Vita

Dr. Robert Loube

Personal Data

Office Phone:

301-681-0338

Email Address:

bobloube@earthlink.net

Home and

10601 Cavalier Drive

Office Address:

Silver Spring, Maryland 20901

Home Phone:

301-681-4987

Education

Ph.D., Economics, Michigan State University, 1983 M.A., Economics, University of Massachusetts-Amherst, 1971 B.S., Economics, University of Maryland-College Park, 1969

Professional Experience

Utility Regulation

Vice President

Rolka Loube and Saltzer Associates

April 2007 to Present

Responsibilities include:

• Testified on behalf of the Maine Office of the Public Advocate in the Joint Application for Approvals Related to Verizon's Transfer of Property and Customer Relations to Company to be Merged with and into Fairpoint Communications, Inc. Maine Public Utilities Commission Docket No. 2007-67 on October 2, 2007.

Director, Economic Research

Rhoads & Sinon, LLC April 2001 to March 2007

Responsibilities include:

- Testified on behalf of the Washington Public Counsel in the Matter of the Petition of Qwest Corporation to be Regulated Under An Alternative Form of Regulation, WUTC Docket No. UT-061625, March 14, 2007.
- Filed rebuttal testimony on behalf of the Pennsylvania Office of Consumer Advocate in the 2006 Annual Price Stability Index/Service Price Index of Buffalo Valley Telephone Company, Conestoga Telephone & Telegraph Company, and Denver & Ephrata Telephone & Telegraph Company, PA PUC Docket No. P-0098142F1000, filed January 5, 2007.
- Testified on behalf of the Attorney General Michael A. Cox, In the Matter of the Notice by AT&T Michigan Pursuant to sections 304(d) and 310a of the Michigan Telecommunications Act of an increase to the rate for primary basic local exchange service in the amount not to exceed AT&T Michigan's intrastate end user line charge in effect on July 1, 2005, MPSC Case No. 15036, filed January 30, 2007.
- Prepared comments on behalf of the Pennsylvania Office of the Consumer Advocate, FCC Intercarrier Compensation Workshop and Solicitation of Comments on the Missoula Plan, Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission Docket No. M-000061972.
- Prepared an affidavit on behalf of the National Association of Utility Consumer Advocates (NASUCA) and the Maine Office of the Public Advocate, In the Matter of Jurisdictional Separations and Referral to the Federal-State Joint Board, CC Docket No. 80-286, filed August 22, 2006.
- Adviser to the Maryland office of the People's Counsel, In the Matter of Cavalier Telephone Midwest Atlantic for Breach of Interconnection Terms by Verizon Maryland, Inc., Case No. 9046.

- Testified on behalf of the Maine Office of Public Advocate in the Investigation Into Verizon Maine's Alternative Form of Regulation, Phase I, Docket No. 2005-155, October 17 and October 18, 2006.
- Prepared comments on behalf of the National Association of State Utility Consumer Advocates (NASUCA) In the Matter of the Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service, CC Docket No. 96-45, filed March 27, 2006 (with David Gabel and the NASUCA Telecommunications Committee).
- Adviser to the Washington State Public Counsel in the Investigation of the Sprint-Nextel Merger, Washington Utilities and Transportation Docket No. UT-051291.
- Filed direct testimony on behalf of the Maine Office of Public Advocate in the Investigation Into Verizon Maine's Alternative Form of Regulation, Phase II, Docket No. 2005-155, January 13, 2006.
- Testified on behalf of the Maine Office of Public Advocate in the Investigation into Line Sharing, Maine Docket No. 2004-809, November 18, 2005.
- Adviser to the U.S. Department of Justice in United States of America v. Coastal Utilities, Inc., Case No. CV-404-90.
- Testified on behalf of the Maine Office of Public Advocate in Verizon Communications, Inc. and MCI, Inc., Review of Joint Application for Approval of Merger, Maine Docket No. 2005-154, September 29, 2005.
- Filed direct, rebuttal and surrebuttal testimony on behalf of the Office of Consumer Advocate in Pennsylvania Docket No. C-20027195, June 8, June 29, and July 11 2005.
- Filed a rebuttal declaration regarding price floor issues on behalf of The Utility Reform Network in re: Investigation on the Commission's Own Motion into Open Access and Network Architecture Development of Dominant Carrier Networks, Verizon UNE Phase, Investigation 93-04-

- 002, filed April 1, 2005.
- Filed a price floor declaration on behalf of The Utility Reform Network in re: Investigation on the Commission's Own Motion into Open Access and Network Architecture Development of Dominant Carrier Networks, Verizon UNE Phase, Investigation 93-04-002, filed January 28, 2005.
- Filed direct testimony on behalf of Public Counsel and AARP in re: WUTC v. Verizon, Docket No. UT-040788, before the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission, December 17, 2004.
- Filed a rebuttal declaration on behalf of The Utility Reform Network in re: Investigation on the Commission's Own Motion into Open Access and Network Architecture Development of Dominant Carrier Networks, Verizon UNE Phase, Investigation 93-04-002, filed November 9, 2004
- Prepared a report on the State of Telecommunications Services in Nevada for the subcommittee to study telecommunications service in Nevada, August 2004,
- Filed a declaration on behalf of The Utility Reform Network in re: Investigation on the Commission's Own Motion into Open Access and Network Architecture Development of Dominant Carrier Networks, Verizon UNE Phase, Investigation 93-04-002, filed August 6, 2004
- Filed expert rebuttal testimony on behalf of the Staff of the South Carolina Commission in re: Implementation of requirements Arising from Federal Communications Commission Triennial UNE review: Local Circuit Switching for mass market customers, SC PSC Docket No. 2003-326-c.
- Testified on behalf of the Pennsylvania Office of Consumer Advocate in re: Investigation into the Obligations of Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers to Unbundle Network Elements, PA PUC Docket No. I-0030099.
- Prepared an Affidavit for the National Association of State Utility Consumer Advocates in the Matter of the Review of Commission's Rules Regarding The Pricing of Unbundled Network Elements And the Resale of Service by Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers, WC Docket No. 03-173 (with David Gabel).

- Provided expert advice to the Cities of Austin, Dallas, Fort Worth, and Hereford in Southwestern Bell Telephone Company's Filing To Establishing Surcharges Resulting From District Court Remand Of PUC Final Order In Docket No. 18509, SOAH Docket No. 473-03-1620, Texas PUC Docket No. 26719.
- Filed expert testimony on behalf of the Staff of the Nevada Public Utilities in The Petition of Nevada Bell for an Order commencing a proceeding to determine the costs and rates for unbundled network elements, Docket No. 00-7012
- Prepared comments for the National Association of State Utility Consumer Advocates in the Matter of Cost Review Proceeding for Residential and Single-Line Business Subscriber Line Charge Cap, FCC CC Docket No. 96-262 (with David Gabel)
- Technical Adviser to the Alabama Public Service Commission in the Generic Proceeding to Establish Prices for Interconnection Services and Unbundled Network Elements - Docket No. 27821
- Prepared reply comments for the Office of the People's Counsel of the District of Columbia In the Matter of Developing a Unified Inter-carrier Compensation Regime, FCC CC Docket No. 01-92.
- Assisted the Universal Service Administrative Company in managing the interstate common line and model support programs.

