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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This Integrated Resource Plan ("IRP" or "Plan") for the period November 1, 2006 through October 31, 2011 is filed with the New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission ("Commission") by EnergyNorth Natural Gas, Inc. d/b/a KeySpan Energy Delivery New England ("EnergyNorth" or the "Company") in compliance with the Commission's Order No. 24,531 dated October 21, 2005 in Docket DG 04-133/DG 04-175 approving a settlement among EnergyNorth, the Office of the Consumer Advocate and the Commission Staff.

This IRP demonstrates that the Company's planning process ensures that it maintains a reliable resource portfolio and energy supply to meet the forecasted needs of its customers at the lowest possible cost. The Plan includes: (i) a step-by-step description of the methodology the Company uses to forecast demand on its system, (ii) a detailed description of the analysis the Company employs to determine its normal and design planning standards, (iii) a detailed description of how the Company develops its resource portfolio to meet customer requirements under design conditions, (iv) a complete inventory of the expected available resources in the Company's portfolio and a demonstration of the adequacy of the portfolio to meet customer demands under a range of weather and economic conditions, and $(v)$ a description of the Company's portfolio management activities that minimize the cost of maintaining an adequate portfolio.

The Company's planning process begins with its methodology for forecasting demand using an econometric demand model to determine annual
incremental growth for the traditional residential, and commercial industrial markets, and specific market analysis for non-traditional markets, including natural gas vehicles and large scale cogeneration projects. The econometric model uses the SAS statistical software package to perform data analysis that relates sales by class to factors such as population, labor force, gross state product and economic forecasts to develop annual incremental sales projections. The Company then deducts any savings expected to be achieved through the implementation of its energy efficiency programs approved by the Commission in Order No. 24,636 dated June 8, 2006 in Docket DG 06-032. The results of the incremental demand forecasting methodology indicate that, over the five year forecast period, sales in the residential market are projected to grow by an average of 167,317 MMBtu per year and sales in the commercial/industrial market are projected to grow by an average of 264,356 MMBtu per year. The Company projects no incremental growth opportunities in non-traditional markets over the forecast period. The savings resulting from the energy efficiency program are projected to reduce growth by $77,573 \mathrm{MMBtu}$ per year over the forecast period for a total net sales gain of 354,100 MMBtu per year. These incremental growth projections are added to the base line, or "springboard," normalized sendout figures from the May 2005 to April 2006 split year to generate the forecasted total demand requirements. The normalized sendout springboard figures are the result of a detailed regression analysis of daily sendout versus daily effective degree days ("EDD") that establishes a strong statistical relationship between weather and load on the Company's system. The
end result of the demand forecasting process projects sendout growth over the forecast period to average 361,200 MMBtu, or $2.6 \%$, per year under normal weather conditions.

To ensure that the Company maintains adequate supplies in its portfolio to meet customer demand, the planning process continues with a detailed costbenefit analysis that defines the design year and design day planning standards. This cost-benefit analysis weighs the cost of not having sufficient resources against the cost of maintaining a level of reliability. The cost of not having sufficient resources is measured as the cost of customer outages including relight costs, damage repair and lost economic output. The cost of maintaining reliability is measured as the cost of procuring an increment of supply to prevent the outage. The results of the analysis help the Company define a design year at 7,680 EDD with a probability of occurrence of 1 in 47.32 years and a design day at 80 EDD with a probability of occurrence of 1 in 42.49 years. Combining the results of the design planning standards definition and the load forecasting process, the Company is projecting design year sendout to increase over the forecast period by an average of $382,100 \mathrm{MMBtu}$, or $2.5 \%$, per year, and design day sendout to increase by an average of 3,100 MMBtu, or $2.2 \%$, per year. After the forecast of customer requirements are determined, the Company's planning process continues with the design of a resource portfolio to meet those requirements in the most reliable and least cost manner possible. To do this the Company uses the SENDOUT ${ }^{\circledR}$ Model (a proprietary linear programming model developed by New Energy Associates) to determine the adequacy of the existing
portfolio in meeting the forecasted requirements and to identify any shortfalls during the forecast period. SENDOUT ${ }^{\circledR}$ allows the Company to determine the least-cost, economic dispatch of its existing resources subject to contractual and operating constraints, and identifies the need for, and type of additional resources during the forecast period, if any. The resources available to the Company include domestic long-haul and short-haul transportation contracts, underground storage contracts, Canadian and domestic gas supply contracts, and supplemental resources. The results of this step of the process show that the existing resource portfolio is adequate to meet base case customer requirements on a design day through the 2008/09 heating season, after which it identifies the need for an additional 5,310 MMBtu per day increasing to $19,660 \mathrm{MMBtu}$ per day by the 2010/11 heating season

The next step in the planning process is to test the adequacy of the portfolio design by evaluating how it would perform under high and low alternative demand scenarios, and a cold snap weather scenario. Under the high demand scenario, the Company assumes that the annual sendout requirements under design conditions increase by 532,225 MMBtu per year on average. The Company's resource plan shows that the portfolio can meet this increased demand under design conditions with 730 MMBtus per day in 2007/08 and, 40,000 MMBtus per day in 2009/10 of incremental capacity or citygate delivered supply. In the low demand case, the Company assumes that annual sendout requirements under design conditions increase by 237,825 MMBtu per year on average. The resource plan shows that the portfolio can meet this demand with
no additional incremental capacity or citygate delivered supply through the forecast period. For the cold snap weather scenario, the Company assumes that the coidest seven-day period experienced in the last twenty-three years will occur in January during an otherwise normal winter. The Company's resource plan shows that it has adequate resources available to meet cold snap sendout requirements.

Given that the Company's resource planning process results in a resource portfolio that is adequate to meet the projected requirements of its customers, the final step in the process involves the Company's portfolio management activities that minimize the cost of maintaining an adequate portfolio. These activities are described in detail in Appendix B which is the Company's Portfolio Management Plan that was filed with the Commission on December 8, 2005 in accordance with the Settlement.

In conclusion, EnergyNorth's Integrated Resource Plan demonstrates that the Company's planning process ensures that it maintains a reliable resource portfolio and energy supply to meet the forecasted needs of its customers at the lowest possible cost.

## I. INTRODUCTION

This is the Integrated Resource Plan (the "IRP" or "Plan") for EnergyNorth Natural Gas, Inc. d/b/a KeySpan Energy Delivery New England ("EnergyNorth" or the "Company") ${ }^{1}$ for the five-year forecasting period 2006/07 through 2010/11 ${ }^{2}$. This filing is made in accordance with the requirement of New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission (the "Commission") Order No. 24,531, dated October 21, 2005 in Docket DG 04-133/DG 04-175, approving a settlement agreement (the "Settlement") among EnergyNorth, the Office of the Consumer Advocate, and the Commission Staff ("Staff") dated August 17, 2005. The persons to whom communications should be addressed concerning this IRP are:

Thomas P. O'Neill<br>Senior Counsel<br>KeySpan Energy Delivery New England<br>52 Second Avenue<br>Waltham, Massachusetts 02451<br>and<br>Steven V. Camerino, Esq.<br>McLane, Graf, Raulerson \& Middleton<br>15 North Main Street<br>Concord, New Hampshire 03301

[^0]
## A. Company Background

EnergyNorth is a local distribution company that provides natural gas sales and transportation service to nearly 84,000 residential and commercial customers in thirty cities and towns in the state of New Hampshire. Since 2000, EnergyNorth is a wholly owned subsidiary of KeySpan New England, LLC which is itself a subsidiary of KeySpan Corporation. The Company's core obligation is to provide safe, reliable and least-cost gas service to its customers.

## B. Summary of the IRP Process

The purpose of this IRP is to document the process undertaken by the Company to forecast customer sendout requirements and manage its gas resource portfolio to meet that obligation.

The IRP process begins with the development of a long-range forecast of customer demand. Next, the Company matches its available resources against expected demand to determine if incremental resources are required over the forecast period. If so required, the Company would identify the resources available to meet the incremental demand requirements and procure a least-cost asset or mix of assets available. In determining the least cost available assets, the Company analyzes both price and non-price factors. Examples of non-price factors include diversity of supply source, flexibility and reliability. Next, the Company looks at its currently available assets and determines if there are any "decision points" with respect to any of its contracts such as expiration dates or options to increase or decrease voiumes. If so, the Company determines
whether to renew those supplies or replace them with an available alternative. Finally, the Company analyzes its portfolio of expected resources against a range of weather scenarios to determine if those resources are sufficient to reliably meet sendout requirements.

## C. Organization of the Filing

This document is organized into the following principal sections:

- Section II provides an overview of the KeySpan process for identifying and meeting customer requirements;
- Section III reviews the Company's demand forecasting methodology and discusses the development of the forecast of customer sendout requirements;
- Section IV discusses the design of the resource portfolio, the expected available resources, and the adequacy of the portfolio in terms of meeting forecasted requirements; and,
- Section $V$ discusses the Company's management of its resource portfolio.
- Section VI summarizes the Company's compliance with the terms of the Settlement.


## II. OVERVIEW OF THE KEYSPAN PROCESS FOR IDENTIFYING AND MEETING CUSTOMER REQUIREMENTS

The principal objective of KeySpan's gas management process is the creation and utilization of a portfolio of gas supply, interstate pipeline transportation, underground storage and supplemental resources to meet daily and seasonal firm demand requirements in the most cost-effective manner while maintaining reliability. KeySpan's process of planning for and meeting customer load requirements on a daily basis involves the coordination of a number of activities including demand forecasting, long-term resource planning, gas supply management and gas distribution. The majority of these activities are centralized within the Regulatory Strategy and Relations Department, which includes the Company's Forecasting and Gas Supply Planning and Customer Choice groups. Regulatory Strategy and Relations coordinates closely with the Gas Control Department, which is responsible for gas deliveries across the KeySpan distribution system in New England. Both of these departments operate from the Company's Waltham, Massachusetts facility.

Among the responsibilities of Regulatory Strategy and Relations are to project the resource requirements of the KeySpan system and to assemble a least-cost portfolio of reliable resources to meet those requirements. The projection of resource requirements requires two steps: (1) the preparation of forecasts of long-term trends in customer requirements under normal weather conditions; and, (2) the preparation of forecasts of customer requirements under defined (design day and design year) weather conditions. Assembling the least-
cost portfolio is also a two-step process involving: (1) the procurement of a sufficient and appropriate portfolio of resources to meet the design sendout requirements resulting from the demand forecasting process; and, (2) the economic dispatch of those volumes given available resources. The Company's resource portfolio provides a range of flexibility in making these determinations in the course of the day-to-day management of the portfolio.

KeySpan's forecasting and gas supply planning activities are complemented by a centralized dispatch and control center. The daily process of obtaining sufficient resources to meet predicted customer needs requires a high level of coordination between Regulatory Strategy and Relations and Gas Control. Each day, Gas Control provides Energy Supply with projected sendout requirements that are developed based on the results of the demand forecasting process. Regulatory Strategy and Relations determines the availability, reliability and pricing information necessary to satisfy the predicted customer loads taking into account both currently available projections of weather and prices as well as the possibility of design-forward conditions for the remainder of the heating season (design-forward planning). Regulatory strategy and Relations and Gas Control then establish a daily "Game Plan" that matches available resources with sendout requirements for the KeySpan system. The Game Plan is designed to balance the demand requirements of the system for the current gas day with scheduled supply volumes and also projects a three-day supply/demand balance.

EnergyNorth customers receive significant benefits as a result of the coordinated and centralized gas management process because resource planning and purchasing decisions are made from an overall system perspective to meet customer requirements. Given the diversity and flexibility of the resource portfolio, this decision-making framework allows EnergyNorth's resources to be utilized on the basis of efficiency rather than mere availability.

## III. FORECAST METHODOLOGY

## A. Introduction

EnergyNorth developed its five-year forecast of customer requirements under
design weather planning conditions using the following process:

1. Forecast Incremental Sendout

Incremental sendout is the additional sendout that EnergyNorth forecasts to occur over the five-year forecast period above the level established for an identified actual reference year, which was 2005/06 for purposes of this plan. ${ }^{1}$ The Company used econometric models to develop a forecast of incremental sendout for traditional markets (i.e., residential, and commercial and industrial customers). Incremental sendout forecasts of non-traditional markets, such as natural-gas vehicles ("NGVs") and largescale power generation, and demand-side management savings ("DSM") were developed outside of the econometric models because the sendout associated with these markets is not included in the historical data used to develop the econometric equations. Forecasts of incremental sendout for traditional and non-traditional markets were summed and reductions from DSM were subtracted to determine the total incremental sendout over the forecast period.

## 2. Develop Reference Year Sendout Using Regression Equations

The Company then developed the reference year sendout using regression equations. The level of EnergyNorth's sendout in the 2005/06 reference year served as the "springboard" to which incremental sendout was added. The actual sendout data used for the springboard are a function of the weather conditions experienced in the reference year. Therefore, the Company uses regression equations to normalize the sendout in the reference year based on normalized weather data.

## 3. Normalize Forecast of Customer Requirements

The Company summed the incremental sendout requirements with the weather-normalized springboard sendout requirements to determine EnergyNorth's total normalized forecast of customer requirements over the five-year forecast period.
4. Determine Design Weather Planning Standards

EnergyNorth performed a cost-benefit analysis to determine the appropriate design day and design year planning standards for the development of a least-cost reliable supply portfolio over the forecast period. In accordance with the Settlement Agreement in DG 04-133/DG 04-175, the probability distribution of the effective degree days used in this analysis was determined using Monte Carlo techniques.
5. Determine Customer Requirements Under Design Weather Conditions

Using the applicable design day and design year weather planning standards, EnergyNorth determined the design year sendout requirements and the design day (peak day) sendout requirements. These design sendout requirements established the Company's resource requirements over the forecast period.

Based on the foregoing process, EnergyNorth projects incremental throughput of $1,444,800$ MMBtu over the forecast period assuming normal weather (see Chart III-A-1). Overall, this growth in firm sales represents a 10.5 percent total increase in sendout requirements over the forecast period, or 2.6 percent per year on average. The development of EnergyNorth's five-year forecast of customer sendout requirements, based on the steps set forth above is described in the following sections

## B. Forecast of Incremental Sendout

## 1. Introduction

The first step in EnergyNorth's forecast process is to prepare a five-year forecast of annual incremental sendout. Annual incremental sendout is the net increase in load that the Company expects to experience over the forecast period. This annual projection of incremental sendout is then added to the reference or "springboard" year sendout, which is derived from EnergyNorth's regression analysis of the latest split-year
daily sendout and weather data, as described in Section III.C., to determine total firm sendout requirements.

The process used to forecast incremental sendout over the forecast period consists of five components. First, EnergyNorth develops a demand forecast of loads associated with traditional residential and commercial/industrial markets. To accomplish this, EnergyNorth developed econometric models, which are discussed in Section III.B.2(a). Throughput in the residential sector is discussed in Sections III.B. 2 (b)(i-iii), below, and the commercial/industrial sector is discussed in Sections III.B.2. (b)(iv-vi), below.

Second, EnergyNorth develops a forecast for non-traditional markets that includes NGVs and large-scale power generation. While non-traditional markets are part of EnergyNorth's forecasting process, the Company is forecasting no demand in the NGV and large-scale cogeneration markets (Sections III.B.3.(a) and III.B.3.(b), respectively) based on the current and anticipated lack of activity in those markets. EnergyNorth's natural gas demand forecast for traditional customers, together with its forecasts of non-traditional market demands, results in a total forecast of incremental customer demand over the 2006/07 through 2010/11 forecast period.

Third, EnergyNorth accounts for the load reductions forecasted to result from the implementation of DSM, also known as gas energy efficiency programs, because these reductions are exogenous to the demand forecast generated by the econometric model. These load reductions are based on the estimated reductions prepared in conjunction with EnergyNorth's approved market transformation program (discussed in Section III.B.4, below).

Fourth, EnergyNorth monitors migration of sales customers to transportation service to determine if adjustments to its forecast are warranted (discussed in Section III.B.5, below).

Finally, EnergyNorth develops two alternatives to the base case demand forecast, that represent high and low sendout cases (discussed in Section III.B.6, below). The development of these alternative forecasts enables the Company to evaluate its ability to meet customer requirements with portfolio resources under a range of weather and economic conditions.

## 2. Demand Forecast for Traditional Markets

As mentioned above, the first step of the forecasting process is to prepare a fiveyear forecast of annual incremental sendout. To prepare this forecast, the Company first develops a demand forecast of loads associated with traditional residential and commercial/industrial markets using econometric models. ${ }^{2}$ The Company began by reviewing the models specified in its 1998 Integrated Resource Plan filed with the Commission on November 30, 1998 in DR-98-134, and then updated those models by re-estimating the parameters of the models using updated historical data.

## (a) The Econometric Models

The statistical models used by the Company relate sales by class to factors such as population, labor force, gas price and gross state product. Annual sales data were expanded to cover the twenty-two year period of January 1984 through December

[^1]2005. This information was used in conjunction with forecasts of economic factors provided by Global Insight, Inc. to develop the sales forecast.

The Company used the SAS statistical software package to perform the statistical data analysis that determined the relationships between the dependent variables and the explanatory variables in each of the equations used in the econometric models.
(b) The Forecast

The Company segmented its sales forecast by sector producing one forecast for residential sales and another for commercial and industrial sales.

For the residential sector, the Company tested two modeling structures. The first structure begins with forecasts of both number of residential customers and the use per residential customer. The number of customers is based on growth rates of generally available variables such as population, employment, while use per customer captures price effects, appliance saturation, and efficiency improvements. Multiplying the results of these two forecasts creates the forecast of residential sales. This structure assumes that it is easier to forecast each component separately. The second structure produces a forecast of residential sales directly, by relating total residential sales to independent variable such as gross state product and gas price. However, if one forecasts sales directly, it is possible that the effects of variables such as degree days, population and employment will overwhelm the effect of variables such as price. Because it is not clear which structure will produce the best forecast, the Company combined the results of the two models to minimize the errors that might be inherent in either one of them

For the residential sector, the Company developed a broad range of explanatory variables from sources such as the US Bureau of the Census, the US Bureau of Labor Statistics, the US Bureau of Economic Analysis, the Energy Information Administration of the US Department of Energy and the Company's own database. In nearly all cases, the Company collected statewide New Hampshire data because data specific to EnergyNorth's service territory were limited or non-existent. These variables were:

- State population
- State personal income
- State per capita income
- State wage and salary disbursement
- Statewide employment
- Statewide housing units and statewide households
- Statewide residential fuel oil sales and unit cost
- Statewide residential natural gas sales and unit cost
- Manchester, NH normal and actual degree days
- EnergyNorth therm sales and average rates to residential customers
- New Hampshire City Gate gas price

Table III-I gives additional details on these variables. Similar variables were identified for the commercial and industrial (C\&I) sector:

- All of the above variables except those relating specifically to the residential sector
- EnergyNorth average rates for commercial and industrial customers
- EnergyNorth therm sales and customer totals for commercial and industrial customers
- Other EIA energy consumption and unit cost data for commercial and industrial sector

Table III-1

## Variables Analyzed in Forecasting Practices

| Index | Variable <br> Name | Unit | Description | Source | Period Covered |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | CUSN | Customers | ENGI Number of Non-Heating Residential Customers | EnergyNorth Internal Historical Records | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1984Q1- } \\ & \text { 2005Q4 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 2 | CUSH | Customers | ENG\| Number of Heating Residential Customers | EnergyNorth Internal Historical Records | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1984Q1- } \\ & \text { 2005Q4 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 3 | CUSR | Customers | ENGI Number of Residential Customers | EnergyNorth Internal Historical Records | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1984Q1- } \\ & \text { 2005Q4 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 4 | CUSI | Customers | ENGI Number of Industrial Customers | EnergyNorth Internal Historical Records | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1984Q1- } \\ & \text { 2005Q4 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 5 | CUSC | Customers | ENGI Number of Commercial Customers | EnergyNorth Internal Historical Records | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1984Q1- } \\ & \text { 2005Q44 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 6 | CUSCI | Customers | ENG\| Number of Commercial and Industrial Customers | EnergyNorth Internal Historical Records | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1984Q1- } \\ & \text { 2005Q4 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 7 | USEN | DTH/Customer | ENGI Gas Consumption per Non-Heating Residential Customers | EnergyNorth Internal Historical Records | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1984Q1- } \\ & \text { 2005Q4 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 8 | USEH | DTH/Customer | ENGI Gas Consumption per Heating Residential Customers | EnergyNorth Internal Historical Records | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1984Q1- } \\ & \text { 2005Q44 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 9 | USER | DTH/Customer | ENGI Gas Consumption per Residential Customers | EnergyNorth Internal Historical Records | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1984Q1- } \\ & \text { 2005Q4 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 10 | USEC | DTH/Customer | ENGI Gas Consumption per Commercial Customers | EnergyNorth Internal Historical Records | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1984Q1- } \\ & \text { 2005Q4 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 11 | USEI | DTH/Customer | ENGI Gas Consumption per Industrial Customers | EnergyNorth Internal Historical Records | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1984Q1- } \\ & \text { 2005Q4 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 12 | USECI | DTH/Customer | ENGI Gas Consumption per C\&I Customers | EnergyNorth Internal Historical Records | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1984Q1- } \\ & \text { 2005Q4 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 13 | USNN | DTH/Customer | ENGI Gas Consumption per Non-Heating Residential Customers | EnergyNorth Internal Historical Records | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1984Q1- } \\ & \text { 2005Q4 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 14 | USNH | DTH/Customer | ENGI Gas Consumption per Heating Residential Customers | EnergyNorth Internal Historical Records | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1984Q1- } \\ & \text { 2005Q44 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 15 | USNR | DTH/Customer | ENGI Gas Consumption per Residential Customers | EnergyNorth internal Historical Records | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1984Q1- } \\ & \text { 2005Q4 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 16 | USNC | DTH/Customer | ENGI Gas Consumption per Commercial Customers | EnergyNorth Internal Historical Records | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1984Q1- } \\ & \text { 2005Q4 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 17 | USNI | DTH/Customer | ENGI Gas Consumption per Industrial Customers | EnergyNorth Internal Historical Records | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1984Q1- } \\ & \text { 2005Q4 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 18 | USNCI | DTH/Customer | ENGI Gas Consumption per C\&I Customers | EnergyNorth Internal Historical Records | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1984Q1- } \\ & \text { 2005Q44 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 19 | GASN | DTH | ENGI Gas Consumption of Residential Customers | EnergyNorth Internal Historical Records | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1984Q1- } \\ & \text { 2005Q4 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 20 | GASH | DTH | ENGI Gas Consumption of Heating Residential Customers | EnergyNorth Internal Historical Records | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1984Q1- } \\ & \text { 2005Q4 } \end{aligned}$ |


| 21 | GASR | DTH | ENGI Gas Consumption of Non-Heating Residential Customers | EnergyNorth Internal Historical Records | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1984Q1- } \\ & \text { 2005Q4 } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22 | GASC | DTH | ENGI Gas Consumption of C\&I Customers | EnergyNorth Internal Historical Records | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1984Q1- } \\ & \text { 2005Q4 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 23 | GASI | DTH | ENGI Gas Consumption of Commercial Customers | EnergyNorth Internal Historical Records | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1984Q1- } \\ & \text { 2005QQ4 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 24 | GASCI | DTH | ENGI Gas Consumption of Industrial Customers | EnergyNorth Internal Historical Records | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1984Q1- } \\ & \text { 2005Q4 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 25 | GSNN | DTH | ENGI Normal Gas Consumption of Residential Customers | EnergyNorth Internal Historical Records | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1984Q1- } \\ & \text { 2005Q4 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 26 | GSNH | DTH | ENGI Normal Gas Consumption of Heating Residential Customers | EnergyNorth Internal Historical Records | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1984Q1- } \\ & \text { 2005Q4 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 27 | GSNR | DTH | ENGI Normal Gas Cons. of Non-Heating Residential Customers | EnergyNorth Internal Historical Records | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1984Q1- } \\ & \text { 2005Q4 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 28 | GSNC | DTH | ENGI Normal Gas Consumption of C\&I Customers | EnergyNorth Internal Historical Records | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1984Q1- } \\ & \text { 2005Q4 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 29 | GSNI | DTH | ENGI Normal Gas Consumption of Commercial Customers | EnergyNorth Internal Historical Records | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1984Q1- } \\ & \text { 2005Q4 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 30 | GSNCI | DTH | ENGI Normal Gas Consumption of Industrial Customers | EnergyNorth Internal Historical Records | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1984Q1- } \\ & \text { 2005Q4 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 31 | CPI | $1982-84=100$ | Consumer Price Index | Global Insight | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1984Q1- } \\ & \text { 2020Q44 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 32 | GSP | Millions of \$ | NH Gross State ProductAggregate | Bureau of Economic Analysis, Global Insight | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1984Q1- } \\ & \text { 2020Q4 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 33 | RGSP | Millions of 2000 \$ | NH Real Gross State Product-Aggregate | Bureau of Economic Analysis, Global Insight | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1984Q1- } \\ & \text { 2020Q4 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 34 | POP | Thousands | NH Total Population | Bureau of Census, Current Population Reports | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1984Q1- } \\ & \text { 2020Q4 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 35 | NMIG | Thousands | NH Net Migration | Bureau of Census, Current Population Reports | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1984Q1- } \\ & \text { 2020Q44 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 36 | EMP | Thousands | NH Employment, Total NonAgriculture | Bureau of Labor <br> Statistics | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1984Q1- } \\ & \text { 2020Q4 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 37 | RUEM | Percent | NH Unemployment Rate | Bureau of Labor <br> Statistics | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1984Q1- } \\ & \text { 2020Q4 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 38 | UEMP | Thousands | NH Number Unemployed | Bureau of Labor <br> Statistics | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1984Q1 } \\ & \text { 2020Q4 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 39 | REMP | Thousands | NH Resident Employment | Bureau of Labor Statistics | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1984Q1- } \\ & \text { 2020Q4 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 40 | LBFC | Thousands | NH Total Labor Force | Bureau of Labor <br> Statistics | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1984Q1- } \\ & \text { 2020Q4 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 41 | HH | Thousands | NH Households, Family and Non-Family | Global Insight | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1984Q1- } \\ & \text { 2020Q4 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 42 | HSTM | Thousands | NH Housing Starts, Private Multi-Family | Globai Insight | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1984Q1- } \\ & 2020 Q 4 \end{aligned}$ |
| 43 | HSTS | Thousands | NH Housing Starts, Private | Global Insight | 1984Q1- |


|  |  |  | Single Family |  | 2020Q4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 44 | HSTT | Thousands | NH Housing Starts, Total Private | Global Insight | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1984Q1- } \\ & \text { 2020Q4 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 45 | HSOLD | Thousands | NH Home Sales, Existing Single-family units | Global Insight | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1984Q1- } \\ & \text { 2020Q4 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 46 | HINC | Thousands of \$ | NH Average Household Income | Global Insight | 1982Q1- |
| 47 | PCl | Thousands of \$ | NH Per Capita Personal Income | Bureau of Economic Analysis, Global Insight | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1984Q1- } \\ & \text { 2020Q44 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 48 | RPCl | Thousands 2000 \$ | NH Real Per Capita Personal Income | Bureau of Economic Analysis | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1984Q1- } \\ & \text { 2020Q4 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 49 | PINC | Millions of \$ | NH Personal Income, Total, By Place of Residence | Bureau of Economic Analysis, Global Insight | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1984Q1- } \\ & \text { 2020Q4 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 50 | RPINC | Millions of 2000 \$ | NH Real Personal Income, Total | Bureau of Economic Analysis, Global Insight | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1984Q1- } \\ & \text { 2020Q4 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 51 | RPIR | Millions of $2000 \$$ | NH Real Income, Residence Adjustment | Bureau of Economic Analysis, Global Insight | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1984Q1- } \\ & \text { 2020Q4 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 52 | RPTR | Millions of 2000 \$ | NH Real Nonfarm Proprietors Income | Bureau of Economic Analysis | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1984Q1- } \\ & \text { 2020Q4 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 53 | PITP | Millions of \$ | NH Personal Income, Total Proprietors Income, | Bureau of Economic Analysis, Global Insight | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1984Q1- } \\ & \text { 2020Q4 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 54 | TPTR | Millions of $2000 \$$ | NH Real Total Proprietors Income | Bureau of Economic Analysis, Global Insight | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1984Q1- } \\ & \text { 2020Q4 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 55 | PINF | Millions of \$ | NH Personal Income, Nonfarm Proprietors Income | Bureau of Economic Analysis | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1984Q1- } \\ & \text { 2020Q4 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 56 | INDX | $(2002=100)$ | NH Industrial Production Index, Total | Global Insight | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1984Q1- } \\ & \text { 2020Q44 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 57 | PRCO | (\$/MCF) | New Hampshire \#2 Heating Oil Production Price For residential Heating | U.S. Energy Information Administration | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1984Q1- } \\ & \text { 2005Q4 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 58 | PRCG | (\$/MCF) | New Hampshire Natural Gas City Gate Price | U.S. Energy Information Administration | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1984Q1- } \\ & \text { 2005Q4 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 59 | PRCR | (\$/MCF) | New Hampshire Residential Natural Gas Price Updated on 9/14/2005 | U.S. Energy Information Administration | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1984Q1- } \\ & \text { 2005Q4 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 60 | PRCC | (S/MCF) | New Hampshire Commercial Natural Gas Price Updated on 9/14/2005 | U.S. Energy Information Administration | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1984Q1- } \\ & \text { 2005Q4 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 61 | PRCI | (\$/MCF) | New Hampshire Industrial Natural Gas Price Updated on 9/14/2005 | U.S. Energy Information Administration | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1984Q1- } \\ & \text { 2005Q4 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 62 | PRCCI | (\$/MCF) | New Hampshire C\&I Natural Gas Price Updated on 9/14/2005 | U.S. Energy Information Administration | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1984Q1- } \\ & \text { 2005Q4 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 63 | EGYO | (MMCF) | New Hampshire \#2 Heating Oil consumption For residential Heating | U.S. Energy Information Administration | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1984Q1- } \\ & \text { 2005Q4 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 64 | EGYG | (MMCF) | New Hampshire Natural Gas consumption by All Updated on 9/14/2005 | U.S. Energy Information Administration | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1984Q1- } \\ & \text { 2005Q4 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 85 | EGYR | (MMCF) | New Hampshire Residential Natural Gas consumption Updated on 9/14/2005 | U.S. Energy Information Administration | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { 1984Q1- } \\ \text { 2005Q4 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 66 | EGYC | (MMCF) | New Hampshire Commercial | U.S. Energy | 1984Q1- |


|  |  |  | Natural Gas consumption Updated on 9/14/2005 | Information Administration | 2005Q4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 67 | EGYI | (MMCF) | New Hampshire Industrial Natural Gas consumption Updated on 9/14/2005 | U.S. Energy Information Administration | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1984Q1- } \\ & 2005 \mathrm{Q} 4 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 68 | RPRR | PRCR/PRCO | Price Ratio: Res. Natural Gas Price: \#2 Oil Price | U.S. Energy Information Administration | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \text { 1984Q1- } \\ \text { 2005Q4 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 69 | RPRC | PRCC/PRCO | Price Ratio: Commercial Gas Price: \#2 Oil Price | U.S. Energy Information Administration | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { 1984Q1- } \\ \text { 2005Q4 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 70 | RPRI | PRCI/PRCO | Price Ratio: Industrial Gas Price: \#2 Oil Price | U.S. Energy Information Administration | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \text { 1984Q1- } \\ \text { 2005Q4 } \end{array}$ |
| 71 | REGR | EGYR/EGYO | Energy Use Ratio: Res. Natural Gas: \#2 Oil | U.S. Energy Information Administration | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1984Q1- } \\ & \text { 2005Q4 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 72 | REGC | EGYC/EGYO | Energy Use Ratio: Commercial Gas: \#2 Oil | U.S. Energy Information Administration | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1984Q1- } \\ & \text { 2005Q4 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 73 | REG\| | EGYI/EGYO | Energy Use Ratio: Industrial Gas: \#2 Oil | U.S. Energy Information Administration | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1984Q1- } \\ & \text { 2005Q4 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 74 | REVN | (\$) | ENGI Revenue to Residential Non-Heating Customers (\$) | EnergyNorth Billing Frequency Record | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1984Q1- } \\ & \text { 2005Q44 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 75 | REVH | (\$) | ENGI Revenue to Residential Heating Customers (\$) | EnergyNorth Billing Frequency Record | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1984Q1- } \\ & \text { 2005Q44 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 76 | REVR | (\$) | ENGI Revenue to Residential Customers <br> (\$) | EnergyNorth Billing Frequency Record | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1984Q1- } \\ & \text { 2005Q4 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 77 | REVC | (\$) | ENGI Revenue to Commercial Customers (\$) | EnergyNorth Billing Frequency Record | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1984Q1- } \\ & \text { 2005Q4 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 78 | REVI | (\$) | ENGI Revenue to Industrial Customers <br> (\$) | EnergyNorth Billing Frequency Record | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1984Q1- } \\ & \text { 2005Q4 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 79 | REVCl | (\$) | ENGI Revenue to Commercial and Industrial Customer <br> (\$) | EnergyNorth Billing Frequency Record | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 1984Q1- } \\ \text { 2005Q4 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 80 | RVNN | (\$) | ENGI Revenue (Normal) to Residential Non-Heating Customer <br> (\$) | EnergyNorth Billing Frequency Record | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1984Q1- } \\ & \text { 2005Q4 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 81 | RVNH | (\$) | ENGI Revenue (Normal) to Residential Heating Customer (\$) | EnergyNorth Billing Frequency Record | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1984Q1- } \\ & \text { 2005Q4 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 82 | RVNR | (\$) | ENG\| Revenue (Normal) to Residential Customer (\$) | EnergyNorth Billing Frequency Record | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1984Q1- } \\ & \text { 2005Q4 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 83 | RVNC | (\$) | ENGI Revenue (Normal) to Commercial Customer (\$) | EnergyNorth Billing Frequency Record | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1984Q1- } \\ & \text { 2005Q4 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 84 | RVNI | (\$) | ENG\| Revenue (Normal) to | EnergyNorth Billing | 1984Q1- |


|  |  |  | Industrial Customer (\$) | Frequency Record | 2005Q4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 85 | RVNCI | (\$) | ENGI Revenue (Normal) to C\&I Customer <br> (\$) | EnergyNorth Billing Frequency Record | $\begin{aligned} & 1984 \mathrm{Q} 1- \\ & 2005 \mathrm{Q} 4 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 86 | CHGN | (\$/MMBTU) | ENGI Company Charge to Residential Non-Heating Customer =\$/MMBTU | EnergyNorth Billing Frequency Record | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1984Q1- } \\ & \text { 2005Q4 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 87 | CHGH | (\$/MMBTU) | ENGI Company Charge to Residential Heating Customer =\$/MMBTU | EnergyNorth Billing Frequency Record | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1984Q1- } \\ & \text { 2005Q4 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 88 | CHGR | (\$/MMBTU) | ENGI Company Charge to Residential Customer =\$/MMBTU | EnergyNorth Billing Frequency Record | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1984Q1- } \\ & \text { 2005Q4 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 89 | CHGC | (\$/MMBTU) | ENGI Company Charge to Commercial Customer $=\$ / \mathrm{MMBTU}$ | EnergyNorth Billing Frequency Record | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1984Q1- } \\ & \text { 2005Q4 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 90 | CHGI | (\$/MMBTU) | ENGI Company Charge to Industrial Customer $=\$ / \mathrm{MMBTU}$ | EnergyNorth Billing Frequency Record | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1984Q1- } \\ & \text { 2005Q4 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 91 | CHGCl | (\$/MMBTU) | ENGI Company Charge to C\&I Customer =\$/MMBTU | EnergyNorth Billing Frequency Record | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1984Q1- } \\ & \text { 2005Q4 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 92 | CHNN | (\$/MMBTU) | ENGI Company charge (Normal) to Res. Non-Heating Customer $=\$ /$ MMBTU | EnergyNorth Billing Frequency Record | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { 1984Q1- } \\ \text { 2005Q4 } \end{array}$ |
| 93 | CHNH | (\$/MMBTU) | ENGI Company charge (Normal) to Res. Heating Customer =\$/MMBTU | EnergyNorth Billing Frequency Record | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1984Q1- } \\ & \text { 2005Q4 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 94 | CHNR | (\$/MMBTU) | ENGI Company charge (Normal) to Residential Customer =\$/MMBTU | EnergyNorth Billing Frequency Record | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1984Q1- } \\ & \text { 2005Q4 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 95 | CHNC | (\$/MMBTU) | ENGI Company charge (Normal) to Commercial Customer = $\$ /$ MMBTU | EnergyNorth Billing Frequency Record | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1984Q1- } \\ & \text { 2005Q4 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 96 | CHNI | (\$/MMBTU) | ENGI Company charge (Normal) to Industrial Customer = $\$ / \mathrm{MMBTU}$ | EnergyNorth Billing Frequency Record | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1984Q1- } \\ & \text { 2005Q4 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 97 | CHNCl | (\$/MMBTU) | ENGI Company charge (Normal) to C\&I Customer $=\$ / \mathrm{MMBTU}$ | EnergyNorth Billing Frequency Record | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1984Q1- } \\ & \text { 2005Q4 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 98 | CDDN |  | Normal Calendar Degree Days | EnergyNorth Billing Frequency Record | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1984Q1- } \\ & \text { 2005Q4 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 99 | CDDA |  | Actual Calendar Degree Days | EnergyNorth Billing Frequency Record | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1984Q1- } \\ & \text { 2005Q4 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 100 | BDDN |  | Normal Billing Degree Days | EnergyNorth Bilting Frequency Record | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1984Q1- } \\ & \text { 2005Q4 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 101 | BDDA |  | Actual Billing Degree Days | EnergyNorth Billing | 1984Q1- |


|  |  |  | Frequency Record | 2005Q4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

As was done in the 1998 forecast, the Company developed models based on quarterly data. This approach accounts for the seasonality of both customer and sales data. For some variables, such as population and employment, data were only available annually. In these instances, the Company assumed that the data were for quarter four, and interpolated for quarters one, two and three. Although, SAS offers a variety of forecasting models including dynamic regression, Box-Jenkins, exponential smoothing, and moving averages, the Company focused on dynamic regression (i.e. econometrics) because it is the most commonly used method in the utility industry and allows the user to develop relationships between independent or explanatory variables and energy sales.

In addition to the explanatory variables, SAS allows the user to incorporate both lagged variables and autocorrelation functions into the models. When developing a forecasting model, there will always be "error" when comparing the "fit" of the model to the actual data. One would expect, however, that these errors (or residuals) would be relatively small and random in nature. If the errors are not random (e.g., every fourth quarter the forecast is too high and every second quarter it is too low), then a pattern exists and the error terms are not random. In these instances better models should be designed. Both lagged variables and autocorrelation functions are intended to eliminate the nonrandom components of the errors.

