate.
14
                         I really want to be clear on that,
       because I think some of the coverage has been a little,
15
       well, let's just say it could be more clear. This is a
16
       possible 10 percent increase of the basic local service
17
       rate, not your total phone bill, not including all your
18
19
       toll and your surcharges and taxes. So, for example, in
20
       New London, the basic local residential rate is $11.04.
21
       That's what the statute addresses. That's the dollar
22
       amount that we're -- we need to be clear on. The other
23
       thing that I really need to be clear on is that we have
       not proposed a rate increase. We have not filed for a
24
       {DT 07-027} [Public Statement Hearing] (10-04-07)
```

```
1 rate increase, nor do we have any immediate intention of
```

- 2 increasing our rates. That's not the purpose of this
- 3 filing. The purpose of the legislation and the filing is
- 4 to give us flexibility to raise and lower rates to meet
- 5 competition. Bundle our various rates and products and
- 6 services. And, should we find the need to raise rates,
- because of the impacts of competition, the Legislature
- 8 made sure to put restrictions on there or caps, if you
- 9 will, that we can't go above a certain rate in this state.
- 10 They have deemed that to be the cap rate of the largest
- 11 incumbent, right now is Verizon, who have different rate
- 12 groups. But, for the Kearsarge Telephone Company and the
- 13 Merrimack County Telephone Company, that cap rate would be
- \$14.41 to \$15.69 for a residential customer.
- 15 Another important part of the plan, as I
- said, is our giving us the ability to bundle our products.
- 17 We could bundle products with our DSL. Again, it's just a
- 18 matter of competing. TDS has a good record of providing
- 19 customers what they want and need, and this plan would
- 20 ensure that we continue to do just that.
- 21 Another part of the plan that's a little
- 22 more vague for regular customers is, it states we have to
- 23 meet our intercarrier obligation. That means, if AT&T or
- 24 some other carrier wants to interconnect with us or
  - {DT 07-027} [Public Statement Hearing] (10-04-07)

```
1 exchange telephone traffic, we have certain
```

- 2 responsibilities to meet those obligations, and our filing
- 3 includes that.
- 4 A very important part of this plan,
- 5 while I talk about bundling is important to us, a very
- 6 important part of this plan preserves universal service to
- 7 basic telephone rates. The commitment in our plan and the
- 8 commitment in RSA 374:3-b says we must continue to provide
- 9 affordable basic service to every customer in our service
- 10 footprint. Just because it's a competitive market out
- 11 there, it doesn't mean we're just going to serve downtown
- 12 New London, and the people who live at the outskirts are
- 13 going to have to find a different carrier. So, we'll
- 14 continue to provide that service.
- The last, but perhaps the most important
- part of this whole plan, is the plan provides that, if the
- 17 small incumbent carrier operating under the plan fails to
- 18 meet any of the conditions set out in the statute or in
- our plan, the PUC may require the small ILEC to have
- 20 modifications or return us to our original form of
- 21 regulation. It's another safeguard that's been placed in
- the statute.
- I just want to highlight some of the
- 24 goals in the plan. Goal: Regulatory requirements

{DT 07-027} [Public Statement Hearing] (10-04-07)

```
applicable to other companies' retail operations that are
 1
 2
       comparable to the regulation the Commission applies to
 3
       competitive local exchange carriers. Another goal: To
       ensure the high level of service continues to be provided
 5
       to Company's customers, while maintaining a network that
 6
       meets the customers' needs. Another goal: Facilitate the
       transition to a competitive telecommunications market in
 8
       the Company's territory, including the intercarrier
       obligations. And, finally, preserve universal service by
 9
10
       maintaining the Company's status as carrier-of-last-resort
11
       that's serving everyone in our footprint. That we will
12
       serve every customer in our exchange territory and make
13
       sure they have access to affordable basic service.
14
                         In summary, we're facing a significant
15
       competitive environment in New Hampshire. It's not all
       competitive yet. It's well on its way. We're
16
17
       experiencing competition from competitors that we barely
       even contemplated just a few years ago. You're all
18
19
       certainly aware of the explosion of the wireless market.
20
       People beginning to use Voice-Over IP, the Vonage type
21
       companies, or accessing broadband through your cable
22
       company. The competition is growing daily. We certainly
23
       are experiencing the effects of that competition through
       our loss in customers. Even if our customers stay with
24
       {DT 07-027} [Public Statement Hearing] (10-04-07)
```