Industry Economist, GS 301-15 Federal Communications Commission May 1996 to April 2001

Responsibilities include:

- Established the criteria for choosing the universal service economic cost model;
- Evaluated and modified telephone cost models;

- Determined the input values used in telephone cost models;
- Served on the FCC staff of the Federal State universal service joint board;
- Developed and evaluated alternative universal service funding proposals;
- Developed and compared alternative jurisdiction separations allocators with regard to the impact of the allocators on state and federal jursidictional responsibilities;
- Reviewed orders of other divisions to ensure that those orders complement the tasks and mandates of the Accounting Policy Division;
- Conducted special studies for use by the Chairman, Commissioners, Bureau Chief or Division Chief
- Provided technical economic advice to the division legal staff regarding common carrier operations and regulatory policy.

Director, Office of Economics Public Service Commission of the District of Columbia, July 1993 to May 1996

Responsibilities include:

- Supervised the preparation of staff testimony in telephone, electric and gas utility cases.
- Represented the Commission on the Staff of Federal State Separations Joint Board.
- Prepared and presented testimony on the strategic approach to electricity demand side management and least cost planning principles.
- Represented the Commission on the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners Communications Committee's universal service and access reform working groups.

Acting Director, Office of Economics Public Service Commission of the District of Columbia, February 1993 to July 1993

Responsibilities include:

- Prepared comments on FERC Notices of Proposed Rulemaking.
- Represented the Commission on the telephone quality of service and low-income program working groups.

Senior Telecommunications Economist Public Service Commission of the District of Columbia, May 1989 to the February 1993

Responsibilities include:

- Prepared and presented testimony regarding telephone rate structure, competition in telephone markets, embedded cost studies, and long run incremental cost studies.
- Represented the Commission on digital deployment and generic cost manual working groups.
- Represented the Commission on the staff of the 410B Joint Federal/State Conference on Open Network Architecture.
- Prepared comments on FCC Notices of Proposed Rulemaking.

Econometrician,

Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission,

March 1988 to May 1989

Responsibilities include:

- Developed electric energy and demand forecasts.
- Supervised consultants developing economic and demographic models for utility service territories.
- Represented the Commission on the Executive Committee on Intrastate Access Charges.

Principal Utility Analyst, Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission, January 1986 to March 1988

Responsibilities include:

- Prepared and presented testimony regarding demand forecasting for telephone and electric services, cost of equity and long run marginal cost.
- Contributed to staff reports on energy and demand forecasts.
- Developed financial forecasts for electric utilities.

International Consulting

Telephone Organization of Thailand, conducted a Tariff and Cost Workshop for Senior Management and Staff, Bangkok, February 5-7, 2001. Contractor: Booz, Allen & Hamilton, Inc.

Ministry of Communications, Indonesia, drafted a report on best practices guidelines for Universal Service Obligations, and conducted round-table with the Ministry of Communications staff and with the U. S. telecommunications community, Jakarta, August 20-September 9, 2000. Contractor: Nathan Associates, Inc.

Teaching

Assistant Professor,

James Madison University,

September 1983 to December 1985

Instructor,
James Madison University,
September 1979 to June 1983

Courses Taught: Industrial Regulation, Industrial

Organization (undergraduate and MBA),

Intermediate Macroeconomic Theory, Economic Analysis (MBA), Principles (Macro and Micro)

Other

Economist in the Office of Director, Bureau of Economic Analysis, Department of Commerce, Washington D.C., November 1972 to September 1975

Publications

"The Telecommunications Act of 1996: Residential Rates and Competition," *Utilities Policy*, September 2004.

"Universal Service: How much is enough?" Journal of Economic Issues, June 2003.

"Public Interest Regulation, Common Costs and Universal Service," eds. Edythe S. Miller and Warren J. Samuels, An Institutionalist Approach to Public Utilities Regulation, Michigan State University Press, 2002.

"Price Cap Regulation: Problems and Solutions," Land Economics, Vol. 71, Number 3, August 1995.

"Measuring the Total Service Long-Run Incremental Cost," Ninth NARUC Biennial Regulatory Information Conference, September 1994 (with David Gabel and Mark Kennet).

"The Proper Use of Stand Alone Cost Studies," Ninth NARUC Biennial Regulatory Information Conference, September 1994.

"State Experience in InterLATA Toll Deregulation," *Journal of Economic Issues*, Vol. XXVIII, No. 2, June 1994 (with Labros Pilalis).

"Price Caps and Cross-subsidization," Eighth NARUC Biennial Regulatory Information Conference, Ohio State University, 1992.

"The Institutional Conditions for Technological Change: Fiber to the Home," *Journal of Economic Issues*, Vol. XXV, No. 4, December 1991.

"Fiber to the Home: A Competitive Analysis," Seventh NARUC Biennial Regulatory Information Conference, Ohio State University, 1990.

"The Return of the Electric Utility Holding Company and the Future of the Electric Supply Industry," Journal of Economic Issues, Vol.XXIII, No. 2, June 1989.

"Impact of the National Appliance Energy Conservation Act on Residential Energy Consumption within a Service Territory," Sixth NARUC Biennial Regulatory Information Conference, Ohio State University, 1988 (with Katri Clodfelder).

A Summary of Future Demand Trends and Capacity Plans for Major Electric Utilities in Indiana, Public Service Commission of Indiana, Indianapolis, Indiana, 1987 (with Wayne Lash, et al).

Electric Demand and Supply Planning for the State of Indiana, Public Service Commission of Indiana, Indianapolis, Indiana, 1985 (with Wayne Lash, et al).

"District Heating and Regulatory Reform," Proceedings of the Seventy-Fifth Annual Conference of the International District Heating Association, Washington D.C.:IDHA 1984.

State and Local Regulation of District Heating and Cooling Systems: Issues and Options, Argonne, Illinois: Argonne National Laboratory, 1981 (with Philip Kier, et al).

"Michigan's Hydroelectric Potential," The Michigan State Economic Record, Volume 20, Number 7 (July-August 1978), Division of Research, Graduate School of Business, Michigan State University.

Staff Testimony

Before the Public Service Commission of the District of Columbia:

Formal Case No. 929 The Application of Potomac Electric Power
Company for an Increase in its Retail Rates
for the Sale of Electric Energy.
Principal Issues: Class Revenue Responsibility, Rate
Structure and Low Income Rates.

Formal Case No. 926 The Application of The Chesapeake and Potomac Telephone Company for Authority to Establish a Revenue Requirement and to Increase and Restructure its Schedule of Rates and Charges Principal Issues: Centrex burden and the Centrex embedded cost study.

Formal Case No. 917

Phase II

The Application of Potomac Electric Power Company For Approval of its Third Least Cost Plan

Principal Issues: The Strategic Approach to DSM Develop and Implementation, Level of DSM Spending, Appropriate Standards by Which DSM Expenses Should Be Judged Prudent, and Rate Design and Least-Cost Planning Principles.