Because SAS allows the user to develop a large number of models, it is important to develop criteria regarding what constitutes a "good" model. In general the Company applied the following criteria:

- The t-tests for all explanatory variables are significant (i.e. exceed 1.0$)^{3}$
- The relationship between the dependent and explanatory variable is logical and of the correct sign (e.g., higher gas prices should produce lower sales)
- The resulting forecast is reasonable (e.g., a forecast that shows sales decreasing to zero by year 2010 would be eliminated regardless of the power of the other statistics).
- That significant autocorrelation between the residuals (errors) has been eliminated (i.e. Durbin-Watson statistic is insignificant)
- The addition of new variables does not improve model performance
- Reliable forecasts of the independent variables are available.


## i. Residential Customer Forecast

The Company found that there is significant seasonality to the residential customer data with a higher customer base in the winter than in the summer. Therefore, each of the econometric models developed for residential customers contained a term for residential customers lagged one period and an autocorrelation function of period four. These were by far the most significant variables for all models tested.

Following these adjustments, the most significant variables in order were population (Pop), employment (EMP) and gross state product (GSP). The four models specified passed the criteria mentioned above. One contains gross state product as the primary explanatory variable, the second employment, the third population, and the fourth contains both gross state product and population. In addition, the Company chose the Box-Jenkins ARIMA method in SAS as the time-series model and estimated an equation consistent with this approach. An additional time series model, Winter's Exponential

[^2]Smoothing, was chosen as a final model for each forecast segment. The details of these models is contained in Appendix A.

After completing the estimation of the parameters for each equation in the above models, the Company then applied a forecast of the explanatory variables to the model to produce the forecast of residential customers. The forecasts of the explanatory variables were provided by Global Insight, Inc., with which the Company has a contract to provide forecasts of energy, economic, and demographic variables for its service territory.

Three sources were used for forecasted data:

- The US Bureau of Economic Analysis - this source provided forecasts for population, gross state product, employment and wages for 1998, 2000, 2005 and 2010 at the state level.
- The Energy Information Agency — this source provided NH pricing data for natural gas city gate plus average MMBtu unit pricing and consumption data by end user classification for electricity, \#2 fuel oil; \#6 residual oil, LPG and natural gas, forecast annually for 2006 through 2030.
- SAS was used to produce its own forecasts of independent variables where no other forecast existed.

Using the model specifications described above, six residential customer forecasts were produced:

1. Forecast A1 used a model specification containing NH gross state product (GSP), an autoregressive term of period four (AUTO(-4)), and residential customers lagged one period (CUSR-1) as the independent variables. The GSP forecast was from the US Bureau of Economic Analysis. This forecast predicts a growth rate of 3.0 percent from year 2005/06 to year 2010/2011 and a total number of residential customers in 2010/11 of 84,172 .
2. Forecast A2 used a model specification containing NH employment (EMP), an autoregressive term of period four (AUTO(-4)), and residential customers lagged one period (CUSR-1) as the independent variables. The EMP forecast was from the US Bureau of Economic Analysis. This forecast predicts a growth rate of 0.8 percent with a total number of residential customers in year 2010/11 of 74,772.
3. Forecast A3 used a model specification containing population (POP), an autoregressive term of period four (AUTO(-4)), and residential customers lagged one period (CUSR-1). The population forecast was from the US Bureau of Economic Analysis, This forecast predicts a 2005/06 to 2010/11 growth rate of 0.7 percent with the total number of residential customers in 2010/11 of 74,660 .
4. Forecast A4 is the same as A3 except that NH gross state product (GSP) was added. This forecast predicts a growth rate of 2.5 percent with a total number of residential customers in 2010/11 of 81,918 .
5. Forecast A5 uses the SAS Box-Jenkins ARIMA model. This forecast predicts a growth rate of 2.1 percent with the expected number of residential customers in 2010/11 being 80,612.
6. Forecast A6 uses a multiplicative Winter's exponential smoothing model with linear trend and multiplicative seasonality. It forecasts a growth rate of 2.1 percent and a total of 79,981 residential customers by 2010/11.

These forecasts were then combined to produce the aggregate residential customer forecast for EnergyNorth (see Table III-2). Each econometric model specification received a weight of 0.15 and each time series model received a weight of 0.20 . Forecasts Al through A4 were averaged and given a combined weighting of 0.60 . The time series forecasts A5 and A6 were also averaged and received a combined weighting of 0.40 .

# Table III-2 <br> EnergyNorth Forecast Results <br> Residential Customer Forecast 

| Model | A1 | A2 | A3 | A4 | ARIMA | Winter's | Weighted Residential Customers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dependent | CUSR | CUSR | CUSR | CUSR | CUSR | CUSR |  |
| independent | Intercept | CUSR_1 | CUSR_1 | CUSR_1 |  |  |  |
|  | CUSR_1 | EMP | POP | GSP |  |  |  |
|  | GSP | AUTO(-4) A | AUTO(-4) | POP |  |  |  |
|  | AUTO(-4) |  |  | AUTO(-4) |  |  |  |
| Weight | 15.00\% | 15.00\% | 15.00\% | 15.00\% | 20.00\% | 20.00\% | 100.00\% |
| Residential Customer Forecast -- Percent Growth from Base Year (2005) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006Q4-2007Q3 | 2.90\% | 0.78\% | 0.83\% | 2.49\% | 2.79\% | 2.40\% | 2.09\% |
| 2007Q4-2008Q3 | 3.03\% | 0.80\% | 0.79\% | 2.52\% | 2.21\% | 2.02\% | 1.93\% |
| 2008Q4-2009Q3 | 3.15\% | 0.77\% | 0.71\% | 2.59\% | 1.56\% | 1.98\% | 1.81\% |
| 2009Q4-2010Q3 | 3.06\% | 0.74\% | 0.66\% | 2.47\% | 1.83\% | 1.94\% | 1.82\% |
| 2010Q4-2011Q3 | 2.94\% | 0.77\% | 0.68\% | 2.35\% | 1.95\% | 1.91\% | 1.81\% |
| Average | 3.02\% | 0.77\% | 0.73\% | 2.48\% | 2.07\% | 2.05\% | 1.89\% |
| Residential Customer Forecast (Annual) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005Q4-2006Q3 | 72,552 | 71,950 | 71,981 | 72,470 | 72,768 | 72,263 | 72,349 |
| 2006Q4-2007Q3 | 74,659 | 72,510 | 72,575 | 74,273 | 74,799 | 73,995 | 73,861 |
| 2007Q4-2008Q3 | 76,917 | 73,089 | 73,150 | 76,145 | 76,449 | 75,492 | 75,283 |
| 2008Q4-2009Q3 | 79,342 | 73,653 | 73,672 | 78,114 | 77,644 | 76,988 | 76,644 |
| 2009Q4-2010Q3 | 81,772 | 74,197 | 74,155 | 80,039 | 79,067 | 7 78,485 | 78,035 |
| 2010Q4-2011Q3 | 84,172 | 74,772 | 74,660 | 81,918 | 80,612 | 2 79,981 | 79,447 |
| Average | 78,236 | 73,362 | 73,366 | 77,160 | 76,890 | 76,201 | 75,937 |

The result shown in Table III-2 is a forecasted growth rate in residential customers from 2005/06-2010/11 of 1.9 percent with a total of 79,447 residential customers expected in 2010/11. See the complete residential customer forecast results Appendix A.

## ii. Residential Use Per Customer Forecast

For the residential use per customer forecast, there was a strong relationship between normalized use per customer and normal degree days. Therefore, each of the models
developed for use per customer used normal degree days as an independent variable. The Company also applied an autocorrelation term of period four. Following these adjustments, the econometric models included variables for NH GSP and natural gas city gate price NH and then again with per capita income replacing NH GSP.

Using the model specifications described above, four residential use per customer forecasts were produced:

1. Forecast B1 used a model specification containing NH gross state product (GSP), natural gas city gate price lagged one quarter (PRCG_1), normal degree days (CDDN), and an autoregressive term of period four (AUTO(-4)). Again, the GSP forecast was from the US Bureau of Economic Analysis, natural gas city gate price was from the Energy Information Administration, and normal degree days are a thirty year average based on National Weather Service data for Manchester, NH. This forecast predicts a growth rate of 1.2 percent from year 2005/06 to year 2010/11 and a total annual residential use per customer in 2010/11 of 91 MMBtu.
2. Forecast B2 used a model specification containing NH per capita income ( PCl ), natural gas city gate price lagged one quarter (PRCG_1), normal degree days (CDDN), and an autoregressive term of period four (AUTO(-4)). The NH per capita income forecast was calculated using population and personal income data from the US Bureau of Economic Analysis, natural gas city gate price and normal degree day data was the same as described in description of the B1 forecast. This forecast predicts a growth rate of 0.95 percent from year 2005/06 to year 2010/11 and a total annual residential use per customer in 2010/11 of 89 MMBtu.
3. Forecast B3 uses the Box-Jenkins ARIMA model. This forecast predicts a growth rate of -0.2 percent with the total annual residential use per customer declining from 88 MMBtu per year in 2005/06 to 86 MMBtu in 2010/11.
4. Forecast B4 uses a multiplicative Winter's exponential smoothing model with linear trend and multiplicative seasonality. It also forecasts a declining growth rate of 0.1 percent and a total residential use per customer holding virtually steady at 85 MMBtu per year from 2005/06 to 2010/11.

These forecasts were then combined to produce the aggregate residential use per customer forecast for EnergyNorth (see Table III-3). Both of the econometric models received a weight of 0.20 and each time series model received a weight of 0.30 . Forecasts B 1 and B2 were averaged and given a combined weighting of 0.40 . The time series forecasts, B3 and B4, are also averaged and received a combined weighting of 0.60.

See the complete residential use per customer forecast results in Appendix A.

Table III-3

## EnergyNorth Forecast Results

Residential Gas Use Per Customer Forecast

| Model | B1 | B2 | ARIMA | Winter's | Weighted Residentia Use Per |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dependent | USNR | USNR | USNR | USNR |  |
| Independent | PRCG_1 | PRCG_1 |  |  |  |
|  | GSP | PCl |  |  |  |
|  | CDDN | CDDN |  |  |  |
|  | AUTO(-4) | AUTO(-4) |  |  |  |
| Weight | 20.00\% | 20.00\% | 30.00\% | 30.00\% | 100.00\% |

Residential Use Per Customer Forecast -- Percent Growth from Base Year (2005)

2006Q4-2007Q3
2007Q4-2008Q3
2008Q4-2009Q3
2009Q4-2010Q3
2010Q4-2011Q3
Average

| $1.21 \%$ | $0.97 \%$ | $-2.13 \%$ | $2.81 \%$ | $0.77 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $1.24 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $3.34 \%$ | $-0.84 \%$ | $1.17 \%$ |
| $1.34 \%$ | $1.03 \%$ | $-0.76 \%$ | $-0.84 \%$ | $0.39 \%$ |
| $1.22 \%$ | $0.94 \%$ | $-1.09 \%$ | $-0.85 \%$ | $0.26 \%$ |
| $1.14 \%$ | $0.81 \%$ | $-0.59 \%$ | $-0.86 \%$ | $0.31 \%$ |
| $1.23 \%$ | $0.95 \%$ | $-0.24 \%$ | $-0.11 \%$ | $0.58 \%$ |

Residential Use Per Customer Forecast (Annual)

| 2005Q4-2006Q3 | 85 | 85 | 88 | 85 | 86 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2006Q4-2007Q3 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 88 | 86 |
| 2007Q4-2008Q3 | 87 | 86 | 89 | 87 | 87 |
| 2008Q4-2009Q3 | 88 | 87 | 88 | 86 | 88 |
| 2009Q4-2010Q3 | 90 | 88 | 87 | 86 | 88 |
| 2010Q4-2011Q3 | 91 | 89 | 86 | 85 | 88 |
| Average | 88 | 87 | 87 | 86 | 87 |

## iii. Residential Sales Forecast

As mentioned previously, residential sales forecasts were developed by (1) combining the residential customer and use per customer forecasts and (2) by independently forecasting residential sales. All data on residential sales were normalized by EnergyNorth to account for deviations in weather.

Two econometric models were developed for residential sales using quarterly data. In each case an autoregressive term of period four was used. The first model also included a term for NH gross state product (GSP). This forecast, C1, produced a 2005/062010/11 growth rate of 2.8 percent with total residential sales of 7.38 million MMBtu in 2010/11. The second model, C2, was the similar to C1, but also included the term natural gas city gate price. The resulting forecast C 2 showed a growth rate of 3.0 percent and total residential sales in 2010/11 of 7.37 million therms.

A time series forecast, C3, uses the ARIMA model. This forecast predicts a growth rate of 1.6 percent, with total annual residential sales of 6.90 million MMBtu in 2010/11 These forecasts were then combined to produce the weighted residential therm sales forecast for EnergyNorth (see Table III-4 and Figure III-1). Both of the econometric models received a weight of 0.30 resulting in forecasts C 1 and C 2 . These were then averaged and given a combined weighting of 0.60 . The time series model C3 received a weight of 0.40 . The weighted residential sales forecast shows a growth rate of 2.5 percent and sales of 7.19 million MMBtu in the year 2010/11.

Next, the Company produced a forecast of residential sales using the aggregate of the residential customer models (A1 through A6) multiplied times the aggregate of the residential use per customer models ( $B 1$ through $B 4$ ). The product of these two aggregated forecasts yielded a calculated residential sales forecast reflecting an overall growth rate of 2.4 percent and MMBtu sales forecast of 6.98 million in the year 2010/11. Combining the calculated residential sales forecast with the weighted (C1 through C 3 ) sales forecast on an equal $(50 \% / 50 \%)$ basis, produced a final residential sales forecast of 7.08 million therms in 2010/11 for an annualized growth rate of 2.5 percent from 2005/06-2010/11.

## Table III-4 <br> EnergyNorth Forecast Results

## Residential Gas Sales Forecast

| Model | C1 | C2 | ARIMA | Weighted Residential Sales | Calculated Sales | Combined (50/50) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dependent | GSNR | GSNR | GSNR |  |  |  |
| Independent | GSP | PRCG |  |  |  |  |
|  | Auto(-4) | GSP |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Auto(-4) |  |  |  |  |


| Weight | $30.00 \%$ | $30.00 \%$ | $40.00 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential Gas Sales Forecast -- Percent | Growth from Base Year (2005) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006Q4-2007Q3 | $2.57 \%$ | $2.86 \%$ | $0.80 \%$ | $1.96 \%$ | $2.80 \%$ | $2.37 \%$ |
| 2007Q4-2008Q3 | $2.65 \%$ | $2.91 \%$ | $3.65 \%$ | $3.12 \%$ | $3.08 \%$ | $3.10 \%$ |
| 2008Q4-2009Q3 | $3.02 \%$ | $3.23 \%$ | $3.07 \%$ | $3.10 \%$ | $2.21 \%$ | $2.66 \%$ |
| 2009Q4-2010Q3 | $2.86 \%$ | $3.00 \%$ | $0.69 \%$ | $2.05 \%$ | $2.04 \%$ | $2.05 \%$ |
| 2010Q4-2011Q3 | $2.79 \%$ | $2.88 \%$ | $1.56 \%$ | $2.34 \%$ | $2.14 \%$ | $2.24 \%$ |
| Average | $2.78 \%$ | $2.98 \%$ | $1.95 \%$ | $2.51 \%$ | $2.45 \%$ | $2.48 \%$ |


| Residential Gas Sales Forecast (Dth) (Annual) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2005Q4-2006Q3 | $6,440,173$ | $6,373,218$ | $6,267,804$ | $6,351,139$ | $6,190,483$ |
| 2006Q4-2007Q3 | $6,605,996$ | $6,555,369$ | $6,318,014$ | $6,475,615$ | $6,363,654$ |
| $6,419,635$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007Q4-2008Q3 | $6,780,906$ | $6,745,872$ | $6,548,691$ | $6,677,510$ | $6,559,457$ |
| 2008Q4-2009Q3 | $6,985,470$ | $6,963,457$ | $6,749,937$ | $6,884,653$ | $6,704,409$ |
| 2009Q4-2010Q3 | $7,185,317$ | $7,172,667$ | $6,796,495$ | $7,025,993$ | $6,841,297$ |
| 201,531 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010Q4-2011Q3 | $7,385,507$ | $7,379,427$ | $6,902,273$ | $7,190,389$ | $6,987,414$ |
| Average | $6,897,228$ | $6,865,002$ | $6,597,202$ | $6,767,550$ | $6,607,786$ |
| A, |  | $6,687,668$ |  |  |  |

See the complete residential load forecast results in Appendix A.

Figure III-1
Residential Natural Gas Sales Forecast

iv. C\&I Customer Forecast

Sirnilar to the residential customer models, the C\&l customer models show seasonality as well as a strong relationship to population, employment and NH gross state product. Three econometric models were developed for C\&l customers. All three models included autoregressive terms of period four (AUTO(-4)) and a lagged term of period one (CUSCI_1). Forecast D1, which includes the U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis population data (POP), results in 11,448 commercial and industrial customers in 2010/11, equivalent to an annualized growth rate of 1.8 percent.

The second model substitutes labor force (LBFC) for population. This forecast, D2, predicts a growth rate of 1.7 percent per year from 2005/06-2010/11 with a total commercial and industrial customer population of 11,413 by 2010/11.

The third model substitutes NH gross state product (GSP) for employment. This forecast, D3, predicts a growth rate of 6.3 percent per year from 2005/06-2010/11 with a total commercial and industrial customer population of 14,425 by 2010/11.

The Box-Jenkins ARIMA Model is the fourth C\&I customer forecast, and is designated D4. This forecast, D4, predicts a growth rate of 2.5 percent per year from 2005/06-2010/11 with a total commercial and industrial customer population of 11,942 by 2010/11.

A Winter's Exponential Smoothing Model was used as the fifth model of C\&l customers. This produced a 2010/11 forecast of C\& customers of 11,843 with a growth rate of 2.6 percent through the year 2010/11.

Forecasts DI, D2 and D3, the econometric models, are based on population, employment and state GSP projections. Forecasts D4 (Box-Jenkins) and DS (Winters Exponential Smoothing) are time series projections. All five forecasts were given weights of 20 percent each and then were averaged, with the result giving the econometric models a weight of 60 percent and the time series models a weight of 40 percent. The combination of these forecasts produces a final prediction of commercial and industrial customers for EnergyNorth for 2010/11 of 12,214 or 3.0 percent growth per year from 2005/06-2010/11.

The annual forecast results for commercial and industrial customers can be seen in Table III-5. Complete details of the C\&I customer forecast results can be found in Appendix A.

## Table III-5

## EnergyNorth Forecast Results

## Commercial and Industrial Customer Forecast

|  |  |  |  |  |  | Weighted |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Model |  |  |  |  | C\&l |  |

Weight $20.00 \% \quad 20.00 \% \quad 20.00 \% \quad 20.00 \% \quad 20.00 \% \quad 100.00 \%$

| Commercial \& Industrial Customer Forecast -- Percent | Growth from Base Year (2005) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2006Q4-2007Q3 | $2.04 \%$ | $1.95 \%$ | $5.87 \%$ | $2.55 \%$ | $2.69 \%$ | $3.03 \%$ |
| 2007Q4-2008Q3 | $1.77 \%$ | $1.70 \%$ | $6.33 \%$ | $2.63 \%$ | $2.61 \%$ | $3.04 \%$ |
| 2008Q4-2009Q3 | $1.88 \%$ | $1.83 \%$ | $6.54 \%$ | $2.53 \%$ | $2.55 \%$ | $3.13 \%$ |
| 2009Q4-2010Q3 | $1.69 \%$ | $1.67 \%$ | $6.44 \%$ | $2.43 \%$ | $2.48 \%$ | $3.04 \%$ |
| 2010Q4-2011Q3 | $1.47 \%$ | $1.43 \%$ | $6.19 \%$ | $2.42 \%$ | $2.42 \%$ | $2.91 \%$ |
| Average | $1.77 \%$ | $1.72 \%$ | $6.27 \%$ | $2.51 \%$ | $2.55 \%$ | $3.03 \%$ |

Commercial \& Industrial Customer Forecast (Annual)

| 2005Q4-2006Q3 | 10,486 | 10,482 | 10,643 | 10,549 | 10,442 | 10,520 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2006Q4-2007Q3 | 10,700 | 10,687 | 11,267 | 10,818 | 10,723 | 10,839 |
| 2007Q4-2008Q3 | 10,890 | 10,869 | 11,980 | 11,102 | 11,003 | 11,169 |
| 2008Q4-2009Q3 | 11,094 | 11,068 | 12,764 | 11,382 | 11,283 | 11,518 |
| 2009Q4-2010Q3 | 11,281 | 11,253 | 13,585 | 11,659 | 11,563 | 11,868 |
| 2010Q4-2011Q3 | 11,448 | 11,413 | 14,425 | 11,942 | 11,843 | 12,214 |
| Average | 10,983 | 10,962 | 12,444 | 11,242 | 11,143 | 11,355 |

## v. C\&I Use Per Customer

For C\&l use per customer, the Company developed three econometric models and one time series model. All three econometric models included autoregressive terms of period four, the Energy Information Agency's natural gas city gate price projections for NH and normal degree days for Manchester, NH. Forecast E1, which also includes U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis NH GSP data, results in 805 arınual commercial and industrial

MMBtu use per customer in 2010/11, equivalent to an annualized growth rate of 1.9 percent.

Forecast E2, substitutes U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis employment data in place of NH GSP. This forecast, E2, shows a decline from 2005/06 to 2010/11 to 702 annual commercial and industrial MMBtu use per customer in 2010/11, equivalent to an average rate of -0.6 percent.

Forecast E3 substitutes per capita income data in place of employment. This forecast, E3, show an average growth rate of 1.4 percent with 779 annual commercial and industrial MMBtu use per customer in 2010/11.

The Box-Jenkins ARIMA model for the time series forecast, model, E4 produced a forecast of C\&I use per customer of 747 MMBtu in 2010/11, reflecting a slight decrease in C\&I use per customer growth, -0.5 percent through 2010/11.

All four forecasts were combined and averaged using a weighting of 75 percent econometric and 25 percent time series. . The results produced a forecast of $758 \mathrm{C} \&$ MMBtu per customer in 2010/11 that is equivalent to a 0.6 percent annualized growth rate from 2005/06 through 2010/11.

See Table III-6 for the C\&I use per customer forecast results and appendix $A$ for complete forecast results.

## Table III-6

EnergyNorth Forecast Results
Commercial and Industrial Gas Use Per Customer Forecast

|  |  |  |  |  | Weighted C |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Model | E1 | E2 | E3 | ARIMA | \& I Use Per |
| Dependent | USNCI | USNCI | USNCI | USNCI |  |
| Independent | PRCG | PRCG | PRCG |  |  |
|  | GSP | EMP | PCI |  |  |
|  | CDDN | CDDN | CDDN |  |  |
|  | AUTO(-4) | AUTO(-4) | AUTO(-4) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $25.00 \%$ | $25.00 \%$ | $25.00 \%$ | $25.00 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |


| Commercial \& Industrial Use Per Customer | Forecast | -- | Percent Growth from Base | Year (2005) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2006Q4-2007Q3 | $1.45 \%$ | $-0.86 \%$ | $0.98 \%$ | $0.93 \%$ | $0.63 \%$ |
| 2007Q4-2008Q3 | $1.77 \%$ | $-0.63 \%$ | $1.28 \%$ | $-1.74 \%$ | $0.15 \%$ |
| 2008Q4-2009Q3 | $2.19 \%$ | $-0.53 \%$ | $1.56 \%$ | $-1.71 \%$ | $0.38 \%$ |
| 2009Q4-2010Q3 | $2.09 \%$ | $-0.50 \%$ | $1.54 \%$ | $-0.30 \%$ | $0.74 \%$ |
| 2010Q4-2011Q3 | $2.05 \%$ | $-0.49 \%$ | $1.37 \%$ | $0.43 \%$ | $0.88 \%$ |
| Average | $1.91 \%$ | $-0.60 \%$ | $1.35 \%$ | $-0.48 \%$ | $0.56 \%$ |


| Commercial \& Industrial Use Per Customer Forecast (Annual) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2005Q4-2006Q3 | 733 | 724 | 728 | 765 | 738 |
| 2006Q4-2007Q3 | 743 | 718 | 735 | 773 | 742 |
| 2007Q4-2008Q3 | 756 | 713 | 745 | 759 | 743 |
| 2008Q4-2009Q3 | 773 | 709 | 756 | 746 | 746 |
| 2009Q4-2010Q3 | 789 | 706 | 768 | 744 | 752 |
| 2010Q4-2011Q3 | 805 | 702 | 779 | 747 | 758 |
| Average | 767 | 712 | 752 | 756 | 747 |

## vi. C\&I Sales Forecast

As with the residential models, the Company forecast C\&l sales in MMBtu normalized for weather. Models were developed by combining the C\&l customer and use per customer data, as well as directly using econometric and time series methods. Using quarterly data, the Company developed an econometric model with autoregressive terms of period four (AUTO(-4)) along with natural gas city gate price data (PRCG) collected from the EIA. In the first econometric model, F1, a lagged term of period one (GSNCI_1) was also included. This model produced a forecast of 9.52 million

MMBtu for the C\&l sector in 2010/11 equivalent to a 3.8 percent growth rate for the period 2005/06 through 2010/11.

The second econometric model, F2, replaces the lagged term of period one with an autoregressive term of period eight (AUTO(-8)). This model produced a forecast of 9.47 million MMBtu for the C\&l sector in 2010/11 equivalent to a 1.9 percent growth rate for the period 2005/06 through 2010/11.

The third econometric model, F3, reinserts the lagged term of period one (GSNCl_1) and continues using natural gas city gate prices (PRCG) and the autoregressive terms of periods four (AUTO(-4)) and eight (AUTO(-8)). This model produced a forecast of 9.47 million MMBtu for the $C \& l$ sector in $2010 / 11$ equivalent to a 3.7 percent growth rate for the period 2005/06 through 2010/11.

The Box-Jenkins ARIMA model, F4, produced a forecast of 9.27 million MMBtu for the C\&I sector in 2010/11 or an annualized growth rate of 2.8 percent.

The final C\&l therm load weighted forecast was an average of Forecast Fl through F3 (the econometric models) at 20 percent each, with Forecast F4 (the time series forecast) weighted at $40 \%$. Then, the weighted C\&l sales forecasts and the product of the number of customers times the use per customer forecast were combined equally (50/50). The result was a forecast of 9.32 million MMBtu in 2010/11, equivalent to a 3.8 percent growth rate from 2005/06 through 2010/11.

See Figure III-2 and Table III-7 for the C\&I therm load forecast summary and Appendix A for complete details of the forecast.

## Table III-7

## EnergyNorth Forecast Results

## Commercial and Industrial Gas Sales Forecast

|  |  |  |  | Weighted C | Calculated | Combined |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Model | F1 | F2 | F3 | ARIMA | \& I Sales | Sales | $(50 / 50)$ |
| Dependent | GSNCI | GSNCI | GSNCI | USNCI |  |  |  |
| Independent | GSNCI_1 | PRCG | GSNCI_1 |  |  |  |  |
|  | PRCG | AUTO(-4) | PRCG |  |  |  |  |

Weight $\quad 20.00 \% \quad 20.00 \% \quad 20.00 \% \quad 40.00 \% \quad 100.00 \%$

| Commercial \& Industrial Gas Sales Forecast (Percent | Growth from Base Year (2005) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2006Q4-2007Q3 | $5.34 \%$ | $2.73 \%$ | $5.55 \%$ | $5.46 \%$ | $4.87 \%$ | $3.57 \%$ | $6.85 \%$ |
| 2007Q4-2008Q3 | $4.03 \%$ | $1.56 \%$ | $3.78 \%$ | $2.75 \%$ | $2.96 \%$ | $3.34 \%$ | $3.15 \%$ |
| 2008Q4-2009Q3 | $3.53 \%$ | $1.60 \%$ | $3.33 \%$ | $0.09 \%$ | $1.72 \%$ | $3.51 \%$ | $2.59 \%$ |
| 2009Q4-2010Q3 | $3.09 \%$ | $1.71 \%$ | $2.95 \%$ | $2.20 \%$ | $2.43 \%$ | $3.85 \%$ | $3.12 \%$ |
| 2010Q4-2011Q3 | $2.75 \%$ | $1.81 \%$ | $2.64 \%$ | $3.69 \%$ | $2.90 \%$ | $3.84 \%$ | $3.36 \%$ |
| Average | $3.75 \%$ | $1.88 \%$ | $3.65 \%$ | $2.84 \%$ | $2.98 \%$ | $3.62 \%$ | $3.81 \%$ |


| Commercial \& Industrial Gas Sales Forecast (Dth) (Annual) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2005Q4-2006Q3 | $7,924,343$ | $8,628,982$ | $7,919,898$ | $8,067,522$ | $8,121,654$ | $7,734,162$ | $7,734,162$ |
| 2006Q4-2007Q3 | $8,347,166$ | $8,864,129$ | $8,359,073$ | $8,508,086$ | $8,517,308$ | $8,010,453$ | $8,263,881$ |
| 2007Q4-2008Q3 | $8,683,945$ | $9,002,617$ | $8,675,271$ | $8,742,207$ | $8,769,249$ | $8,278,350$ | $8,523,800$ |
| 2008Q4-2009Q3 | $8,990,327$ | $9,146,297$ | $8,964,552$ | $8,749,767$ | $8,920,142$ | $8,569,259$ | $8,744,701$ |
| 2009Q4-2010Q3 | $9,268,498$ | $9,302,969$ | $9,228,745$ | $8,942,571$ | $9,137,071$ | $8,898,799$ | $9,017,935$ |
| 2010Q4-2011Q3 | $9,523,502$ | $9,471,707$ | $9,472,064$ | $9,272,510$ | $9,402,459$ | $9,240,153$ | $9,321,306$ |
| Average | $8,789,630$ | $9,069,450$ | $8,769,934$ | $8,713,777$ | $8,811,314$ | $8,455,196$ | $8,600,964$ |

Figure III-2
Commercial \& Industrial Firm Sales \& Transportation Forecast


## vii. Summary of Final Forecast

For the final forecast, the Company averages of forecasts developed using the several equations specified to produce a more accurate forecast than using a single equation. In this way, the forecast minimizes the forecast error associated with any single equation.

The range of forecasts produced by these models creates a distribution around the final forecast. This provides the Company with an assessment of uncertainty and allows it to plan for high growth and low growth conditions. These high growth and low growth scenarios are discussed in more detail in Section 6, Sensitivity Analysis.

Table III-8 summarizes the ENGI forecast by sector.

Table III-8
EnergyNorth Natural Gas, Inc. - Five Year Forecast

| Five Year Forecast (2005-2010) <br> (MMBtu) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Year | Residential | Commercial \& Industrial | DSM | Total Demand | \% Change |
|  |  | (MMBtu) | (MMBtu) | (MMBtu) | (MMBtu) |  |
|  | 2005Q4-2006Q3 | 6,270,811 | 7,924,379 | -77573 | 14,117,617 |  |
| 1 | 2006Q4-2007Q3 | 6,419,635 | 8,263,881 | -77573 | 14,605,942 | 3.46\% |
| 2 | 2007Q4-2008Q3 | 6,618,483 | 8,523,800 | -77573 | 15,064,710 | 3.14\% |
| 3 | 2008Q4-2009Q3 | 6,794,531 | 8,744,701 | -77573 | 15,461,659 | 2.63\% |
| 4 | 2009Q4-2010Q3 | 6,933,645 | 9,017,935 | -77573 | 15,874,007 | 2.67\% |
| 5 | 2010Q4-2011Q3 | 7,088,902 | 9,321,306 | -77573 | 16,332,634 | 2.89\% |
|  | Average | 6,771,039 | 8,774,324 | -77573 | 15,467,790 | 2.96\% |

## (c) Forecast of Incremental Demand for Traditional Markets

EnergyNorth's incremental demand forecasts (base case) for traditional markets are presented in Chart III-B-1. The incremental demand forecast is calculated as the year-to-year change in demand that results from the econometric forecast models. The Company adds the annual incremental demand determined in this way to the reference year sendout described in Section III C. As set forth in Chart III-B-1, EnergyNorth projects total net throughput additions over the forecast period (2006/07 through 2010/11) of $1,416,400$ MMBtu for traditional core markets. Overall, this growth in traditional-market firm sales represents a 10.0 percent increase in sendout requirements over the forecast period, or 2.5 percent per year on average (see Chart III-A-1).

The following sections describe the specific steps involved with the development of EnergyNorth's incremental demand forecast for traditional market segments, including residential, and commercial and industrial customers.

## (i) Residential Market

Chart III-B-1 presents EnergyNorth's demand forecast for residential customers. This forecast shows 573,247 MMBtu of net incremental load additions over the forecast period. Chart III-B-1 shows that EnergyNorth is projected to add an average of 143,312 MMBtu net load annually, between 2006/07 and 2010/11. As shown on Chart III-A-1, this growth in residential sales represents an overall increase in residential sendout of 2.3 percent per year on average or 9.3 percent over the forecast period.

## (ii) Commercial and Industrial Market

Chart III-B-1 presents EnergyNorth's updated commercial and industrial demand forecast. This forecast shows 843,153 MMBtu of net incremental load over the forecast period. Chart III-B-1 shows that EnergyNorth is projected to add an average of 210,788 MMBtus net load annually between 2006/07 and 2010/11. As shown on Chart III-A-1, this increase in commercial/industrial sales represents an overall increase in commercial/industrial sendout of 2.6 percent per year on average, or 10.6 percent over the forecast period.

## 3. Demand Forecast for Non-Traditional Markets

## (a) Natural Gas Vehicles

As shown on Chart III-B-1, the Company's forecast indicates no demand in the natural gas vehicle market in the EnergyNorth service territory. The Company's forecast of demand in the NGV market is driven by governmental regulations requiring or encouraging NGV use among certain commercial and governmental vehicle fleets, and the Company's marketing efforts with those vehicle fleet operators. At the time that this
forecast was prepared, the Company's marketing representatives did not anticipate any significant demand in this market.

## (b) Large-Scale Cogeneration Market

EnergyNorth's assessment of the large-scale cogeneration market is that the natural gas required to meet the demands of the potential customers in this market during the forecast period will not have an impact on EnergyNorth's sendout requirements or resource plan. EnergyNorth is not currently aware of any large-scale gas-fired cogeneration facilities planned for locations within the EnergyNorth service territory over the forecast period that do not yet have their natural gas requirements in place. However, consistent with EnergyNorth's recent experience, if a new gas-fired cogeneration power plant were to be located in EnergyNorth's service territory, EnergyNorth believes that the gas requirements of such facilities would likely be served by third-party gas suppliers in conjunction with Supplier Service provided by EnergyNorth from the city gate to the facility. Accordingly, EnergyNorth's forecast shows no demand for the large-scale cogeneration market and no impact on the resource plan.

## 4. Demand-Side Management

EnergyNorth is in the first year of a three-year extension of its energy efficiency program approved by the Commission in Order No. 24,636 dated June 8, 2006 in Docket DG 06-032. Subject to Commission review and approval, EnergyNorth expects to continue its efficiency program beyond the April 30, 2009 expiration of the current plan through to the end of the forecast period. EnergyNorth estimates volume reductions of 77,573 MMBtus per year on average from DSM measures during the
forecast period (see Chart III-B-1). To develop projections of future energy-savings impacts of the DSM programs, EnergyNorth utilized a spreadsheet developed within the NSTAR Energy Efficiency Collaborative (hereinafter referred to as the "Energy Efficiency Model"). ${ }^{4}$ The Energy Efficiency Model is used to track costs and benefits relating to energy efficiency and market transformation programs. Once data is input to the Energy Efficiency Model it calculates the present value of program benefits and costs and produces a cost/benefit ratio. In addition, the output of the model also includes a projection of future energy savings for each program analyzed. In addition, EnergyNorth updated the Energy Efficiency Model in 2004 to reflect current assumptions relating to program costs and benefits, program participation, the discount rate, and avoided natural gas costs. For the analyses conducted to estimate the future savings from EnergyNorth's DSM programs, funding for all programs was assumed to continue through the forecast period ending October 2011. Savings from program measures are reflected in the model over the entire useful life of measures.

[^3]
## 5. Sensitivity Analysis

(a) Overview

EnergyNorth's resource portfolio must be designed to have adequate and reliable resources available to meet forecasted demand at the lowest possible cost. Because the future cannot be predicted with precision, the Company must evaluate whether the portfolio resources will be adequate and reliable when actual experience departs from the forecast. Specifically, EnergyNorth considered the levels of uncertainty in the demand and sendout forecasts and developed high- and low-demand scenarios relative to the base case forecast to determine the impact a range of alternatives would have on its resource portfolio. A comparison of the average annual load additions for the base case, high- and low-demand scenarios is presented in Chart III-B-2.

## (b) Development of Demand Scenarios

EnergyNorth used the results of the econometric models to develop the high and low demand scenarios. Each econometric model for customers, use per customer and sales, for both the residential and commercial/industrial classes, generates a 95 percent confidence interval around the forecasted values. For the high case, the Company used the higher bounds of the interval for each model to calculate the high demand values. Similarly, for the low case, the Company used the lower bounds of the interval for each model to calculate the low demand values.

The high-demand scenario, shown in Chart III-B-3, results in net additions of $1,975,243$ MMBtu compared to $1,416,400$ MMBtu in the base case (see Chart III-B-1). For the high-demand scenario, EnergyNorth incorporates the upper bound of the 95 percent confidence interval on the number of residential customer models (A1 - A4, ARIMA and Winters Smoothing) and commercial/industrial models (D1 - D3, ARIMA and Winters Smoothing) and weighted the results as it did in the base case to forecast the high case number of customers for each class respectively. It used similar upper bounds of the residential use per customer models (B1, B2, ARIMA and Winters Smoothing) and commercial/industrial models (E1 - E3 and ARIMA) and weighted the results to forecast the higher case use per customer for each class. It used the upper bound of the confidence interval on the residential sales models (C1, C2 and ARIMA) and commercial/industrial models (F1-F3 and ARIMA) and weighted the results to forecast sales. Finally, it combined $50 / 50$ the results of the calculated sales, based on the weighted average number of customers and use per customer, and the weighted results of the sales forecast models to determine the overall high case forecast.

## (ii) Low-Demand Scenario

The low-demand scenario, shown in Chart III-B-4, results in net additions of 877,322 MMBtu compared to $1,416,400$ MMBtu in the base case (see Chart III-B-1). For the low-demand scenario, EnergyNorth incorporated the lower bound of the 95 percent confidence interval on the number of residential customer models (A1 - A4, ARIMA and Winters Smoothing) and commercial/industrial models (D1 - D3, ARIMA and Winters Smoothing) and weighted the results as it did in the base case to forecast
the low case number of customers for each class respectively. It used similar lower bounds of the residential use per customer models (B1, B2, ARIMA and Winters Smoothing) and commercial/industrial models (E1 - E3 and ARIMA) and weighted the results to forecast the lower case use per customer for each class. It used the lower bound of the confidence interval on the residential sales models (C1, C2 and ARIMA) and commercial/industrial models (F1 - F3 and ARIMA) and weighted the results to forecast sales. Finally, it combined 50/50 the results of the calculated sales, based on the weighted average number of customers and use per customer, and the weighted results of the sales forecast models to determine the overall low case forecast.

