



**FairPoint Communications, Inc.**  
**State of New Hampshire**  
**Docket No. DT 07-011**

**Respondent:** Brian Lippold  
**Title:** Vice President, Business and  
Wholesale Services

**REQUEST:** BayRing, segTEL & OTEL ("CLECs") - Rebuttal

**DATED:** September 19, 2007

**ITEM:** CLEC's R-21 Please refer to the prefiled rebuttal of Mr. Lippold at page 24 where he states that "interconnecting parties already have remedies should they conclude they have been harmed competitively or forced to bear unreasonable costs." What are the remedies Mr. Lippold refers to?

**REPLY:** To the extent interconnecting parties believe that they have been competitively harmed, those parties have remedies under the Communications Act, the FCC's rules and applicable state law. If interconnecting parties are concerned about being forced to bear unreasonable costs in the event that FairPoint seeks a rate change in the future, parties would have the opportunity for public comment in any future rate case proceeding.