Formal Case No. 891 The Application of Chesapeake and Potomac
Telephone Company to Offer Return Call and
Caller ID Within the District of Columbia
Principal Issues: Tying Arrangements Between Sales of
Equipment and Services, and Public Policy Issues Associated
With the Offering of Caller ID

Formal Case No. 850 Investigation into the Reasonableness of the
Authorized Return on Equity, Rate of Return,
and Current Charges and Rates for
Telecommunications Services Offered by the
Chesapeake and Potomac Telephone Company
Principal Issues: Rate Design, Incremental Cost and Embedded
Cost Studies

Formal Case No. 814

Phase III

Investigation into the Impact of AT&T Divestiture and Decisions of the Federal Communications Commission on the Chesapeake and Potomac Telephone Company's Jurisdictional Rates

Principal Issues: Flexible pricing, incremental cost studies, tests for the existence of competition, criteria for measuring alternative regulatory plans.

Formal Case No. 814 Investigation into the Impact of AT&T
Divestiture and Decisions of the Federal
Communications Commission on the Chesapeake
and Potomac Telephone Company's
Jurisdictional Rates

Principal Issues: The Use of Cross Elasticity Studies and Market Surveys to Define Markets for Telecommunications Services

Telephone Tariff

91-3 Investigation of the Chesapeake and Potomac Telephone Company's General Regulations Tariff No. 201, Section 1 Principal Issues: Regulatory safeguards and costs of preapproval of special assemblies

Before the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission:

- Cause No. 38665 Joint Petition of Century Telephone
 Enterprises, Inc., Odon Telephone Co., Inc.
 and Colonial Telephone Company, Inc.
 Principal Issue: Approval of the Purchase of Odon by Century
- Cause No. 38560 Petition of Northern Indiana Public Service
 Company
 Principal Issues: Economic Development Rates and Long Run
 Marginal Cost
- Cause No. 38426 Petition of GTE-Indiana
 Principal Issues: Revenue Adjustment, CrossSubsidization, Cost Methodology and Demand Repression
- Cause No. 38415 Petition of Public Service Company of Indiana Principal Issue: Financing Authority
- Cause No. 38302 Joint Petition of Indiana Gas Company, Inc. and Westport Natural Gas Company, Inc. Principal Issue: Acquisition Adjustment
- Cause No. 38158-S1 Investigation to Determine the Extent of Regulation of Pay Telephone Equipment Principal Issue: Regulation of IXC-Owned Pay Phones
- Cause No. 38158 Investigation to Determine the Extent of Regulation of Pay Telephone Equipment Principal Issues: Deregulation and Rate Structure
- Cause No. 38061 Petition of Midwest Natural Gas Corporation Principal Issue: Cost of Equity
- Cause No. 38059 Petition of Indiana Bell Telephone Company, Inc. Principal Issues: Local Measured Service and Long Run Marginal Cost
- Cause No. 38045 Petition of Northern Indiana Public Service Company

- Principal Issues: Demand Forecasting, Financial Viability and Regulatory Policy with Regard to Excess Capacity
- Cause No. 38034 Petition of Odon Telephone Company, Inc.
 Principal Issues: Acquisition Adjustment, Cost of Equity,
 Financing Authority, and Service Improvement Program
- Cause No. 37938 Petition of Northern Indiana Public Service Company Principal Issues: Economic Development Rates
- Cause No. 37927 Petition of United Telephone of Indiana Principal Issues: Cost of Equity
- Cause No. 37866 Petition of Hoosier Energy Rural Electric Cooperative, Inc., et al.
 Principal Issues: Economic Development Rates and Long Run Marginal Cost
- Cause No. 37814 Petition of United Telespectrum of Indiana, Inc. Principal Issue: Certificate of Territorial Authority
- Cause No. 37735 Petition of Westport Natural Gas Company, Inc. Principal Issue: Cost of Equity
- Cause No. 37706 Petition of Midwest Natural Gas Corporation Principal Issue: Cost of Equity
- Cause No. 37686 Petition of Indiana Bell Telephone Company, Inc. Principal Issue: Demand Repression
- Cause No. 37414 Petition of Public Service Company of Indiana Principal Issues: Forecasting Methodology and Capacity Planning

Lectures

"Network Neutrality and Service Quality," and "Telecommunications Pricing," NARUC Advanced Regulatory Studies Program, June 2006.

"Public Utility Pricing," "Retail Pricing in Telecommunications," and "Cost Models in Telecommunications," NARUC Annual Regulatory Studies Program, August 2004.

- "Retail Pricing in Telecommunications," NARUC Annual Regulatory Studies Program, August 2003.
- "The Evolution of Telecommunications Pricing," NARUC Annual Regulatory Studies Program, August 2002.
- "Federal Restructuring of the Telecommunications Industry," "Federal Universal Service Programs," and "State Universal Service Programs," NARUC Annual Regulatory Studies Program, August 2001.
- "Cost Modeling in Telecommunications," NARUC Annual Regulatory Studies Program, August 2000.
- "Cost Modeling in Telecommunications," NARUC Annual Regulatory Studies Program, August 1999.
- "Cost Modeling and Universal Service," NARUC Annual Regulatory Studies Program, August 1998.
- "Cost Modeling in Telecommunications," NARUC Annual Regulatory Studies Program, August 1997.
- "Policy Issues Raised by Performance-Based Incentive Systems," Public Policies Toward Competition in the Electric Power Industry, Wisconsin Public Utility Institute, October 1994.
- "Cost Allocations in Broadband Networks," NARUC Annual Regulatory Studies Program, August 1994.
- "Pricing Concepts and the Control of Price Discrimination in Advanced Telecommunications Networks: Issues and Methods," NARUC Advanced Regulatory Studies Program, January 1994.
- "Cost Allocation in Advanced Telecommunications Networks: Issues and Methods," NARUC Annual Regulatory Studies Program, August 1993.
- "A Review of Incentive Regulation," CAMPUT 7th Annual Regulatory Conference, Banff Canada, May 1993.
- "New Social Contracts: Telecommunications Policy for the 21st Century," Annual Meeting of the Association of Evolutionary Economics, January 1993.

"Modernization: Who Pays? Who Benefits?," NARUC Annual Regulatory Studies Program, August 1992.

"Who Determines the Costs and Prices for Access to the Infrastructure," Telecommunications Policy: Agenda for the 21st Century Conference, The Michigan Divestiture Research Fund, March 1992.

"The New Social Contract," State Policies for Developing the Telecommunications Infrastructure Forum, Wisconsin Public Utility Institute, December 1991.

"RBOC Strategic Reactions to Entry," Atlantic Economic Society Annual Conference, Washington, D.C., October 1991.

Industry Committees

Federal Staff of the Federal-State Joint Board of CC Docket No. 80-286 (June 1999 to April 2001).

Federal Staff of the Federal-State Joint Board of CC Docket No.96-45 (May 1996 to April 2001).

National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners (NARUC) Staff Subcommittee on Communications (1994-1996).

State Staff of the Federal-State Joint Board of CC Docket No.80-286 (1991-1996).