## 6. Transportation Migration

## (a) Introduction

With the introduction of the EnergyNorth's commercial/industrial (C\&I) transportation program in 2001, EnergyNorth has gained a number of years of experience with unbundled transportation service in New Hampshire. See Chart III-B-5 for the Company's transportation customer activity since 2001. EnergyNorth currently has in place a comprehensive customer-choice program that provides C\&l customers with an opportunity to share in the benefits provided by increased competition in the retail market for natural gas.
(b) Impact of Transportation Migration on Sendout

## Requirements

The Company's resource portfolio is currently structured to have a high level of flexibility to adapt to changing market conditions and regulatory obligations. This is especially true with respect to the Company's domestic gas commodity commitments.

Generally speaking, EnergyNorth enters into agreements that allow it the flexibility to eliminate up to 100 percent of its existing domestic gas commodity purchases in less than a twelve-month period. With respect to capacity resources, EnergyNorth currently has an obligation to plan for the needs of firm customers. Therefore, the Company plans for the needs of sales customers and assigns a pro-rata share of pipeline capacity, underground storage capacity and supplement resources to third-party suppliers ("Suppliers") on behalf of those sales customers who convert to Supplier Service. ${ }^{5}$ Under the Company's Delivery Terms and Conditions, capacity is assigned to Suppliers, on behalf of migrating sales customers, in block increments based on the profile of the aggregated customer group served by the Supplier (rather than on a customer-bycustomer basis). The Supplier is assigned an initial block of capacity that is subject to monthly changes consistent with increases or decreases (in increments of 200 MMBtu) in the customer load served by the Supplier. EnergyNorth retains recall rights on the capacity contracts that are released to Suppliers on behalf of their customers to ensure that the capacity remains available to serve load within the EnergyNorth service territory. In addition, the Company monitors the addition of transportation customers, who elect Supplier Service directly and are not eligible for mandatory capacity assignment. . For EnergyNorth, the customer load opting directly for Supplier Service (without first becoming a Sales Service customer) is relatively small in proportion to the Company's overall firm sendout. For the annual period May 2003 through April 2004, such load represented approximately $1.4 \%$ of the Company's total firm sendout and for

[^4]the annual period May 2004 through April 2005 there were no new customers who opted to go directly to Supplier Service. For the period May 2005 through April 2006, one customer representing less than $0.03 \%$ of the Company's total load went directly to Supplier Service

On March 3, 2006, the Commission issued an Order of Notice in docket DG 0633 regarding Northern Utilities' proposal regarding planning for Grandfathered Customer transportation load. KeySpan was made a mandatory party. During the course of that proceeding, the Company agreed to include in its IRP filing a discussion of the issues raised by Northern Utilities with regard to whether it is appropriate to begin planning for all or at least a portion of grandfathered customers' gas supply needs. ${ }^{6}$ As noted above, EnergyNorth is not currently responsible for planning for the gas supply needs of Grandfathered Customers. Rather, the Company's obligation is limited to ensuring adequate on-system capacity for these customers.

The Company has considered the Northern Utilities proposal and believes that there are two key factors that must be seriously considered before a change in the Commission's policy regarding an LDC's obligation to plan for the upstream capacity resource requirements of Grandfathered customers is implemented. First: does the level of grandfathered transportation load and the historical performance of marketers supplying that load threaten the reliability of the local distribution system? And second: What is the appropriate cost recovery mechanism for the cost of planning for the upstream capacity requirements of Grandfathered Customers.

[^5]At this time, based on the historical performance of Grandfathered Customers and the volumes represented by those customers, EnergyNorth does not believe that a change in the Commission's unbundling policy as it applies to EnergyNorth is warranted. First, as noted above, Grandfathered Customer load has remained constant since 2003/04. Second, the Company reviewed the daily delivery history of Suppliers doing business on the Company's system during the winter periods of November through March for the years 2003 through 2006. ${ }^{7}$ As shown in Charts III-B-6, III-B-7 and III-B-8 there have been minimal delivery failures attributable to underdeliveries by Suppliers on behalf of transportation customers. Moreover, it is impossible to separate the underdeliveries for Grandfathered Customers deliveries from the non-Grandfathered Customer deliveries as Suppliers balance at the pool level.

If despite this data, the Commission determines that it is appropriate for the Company to plan for the upstream capacity needs of grandfathered customers, the Company suggests that it would be appropriate to plan for $100 \%$ of those needs rather than only a portion of it and to require that all customers pay for the cost of acquiring any necessary incremental resources. Regarding the level of need to plan for, assuming the Commission determines as a matter of policy that the Company should plan for the needs of Grandfathered Customer load to ensure system reliability, the Company can determine no practical or historical basis to choose a level less than $100 \%$ of that load. With regards to cost allocation, if the Company were responsible for planning for the capacity requirements of formerly Grandfathered Customers, the Company would include this load as part of its normal planning process and combine

[^6]this need with the needs of the Company's remaining customers. As the capacity and any associated supply would be contracted for as part of the Company's overall needs, and available for use by all customers, it would be impractical to allocate specific 'pieces' of capacity to certain customers. Accordingly, the Company would propose to have the incremental cost paid for by all customers, including Grandfathered Customers.

The Company will continue to monitor growth in new transportation load opting directly for Supplier Service to determine whether, in the future, the Company's growth forecasts should be adjusted. To the extent that the Company projects a need for incremental capacity on the peak day, the Company will consider the trend in these transportation loads as a factor in determining the best way to meet that need. In the interim, the Company will rely on the Commission approved penalties for underdeliveries by suppliers serving the Company's customers as an appropriate deterrent to prevent suppliers from failing to meet their supply obligation to customers.

## C. Regression Analysis

In the second step of EnergyNorth's forecasting methodology set forth in Section III.A, above, the Company uses regression equations of daily sendout versus daily temperature for the most recent twelve months to calculate the reference-year "springboard." This serves as the most accurate starting point for EnergyNorth to forecast its future customer requirements. Once this step is completed, the incremental sendout requirements developed in Section III.B are added to the reference-year

Customers and customers who were assigned capacity by the Company.
sendout requirements to determine EnergyNorth's total normalized forecast of customer requirements over the forecast period.

To establish normal-year springboard sendout requirements, the Company developed a linear-regression equation using data for the reference-year period May 1, 2005 through April 30, 2006 ${ }^{8}$. Through the use of the linear-regression equation, the Company is able to normalize daily sendout. Specifically, the actual daily firm sendout is regressed against the daily effective degree day ("EDD") data provided by the Company's weather services provider, Meteorologix, EDD data lagged by one day, and a weekend dummy variable. These data elements were selected for the regression analysis since these elements have been, and continue to be, the major explanatory variables underlying EnergyNorth's sendout requirements.

In this filing, EnergyNorth has selected the Manchester, New Hampshire weather station as the source of the weather data that is used as the principal explanatory variable in its regression equations. The Manchester weather station is close to the center of the Company's service territory, on a load-weighted basis, and it does not have temperature biases that other weather stations (e.g. Concord) have due to topography. Specifically, the Company used the EDD value that is measured for each 24 -hour period of 10 a.m. to 10 a.m., which constitutes KeySpan's Gas Day. EDD captures both the average temperature of the day as well as the effect that the wind has in increasing customer requirements.

Each year, EnergyNorth observes seasonal variations in the use-per-EDD requirements of its firm sales customers. These requirements increase going into the

[^7]heating season, plateau in the December through February time period, and then decrease in the later months of the heating season. To capture this experience within the regression equation, EnergyNorth used monthly independent variables for September through June to model this seasonal change. Each monthly variable has a coefficient of zero for all days not in its respective time period and a coefficient of the actual EDD value for the days within its time period. The resulting coefficient is then the heating increment for the given time period. The positive signs on the coefficients imply that as EDD increases, the Company's sendout requirements increase as well, which corresponds with the experience of KeySpan.

EnergyNorth also observed the increase in the explanatory power of the regression equation through the inclusion of the one-day lagged EDD value. The underlying theory of this analysis is that heating requirements increase as two consecutive days of cold weather occur, which cools down structures to a greater degree than would be experienced on a single day. The variable contains the prior day's EDD value, except for the months of July and August where this value is set to zero to reflect the fact that there is no heating requirement in the summer. The positive sign of the coefficients indicates that two days of cold weather increases the heating requirement over that experienced for one cold day.

Finally, EnergyNorth observes changes in sendout requirements between weekdays and weekends, which can be attributed to differences in load requirements occurring during the workweek as compared to the weekend. To model this, the regression equation includes a weekend dummy variable that is set to 1 on Saturdays and Sundays and 0 on weekdays. A negative coefficient for the weekend variable
implies a load reduction on weekend days versus weekday days, all other factors being equal. The functional form of the equation is given in Chart III-C-1. Chart III-C-2 sets forth the regression coefficients for the EnergyNorth system. The adjusted R-square is 0.982 , and all of the t-statistics of the independent variables are greater than 2.0 , indicating that these variables are significant to the explanatory power of the equation.

This regression equation captures the observed characteristics of the Company's sendout requirements. The observed characteristics include the following: (1) sendout requirements are directly related to EDD; (2) sendout requirements change on a seasonal basis; (3) sendout requirements are affected by EDDs that occur over a multiday period; and (4) sendout requirements differ by day of the week. Thus, EnergyNorth has developed a set of reliable regression equations to establish the basis upon which future sendout requirements can be forecast. Using its forecast of load additions and an appropriate set of daily EDD values for a design year, the Company can successfully plan its operational requirements to provide a low-cost, adequate and reliable supply of natural gas to its customers.

## D. Normalized Forecasts of Customer Requirements By Year

In the third step of the Company's forecasting methodology set forth in Section III.A, above, the Company combines the May 2005 - April 2006 reference-year sendout, which is derived from the regression analysis, with the annual incremental sendout forecast discussed in Section III.B, to yield the following forecast of customer requirements under normal weather conditions:

Base Case Demand Scenario Customer Requirements (MMBtu)

|  | 2006-07 | 2007-08 | 2008-09 | 2009-10 | 2010-11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Heating Season | 9,441,300 | 9,757,800 | 9,904,300 | 10,125,700 | 10,377,200 |
| Non-Heating Season | 3,813,000 | 3,950,100 | 4,064,600 | 4,184,600 | 4,321,900 |
| Total | 13,254,300 | 13,707,900 | 13,968,900 | 14,310,300 | 14,699,100 |
| Per-Annum Growth |  | 3.4 \% | 1.9 \% | 2.4 \% | 2.7 \% |

The heating season is defined as the months of November through March; the nonheating season is defined as the months of April through October.

| High Case Demand Scenario Customer Requirements (MMBtu) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\underline{\mathbf{2 0 0 0 6 - 0 7}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{2 0 0 7 - 0 8}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{2 0 0 8 - 0 9}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 1 0}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}}$ |
| Heating Season | $9,691,000$ | $10,114,200$ | $10,341,000$ | $10,647,900$ | $10,986,400$ |
| Non-Heating Season | $\underline{3,957,600}$ | $\underline{4,155,700}$ | $\underline{4,318,400}$ | $\underline{4,488,600}$ | $\underline{4,677,000}$ |
| Total | $13,648,600$ | $14,269,900$ | $14,659,400$ | $15,136,500$ | $15,663,400$ |
| Per-Annum Growth |  | $4.6 \%$ | $2.7 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ |

## Low Case Demand Scenario Customer Requirements (MMBtu)

|  | $\underline{\mathbf{2 0 0 6 - 0 7}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{2 0 0 7 - 0 8}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{2 0 0 8 - 0 9}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 1 0}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Heating Season | $\underline{9,179,000}$ | $\underline{9,394,000}$ | $9,465,300$ | $\underline{9,606,700}$ | $\underline{9,777,500}$ |
| Non-Heating Season | $\underline{3,659,300}$ | $\underline{3,734,700}$ | $\frac{3,800,500}{}$ | $\frac{3,870,000}{}$ | $\underline{3,955,500}$ |
| Total | $12,838,300$ | $13,128,700$ | $13,265,800$ | $13,476,700$ | $13,733,000$ |
| Per-Annum Growth |  | $2.3 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ | $1.9 \%$ |

## E. Planning Standards

In the fourth step of the Company's forecasting methodology, the Company performs a cost-benefit analysis to determine the appropriate design-day and designyear planning standards to develop a least-cost reliable supply portfolio over the forecast period.

1. Incorporation of the Monte Carlo Methodology

## a. Background

In its previous IRP filing, the Company relied on a cost/benefit analysis methodology for the purposes of establishing design planning standards. This cost/benefit methodology used, as input data, time series of actual EDD observations that begin in January 1981 to estimate frequencies of occurrence of two types of extreme weather events: a design day and a design year. These two types of standards are significant in that the design day standard determines the most costeffective amount of transportation capacity (both interstate and supplemental) and the design year standard determines the most cost-effective amount of storage supply to maintain to ensure reliable service to the Company's customers.

The design day standard, which specifies the most cost-effective amount of transportation capacity (both interstate and supplemental), has been based on the statistical distribution of the coldest day of each calendar year. The design year standard, which specifies the most cost-effective amount of storage supply, has been based on the statistical distribution of the total EDDs in each calendar year. The mean and standard deviation of the normal distribution of each of these data sets has been used as the weighing factor in the probability-weighted 'benefit' estimate, i.e. the value of the avoidance of damages were the Company to plan for a design day/year lower than what might occur.

## b. The Theory of the Company's Monte Carlo Methodology

For its 2006 IRP, KeySpan has used a Monte Carlo simulation method to generate synthetic daily EDD values for Manchester, NH for purposes of establishing design planning standards. The application of this Monte Carlo method provides the Company with a much larger time series of daily EDD values on which to base the theoretical 'benefit' values of its cost/benefit analysis.

The Monte Carlo methodology generally implies the generation of a dataset of synthetic values, larger than a given dataset of actual observations, based on the observed statistical properties of the actual dataset. The larger size of the synthetic dataset ( 3,000 simulated years) can assist in the determination of the likelihood of extreme weather events, such as those the Company seeks to define in its cost/benefit analysis of its design standards.

In developing a time series of daily EDD values much larger than the Company's existing actual historical observations from 1981-present, greater consideration had to be given than to generate 365 random values for each year of the synthetic dataset. First, consideration of the seasonality of EDD values had to be given. Second, consideration of the interdependence of one day's EDD value with the prior day's value had to be given, as well. To generate its set of synthetic data values, the Company chose to model its EDD data using a first-order autoregressive process (denoted $A R(1))$. Such a model has been commonly assumed for meteorological time series.

Letting $X_{t}$ denote the EDD value on the $t^{\text {th }}$ day, the $A R(1)$ process requires that the conditional probability distribution of $X$, given the past record of observed EDD, $X_{t-1}$,
$X_{t-2}, \ldots$, depends only on $X_{t-1}$, the observed EDD value for the previous day. This property can be expressed as:
$X_{t}-\mu=\Phi\left(X_{t-1}-\mu\right)+\epsilon_{t}$,
where the daily EDD values are expressed in terms of deviations from their common mean $\mu$, and $\Phi$ denotes the first-order autocorrelation coefficient. The error terms $\left(\epsilon_{\mathrm{t}}\right)$ in equation (1) are assumed to constitute a "white-noise process"; that is, they are uncorrelated random variables with zero mean and constant variance $\sigma_{\epsilon}{ }^{2}$. It is further assumed that the $\epsilon_{\mathrm{t}}$, are normally distributed [denoted $\mathrm{N}\left(0, \sigma_{\epsilon}{ }^{2}\right)$ ].

The first-order autocorrelation coefficient $\Phi$ measures the degree of dependence between the EDD values on consecutive days, $X_{t-1}$ and $X_{t}$. A value of $\Phi=0$ implies that $X_{t-1}$ and $X_{t}$ are uncorrelated (i.e., $X_{t}$ is completely unpredictable from the past record of daily EDD), whereas a value of $\Phi=1$ or -1 implies that the $X_{t}$ are perfectly correlated (i.e., $X_{t}$ is completely predictable). For daily EDD time series, typically $0<\Phi<1$, meaning that the $X_{t}$ are positively, but not perfectly, correlated. An $A R(1)$ process is stationary (i.e., all the joint probability distributions of the $X$, are time invariant) if | $\Phi$ | < 1. Although daily EDD time series are clearly nonstationary because seasonal cycles are present, the stationarity assumption is a reasonable approximation when dealing with a single month. Besides this day-to-day stationarity, it is also assumed that the monthly time series are stationary from year to year; in other words, that the climate over its recent history (since 1981, say) has not changed in a statistical sense.

The requirement that the error term $\epsilon_{t}$ is normally distributed implies that the daily EDD $X_{t}$ also is normally distributed. Letting $\sigma^{2}$ denote the variance of $X_{t}$, it is straightforward to show that $\sigma^{2}$ is related to $\sigma_{\epsilon}^{2}$, the variance of an error term, by
$\sigma_{\epsilon}{ }^{2}=\left(1-\phi^{2}\right) \sigma^{2}$

We see by equation (2), that the stronger the dependence between $X_{t-1}$ and $X_{t}$, the greater the reduction in the variance of an error term relative to the variance of daily EDD. More importantly, (2) implies that an $\operatorname{AR}(1)$ process can be completely characterized in terms of three parameters, $\mu$ and, say $\Phi$ and $\sigma^{2}$.

## c. The Application of the Company's Monte Carlo Methodology: Introduction

To determine the three parameters, $\mu, \Phi$ and $\sigma^{2}$ required for the $\operatorname{AR}(1)$ process, while considering the seasonality of EDD values, the Company began by determining the mean observed EDD value for each calendar day within its existing dataset (Figure 1).


Figure 1: 25-Year Mean Observed EDD Value By Calendar Day

To calculate its synthetic EDD series, the Company first divided its process into two subsets: heating season (October-May) and non-heating season (June-September). This was necessary to properly account for the fact that EDD values are not a continuous number series, i.e. while, theoretically EDD values can grow infinitely positive, by definition, they have a lower limit of zero.

## d. The Application of the Company's Monte Carlo Methodology: Heating Season

For each day of observed EDD for the heating season, the Company then computed the difference from that day's actual EDD and the 25 -year mean EDD value for the same calendar day. From these daily deviation values, the Company calculated mean and standard deviation values, for each calendar month, to establish the $\mu$ and $\sigma^{2}$ parameters required for its $A R(1)$ process. From the time series of these daily deviation
values, the Company calculated Pearson correlation coefficient, for each calendar month, to establish the $\Phi$ parameter required for its $\operatorname{AR}(1)$ process.

|  | $\underline{\mu}$ | $\underline{\Sigma}$ | $\underline{\Phi}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| October | 0.00 | 7.17 | 0.541 |
| November | 0.00 | 8.68 | 0.536 |
| December | 0.00 | 9.86 | 0.631 |
| January | 0.00 | 11.54 | 0.671 |
| February | 0.00 | 10.10 | 0.618 |
| March | 0.00 | 8.65 | 0.583 |
| April | 0.00 | 7.61 | 0.555 |
| May | 0.00 | 5.91 | 0.499 |

Table 1: $\mu, \Phi$ and $\sigma^{2}$ parameters for the $\operatorname{AR}(1)$ heating season process

To create 3,000 years of synthetic daily EDD time series, the Company generated 243 random EDD deviation values (October $1^{\text {st }}-$ May $31^{\text {st }}$ ) denoted by $\mathrm{X}_{1}{ }_{1}$, $X^{\prime}, \ldots, X_{n}^{\prime}$, from the $\operatorname{AR}(1)$ process and added each day's deviation to the established mean EDD value for the same calendar day. The initial daily EDD deviation value (for the day of October $\left.1^{\text {st }}\right), X_{1}{ }_{1}$ was produced from the $N\left(\mu, \sigma^{2}\right)$ normal distribution by means of a random number generator. Each subsequent daily EDD deviation value, $X_{n}{ }_{n}$ was produced using Equations (1) and (2) from the $N\left(\mu, \sigma^{2}\right)$ normal distribution by means of a random number generator and the first-order autocorrelation coefficient $\Phi$.

## e. The Application of the Company's Monte Carlo Methodology: Non-Heating Season

To account for the fact that EDD values will frequently be zero during the nonheating season months of June through September, the Company modified the approach for the heating season and determined the actual monthly values of $\mu$ and $\sigma$, by matching the tail end of each month's actual observed distribution over the 25 -year
historical period with a normal distribution. Therefore, the Company could bypass the step of applying random errors to the 25-year mean EDD value for each calendar day and generate the synthetic values themselves with the and $\sigma$ values and the monthly Pearson correlation coefficients of the deviation-from-mean values.

|  | $\underline{\mu}$ | $\underline{\Sigma}$ | $\Phi$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| June |  |  |  |
| July | 1.00 | 5.50 | 0.541 |
| August | -1.50 | 3.00 | 0.536 |
| September | -1.20 | 4.50 | 0.631 |

Table 2: $\mu, \Phi$ and $\sigma^{2}$ parameters for the $\operatorname{AR}(1)$ non-heating season process

To create 3,000 years of synthetic daily EDD time series, the Company generated 122 random EDD values (June $1^{\text {st }}-$ September $30^{\text {th }}$ ) denoted by $X^{\prime}{ }_{1}, X^{\prime}{ }_{2}, \ldots$, $X_{n}^{\prime}$, from the $A R(1)$ process. The initial daily EDD value (for the day of June $1^{\text {st }}$ ), $X^{\prime}{ }_{1}$ was produced from the $N\left(\mu, \sigma^{2}\right)$ normal distribution by means of a random number generator. Each subsequent daily EDD value, $X_{n}^{\prime}$, was produced using Equations (1) and (2) from the $N\left(\mu, \sigma^{2}\right)$ normal distribution by means of a random number generator and the first-order autocorrelation coefficient $\Phi$.

## f. Results of the Company's Monte Carlo Methodology: Peak Day

For each of the 3,000 synthetic heating seasons (October-May), the greatest EDD value was selected, with the minimum value of 52 EDD, the maximum value of 95 EDD, the mean value of 66.98 EDD and the standard deviation of 5.99 EDD. These statistics can be compared to the actual observed values from 1981-2005: the
minimum value of 55 EDD, the maximum value of 80 EDD, the mean value of 68 EDD and the standard deviation of 6.39 EDD.

Table 3 below lists the EDD values from 67 through 90, along with the number of occurrences exceeding each EDD value, and the probability of exceeding each EDD value, based on the synthetic dataset.

| Greatest Heating <br> Season EDD Value | Number of <br> Occurrences <br> Exceeding | Probability of <br> Exceeding |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 67 | 1,288 | 0.4293 |
| 68 | 1,088 | 0.3627 |
| 69 | 903 | 0.3010 |
| 70 | 769 | 0.2563 |
| 71 | 631 | 0.2103 |
| 72 | 503 | 0.1677 |
| 73 | 403 | 0.1343 |
| 74 | 323 | 0.1077 |
| 75 | 264 | 0.0880 |
| 76 | 207 | 0.0690 |
| 77 | 163 | 0.0543 |
| 78 | 125 | 0.0417 |
| 79 | 93 | 0.0310 |
| 80 | 74 | 0.0247 |
| 81 | 57 | 0.0190 |
| 82 | 43 | 0.0143 |
| 83 | 29 | 0.0097 |
| 84 | 24 | 0.0080 |
| 85 | 16 | 0.0053 |
| 86 | 11 | 0.0037 |
| 87 | 8 | 0.0027 |
| 88 | 3 | 0.0010 |
| 89 | 3 | 0.0010 |
| 90 | 3 | 0.0010 |

Table 3: Peak Day Results Generated From Synthetic Dataset

## g. Results of the Company's Monte Carlo Methodology: Peak Years

For each of the 3,000 synthetic years, the annual total EDDs were calculated, with the minimum value of 6,021 EDD, the maximum value of $8,081 \mathrm{EDD}$, the mean value of 7,079 EDD and the standard deviation of 291.29 EDD. These statistics can be compared to the actual observed calendar year values from 1981-2005: the minimum value of $6,450 \mathrm{EDD}$, the maximum value of $7,700 \mathrm{EDD}$, the mean value of $7,108 \mathrm{EDD}$ and the standard deviation of 332.38 EDD.

Table 4 below lists the EDD values from 7,100 through 8,300, along with the number of occurrences exceeding each EDD value, and the probability of exceeding each EDD value, based on the synthetic dataset.

| Greatest Annual <br> EDD Value | Number of <br> Occurrences <br> Exceeding | Probability of <br> Exceeding |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7,100 | 1,401 | 0.4670 |
| 7,200 | 989 | 0.3297 |
| 7,300 | 650 | 0.2167 |
| 7,400 | 396 | 0.1320 |
| 7,500 | 220 | 0.0733 |
| 7,600 | 113 | 0.0377 |
| 7,700 | 51 | 0.0170 |
| 7,800 | 15 | 0.0050 |
| 7,900 | 5 | 0.0017 |
| 8,000 | 3 | 0.0010 |
| 8,100 | 0 | 0.0000 |
| 8,200 | 0 | 0.0000 |
| 8,300 | 0 | 0.0000 |

Table 4: Peak Year Results Generated From Synthetic Dataset

The Company then proceeded to use the 'Probability of Exceeding' values from its synthetic dataset in its cost/benefit analyses of Design Day and Design Year determination.

## 2. Normal Year Standards

From Section III.C.1.g above, it was determined that the normal year is 7,079 EDD with a standard deviation of 291.29 EDD

EnergyNorth then prepared a "Typical Meteorological Year" (Chart III-E-1) by selecting, for each calendar month, the month in the Manchester, NH weather database that most closely approximated the average EDD and standard deviation for each month.

## 3. Design Year and Design Day Planning Standards

EnergyNorth's planning standards represent the defined weather conditions and consequent sendout requirement that must be met by the Company's resource portfolio. EnergyNorth's design year and design day standards are listed in Chart III-E-2.

Because EnergyNorth must demonstrate that there are adequate resources available to meet design conditions, while minimizing costs in a normal year, the Company periodically reassesses the appropriateness of these standards. As described below, the Company's analysis of the design year and design day standards demonstrate that these standards are appropriate.
(a) Design Day Standard

The purpose of a design day standard is to establish the amount of system-wide throughput (interstate pipeline and underground-storage capacity plus local supplemental capacity) that is required to maintain the integrity of the distribution system. In this filing, EnergyNorth defines its design day standard as 80.2 EDD with a probability of occurrence of once in 42.49 years.

EnergyNorth established its design day standard using a three-step process. First, the Company performed a statistical analysis of the coldest days derived from its Monte Carlo analysis. Second, the Company conducted a cost-benefit analysis to evaluate the cost of maintaining the resources necessary to meet design day demand versus the cost to customers of experiencing service curtailments. Third, the Company identified a design-day standard that would maintain reliability at the lowest cost.

For the first step, Section III.C.1.f (above), the Company identified the probability of occurrence of the coldest day of a heating season.

For the second step, EnergyNorth examined the cost of potential customer curtailments through a cost-benefit analysis. Chart III-E-3 shows the cumulative probability distribution and the frequency of occurrence of EDD levels greater than the mean peak day. Chart III-E-3 also shows, given the peak period heating coefficient of 1,463 MMBtu/EDD, the supply ("Delta Supply") required at these levels. The Company then translated these supply levels into the "Equivalent Number of Customers" that would be represented by a shortfall at a given EDD level. ${ }^{9}$

[^8]In the event of a service disruption, there are several types of damages that customers could experience. For example, EnergyNorth's residential customers would potentially incur re-light costs and freeze-up damages. EnergyNorth's commercial/industrial customers would potentially incur economic damages associated with the loss of production on the day of the event (which is further documented in Section III.E.2(b) - Design Year Standard).

There are three potential re-light cost values for three different building densities where the re-lights may occur: (1) congested areas; (2) moderately congested areas; and (3) non-congested areas. The re-lighting cost per establishment rises as the building density decreases to account for the increased time that is required to travel between establishments. The cost estimate for moderately congested areas was chosen as representative for EnergyNorth's planning standards.

EnergyNorth obtained a cost estimate for freeze-up damages from KeySpan's Risk Management Group. The current cost estimate of remodeling is $\$ 44,631 /$ customer. The Company made the assumption that, in the event of freeze-up damages, only a portion of a residence would require remodeling. This provides a range of possible outcomes, due to the uncertainty of what might occur in the event of such freeze-ups. Accordingly, the Company used this cost estimate to represent the cost of a full remodel, which was then adjusted to represent the portion of the residence requiring remodeling.

Given the ratio of $\mathrm{C} \& \mathrm{l}$ customers to the total number of customers at year-end 2005, EnergyNorth divided the "Equivalent Number of Customers" into the number of residential and C\&I customers. For the C\&I customers, the Company computed the
cost of the service disruption by multiplying the ratio of affected customers by the total number of C\&l customers by the estimated cost of one day's service disruption to EnergyNorth's entire group of C\&l customers. Since the actual number of residential customers that would suffer freeze-up damage in a real emergency is unknown, EnergyNorth analyzed three levels of damages assuming 25 percent, 50 percent, and 75 percent of potentially-affected residential customers suffer damages. The computed values for these three scenarios of probability-weighted costs of damages are presented in Chart III-E-4 and are shown graphically in Chart III-E-5.

Chart III-E-6 takes the EDD levels and the associated Delta Supply (i.e. the implicit supply shortfall - the EDDs above the mean peak day value times the overall heating increment) to estimate the costs associated with maintaining adequate deliverability at the EDD levels. The low-upgrade cost scenario is based on the cost of adding propane vaporization capacity and the high—upgrade cost scenario is based on the cost of adding 365-day interstate pipeline service (with many other potential options falling in between). This is shown graphically in Chart III-E-7. In Chart III-E-7, the cost of maintaining adequate throughput capacity and the benefit of avoiding damage costs that would be incurred in relation customer premises are compared.

The intersection of the curves sets a range of solutions for design day planning purposes from approximately 75 to 87 EDD with the center of the geometric shape located at 80.2 EDD. The Company then rounded this to the nearest integer value (80 EDD).

## (b) Design Year Standard

In this filing, EnergyNorth defines its design year standard as 7,680 EDD with a probability of occurrence of once in 47.32 years.

EnergyNorth maintains a design year standard for planning purposes to identify the amount of seasonal supplies of natural gas that will be required to provide continuous service under all reasonably anticipated weather conditions. If EnergyNorth were to have a shorffall in supply during the winter season, the amount of supply in deficit can be translated into an equivalent number of customers whose service would be disrupted for more than one day. For a supply disruption of a multi-day duration, service would be curtailed on a priority basis and would likely fall on commercial and industrial establishments before affecting the residential sector, since supply to the residential sector is more likely to involve health and personal safety concerns. To establish an estimated annual level of EDD for which EnergyNorth should plan, the Company compared the benefit of maintaining an adequate quantity of natural gas supply under all reasonably anticipated weather conditions to the probability-weighted cost of losses that might occur if supplies are not adequate.

EnergyNorth has established its design-year standard using a three-step process. First, the Company performed a statistical analysis of annual EDD data recorded over a historical period. Second, the Company conducted a cost-benefit analysis to evaluate the cost of maintaining the resources necessary to meet designyear demand versus the cost to customers of experiencing service curtailments. Third, the Company identified a design-year standard that would maintain reliability at the lowest cost.

To complete the first step in the process of determining EnergyNorth's designyear standard, the Company relied on the results of its Monte Carlo analysis as found in Section III.C.1.g above. To evaluate the design-year standard, EnergyNorth analyzed a range of annual EDD values from the mean value to 1,200 EDD greater than the mean.

To complete the second step in the development of the design-year standard, EnergyNorth performed a cost-benefit analysis by examining the cost of potential customer curtailments in relation to the cost of maintaining adequate supplies to meet the design-year standard. Because a failure to perform on a seasonal basis would mean that adequate supplies were not available to meet customer needs, EnergyNorth views the cost of failure to deliver as the economic penalty within the service territory associated with the need to curtail gas sales for a period of time. Service would be rationed among EnergyNorth customers for a number of days in order to preserve any remaining gas supplies. EnergyNorth estimated the potential losses based on the product of the potential economic cost per day of interruption, times the number of days of interruption.

To calculate this estimate of potential losses, EnergyNorth determined the average Gross State Product per day (GSP/day) for the state of New Hampshire for 2005 from data available from the U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis. The economic cost to EnergyNorth's customer base per day was then calculated on the basis of the total GSP/day. First, the value for the GSP/day for EnergyNorth's service territory was estimated by multiplying the GSP/day by the ratio of the number of employees within the service territory to the total number of employees within the state, based on 2005 employment data from the New Hampshire Economic and Labor Market Information

Bureau. Then, the value for the GSP/day in 2005 for EnergyNorth's customer base was estimated by multiplying the GSP/day figure for the EnergyNorth service territory by the estimated market share of natural gas in relation to all fuel types in the service territory.

To determine the number of days of interruption that a supply shorffall would represent, EnergyNorth analyzed its supply requirements at various EDD levels, assigned requirements to supply sources, and, using the average annual EDD as the baseline, estimated when supply sources would be in deficit, as well as the quantity and duration of such deficit.

EnergyNorth established a baseline of the normal annual EDD $(7,079)$ and then determined sendout requirements for the split year 2005/06 by assigning all sendout requirements below the daily deliverability of its Canadian and domestic long-haul pipeline capacity to pipeline supply; all requirements greater than its pipeline supply up to its underground storage deliverability to underground storage supplies; and all requirements above that to supplemental resources. EnergyNorth then analyzed the sendout requirements for EDD levels of 7,079 to 8,300 on 100 EDD increments. EnergyNorth computed these EDD scenarios by multiplying each of the days of its normal EDD days by the ratio of the desired annual total to 7,079 EDD. Using the same method of assignment of supply sources, EnergyNorth determined the annual shortfalls by supply source (Chart III-E-8).

Chart III-E-9 shows that the timing of when the shorffalls occur varies among the supply sources. Pipeline shortfalls occur late in the heating season. The underground storage and supplemental-resource shortfalls occur during the heating season. Chart

III-E-10 summarizes the EDD levels, the probabilities of occurrence, and the shortfall by supply type.

Analysis indicates that sendout for EnergyNorth during the heating season is 49 percent residential and 51 percent C\&I. In examining its calculations of shortfalls versus the daily sendout requirements to each of these customer classes, the total daily shortfall of underground storage and supplemental supplies at all EDD levels in this study can be assigned to C\&I customers. For each forecast day under each EDD scenario, the daily sendout requirement was multiplied by 51 percent to derive the $C \& /$ portion. If the day had a supply shortfall, the shortfall value was divided by the C\&l requirement to derive that day's fractional amount of EnergyNorth's C\&I customers that would suffer curtailment. Summing all of these values for a given EDD scenario, EnergyNorth determined the total number of day-equivalents of interruption. This value is less than or equal to the number of calendar days during which interruption occurred since not all days will have 100 percent interruption. Multiplying the number of dayequivalents by the GSP/day for the C\&l customer base yields an estimate of the economic damage that would occur. Chart III-E-11 lists the EDD levels, the probabilities of occurrence, the days of interruption, the cost of the interruption, the probability-weighted cost of the interruption, and the quantity of interrupted winter supply (underground storage and supplemental resources).

There are two damages scenarios presented here: one where 25 percent of the C\&I establishments are actually affected, and one where 75 percent of the establishments are affected. Chart III-E-11 also sets forth two scenarios of satisfying the deficit: a 365-day long-haul capacity contract based on the required incremental
throughput capacity, and a 365 -day short-haul capacity contract meeting the required incremental throughput capacity plus an underground storage contract with adequate capacity to meet the required incremental winter volume. Chart III-E-12 demonstrates that a planning range of 7,590 to 7,740 EDD, with the center of the geometric shape located at 7,680 EDD is appropriate.