```
1 us, they're using other networks or perhaps their long
```

- 2 distance service or to access the Internet. So, they may
- 3 still be a customer, but they certainly are not buying all
- 4 their products from us.
- We've asked for a reduction in the
- 6 traditional regulation that in some way matches the
- 7 competition that we're experiencing. We have not asked
- 8 for no regulation, we have not asked for deregulation, we
- 9 have petitioned for less regulation. Thank you.
- 10 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Thank you. The first
- 11 speaker who has turned in a statement form is Julie
- 12 Griffiths.
- MS. GRIFFITHS: Over there?
- 14 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Please. Either one is
- 15 fine.
- MS. GRIFFITHS: Good evening. My name
- 17 is Julie Griffiths. I've lived in Sutton since 1978, and
- 18 have had MCT as my telephone carrier since 1978. And,
- 19 some of the people here have actually worked on my phones,
- I believe, but not recently, because MCT was taken over by
- 21 TDS. I think it's very disingenuous when they stand here
- and say they're a "small carrier". My bills come from
- 23 Wisconsin. And, when I call for service, which I've had
- to do numerous times in the last year and a half, I get
  - $\{DT\ 07-027\}$  [Public Statement Hearing] (10-04-07)

```
1 people in Maine, in Wisconsin, I don't even know where
```

- these people are. And, they don't know the area, and they
- 3 don't know the problems with this area. And, they, you
- 4 know, it's just not the same level of service as we were
- 5 getting two or three years ago.
- 6 The idea that there is some competitive
- 7 miracle company that's available to me in Sutton? It does
- 8 not exist. This carrier is my only option for my lines
- 9 into my house. I don't have cable. It doesn't come to
- 10 where I live in Sutton. That's -- What I have is what I
- 11 need to work with. And, it's taken me many hours on the
- 12 phone over the last few years to work with the various
- 13 people I've had to deal with. I signed up for, and I
- don't want to get into like my whole chapter and verse,
- but I sign up for things and I end up with no long
- distance. Or, I sign up for something, like a bundle,
- which he said "oh, we need to bundle". I sign up for a
- 18 bundle, and all of a sudden I have no e-mail. You know, I
- 19 get internet, but no e-mail. And, I call up and I speak
- 20 to tech support, and they say "Oh, yeah, you don't want to
- 21 talk to customer service, I don't know where customer
- 22 service is, but you want to talk to tech support." I
- 23 spoke to a woman in customer service who couldn't solve
- one of my long distance problems. She e-mailed me and
  - {DT 07-027} [Public Statement Hearing] (10-04-07)

```
1 said "Oh, call the 800 number." I mean, that's not
```

- 2 service.
- 3 It used to be here, when you needed
- 4 service, you could walk into Main Street in New London or
- 5 Main Street in Warner and speak to a person. You can't do
- 6 that anymore. So, again, the idea that they're a small
- 7 company that needs lots of help, it doesn't fit with what
- 8 they're doing as a company. They're closing local
- 9 offices, and yet coming here and saying they're a "small
- 10 local exchange". And, to me, there's a disconnect there.
- 11 No pun intended. It's just, to me, not the same company.
- 12 You know, the idea that there is some other competitive,
- 13 something that I can go to, is just not the reality in
- 14 Sutton.
- 15 And, I really think that the idea that
- 16 you can give them carte blanche of 10 percent raises for
- four years is a really scary thought. Yes, maybe my base
- 18 phone rate will only go up four bucks over four years or
- 19 4.75, do the math, but I don't think that's all that's
- going to go up. I think other things will go up. I think
- 21 that they -- they say one thing and they do another. My
- DSL, I was offered a bump up from I think it was 760
- 23 something to 1.5 to something else. I do a test of my
- download and upload speeds and they're nowhere near that.
  - $\{DT 07-027\}$  [Public Statement Hearing] (10-04-07)

```
1 And, I understand part of that is I'm at the end of a road
```

- and, you know, there's nothing past me. But I don't feel
- 3 comfortable trusting them to make financial decisions with
- 4 no regulation. I think there's too many caveats to that,
- 5 to their request. Thank you.
- 6 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Thank you. Francesco
- 7 Aldanese.
- MR. ALDANESE: Good evening.
- 9 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Good evening.
- MR. ALDANESE: I've been a customer of
- 11 TDS since about 2004. I have to say I've always found
- 12 them to be very customer service orientated. I've talked
- 13 to their tech support. I have actually found any changes
- and modifications on my line to be very, very helpful.
- 15 And, actually, dealing with some of the other carriers in
- my business, I find TDS to be one of the more pleasurable
- 17 to deal with.
- 18 From a competitive note, I totally rely
- on my landline in my house as my only method of
- 20 communication. I do not get any decent cell service, and
- 21 I do not get any cable service. So, for my residence, for
- 22 any emergency service, for fire, police, or first aid, I
- 23 need my TDS landline. That's all. Thank you.
- 24 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Thank you. Is there {DT 07-027} [Public Statement Hearing] (10-04-07)