Professional Associations

Member:

American Economic Association Association for Evolutionary Economics

Exhibit RL-2 TDS Line Counts by Zip Code and Cable << BEGIN CONFIDENTIAL								
<< BEGIN CONFIDE	NTIAL	_ <u></u>						
								
		-	_					
		_		_				
		-		-				
		-						
	<u> </u>			 				
	_							
			-					
_	 		1					
	 							
		_						
		_						
		-						
		_	-					
								
								
			_					
	_	<u> </u>						
	-							
	-							
		_						
			_					
	_			_				
	_							
			-					
			_					
				-				
	_							

Exhibit RL-2	TDS Line	Counts by Zip	Code and	Cable
<< BEGIN CONFI	DENTIAL			
· -				
_				
		_		
	FND COM	IFIDENTIAL		

		_					_	
	_							
							1	
_								
					AITNEUTIA	BECIN CO		
	Exhibit RL-3 TDS DSL Line Counts							

END CONFIDENTIAL

Exhibit RL-4	TDS Acces	s Line Cou	nts		
total access lines	MCT	Kearsarge	Wilton	Hollis	Total
Dec-04	17792	10429	3690	3552	35463
Dec-05	17458	10223	3582	3431	34694
Dec-06	16891	9966	3484	3318	33659
Aug-07	16314	9387	3246	3206	32153
Percent Change					-9.3%
Residential	MCT	Kearsarge	Wilton	Hollis	Total
Dec-04	13348	7413	2701	2869	26331
Dec-05	13032	7239	2589	2756	25616
Dec-06	12585	6995	2489	2645	24714
Aug-07	12238	6818	2420	2599	24075
Percent Change					-8.6%
Business	MCT	Kearsarge	Wilton	Hollis	Total
Dec-04	4444	3016	989	683	9132
Dec-05	4426	2984	993	675	9078
Dec-06	4306	2971	995	673	8945
Aug-07	4076	2569	826	607	8078
Percent Change					-11.5%

Exhibit RL-5		FCC Additional I	Line Counts			
	primary		total			
	residential	non-primary	residential	percent non-		
Year	lines	residential lines	lines	primary		
2001	101	26.3	127.3	21%		
2002	102.2	18.4	120.6	15%		
2003	102.1	16	118.1	14%		
2004	100.1	13.8	113.9	12%		
2005	95.6	12.1	107.7	11%		
change from	5.4	14.2	19.6			
Source: FCC Telephone Trends, Table 7.4 Additional Residential						
Lines for Hou	seholds with 1	Telephone Servic	e			

Exhibit RL-6	TDS Rates	and Maxim	ium Rate Increas	es
				percentage change
	residential	busines	max proposed	in residential rate-
exchange	rate	rate	residential rate	max to current
Andover	10.17	22.04	14.39	41.5%
Boscawen	14.39	30.27	15.67	8.9%
Chichester	10.07	18.45	15.67	55.6%
Meriden	12.07	26.12	15.67	29.8%
New London	11.02	23.91	14.39	30.6%
Salisbury	9.37	20.28	14.39	53.6%
Hollis	14.59	22.87	15.67	7.4%
Wilton	6.72	15.32	14.39	114.1%
Contoocook	\$11.20	19.38	15.67	39.9%
Hillsborough	\$11.20	19.38	14.39	28.5%
Warner	\$11.20	19.38	14.39	28.5%
Sutton	\$11.20	19.38	14.39	28.5%
Bradford	\$11.20	19.38	14.39	28.5%
Henniker	\$11.20	19.38	14.39	28.5%
Antrim	\$11.20	19.38	14.39	28.5%
Melvin Village	\$11.20	19.38	14.39	28.5%

OCA 1-2: Is "naked DSL" offered by TDS and available to a majority of your retail customers in any of your NH exchanges? If so, please identify in which exchanges and at what price the service is available?

Response:

No.

)

OCA 1-20: How many wireline CLECs are serving customers in each TDS exchange? How many lines are served by each of those CLECs in each exchange: by residential and non-residential?

Response:

There are no CLECs serving customers in Petitioners' territories.

CONFIDENTIAL

OCA 1-46: Please provide a list of communities where each cable provider provides telephone service in a TDS study area in New Hampshire by study area.

Response:

Petitioner is not aware of any communities within the TDS study area where a cable provider is offering telephone service at this time, although the website of Comcast indicates digital phone is available in some exchanges. [BEGIN CONFIDENTIAL END CONFIDENTIAL] VoIP service would be available wherever the cable broadband service is available.

OCA 1-48: Please provide a list of zip codes where each cable provider provides video service in a TDS study area in New Hampshire by study area.

Response:

The Petitioners object to OCA Data Request 1-48 on the grounds that the data request is overbroad and unduly burdensome. Subject to and without waiving these objections, the Petitioners will provide information responsive to this data request.

KTC

Comcast:

03216

03257

03258

03268

03303

03770

WTC

Comcast: 03086

HTC

Charter Communications: 03049

MCT

Comcast:

03229

03242

03244

03440

03850

MCT Cable:

03221

03260

03273

03278

CONFIDENTIAL

OCA 1-53: Please provide the number of former TDS residential customers that have ported their telephone number to a cable provider and no longer purchase TDS service by study area. Also provide the number of numbers ported to the cable provider.

Response:

Petitioners have no numbers that have been ported to a cable provider or a CLEC acting on behalf of the cable provider. [BEGIN CONFIDENTIAL

END CONFIDENTIAL] We fully expect number portability as defined in 251(b) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 will be requested and we are capable of porting numbers in all exchanges in New Hampshire.

OCA 1-56: Please provide the number of white pages residential numbers that accessociated with cable providers by cable provider in each TDS study area.

Response:

Once a residential customer becomes the customer of a competitor, they are not included in the TDS system unless it is specified by the interconnection agreement and is requested by the carrier, cable company acting as a carrier or the carrier used the cable company. In New Hampshire, this arrangement is not complete and, therefore, there are no cable customer white pages listings.

OCA 2-1: Please provide a copy of Form 477 filings with the Federal Communications Commission for each TDS New Hampshire Incumbent Local Telephone Carrier for the years 2004 through 2007 year-to-date. Please provide updated information as it becomes available.

Response:

Please see Confidential Attachments TDS-CONF 0096-0148.

OCA 2-11: If TDS' proposal for an AFOR is approved by the Commission, please provide a chart showing today's basic residential rates for each exchange and the maximum rate they could increase to in each of the next 5 years by year (excluding exogenous changes).

Response:

The chart below assumes that the comparable rates charged by Verizon do not change over the next 5 years. These maximum potential rates are computed per RSA 374:3-b and are illustrative only.

			Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5
			Max	Max	Max	Max	Max
	R1	Rate	R1	R1	R1	R1	R1
Exchange	Rate	Cap	Rate	Rate	Rate	Rate	Rate
Hollis	\$14.59	\$15.67	\$15.67	\$15.67	\$15.67	\$15.67	\$15.67
Wilton	\$6.72	\$14.39	\$7.39	\$8.13	\$8.94	\$9.84	\$14.39
Meriden	\$12.07	\$15.67	\$13.28	\$14.60	\$15.67	\$15.67	\$15.67
New London	\$11.02	\$14.39	\$12.12	\$13.33	\$14.39	\$14.39	\$14.39
Andover	\$10.17	\$14.39	\$11.19	\$12.31	\$13.54	\$14.39	\$14.39
Boscawen	\$14.39	\$15.67	\$15.67	\$15.67	\$15.67	\$15.67	\$15.67
Salisbury	\$9.37	\$14.39	\$10.31	\$11.34	\$12.47	\$13.72	\$14.39
Chichester	\$10.07	\$15.67	\$11.08	\$12.18	\$13.40	\$14.74	\$15.67
Contoocook	\$11.20	\$15.67	\$12.32	\$13.55	\$14.91	\$15.67	\$15.67
Hillsborough	\$11.20	\$14.39	\$12.32	\$13.55	\$14.39	\$14.39	\$14.39
Warner	\$11.20	\$14.39	\$12.32	\$13.55	\$14.39	\$14.39	\$14.39
Sutton	\$11.20	\$14.39	\$12.32	\$13.55	\$14.39	\$14.39	\$14.39
Bradford	\$11.20	\$14.39	\$12.32	\$13.55	\$14.39	\$14.39	\$14.39
Henniker	\$11.20	\$14.39	\$12.32	\$13.55	\$14.39	\$14.39	\$14.39
Antrim	\$11.20	\$14.39	\$12.32	\$13.55	\$14.39	\$14.39	\$14.39
Melvin Village	\$11.20	\$14.39	\$12.32	\$13.55	\$14.39	\$14.39	\$14.39

Timothy W. Ulrich is responsible for this response.