## F. Forecasts of Design Year Customer Requirements By Year

In the fifth and final step of the Company's forecasting methodology set forth in Section III.A above, the Company uses the applicable design day and design year planning standards to determine the design day and design year sendout requirements. To accomplish this, the Company combines the 2005/06 reference-year sendout, which is derived from the regression analysis, with the annual incremental sendout forecast discussed in Section III.B, to yield the following forecast of customer requirements under design weather conditions:

## Base Case Demand Scenario Customer Requirements (MMBtu)

|  | $\underline{2006-07}$ | $\underline{2007-08}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{2 0 0 8 - 0 9}}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 1 0}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Heating Season | $10,451,700$ | $10,795,100$ | $10,946,700$ | $11,183,400$ | $11,452,000$ |
| Non-Heating Season | $\underline{4,089,700}$ | $\underline{4,232,000}$ | $\underline{4,350,800}$ | $\underline{4,475,400}$ | $\underline{4,617,800}$ |
| Total | $14,541,400$ | $15,027,100$ | $15,297,500$ | $15,658,800$ | $16,069,800$ |
| Per-Annum Growth | $3.3 \%$ | $1.8 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ | $2.6 \%$ |  |

High Case Demand Scenario Customer Requirements (MMBtu)
Heating Season
Non-Heating Season
Total
Per-Annum Growth

| $\underline{\mathbf{2 0 0 6 - 0 7}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{2 0 0 7}-08}$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| $10,764,700$ | $11,221,900$ |
| $\underline{4,264,200}$ | $\underline{4,469,300}$ |
| $15,028,900$ | $15,691,200$ |
|  | $4.4 \%$ |

## Low Case Demand Scenario Customer Requirements (MMBtu)

Heating Season<br>Non-Heating Season Total<br>Per-Annum Growth

| $\underline{\mathbf{2 0 0 6 - 0 7}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{2 0 0 7 - 0 8}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{2 0 0 8 - 0 9}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 1 0}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 1 1}}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1 0 , 1 2 3 , 2 0 0}$ | $10,358,400$ | $10,430,400$ | $10,582,000$ | $10,765,200$ |
| $\frac{3,904,200}{14,027,400}$ | $\frac{3,983,100}{14,341,500}$ | $\frac{4,051,700}{14,482,100}$ | $\underline{4,124,400}$ | $\underline{4,213,500}$ |
|  | $2.2 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ | $1.9 \%$ |

## KeySpan Sendout Requirements Forecast

EnergyNorth Natural Gas, Inc.
2006/07-2010/11 Base Case

| Normal Weather | 2006/07 | 2007/08 | 2008/09 | 2009/10 | 2010/11 | Average Increment Or Percent | Total Increment Or Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sendout (MMBtu) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential | 5,804,058 | 6,012,112 | 6,136,364 | 6,253,751 | 6,387,670 | 145,903 | 583,612 |
| Commercial \& Industrial | 7,450,242 | 7,695,788 | 7,832,536 | 8,056,549 | 8,311,430 | $\underline{215,297}$ | 861,188 |
| Traditional Market | 13,254,300 | 13,707,900 | 13,968,900 | 14,310,300 | 14,699,100 | 361,200 | 1,444,800 |
| NGV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Seasonal | 0 | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | 0 | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ |
| Total | 13,254,300 | 13,707,900 | 13,968,900 | 14,310,300 | 14,699,100 | 361,200 | 1,444,800 |
| Growth Rate (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential |  | 3.58\% | 2.07\% | 1.91\% | 2.14\% | 2.43\% | 9.71\% |
| Commercial \& Industrial |  | 3.30\% | 1.78\% | 2.86\% | 3.16\% | 2.77\% | 11.10\% |
| Traditional Market |  | 3.42\% | 1.90\% | 2.44\% | 2.72\% | 2.62\% | 10.49\% |
| NGV |  | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| Seasonal |  | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| Total |  | 3.42\% | 1.90\% | 2.44\% | 2.72\% | 2.62\% | 10.49\% |


| Average |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Design Weather | $2006 / 07$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7 / 0 8}$ | $\underline{2008 / 09}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 / 1 0}$ | Total <br> Increment <br> Increment |  |
| Sendout (MMBtu) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential Percent OrPercent |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Residential | 3.50\% | 1.96\% | 183\% | 205\% | 234\% | 9.35\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commercial \& Industrial | 3.21\% | 1.67\% | 2.78\% | 3.07\% | 2.68\% | 10.73\% |
| Traditional Market | 3.34\% | 1.80\% | 2.36\% | 2.62\% | 2.53\% | 10.13\% |
| NGV | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| Seasonal | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| Total | 3.34\% | 1.80\% | 2.36\% | 2.62\% | 2.53\% | 10.13\% |

## EnergyNorth Natural Gas, Inc.

d/b/a KeySpan Energy Delivery New England
Demand Projections

## Base Case

2006-2010 (MMBtu)

TOTAL ANNUAL LOAD ADDITIONS (2006-2010)
2006 FORECAST
Annual
Average

EnergyNorth Natural Gas, Inc. d/b/a KeySpan Energy Delivery New England Demand Projections Base Case vs. Low Case and High Case

2006-2010
(MMBtu)
TOTAL ANNUAL LOAD ADDITIONS (2006-2010)
2006 FORECAST

|  |  | 2007-2008 | 2008-2009 | 2009-2010 | 2010-2011 | Total | Annual Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NET ANNUAL ADDITIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Case vs Low Case |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Base Case |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Residential | 174,844 | 152,043 | 115,109 | 131,251 | 573,247 | 143,312 |
|  | Commercial/Industrial | 206,351 | 167,333 | 219,666 | 249,803 | 843.153 | 210,788 |
|  | Traditional Total | 381,195 | 319,376 | 334,775 | 381,054 | 1,416,400 | 354,100 |
|  | Low Case |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Residential | 161,170 | 140,073 | 100,844 | \{13,637 | 515,723 | 128,931 |
|  | Commercialifndustria! | 62,664 | 55,312 | 106,050 | 137,571 | 361,599 | 90,400 |
|  | Traditional Total | 223,834 | 195,385 | 206,894 | 251,208 | 877,322 | 219,330 |
|  | Difference (Base vs. Low) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Residential | 13,674 | 11,970 | 14,266 | 17,615 | 57,524 | 14,381 |
|  | Commercial/Industrial | 143,687 | 112,021 | 113,516 | 112,231 | 481,554 | 120,389 |
|  | Traditional Total | 157,360 | 123,991 | 127,881 | 129,846 | 539,078 | 134,770 |
|  | Difference as \% of Base Case |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Residential | 7.82\% | 7.87\% | 12.39\% | 13.42\% | 10.03\% | 10.03\% |
|  | Commercial/industrial | 69.63\% | 66.94\% | 51.72\% | 44.93\% | 57.11\% | 57.11\% |
|  | Traditional Total | 41.28\% | 38.82\% | 38.20\% | 34.08\% | 38.06\% | 38.06\% |
| Base Case vs High Case |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Base Case |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Residential | 174,844 | 152,043 | 115,109 | 131,251 | 573,247 | 143,312 |
|  | Commercial/Industrial | 206,351 | 167,333 | 219,666 | 249,803 | 843,153 | 210,788 |
|  | Traditional Total | 381,195 | 319,376 | 334,775 | 381,054 | 1,416,400 | 354,100 |
|  | High Case |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Residential | 190,133 | 165,488 | 131,184 | 151,023 | 637,828 | 159,457 |
|  | Commercial/Industrial | 353.008 | 282,460 | 336,395 | 365,553 | 1,337,415 | 334,354 |
|  | Traditional Total | 543,140 | 447,948 | 467.580 | 516,576 | 1,975,243 | 493,811 |
|  | Base vs. High |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Residential | $(15,289)$ | $(13,445)$ | $(16,075)$ | $(19,772)$ | $(64,581)$ | $(16,145)$ |
|  | Commercial/industrial | $(146,656)$ | $(115,127)$ | $(116,729)$ | $(115,750)$ | (494,262) | (123,566) |
|  | Traditional Total | $(161,946)$ | $(128,572)$ | $(132,804)$ | $(135,522)$ | $(558,843)$ | (139,711) |
|  | \% of Base Case |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Residential | -8.74\% | -8.84\% | -13.97\% | -15.06\% | -11.27\% | -11.27\% |
|  | Commercial/Industrial | -71.07\% | -68.80\% | -53.14\% | -46.34\% | -58.62\% | -58.62\% |
|  | Traditional Total | -42.48\% | -40.26\% | -39.67\% | -35.56\% | -39.46\% | -39.46\% |

EnergyNorth Natural Gas, Inc. d/b/a KeySpan Energy Delivery New England Demand Projections

High Case
2006-2010
(MMBtu)
TOTAL ANNUAL LOAD ADDITIONS (2006-2010) 2006 FORECAST

2007-2008 2008-2009 2009-2010 2010-2011 Total | Annual |
| :---: |
| Average |

NET ANNUAL ADDITIONS

| Residential | 214,138 | 189,493 | 155,189 | 175,028 | 733,848 | 183,462 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DSM Reduction | $(24,005)$ | $(24,005)$ | $(24,005)$ | $(24,005)$ | $(96,020)$ | $(24,005)$ |
| Total Residential | 190,133 | 165,488 | 131,184 | 151,023 | 637,828 | 159,457 |
| Commercial/Industrial | 406,576 | 336,028 | 389,963 | 419,121 | 1,551,687 | 387,922 |
| DSM Reduction | $(53,568)$ | $(53,568)$ | $(53,568)$ | $(53,568)$ | $(214,272)$ | $(53,568)$ |
| Total Commercial/Industrial | 353,008 | 282,460 | 336,395 | 365,553 | 1,337,415 | 334,354 |
| Traditional Total | 543,140 | 447,948 | 467,580 | 516,576 | 1,975,243 | 493,811 |
| Natural Gas Vehicles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Seasonal Firm Contracts | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL NET | 543,140 | 447,948 | 467,580 | 516,576 | 1,975,243 | 493,811 |

EnergyNorth Natural Gas, Inc. d/b/a KeySpan Energy Delivery New England Demand Projections<br>Low Case<br>2006-2010<br>(MMBtu)

TOTAL ANNUAL LOAD ADDITIONS (2006-2010) 2006 FORECAST

2007-2008 2008-2009 2009-2010 2010-2011 Total | Annual |
| ---: |
| Average |

NET ANNUAL ADDITIONS

| Residential DSM Reduction | $\begin{aligned} & 185,175 \\ & (24,005) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 164,078 \\ & (24,005) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 124,849 \\ & (24,005) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 137,642 \\ & (24,005) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 611,743 \\ & (96,020) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 152,936 \\ (24,005) \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Residential | 161,170 | 140,073 | 100,844 | 113,637 | 515,723 | 128,931 |
| Commercial/Industrial | 116,232 | 108,880 | 159,618 | 191,139 | 575,871 | 143,968 |
| DSM Reduction | $(53,568)$ | $(53,568)$ | $(53,568)$ | $(53,568)$ | $(214,272)$ | $(53,568)$ |
| Total Commercial/Industrial | 62,664 | 55,312 | 106,050 | 137,571 | 361,599 | 90,400 |
| Traditional Total | 223,834 | 195,385 | 206,894 | 251,208 | 877,322 | 219,330 |
| Natural Gas Vehicles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Seasonal Firm Contracts | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL NET | 223,834 | 195,385 | 206,894 | 251,208 | 877,322 | 219,330 |




Underdelivenes are imbalances where marketer has been assessed a penalty charge for underdeliveries outside
of the respective peak season tolerances. There were no penalties assessed for underdeliveries during Critical Day/OFO periods.

KeySpan Energy Delivery
Energy North
Marketer Underdeliveries
Peak Season Periods
Nov 04 - Mar 05
(MMBtu)

| Marketer: | Daily Metered Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Non-Daily Metered Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | Total UnderDeliv | Total <br> Markoter <br> Delivaries | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Imbalanca } \end{gathered}$ | A | B | C | D | $E$ | F | G | Total UnderDeliv | Total <br> Marketer <br> Dellveries | \% <br> Imbalance |
| Imbaiance Date |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11/9/2004 | n/a | n/a | 0. | 1 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 73 | 6,959 | 1.05\% | n/a | n/a | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Na | n/a |
| 1215/2004 | n/a | n/a | 34 | 57 | 228 | 33 | 0 | 352 | 5,275 | 6.67\% | n/a | N/a | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Na | n/a |
| 12/7/2004 | n/a | n/a | 5 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 6,395 | 0.83\% | n/a | Na | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n/a | n/a |
| 12/20/2004 | n/a | n/a | 0 | 39 | 0 | 105 | 0 | 144 | 7,697 | 1.87\% | n/a | n/a | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Na | nfa |
| 12/21/2004 | n/a | n/a | 0 | 62 | 0 | 79 | 0 | 141 | 7,206 | 1.96\% | n/a | ra | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Na | n/a |
| 1/12/2005 | n/a | n/a | 0 | 46 | 237 | 0 | 0 | 283 | 5,834 | 4.85\% | Na | N/a | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n/a | n/a |
| 1/31/2005 | n/a | n/a | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 6,895 | 0.58\% | n/a | n/a | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n/a | n/a |
| Total Nov 04 - Mar 05 | n/a | n/a | 39 | 253 | 577 | 217 | 0 | 1,086 | 46,261 | 2.35\% | n/a | n/a | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n/a | n/a |

Underdeliveries are imbalances where marketer has been assessed a penalty charge for underdeliveries outside
of the respective peak season tolerances. There were no penalties assessed for underdeliveries during Critical Day/OFO periods.

## KoySpan Energy Delivery

Energy North
Marketer Undardeliveries
Peak Season Periods
Nov 05 - Mar 06
(MMBtu)

| Marketer: | Daily Metared Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Non-Daily Metered Sorvice |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | B | C | D | E | F | G | Total UnderDeliv | Total <br> Marketer <br> Delivaries | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Imbalance } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | Total UnderDeliv | Total <br> Marketer <br> Deliveries | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Imbalance } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Imbalance Date |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11/2/2005 | n/a | n/a | 0 | 68 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 68 | 6,758 | 1.01\% | n/a | na | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n/a | n/a |
| 11/11/2005 | n/a | n/a | 0 | 69 | 0 | 0 | 0. | 69 | 6,232 | 1.11\% | nia | Na | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n/a | n/a |
| 11/12/2005 | n/a | n/a | 0 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 4,430 | 1.11\% | n/a | ra | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n/a | n/a |
| 11/24/2005 | n/a | n/a | 0 | 152 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 152 | 4,039 | 3.76\% | n/a | na | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n/a | n/a |
| 11/25/2005 | n/a | n/a | 0 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 4,779 | 0.90\% | n/a | n/ ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n/a | n/a |
| 12/4/2005 | n/a | n/a | 3 | 129 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 139 | 5,822 | 2.39\% | $n / a$ | no | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n/a | n/a |
| 12/31/2005 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{3}$ | n/a | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 16 | 4,595 | 0.35\% | n/a | nja | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $n / 3$ | n/a |
| 1/1/2006 | $n / a$ | n/a | 0 | 0 | 0 | 432 | 0 | 432 | 3,830 | 11.28\% | no | n/a | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | n/a |
| 1/15/2006 | n/a | n/a | 10 | 58 | 0 | 210 | 33 | 311 | 5,637 | 5.52\% | $n / a$ | n/a | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n/a | n/a |
| 2/18/2006 | $n / 2$ | n/a | 0 | 0 | 0 | 825 | 0 | 825 | 4,624 | 17.84\% | n/a | n/a | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n/a | n/a |
| 2/28/2006 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | n/a | 0 | 68 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 68 | 7,140 | 0.95\% | n/a | nia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n/a | n/a |
| 3/30/2006 | n/a | n/a | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1,703 | 0.06\% |
| Total Nov 04 - Mar 05 | n/a | n/a | 13 | 636 | 7 | 1.483 | 33 | 2,172 | 57,886 | 3.75\% | n/a | n/a | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 ] | 1,703 | 0.06\% |

Underdeliveries are imbalances where marketer has been assessed a penatty charge for underdeliveries outside
of the respective peak season tolerances. There were no penalties assessed for underdeliveries during Critical Day/OFO periods.

# Functional Form of Regression Equation 

Coefficient

Firm Sendout $=f\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { Base Load, } \\ \\ \text { September EDD, } \\ \text { October EDD, } \\ \\ \text { November EDD, } \\ \text { December EDD, } \\ \\ \text { January EDD, } \\ \\ \text { February EDD, } \\ \\ \text { March EDD, } \\ \\ \text { April EDD, } \\ \\ \text { May EDD, } \\ \\ \text { June EDD, } \\ \\ \text { Lagged EDD, } \\ \\ \text { Weekend Dummy })\end{array}\right.$

In the regression equation, the units of the coefficients are in MMBtu/day for the Base Load and the Weekend Dummy and in MMBtu/EDD for the EDD-related variables.

## Chart III-C-2

## Regression Coefficients for KeySpan

| Coefficient | EnergyNorth |
| :--- | ---: |
|  |  |
| Base Load | $9,446.702$ |
| September EDD | 349.568 |
| October EDD | 896.779 |
| November EDD | $1,100.642$ |
| December EDD | $1,259.716$ |
| January EDD | $1,264.454$ |
| February EDD | $1,251.669$ |
| March EDD | $1,180.541$ |
| April EDD | 926.163 |
| May EDD | 793.901 |
| June EDD | 404.185 |
| Lagged EDD | 216.750 |
| Weekend Dummy | $-2,264.001$ |
|  |  |
| R-squared | 0.990 |
| Std Error of the Equation | $2,483.750$ |

# Average Monthly EDD and Average of Monthly Standard Deviations <br> For The <br> Manchester, NH Weather Site 

|  | EDD | Standard <br> Deviation |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| January | 1,348 | 11.0 |
| February | 1,106 | 10.2 |
| March | 977 | 9.5 |
| April | 601 | 8.0 |
| May | 310 | 6.0 |
| June | 83 | 3.5 |
| July | 19 | 1.3 |
| August | 39 | 2.1 |
| September | 163 | 5.0 |
| October | 504 | 7.4 |
| November | 780 | 9.0 |
| December | $\underline{1,149}$ | 9.7 |
| Total | 7,079 |  |

# Design Year and Design Day Criteria 

Manchester, NH<br>Weather Site<br>Design Year EDD 7,680<br>Frequency of Occurrence<br>Design Day EDD<br>80.2<br>Frequency of Occurrence<br>1/42.49 years

EnergyNorth Natural Gas, Inc. 2006 Integrated Resource Plan

## Assumptions:

| Mean Peak Day $=$ | 67.0 EDD |
| :--- | :---: |
| Std Dev Peak Day $=$ | 6.0 EDD |
| Heating increment $=$ | $1,463 \mathrm{MMB}$ 价/EDD |
| No. of Firm Customers $=$ | 80,303 |


| EDD Level | Cumulative <br> Probability <br> Of <br> Occurrence <br> (p) | Probability Of Exceeding (1-p) | Frequency of Occurrence 1/(1-p) (years) | EDD Excess | Delta Supply (MMBtu) | Requirements Of An Average Customer At EDD Level (MMBtu/cust) | Equivalent Number of Customers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 67.0 |  | 0.4293 | 2.33 | 0.0 | 23 | 1.22 | 19 |
| 68.0 |  | 0.3627 | 2.76 | 1.0 | 1.487 | 1.24 | 1,200 |
| 69.0 |  | 0.3010 | 3.32 | 2.0 | 2.950 | 1.26 | 2,346 |
| 70.0 |  | 0.2563 | 3.90 | 3.0 | 4,413 | 1.28 | 3,460 |
| 71.0 |  | 0.2103 | 4.75 | 4.0 | 5.877 | 1.29 | 4,542 |
| 72.0 |  | 0.1677 | 5.96 | 5.0 | 7,340 | 1.31 | 5,594 |
| 73.0 |  | 0.1343 | 7.44 | 6.0 | 8,803 | 1.33 | 6.618 |
| 74.0 |  | 0.1077 | 9.29 | 7.0 | 10,266 | 1.35 | 7,614 |
| 75.0 |  | 0.0880 | 11.36 | 8.0 | 11.730 | 1.37 | 8,583 |
| 76.0 |  | 0.0690 | 14.49 | 9.0 | 13,193 | 1.38 | 9.526 |
| 77.0 |  | 0.0543 | 18.40 | 10.0 | 14,656 | 1.40 | 10.446 |
| 78.0 |  | 0.0417 | 24.00 | 11.0 | 16,120 | 1.42 | 11,341 |
| 79.0 |  | 0.0310 | 32.26 | 12.0 | 17.583 | 1.44 | 12,214 |
| 80.0 |  | 0.0247 | 40.54 | 13.0 | 19,046 | 1.46 | 13,065 |
| 81.0 |  | 0.0190 | 52.63 | 14.0 | 20,509 | 1.48 | 13,895 |
| 82.0 |  | 0.0143 | 69.77 | 15.0 | 21,973 | 1.49 | 14,705 |
| 83.0 |  | 0.0097 | 103.45 | 16.0 | 23,436 | 1.51 | 15,496 |
| 84.0 |  | 0.0080 | 125.00 | 17.0 | 24,899 | 1.53 | 16,267 |
| 85.0 |  | 0.0053 | 187.50 | 18.0 | 26,363 | 1.55 | 17,020 |
| 86.0 |  | 0.0037 | 272.73 | 19.0 | 27,826 | 1.57 | 17,756 |
| 87.0 |  | 0.0027 | 375.00 | 20.0 | 29,289 | 1.59 | 18,475 |
| 88.0 |  | 0.0010 | 1000.00 | 21.0 | 30,753 | 1.60 | 19,178 |
| 89.0 |  | 0.0010 | 1000.00 | 22.0 | 32,216 | 1.62 | 19,865 |
| 90.0 |  | 0.0010 | 1000.00 | 23.0 | 33,679 | 1.64 | 20.536 |
| 80.2 |  | 0.0235 | 42.49 | (EDD Level MINUS Mean Peak) | (EDD Excess TIMES Heating Increment) (MMBtu) | (Heating increment DIVIDED BY No. of Firm Customers times EDD Level) | (Delta Supply DIVIDED BY Requirements of Average Customer) |

EnergyNorth Natural Gas, Inc.
2006 Integrated Resource Plan
Assumptions:

| Mean Peak Day = | 67.0 EDD |
| :--- | :---: |
| Std Dev Peak Day = | 6.0 EDD |
|  |  |
| Heating Increment $=$ | 1,463 MMBtu/EDD |
| No. of Firm Customers = | 80,303 |
| GDP Deflator (1991-2005) $=$ | 1.35 |


|  | 1991 dollars | 2005 dollars |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Relight Costs $=$ |  | \$80.01 icustomer |
| ```Freeze-Up Damages = Total =``` | \$33,000.00 /customer | $\$ 44,631.19$ /customer \$44,711.20 /customer |
| Year-End 2005: |  |  |
| Comm/ind Customers | 9,640 |  |
| Total Customers | 80,303 |  |
| Percent C\&I of Total | 12.0\% |  |
| Cost of Interruption/Day = | \$27,039,948 |  |


| EDD Level | Probability Of <br> Exceeding $(1-p)$ | Equivalent Number of Customers | Residential Customers | Comm/Ind Customers | Cost Of Interruption to Comm/ind Customers | Probability-Weighted Cost Of Damages Given X\% of Residential Customers With Damages PLUS Cost of interruption to Commind Customers (2005 dollars) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 25\% | 50\% | 75\% |
| 67.0 | 0.4293 | 19 | 17 | 2 | \$6,457 | 83.754 | 164,736 | 245,718 |
| 68.0 | 0.3627 | 1,200 | 1,056 | 144 | \$404,009 | 4,426,492 | 8,706,463 | 12,986,435 |
| 69.0 | 0.3010 | 2,346 | 2,065 | 282 | \$790,037 | 7,184,146 | 14.130,491 | 21,076,837 |
| 70.0 | 0.2563 | 3,460 | 3,045 | 415 | \$1,165,035 | 9,022,058 | 17,745,478 | 26,468,899 |
| 71.0 | 0.2103 | 4,542 | 3.997 | 545 | \$1,529,471 | 9,718,756 | 19,115,814 | 28,512,871 |
| 72.0 | 0.1677 | 5,594 | 4,923 | 672 | \$1,883,783 | 9,541,991 | 18,768,134 | 27,994,277 |
| 73.0 | 0.1343 | 6,618 | 5.823 | 794 | \$2,228,388 | 9,043,488 | 17,787,630 | 26,531,772 |
| 74.0 | 0.1077 | 7.614 | 6,700 | 914 | \$2,563,679 | 8,338,854 | 16,401,685 | 24,464,516 |
| 75.0 | 0.0880 | 8,583 | 7,552 | 1,030 | \$2,890,030 | 7,683,274 | 15,112,226 | 22.541.178 |
| 76.0 | 0.0690 | 9,526 | 8,383 | 1.144 | \$3,207,792 | 6,686,774 | 13,152,210 | 19,647.646 |
| 77.0 | 0.0543 | 10.446 | 9,192 | 1,254 | \$3,517,300 | 5,773,473 | 11,355,840 | 16,938,207 |
| 78.0 | 0.0417 | 11,341 | 9,980 | 1,361 | \$3,818,873 | 4,807,124 | 9,455,128 | 14,103,132 |
| 79.0 | 0.0310 | 12.214 | 10,748 | 1,466 | \$4,112,810 | 3,851,782 | 7,576,068 | 11,300,353 |
| 80.0 | 0.0247 | 13,065 | 11,497 | 1,568 | \$4,399,399 | 3,278,425 | 6.448,331 | 9,618,238 |
| 81.0 | 0.0190 | 13.895 | 12,227 | 1,668 | \$4,678,912 | 2,685,715 | 5,282,530 | 7,879,346 |
| 82.0 | 0.0143 | 14,705 | 12,940 | 1.765 | \$4,951,608 | 2,144,148 | 4,217,324 | 6,290.499 |
| 83.0 | 0.0097 | 15,496 | 13,635 | 1,860 | \$5,217,733 | 1,523,772 | 2,997,106 | 4,470,439 |
| 84.0 | 0.0080 | 16,267 | 14,314 | 1.953 | \$5,477,521 | 1,323,840 | 2,603,859 | 3,883,879 |
| 85.0 | 0.0053 | 17,020 | 14,977 | 2,043 | \$5,731,196 | 923,433 | 1,816,300 | 2,709,166 |
| 86.0 | 0.0037 | 17,756 | 15,625 | 2.132 | \$5,978,973 | 662,307 | 1,302,691 | 1,943,075 |
| 87.0 | 0.0027 | 18,475 | 16,257 | 2,218 | \$6,221,053 | 501,180 | 985,771 | 1.470,362 |
| 88.0 | 0.0010 | 19,178 | 16,876 | 2,302 | \$6,457.631 | 195,090 | 383.722 | 572,354 |
| 89.0 | 0.0010 | 19.865 | 17,480 | 2,385 | \$6,688,893 | 202,076 | 397,464 | 592,851 |
| 90.0 | 0.0010 | 20,536 | 18,071 | 2.465 | \$6,915,016 | 208,908 | 410,901 | 612,893 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | (Proba <br> [Comm/l <br> No. Of Resident | Excoeding of Interrupti omers TIME mage Costs] | S <br> nt TIMES |

## Chart III-E-5

## Probability-Weighted Damage Costs



EnergyNorth Natural Gas, Inc. 2006 Integrated Resource Plan
Assumptions:

| Mean Peak Day = | 67.0 EDD |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Std Dev Peak Day = | 6.0 EDD |  |  |
| GDP Deflator (1994-2005) = | 1.26 |  |  |
|  | 1994 d | ollars | 2005 dollars |
| Cost of Add'I Propane Capacity $=$ Cost of New Pipeline Capacity = | \$43.86 | /MMBtu /MMBtu | $\$ 55.40$ /MMBtu $\$ 558.52$ /MMBtu |


| EDD ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | Low Upgrade Costs Case | High Upgrade Costs Case |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Delta Supply (MMBtu) | Propane Capacity Costs | Pipeline Capacity Costs |
| 67.0 | 23 | \$1,297 | \$13,076 |
| 68.0 | 1,487 | \$82,357 | \$830,358 |
| 69.0 | 2,950 | \$163,417 | \$1,647,639 |
| 70.0 | 4,413 | \$244,477 | \$2,464,920 |
| 71.0 | 5,877 | \$325,537 | \$3,282,201 |
| 72.0 | 7,340 | \$406,596 | \$4,099,483 |
| 73.0 | 8,803 | \$487,656 | \$4,916,764 |
| 74.0 | 10,266 | \$568,716 | \$5,734,045 |
| 75.0 | 11,730 | \$649,776 | \$6,551,326 |
| 76.0 | 13,193 | \$730,836 | \$7,368,608 |
| 77.0 | 14,656 | \$811,896 | \$8,185,889 |
| 78.0 | 16,120 | \$892,956 | \$9,003,170 |
| 79.0 | 17,583 | \$974,016 | \$9,820,451 |
| 80.0 | 19,046 | \$1,055,076 | \$10,637,732 |
| 81.0 | 20,509 | \$1,136,136 | \$11,455,014 |
| 82.0 | 21,973 | \$1,217,196 | \$12,272,295 |
| 83.0 | 23,436 | \$1,298,255 | \$13,089,576 |
| 84.0 | 24,899 | \$1,379,315 | \$13,906,857 |
| 85.0 | 26,363 | \$1,460,375 | \$14,724,139 |
| 86.0 | 27,826 | \$1,541,435 | \$15,541,420 |
| 87.0 | 29,289 | \$1,622,495 | \$16,358,701 |
| 88.0 | 30,753 | \$1,703,555 | \$17,175,982 |
| 89.0 | 32,216 | \$1,784,615 | \$17,993,264 |
| 90.0 | 33,679 | \$1,865,675 | \$18,810,545 |

## Probability-Weighted Damage Costs vs System Upgrade Costs EnergyNorth




## Chart III-E-9

## EnergyNorth Natural Gas, Inc.

 2006 Integrated Resource PlanPipeline Shortfall At EDD Level Above 7,079 Normal Annual EDD By Month

|  | Annual EDD Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 7,077 | 7.100 | 7.200 | 7,300 | 7.400 | 7.500 | 7.600 | 7.700 | 7.800 | 7.900 | 8.000 | 8.100 | 8.200 | 8,300 |
| Nov | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Dec | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Jan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Feb | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mar | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Apr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| May | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Jun | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Jul | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Aug | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sep | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Oct | 0 | 2,745 | 15,696 | 28,357 | 40,341 | 52,180 | 63.896 | 75,163 | 86.174 | 97.185 | 108,196 | 119,207 | 129,989 | 140,221 |
| Total | 0 | 2,745 | 15,696 | 28.357 | 40.341 | 52.180 | 63,896 | 75,163 | 86,174 | 97,185 | 108,196 | 119,207 | 129,989 | $140.22\}$ |

Storage Shortfall At EDD Level Above 7.079 Normal Annual EDD By Month

|  | Annual EDD Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 7,077 | 7,100 | 7.200 | 7,300 | 7,400 | 7.500 | 7,600 | 7.700 | 7,800 | 7,900 | 8,000 | 8,100 | 8,200 | 8.300 |
| Nov | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Dec | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Jan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Feb | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mar | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35,911 | 108.441 | 179,254 | 249,827 | 319.477 | 388.415 | 455.501 | 519.663 | 583,343 | 645.958 |
| Apr | 0 | 0 | 30,687 | 114,890 | 163.132 | 171.303 | 179,568 | 188,008 | 196,447 | 204,886 | 213,325 | 221,765 | 230.204 | 238.643 |
| May | 0 | 0 | 0 | 144 | 423 | 703 | 983 | 1,269 | 1.812 | 2.355 | 2.898 | 3,441 | 4.129 | 5,202 |
| Jun | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Jul | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Aug | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sep | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Oct | 0 | 18,834 | 77,102 | 81,888 | 87.037 | 92,232 | 97,427 | 102,622 | 107,817 | 113.011 | 118,206 | 123,401 | 128.596 | 133.797 |
| Total | 0 | 18,834 | 107,789 | 196,922 | 286,503 | 372,679 | 457,232 | 541,725 | 625.552 | 708,667 | 789.930 | 868.269 | 946.271 | 1.023,592 |

Supplementals Shortfall At EDD Level Above 7,079 Normal Annual EDD By Month

|  | Annual EDD Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 7.077 | 7,100 | 7.200 | 7.300 | 7,400 | 7.500 | 7,600 | 7,700 | 7.800 | 7.900 | 8,000 | 8,100 | 8.200 | 8.300 |
| Nov | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Dec | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Jan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13.375 | 35,735 | 58,601 | 82,391 | 106.528 | 131,727 | 159,248 | 187.010 | 215.461 |
| Feb | 0 | 411 | 28,579 | 56,861 | 85,371 | 104,056 | 115,503 | 126.951 | 138.399 | 150.210 | 162.557 | 174,903 | 187,250 | 199,596 |
| Mar | 0 | 5.762 | 7.833 | 9,904 | 11.976 | 14,047 | 16.118 | 18,190 | 20,261 | 22,332 | 24,660 | 27.589 | 30.842 | 34,639 |
| Apr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| May | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Jun | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Jul | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Aug | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sep | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Oct | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 0 | 6.172 | 36,412 | 66.765 | 97.347 | 131.478 | 167.356 | 203.742 | 241,050 | 279.074 | 318,944 | 361,740 | 405,103 | 449,697 |

## Chart III-E-10

EnergyNorth Natural Gas, Inc.

## 2006 Integrated Resource Plan

## Assumptions:

| Mean Annual EDD $=$ | 7,079 EDD |
| :--- | :---: |
| Std Dev Annual EDD $=$ | 291.29 EDD |
|  |  |
| Heating Increment $=$ | 1,463 MMBtu/EDD |
| No. of Firm Customers $=$ | 80,303 |



| EnergyNorth Natural Gas, Inc. 2006 Integrated Resource Plan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assumptions: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean Annual EDD $=$ | 7,079.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Std Dev Annual EDO $=$ | 291.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost of Interruption/Day $=$ | \$27,039,948 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supply Cost | \$7.500 S/MMBtu |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Long-Haul Capacity Cost | \$583.58 \$/MMBtu |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Short-Haul Capacity Cost | \$70.680 \$/MMBtu |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage D1 Cost | \$13.800 S/MMBtu$\mathbf{\$ 0 . 2 2 2}$ S/MMBtu |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage D2 Cost |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Costs in 2005 Dollars |  |  |  | Costs in 2005 Doilars |  |
|  | Probability <br> Or <br> Occurrence <br> (p) | Probability <br> Of <br> Exceeding <br> (1-p) | Frequency of Occurrence 1/(1-p) (years) | Days of Interruption | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Cost of } \\ 25 \% \\ \text { Interruption } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Prob Wghted Cost | Required Incremental Capacity (MMBtu) | Required Incremental Winter Volume (MMBtu) | Short-Haul Supply Cost | Long-Haul Supply Cost |
| 7,100 |  | 0.4670 | 2.14 | 1 | \$7.619,357 | \$3.558.240 | 124 | 25,006 | \$203,615 | \$260,199 |
| 7,200 |  | 0.3297 | 3.03 | 6 | \$42,372.049 | \$13,968.652 | 719 | 144.201 | \$1,174,221 | \$1,500,825 |
| 7.300 |  | 0.2167 | 4.62 | 11 | \$76,929,747 | \$16.668,112 | 1,314 | 283.687 | \$2,147.194 | \$2,744,453 |
| 7,400 |  | 0.1320 | 7.58 | 16 | \$110.152.494 | \$14.540.129 | 1.911 | 383,849 | \$3.125.527 | \$3,994.098 |
| 7.500 |  | 0.0733 | 13.64 | 20 | \$138.479,257 | \$10,155,146 | 2,510 | 504,457 | \$4,105,105 | \$5,245,690 |
| 7.600 |  | 0.0377 | 26.55 | 25 | \$165,855,802 | \$6,247,235 | 3,110 | 624,588 | \$5,085,818 | \$6,499,462 |
| 7.700 |  | 0.0170 | 58.82 | 29 | \$193,409,949 | \$3,287,969 | 3.713 | 745,467 | \$6,070,185 | \$7,757,960 |
| 7,800 |  | 0.0050 | 200.00 | 32 | \$217,335,205 | \$1,086,676 | 4,318 | 866,602 | \$7,056,657 | \$9.019.209 |
| 7.900 |  | 0.0017 | 600.00 | 36 | \$240,357,380 | \$400,596 | 4,922 | 987,738 | \$8,043.129 | \$10,280,458 |
| 8,000 |  | 0.0010 | 1000.00 | 39 | \$261,000,226 | \$261,000 | 5.526 | $1,108.874$ | \$9,029,582 | \$11.541,581 |
| 8,100 |  | 0.0000 | 100000.00 | 42 | \$281.139,916 | \$2,811 | 6,129 | 1230.009 | \$10,015,876 | \$12,801,602 |
| 8.200 |  | 0.0000 | 100000.0 | 44 | \$300,089,449 | \$3,001 | 6.728 | 1,351,374 | \$11.003.680 | \$14,061,557 |
| 8,300 |  | 0.0000 | 100000.00 | 47 | \$318,033,986 | \$3,180 | 7.322 | 1,473,288 | \$11,995,331 | \$15,322,887 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Days Of Interruption times Cost of Interruption/Day | Cost of Interruption times Prob. of Exceeding |  |  | (incremental Vol tumes Supply+D2 Costs) + (Incr Capacity times Shori-Hault D1 Costs) | (Incremental Vol times Supply Cost $)+($ Incr Capacity times Long-haul Cost) |
|  |  |  |  |  | Cost of 75\% | Prob Wghted |  |  |  |  |
| EDD Level |  |  |  |  | Interruption | Cost |  |  |  |  |
| 7.100 |  |  |  |  | \$22,858,072 | \$10,674,720 |  |  |  |  |
| 7,200 |  |  |  |  | \$127,116,148 | 541,905,957 |  |  |  |  |
| 7.300 |  |  |  |  | \$230,789,240 | \$50,004,335 |  |  |  |  |
| 7.400 |  |  |  |  | \$330,457,481 | 543,620,388 |  |  |  |  |
| 77500 |  |  |  |  | \$415,437,772 | \$30,465,437 |  |  |  |  |
| 7.600 |  |  |  |  | 5497,567,406 | \$18,741,706 |  |  |  |  |
| 7,700 |  |  |  |  | \$580,229,848 | \$9,863,907 |  |  |  |  |
| 7,800 |  |  |  |  | \$652,005,616 | \$3,260,028 |  |  |  |  |
| 7.900 |  |  |  |  | \$721,072,140 | \$1,201,787 |  |  |  |  |
| 8.000 |  |  |  |  | \$783,000,677 | \$783.001 |  |  |  |  |
| 8,100 |  |  |  |  | \$843.419.748 | \$8.434 |  |  |  |  |
| 8,200 |  |  |  |  | \$900.268.346 | \$9.003 |  |  |  |  |
| 8,300 |  |  |  |  | \$954,101.957 | \$9.541 |  |  |  |  |

## Probability-Weighted Damages Costs vs

 Cost of Replacement Volumes

## IV. DESIGN OF THE RESOURCE PORTFOLIO

## A. Portfolio Design

To generate the long-term resource plan, the Company evaluates the current resource portfolio in relation to the firm-sendout forecast developed in Section III above. Specifically, the Company evaluates the possible strategies for meeting demand with current resources and identifies the sensitivities and contingencies that need to be tested. Using the SENDOUT ${ }^{\circledR}$ model (described below), the Company is able to determine the least-cost portfolio that will meet the forecasted demand and test the sensitivity of the portfolio to key inputs and assumptions, as well as its ability to meet all of the Company's planning standards and contingencies. Based on the results of this analysis, the Company then makes preliminary decisions on the adequacy of the resource portfolio and its ability to meet system requirements in the longer term.

KeySpan has been using the New Energy Associates SENDOUT ${ }^{\circledR}$ model as its primary analytical tool in the portfolio design process in Massachusetts since 1996. Following the KeySpan merger, the SENDOUT ${ }^{\circledR}$ model was adopted for use in the EnergyNorth service territory. The SENDOUT ${ }^{\circledR}$ model is a linear programming optimization software tool used to assist in evaluating and selecting long-term portfolio strategies. SENDOUT ${ }^{\circledR}$ has several advantages over the ithink ${ }^{\text {tm}}$-based dispatch model previously used by EnergyNorth. Foremost, SENDOUT ${ }^{\circledR}$ has the ability to examine the daily sendout requirements over an entire year simultaneously and select the optimum use of its portfolio of
resources. This allows SENDOUT ${ }^{\circledR}$ to specify operating constraints such as the utilization of underground storage and supplemental supplies in design-forward planning instead of requiring such constraints to be input data.

The SENDOUT ${ }^{\circledR}$ model can be used in one of two ways. First, the model can be used to determine the best use of a given portfolio of supply, capacity and storage contracts to meet a specified demand. That is, it can solve for the dispatch of resources that minimizes the cost of serving the specified demand given the existing resource and system-operating constraints. The model dispatches resources based on the lowest variable cost to meet demand, assuming that demand charges are fixed. Second, the SENDOUT ${ }^{\circledR}$ model can be used to determine the optimal portfolio to meet a given demand. To do this, the model uses a linear programming algorithm to analyze the combination of contracts and the size of each contract (i.e., MDQ) to determine the combination that results in the lowest total cost, taking into account both variable and fixed costs.

## B. Analytical Process and Assumptions

In preparing this IRP, the Company analyzed three demand scenarios: a low-demand case, a base case and a high-demand case, as described in Section III. In addition, the Company analyzed a cold-snap scenario and a contingency scenario using the Companies' current supply and capacity portfolio. The examination of these various scenarios enables the Company to test the adequacy and flexibility of the resource portfolio.

In this IRP, the Company has incorporated several key assumptions. First, the Company has assumed that, throughout the forecast period, there is no change in its current service obligation and that, as a result, it is responsible for plarıning for the capacity requirements for all firm customers. ${ }^{1}$. Second, the 2005/06 long-term, short-term and market-area portfolio was used as a proxy for the gas supply portfolio that will be used in all years of the forecast ${ }^{2}$. Although the actual contracts and contract terms will differ in every year, the Company believes that the current resource mix is representative of the actual supplies that the Company will use over the forecast period. Therefore, gas commodity costs were estimated using NYMEX futures prices for natural gas. All other costs represent actual contract costs including transportation and storage, fixed charges, variable charges, and other related costs. Fixed costs were not escalated over the forecast period because escalating all fixed costs at the same rate would maintain the relative ranking of the resources and would not, therefore, alter the decisions that the Company would make with respect to resource dispatch. Also, there is no indication that annual pipeline and underground-storage rate increases are a reasonable assumption.