```
anyone else who would like to speak? Ma'am.
```

- 2 MS. KINGSLEY: I didn't fill out a
- 3 sheet. And, since there are so few of us here, I think I
- 4 can speak from here.
- 5 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Well, we just want to
- 6 make sure that Mr. Patnaude can hear you.
- 7 MS. KINGSLEY: Oh, certainly.
- 8 CHAIRMAN GETZ: If you could just state
- 9 --
- 10 MS. KINGSLEY: I'll fill out the sheet
- 11 after.
- 12 CHAIRMAN GETZ: -- or just say your name
- 13 for the record.
- 14 MS. KINGSLEY: All right. Helen
- 15 Kingsley, from New London. And, I've been a TDS customer
- I guess for over 30 years. But I think Julie has put it
- 17 very succinctly, as well as this other gentleman. And, I
- 18 think, since TDS has a monopoly here, I think it's time
- 19 for the Commission to consider and look at their balance
- 20 sheet and see if this is a continuous problem, going to be
- 21 a continuous problem, that maybe it's time they think of
- 22 merging, or having a white knight come in and absorbing
- them. Because, with the state of the economy and the
- depression, I'm sure that a great many people who aren't
  - $\{DT 07-027\}$  [Public Statement Hearing] (10-04-07)

```
1 represented here tonight are going to suffer. And, I
```

- think Julie put it very succinctly, that it's only a
- 3 question of time whether, not only the basic rate will go
- 4 up, but all the -- all the other supporting rates will go
- 5 up. And, I think it's something the Commission should
- 6 consider, because everything just can't be going up. It's
- 7 time for this company, and I'm sure you would look at the
- 8 balance sheet, to see where the monies are going. And, if
- 9 they're not competitive, then they have got to merge or
- 10 they have got to do something else. Thank you.
- 11 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Thank you. Sir.
- 12 MR. MANCHESTER: My name is Robert
- 13 Manchester, and I live in Bradford, New Hampshire. And, I
- 14 can only reiterate what the previous people have said with
- 15 regard to service. Although, I have not found TDS's
- 16 technical service or their people employed or whatever.
- 17 The fact of the fact matter is they have closed local
- 18 offices, they are all now outward bound. So, it's much
- 19 more difficult in order to get someone on a face-to-face
- 20 basis.
- 21 Secondarily, in Bradford, unlike
- 22 possibly some other towns, most of us in Bradford have no
- cellphone service whatsoever. So, we are totally
- dependent upon TDS as a landline, and we have no cable
  - $\{DT 07-027\}$  [Public Statement Hearing] (10-04-07)

```
1 service either. This hearing is not about that
```

- 2 necessarily, but we have one telephone source, and that is
- 3 TDS. There is no other competition there, including
- 4 wireless and including cellphone service, it is
- 5 nonexistent. Thank you.
- 6 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Thank you.
- 7 MS. POWELL: I had a good idea for TDS.
- 8 You could save some money, by the customer service, I
- 9 don't know about the tech support, because my husband does
- 10 that -- Linda Powell, Newbury. But your customer service
- 11 that's away, that generally will tell you "okay, we'll
- 12 call somebody locally tomorrow when business opens about
- 13 your problem", get rid of those people. You don't need to
- offer that service, if the answer is going to be that
- they're going to call back to the local people the next
- morning when the office opens, I might as well just go
- 17 call people and give them a straight scoop on what the
- 18 problem is the next morning when your office opens. But I
- 19 have a feeling you can save some money there. But that's
- just me.
- 21 I've got a lot of questions. We do have
- the same problem in South Newbury. We have DSL and we
- have cable, eh [indicating], and, you know, kind of with
- DSL sometimes too, but we do not have cell service at our
  - {DT 07-027} [Public Statement Hearing] (10-04-07)

```
1 house or in the vicinity. And, so, again, I'm not sure
```

- where the competition is coming from.
- 3 What happens in the fifth year? Do they
- 4 -- the cap is off on the 10 percent, by the way? There's
- 5 a 10 percent cap for four years. Year five is what?
- 6 CHAIRMAN GETZ: The ultimate cap is
- 7 basically the Verizon rate.
- 8 MS. POWELL: So, then, they can just go
- 9 to Verizon then. Is there a requirement that whatever
- 10 services Verizon is offering for their rate have to be
- 11 matched or of comparable quality?
- 12 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Well, rather than have
- 13 questions --
- 14 MS. POWELL: I guess that's -- okay.
- 15 So, that's one of the many questions that I would have, is
- there something there? If the increase is just for the --
- on the landline and not the other services, that's a bit
- 18 of a relief. But, I'm thinking, if they were capped
- 19 before on a rate of return, I'm thinking what's wrong with
- that? I mean, why do you have to change it? Why was it
- 21 set up that way in the first place, that it would be a
- 22 rate of return instead of the competitive local exchange
- 23 carrier, a la Verizon, if there wasn't a good reason for
- it? I assume there was a good reason for it, and I'm
  {DT 07-027} [Public Statement Hearing] (10-04-07)