STAFF 1-10: Reed Testimony, Page 3, line 30. Has TDS estimated what fraction of wireless customers in New Hampshire's TDS territory do not have wireline telephony at all?

- a. If so, please report the estimate.
- b. If not, please estimate the aforementioned fraction.

Response:

No. Petitioners do not have data regarding the total number of wireless customers to make this calculation.

STAFF 1-11: Reed Testimony, Page 3, line 30. Has TDS estimated what fraction of broadband customers in New Hampshire's TDS territory do not have wireline telephony at all?

a. If so, please report the estimate.

b. If not, please estimate the aforementioned fraction.

Response:

No. Petitioners do not have the data on total broadband customers from which to calculate this fraction.

STAFF 1-15: Reed Testimony, Page 5, lines 5-7. "While the competition and competitors vary in each exchange, customers have alternatives and are using them." Are you suggesting that all customers in each exchange are using the alternatives to wireline telephony specifically for basic local service?

- a. If the answer is in the affirmative, please provide support on an exchange-by-exchange basis for your position.
- b. If the answer is in the negative, please demonstrate that majority of the customers in each exchange served by the companies are using alternatives to wireline telephony specifically for basic local service.

Response:

Petitioners are not suggesting that *all* customers in *each exchange* are using alternatives to wireline telephony specifically for basic local service. As required by the statute, competitive wireline, wireless, or broadband service is available to a majority of the retail customers in each of the exchanges served by the small incumbent local exchange carrier. In addition, the Petitioners have measured the effects of competition on the companies with three key indicators; loss of intrastate access minutes, loss of state switched access revenue, and loss of access lines.

Kearsarge Telephone Company
Wilton Telephone Company
Hollis Telephone Company
Merrimack County Telephone Company
Docket No. DT 07-027
Company Responses
To STAFF Set 2 Data Requests
September 26, 2007
Supplemental Response Dated October 5, 2007

STAFF 2-2: Reed Testimony: Please provide monthly data on the number of access lines with basic local service for the period Jan. 2004 to August 2007 for each TDS exchange (also provide an electronic copy of this data).

Response:

The Petitioners object to Staff Data Request 2-2 on the grounds that the data request is overbroad and unduly burdensome. The information requested is not maintained in the monthly format requested in the ordinary course of business and would need to be re-created manually at substantial time and expense. (Internal monthly access line information does not reconcile to annually reported information due to the inclusion of items such as official lines, test lines, ISDN circuits, etc. in the monthly figures.) Subject to and without waiving these objections, the Petitioners will provide information responsive to Staff Data Request 2-2.

Information has been compiled as of the end of each year and August 2007.

MCT Total Access Lines

	Total Access	Period	Cumulative	Period %	Cumulative
Period	Lines	Change	Change	Change	% Change
12/31/2004	17,792				
12/31/2005	17,458	(334)	(334)	-1.88%	-1.88%
12/31/2006	16,891	(567)	(901)	-3.25%	-5.06%
08/31/2007	16,314	(577)	(1478)	-3.42%	-8.31%

Kearsarge Total Access Lines

Period	Total Access Lines	Period Change	Cumulative Change	Period % Change	Cumulative % Change
12/31/2004	10,429				
12/31/2005	10,223	(206)	(206)	-1.98%	-1.98%
12/31/2006	9,966	(257)	(463)	-2.51%	-4.44%
08/31/2007	9,387	(579)	(1042)	-5.81%	-9.99%

56

STAFF 2-2 Response Continued, Page 2:

Wilton Total Access Lines

Period	Total Access Lines	Period Change	Cumulative Change	Period % Change	Cumulative % Change
12/31/2004	3,690				
12/31/2005	3,582	(108)	(108)	-2.93%	-2.93%
12/31/2006	3,484	(98)	(206)	-2.74%	-5.58%
08/31/2007	3,246	(238)	(444)	-6.83%	-12.03%

Hollis Total Access Lines

Period	Total Access Lines	Period Change	Cumulative Change	Period % Change	Cumulative % Change
12/31/2004	3,552				
12/31/2005	3,431	(121)	(121)	-3.41%	-3.41%
12/31/2006	3,318	(113)	(234)	-3.29%	-6.59%
08/31/2007	3,206	(112)	(346)	-3.38%	-9.74%

Supplemental Response:

Staff has requested additional information for monthly data by exchange if possible agreeing to take data from different sources or systems that may not be consistent with other data provided in this case.

The monthly data by exchange taken from different reporting that provides total access line data which includes official lines and lines in service for only a portion of the monthly billing cycle. In addition, the lines are not factored for equivalency ratios. Therefore, an exact match to access line data provided in testimony and other responses to data requests cannot be made. The Petitioners are also providing the original response access line data by exchange for the four periods.

See Attachments TDS 0180-0184.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE STAFF 2-2 THROUGH STAFF 2-4

		RESIDE	ENTIAL	•		BUSII	NESS			TO	ΓAL	
EXCHANGE	Dec-04	Dec-05	Dec-06	Aug-07	Dec-04	Dec-05	Dec-06	Aug-07	Dec-04	Dec-05	Dec-06	Aug-07
Contoocook	2,805	2,750	2,630	2,576	877	873	829	884	3,682	3,623	3,459	3,460
Hillsborough	2,582	2,527	2,400	2,290	968	946	948	880	3,550	3,473	3,348	3,170
Wamer	1,273	1,246	1,214	1,184	564	582	571	377	1,837	1,828	1,785	1,561
Sutton	679	672	657	642	220	195	213	209	899	867	870	851
Bradford	1,575	1,544	1,528	1,518	379	341	302	302	1,954	1,885	1,830	1,820
Henniker	1,757	1,695	1,610	1,559	895	916	874	873	2,652	2,611	2,484	2,432
Antrim	1,929	1,868	1,831	1,766	410	429	427	416	2,339	2,297	2,258	2,182
Melvin Village	748	730	715	703	131	144	142	135	879	874	857	838
Total MCT	13,348	13,032	12,585	12,238	4,444	4,426	4,306	4,076	17,792	17,458	16,891	16,314
New London	2,808	2,721	2,626	2,572	1,730	1,708	1,680	1,337	4,538	4,429	4,306	3,909
Andover	1,055	1,050	993	972	275	282	282	294	1,330	1,332	1,275	1,266
Boscawen	788	7 61	731	713	277	250	283	281	1,065	1,011	1,014	994
Salisbury	913	907	893	866	132	133	130	128	1,045	1,040	1,023	994
Chichester	1,347	1,311	1,271	1,234	464	472	459	399	1,811	1,783	1,730	1,633
Meriden	502	489	481	461	138	139	137	130	640	628	618	591
Total Kearsarge	7,413	7,239	6,995	6,818	3,016	2,984	2,971	2,569	10,429	10,223	9,966	9,387
Wilton	2,701	2,589	2,489	2,420	989	993	995	826	3,690	3,582	3,484	3,246
Hollis	2,869	2,756	2,645	2,599	683	675	673	607	3,552	3,431	3,318	3,206