## C. Expected Available Resources

[^9]This section describes EnergyNorth's current resource portfolio and discusses the modifications that the Company anticipates making to the portfolio during the forecast period to meet sendout requirements. As discussed below, to meet design day and design year sendout requirements, the Company's resource portfolio is composed of the following categories of available resources: (1) long-haul and short-haul transportation; (2) underground storage services; (3) gas supply contracts; (4) supplemental resources; and (5) market area supply purchases. Chart IV-C-1 is a schematic of the Company's transportation and underground storage contracts effective November 1, 2006. Chart IV-C-2 is a table listing and description of the Company's resource portfolio.

## 1. Long-haul and Short-haul Transportation

EnergyNorth has capacity entitlements on multiple upstream pipelines that provide access to various production areas that afford the Company a level of operational flexibility to ensure the least-cost and reliable delivery of gas supplies.

The Company's pipeline capacity contracts fall into three primary categories. First, the Company has contract entitlements to long-haul capacity from the lower 48 states that is used to transport gas from production areas located in the Gulf of Mexico to the Company's New Hampshire citygates. The long-haul transportation capacity from the Gulf of Mexico is also used to transport gas from the production areas to the Company's underground storage facilities in Pennsylvania and New York. By using long-haul capacity to fill storage, the

Company is able to use these resources at a higher load factor. Second, the Company has contract entitlements to short-haul capacity that is used to transport gas from the underground storage fields in Pennsylvania and New York to the Company's citygates. These short-haul capacity entitlements are also used to transport non-storage supplies from the storage market area to the Company's citygates when the capacity is not being used to transport underground storage supplies. Third, the Company has a short-haul contract with entitlements to transport gas from the Dracut, Massachusetts interconnect on Tennessee Gas Pipeline to the Company's citygates. Lastly, effective November 1, 2006, the Company's capacity on Union Gas Limited ("Union") and TransCanada Pipelines Limited ("TransCanada") will become effective". This new capacity path has entitlements from Dawn, Ontario to Kirkland/Parkway on Union and from Parkway to Waddington on TransCanada. The gas will then be transported to EnergyNorth's citygates using existing Iroquois and Tennessee capacity. The Company's long-haul and short-haul transportation contracts are described in more detail below:

- Iroquois Gas Transmission System

EnergyNorth has contract entitlements to 4,047 MMBtus/day of firm transportation service on the Iroquois Gas Transmission System ("Iroquois") on a 365-day basis. Firm Canadian supplies are transported from the Canadian/New York border from Waddington, New York via the

[^10]Iroquois system to the Tennessee Gas Pipeline ("Tennessee") interconnect at Wright, New York.

- Portland Natural Gas Transmission System

EnergyNorth has contract entitlements to 1,000 MMBtus/day of firm transportation service on the Portland Natural Gas Transmission System ("PNGTS") on a 365-day basis. PNGTS transports gas from Pittsburg, New Hampshire to the Company's city gate in Berlin, New Hampshire.

- Tennessee Gas Pipeline

In the production area, the Tennessee Gas Pipeline system splits into three legs: the 100 leg, the 800 leg, and the 500 leg. In addition, the Tennessee system is divided into six market zones, from Zone 0 and Zone 1 in Texas and Louisiana to Zone 6 in New England. See Chart IV-C-3 for a map showing the Tennessee Zone locations. EnergyNorth has capacity entitlements of 76,833 MMBtus/day on the Tennessee to its New Hampshire citygates. The Company's contract entitlements consist of transport volumes from Zone 0 and Zone 1 of up to 21,596 MMBtus/day to the Company's citygates in New Hampshire located in Zone 6 and to the Company's storage fields located in Zone 4 and Zone 5; from the Zone 4 and Zone 5 storage market area the Company's contract entitlement consists of transport volumes of up to 28,115 MMBtus/day to the Company's citygates; from the interconnect at Niagara in Zone 5 the Company's contract entitlements transport volumes of up to 3,122

MMBtus/day to the Company's citygates; from the interconnect at Wright, New York with Iroquois in Zone 5 the Company's contract entitlements transport volumes of up to 4,000 MMBtus/day to the Company's citygates; and finally, the Company has contract entitlements of up to 20,000 MMBtus/day from Dracut, Massachusetts located in Zone 6 to the Company's citygates.

- TransCanada Pipelines Limited

Effective November 1, 2006 EnergyNorth will have contract entitlements to $4,047 \mathrm{MMBtu} /$ day of firm transportation service on TransCanada on a 365-day basis. Firm Canadian supplies are transported from the receipt point Parkway-Union, Ontario, to the interconnection between TransCanada and Union, to the interconnection with Iroquois at Waddington.

- Union Gas Limited

Effective November 1, 2006 EnergyNorth will have contract entitlements to 4,092 MMBtu/day of firm transportation service on Union on a 365-day basis. Firm Canadian supplies are transported from the receipt point at Dawn, Ontario to the interconnection with TransCanada at Parkway.

## 2. Underground Storage Services

EnergyNorth's underground storage contracts provide the Company with the ability to meet winter-season loads, while avoiding the expense of adding 365-day long-haul transportation capacity. These contracts enable EnergyNorth to store approximately 2.5 million MMBtus of gas. These underground storage supplies allow EnergyNorth to serve a percentage of the winter period requirements with gas injected during the off- peak period and to manage shortterm fluctuations in demand during the winter period. It is the Company's practice to have storage inventories approximately $95 \%$ full as of November $1^{\text {st }}$ of each year, thus leaving approximately $5 \%$ of the storage capacity available for balancing purposes.

The Company contracts with the following storage providers;

- Dominion Transmission, Incorporated

Under rate schedule GSS which provides 102,700 MMBtus of storage capacity with a withdrawal rate of 934 MMBtus/day and an injection rate of 934 MMBtus/day.

- Honeoye Storage Corporation

Under rate schedule SS-NY that provides 245,280 MMBtus of storage capacity with a withdrawal rate of $1,957 \mathrm{MMBtus} /$ day and an injection rate of 1,362 MMBtus/day.

- National Fuel Supply Corporation

Under rate schedule FSS that provides 670,800 MMBtus of storage capacity with a withdrawal rate of $6,098 \mathrm{MMB}$ us/day and an injection rate of 4,472 MMBtus/day. Along with this storage service, the Company also contracts for 365-day firm transportation under rate schedule FST in order to transport the storage gas into and out of the storage field.

- Tennessee Gas Pipeline

Under rate schedule FS-MA that provides 1,560,391 MMBtus of Storage capacity with a withdrawal rate of 21,844 MMBtus/day and an injection rate of 10,404 MMBtus/day.

## 3. Gas Commodity

Prior to March 2006, EnergyNorth was a party to a contract with Merrill Lynch Commodities, Inc. ("MLCl") whereby MLCl both managed the resource portfolio and provided citygate gas supplies to EnergyNorth's firm sales customers. Under this arrangement, MLCl was obligated to deliver up to 77,833 MMBtus/day of citygate supplies. Effective April1, 2006, the Company terminated its agreement with MLCl and is now responsible for contracting for the necessary gas supply to meet firm sendout requirements. In order to meet customer requirements the Company will contract for a mix of seasonal, monthly and daily supplies from a diverse group of suppliers that are designed to take advantage of the interstate pipeline capacity paths held by the Company.
(a) Domestic Gas Supply

As described above, the Company's resource portfolio is currently structured to have a high level of flexibility to adapt to changing market conditions and regulatory obligations as they relate to Supplier Service. This is especially true with respect to the Company's domestic gas commodity commitments. Generally speaking, EnergyNorth enters into agreements that allow it the flexibility to eliminate up to 100 percent of its existing domestic gas commodity purchases in less than a twelve-month period. As of the date of this filing, the Company is in the process of issuing Request For Proposals ("RFPs") for seasonal supplies sourced from domestic gas supply markets to meet customer requirements for the upcoming winter season. These seasonal volumes will later be supplemented as necessary with index-based first of the month and/or daily market purchases.
(b) Market Area Supply

Market area purchases are short-term arrangements that the Company makes in order to achieve a higher utilization of existing portfolio resources and prolong the effective utilization of the Company's short-haul capacity. On a daily basis during the peak period, the Company has the opportunity to take advantage of market-area resource opportunities to bring gas supplies to the Company's citygates or to inject them into the Company's underground storage fields. In the past, gas injected into storage during the off-peak season was
generally lower priced than gas purchased in the peak season. However, experience indicates that market prices during the winter period can drop below storage inventory costs. Furthermore, prices in the later part of the winter season can be higher or lower than prices in the early part of the winter season, depending on market conditions. Market-area purchases generally refer to purchase in either Tennessee Zone 4 at or near the storage region or Zone 6 at Dracut, MA, or at the Company's citygates. These purchases minimize the cost of the resource portfolio because: (1) the Company is avoiding demand charges for capacity that is not needed on a design-day or design-season basis; and (2) the Company is able to better utilize existing transportation capacity that is available when underground storage supplies are not being transported to the Company's citygates.
(c) Canadian Gas Supply

In addition to domestic gas supplies, the Company currently holds several long-term supply contracts with Canadian suppliers. One of the Canadian gas supply contracts consists of a bundled capacity and gas commodity from western Canada pursuant a contract with Alberta Northeast, Ltd. ("ANE"), which is set to expire on November 1, 2006. This contract has been replaced with two separate agreements for the purchase of gas at Dawn, Ontario. Supply contracts have been executed with DTE Energy for up to 1,986 MIMBtu/day and Sempra for up to $2,106 \mathrm{MMBtu} /$ day both commencing on November 1, 2006. The supply will be transported on Union from Dawn to the interconnect with TransCanada at

Parkway, and then transported by TransCanada from Parkway to the Iroquois interconnect at Waddington.

The Company also holds contracts with BP Canada Energy Company for 1,599 MMBtu/day and with Nexen Marketing for 1,600 MMBtu/day. Both of these contracts deliver into Tennessee at Wright, NY.

Lastly, for the 2006/07 peak season, the Company is pursuing a replacement contract for its CoEnergy Trading Company ("CoEnergy") supply contract that expired on February 28, 2006.

These Canadian gas supplies represent an important component in maintaining the diversity, flexibility and reliability of the resource portfolio. Specifically, the Company's new supply and capacity resources effective November 1, 2006 that replaced the Company's expiring bundled ANE arrangement allow the Company to access a new and liquid supply point at Dawn.

## 4. Supplemental Resources

In addition to interstate pipeline and storage resources, EnergyNorth utilizes supplemental peaking supplies to meet its design day and design season requirements in excess of pipeline resources. Peaking supplies are an important component of the resource mix because these supplies provide the Company with the ability to respond to fluctuations in weather, economics and other factors driving the Company's sendout requirements. The Company utilizes both offsystem and on-system supplemental resources.

Off system supplemental resources include the Company's contract with Granite Ridge, L.L.C. ("Granite Ridge," formerly "AES Londonderry") as well as the Company's firm vapor service ("FVS") contract with Distrigas of Massachusetts ("DOMAC"). The Company is currently pursuing a replacement contract for its DOMAC FVS-256 contract that expires on October 31, 2006.

On-system supplemental resources are the local production plants that store LNG and liquid propane until vaporized. It is the Company's practice to have its supplemental storage facilities full as of November $1^{\text {st }}$ of each year. ${ }^{4}$ EnergyNorth's on-system supplemental facilities are distributed strategically across the service territory, which enhances service reliability and provides a source of supply for the entire distribution system. Chart IV-C-4 shows the locations of these facilities. Because these resources can be brought on line quickly, these plants can be used to meet hourly fluctuations in demand, maintain deliveries to customers and balance pressures across portions of the distribution system during periods of high demand. Most importantly, these resources are vital in preserving delivery pressures in the event that an off-system resource becomes unavailable. The Company's forecasted need for on-system supplemental supplies over the maximum pipeline availability is $305,000 \mathrm{MMBtu}$ for the 2006/07 peak season (see Chart IV-D-1). These supplemental volumes are the supplies that must be available to the Company's distribution system to ensure service to customers when the Company has exhausted its available pipeline supplies. Thus, the availability of liquid natural gas and propane gas to

[^11]refill the Company's local storage tanks throughout the winter season is an everincreasing necessity. The Company's DOMAC contracts (FLS-160 and FLS-162) are currently the primary sources of LNG refill throughout the winter season. The Company is currently pursuing a replacement contract for its DOMAC FLS162 contract that expires on October 31, 2006. In addition, as it has for the last several years, the Company has contracted for a dedicated trucking arrangement in order to guarantee the availability of both trailers and drivers to truck the LNG from the source point to the Company's facilities during the upcoming winter season. Lastly, the Company contracts seasonally for propane supplies with Eastern Propane Company. When contracting for propane supplies, the Company also firms up the necessary trucking arrangements for delivery of these supplies.,

## 5. Pending Contract Negotiations

At the time of this filing, the Company is currently in the process of finalizing its portfolio for the 2006/07 winter season. The Company is seeking to renew and/or replace the following resources which expire before November 1, 2006:

| Contract | MDQ | Annual <br> Quantity <br> (MMBtu) | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DTE Energy Trading | 20,000 | $1,800,000$ | Seasonal winter supply <br> received at TGP/Dracut <br> meter station. |
| Distrigas of Massachusetts <br> Corporation FVS256 | 8,000 | $1,208,000$ | Firm vapor service with <br> varying monthly take <br> quantities. |
| Distrigas of Massachusetts <br> Corporation FLS162 | 6,300 | 50,000 | Firm liquid service <br> available during winter <br> season for LNG refill |

In addition, as discussed above, now that the Company is managing its portfolio in-house, the Company will need to contract directly for its own domestic winter supply resources.

## 6. Replacement and Incremental Resources

Changes in EnergyNorth's resource needs are caused by changes in its firm demand, (i.e., load growth, load loss and changes in load shape). The Company differentiates incremental and replacement resource needs primarily in terms of how a need arises. The need to increase (or decrease) resources arises when the capacity of the Company's resource portfolio is not substantially equivalent to its firm demand requirements. A replacement resource need occurs when the term of an existing resource comes up for expiration and the Company's firm demand requirements are substantially the same (i.e., the resource is not avoidable). The Company applies the same decision-making process to meet replacement needs as it applies to incremental needs.

A critical component of identifying a resource need is defining the load shape of the demand that needs to be met. "Shape" refers to the degree of uniformity that a resource need exhibits throughout the course of a year. In characterizing the shape of resource needs, three general terms are applied herein: "baseload," "seasonal," and "peaking". A need that is substantially uniform throughout the year is described as a "baseload" need; a need that is driven by temperature fluctuations, and is therefore concentrated in a finite
portion of the year (i.e. 60-180 days), is described as a "seasonal" need; a need that is observed at the very upper limits of the demand profile (i.e., the coldest days of the year) is described as a "peaking" need. The Company notes specific resource needs do not necessarily fall discretely into one of these categories, but rather can exhibit characteristics of any or all of these classifications.

Determining the shape of a need is also important in terms of narrowing the range of possible resource options that may be able to satisfy the need. Baseload needs for example, tend to be best met through pipeline supply options. On the other hand, 365-day pipeline resources tend to be less efficient in meeting seasonal needs because the fixed capacity charges become concentrated across a relatively short demand period, which drives the unit cost up. Conversely, resources that can be inventoried and dispatched in response to temperature variations (such as underground storage and LNG) tend be costeffective in meeting seasonal demands. Finally, peaking demands are likely to be best met by on-system LNG or propane facilities because of the flexibility with which these resources can be dispatched.

When a resource need arises, the Company attempts to identify all of the possible resource options that may be able to meet that need. The Company regularly requests, receives and reviews promotional material regarding new or revised services from various supply-related entities. In addition, the Company endeavors to maintain continuous contact with suppliers, pipelines operators and other service providers. Through these efforts, the Company has compiled and continually updates a library of service providers and resource alternatives.

Using this information, the Company is able to develop a list of potential service providers to whom Requests for Proposals ("RFPs") will be sent. The RFP process effectively generates tailored service bids from potential service provides at market prices. The responses to an RFP establish the set or "universe," of potential resource options available to meet a particular need at a given point in time. The Company then performs a preliminary review to narrow the set down to an appropriate range for further analysis. This preliminary screening is dictated in part by the nature of the demand (i.e., the size and shape of the need) and by the planning time horizon. The time horizon is also an important element because the availability of specific resource alternatives may not perfectly coincide with the initial timing of an identified need. For example, an incremental seasonal need arising four years into the future may be met best by a storage option that will become available in three years if no other storage alternatives are available until the fifth year.

During the forecast period, EnergyNorth is faced with key decisions regarding the expiration and renewal of a number of contracts in its resource portfolio. Existing resources from the Company's 2006/07 portfolio that are set to expire during the five-year forecast period include:

| Contract | MDCQ | Annual Quantity (MMBtu) | Date of Expiration |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Granite Ridge Energy, LLC | 15,000 | 450,000 | 9/30/07 |
| BP Canada Energy Company | 1,599 | 583,635 | 4/01/07 |
| Distrigas of Massachusetts Corporation FLS160 |  | 100,000 | 10/31/10 |
| Dominion Transmission 300076 | 934 | 102,700 | 3/31/2011 |
| DTE Energy Trading | 1,986 | 724,890 | 10/31/2007 |
| Honeoye Storage Corporation | 1,957 | 245,280 | 04/01/08 Evergreen |
| Iroquois Gas Transmission 47001 | 4,047 | 1,477,155 | 10/31/2011 |
| National Fuel Company N02358 | 6,098 | 2,225,770 | $\begin{gathered} 3 / 31 / 08 \\ \text { Evergreen } \end{gathered}$ |
| National Fuel Company 002357 | 6,098 | 670,800 | $\begin{gathered} 3 / 31 / 08 \\ \text { Evergreen } \end{gathered}$ |
| NEXEN Marketing | 1,600 | 584,000 | 4/01/07 |
| Sempra Energy Trading | 2,106 | 768,690 | 10/31/2007 |
| Tennessee Gas 523 | 21,844 | 1,560,391 | 10/31/2010 |
| Tennessee Gas 632 | 15,265 | 5,571,725 | 10/31/2010 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Tennessee Gas } \\ 2302 \end{gathered}$ | 3,122 | 1,139,530 | 10/31/2010 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Tennessee Gas } \\ 8587 \end{gathered}$ | 25,407 | 9,273,555 | 10/31/2010 |
| Tennessee Gas | 9,039 | 3,299,235 | 10/31/2010 |


| Contract | MDCQ | Annual <br> Quantity <br> (MMBtu) | Date of <br> Expiration |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11234 | 4,000 | $1,460,000$ | $10 / 31 / 2011$ |
| Tennessee Gas <br> 33371 | 20,000 | $7,300,000$ | $10 / 31 / 2010$ |
| Tennessee Gas <br> 42076 | 4,092 | $1,493,580$ | $10 / 31 / 2007$ |
| Union Gas <br> M1200 |  |  |  |

Following the Company's planning process described above, during the forecast period, the Company will employ a three-step analysis to reach its conclusions on contract renewals. First, the Company will evaluate the need to maintain the contracts as part of the resource portfolio. As part of this need analysis, the Company will consider the trends in transportation migration and the growth in transportation relating to new customers that have not previously been served by the Company, and therefore, are not subject to the assignment of capacity. If the Company determines that the resource is needed to meet firm sendout requirements, the Company will consult with competitive suppliers serving customers on EnergyNorth's system to solicit their input on the Company's contract renewals. Second, depending on the type of need, the Company will canvas the marketplace to determine the availability of a replacement resource. And, where appropriate, the Company will solicit competitive bids to determine the lowest-cost available resource. Finally, the Company wiil evaiuate non-price factors associated with the available
replacement options such as flexibility, diversity, reliability and contract term to determine the least-cost, most reliable option to meet the Company's resource need.

This same approach will be implemented when the need for a new resource to be added to the portfolio arises. As discussed in Section IV.D below, the Company is forecasting a need for incremental capacity or citygate-delivered supplies to meet customer requirements during the forecast period. The Company has already initiated discussion with Tennessee regarding incremental capacity additions. Currently, incremental capacity is not available on Tennessee's Concord lateral, the lateral which provides service to the Company's distribution system. Preliminary discussion with Tennessee has yielded estimates in the $\$ 12 \mathrm{M}-\$ 16.5 \mathrm{M}$ range for the needed upgrades to the lateral in order to provide incremental volumes to the Company's citygates.

## D. Adequacy of the Resource Portfolio

Although the base case scenario is intended to represent the most probable demand case, customer demand could vary within the range of the lowdemand and high-demand case. Accordingly, the resource plan must possess a level of flexibility to adjust to changing economic conditions, while ensuring that adequate resources are available to meet customer requirements on the peak day. As described below, the EnergyNorth resource portfolio currently possesses the flexibility to meet design-year requirements on a reliable basis.

To ensure the delivery of needed supplies on the peak day, however, the Company anticipates that it will need to obtain additional firm capacity or citygate-delivered supply during the forecast period.

## 1. Base Case

The Company's resource plan shows that it can meet base case design year load requirements throughout the forecast period. However, to do so, the Company will need to supplement its resource portfolio with additional firm capacity or citygate-delivered supply beginning in the year 2008/09. The daily contracted quantities required to adequately meet the anticipated sendout requirements are set forth in Chart IV-D-3 and are summarized as follows:

Other Purchased Resources

## Base Case

| YEAR | Design Day <br> Capacity <br> (MMBtu/day) | Design Heating <br> Season Volume <br> (MMBtus) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2006 / 07$ | 0 | 0 |
| $2007 / 08$ | 0 | 0 |
| $2008 / 09$ | 0 | 53,300 |
| $2009 / 10$ | 5,310 | 48,000 |
| $2010 / 11$ | 19,660 | 128,000 |

The projected incremental requirement for the design day begins in 2009/10 as relatively small in relation to the Company's total peak-day requirement (i.e., approximately three percent in 2009/10 rising to thirteen
percent in 2010/11), but grows over time. The Company plans to monitor the factors that drive the need for incremental capacity and to begin plans for addressing these needs.

These factors include: (a) realization of the load growth that is forecasted by the Company's demand model; (b) migration of new load directly to Supplier Service over the next two years; (c) customer participation in DSM programs over the forecast period; and (d) other social and political factors that influence the demand for natural gas, such as energy legislation and environmental considerations. If events warrant, the Company will prepare an analysis of need and available alternatives and procure the necessary capacity to serve the needs of customers.

## 2. High-Demand Case

The Company's resource plan shows that it can meet high-demand case design year load requirements throughout the forecast period. In this scenario, as in the base case, the Company will need to supplement its resource portfolio with additional firm capacity or citygate-delivered supply beginning in 2007/08. These additional purchases are set forth in Chart IV-D-18 and are summarized as follows:

## Other Purchased Resources

High Case

YEAR
2006/07
2007/08
2008/09
2009/10
2010/11
Design Day
Capacity
(MMBtu/day)

0
730
22,140
40,000
40,000

Design Heating
Season Volume (MMBtus)

0
145,000
311,600
245,700
376,400

In the high-demand case, the amount of Other Purchased Resources needed to meet design day incremental capacity requirements is greater than that relied upon in the base case (i.e., less than one percent in 2007/08 rising to twenty-five percent in 2010/11). Should incremental demand increase consistent with the high-demand case projections, the Company would acquire adequate, least-cost capacity resources to address this need.

## 3. Low-Demand Case

As shown in Chart IV-D-33, the Company's resource portfolio is adequate to meet total low-demand case system requirements in the forecast period.

Under any of these three scenarios, the Company believes that sufficient capacity and supplies will be available in the market to meet its customers' needs. The Company will follow its resource planning process to evaluate and fill
identified needs with a least-cost, reliable mix of contracted capacity and/or citygate delivered gas supplies. This approach provides a high level of flexibility to meet uncertainties in future demand, while ensuring the adequacy of the overall resource portfolio.

## E. Cold Snap Analysis

In addition to the design day, design year and normal year planning standards, the Company also evaluates the capability of the resource portfolio to meet sendout requirements during a protracted period of very cold weather, which is referred to as a "cold snap."

To generate its cold-snap scenario, the Company selected the actual seven-day period of coldest weather experienced by the Company leading to the highest supplementals requirement. This seven-day period, from the Company's twenty-three year historical effective degree day (EDD) database for Manchester, NH, was January 9, 2004 through January 15, 2004. ${ }^{5}$

The Company then analyzed the effectiveness of the portfolio with an EDD pattern of (a) normal EDD through January $2^{\text {nd }}(b)$ the cold-snap EDD on January $3^{\text {rd }}$ through January $9^{\text {th }}$ followed by (c) normal EDD. In doing this, the Company substituted the coldest seven-day period in its normal weather scenario with the cold-snap scenario.

[^12]Using base case demand, the Company analyzed the effectiveness of the portfolio in meeting the requirements of the cold-snap scenario. The results of the simulation, using the SENDOUT ${ }^{\circledR}$ model, showed that the Company's portfolio can meet the cold-snap requirement adequately (see Chart IV-E-1).

## F. Contingency Planning

As part of the settlement agreement dated August 19, 2005, the Company agreed to include in this IRP, a contingency plan that would address the following supply/capacity interruptions:
(1) Displacement of gas from the Company's Massachusetts affiliates to New Hampshire to the extent feasible under the combined OBA on the Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company system;
(2) The potential for and related cost if the Company were to increase the level of dedicated trucking to deliver liquid supplies to New Hampshire during periods when vaporized LNG from its Massachusetts affiliates' facilities cannot be displaced via pipeline from Massachusetts to New Hampshire;
(3) A reasonable range of potential supply or capacity disruptions under design day weather conditions and the Company's response
to each specified situation, including a loss of pipeline and LNG or propane supplies;

Each of these scenarios is discussed in detail below.

1. Displacement of gas from the Company's Massachusetts affiliates to New Hampshire to the extent feasible under the combined OBA on the Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company system;

When both EnergyNorth and the Company's Massachusetts affiliates were parties to their respective Asset Management Agreements with Merrill Lynch, from time to time, when capacity was available, the Company would temporarily displace gas across the territories to the extent possible using the Company's Operational Balancing Agreement ("OBA") with Tennessee Gas Pipeline ("Tennessee"). This activity was possible because both parties had similar pricing structures in the agreements with Merrill whereby imbalances from volumes transferred between the territories would be paid back in-kind within days and certainly before month-end. Now that EnergyNorth is no longer a party to such an agreement with Merrill, the Company no longer intentionally displace volumes between the territories. Thus, since this activity no longer transpires, the Company does not develop a contingency plan for it.
2. The potential for and related cost if the Company were to increase the level of dedicated trucking to deliver liquid supplies to New Hampshire during periods when vaporized LNG from its Massachusetts affiliates' facilities cannot be displaced via pipeline from Massachusetts to New Hampshire;

From time to time, the Company seeks to displace liquid supplies delivered via truck to New Hampshire with vaporized LNG from certain of its Massachusetts tanks. The vaporized LNG is "delivered" to New Hampshire via the Company's OBA with Tennessee, whereby EnergyNorth increases its volume taken from the pipeline and the Massachusetts companies correspondingly decrease their volumes taken from the pipeline by the same amount. By implementing this strategy, the Company reduces the number of trucks dispatched to New Hampshire and minimizes the associated logistics of trucking deliveries. This activity is performed to the extent the resources are available. However, the Company does not rely on this activity to meet either its design day or design season needs. Therefore the Company did not develop a contingency plan for the absence of it.

## 3. Potential Supply or Capacity Disruptions

## 3a. Disruption at DOMAC

Throughout the forecast period, EnergyNorth relies on peaking supplies from DOMAC, now known as Tractebel LNG North America, to meet both the
design year and design day needs of customers. Therefore, the loss of these resources would cause a supply deficit during the forecast period. KeySpan has had experience in dealing with the disruption of its DOMAC supplies. In light of a ban imposed by the U.S. Coast Guard on LNG vessels in entering Boston Harbor following the events of September 11, 2001, KeySpan was forced to implement a contingency plan to address this supply disruption.

In this filing, EnergyNorth addresses a contingency plan to meet a supply deficit similar to that created by the loss of DOMAC LNG supplies in 2001. For this analysis, EnergyNorth considers three scenarios: (1) no LNG shipments for the month of October, (2) no LNG shipments or sporadic shipments for the winter period; and (3) no shipments for the long term. For the first scenario the Company determined that there would not be a material effect on EnergyNorth, since the Company's tanks are full in early fall. In addressing the other scenarios, EnergyNorth would first need to distinguish between its liquid and vapor needs for the season. To determine liquid needs, the Company would consider its immediate need to fill the tanks to their maximum capacity, as well as the shortterm, minimum liquid needs for a design winter.

The vapor supplies that the Company would need to replace for the design winter would also need to be determined. In general, incremental pipeline deliveries can be substituted for these volumes, assuming that the pipelines are able to make such deliveries. The Company would engage in discussions with various service providers to meet this need in a number of ways. For example, there may be an opportunity to increase deliveries from the Iroquois pipeline into

TGP, or to effect modifications to underground storage contracts to provide excess deliverability out of storage, as well as an opportunity to secure additional deliveries on the Tennessee pipeline.

With respect to the immediate and short-term liquid needs, the Company would immediately implement its contingency plan. This plan would call for liquid deliveries from various LNG facilities including, but not limited to; the NSTAR Gas facility in Hopkinton, Massachusetts, the Philadelphia Gas Works facility in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, the Transco facility in Carlstadt, New Jersey, and/or the Gaz Metropolitain facility in Montreal, Canada. In addition to LNG deliveries, the Company would also call for incremental propane deliveries from its regional propane supplier as well as other suppliers in the northeast corridor.

In the event of a long-term supply disruption, the Company would need to replace all of its existing DOMAC LNG contracts with another source of supply and related transportation. Should this become a reality, the Company would act immediately and initiate discussions with suppliers and Tennessee Gas Pipeline.

## 3b. Supply Disruption at Dracut

Throughout the forecast period, EnergyNorth relies on gas supplies being sourced from the Dracut, MA interconnect on Tennessee Gas Pipeline to the Company's citygates to meet both the design-year and design-day needs of customers. Therefore, the loss of these resources would cause a supply deficit during the forecast period. The timing of the disruption as well as the extent of
the disruption would determine the actions taken by the Company to fill the void.
A disruption to this pipeline delivered supply could be replaced with a mix of various gas supplies available to the Company. These supplies include but are not limited to:

- Citygate delivered spot-market purchases;
- Incremental long-haul supplies delivered from the Gulf using the Company's long-haul capacity;
- Underground storage volumes delivered from the storage fields using the Company's short-haul storage capacity;
- TGP Zone 4 market area supplies transported on the Company's shorthaul capacity from zone 4 to zone 6 ;
- The Company's existing DOMAC FVS contract; and
- On-system resources of both LNG and propane

Lastly, should the Company exhaust all of the above mentioned options, the Company would then look to its Massachusetts and New York affiliates for assistance in supplying the needed volumes in order to maintain system integrity.

## 3c. Supply and Capacity Disruptions in the Gulf of Mexico

Throughout the forecast period, EnergyNorth relies on gas supplies being sourced from the Gulf of Mexico on Tennessee Gas Pipeline to the Company's citygates to meet both the design-year and design-day needs of customers. Therefore, the loss of these resources would cause a supply deficit during the
forecast period. In the aftermath of Hurricanes Katrina and Rita in 2005, KeySpan took several steps in order to ensure supply reliability for the 2005/2006 winter season for its New Hampshire and Massachusetts customers. Should a similar event again occur the Company would follow the same process it implemented following Hurricanes Katrina and Rita ("2005 Hurricanes"). First the Company would determined its overall supply capabilities on a peak day and peak season basis, from "at risk" locations, i.e., Tennessee's 500-leg and Texas Eastern's ELA and WLA regions during the 2005 Hurricanes. Next the Company would fill both its underground and LNG storage facilities going into the winter and implement a conservative storage withdrawal strategy in order to guarantee maximum storage withdrawals as far into the winter as possible. Finally, the Company would firm-up winter supplies traditionally sourced in the Gulf Coast at points upstream of the constrained points. Specifically, in the fall of 2005 , KeySpan secured 131,000 MMBtu/day, from sources located downstream of the affected areas as well as an additional 20,000 MMBtu/day directly from DOMAC (9,502 MMBtu/day was secured on behalf of EnergyNorth). These volumes equated to 98 percent of the "at risk" New England volume.

It is also important to note that the Company is an active member of the Northeast Gas Association's ("NGA") Gas Supply Task Force. ${ }^{6}$ The Task Force meets periodically throughout the winter season, and more often if the situation warrants. As a member of this Task Force, the Company can request to
convene a meeting in order address either a regional or a Company-specific issue and seek the assistance of fellow members if needed.

## 3d. Emergency Curtailment Plan

In the event that despite all reasonable efforts, a force majuere event prevents the Company from securing adequate supply to maintain deliverability to customers, the Company would implement its emergency curtailment plan. A copy of that plan was filed with the Commission on November 1, 2005.
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# Chart IV-C-2 <br> (Page 1 of 4 ) 

EnergyNorth Natural Gas Incorporated Resource Listing

Long-haul and Short-haui Transportation Contracts

| Shipper | Pipeline <br> Company | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Contract } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ No. | Rate Schedule | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline \text { City Gate } \\ \text { MDQ } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Annual Quantity | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline \text { Expiration } \\ \text { Date } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EnergyNorh Natural Gas Incorporated | Iroquois | 47001 | RTS-1 | 4,047 | 1,477,155 | 10131/2011 | Par-284 transportation service ( 365 -day). This contract is used to transport volumes from Waddington, NY to the Iroquois interconnect with TGP at Wright, NY. |
| EnergyNorh Natural Gas Incorporated | National Fuel | N02358 | FST | 6,098 | 2,225,770 | 3/31/2008 | Par-284 transportation service ( 365 -day) associated with the FSS service 002357 , used for storage injection and or withdrawal across National Fuel pipeline system and into and out of the FSS storage. <br> The contract term and associated discounted rate were extended through March 31, 2004, and then year to year thereafer unless one-year writen notice is provided by cither party. <br> Amendment dated March 21, 2002 gives National Fuel the option of notifying the company by February 28th to discontinue the discounted rate. The Company has been notified by National Fuel effective April 1, 2007 the discounted rate will $n$ o longer be in effect. |
| EnergyNorh Natural Gas incorporated | Porland Natural Gas | 1999-001 | FT | 1,000 | 365,000 | 10/31/2019 | Part-284 transportation service ( 365 -day). This contract is used to transport volumes from Pitsburg, New Hampshire to EnergyNorth citygatc locased in Berlin, New Hampshire. |
| EnergyNorh Natural Gas Incorporated | Tennessee | 632 | FT-A | 15,265 | 5.571,725 | 10/31/2010 | Pan- 284 transportation service ( 365 -day). This conrract is used to transpor volumes from FS-MA storage (zone 4) to EnergyNorth city gates. |
| EnergyNorh Natural Gas Incorporated | Tennessee | 2302 | FT-A | 3,122 | 1,139,530 | 10/31/2010 | Part-284 transportation service ( 365 -day). This contract is used to iransport Canadian supply (BP Canada \& NEXEN) from Niagara, New York (zone 5) to EnergyNorth city gates. |
| EnergyNorth Namral Gas Incorporated | Tennessee | 8587 | FT-A | 25,407 | 9.273.555 | 10/31/2010 | Part 284 transporation service ( 365 -day). This contract is used to transport volumes from the access area (zones 0 and 1 ) and storage (zone 4 ) to EnergyNorth city gates (zone 6) with primary receipt points of 21.596 MMBtu/day from zones 0 and 1 and 3,811 MMBtu from zone 4. The contract term has been extended from October 31, 2003 to October 31, 2010. |
| EnergyNorth Natural Gas Incorporated | Tennessee | 11234 | FT-A | 9.039 | 3,299,235 | 10/31/2010 | Part 284 ransporation service ( 365 -day). This contract is used to transpor volumes from three storage fields (Honeoye, National Fuel and Dominion) to EnergyNorth's city gates (zone 6). |
| EnergyNorth Natural Gas Incorporated | Tennessee | 33371 | NET-NE | 4,000 | 1,460,000 | 10/31/2011 | Part 284 transportation service ( 365 -day) used to transport gas from Iroquois at Wright, NY to EnergyNorth city gates. Effective November 1, 2006 the contract will be converted from a NET-NE agreement to a service agreement under Rate Schedule FT-A. |
| EnergyNorh Natural Gas Incorporated | Tennessee | 42076 | FT.A | 20,000 | 7.300,000 | 10/31/2010 | Part 284 transportation service (365-day). This contract is used to transpor volumes from Dracui, MA (zone 6) to the EnergyNorth city gates (zone 6) |
| EnergyNorth Natural Gas Incorporated | TransCanada |  | FT | 4,047 | 1,477,155 | 10/31/2016 | Canadian Transportation service ( 365 -day). This conract is used to transpor volumes from Parkway-Union to TransCanada interconnect with Iroquois. |
| EnergyNorth Natural Gas incorporated | Union Gas | M12100 | M12 | 4,092 | 1,493,580 | 10/31/2007 | Canadian transportation service ( 365 -day). This contract is used to ranspor volumes from Dawn to Union interconnect with TransCanada |
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EnergyNorth Natural Gas Incorporated
Resource Listing
Underground Storage Services

| Shipper | Pipeline Company | Contract No. | Rate Schedule | City Gate MDWQ | Annual Quantity MSQ | Expiration Date | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EnergyNorth Natural Gas Incorporated | Dominion | 300076 | GSS Storage | 934 | 102,700 | 3/31/2011 | Part-284 storage service that provides $102,700 \mathrm{MMBtu}$ of storage capacity at a withdrawal rate of 934 MMB u/day and an injection rate of 934 MMBtw/day. Injection ratchets if inventory is under $50 \%$ the calculation is $1 / 180 \times 102,700$ for injection rights. If the inventory is above $50 \%$ the calculation is $1 / 214 \times 102,700$. April to July Dominion allows for $115 \%$ of the daily injection rights The contract term has been extended from March 31,2006 to March 31, 2011. |
| EnergyNorth Natural Gas Incorporated | Honeoye |  | SS-NX <br> Storage | 1,957 | 245,280 | 4/1/2008 | Part-157 (7C) storage service that provides $145,280 \mathrm{MMB}$ M of storage capacity at a withdrawal rate of $1,957 \mathrm{MMBtu} /$ day and an injection rate of 1,957 MMBtu/day. The company is currently exercising the evergreen provision provided in the contract and extending the contract on a year to year basis. If operational integrity should be in jeopardy Honeoye reserves the right to institute a storage ratchet calculation as follows MSQ/210 days. |
| EnergyNorth Natural Gas Incorporated | National Fuel | 002357 | FSS Storage | 6.098 | 670.800 | 3/31/2008 | Part-284 storage service ( 150 -day) that provides 670,800 MMBtu of storage capacity, with a withdrawal rate of $6,098 \mathrm{MMBru} /$ day and an injection rate of $4,472 \mathrm{MMBtu} /$ day. The 110 -day service has injection ratchets 0 to $70 \%$ the calculation is $1 / 170 \times \mathrm{MSQ}$ and $70 \%$ to $100 \%$ the calculation is $1 / 200 \times$ MSQ. The contract is associated with National Fuel transportation contract (No. N02358). The Company is currently exercising the evergreen provision provided in the contract and is extending the contract on a year to year basis. |
| EnergyNorth Natural Gas Incorporated | Tennessce | 523 | FS-MA Storage | 21,844 | 1,560,391 | 10/31/2010 | Part-284 storage service that provides $1,560,391 \mathrm{MMB}$ u of storage capacity with a withdrawal rate of $21,844 \mathrm{MMBtw} /$ day and an injection rate of $10,404 \mathrm{MMBtw} /$ day or $1 / 150$ of Shipper's MSQ. The contract term has been extended from October 31, 2003 to October 31, 2010. |