```
1 probably not allowed to know what that is right now, but
```

- that strikes me as a really good question. I mean, if
- 3 it's just because they put in the legislation, I might be
- 4 expecting too much to expect the legislation would have
- 5 had a reason. But, anyway, that would be a fun thing to
- 6 know.
- 7 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Well, I think Ms. Ross
- 8 will be available, our Director of our Legal Division, to
- 9 speak with you ever afterwards --
- MS. POWELL: Okay.
- 11 CHAIRMAN GETZ: -- to answer some of
- 12 your questions.
- 13 MS. POWELL: You might want to consider,
- 14 one of the things you said we could do is point out things
- 15 that you could explore, you might want to contact the town
- selectboards, because -- because it's required by RSAs or
- 17 something, I don't know, but the selectboards sign
- 18 contracts with the telecommunication companies, ten year
- 19 contracts, and I believe the ten years is also required by
- 20 RSA. And, nobody else came in to compete with TDS,
- 21 whether, you know, the towns would have been willing to
- 22 entertain such. So, that might say something to the level
- of competition. And, we can't do anything about it as a
- town, because, I don't know how many years ago, but it
  - {DT 07-027} [Public Statement Hearing] (10-04-07)

```
1 wasn't eight or nine, we signed the contract with TDS
```

- again for the Town. So, you know, we're bagged for that.
- I mean, they -- I have to say they have an effective
- 4 monopoly. And, you know, there's no place else for us to
- 5 go. And, you know, that really has to do with that other
- 6 question.
- 7 I've got a lot of questions here. I'm
- 8 not sure that it's a good idea. I could put in something
- 9 written afterwards and talk to Mark Violette, who I wish
- 10 was just "the guy" still. And, that's all I have. But I
- 11 hope you guys will look into it more.
- 12 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Thank you. Is there
- anyone else who would like to speak tonight?
- MS. A. POLASKI: My mother and I.
- MS. K. POLASKI: Hello. I'm from
- Newbury, New Hampshire. And, we rely on our computer a
- 17 great deal. We have four kids. And, we have to go to the
- 18 local library to use their computer. And, although I
- 19 don't have TDS for phone service, I have -- I don't know
- if I should say, Verizon, but for \$47, I can get
- 21 everything except the DSL. We have no cellphone service
- in our area, and we have no TV reception, even though we
- have the service. And, we don't know why towns, like
- Colebrook, Littleton, are getting DSL, when we are being {DT 07-027} [Public Statement Hearing] (10-04-07)

```
1 bypassed. Also, it takes -- I can turn a computer on in
```

- 2 the morning and come back at night, and I still won't be
- on. And, that is no exaggeration. As far as tech
- 4 service, it's one big -- you can stay on the phone all
- 5 day, and then it's -- you get sent back to the same person
- 6 you started from with. So, I was hoping maybe you might
- 7 consider merging with Verizon, but -- or giving us some
- 8 DSL. Or, I mean, it's horrible, especially now that it's
- 9 going to be winter, my daughter has to go somewhere else
- 10 to do her research.
- 11 MS. A. POLASKI: Okay. My name is
- 12 Alexis Polaski. I'm 15, and I go to this school. I
- 13 support -- well, I would like to get home and get my
- 14 homework done, but, the thing is, that our Internet isn't
- 15 exactly the best. And, I rely a lot on it. So, I would
- like something that's fast and easy to use and will get my
- 17 homework done. Because -- yes. Do you want to say
- 18 anything?
- 19 MS. K. POLASKI: I don't know what to
- 20 say. I just was hoping that you could share a -- with
- 21 somebody else, if you can't, I don't know why you can't
- 22 afford to have DSL in our area, I don't know. But, you
- know, if you're going to have that rate hike every four
- years, you know, for the next four years, why don't you
  - $\{DT 07-027\}$  [Public Statement Hearing] (10-04-07)

put up some towers. And, I don't know what else to say.

```
But I know -- it's just like everyone else's complaints.
 2
       We have the same basic complaints. Thank you.
 3
 4
                         CHAIRMAN GETZ: Thank you. Is there
 5
       anyone else who would like to speak this evening?
 6
                         (No verbal response)
 7
                         CHAIRMAN GETZ: All right. Then, we
 8
       will close this public statement hearing. I want to thank
 9
       you all for coming out. There's one more public statement
       hearing next week. And, then, the hearings will be in the
10
       first week of December. And, you can get more information
11
       by going to our website. So, thank you very much.
12
                         (Hearing ended at 7:41 p.m.)
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

 $\{DT\ 07-027\}$  [Public Statement Hearing] (10-04-07)