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE STAFF 2-2 MONTHLY TOTAL ACCESS LINE COUNT BY EXCHANGE

2004

TOTAL ACCESS LINES

EXCHANGE	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	ОСТ	NOV	DEC
Antrim	2,372	2,374	2,375	2,369	2,370	2,401	2,408	2,417	2,397	2,391	2,387	2,377
Bradford	1,928	1,930	1,933	1,925	1,926	1,944	1,942	1,943	1,939	1,929	1,928	1,922
Contoocook	3,720	3,710	3,680	3,664	3,672	3,701	3,723	3,719	3,704	3,678	3,694	3,649
Henniker	2,685	2,683	2,682	2,687	2,678	2,672	2,645	2,635	2,628	2,617	2,596	2,577
Hillsborough	3,584	3,601	3,610	3,622	3,621	3,627	3,633	3,630	3,622	3,625	3,610	3,609
Melvin Village	932	929	922	924	925	942	942	940	943	927	924	915
Sutton	873	867	866	869	903	908	915	918	917	916	915	909
Wamer	1,691	1,676	1,673	1,682	1,685	1,692	1,687	1,681	1,689	1,691	1,690	1,689
Andover	1,364	1,352	1,358	1,358	1,351	1,375	1,380	1,383	1,359	1,352	1,347	1,339
Boscawen	1,106	1,104	1,099	1,091	1,088	1,091	1,102	1,100	1,099	1,099	1,092	1,093
New London	4,199	4,197	4,193	4,192	4,216	4,200	4,213	4,228	4,208	4,363	4,211	4,202
Salisbury	1,091	1,090	1,091	1,087	1,086	1,081	1,082	1,077	1,074	1,073	1,074	1,072
Chichester	1,853	1,851	1,843	1,834	1,832	1,830	1,834	1,834	1,827	1,819	1,814	1,809
Meriden	642	644	644	641	639	635	632	629	628	626	620	619
Hollis	3,636	3,626	3,626	3,625	3,613	3,606	3,613	3,606	3,577	3,561	3,559	3,551
Wilton	3,638	3,626	3,618	3,609	3,591	3,618	3,607	3,604	3,611	3,590	3,590	3,584

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE STAFF 2-2 MONTHLY TOTAL ACCESS LINE COUNT BY EXCHANGE

2005 TOTAL ACCESS LINES

EXCHANGE	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	ост	NOV	DEC
Antrim	2,370	2,373	2,371	2,380	2,361	2,351	2,351	2,338	2,349	2,339	2,341	2,342
Bradford	1,928	1,926	1,923	1,923	1,920	1,928	1,920	1,914	1,904	1,892	1,882	1,872
Contoocook	3,627	3,623	3,656	3,651	3,661	3,653	3,644	3,669	3,660	3,609	3,614	3,595
Henniker	2,570	2,569	2,571	2,571	2,567	2,563	2,572	2,573	2,573	2.569	2,586	2,558
Hillsborough	3,582	3,584	3,586	3,587	3,587	3,597	3,588	3,578	3,569	3,547	3,542	3,529
Melvin Village	910	912	907	909	912	919	920	921	917	908	906	906
Sutton	902	904	903	902	908	921	913	912	906	899	872	876
Warner	1,687	1,669	1,669	1,670	1,671	1,669	1,661	1,665	1,668	1,666	1,680	1,677
Andover	1,335	1,334	1,330	1,326	1,334	1,368	1,362	1,366	1,373	1,351	1,351	1,345
Boscawen	1,095	1,091	1,092	1,093	1,086	1,101	1,096	1,099	1,075	1,080	1,049	1,038
New London	4,197	4,185	4,184	4,162	4,139	4,125	4,074	4,068	4,063	4,070	4,060	4,051
Salisbury	1,077	1,068	1,068	1,070	1,066	1,060	1,052	1,053	1,057	1,068	1,064	1,067
Chichester	1,805	1,798	1,800	1,794	1,776	1,771	1,775	1,774	1,762	1,776	1,764	1,770
Meńden	616	613	611	607	614	612	609	610	608	614	611	609
Hollis	3,535	3,523	3,524	3,527	3,506	3,489	3,463	3,459	3,453	3,434	3,429	3,429
Wilton	3,578	3,566	3,565	3,550	3,544	3,516	3,474	3,457	3,444	3,449	3,439	3,437

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE STAFF 2-2 MONTHLY TOTAL ACCESS LINE COUNT BY EXCHANGE

2006

TOTAL ACCESS LINES

EXCHANGE	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	ост	NOV	DEC
Antrim	2,334	2,328	2,325	2,323	2,336	2,333	2,333	2,313	2,317	2,303	2,292	2,282
Bradford	1,867	1,872	1,866	1,856	1,855	1,862	1,853	1,852	1,846	1,829	1,835	1,833
Contoocook	3,586	3,578	3,562	3,571	3,565	3,555	3,548	3,521	3,528	3,498	3,474	3,473
Henniker	2,553	2,550	2,544	2,536	2,532	2,520	2,510	2,501	2,494	2,487	2,482	2,436
Hillsborough	3,507	3,489	3,470	3,465	3,449	3,462	3,427	3,434	3,423	3,415	3,408	3,398
Melvin Village	905	898	895	892	892	894	895	901	895	890	881	881
Sutton	880	873	873	872	873	869	866	864	853	853	860	854
Warner	1,678	1,672	1,661	1,654	1,660	1,656	1,652	1,642	1,640	1,625	1,636	1,632
Andover	1,339	1,340	1,327	1,318	1,315	1,327	1,325	1,319	1,294	1,296	1,291	1,284
Boscawen	1,035	1,042	1,041	1,039	1,041	1,043	1,036	1,035	1,026	1,025	1,034	1,016
New London	4,033	4,024	4,007	4,012	3,995	3,995	4,007	3,995	3,972	3,952	3,932	3,925
Salisbury	1,065	1,066	1,060	1,055	1,060	1,058	1,052	1,051	1,047	1,048	1,047	1,046
Chichester	1,773	1,764	1,751	1,745	1,745	1,749	1,755	1,734	1,724	1,722	1,710	1,709
Meriden	611	608	608	603	601	598	595	597	604	603	602	599
Hollis	3,415	3,399	3,400	3,397	3,396	3,392	3,373	3,367	3,350	3,333	3,312	3,308
Wilton	3,424	3,413	3,402	3,402	3,390	3,372	3,367	3,356	3,341	3,337	3,341	3,334