## EnergyNorth Natural Gas Incorporated

 Resource Listing
## Supnly Contracts

| Shipper | Supplier | Contract No. | MDCQ | Annual Quantity | Expiration Date | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EnergyNorth Natural Gas Incorporated | BP Canada Energy Company |  | 1,599 | 583,635 | 4/1/2007 | Supply Agreement beween EnergyNorth and BP Canada Energy Company that provides gas commodity from western Canada at the Canadian-US border near Niagra, New York on Tennessee for transportation to EnergyNorth citygates. |
| EnergyNorth Natural Gas Incorporated | DTE Energy Trading |  | 1.986 | 724,890 | 30/31/2007 | Supply Agreement between EnergyNorth and DTE Energy Trading that provides gas commodity at the Union Pipeline interconnection at Dawn, Ontario. This contract replaces the ANE contract that expires on October 31, 2006. This contract will commence on November 1, 2006. |
| EnergyNorh Natural Gas Incorporated | Nexen Marketing |  | 1,600 | 584.000 | 4/1/2007 | Supply Agreement berween EnergyNorth and Nexen Markeing Corporation that provides gas commodity from western Canada at the Canadian-US border near Niagra. New York on Tennessee for transportation to EnergyNorth cirygates. |
| EnergyNorth Natural Gas Incorporated | Sempra Energy Trading |  | 2,106 | 768,690 | 10/31/2007 | Surpply Agreement between EnergyNorth and Sempra Energy Trading that provides gas commodity at the Union Pipeline interconnection at Dawn, Ontario. This contract replaces the former ANE contract. This contract will commence on November I, 2006 |
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EnergyNorth Natural Gas Incorporated
Resource Listing
Supplemental Resources

| Shipper | Supplier | Contract No. | MDCQ | Annual Quantity | Expiration Date | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EnergyNorth Natural Gas incorporatod | Granite Ridge Energy, L.L.C. |  | 15,000 | 450,000 | 9/30/2007 | Peaking Supply Agreement between Granite Ridge Energy L.L.C. and EnergyNorth that provides up to $15.000 \mathrm{MMBn} /$ day for a total of 450,000 MMBrus during the months of December, January and February. |
| EnergyNorth Natural Gas lucorporated | Distrigas | FLS 160 | Monthly Take Quantities | 1,000,000 | 10/31/2010 | Distrigas of Massachusetts FLS (Fim Liquid Service) is a winter liquid refill conract with an annual quantity of $1,000,000 \mathrm{MMB}$ 保 of which $100,000 \mathrm{MM}$ Brus is allocated to EnergyNorth |


| Location | Facility Type | Maximum <br> Vaporization <br> (MMBtu/day) | Storage Capacity <br> (MMBtu/day) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Concord. NH | LNG | 4,800 | 4.200 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tilton, NH | LNG | 9,600 | 4.200 |
| Manchester, NH | LNG | 8.400 | 4.200 |
| Nashua, NH | Propane | 11.000 | 23.672 |
| Amherst. NH | Propane | 0 | 28.450 |
| Manchesier. NH | Propane | 21.600 | 47.317 |
| Tiiton, NH | Propane | 2.000 | 4.730 |
| Haverhill, MA | Propane | 0 | 42.216 |




## EnergyNorth Base Case Resources and Requirements 2006-07 Through 2010-11

# COMPARISON OF RESOURCES AND REQUIREMENTS Base Case Design Year (MMBtu) 

Heating Season (Nov-Mar)

| REQUIREMENTS |  | 2006-07 | 2007-08 | 2008-09 | 2009-10 | 2010-11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Firm Sendout |  | 10,451,700 | 10,795,100 | 10,946,700 | 11,183,400 | 11,452,000 |
| Refill | Underground Storage | 200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | LNG | 131,200 | 138,300 | 142,800 | 146,400 | 148,800 |
|  | Propane | 93,400 | 93,400 | 93,500 | 93,500 | 93,500 |
| Total Requirements |  | 10,676,500 | 11,026,800 | 11,183,000 | 11,423,300 | 11,694,300 |
| RESOURCES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PNGTS |  | 21,000 | 21,200 | 21,000 | 21,000 | 21,000 |
| TGP | AES-Londonderry | 299,000 | 405,000 | 450,000 | 437,800 | 450,000 |
|  | ANE | 584,700 | 597,200 | 593,300 | 593,300 | 593,300 |
|  | BP / Nexen | 447,200 | 450,200 | 447,200 | 447,200 | 450,200 |
|  | CoEnergy | 1,784,000 | 1,783,900 | 1,783,900 | 1,784,000 | 1,784,000 |
|  | Gulf Supply | 3,124,900 | 3,118,500 | 3,099,700 | 3,160,700 | 3,162,100 |
|  | Market Area -- Zone 4 | 560,300 | 746,600 | 802,900 | 853,500 | 937,400 |
|  | Market Area -- Zone 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 131,500 | 208,100 |
|  | Storage | 2,483,900 | 2,471,600 | 2,472,400 | 2,487,700 | 2,487,700 |
| Other Purchased Resources |  | 0 | 0 | 53,300 | 48,000 | 128,000 |
| DOMAC | Vapor | 842,200 | 888,700 | 906,700 | 898,800 | 934,200 |
|  | Liquid | 131,200 | 138,300 | 142,800 | 146,400 | 148,800 |
| LNG From Storage |  | 138,400 | 145,500 | 150,000 | 153,500 | 156,000 |
| Propane | Vapor | 166,600 | 166,600 | 166,700 | 166,600 | 140,400 |
|  | Truck | 93,400 | 93,400 | $\underline{93,500}$ | 93,500 | 93,500 |
| Total Res | ources | 10,676,800 | 11,026,700 | 11,183,400 | 11,423,500 | 11,694,700 |

# COMPARISON OF RESOURCES AND REQUIREMENTS Base Case Design Year (MMBtu) Non-Heating Season (Apr-Oct) 

| REQUIREMENTS |  | 2006-07 | 2007-08 | 2008-09 | 2009-10 | 2010-11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Firm Sendout |  | 4,089,700 | 4,232,000 | 4,350,800 | 4,475,400 | 4,617,800 |
| Refill | Underground Storage | 2,564,800 | 2,552,100 | 2,552,800 | 2,568,800 | 2,568,600 |
|  | LNG | 27,300 | 27,300 | 27,300 | 27,300 | 27,300 |
|  | Propane | 73,300 | 73,300 | 73,300 | 73,300 | 46,900 |
| Total Requirements |  | 6,755,100 | 6,884,700 | 7,004,200 | 7,144,800 | 7,260,600 |
| RESOURCES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PNGTS |  | 12,600 | 12,600 | 12,600 | 12,600 | 12,600 |
| TGP | AES-Londonderry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | ANE | 840,900 | 840,900 | 840,900 | 840,900 | 840,900 |
|  | BP / Nexen | 668,300 | 668,300 | 668,300 | 668,300 | 665,200 |
|  | CoEnergy | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Gulf Supply | 3,920,800 | 4,382,800 | 4,431,700 | 4,467,100 | 4,510,200 |
|  | Market Area -- Zone 4 | 826,100 | 540,300 | 628,800 | 726,200 | 863,400 |
|  | Market Area -- Zone 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Storage | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Purchased Resources |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DOMAC | Vapor | 365,800 | 319,200 | 301,300 | 309,200 | 273,900 |
|  | Liquid | 27,300 | 27,300 | 27,300 | 27,300 | 27,300 |
| LNG From Storage |  | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 |
| Propane | Vapor | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Truck | 73,300 | 73,300 | 73,300 | 73,300 | 46,900 |
| Total Resources |  | 6,755,100 | 6,884,700 | 7,004,200 | 7,144,900 | 7,260,400 |

# COMPARISON OF RESOURCES AND REQUIREMENTS Base Case Design Year (MMBtu) 

Peak Day

| REQUIREMENTS | 2006-07 | 2007-08 | 2008-09 | 2009-10 | 2010-11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Firm Sendout | 138,600 | 142,000 | 144,800 | 147,700 | 151,000 |
| Refill Underground Storage | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| LNG | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 |
| Propane | 1,730 | 8,000 | 8,000 | 8,000 | $\underline{0}$ |
| Total Requirements | 142,330 | 152,000 | 154,800 | 157,700 | 153,000 |
| RESOURCES |  |  |  |  |  |
| PNGTS | 160 | 160 | 160 | 160 | 160 |
| TGP AES-Londonderry | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 |
| ANE | 3,970 | 3,970 | 3,970 | 3,970 | 3,970 |
| BP / Nexen | 3,120 | 3,120 | 3,120 | 3,120 | 3,120 |
| CoEnergy | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 |
| Gulf Supply | 21,600 | 21,600 | 21,600 | 21,600 | 21,600 |
| Market Area -- Zone 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Market Area -- Zone 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage | 28,110 | 28,110 | 28,110 | 28,110 | 28,110 |
| Other Purchased Resources | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5,310 | 19,660 |
| DOMAC Vapor | 8,000 | 8,000 | 8,000 | 8,000 | 8,000 |
| Liquid | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 |
| LNG From Storage | 3,770 | 7,100 | 9,900 | 7,530 | 5,810 |
| Propane Vapor | 35,000 | 35,000 | 35,000 | 35,000 | 25,690 |
| Truck | 1,730 | 8,000 | 8,000 | 8,000 | $\underline{0}$ |
| Total Resources | 142,460 | 152,060 | 154,860 | 157,800 | 153,120 |

## COMPARISON OF RESOURCES AND REQUIREMENTS <br> Base Case Design Year 2006-07 <br> (MMBtu)

| REQUIREMENTS |  | 11/2006 | 12/2006 | 01/2C07 | $02 / 2007$ | $03 / 2007$ | 042007 | 05/2007 | $06 / 2007$ | $07 / 2007$ | 08/2007 | 09/2007 | $10 / 2007$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Firm Sendout |  | 1.476.900 | 2.265,300 | 2,645,100 | 2.201 .100 | 1.863.300 | 1.105 .500 | 644,300 | 380,800 | 293.800 | 291,800 | 408,700 | 864,800 |
| Reftil | Underground Storage | 200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 465,100 | 531,300 | 514,300 | 531.300 | 515.100 | 7.700 | 0 |
|  | LNG | 16.200 | 14.400 | 40.000 | 35,600 | 25,000 | 0 | 13.000 | 2,800 | 2.900 | 2,900 | 2.800 | 2.900 |
|  | Propane | $\underline{0}$ | 3.700 | $\underline{27,100}$ | $\underline{62,600}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 22.000 | 22.000 | $\underline{22,000}$ | 73,300 | $\underline{0}$ | - |
| Total Requrements |  | 1.493 .300 | 2.283,400 | 2,712,200 | 2,299,300 | 1,888,300 | 1.570,600 | 1,210,800 | 919,800 | 850.000 | 817,100 | 419.200 | 967.700 |
| Resources |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PNGTS |  | 3,300 | 4,600 | 5,100 | 3.900 | 4.100 | 2,800 | 2,000 | 1.300 | 1,100 | 1,300 | 1.500 | 2.600 |
| TGP | AES-Londonderry | 0 | 74.400 | 150,500 | 32,100 | 42.000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | ANE | 117.900 | 121.800 | 121.800 | 101.400 | 121,800 | 117.900 | 121,800 | 117,900 | 121,800 | 121,800 | 197,900 | 121,800 |
|  | 8P/Nexen | 93,700 | 96.700 | 96.700 | 63.400 | 96,700 | 93.700 | 96,800 | 93,700 | 96,800 | 96.800 | 93.700 | 96,800 |
|  | CoEnergy | 0 | 604.500 | 619,500 | 560.000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |
|  | Gutf Supply | 640.700 | 637,700 | 636.000 | 574.500 | 636.000 | 647.800 | 669,400 | 624,800 | 602,500 | 584,100 | 200.600 | 591,600 |
|  | Markel Area - Zone 4 | 397.600 | 111.500 | 0 | 0 | 51.200 | 475,700 | 282,600 | 15,300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 52,500 |
|  | Markel Area -- Zone 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Storage | 200 | 343.600 | 771.700 | 677,700 | 690,700 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Purchased Resources |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DOMAC | Vapor | 207.500 | 248,000 | 144,700 | 89.800 | 152,100 | 229,900 | 0 | 39,300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 96.600 |
|  | Lquid | 16.200 | 14.400 | 40,000 | 35,600 | 25,000 | 0 | 13.000 | 2.800 | 2.900 | 2,900 | 2,800 | 2,900 |
| LNG from Storage |  | 16,200 | 18,700 | 35,700 | 35.600 | 32,200 | 2,800 | 2.900 | 2.800 | 2,900 | 2,800 | 2,800 | 2,900 |
| Propane | Vapor | 0 | 3.700 | 63.700 | 62,600 | 36,600 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Truck | 0 | 3.700 | 27,100 | 62,600 | $\underline{9}$ | $\underline{0}$ | 22.000 | 22,000 | 22,000 | 7.300 | 0 | 0 |
| Tolal Resources |  | 1,493.300 | 2,293.300 | 2,712,500 | 2.299,300 | 1,888,400 | 1.570.600 | 1,210,500 | \$19,900 | 850,000 | 817.100 | 418.300 | 967,700 |

COMPARISON OF RESOURCES AND REQUIREMENTS
Base Case Design Year 2007-08
(MMBtu)

| REQUIREMENTS |  | 11/2007 | $12 / 2007$ | 01/2008 | 02/2008 | 03/2008 | 04/2008 | 0512008 | 0682008 | 07/2008 | 08/2008 | 09/2008 | 10/2008 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Firm Sendout |  | 1.518.800 | 2,322,400 | 2,710,600 | 2.329.800 | 1,913,500 | 1,139,900 | 667.400 | 394.300 | 305,100 | 303,900 | 425,600 | 995,800 |
| Refill | Underground Storage | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46.200 | 531,300 | 514,300 | 531,300 | 515,100 | 413.900 | 0 |
|  | LNG | 21.100 | 16,500 | 40,000 | 35.700 | 25.000 | 0 | 13.000 | 2,800 | 2,900 | 2,900 | 2.800 | 2,900 |
|  | Propane | $\underline{0}$ | 11600 | 41300 | 40,500 | $\bigcirc$ | - | 22.000 | 22,000 | 22.000 | 7.300 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 |
| Total Requirements |  | 1,539,900 | 2.350,500 | 2.791.000 | 2.406.000 | 1,938,500 | 1.186 .100 | 1,233,700 | 933.400 | 861.300 | 829,200 | 842.300 | 998.700 |
| RESOURCES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PNGTS |  | 3,300 | 4,600 | 5,100 | 4.100 | 4,100 | 2.800 | 2.000 | 1.300 | 1.100 | 1,300 | 3.500 | 2.600 |
| rge | AES-Lordonderry | 1,100 | 81,500 | 179,200 | 78.400 | 64,800 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | ANE | 117,500 | 121,800 | 121,800 | 113,900 | 121.800 | 117,900 | 121.800 | 117,900 | 121,800 | 121,800 | 117,900 | 121.800 |
|  | EP/Nexen | 93,700 | 96,700 | 96,700 | 66.400 | 96,700 | 33.700 | 96.800 | 93.700 | 96,800 | 96.800 | 93.700 | 96,800 |
|  | CoEnergy | 0 | 617.200 | 620.000 | 546,700 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Gulf Suppiy | 615.500 | 636,000 | 636,000 | 595,000 | 636.000 | 647.800 | 669.400 | 630.400 | 613,700 | 596.200 | 623,600 | 601.700 |
|  | Market Area -- Zone 4 | 421,000 | 265,400 | 0 | 0 | 60.200 | 137.800 | 305.800 | 30,200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 66,500 |
|  | Market Area -- Zone 6 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | , | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Slorage | 7.500 | 218.700 | 790,800 | 752,500 | 701.700 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Oner Purcnased Resources |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| dOMAC | Vapor | 237,700 | 248,000 | 147,300 | 96,400 | 159,300 | 183.400 | 0 | 32,300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 103.500 |
|  | Liquxd | 21,100 | 16,500 | 40,000 | 35,700 | 25,000 | 0 | 13,000 | 2,800 | 2.900 | 2.900 | 2.800 | 2.900 |
| LNG From Storage |  | 21,100 | 20,800 | 35,900 | 35,400 | 32,200 | 2,800 | 2,900 | 2,800 | 2.800 | 2,900 | 2.800 | 2.900 |
| Propane | Vapor | 0 | 11,800 | 77,900 | 40,500 | 36,600 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Truck | 0 | 11,600 | 41,300 | 40,500 | $\underline{0}$ | 0 | 22,000 | 22.000 | $\underline{22.000}$ | 7,300 | Q | 0 |
| Total Resources |  | 1,539,900 | 2,350,500 | 2,782,000 | 2.405 .900 | 9,938.400 | 1,186,200 | 1.233,700 | 933.400 | 881.200 | 829,200 | 842,300 | 988.700 |

COMPARISON OF RESOURCES AND REQUIREMENTS Base Case Design Year 2008-09 (MMBtu)

| REQUIREMENTS |  | 11/2009 | 12/2008 | 01/2009 | 02/2009 | 03/2009 | 04/2009 | 05/2009 | 08,2009 | 0772099 | 06/2009 | 09/2009 | 10/2009 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Firm Sendoul |  | 1,553.900 | 2,370,400 | 2,765,600 | 2,301,100 | 1,855,700 | 1,188,800 | 686,800 | 405,500 | 314.400 | 313.900 | 439.600 | 1,021,800 |
| Reful | Underground Storage | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 57,000 | 531,300 | 501.200 | 531.300 | 528.800 | 403,200 | 0 |
|  | LNG | 23.200 | 19,000 | 40,000 | 35,600 | 25,000 | 0 | 13,000 | 2.800 | 2,900 | 2.900 | 2.800 | 2.900 |
|  | Propane | 0 | $\underline{\square}$ | 54,400 | 39,100 | 0 | 0 | $\underline{22,000}$ | 22,000 | 22,000 | 7300 | 9 | $\bigcirc$ |
| Tolal Requirements |  | 1.577.100 | 2.389 .400 | 2,860.000 | 2.375 .800 | 1.980 .700 | 1,225,800 | 1,253.100 | 931.500 | 870.600 | 852,900 | 845,600 | 1,024,700 |
| RESOURCES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PNGTS |  | 3,300 | 4.600 | 5.100 | 3.900 | 4,100 | 2.800 | 2.000 | 1.300 | 1,100 | 1,300 | 1.500 | 2,600 |
| TGP | AES-Londonderry | 0 | 64,400 | 197,100 | 101,600 | 80,600 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | ANE | 117.900 | 121,800 | 121.800 | 110,000 | 121,800 | 117.900 | 121,800 | 117,900 | 121.800 | 121,800 | 117.900 | 121,800 |
|  | BP/Nexen | 93.700 | 96.700 | 86,700 | 63.400 | 96.700 | 93,700 | 96.800 | 93,700 | 96.800 | 96,800 | 93,700 | 96.800 |
|  | CoEnergy | 0 | 613.200 | 618.000 | 552.700 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Guli Supply | 617.200 | 636.000 | 636,000 | 574.500 | 636,000 | 647,900 | 669,400 | 635,000 | 623.000 | 619,900 | 626,900 | 609.600 |
|  | Markel Area - Zone 4 | 441.700 | 294.400 | 0 | 0 | 66,800 | 168,800 | 325.100 | 56,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 78,900 |
|  | Markel Area -- Zone 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Storage | 9.400 | 226.600 | 809,000 | 719.400 | 708.000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Oiter Purchased Resources |  | 7.600 | 45.700 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DOMAC | Vapor | 240,000 | 248.000 | 149,100 | 103,000 | 166,600 | 192.100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 109,200 |
|  | Liquid | 23.200 | 19,000 | 40.000 | 35,600 | 25.000 | 0 | 13,000 | 2.800 | 2,900 | 2,900 | 2.800 | 2.900 |
| LNG Fiom Storage |  | 23,200 | 19,000 | 41,900 | 33,700 | 32,200 | 2.800 | 2,900 | 2,800 | 2.900 | 2.900 | 2.800 | 2.900 |
| Propane | Vapor | 0 | 0 | 91,000 | 39,100 | 36,600 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Truck | 0 | 0 | 54,400 | 39,100 | 0 | $Q$ | 22,000 | 22,000 | 22.000 | 7,300 | $\underline{0}$ | 0 |
| Totat Resources |  | 1.577.200 | 2,389,400 | 2,860,400 | 2,376,000 | 1,980,700 | 1,226,000 | 1,253,000 | 931,500 | 870.500 | 852.900 | 845,600 | 1.024.700 |

## COMPARISON OF RESOURCES AND REQUIREMENTS <br> Base Case Design Year 2009-10 (MMBtu)

| REQUIREMENTS |  | 11/2009 | 12/2009 | 01/2010 | $02 / 2010$ | 03/2010 | 04/2010 | 05v2010 | $08 / 2010$ | $07 / 2010$ | 002010 | 29\%010 | 10/2010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Firm Sendout |  | 1.580 .800 | 2.420,600 | 2,823,200 | 2.348 .900 | 1,990.900 | 1.190.100 | 707.100 | 417,200 | 324,200 | 324,500 | 454.300 | 1.049,000 |
| Retils | Underground Storage | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 85,300 | 531.300 | 500,100 | 531,300 | 528,300 | 391.500 | 0 |
|  | LNG | 25,000 | 20.100 | 40,000 | 36,300 | 25,000 | 0 | 13,000 | 2,800 | 2,900 | 2.900 | 2,800 | 2,900 |
|  | Propane | Q | $\bigcirc$ | 88,300 | 4,200 | O | $\bigcirc$ | 22,000 | 22,000 | 22,000 | 7300 | $\underline{0}$ | 0 |
| Total Requrements |  | 1,615,800 | 2.440,700 | 2,952,500 | 2,389,400 | 2.024.900 | 1.284,400 | 1,273,400 | 942.100 | 880.400 | 864,000 | 848.600 | 1.051,900 |
| RESOURCES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PNGTS |  | 3,300 | 4,600 | 5,100 | 3.900 | 4.100 | 2,800 | 2,000 | 1,300 | 1.100 | 1.300 | 1,500 | 2.600 |
| TGP | AES-Londonderry | 0 | 90.000 | 222.700 | 125.100 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | ANE | 117,900 | 121.800 | 121.800 | 140,000 | 121.800 | 117.900 | 121,800 | 117.900 | 121,800 | 121.800 | 117.900 | 121.800 |
|  | BP/Nexen | 83.700 | 96,700 | 96,700 | 83,400 | 96,700 | 93.700 | 96,800 | 83.700 | 96,800 | 96,800 | 93,700 | 98.800 |
|  | CoEnergy | 0 | 604.000 | 620.000 | 580,000 | 0 | O | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
|  | Gulf Supply | 644.700 | 669.500 | 636,000 | 574.500 | 636,000 | 647.900 | 869.400 | 638,800 | 632,900 | 630,800 | 629,900 | 617,300 |
|  | Market Area -- Zone 4 | 463.600 | 313,300 | 0 | 0 | 76.600 | 225,900 | 345,500 | 62,900 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 91.900 |
|  | Markel Avea - Zone 6 | 17.100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 114.400 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Storage | 9.400 | 216,800 | 822.300 | 731,400 | 707,800 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Purchased Resources |  | 0 | 32,100 | 15,900 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DOMAC | Vapor | 216.200 | 248.000 | 152,900 | 108.200 | 173.500 | 193,500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 195,700 |
|  | Liquid | 25,000 | 20,100 | 40.000 | 36,300 | 25,000 | 0 | 13.000 | 2,800 | 2,900 | 2.900 | 2.800 | 2.800 |
| LNG From Storage |  | 25,000 | 23,900 | 40,700 | 31,700 | 32,200 | 2,800 | 2,900 | 2.800 | 2.900 | 2,900 | 2.800 | 2,500 |
| Propane | Vapor | 0 | 0 | 89.300 | 40.700 | 36,600 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Truck | @ | 0 | 89,300 | 4.200 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 22,000 | $\underline{22,000}$ | 22,000 | 7,300 | 0 | $\underline{0}$ |
| Tolal Resources |  | 1,615,900 | 2.440 .500 | 2.952 .700 | 2.389,400 | 2.024.700 | 1.284.500 | 1,273,400 | 942,200 | 880,400 | 863,900 | 848,600 | 1,051,000 |

## COMPARISON OF RESOURCES AND REQUIREMENTS <br> Base Case Design Year 2010-11 <br> (MMBtu)

| REQUREMENTS |  | 1912010 | 12/2010 | 0012019 | $02 / 2011$ | 03/2019 | 0a/2011 | 05/2011 | 06/2011 | $07 / 2011$ | 082011 | 09/2011 | 102011 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Firm Sencout |  | 1.632,600 | 2.477 .600 | 2,888,600 | 2,403,200 | 2,050,000 | 1.233,600 | 730,300 | 430.700 | 335.500 | 336,600 | 471.100 | 1,080.000 |
| Refill | Underground Slorage | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 98.600 | 531.300 | 499.200 | 531,300 | 530,100 | 378,100 | 0 |
|  | LNG | 25.000 | 21,300 | 40.000 | 37.500 | 25.000 | 0 | 13.000 | 2.800 | 2,900 | 2.900 | 2,800 | 2,900 |
|  | Propane | $\underline{\square}$ | $\underline{0}$ | 42.400 | 51,100 | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | 22,000 | $\underline{22,000}$ | 2.900 | $\underline{0}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| Total Requrements |  | 1.657.600 | 2.498 .900 | 2.971,000 | 2.491,800 | 2.075.000 | 1,332,200 | 1,296.600 | 954,700 | 872,600 | 869,600 | 852,000 | 1.082 .500 |
| RESOURCES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PNGTS |  | 3.300 | 4.600 | 5,100 | 3,900 | 4.100 | 2.800 | 2.000 | 1,300 | 1.100 | 1.300 | 1.500 | 2.600 |
| TGP | AES-Londonderty | 0 | 68,100 | 242,300 | 139,600 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | ANE | 117.900 | 121,800 | 121.800 | 110,000 | 121,800 | 117,900 | 121.800 | 117.900 | 121,800 | 121.800 | 117.900 | 121.800 |
|  | BP/Nexen | 93.700 | 96,700 | 96,700 | 66,400 | 96,700 | 93,700 | 96,800 | 90.600 | 96,800 | 96.800 | 93.700 | 96,800 |
|  | CoEnergy | 0 | 604,000 | 620.000 | 560.000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Gulf Supply | 646,100 | 689,500 | 636.000 | 574,500 | 636.000 | 647.800 | 669.400 | 845,900 | 644.200 | 643,800 | 633.400 | 625.700 |
|  | Markel Area -- Zone 4 | 486,400 | 368,100 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 81,900 | 315.700 | 368.600 | 71.400 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 107,700 |
|  | Markel Asea -- Zone 6 | 32,700 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 175.400 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Storage | 9.400 | 197,500 | 836.100 | 748,000 | 696,700 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Oner Purchased Resources |  | 0 | 77.000 | 51,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DOMAC | Vacor | 218.200 | 248.000 | 160.100 | 113.000 | 194.900 | 151.500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 122,400 |
|  | Liquid | 25.000 | 21.300 | 40.000 | 37.500 | 25.000 | 0 | 13,000 | 2,800 | 2.900 | 2.900 | 2.800 | 2,900 |
| LNG From Storage |  | 25,000 | 21,300 | 40,700 | 36,800 | 32,200 | 2.800 | 2,900 | 2.800 | 2,900 | 2,900 | 2,800 | 2.900 |
| Propane | Vapor | 0 | 0 | 78,000 | 51,400 | 10,300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Truck | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 42,400 | 51,100 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 22,000 | $\underline{22,000}$ | 2,900 | $\bigcirc$ | - | 0 |
| Tolal Resources |  | 1.657.700 | 2,498,900 | 2,971.200 | 2.491,900 | 2,075,000 | 1.332.200 | 1,296,500 | 954.700 | 872.500 | 869.500 | 852.100 | 1,082,800 |

# COMPARISON OF RESOURCES AND REQUIREMENTS <br> Base Case Normal Year (MMBtu) <br> Heating Season (Nov-Mar) 

REQUIREMENTS
Firm Sendout

Refill | Underground Storage |
| :--- |
| LNG |
| Propane |

Total Requirements

| $\underline{2006-07}$ | $\underline{2007-08}$ | $\underline{2008-09}$ | $\underline{2009-10}$ | $\underline{2010-11}$ |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $9,441,300$ | $9,757,800$ | $9,904,300$ | $10,125,700$ | $10,377,200$ |  |
|  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 600 | $\underline{05,600}$ | 114,400 | 122,300 | 125,000 | 131,200 |
| $\underline{93,500}$ | $\underline{93,500}$ | $\underline{93,500}$ | $\underline{93,500}$ | $\underline{93,400}$ |  |
| $9,601,000$ | $9,965,700$ | $10,120,100$ | $10,344,200$ | $10,601,800$ |  |

## RESOURCES

| PNGTS |  | 21,000 | 21,200 | 21,000 | 21,000 | 21,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TGP | AES-Londonderry | 0 | 12,100 | 70,900 | 111,500 | 178,100 |
|  | ANE | 584,700 | 588,600 | 593,300 | 593,300 | 593,300 |
|  | BP / Nexen | 447,200 | 450,200 | 447,200 | 447,200 | 447,200 |
|  | CoEnergy | 1,784,000 | 1,784,100 | 1,784,000 | 1,784,000 | 1,784,000 |
|  | Gulf Supply | 3,098,000 | 3,118,500 | 3,098,000 | 3,122,800 | 3,129,600 |
|  | Market Area -- Zone 4 | 327,500 | 360,100 | 382,800 | 435,700 | 549,700 |
|  | Market Area -- Zone 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34,600 | 92,000 |
|  | Storage | 2,406,300 | 2,488,400 | 2,475,300 | 2,487,700 | 2,471,700 |
| Other Purchased Resources |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DOMAC | Vapor | 538,900 | 646,800 | 736,100 | 789,400 | 842,600 |
|  | Liquid | 65,600 | 114,400 | 122,300 | 125,000 | 131,200 |
| LNG From Storage |  | 72,900 | 121,500 | 129,500 | 132,200 | 138,400 |
| Propane | Vapor | 161,800 | 166,700 | 166,700 | 166,700 | 130,000 |
|  | Truck | $\underline{93,500}$ | 93,500 | 93,500 | 93,500 | 93,400 |
| Total Resources |  | 9,601,400 | 9,966,100 | 10,120,600 | 10,344,600 | 10,602,200 |

# COMPARISON OF RESOURCES AND REQUIREMENTS <br> Base Case Normal Year <br> (MMBtu) 

Non-Heating Season (Apr-Oct)

| REQUIREMENTS |  | 2006-07 | 2007-08 | 2008-09 | 2009-10 | 2010-11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Firm Sendout |  | 3,813,000 | 3,950,100 | 4,064,600 | 4,184,600 | 4,321,900 |
| Refill | Underground Storage | 2,483,600 | 2,569,500 | 2,556,000 | 2,568,700 | 2,551,900 |
|  | LNG | 27,300 | 27,300 | 27,300 | 27,300 | 27,300 |
|  | Propane | 68,400 | 73,300 | 73,300 | 73,300 | 36,600 |
| Total Requirements |  | 6,392,300 | 6,620,200 | 6,721,200 | 6,853,900 | 6,937,700 |
| RESOURCES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PNGTS |  | 12,600 | 12,600 | 12,600 | 12,600 | 12,600 |
| TGP | AES-Londonderry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | ANE | 840,900 | 840,900 | 840,900 | 840,900 | 840,900 |
|  | BP / Nexen | 668,300 | 668,300 | 668,300 | 668,300 | 668,300 |
|  | CoEnergy | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Gulf Supply | 3,679,900 | 4,230,200 | 4,380,500 | 4,436,200 | 4,478,800 |
|  | Market Area -- Zone 4 | 405,900 | 186,300 | 226,300 | 356,700 | 487,800 |
|  | Market Area -- Zone 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Storage | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Purchased Resources |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DOMAC | Vapor | 669,100 | 561,200 | 472,000 | 418,600 | 365,400 |
|  | Liquid | 27,300 | 27,300 | 27,300 | 27,300 | 27,300 |
| LNG From Storage |  | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 |
| Propane | Vapor | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Truck | 68,400 | 73,300 | 73,300 | 73,300 | 36,600 |
| Total Resources |  | 6,392,400 | 6,620,100 | 6,721,200 | 6,853,900 | 6,937,700 |

## COMPARISON OF RESOURCES AND REQUIREMENTS <br> Base Case Normal Year 2006-07 <br> (MMBtu)

| REQUIREMENTS |  | 11/2006 | 12/2006 | 01/2007 | 022007 | 03/2007 | $0 \times 12007$ | O5/2007 | $06 / 2007$ | 0712007 | 08/2007 | 09/2007 | 1026007 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Firm Sendout |  | 1.347,600 | 2,052,800 | 2,366.400 | 1,956,700 | 1.717.800 | 1,004,100 | 620,600 | 340.800 | 293,000 | 289.700 | 381.000 | 883.800 |
| Refal | Underground Slorage | 600 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 396,600 | 531.300 | 514,300 | 531.300 | 502.400 | 7.700 | 0 |
|  | LNG | 3.800 | 14.400 | 22.400 | 0 | 25,000 | 0 | 13,000 | 2.800 | 2.900 | 2.900 | 2,800 | 2,900 |
|  | Propane | 0 | 17.100 | 76,400 | $\underline{0}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\underline{0}$ | 22,000 | 22.000 | 22.000 | 2.400 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ |
| Total Requrements |  | 1.352 .000 | 2.094.300 | 2,465,200 | 1,956,700 | 1,742,800 | 1,400,700 | 1.188.900 | 878.900 | 849,200 | 797.400 | 381,500 | 886,700 |
| RESOURCES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PNGTS |  | 3,300 | 4.600 | 5. 100 | 3.900 | 4.100 | 2.800 | 2.000 | 1,300 | 1.100 | 1.300 | 1.500 | 2.600 |
| tGP | AES-Londonderry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | ANE | 117.900 | 121,800 | 121.800 | 101.400 | 121,800 | 117.000 | 121,800 | 117,000 | 121.800 | 121,800 | 117.900 | 121.800 |
|  | BP/ Nexem | 93,700 | 96.700 | 56.700 | 63.400 | 96,700 | 93.700 | 96,800 | 93,700 | 96,800 | 96.800 | 93.700 | 86.800 |
|  | CoEnergy | 0 | 610.200 | 618.700 | 555,100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Guli Supply | 615.500 | 636,000 | 636,000 | 574,500 | 636.000 | 647.800 | 869.400 | 620.800 | 601,700 | 569,300 | 172,800 | 388.100 |
|  | Markel Asea- Zone 4 | 298.000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29.500 | 304,600 | 79.100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22,200 |
|  | Market Area -- Zone 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Storage | 600 | 499,900 | 690,300 | 559,400 | 856,400 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Purchased Resources |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| dOMAC | Vapor | 215,500 | 45.500 | 105.100 | 53.400 | \$19.400 | 231,200 | 179.800 | 18,700 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 239.400 |
|  | Liquid | 3,800 | 14,400 | 22.400 | 0 | 25,000 | 0 | 13.000 | 2.800 | 2,800 | 2.900 | 2,800 | 2.900 |
| LNG From Slorage |  | 3,800 | 21.100 | 15,700 | 10.100 | 22.200 | 2,800 | 2.800 | 2.800 | 2,900 | 2,900 | 2.800 | 2.900 |
| Propane | Vapor | 0 | 17.100 | 77.100 | 35.900 | 31.700 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Truck | $Q$ | 17,100 | 76,400 | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | 0 | 22,000 | 22.000 | 22,000 | 2400 | $\bigcirc$ | $\underline{0}$ |
| Total Resources |  | 1.352.100 | 2,084,400 | 2.465,300 | 1,956,800 | 1.742 .800 | 1,400,800 | 1,186,800 | 880,000 | 849.200 | 787.400 | 391.500 | 886.700 |

## COMPARISON OF RESOURCES AND REQUIREMENTS Base Case Normal Year 2007-08 (MMBtu)

| REQUIREMENTS |  | 11/2007 | 12/2007 | $01 / 2008$ | 02/2008 | 03/2008 | 04/2008 | 05/2008 | 06/2008 | 07/2008 | 08/2008 | 09/2008 | 10/2008 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Firm Sendout |  | 1,386,900 | 2,108,300 | 2,427,300 | 2,072,500 | 1,764,800 | 1,036,800 | 642,700 | 354,100 | 304,300 | 301.700 | 397,300 | 913,200 |
| Refill | Underground Storage | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 124,000 | 531,300 | 514,300 | 531,300 | 513,000 | 355,600 | 0 |
|  | LNG | 5,700 | 14,400 | 37,300 | 32,000 | 25,000 | 0 | 13,000 | 2,800 | 2.900 | 2,900 | 2,800 | 2,900 |
|  | Propane | $\bigcirc$ | 15,800 | 48,200 | $\underline{29,500}$ | Q | $\bigcirc$ | $\underline{22,000}$ | $\underline{22.000}$ | $\underline{22.000}$ | 7.300 | $\underline{\square}$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| Tolal Recurements |  | 1,392.600 | 2.136,500 | 2.512.800 | 2,134,000 | 1,789,800 | 1,160,800 | 1,209,000 | 893.200 | 860.500 | 824.900 | 755.700 | 916,100 |
| RESOURCES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PNGTS |  | 3,300 | 4.600 | 5.100 | 4,100 | 4,100 | 2,800 | 2,000 | 1,300 | 1.100 | 1,300 | 1.500 | 2.600 |
| TGP | AES-Londonderry | 0 | 12,100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | ANE | 117,900 | 121,800 | 121,800 | 105,300 | 121,800 | 117,900 | 121,800 | 117.900 | 121,800 | 121,800 | 117,900 | 121,800 |
|  | BP/Nexen | 93,700 | 96,700 | 96,700 | 66,400 | 96,700 | 93,700 | 96,800 | 93,700 | 96,800 | 96,800 | 93,700 | 96,800 |
|  | CoEnergy | - | 608,800 | 610,300 | 565.000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Gull Supply | 615.500 | 636,000 | 636,000 | 595,000 | 636,000 | 647,900 | 669,400 | 627,300 | 612,900 | 591,900 | 537,000 | 543.800 |
|  | Market Area - Zone 4 | 321,500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38,600 | 63.200 | 93,700 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29,400 |
|  | Market Area - Zone 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Storage | 7.500 | 481,800 | 720,400 | 617,500 | 661,400 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Purchased Resources |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DOMAC | Vapor | 221,900 | 106,800 | 121,600 | 60,100 | 136,400 | 232,600 | 187,400 | 25,400 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 115.800 |
|  | Liquid | 5,700 | 14.400 | 37,300 | 32,000 | 25,000 | 0 | 13.000 | 2,800 | 2.900 | 2,900 | 2,800 | 2.900 |
| LNG From Storage |  | 5,700 | 18,200 | 39,000 | 29,700 | 28,900 | 2,800 | 2,900 | 2,800 | 2,900 | 2,900 | 2,800 | 2,900 |
| Propane | Vapor | 0 | 19,700 | 76.600 | 29,500 | 40,900 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Ituck | $\underline{\square}$ | 15,800 | 48,200 | 29,500 | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{22,000}$ | $\underline{22.000}$ | $\underline{22.000}$ | 7.300 | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ |
| Total Resources |  | 1,392,700 | 2,136,500 | 2,513,000 | 2,134,100 | 1,789,800 | 1,160,900 | 1,209,000 | 893,200 | 860,400 | 824,900 | 755,700 | 916,000 |