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE STAFF 2-2 MONTHLY TOTAL ACCESS LINE COUNT BY EXCHANGE

2007 TOTAL ACCESS LINES

EXCHANGE	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG
Antrim	2,277	2,276	2,274	2,270	2,252	2,250	2,238	2,214
Bradford	1,832	1,826	1,824	1,818	1,825	1,832	1,828	1,827
Contoocook	3,457	3,458	3,451	3,433	3,428	3,420	3,417	3,412
Henniker	2,422	2,414	2,412	2,409	2,397	2,360	2,349	2,346
Hillsborough	3,372	3,366	3,355	3,345	3,151	3,117	3,094	3,078
Melvin Village	878	874	869	865	873	847	839	836
Sutton	854	848	847	844	844	847	844	838
Warner	1,625	1,623	1,620	1,623	1,619	1,600	1,591	1,580
Andover	1,281	1,275	1,274	1,272	1,270	1,274	1,285	1,276
Boscawen	1,025	1,023	1,022	1,015	1,008	995	998	993
New London	3,895	3,882	. 3,851	3,832	3,834	3,832	3,832	3,812
Salisbury	1,045	1,039	1,041	1,036	1,027	1,021	1,021	1,022
Chichester	1,694	1,689	1,689	1,684	1,677	1,667	1,670	1,653
Meriden	598	598	596	593	595	590	580	573
Hollis	3,295	3,286	3,274	3,260	3,254	3,251	3,238	3,233
Wilton	3,312	3,304	3,288	3,292	3,291	3,263	3,243	3,235

Kearsarge Telephone Company
Wilton Telephone Company
Hollis Telephone Company
Merrimack County Telephone Company
Docket No. DT 07-027
Company Responses
To STAFF Set 2 Data Requests
September 26, 2007

STAFF 2-2: Reed Testimony: Please provide monthly data on the number of access lines with basic local service for the period Jan. 2004 to August 2007 for each TDS exchange (also provide an electronic copy of this data).

Response:

The Petitioners object to Staff Data Request 2-2 on the grounds that the data request is overbroad and unduly burdensome. The information requested is not maintained in the monthly format requested in the ordinary course of business and would need to be re-created manually at substantial time and expense. (Internal monthly access line information does not reconcile to annually reported information due to the inclusion of items such as official lines, test lines, ISDN circuits, etc. in the monthly figures.) Subject to and without waiving these objections, the Petitioners will provide information responsive to Staff Data Request 2-2.

Information has been compiled as of the end of each year and August 2007.

MCT Total Access Lines

Period	Total Access Lines	Period Change	Cumulative Change	Period % Change	Cumulative % Change
12/31/2004	17,792		_		
12/31/2005	17,458	(334)	(334)	-1.88%	-1.88%
12/31/2006	16,891	(567)	(901)	-3.25%	-5.06%
08/31/2007	16,314	(577)	(1478)	-3.42%	-8.31%

Kearsarge Total Access Lines

Period	Total Access Lines	Period Change	Cumulative Change	Period % Change	Cumulative % Change
12/31/2004	10,429				
12/31/2005	10,223	(206)	(206)	-1.98%	-1.98%
12/31/2006	9,966	(257)	(463)	-2.51%	-4.44%
08/31/2007	9,387	(579)	(1042)	-5.81%	-9.99%

STAFF 2-2 Response Continued, Page 2:

Wilton Total Access Lines

Period	Total Access Lines	Period Change	Cumulative Change	Period % Change	Cumulative % Change
12/31/2004	3,690				
12/31/2005	3,582	(108)	(108)	-2.93%	-2.93%
12/31/2006	3,484	(98)	(206)	-2.74%	-5.58%
08/31/2007	3,246	(238)	(444)	-6.83%	-12.03%

Hollis Total Access Lines

Period	Total Access Lines	Period Change	Cumulative Change	Period % Change	Cumulative % Change
12/31/2004	3,552				
12/31/2005	3,431	(121)	(121)	-3.41%	-3.41%
12/31/2006	3,318	(113)	(234)	-3.29%	-6.59%
08/31/2007	3,206	(112)	(346)	-3.38%	-9.74%

Kearsarge Telephone Company
Wilton Telephone Company
Hollis Telephone Company
Merrimack County Telephone Company
Docket No. DT 07-027
Company Responses
To STAFF Set 2 Data Requests
September 26, 2007
Supplemental Response Dated October 5, 2007

STAFF 2-3: Reed Testimony: Please provide monthly data on the number of residential access lines with basic local service for the period Jan. 2004 to August 2007 for each TDS exchange (also provide an electronic copy of this data).

Response:

The Petitioners object to Staff Data Request 2-3 on the grounds that the data request is overbroad and unduly burdensome. The information requested is not maintained in the monthly format requested in the ordinary course of business and would need to be re-created manually at substantial time and expense. (Internal monthly access line information does not reconcile to annually reported information due to the inclusion of items such as official lines, test lines, ISDN circuits, etc. in the monthly figures.) Subject to and without waiving these objections, the Petitioners will provide information responsive to Staff Data Request 2-3.

Information has been compiled as of the end of each year and August 2007.

MCT Residential Access Lines

Period	Residential Access Lines	Period Change	Cumulative Change	Period % Change	Cumulative % Change
12/31/2004	13,348				
12/31/2005	13,032	(316)	(316)	-2.37%	-2.37%
12/31/2006	12,585	(447)	(763)	-3.43%	-5.72%
08/31/2007	12,238	(347)	(1110)	-2.76%	-8.32%

Kearsarge Residential Access Lines

Period	Residential Access Lines	Period Change	Cumulative Change	Period % Change	Cumulative % Change
12/31/2004	7,413		7		
12/31/2005	7,239	(174)	(174)	-2.35%	-2.35%
12/31/2006	6,995	(244)	(418)	-3.37%	-5.64%
08/31/2007	6,818	(177)	(595)	-2.53%	-8.03%

STAFF 2-3 Response Continued, Page 2:

Wilton Residential Access Lines

Period	Residential Access Lines	Period Change	Cumulative Change	Period % Change	Cumulative % Change
12/31/2004	2,701				
12/31/2005	2,589	(112)	(112)	-4.15%	-4.15%
12/31/2006	2,489	(100)	(212)	-3.86%	-7.85%
08/31/2007	2,420	(69)	(281)	-2.77%	-10.40%

Hollis Residential Access Lines

Period	Residential Access Lines	Period Change	Cumulative Change	Period % Change	Cumulative % Change
12/31/2004	2,869				
12/31/2005	2,756	(113)	(113)	-3.94%	-3.94%
12/31/2006	2,645	(111)	(224)	-4.03%	-7.81%
08/31/2007	2,599	(46)	(270)	-1.74%	-9.41%

Supplemental Response:

Please see supplemental response to Staff 2-2.

Kearsarge Telephone Company
Wilton Telephone Company
Hollis Telephone Company
Merrimack County Telephone Company
Docket No. DT 07-027
Company Responses
To STAFF Set 2 Data Requests
September 26, 2007

STAFF 2-3: Reed Testimony: Please provide monthly data on the number of residential access lines with basic local service for the period Jan. 2004 to August 2007 for each TDS exchange (also provide an electronic copy of this data).

Response:

The Petitioners object to Staff Data Request 2-3 on the grounds that the data request is overbroad and unduly burdensome. The information requested is not maintained in the monthly format requested in the ordinary course of business and would need to be re-created manually at substantial time and expense. (Internal monthly access line information does not reconcile to annually reported information due to the inclusion of items such as official lines, test lines, ISDN circuits, etc. in the monthly figures.) Subject to and without waiving these objections, the Petitioners will provide information responsive to Staff Data Request 2-3.

Information has been compiled as of the end of each year and August 2007.

MCT Residential Access Lines

Period	Residential Access Lines	Period Change	Cumulative Change	Period % Change	Cumulative % Change
12/31/2004	13,348	-			
12/31/2005	13,032	(316)	(316)	-2.37%	-2.37%
12/31/2006	12,585	(447)	(763)	-3.43%	-5.72%
08/31/2007	12,238	(347)	(1110)	-2.76%	-8.32%

Kearsarge Residential Access Lines

Period	Residential Access Lines	Period Change	Cumulative Change	Period % Change	Cumulative % Change
12/31/2004	7,413				
12/31/2005	7,239	(174)	(174)	-2.35%	-2.35%
12/31/2006	6,995	(244)	(418)	-3.37%	-5.64%
08/31/2007	6,818	(177)	(595)	-2.53%	-8.03%

STAFF 2-3 Response Continued, Page 2:

Wilton Residential Access Lines

Period	Residential Access Lines	Period Change	Cumulative Change	Period % Change	Cumulative % Change
12/31/2004	2,701				
12/31/2005	2,589	(112)	(112)	-4.15%	-4.15%
12/31/2006	2,489	(100)	(212)	-3.86%	-7.85%
08/31/2007	2,420	(69)	(281)	-2.77%	-10.40%

Hollis Residential Access Lines

Period	Residential Access Lines	Period Change	Cumulative Change	Period % Change	Cumulative % Change
12/31/2004	2,869		-		
12/31/2005	2,756	(113)	(113)	-3.94%	-3.94%
12/31/2006	2,645	(111)	(224)	-4.03%	-7.81%
08/31/2007	2,599	(46)	(270)	-1.74%	-9.41%

Kearsarge Telephone Company
Wilton Telephone Company
Hollis Telephone Company
Merrimack County Telephone Company
Docket No. DT 07-027
Company Responses
To STAFF Set 2 Data Requests
September 26, 2007
Supplemental Response Dated October 5, 2007

STAFF 2-4: Reed Testimony: Please provide monthly data on the number of business access lines with basic local service for the period Jan. 2004 to August 2007 for each TDS exchange (also provide an electronic copy of this data).

Response:

The Petitioners object to Staff Data Request 2-4 on the grounds that the data request is overbroad and unduly burdensome. The information requested is not maintained in the monthly format requested in the ordinary course of business and would need to be re-created manually at substantial time and expense. (Internal monthly access line information does not reconcile to annually reported information due to the inclusion of items such as official lines, test lines, ISDN circuits, etc. in the monthly figures.) Subject to and without waiving these objections, the Petitioners will provide information responsive to Staff Data Request 2-4.

Information has been compiled as of the end of each year and August 2007.

MCT Business Access Lines

	Business	Period	Cumulative	Period %	Cumulative
Period	Access Lines	Change	Change	Change	% Change
12/31/2004	4,444				
12/31/2005	4,426	(18)	(18)	-0.41%	-0.41%
12/31/2006	4,306	(120)	(138)	-2.71%	-3.11%
08/31/2007	4,076	(230)	(368)	-5.34%	-8.28%

Kearsarge Business Access Lines

Period	Business Access Lines	Period Change	Cumulative Change	Period % Change	Cumulative % Change
12/31/2004	3,016				
12/31/2005	2,984	(32)	(32)	-1.06%	-1.06%
12/31/2006	2,971	(13)	(45)	-0.44%	-1.49%
08/31/2007	2,569	(402)	(447)	-13.53%	-14.82%

STAFF 2-4 Response Continued, Page 2:

Wilton Business Access Lines

Period	Business Access Lines	Period Change	Cumulative Change	Period % Change	Cumulative % Change
12/31/2004	989				
12/31/2005	993	4	4	0.40%	0.40%
12/31/2006	995	2	6	0.20%	0.61%
08/31/2007	826	(169)	(163)	-16.98%	-16.48%

Hollis Business Access Lines

Period	Business Access Lines	Period Change	Cumulative Change	Period % Change	Cumulative % Change
12/31/2004	683				
12/31/2005	675	(8)	(8)	-1.17%	-1.17%
12/31/2006	673	(2)	(10)	-0.30%	-1.46%
08/31/2007	607	(66)	(76)	-9.81%	-11.13%

Supplemental Response:

Please see supplemental response to Staff 2-3.

Kearsarge Telephone Company
Wilton Telephone Company
Hollis Telephone Company
Merrimack County Telephone Company
Docket No. DT 07-027
Company Responses
To STAFF Set 2 Data Requests
September 26, 2007

STAFF 2-4: Reed Testimony: Please provide monthly data on the number of business access lines with basic local service for the period Jan. 2004 to August 2007 for each TDS exchange (also provide an electronic copy of this data).

Response:

The Petitioners object to Staff Data Request 2-4 on the grounds that the data request is overbroad and unduly burdensome. The information requested is not maintained in the monthly format requested in the ordinary course of business and would need to be re-created manually at substantial time and expense. (Internal monthly access line information does not reconcile to annually reported information due to the inclusion of items such as official lines, test lines, ISDN circuits, etc. in the monthly figures.) Subject to and without waiving these objections, the Petitioners will provide information responsive to Staff Data Request 2-4.

Information has been compiled as of the end of each year and August 2007.

MCT Business Access Lines

Period	Business Access Lines	Period Change	Cumulative Change	Period % Change	Cumulative % Change
12/31/2004	4,444				
12/31/2005	4,426	(18)	(18)	-0.41%	-0.41%
12/31/2006	4,306	(120)	(138)	-2.71%	-3.11%
08/31/2007	4,076	(230)	(368)	-5.34%	-8.28%

Kearsarge Business Access Lines

Period	Business Access Lines	Period Change	Cumulative Change	Period % Change	Cumulative % Change
12/31/2004	3,016				
12/31/2005	2,984	(32)	(32)	-1.06%	-1.06%
12/31/2006	2,971	(13)	(45)	-0.44%	-1.49%
08/31/2007	2,569	(402)	(447)	-13.53%	-14.82%

STAFF 2-4 Response Continued, Page 2:

Wilton Business Access Lines

Period	Business Access Lines	Period Change	Cumulative Change	Period % Change	Cumulative % Change
12/31/2004	989				
12/31/2005	993	4	4	0.40%	0.40%
12/31/2006	995	2	6	0.20%	0.61%
08/31/2007	826	(169)	(163)	-16.98%	-16.48%

Hollis Business Access Lines

Period	Business Access Lines	Period Change	Cumulative Change	Period % Change	Cumulative % Change
12/31/2004	683				
12/31/2005	675	(8)	(8)	-1.17%	-1.17%
12/31/2006	673	(2)	(10)	-0.30%	-1.46%
08/31/2007	607	(66)	(76)	-9.81%	-11.13%