COMPARISON OF RESOURCES AND REQUIREMENTS
Base Case Normal Year 2008-09
(MMBtu)

| REQUIREMENTS |  | 11,2008 | 12/2009 | 012009 | $02 / 2009$ | 03/2009 | 042009 | 05/2009 | 06/2009 | $07 / 2009$ | 0e82099 | 09/2009 | 10/2009 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Firm Sendoul |  | 1,420.000 | 2,151.200 | 2.478,500 | 2.050,300 | 1,804,300 | 1,064.200 | 681,200 | 365,200 | 313,600 | 311,700 | 410.900 | 937,800 |
| Refill | Underground Slorage | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43.600 | 531,300 | 510,400 | 531,300 | 522,900 | 416.500 | 0 |
|  | LNG | 7.300 | 14,400 | 40,000 | 35.600 | 25.000 | 0 | 13,000 | 2,800 | 2,900 | 2.900 | 2.800 | 2,900 |
|  | Propane | $\bigcirc$ | O | 56,000 | 37,500 | $\bigcirc$ | $\underline{0}$ | 22,000 | 22.000 | 22,000 | 2300 | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{\square}$ |
| Tolal Requirements |  | 1,427,300 | 2,165.600 | 2.574.500 | 2,123,400 | 1,829,300 | 1,107.800 | 1,227,500 | 900,400 | 869,800 | 844.800 | 830,200 | 940.700 |
| RESOURCES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PNGTS |  | 3.300 | 4.600 | 5,100 | 3,900 | 4,100 | 2.800 | 2.000 | 1,300 | 1.100 | 1.300 | 1,500 | 2,600 |
| tgp | AES-Londonderry | 0 | 45000 | 8.200 | 0 | 16,700 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | ANE | 117,900 | 129.800 | 121,800 | 110,000 | 121,800 | 117.900 | 121,800 | 117,900 | 121,800 | 121.800 | 117.500 | 121,800 |
|  | BP/ Nexen | 23,700 | 96.700 | 96,700 | 68.900 | 91,200 | 93.700 | 96.800 | 93,700 | 96.800 | 96,800 | 93.700 | 96,800 |
|  | CoEnergy | 0 | 617.600 | 620,000 | 546.400 | 0 | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Gulf Supply | 615.500 | 636.000 | 636.000 | 574.500 | 636.000 | 647.800 | 669.400 | 632,500 | 622,300 | 811.700 | 611.500 | 585,300 |
|  | Market Area -- Zone 4 | 345,200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37.600 | 81,100 | 106.900 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38,300 |
|  | Markel Area - Zone ó | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Storage | 9,400 | 443,100 | 727.700 | 608.600 | 686,500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Purchased Resources |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DOMAC | Vapor | 227.800 | 169,900 | 131.200 | 85,600 | 141.600 | 181,700 | 192.700 | 27.500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 90.100 |
|  | Liquid | 7.300 | 14,400 | 40.000 | 35.600 | 25.000 | 0 | 13.000 | 2,800 | 2,900 | 2.900 | 2,800 | 2.900 |
| LNG From Storage |  | 7,300 | 16.500 | 38.500 | 35,000 | 32.200 | 2,800 | 2,900 | 2.800 | 2.900 | 2.900 | 2.800 | 2,900 |
| Propane | Vapor | 0 | 0 | 92,600 | 37.500 | 36,600 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Iruck | $\bigcirc$ | $\underline{9}$ | 56,000 | 37500 | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | 22,000 | 22.000 | 22.000 | 7,309 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 |
| Total Resources |  | 1,427,400 | 2,165.600 | 2,574,800 | 2,123,500 | 1.829.300 | 1,107,800 | 1.227.500 | 900,500 | 869.800 | 844.700 | 830.200 | 940,700 |


|  |  | COMPARISON OF RESOURCES AND REQUIREMENTS Base Case Normal Year 2009-10 <br> (MMBtu) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| REQUIR | ments | 11/2009 | 122009 | Q1/2010 | 022010 | $03 / 2010$ | 0420010 | $\underline{05 / 2010}$ | 068010 | 0732010 | 088010 | 923030 | 1022010 |
| Firm Sen | out | 1,454,600 | 2,198,300 | 2.532.100 | 2,095,000 | 1.845.700 | 1,082,000 | B60,600 | 376.800 | 323.400 | 322,200 | 425,100 | 983.600 |
| Refill | Underground Slorage | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 56,900 | 531,300 | 502,200 | 531.300 | 527,200 | 419.800 | 0 |
|  | LNG | 10.000 | 14.400 | 40,000 | 35.600 | 25.000 | 0 | 13,000 | 2,800 | 2,900 | 2,900 | 2,800 | 2.900 |
|  | Propane | $\bigcirc$ | Q | 42.100 | 55.400 | 9 | $\bigcirc$ | 22,000 | 22.000 | $\underline{32.000}$ | 7.300 | 0 | Q |
| Tolal Req | uiverments | 1.464,600 | 2,212.700 | 2.614.200 | 2.182 .000 | 1,870,700 | 1,149,800 | 1,246,900 | 903.800 | 879,600 | 859.600 | 847.700 | 968,500 |
| RESOURCES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PNGTS |  | 3,300 | 4.600 | 5,100 | 3.900 | 4,900 | 2,800 | 2.000 | 1.300 | 1,100 | 1,300 | 1,500 | 2.600 |
| TGP | AES-Londorderty | 0 | 59,400 | 52.100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | ANE | 117,900 | 121,800 | 121,800 | 110,000 | 121.800 | 117,900 | 121,800 | 117.900 | 121,800 | 121,800 | 117.900 | 123.800 |
|  | BP/Nexen | 90,700 | 96.700 | 96.700 | 63,400 | 90.700 | 93.700 | 96.800 | 93,700 | 96,800 | 98,800 | 93.700 | 85.800 |
|  | CoEnergy | 0 | 609,400 | 615,400 | 559.200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Guif Supply | 640,300 | 636.000 | 636,000 | 574,500 | 836.000 | 647,900 | 669.400 | 637,300 | 632,100 | 828,500 | 629,100 | 593.900 |
|  | Markel Area - Zone 4 | 371,600 | 13.800 | 0 | 0 | 50,300 | 100,600 | 207.600 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 48.500 |
|  | Market Area .. Zone 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34,600 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Slorage | 9.400 | 418,700 | 750,100 | 625,800 | 683,700 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Pu | chased Resources | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 |
| DOMAC | vapor | 208,500 | 223,500 | 136,400 | 79.400 | 149,600 | 184,200 | 111,400 | 26,000 | 0 | 0 | $\mathfrak{0}$ | 97.000 |
|  | Liquid | 10,000 | 14.400 | 40.000 | 35.600 | 25,000 | 0 | 13,000 | 2,800 | 2.900 | 2.800 | 2.800 | 2,900 |
| LNG Fro | Storage | 10,000 | 14,400 | 40.000 | 35.600 | 32.200 | 2.800 | 2,900 | 2.800 | 2,900 | 2.900 | 2.800 | 2,900 |
| Propane | Vapor | 0 | 0 | 78.700 | 51,400 | 36,600 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Inuck | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 42,100 | 51,400 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 22000 | 22,000 | 23,000 | 7300 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Resources |  | 1,464,700 | 2.212.700 | 2,614,400 | 2.182,200 | 1,870.600 | 1.148,900 | 1,246,900 | 903,800 | 879,600 | 889.500 | 847,800 | 966.400 |

## COMPARISON OF RESOURCES AND REQUIREMENTS Base Case Normal Year 2010-11 (MMBtu)

| REQUIREMENTS |  | 11/2010 | 12/2010 | 01/2011 | Q272019 | 03/2011 | 042011 | 05/2011 | 062011 | 0772011 | Q82011 | 0922012 | 10/2011 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Firm Sendoul |  | 1,493,500 | 2,251,700 | 2,593,000 | 2,44,900 | 1,892,700 | 1,125,500 | 702.800 | 300,200 | 334.700 | 334.200 | 441.500 | \$98,000 |
| Refill | Underground Storage | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 53,000 | 531,300 | 500.600 | 531,300 | 528.700 | 407.000 | 0 |
|  | LNG | 16,200 | 14.400 | 40.000 | 35.600 | 25.000 | 0 | 13.000 | 2,800 | 2.900 | 2,900 | 2.800 | 2.900 |
|  | Propane | $\bigcirc$ | 600 | $\underline{24.500}$ | 68.300 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 22,000 | 14,600 | $\bigcirc$ | 9 | 9 | $Q$ |
| Total Requiremenis |  | 1,510,100 | 2.266,700 | 2,657.500 | 2,249,800 | 1,917,700 | 1,178,500 | 1,269,100 | 908.200 | 888.900 | 865,800 | 851.300 | 995.900 |
| Resources |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PNGTS |  | 3,300 | 4.600 | 5,100 | 3,900 | 4,100 | 2,800 | 2.000 | 1,300 | 1,900 | 1.300 | 1,500 | 2,600 |
| tgp | AES-Londondery | - | 72.700 | 105,400 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | ANE | 117.900 | 121.800 | 121,800 | 110,000 | 121,800 | 117,900 | 121,800 | 117,900 | 121,800 | 127,800 | 117,900 | 121,800 |
|  | BP/Nexen | 93.700 | 96.700 | 96,700 | 63,400 | 96,700 | 99,700 | 96.800 | 93.700 | 96,800 | 96.800 | 93.700 | 96,800 |
|  | CoEnergy | 0 | 604,000 | 620,000 | 560.000 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Gulf Supply | 641.900 | 641,200 | 636,000 | 574.500 | 636.000 | 647,800 | 669.400 | 642,200 | 643.400 | 640.100 | 632,600 | 603.300 |
|  | Markel Area - Zone 4 | 399,700 | 97,000 | 0 | 0 | 52,400 | 122,700 | 303.900 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 61,200 |
|  | Markel Area -- Zone 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 92,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Slorage | 9.400 | 350,200 | 764.800 | 647.900 | 699,600 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Purchased Resources |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DOMAC | Vapor | 211,900 | 248,000 | 142.400 | 82,400 | 157,800 | 190.900 | 37.200 | 32.900 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 104.400 |
|  | Lquid | 16.200 | 14,400 | 40,000 | 35.600 | 25,000 | $\bigcirc$ | 13,000 | 2.800 | 2,900 | 2.900 | 2.800 | 2.000 |
| LNG From Siorage |  | 16.200 | 14,400 | 40,000 | 35.600 | 32,200 | 2,800 | 2.900 | 2.800 | 2.900 | 2.900 | 2.800 | 2.900 |
| Propane | Vapor | 0 | 000 | 69,100 | 68.300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Truck | $\underline{0}$ | 600 | 24,500 | 68.300 | $\bigcirc$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{22.000}$ | 14,600 | $\underline{0}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| Total Resources |  | 1,510,200 | 2,266,800 | 2.657,600 | 2,249,900 | 1,917,700 | 1.178,600 | 1,269,000 | 903,200 | 868.500 | 885.800 | 851.300 | 995.300 |

## EnergyNorth High Case <br> Resources and Requirements 2006-07 Through 2010-11

# COMPARISON OF RESOURCES AND REQUIREMENTS High Case Design Year (MMBtu) <br> Heating Season (Nov-Mar) 

## REQUIREMENTS <br> Firm Sendout <br> 

Total Requirements

## RESOURCES

| PNGTS |  | 21,000 | 21,200 | 21,000 | 21,000 | 21,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TGP | AES-Londonderry | 424,100 | 450,100 | 450,100 | 450,000 | 450,000 |
|  | ANE | 584,700 | 597,200 | 593,300 | 593,300 | 593,300 |
|  | BP / Nexen | 447,200 | 450,200 | 447,200 | 471,200 | 469,500 |
|  | CoEnergy | 1,784,000 | 1,783,900 | 1,784,000 | 1,784,000 | 1,784,000 |
|  | Gulf Supply | 3,149,400 | 3,123,500 | 3,107,100 | 3,163,200 | 3,163,900 |
|  | Market Area - Zone 4 | 705,900 | 921,300 | 972,700 | 1,008,700 | 1,105,600 |
|  | Market Area -- Zone 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 249,200 | 343,900 |
|  | Storage | 2,470,100 | 2,487,700 | 2,487,700 | 2,487,600 | 2,487,700 |
| Other Purchased Resources |  | 0 | 145,000 | 311,600 | 245,700 | 376,400 |
| DOMAC | Vapor | 867,800 | 920,300 | 960,800 | 988,800 | 1,035,300 |
|  | Liquid | 139,400 | 147,900 | 150,000 | 150,000 | 150,000 |
| LNG From Storage |  | 146,600 | 155,200 | 157,300 | 157,200 | 157,300 |
| Propane | Vapor | 166,600 | 166,700 | 166,700 | 166,700 | 160,200 |
|  | Truck | 93,400 | 93,500 | 93,500 | 93,500 | 93,500 |
| Total Resources |  | 11,000,200 | 11,463,700 | 11,703,000 | 12,030,100 | 12,391,600 |

# COMPARISON OF RESOURCES AND REQUIREMENTS High Case Design Year (MMBtu) 

Non-Heating Season (Apr-Oct)
REQUIREMENTS
Firm Sendout

Refill | Underground Storage |
| :---: |
| LNG |
| Propane |

Total Requirements

| $\underline{2006-07}$ | $\underline{2007-08}$ | $\underline{2008-09}$ | $\underline{2009-10}$ | $\underline{2010-11}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $4,264,200$ | $4,469,300$ | $4,638,200$ | $4,814,700$ | $5,009,900$ |
| $2,548,200$ | $2,568,800$ | $2,568,900$ | $2,568,900$ | $2,568,700$ |
| 27,300 | 27,300 | 27,300 | 27,300 | 27,300 |
| $\underline{73,300}$ | $\underline{73,300}$ | $\underline{73,300}$ | $\underline{73,300}$ | $\underline{66,900}$ |
| $6,913,000$ | $7,138,700$ | $7,307,700$ | $7,484,200$ | $7,672,800$ |

RESOURCES

| PNGTS |  | 12,600 | 12,600 | 12,600 | 12,600 | 12,600 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TGP | AES-Londonderry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | ANE | 840,900 | 840,900 | 840,900 | 840,900 | 840,900 |
|  | BP / Nexen | 668,300 | 668,300 | 668,300 | 644,200 | 645,800 |
|  | CoEnergy | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Gulf Supply | 3,991,900 | 4,455,500 | 4,517,500 | 4,583,300 | 4,613,300 |
|  | Market Area -- Zone 4 | 938,200 | 753,000 | 900,400 | 1,063,200 | 1,273,000 |
|  | Market Area -- Zone 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Storage | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Purchased Resources |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DOMAC | Vapor | 340,200 | 287,700 | 247,300 | 219,100 | 172,700 |
|  | Liquid | 27,300 | 27,300 | 27,300 | 27,300 | 27,300 |
| LNG From Storage |  | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 |
| Propane | Vapor | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Truck | 73,300 | 73,300 | 73,300 | 73,300 | 66,900 |
| Total Resources |  | 6,912,700 | 7,138,600 | 7,307,600 | 7,483,900 | 7,672,500 |

# COMPARISON OF RESOURCES AND REQUIREMENTS High Case Design Year (MMBtu) 

Peak Day

| REQUIREMENTS | 2006-07 | 2007-08 | 2008-09 | 2009-10 | 2010-11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Firm Sendout | 143,000 | 147,700 | 151,500 | 155,600 | 160,000 |
| Refill Underground Storage | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| LNG | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 |
| Propane | 4,640 | $\underline{0}$ | 0 | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ |
| Total Requirements | 149,640 | 149,700 | 153,500 | 157,600 | 162,000 |
| RESOURCES |  |  |  |  |  |
| PNGTS | 160 | 160 | 160 | 160 | 160 |
| TGP AES-Londonderry | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 |
| ANE | 3,970 | 3,970 | 3,970 | 3,970 | 3,970 |
| BP / Nexen | 3,120 | 3,120 | 3,120 | 3,120 | 3,120 |
| CoEnergy | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 |
| Gulf Supply | 21,600 | 21,600 | 21,600 | 21,600 | 21,600 |
| Market Area -- Zone 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Market Area -- Zone 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage | 28,110 | 28,110 | 28,110 | 28,110 | 28,110 |
| Other Purchased Resources | 0 | 730 | 22,140 | 40,000 | 40,000 |
| DOMAC Vapor | 8,000 | 8,000 | 8,000 | 8,000 | 8,000 |
| Liquid | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 |
| LNG From Storage | 8,100 | 12,060 | 2,000 | 5,810 | 12,060 |
| Propane Vapor | 35,000 | 35,000 | 27,510 | 9,880 | 8,080 |
| Truck | 4.640 | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ |
| Total Resources | 149,700 | 149,750 | 153,610 | 157,650 | 162,100 |

## COMPARISON OF RESOURCES AND REQUIREMENTS

High Case Design Year 2006-07
(MMBtu)

| REQUIREMENTS |  | 1172006 | 1212006 | 01/2007 | Q22007 | 03/2007 | $04 / 2007$ | 05/2007 | 96/2007 | 0778007 | Q8/2007 | 092007 | 102007 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Firm Sendout |  | 1.525.700 | 2,331,600 | 2,721.700 | 2.254,200 | 1,921,500 | 1,146,100 | 872.400 | 398,100 | 308.700 | 307,600 | 429,700 | 1,001,600 |
| Refill | Underground Storage | 2,400 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 448.500 | 531,300 | 514,300 | 531,300 | 515.400 | 7.700 | 0 |
|  | LNG | 22.000 | 16,800 | 40.000 | 35,600 | 25,000 | 0 | 13,000 | 2,800 | 2,900 | 2.900 | 2,800 | 2,900 |
|  | Propane | $\bigcirc$ | 13,100 | 44,600 | 35,700 | Q | $\underline{0}$ | 22,000 | 22,000 | 22,000 | 7300 | $\underline{0}$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| Total Requirements |  | 1.550.100 | 2,361,500 | 2,806.300 | 2,335,500 | 1.946,500 | 1,594,600 | 1.238,700 | 937.200 | 884.000 | 832,500 | 440.200 | 1,004,500 |
| Resources |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PNGTS |  | 3.300 | 4.600 | 5,100 | 3,900 | 4,100 | 2,800 | 2.000 | 1.300 | 1.100 | 1.300 | 1,500 | 2.600 |
| TGP | AES-Londonderry | 2,300 | 82.300 | 183,400 | 87.700 | 68.400 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | ANE | 177.900 | 121.800 | 121,800 | 101.400 | 121,800 | 117.300 | 121,800 | 117.900 | 121,800 | 121,800 | 117,900 | 121.800 |
|  | BP/Nexen | 93,700 | 96,700 | 96,700 | 63,400 | 96,700 | 93,700 | 96,800 | 93.700 | 96,800 | 96,800 | 93,700 | 96,800 |
|  | CoEnergy | 0 | 604.000 | 620,000 | 580.000 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Gull Supply | 642,400 | 660.500 | 636,000 | 574,500 | 836.000 | 647,900 | 669,400 | 632.200 | 617.300 | 599.900 | 227.500 | 603,700 |
|  | Market Area - Zone 4 | 430,000 | 216.600 | 0 | 0 | 59,300 | 497,900 | 310,700 | 60,700 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 68,900 |
|  | Market Area-Zone 6 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Storage | 2.400 | 262.600 | 793,900 | 705,200 | 706.000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | $\bigcirc$ |
| Oner Purchased Resources |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DOMAC | Vapor | 214,300 | 248,000 | 147,600 | 97,600 | 160.300 | 231.500 | 0 | 3,800 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 104,800 |
|  | Liqurd | 22,000 | 16.800 | 40.000 | 35.600 | 25,000 | 0 | 13,000 | 2.800 | 2,900 | 2,900 | 2.800 | 2.900 |
| LNG From Storage |  | 22,000 | 21.400 | 36,100 | 34,900 | 32,200 | 2,800 | 2.900 | 2.800 | 2.900 | 2,900 | 2,800 | 2.900 |
| Fropane | Vapor | 0 | 13,100 | 81,200 | 35.700 | 36,600 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Inck | Q | 13.100 | 44.600 | 35.700 | 0 | $\underline{0}$ | 22,000 | 22.000 | 22,000 | 7300 | 0 | Q |
| Total Resources |  | 1.550.300 | 2,301,500 | 2,806.400 | 2,335,600 | 1.946,400 | 1,584,800 | 1.238.600 | 937.200 | 604,800 | 832,900 | 440,200 | 1.004,400 |

## COMPARISON OF RESOURCES AND REQUIREMENTS High Case Design Year 2007-08 (MMBtu)

| REQUIREMENTS |  | 11,2007 | 12/2007 | 01/2009 | 022008 | 03/2008 | 042008 | 05/2008 | $06 / 2008$ | 0772008 | 08/2008 | $09 / 2008$ | 102008 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Firm Sendout |  | 1.585,100 | 2,412,200 | 2,814.000 | 2,418,100 | 1,992,500 | 1.195.900 | 705,700 | 417.800 | 325.400 | 325,400 | 454.100 | 1,045,800 |
| Refiil | Underground Storage | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 84,700 | 531,300 | 514,300 | 531,300 | 515.100 | 392.100 | 0 |
|  | LNG | 25.000 | 21.800 | 40,000 | 36.100 | 25,000 | 0 | 13,000 | 2,800 | 2.900 | 2.900 | 2.800 | 2.900 |
|  | Propane | $\underline{0}$ | 0 | 60,500 | 34,000 | 0 | 0 | $\underline{22,000}$ | 22,000 | 22,000 | 7.300 | $\bigcirc$ | Q |
| Total Requirements |  | 1,610.100 | 2.434.000 | 2.923.500 | 2.478.200 | 2,017.500 | 1,279,800 | 1.272 .000 | 956,900 | 881,800 | 850.700 | 849.000 | 1,048,700 |
| resources |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PNGTS |  | 3.300 | 4.800 | 5.100 | 4,100 | 4.100 | 2.800 | 2.000 | 1,300 | 1.100 | 1,300 | 1.500 | 2.600 |
| tap | AES-Londonderry | 0 | 4,600 | 214.800 | 121,500 | 109.200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | ANE | 117.960 | 121,800 | 121.800 | 113.900 | 121,800 | \$17,900 | 121.800 | 117,900 | 121,800 | 121.800 | 117.900 | 121,800 |
|  | BP/Nexen | 93.700 | 96,700 | 96.700 | 66,400 | 96.700 | 93.700 | 86.800 | 93.700 | 86,800 | 56,800 | 93,700 | 96.800 |
|  | CoEnergy | 0 | 584,000 | 619,900 | 580,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 |
|  | Gulf Supply | 620.500 | 636,000 | 636.000 | 585,000 | 636,000 | 647.000 | 669.400 | 639,300 | 634,000 | 617,700 | 630,400 | 618,800 |
|  | Markel Area - Zone 4 | 462,400 | 380,200 | 0 | 0 | 78,700 | 242,000 | 344,000 | 77.100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | B9,200 |
|  | Markel Area -- Zone 6 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Storage | 7,500 | 197.700 | 820,200 | 757,700 | 704,600 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Oiner Purchased Resources |  | 13,100 | 118.500 | 13.400 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DOMAC | Vapor | 240,000 | 248,000 | 151,800 | 107,800 | 172.600 | 172.800 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 114.900 |
|  | Liquxd | 25.000 | 21,800 | 40.000 | 36,100 | 25.000 | 0 | 13,000 | 2.800 | 2,900 | 2,900 | 2.800 | 2,900 |
| LNG From Storage |  | 26.800 | 20,900 | 44.200 | 31,900 | 32,200 | 2,800 | 2,900 | 2,800 | 2,900 | 2.900 | 2.800 | 2.900 |
| Propane | vapor | 0 | 0 | 90,200 | 39,900 | 36,600 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Truck | 9 | 0 | 69,500 | 24,000 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\underline{22.000}$ | 32.000 | 22,000 | 7300 | ¢ | Q |
| Total Resources |  | 1.610,200 | 2,434,000 | 2,923,700 | 2.478 .300 | 2.017 .500 | 1,279,900 | 1.271,900 | 956.900 | 881,500 | 850,700 | 849.900 | 1,048.600 |

## COMPARISON OF RESOURCES AND REQUIREMENTS <br> High Case Design Year 2008-09 (MMBtu)

| REQUREMENTS |  | 11/2008 | $12 / 2009$ | 01/2009 | 022000 | 03/2009 | 0442009 | 05/2009 | 06/2009 | 2772009 | 1092009 | 09/2009 | 102009 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Firm Sendout |  | 1,630,200 | 2.478.800 | 2,850,300 | 2,404,300 | 2,051.200 | 1,235,600 | 733,100 | 434,000 | 339.000 | 340,000 | 474,200 | 1,082,300 |
| Reidl | Underground Storage | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100,700 | 531,300 | 499.500 | 531,300 | 529,900 | 376.200 | 0 |
|  | UNG | 25.000 | 22.500 | 40,000 | 37.500 | 25,000 | 0 | 13.000 | 2,800 | 2,900 | 2.900 | 2,800 | 2,900 |
|  | Prepane | $\underline{0}$ | 0 | 53,500 | 40,000 | $\underline{\square}$ | $\bigcirc$ | 22,000 | 22.000 | 22.000 | 7300 | $\underline{0}$ | O |
| Total Requirerrents |  | 1,659,200 | 2.501.300 | 2,983.800 | 2.481.800 | 2,076.200 | 1.336.300 | 1.299,400 | 958.300 | 895,200 | 880.100 | 853.200 | 1,085,200 |
| RESOURCES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PNGTS |  | 3,300 | 4,600 | 5.100 | 3.900 | 4,100 | 2,800 | 2.000 | 1.300 | 1.100 | 1,300 | 1,500 | 2,600 |
| TGP | AES-Lonconderry | 0 | 0 | 242.400 | 139,700 | 68,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | ANE | 117.900 | 121,800 | 121.800 | 110,000 | 121,800 | 117,900 | 121.800 | 117,900 | 121,800 | 121,800 | 117.900 | 121,800 |
|  | BP/Nexen | 93.700 | 96,700 | 96,700 | 63,400 | 66,700 | 93,700 | 96,800 | 83,700 | 96,600 | 96,800 | 93.700 | 96,800 |
|  | CoEnergy | 0 | 604.000 | 620.000 | 560,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Gul! Supply | 624.600 | 636,000 | 636.000 | 574,500 | 636.000 | 647,900 | 689.400 | 644,200 | 647,700 | 647,100 | 634.500 | 626.700 |
|  | Market Area - Zone 4 | 487.400 | 403,400 | 0 | 0 | 81.900 | 347.400 | 371,400 | 73.600 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 108,300 |
|  | Markel Area -- Zone 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Storage | 9,400 | 197,500 | 836,400 | 750.300 | 694,100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Purchased Resources |  | 31,800 | 145,500 | 52,700 | 0 | 81.600 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DOMAC | Vapor | 240,000 | 248,000 | 160,200 | 114.300 | 198,300 | 124.200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 123.100 |
|  | Liquid | 25,000 | 22,500 | 40,000 | 37.500 | 25,000 | 0 | 13,000 | 2.800 | 2,900 | 2.800 | 2,800 | 2.900 |
| LNG From Storage |  | 26,200 | 21.400 | 41,700 | 35.800 | 32,200 | 2.800 | 2.900 | 2.800 | 2,900 | 2,900 | 2.800 | 2.900 |
| Propane | Vapor | 0 | 0 | 77.500 | 52,600 | 36,600 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Truck | $\underline{0}$ | 0 | 53,500 | 40,000 | $\underline{0}$ | $\bigcirc$ | 22.000 | 22,000 | $\underline{22,000}$ | 7300 | $\underline{0}$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| Total Resources |  | 1,659.300 | 2,501,400 | 2,984.000 | 2.482,000 | 2.076 .300 | 1,336,400 | 1.299.300 | 958,300 | 885,200 | 880.100 | 853.200 | 1.085.100 |



## COMPARISON OF RESOURCES AND REQUIREMENTS <br> High Case Design Year 2010-11 <br> (MMBtu)

| REQUIREMENTS |  | 11/2010 | $12 / 2010$ | 01/2011 | Q27019 | 03/2011 | $04 / 2011$ | 05/2011 | 062011 | $07 / 2011$ | 0802011 | 09/2011 | 102011 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Firm Sendout |  | 9.741,900 | 2,625.000 | 3,057.600 | 2.543.400 | 2.180,000 | 1.324.400 | 793.500 | 469.700 | 369.200 | 372.300 | 518,400 | 1,162,400 |
| Refill | Underground Storage | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 139,300 | 531.300 | 514,200 | 523.300 | 519,400 | 341,200 | 0 |
|  | LNG | 20,800 | 34,900 | 40,000 | 29,300 | 26,000 | 0 | 13.000 | 2,800 | 2.000 | 2.900 | 2.800 | 2.900 |
|  | Propare | 0 | $Q$ | $\underline{0}$ | 933,500 | 0 | 0 | 22.000 | 22000 | $\underline{22,000}$ | 900 | 0 | $\underline{0}$ |
| Tolal Requirements |  | 1.762.700 | 2,659,900 | 3.097.600 | 2,666.200 | 2,205.000 | 1.463.700 | 1,359,800 | \$.008.700 | 917.400 | 895,500 | 862.400 | 1,165,300 |
| RESOURCES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PNGTS |  | 3,300 | 4,800 | 5.100 | 3,500 | 4.100 | 2,800 | 2.000 | 1,300 | 1.100 | 1,300 | 1,500 | 2,600 |
| tGp | AES-Londonderry | 0 | 7,200 | 272,700 | 170.100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | ANE | 117,900 | 121,800 | 121.800 | 110,000 | 121,800 | 117,900 | 121,800 | 717.900 | 121.800 | 121.800 | 117.800 | 121.860 |
|  | BP/ Nexen | 93,700 | 96,700 | 96.700 | 85,700 | 96.700 | 83,700 | 96,800 | 93.700 | 98.800 | 96.200 | 88,500 | 80.100 |
|  | CoEnergy | 0 | 604.000 | 620.000 | 560,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Gulf Supply | 647.900 | 663,500 | 636.000 | 574,500 | 836,000 | 647,800 | 669,400 | 648.000 | 669.400 | 669,400 | 647.800 | 661,500 |
|  | Markel Area -- Zone 4 | 538,600 | 450,700 | 0 | 0 | 116.300 | 571.600 | 431,600 | 120.200 | 400 | 0 | 0 | 149,200 |
|  | Markel Area - Zone 6 | 87,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 258.500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Storage | 9,400 | 197,500 | 865.200 | 754,900 | 600,700 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Purchased Resources |  | 0 | 190.100 | 186,300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DOMAC | Vapor | 223.300 | 248.000 | 181,400 | 147.500 | 235.100 | 27,200 | 200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.100 | 144.200 |
|  | Liquid | 20.800 | 34,900 | 40.000 | 29,300 | 25,000 | $\bigcirc$ | 13.000 | 2,800 | 2,900 | 2.900 | 2,800 | 2,900 |
| LNG From Slorage |  | 20,800 | 34,900 | 40.000 | 39,400 | 22.200 | 2,800 | 2.900 | 2.800 | 2,900 | 2.900 | 2,800 | 2.800 |
| Propane | Vapor | 0 | 0 | 32.600 | 97.400 | 30.200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Truck | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | $\underline{0}$ | 93,500 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 22,000 | 22,000 | 23.000 | 000 | 0 | 0 |
| Tolal Resources |  | 1,762,700 | 2.859.900 | 3.097 .800 | 2.688 .200 | 2,205,000 | 1.463.800 | 1,359,700 | 1,008.700 | 917,300 | 895,400 | 862.400 | 1,165,200 |

# COMPARISON OF RESOURCES AND REQUIREMENTS High Case Normal Year (MMBtu) Heating Season (Nov-Mar) 

| REQUIREMENTS |  | 2006-07 | 2007-08 | 2008-09 | 2009-10 | 2010-11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Firm Sendout |  | 9,691,000 | 10,114,200 | 10,341,000 | 10,647,900 | 10,986,400 |
| Refill | Underground Storage | 600 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | LNG | 114,100 | 123,500 | 130,100 | 137,700 | 143,900 |
|  | Propane | 93,500 | 93,500 | 93,400 | 93,400 | 93,500 |
| Total Requirements |  | 9,899,200 | 10,331,200 | 10,564,500 | 10,879,000 | 11,223,800 |
| RESOURCES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PNGTS |  | 21,000 | 21,200 | 21,000 | 21,000 | 21,000 |
| TGP | AES-Londonderry | 11,100 | 118,000 | 219,000 | 253,500 | 356,100 |
|  | ANE | 584,700 | 597,200 | 593,300 | 593,300 | 593,300 |
|  | BP/Nexen | 447,200 | 450,200 | 447,200 | 447,200 | 447,200 |
|  | CoEnergy | 1,783,900 | 1,784,000 | 1,784,000 | 1,784,000 | 1,784,000 |
|  | Gulf Supply | 3,098,000 | 3,118,500 | 3,098,000 | 3,133,800 | 3,161,400 |
|  | Market Area -- Zone 4 | 358,200 | 420,900 | 530,500 | 678,500 | 810,300 |
|  | Market Area - Zone 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 82,400 | 161,600 |
|  | Storage | 2,451,700 | 2,487,900 | 2,486,900 | 2,474,700 | 2,471,500 |
| Other Purchased Resources |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DOMAC | Vapor | 648,200 | 819,300 | 857,600 | 868,600 | 892,900 |
|  | Liquid | 114,100 | 123,500 | 130,100 | 137,700 | 143,900 |
| LNG From Storage |  | 121,200 | 130,700 | 137,300 | 144,900 | 151,200 |
| Propane | Vapor | 166,700 | 166,700 | 166,600 | 166,600 | 136,200 |
|  | Truck | 93,500 | 93,500 | 93,400 | 93,400 | 93,500 |
| Total Re | ources | 9,899,500 | 10,331,600 | 10,564,900 | 10,879,600 | 11,224,100 |

# COMPARISON OF RESOURCES AND REQUIREMENTS High Case Normal Year (MMBtu) <br> Non-Heating Season (Apr-Oct) 

REQUIREMENTS
Firm Sendout

Refill | Underground Storage |
| :---: |
| LNG |
| Propane |

Total Requirements

| $\underline{2006-07}$ | $\underline{2007-08}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $3,957,600$ | $4,155,700$ |
|  |  |
| $2,530,800$ | $2,569,100$ |
| 27,300 | 27,300 |
| $\underline{73,300}$ | $\underline{73,300}$ |
| $6,589,000$ | $6,825,400$ |


| $\underline{2008-09}$ | $\underline{2009-10}$ | $\underline{2010-11}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $4,318,400$ | $4,488,600$ | $4,677,000$ |
|  |  |  |
| $2,567,900$ | $2,555,200$ | $2,552,200$ |
| 27,300 | 27,300 | 27,300 |
| 73,300 | $\underline{73,300}$ | $\underline{42,700}$ |
| $6,986,900$ | $7,144,400$ | $7,299,200$ |

RESOURCES

| PNGTS |  | 12,600 | 12,600 | 12,600 | 12,600 | 12,600 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TGP | AES-Londonderry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | ANE | 840,900 | 840,900 | 840,900 | 840,900 | 840,900 |
|  | BP / Nexen | 668,300 | 668,300 | 668,300 | 668,300 | 668,300 |
|  | CoEnergy | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Gulf Supply | 3,890,200 | 4,420,300 | 4,482,600 | 4,531,900 | 4,568,500 |
|  | Market Area - Zone 4 | 496,400 | 373,900 | 511,500 | 630,600 | 803,600 |
|  | Market Area - Zone 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Storage | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Purchased Resources |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DOMAC | Vapor | 559,800 | 388,800 | 350,400 | 339,600 | 315,000 |
|  | Liquid | 27,300 | 27,300 | 27,300 | 27,300 | 27,300 |
| LNG From Storage |  | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 |
| Propane | Vapor | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Truck | 73,300 | 73,300 | 73,300 | 73,300 | 42,700 |
| Total Resources |  | 6,588,800 | 6,825,400 | 6,986,900 | 7,144,500 | 7,298,900 |

COMPARISON OF RESOURCES AND REQUIREMENTS
High Case Normal Year 2006-07
(MMBtu)

| 11/2006 | 12/2006 | 01/2007 | 022007 | 03/2007 | 04/2007 | 05/2007 | 068007 | 07/2007 | 08/2007 | 09/2007 | 1012007 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1,387,100 | 2.105.800 | 2,426.500 | 2,007.000 | 1,764,600 | 1,037,200 | 643.700 | 355.400 | 305.800 | 303,200 | 398,500 | 913.800 |
| 600 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 433.300 | 531,300 | 514.300 | 531,300 | 512.900 | 7.700 | 0 |
| 5,700 | 14,400 | 37.300 | 31,700 | 25,000 | 0 | 13,000 | 2.800 | 2.900 | 2,900 | 2,800 | 2.900 |
| $\bigcirc$ | 18.600 | 74.900 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\underline{0}$ | 22,000 | 22,000 | 22,000 | 72300 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ |
| 1,393.400 | 2.138.800 | 2.538 .700 | 2.038,700 | 1,780,600 | 1,470,500 | 1,210.000 | 894,500 | 862.000 | 826,300 | 409,000 | 996.700 |

resources

| PNGTS |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| TGP | AES-Londonderry |
|  | ANE |
|  | BP/Nexen |
|  | CoEnergy |
|  | Gull Suppty |
|  | Markel Area -- Zone 4 |
|  | Markel Area - Zone 6 |
|  | Storage |
| Other Purchased Resources |  |
| DOMAC | Vapor |
|  | Liquid |
| LNG From Storage |  |
| Propare | Vapor |
|  | Trucx |


| 3.300 | 4,600 | 5,100 | 3.800 | 4,100 | 2,800 | 2.000 | 1.300 | 1,100 | 1,300 | 1.500 | 2.600 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 11.100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 117,900 | 121,800 | 121,800 | 101,400 | 121.800 | 117.900 | 121,800 | 117.900 | 121,800 | 121,800 | 117.900 | 121,800 |
| 93,700 | 96.700 | 96,700 | 73,800 | 86.300 | 93,700 | 96,800 | 93.700 | 96,800 | 96,800 | 93.700 | 96,800 |
| 0 | 607.300 | 620,000 | 556,600 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 615,500 | 636.000 | 636,000 | 574,500 | 636.000 | 647.800 | 669,400 | 628.200 | 614.400 | 593,200 | 190.300 | 546,900 |
| 328,400 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29.800 | 372,900 | 94, 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29.400 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 600 | 476.400 | 710.500 | 578,000 | 685.200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 222.700 | 113,200 | 121.400 | 60,000 | 130,900 | 232.700 | 187.900 | 25,900 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 113,300 |
| 5.700 | 14,400 | 37.300 | 31.700 | 25,000 | 0 | 13,000 | 2,800 | 2.900 | 2.900 | 2,800 | 2,900 |
| 5.700 | 20,100 | 37,000 | 28.500 | 28,900 | 2,800 | 2.900 | 2,800 | 2,900 | 2.500 | 2,800 | 2.900 |
| 0 | 18.600 | 78,100 | 29.300 | 40.700 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 18.600 | 74.900 | $\bigcirc$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\bigcirc$ | 32.000 | $\underline{22000}$ | 23.000 | 7,300 | 0 | 0 |
| 1,393,500 | 2.138 .800 | 2.538,800 | 2,038,700 | 1,789,700 | 1,470,600 | 1,209,900 | 894,600 | 881.900 | 826.200 | 409,000 | 916.600 |


|  |  | COMPARISON OF RESOURCES AND REQUIREMENTS High Case Normal Year 2007-08 <br> (MMBtu) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| REQUIR | MENTS | 112007 | 1220007 | 01/2008 | 022008 | 03/2008 | $04 / 2008$ | 05/2008 | 0062008 | 07/2008 | 0812008 | 09/2008 | 10/2008 |
| Firm Sen | dout | 1,442,900 | 2.181,400 | 2.512.500 | 2.146 .100 | 1.839,300 | 1.083,700 | 675,600 | 375.000 | 322,500 | 320.900 | 422.200 | 955.800 |
| Refir | Underground Storage |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 53,400 | 531,300 | 514.300 | 531.300 |  |  | 0 |
|  | LNG | $8,400$ | 14.400 | 40,000 | 35,700 | 25.000 | 0 | 13.000 | 2,800 | 2.900 | $2,900$ | $2,800$ | $2,900$ |
|  | Propane |  |  | $45,000$ | $48,500$ | $0$ |  | $22,000$ | $\underline{22,000}$ | $\underline{22,000}$ | $7,300$ | $\bigcirc$ | 0 |
| Total Reg | urements | 1.451.300 | 2.195.800 | 2,597.500 | 2,230,300 | 1.856.300 | $\bigcirc .137,100$ | 1,241,900 | 914,900 | 878,700 | 847,400 | 847.500 | 958.700 |
| RESOURCES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PNGTS |  | 3.300 | 4.600 | 5.100 | 4,100 | 4.100 | 2,800 | 2.000 | 1,300 | 1,100 | 1.300 | 1.500 | 2.600 |
| TGP | AES-LIndonderry | 0 | 54.800 | 35,200 | 0 | 28,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | ANE | 117.900 | 121,800 | 121,800 | 113,800 | 121,800 | 117,900 | 121.800 | 197,900 | 121.800 | 121.800 | 117.800 | 121,800 |
|  | ap / Nexen | 93.700 | 96.700 | 96,700 | 73.400 | 89,700 | 93.700 | 96.800 | 93,700 | 96.800 | 06.800 | 93,700 | 06.800 |
|  | CoEnergy | $\bigcirc$ | 584.900 | 619.100 | 580,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }^{\circ}$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | Gulf Supply | 615,500 | 636.000 | 636,000 | 585,000 | 636.000 | 647,900 | 669.400 | 637.000 | 631.100 | 614,400 | 628.800 | 591,700 |
|  | Market Area -- Zone 4 | 364,700 | 13.100 | 0 | 0 | 43.100 | 94,100 | 234,700 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45.100 |
|  | Market Area - Zone 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Slorage | 7.500 | 418,600 | 740,100 | 629,200 | 602.500 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Oner Purchased Resources |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DOMAC | Vapor | 232.100 | 236,800 | 134,500 | 88,900 | 147,200 | 178.100 | 79.200 | 36.600 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 94.900 |
|  | Liqua | 8.400 | 14.400 | 40,000 | 35.700 | 25,000 | 0 | 13,000 | 2,800 | 2.900 | 2.900 | 2,800 | 2.900 |
| LNG From Storage |  | 8,400 | 14.400 | 42,600 | 33,100 | 32,200 | 2,800 | 2.900 | 2,800 | 2.900 | 2.900 | 2,800 | 2.800 |
| Propare | Vapor | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 87,600 | 48.500 | 36,600 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Truck | $\bigcirc$ | $\underline{0}$ | 45,000 | 48,500 | $\underline{\square}$ | $\bigcirc$ | 22,000 | 22.000 | $\underline{23,000}$ | 73.300 | $\underline{9}$ | $Q$ |
| Total Resources |  | 1.451.500 | 2,195,000 | 2.597.700 | 2,230,300 | 1,856.200 | 1,137,300 | 1,241,800 | 914,100 | 878,600 | 847.400 | 847.500 | 958.700 |

## COMPARISON OF RESOURCES AND REQUIREMENTS High Case Normal Year 2008-09 (MMBtu)

| REquir | MENTS | 91/2008 | 1212008 | 012009 | 022009 | 03/2009 | 042009 | 05/2009 | 08/2009 | 07/2009 | O8, 2009 | 0920009 | 10/2009 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Firm Sendout |  | 1,489,000 | 2,243,900 | 2.583,600 | 2.138,200 | 1,886,300 | 1,122,100 | 701.800 | 391,000 | 336.100 | 335.400 | 441.600 | 390,400 |
| Refinl | Underground Storage | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 68.500 | 531,300 | 500.400 | 531,300 | 529,000 | 407,400 | 0 |
|  | LNG | 15.100 | 14,400 | 40,000 | 35.600 | 25.000 | 0 | 13.000 | 2.800 | 2,900 | 2,900 | 2.800 | 2,900 |
|  | Propane | $\underline{\square}$ | $\underline{\square}$ | 28.200 | 65,200 | $\underline{\square}$ | $\underline{0}$ | 22.000 | 22.000 | 22,000 | 7.300 | $Q$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| Total Requrements |  | 1,504,100 | 2.258.300 | 2.651 .800 | 2,239,000 | 1,911,300 | 1.190.600 | 1,268,100 | 816.200 | 892,300 | 874,600 | 851.800 | 993.300 |
| RESOURCES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PNGTS |  | 3,300 | 4,600 | 5,100 | 3,900 | 4,100 | 2,800 | 2.000 | 1.300 | 1,100 | $\uparrow .300$ | 1,500 | 2,600 |
| tgp | AES-Londonderry | 0 | 71.100 | 95,700 | 0 | 52.200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | ANE | 117,900 | 121,800 | 121,800 | 110,000 | 121,800 | 117,900 | 121.800 | 197,900 | 121,800 | 127,800 | 117,900 | 121,800 |
|  | BP/Nexen | 83,700 | 06,700 | 96,700 | 63,400 | 96.700 | 93.700 | 98.800 | 93,700 | 96,800 | 86.800 | 93,700 | 96,800 |
|  | CoEnergy | 0 | 604,000 | 620,000 | 560,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Gut Supply | 615,500 | 636.000 | 636.000 | 574,500 | 636.000 | 647.900 | 658.400 | 642,800 | 644,800 | 641.800 | 633,200 | 602.900 |
|  | Market Area - Zone 4 | 396,200 | 81,300 | 0 | 0 | 53.000 | 120,000 | 331,900 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 59.800 |
|  | Markel Area -- Zone 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Storage | 9.400 | 373.900 | 762.100 | 644,800 | 606.700 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Purchased Resources |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DOMAC | Vapor | 238,000 | 240.100 | 141,500 | 81,000 | 157,000 | 205.600 | 8.200 | 32,900 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 103.700 |
|  | Liquid | 15.100 | 14.400 | 40,000 | 35.600 | 25,000 | 0 | 13.000 | 2,800 | 2.000 | 2.900 | 2.800 | 2.900 |
| LNG From Slorage |  | 15,100 | 14,400 | 40,000 | 35.600 | 32.200 | 2.800 | 2.960 | 2,800 | 2.900 | 2,900 | 2.800 | 2.800 |
| Propane | Vapor | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 64,800 | 65,200 | 36.600 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Truck | $\bigcirc$ | 9 | $\underline{28.290}$ | 65,200 | 0 | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{22000}$ | 32.000 | 32.000 | 7300 | 9 | Q |
| Total Resources |  | 1,504.200 | 2,258,300 | 2,651,900 | 2,239.200 | 3,911.300 | 1,900,700 | 1,288,000 | 916,200 | 892,300 | 874,600 | 851,900 | 993.200 |

## COMPARISON OF RESOURCES AND REQUIREMENTS <br> High Case Normal Year 2009-10 <br> (MMBtu)

| REQUIREMENTS |  | 112009 | 1232009 | $01 / 2010$ | 022010 | Q3/2010 | 042010 | 0512010 | 062010 | 07/2010 | O8/2010 | 09/2010 | 102010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Firm Sendout |  | 1.537,200 | 2.309,100 | 2,657.700 | 2.200 .200 | 1,943,700 | 1,162,200 | 728,200 | 407.700 | 350,400 | 350.600 | 462,000 | 1,020,500 |
| Refill | Underground Slorage | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 69.000 | 531,300 | 503,800 | 531.000 | 528,900 | 391.200 | 0 |
|  | LNG | 21,700 | 15.400 | 40.000 | 35.600 | 25.000 | 0 | 13,000 | \%,800 | 2.900 | 2.900 | 2.800 | 2.900 |
|  | Propane | $\underline{0}$ | Q | 13,600 | 79,800 | $\bigcirc$ | Q | 22,000 | 22,000 | 22.000 | 7.300 | $\underline{9}$ | $\underline{0}$ |
| Tolad Requrements |  | 1.558.900 | 2,324,500 | 2.711 .300 | 2.315,600 | 1.968 .700 | 1,231,200 | 1,285.500 | 936,300 | 906,300 | 889.700 | 856.000 | 1.029,400 |
| RESOURCES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PNGTS |  | 3.300 | 4.600 | 5,100 | 3.900 | 4,100 | 2.800 | 2,000 | 1.300 | 1.100 | 1,300 | 1.500 | 2,600 |
| tgp | AES-Londondery | 0 | 79,800 | 164,900 | 8.800 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | ANE | 117.900 | 121,800 | 121.800 | 110.000 | 121,800 | 117.900 | 121,800 | 117,900 | 121.800 | 121,800 | 117.900 | 121,800 |
|  | 8P/Nexen | 93,700 | 96.700 | 96,700 | 63.400 | 96,700 | 93.700 | 96,800 | 93.700 | 90,800 | 96,800 | 83.700 | 96,800 |
|  | CoEnergy | 0 | 609.800 | 619,700 | 554.500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Guil Supply | 643,500 | 643.800 | 636,000 | 574,500 | 636,000 | 647,000 | 689,400 | 848,000 | 658.800 | 656,700 | 637.300 | 613.800 |
|  | Maskel Avea - Zone 4 | 429,300 | 185.400 | 0 | 0 | 63,800 | 149.200 | 367.500 | 37,600 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 76,300 |
|  | Market Area -- Zone 6 | 3,300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 78,100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Storage | 8.400 | 295.600 | 784,800 | 678.200 | 706,700 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Purchased Resources |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| domac | vapor | 215.100 | 248.000 | 147.000 | 91,600 | 166.900 | 217.000 | 0 | 10,300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 112,300 |
|  | Liquid | 21.700 | 15,400 | 40,000 | 35,600 | 25.000 | 0 | 13.000 | 2.800 | 2,900 | 2.900 | 2.800 | 2.900 |
| LNG From Storage |  | 21.700 | 19.700 | 35,700 | 35.600 | 32.200 | 2.800 | 2.900 | 2.800 | 2.900 | 2.900 | 2,800 | 2.900 |
| Propane | Vapor | 0 | 4,000 | 46.200 | 79,800 | 36,600 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Truck | 0 | Q | 13.600 | 79,800 | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | 22,000 | 22.000 | 23.000 | 7,300 | 0 | $\underline{0}$ |
| Yoial Resources |  | 1,558,900 | 2,324,600 | 2,711.500 | 2,315.700 | 1,988,900 | 1,231,300 | 1,295,400 | 936,400 | 906,300 | 889,700 | 856,000 | 1,029,400 |

## COMPARISON OF RESOURCES AND REQUIREMENTS <br> High Case Normal Year 2010-11 <br> (MMBtu)

| REQUREMENTS |  | 112010 | 12/2010 | 012011 | 022011 | 03/2011 | Q4/2011 | Q5/2011 | 062011 | $07 / 2011$ | Qa/2011 | 09/2011 | 1022011 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Firm Serroul |  | 1.590.300 | 2,381,000 | 2.739,500 | 2.268 .500 | 2,007,100 | 1,206,400 | 759,500 | 426,300 | 366,300 | 367.500 | 484,500 | 1,066,500 |
| Retul | Underground Storage | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 88,800 | 531,300 | 510.500 | 525.960 | 522.700 | 373.000 | 0 |
|  | LMG | 25.000 | 18,300 | 40.000 | 35.600 | 25,000 | 0 | 13,000 | 2.800 | 2.900 | 2,900 | 2.800 | 2,900 |
|  | Propane | Q | 12.500 | 27.700 | 48.300 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 22,000 | 20.700 | g | $\underline{Q}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| Total Requirements |  | 1.615,300 | 2.410.800 | 2,807,200 | 2.352 .400 | 2.032,100 | 1.295.200 | 1,325,800 | \$60,300 | 895.100 | 893,100 | 860.300 | 1.069 .400 |
| RESOURCES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PNGTS |  | 3.300 | 4,600 | 5.100 | 3,900 | 4.100 | 2,800 | 2.000 | 1,300 | 1.100 | 1.300 | 1,500 | 2,600 |
| TGP | AES-Lonconderry | 0 | 85,600 | 198,400 | 72,100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | ANE | 117.900 | 121,800 | 121.800 | 110,000 | 121,800 | 197.300 | 121,800 | 117.900 | 121.800 | 121.800 | 117.900 | 121,800 |
|  | BP/Nexen | 93.700 | 96,700 | 96,700 | 63,400 | 96,700 | 93.700 | 96,800 | 93.700 | 96,800 | 96,800 | 93,700 | 96,800 |
|  | CoEnergy | 0 | 614,500 | 620,000 | 549.500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Gull Suppay | 645,400 | 669.500 | 636.000 | 574,500 | 636.000 | 647.900 | 669,400 | 647.900 | 660.500 | 667,300 | 641.600 | 624,900 |
|  | Markel Area -- Zone 4 | 463.300 | 278.900 | 0 | 0 | 68,900 | 237,400 | 397.800 | 73,200 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 95,100 |
|  | Market Area - Zone 6 | 14.500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$47,900 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Storage | 9,400 | 221.800 | 808.700 | 714,000 | 717.600 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Purchased Resources |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DOMAC | Vapor | 217,900 | 248,000 | 150,000 | 99,700 | 177,300 | 192,800 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 122.200 |
|  | Liquid | 25.000 | 18,300 | 40,000 | 35.600 | 25.000 | 0 | 13,000 | 2,800 | 2,900 | 2,900 | 2.800 | 2.900 |
| LNG From Slorage |  | 25.000 | 22,200 | 38,600 | 33.200 | 32.200 | 2,800 | 2,900 | 2.800 | 2.900 | 2.900 | 2.800 | 2,900 |
| Propane | Vapor | 0 | 17.500 | 64,300 | 48,300 | 6.100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Truck | $\bigcirc$ | 17.500 | 27.700 | 48,300 | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{1}$ | 22.000 | 20,700 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\underline{0}$ |
| Total Resources |  | 1.615.400 | 2.416 .900 | 2,807,300 | 2,352,500 | 2,032,000 | 3.295.300 | 1,325,700 | 960,300 | 895,100 | 883.000 | 860.300 | 1.069.200 |

# EnergyNorth <br> Low Case <br> Resources and Requirements 2006-07 Through 2010-11 

# COMPARISON OF RESOURCES AND REQUIREMENTS Low Case Design Year (MMBtu) 

Heating Season (Nov-Mar)

| REQUIREMENTS | 2006-07 | 2007-08 | 2008-09 | 2009-10 | 2010-11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Firm Sendout | 10,123,200 | 10,358,400 | 10,430,400 | 10,582,000 | 10,765,200 |
| Refill Underground Storage | 600 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| LNG | 123,600 | 125,600 | 128,600 | 134,000 | 139,000 |
| Propane | 93,500 | 93,500 | 93,500 | 93,400 | 93,500 |
| Total Requirements | 10,340,900 | 10,577,500 | 10,652,500 | 10,809,400 | 10,997,700 |
| RESOURCES |  |  |  |  |  |
| PNGTS | 21,000 | 21,200 | 21,000 | 21,000 | 21,000 |
| TGP AES-Londonderry | 179,000 | 238,000 | 292,200 | 298,000 | 355,000 |
| ANE | 584,700 | 597,200 | 593,300 | 593,300 | 593,300 |
| BP / Nexen | 447,200 | 450,200 | 447,200 | 447,200 | 447,200 |
| CoEnergy | 1,784,000 | 1,784,000 | 1,784,000 | 1,784,000 | 1,784,000 |
| Gulf Supply | 3,120,600 | 3,118,500 | 3,098,000 | 3,125,000 | 3,140,800 |
| Market Area -- Zone 4 | 416,800 | 518,500 | 552,700 | 614,900 | 699,900 |
| Market Area -- Zone 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 53,300 | 108,700 |
| Storage | 2,484,400 | 2,489,600 | 2,488,400 | 2,486,800 | 2,474,300 |
| Other Purchased Resources | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DOMAC Vapor | 789,200 | 841,800 | 851,700 | 851,200 | 865,200 |
| Liquid | 123,600 | 125,600 | 128,600 | 134,000 | 139,000 |
| LNG From Storage | 130,800 | 132,700 | 135,800 | 141,200 | 146,200 |
| Propane Vapor | 166,700 | 166,700 | 166,600 | 166,600 | 130,100 |
| Truck | 93,500 | 93,500 | 93,500 | 93,400 | 93,500 |
| Total Resources | 10,341,500 | 10,577,500 | 10,653,000 | 10,809,900 | 10,998,200 |

# COMPARISON OF RESOURCES AND REQUIREMENTS Low Case Design Year (MMBtu) 

Non-Heating Season (Apr-Oct)
REQUIREMENTS
Firm Sendout

Refill $\quad$| Underground Storage |
| :---: |
| LNG |
| Propane |

Total Requirements

| $\underline{2006-07}$ | $\underline{2007-08}$ | $\underline{2008-09}$ | $\underline{2009-10}$ | $\underline{2010-11}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $3,904,200$ | $3,983,100$ | $4,051,700$ | $4,124,400$ | $4,213,500$ |
| $2,564,800$ | $2,570,800$ | $2,569,300$ | $2,567,800$ | $2,554,900$ |
| 27,300 | 27,300 | 27,300 | 27,300 | 27,300 |
| $\underline{73,300}$ | $\underline{73,300}$ | $\underline{73,300}$ | $\underline{73,300}$ | $\underline{36,600}$ |
| $6,569,600$ | $6,654,500$ | $6,721,600$ | $6,792,800$ | $6,832,300$ |

$\left.\begin{array}{lrrrrr}\text { RESOURCES } \\ \text { PNGTS } \\ & & 12,600 & 12,600 & 12,600 & 12,600\end{array}\right) 12,600$

# COMPARISON OF RESOURCES AND REQUIREMENTS Low Case Design Year (MMBtu) 

Peak Day

| REQUIREMENTS |  | 2006-07 | 2007-08 | 2008-09 | 2009-10 | 2010-11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Firm Sendout |  | 134,100 | 136,200 | 138,000 | 139,900 | 142,300 |
| Refill | Underground Storage | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | LNG | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 |
|  | Propane | $\underline{0}$ | 2,390 | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ |
| Total Requirements |  | 136,100 | 140,590 | 140,000 | 141,900 | 144,300 |
| RESOURCES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PNGTS |  | 160 | 160 | 160 | 160 | 160 |
| TGP | AES-Londonderry | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 |
|  | ANE | 3,970 | 3,970 | 3,970 | 3,970 | 3,970 |
|  | BP / Nexen | 3,120 | 3,120 | 3,120 | 3,120 | 3,120 |
|  | CoEnergy | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 |
|  | Gulf Supply | 21,600 | 21,600 | 21,600 | 21,600 | 21,600 |
|  | Market Area -- Zone 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Market Area -- Zone 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Storage | 28,110 | 28,110 | 28,110 | 28,110 | 28,110 |
| Other Purchased Resources |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DOMAC | Vapor | 8,000 | 8,000 | 8,000 | 8,000 | 8,000 |
|  | Liquid | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 |
| LNG From Storage |  | 2,000 | 1,310 | 3,140 | 5,060 | 7,380 |
| Propane | Vapor | 32,240 | 35,000 | 35,000 | 35,000 | 35,000 |
|  | Truck | $\underline{0}$ | 2,390 | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ |
| Total Resources |  | 136,200 | 140,660 | 140,100 | 142,020 | 144,340 |


|  |  | COMPARISON OF RESOURCES AND REQUIREMENTS <br> Low Case Design Year 2006-07 <br> (MMBtu) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| requir | ments | 11/2006 | 122006 | 012007 | 028007 | $03 / 2007$ | Q4/200? | 0553007 | 0672007 | 0772007 | Qar200? | 29/3007 | 107007 |
| Firm Sen |  | 1,425,400 | 2.195.800 | 2.564,900 | 2.134.900 | 1,802,200 | 1,062,700 | 614.400 | 362.300 | 277.800 | 274,800 | 388,300 | S25,900 |
| Refill | Underground Storage | 600 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 465,100 | 531.300 | 514.300 | 531,300 | 515,100 | 7,700 | 0 |
|  | LNG | 8.600 | 14.400 | 40.000 | 35.600 | 25,000 | 0 | 13.000 | 2.800 | 2.900 | 2.900 | 2.800 | 2,900 |
|  | Propane | $\bigcirc$ | $\underline{0}$ | 24.500 | 69,000 | $\bigcirc$ | @ | 22000 | $\underline{22,000}$ | 22.000 | 7.300 | - | @ |
| Total Req | urements | 1.434.600 | 2.210 .200 | 2.629.400 | 2,239.500 | 1,827,200 | 1,527,880 | 1.880.700 | 901.400 | 833,000 | 800, 100 | 396.800 | 928.800 |
| resources |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PNGTS |  | 3.300 | 4,600 | 5,100 | 3.900 | 4.100 | 2.800 | 2,000 | 1.300 | 1.100 | 1,300 | 1.500 | 2,600 |
| TGP | AES-LOndondery | 0 | 64.000 | 99.300 | 0 | 15.700 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | ANE | 117,900 | 121,800 | 121,800 | 101.400 | 121.800 | 117,900 | 121,800 | 117,900 | 121,800 | 121,800 | 117,900 | 121,800 |
|  | BP/ Nexen | 93,700 | 96,700 | 96,700 | 63.400 | 06.700 | 93,700 | 06,800 | 93,700 | 96.800 | 96.800 | 93.700 | ${ }_{96,800}$ |
|  | CoErergy | 0 | 608,900 | 615.100 | 560.000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
|  | Gull Supdy | 638,100 | 835.000 | 636.000 | 574,500 | 636.000 | 647,800 | 669,000 | 616.000 | 586.400 | 567,100 | 178,200 | 576,400 |
|  | Markel Area - Zone 4 | 360,400 | 14,500 | 0 | 0 | 41,900 | 434,800 | 193,500 | 2.400 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37.000 |
|  | Markel Area-Zone 6 | ${ }^{0}$ |  | - | - | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | Slorage | 600 | 412.700 | 751.200 | 648,000 | 671,900 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |
| Oiner Purchased Resources |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ |
| domac | vapor | 203.800 | 222,300 | 138,800 | 78.200 | 145,300 | 228,000 | 59.700 | 42.500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 88.700 |
|  | Lquid | 8,600 | 14,400 | 40.000 | 35.600 | 25.000 | $\bigcirc$ | 13.000 | 2,800 | 2.800 | 2.900 | 2.850 | 2.900 |
| LNG From Siorage |  | 8.800 | 14,400 | 40,000 | 35,800 | 32.200 | 2.800 | 2.900 | 2,800 | 2.900 | 2.900 | 2.800 | 2.800 |
| Propane | Vapor | 0 | 0 | 61,100 | 69,000 | 36.600 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ |
|  | Truck | @ | @ | 24,500 | $\underline{69,000}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\underline{22,000}$ | 22.000 | 22.900 | 7,300 | $\bigcirc$ | O |
| Total Resources |  | 1,434,800 | 2.210300 | 2.629.600 | 2.239,600 | 1,827,200 | 1.527.800 | 1,180,700 | 901.400 | 833.900 | 800,100 | 396,900 | 929.800 |

COMPARISON OF RESOURCES AND REQUIREMENTS Low Case Design Year 2007-08 (MMBtu)

| REQUIREMENTS |  | 11/2007 | $12 / 2007$ | 012008 | 022009 | 03/2008 | 0442008 | 0582008 | 0612008 | 0772008 | 08/2009 | 09/2008 | 1022008 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Firm Sendout |  | 1,450.600 | 2.230.600 | 2,605,000 | 2,239,600 | 1,832,600 | 1.1083,000 | 627.500 | 369.200 | 283,200 | 280,800 | 395,400 | 944,000 |
| Refil | Underground Storage | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45.500 | 531,300 | 514,300 | 531,300 | 517,200 | 431.200 | 0 |
|  | LNG | 10.500 | 14.400 | 40,000 | 35.700 | 25.000 | 0 | 13.000 | 2.800 | 2.900 | 2.800 | 2.800 | 2.800 |
|  | Propane | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 17,500 | 75,900 | 0 | $\underline{0}$ | 22.000 | 22.000 | 22,000 | 7300 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Requiremenis |  | 1,481,100 | 2,245,000 | 2.662 .500 | 2,351.200 | 1.857,600 | 1.128.500 | 1,193,800 | 908,300 | 839.400 | 808.200 | 829.400 | 946,900 |
| RESOURCES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PNGTS |  | 3.300 | 4,600 | 5.100 | 4,100 | 4.100 | 2,800 | 2.000 | 1,300 | 1.100 | 1,300 | 1.500 | 2,600 |
| TGP | AES-Londonderry | 0 | 70.200 | 133.400 | 5,600 | 28,800 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | ANE | 117.800 | 121,800 | 121,800 | 113,900 | 121,800 | 117.900 | 129,800 | 117.200 | 121,800 | 121.800 | 117.900 | 121.800 |
|  | BP/Nexen | 93.700 | 06.700 | 96,700 | 66,400 | 96,700 | 93,700 | 96.800 | 23.700 | 96.800 | 96,800 | 93,700 | 96,800 |
|  | CoEnergy | 0 | 603.900 | 606.400 | 573.700 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Guif Supply | 615.500 | 636,000 | 636,000 | 595,000 | 636.000 | 647,800 | 669,200 | 619.100 | 591,900 | 575.200 | 610.700 | 583.500 |
|  | Markel Area - Zone 4 | 373.400 | 97,200 | 0 | 0 | 47,900 | 98,200 | 203,300 | 3.100 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 44,300 |
|  | Markel Area -- Zone 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 |
|  | Storage | 7.500 | 344,600 | 772.800 | 684.200 | 680.500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Purchased Resources |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DOMAC | Vapor | 228,900 | 238,000 | 141.800 | 85.100 | 148,000 | 165,400 | 63,000 | 45,700 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 92.000 |
|  | Liquid | 10.500 | 14.400 | 40.000 | 35.700 | 25,000 | 0 | 13.000 | 2.800 | 2.000 | 2,900 | 2,800 | 2,800 |
| LNG From Storage |  | 10,500 | 17,400 | 36.900 | 35,700 | 32,200 | 2,800 | 2.900 | 2,800 | 2,900 | 2,900 | 2.800 | 2.900 |
| Propane | Vapor | 0 | 0 | 54.200 | 75,900 | 36,600 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Truck | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | 17.600 | 75,909 | 0 | 0 | 22.000 | $\underline{22,000}$ | 22.000 | 7.300 | 0 | 9 |
| Total Resources |  | 1,461.200 | 2,244,800 | 2,662.700 | 2,351,200 | 1.857.600 | 1,128.600 | 1.193.800 | 908.400 | 839,400 | 808,200 | 829,400 | 946,800 |

## COMPARISON OF RESOURCES AND REQUIREMENTS <br> Low Case Design Year 2008-09 <br> (MMBtu)

| REQUIREMENTS |  | 11/2008 | 12/2008 | 01/2009 | 02R009 | 03/2009 | 04/2000 | 056009 | 96/2009 | Q772009 | 0208009 | 09/2009 | 10/2009 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Firm Sendout |  | 1,472,600 | 2.261.100 | 2,640.300 | 2.197 .200 | 1.859.200 | 1.100 .800 | 638,900 | 375,200 | 287.900 | 285.900 | 403,200 | 959,800 |
| Refil | Underground Storage | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 48,200 | 531,300 | 503,100 | 531,300 | 526.900 | 428.500 | 0 |
|  | LNG | 13,600 | 14.400 | 40.000 | 35.800 | 25,000 | 0 | 13.000 | 2,800 | 2.900 | 2.900 | 2.800 | 2.900 |
|  | Propane | $\underline{0}$ | 3.000 | 25,400 | 65,100 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 22.000 | 22,000 | 22,000 | 7.300 | Q | $\underline{0}$ |
| Total Requremenis |  | 1.486.200 | 2.278 .500 | 2.705,700 | 2,297,900 | 1,884,200 | 1,149,000 | 1,205,200 | 903.100 | 844.100 | 823,000 | 834.500 | \$62,700 |
| RESOURCES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PNGTS |  | 3.300 | 4.600 | 5,100 | 3.900 | 4,100 | 2.800 | 2.000 | 1,300 | 1.100 | 1,300 | 1.500 | 2,600 |
| TGP | AES-Londonderry | 0 | 74,100 | 148.200 | 28.200 | 40,700 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | ANE | 117,900 | 221,800 | 121,800 | 110,000 | 121,800 | 117,900 | 121.800 | 117.900 | 121,800 | 121,800 | 117.900 | 121,800 |
|  | BP/Nexen | 93,700 | 96,700 | 96.700 | 83.400 | 96,700 | 93,700 | 96.800 | 93.700 | 96,800 | 96,800 | 93,700 | 96,800 |
|  | CoEnergy | $\bigcirc$ | 615,100 | 620,000 | 548.900 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Gut Supply | 615,500 | 636.000 | 636.000 | 574,500 | 636,000 | 647.800 | 669.300 | 621.700 | 596.500 | 590.000 | 615.900 | 589.100 |
|  | Market Area -- Zone 4 | 387.800 | 109,200 | 0 | 0 | 55,700 | 110.500 | 228.500 | 2,400 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 51,000 |
|  | Markel Area -- Zone 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Storage | 9,400 | 347,000 | 769.600 | 678.400 | 684,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Purchased Resources |  | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DOMAC | Vapor | 231.500 | 234.800 | 144,400 | 89.500 | 151,500 | 173.500 | 48,800 | 38.500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 95.500 |
|  | Liquid | 13.600 | 14.400 | 40,000 | 35,600 | 25.000 | 0 | 13,000 | 2.800 | 2.900 | 2,900 | 2.800 | 2.900 |
| LNG From Storage |  | 13.600 | 18,700 | 35.700 | 35,600 | 32.200 | 2,800 | 2,900 | 2.800 | 2.000 | 2,900 | 2.800 | 2.900 |
| Propane | Vapor | 0 | 3.000 | 61,000 | 65.100 | 36,600 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 0 |
|  | Truck | $\bigcirc$ | 3,000 | 25,400 | 65,100 | Q | $\bigcirc$ | 22000 | $\underline{22,000}$ | 32,000 | 7,300 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| Total Resources |  | 1,486,300 | 2.278,400 | 2,705,800 | 2.288.200 | 1,884,300 | 1.149,000 | 1.205 .100 | 903,100 | 844,000 | 823,000 | 834.600 | 962,600 |

## COMPARISON OF RESOURCES AND REQUIREMENTS <br> Low Case Design Year 2009-10 <br> (MMBtu)

| REQUIREMENTS |  | 11/2009 | 12 r 0009 | 012010 | $\underline{0212010}$ | 0312010 | 04/2010 | $05 / 2010$ | $\underline{06 / 2010}$ | 073010 | 082010 | 0972010 | 10/2010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Firm sendoul |  | 1.485.800 | 2,293,300 | 2.677,500 | 2.228,000 | 1,887,400 | 1,199,700 | 650.900 | 381,500 | 292,800 | 291.400 | 411.600 | 976.500 |
| Retill | Underground Storage | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 52,900 | 531,300 | 502,700 | 531,300 | 526.700 | 422,900 | 0 |
|  | LNG | 17,800 | 15.500 | 40.000 | 35,600 | 25,000 | 0 | 13.000 | 2.800 | 2.900 | 2.900 | 2.800 | 2,900 |
|  | Propane | $\underline{0}$ | 7.500 | 33,500 | 52,400 | g | $\underline{0}$ | 22,000 | 22,000 | $\underline{22.000}$ | 7.300 | Q | - |
| Total Requrements |  | 1.513,700 | 2,316,300 | 2, 751.000 | 2,316.000 | 1.972 .400 | 1.172.600 | 1,217,200 | 909,000 | 849.000 | 828.300 | 837,300 | 979.400 |
| RESOURCES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PNGTS |  | 3,300 | 4,600 | 5,100 | 3.900 | 4,100 | 2,800 | 2.000 | 1,300 | 1.100 | 1,300 | 1,500 | 2,600 |
| tgp | AES-Lonconderry | 0 | 78,100 | 165,400 | 54.500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | ANE | 117,800 | 121.800 | 121.800 | 110,000 | 121,800 | 117.800 | 121,800 | 117.900 | 121,800 | 121,800 | 117.900 | 121.800 |
|  | BP/Nexen | 93.700 | 96.700 | 96,700 | 64,800 | 95,300 | 83,700 | \$6,800 | 93.700 | 56,800 | 96.800 | 93.700 | 96.800 |
|  | CoEnergy | 0 | 604.000 | 620,000 | 560,000 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Gull Supply | 641.100 | 637.400 | 636,000 | 574,500 | 636,000 | 847.800 | 669,400 | 624,100 | 601,500 | 595.200 | 618.600 | 594,500 |
|  | Markel Area .. Zone 4 | 404,000 | 153,800 | 0 | 0 | 57,000 | 123.500 | 257,300 | 2.900 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 56,600 |
|  | Market Area -- Zone 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 53,300 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Storage | 9.400 | 321,400 | 780,600 | 679,400 | 696,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Purchased Resources |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| dCMAC | Vapor | 208,700 | 248.000 | 146,300 | 93.000 | 155,200 | 184.100 | 31.900 | 41.500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 99.300 |
|  | Liquid | 17.900 | 15,500 | 40,000 | 35.600 | 25,000 | 0 | 13,000 | 2.800 | 2.900 | 2.900 | 2.800 | 2.900 |
| LNG From Slorage |  | 17.900 | 19,800 | 35.700 | 35,600 | 32.200 | 2,800 | 2.900 | 2,800 | 2.900 | 2.900 | 2.800 | 2.900 |
| Propane | Vapor | 0 | 7.500 | 70.100 | 52.400 | 36,600 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Truck | 0 | 7.500 | 33,500 | 52,400 | $\underline{9}$ | $\underline{0}$ | 23,000 | 32,000 | 22,000 | 7,300 | $Q$ | 0 |
| Total Resources |  | 1.513 .900 | 2,316,200 | 2,751.200 | 2.316,100 | 1.912.500 | 1.172.600 | 1,217,100 | 909.000 | 849,000 | 828,200 | 837,300 | 979,400 |

## COMPARISON OF RESOURCES AND REQUIREMENTS

Low Case Design Year 2010-11
(MMBtu)

| REQUIREMENTS |  | 11/2010 | $12 / 2010$ | 01/2011 | 022011 | 03/2011 | $04 / 2011$ | 05/2011 | $06 / 2011$ | 07/2011 | Q12011 | 0982011 | 102011 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Firm Sendout |  | 1,524.000 | 2,332,200 | 2.722.400 | 2,265,200 | 1,921,400 | 1,142,500 | 665,700 | 389,400 | 299.100 | 298,200 | 421.800 | 996,800 |
| Refill | Underground Storage | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44.700 | 531,300 | 502,200 | 531,300 | 529,900 | 415.500 | 0 |
|  | LNG | 21.400 | 17,000 | 40,000 | 35.600 | 25,000 | 0 | 13,000 | 2,800 | 2.900 | 2,900 | 2.800 | 2.900 |
|  | Propane | 9 | 13.000 | 43,400 | 37,900 | 0 | 0 | 22,000 | 14.600 | $\underline{0}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\underline{9}$ | 0 |
| Total Requrements |  | 1,545,400 | 2.362,200 | 2,805,800 | 2,337.900 | 1.946 .400 | \$.187.200 | 1.232 .000 | 909,000 | 833,300 | 831.000 | 840,100 | 999,700 |
| RESOURCES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PNGTS |  | 3.300 | 4,600 | 5,100 | 3,900 | 4,100 | 2,800 | 2,000 | 1.300 | 1.100 | 1,300 | 1.500 | 2,600 |
| TGP | AES-Londonderry | 0 | 82,600 | ¢ 85.300 | 87,100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | ANE | 117,900 | 121,800 | 121,800 | 110,000 | 121,800 | 117,900 | 121,800 | 117.900 | 121.800 | 121,800 | 117.900 | 121,800 |
|  | BP/ Nexen | 93,700 | 96,700 | 96,700 | 83.400 | 96,700 | 83.700 | 96,800 | 93.700 | 96,800 | 96.800 | 93.700 | 96,800 |
|  | CoEnergy | 0 | 609.800 | 619.700 | 554,500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Gulf Supply | 642.000 | 652.300 | 636,000 | 574,500 | 636,000 | 647,900 | 660.400 | 627,200 | 607,700 | 605,200 | 621,500 | 600,800 |
|  | Market Area -- Zone 4 | 422.700 | 214.800 | 0 | 0 | 62.400 | 140.900 | 291.000 | 3,900 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 68.200 |
|  | Markel Area -- Zone 6 | 2.600 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 106.100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Slorage | 9.400 | 267,000 | 794.200 | 702.200 | 701,500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ |
| Other Purchased Resources |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DOMAC | Vapor | 211.100 | 248.000 | 147,700 | 97,900 | 160,500 | 181,300 | 13.000 | 44,900 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 103,700 |
|  | Liquid | 21,400 | 17.000 | 40,000 | 35.600 | 25,000 | $\bigcirc$ | 13.000 | 2,800 | 2.900 | 2,900 | 2,800 | 2,900 |
| LNG From Storage |  | 21,400 | 21,700 | 36,100 | 34,800 | 32,200 | 2.800 | 2,900 | 2,800 | 2.900 | 2.900 | 2.800 | 2,900 |
| Propane | Vapor | 0 | 13,000 | 80,000 | 37.100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Truck | $\bigcirc$ | 13,000 | 43,400 | 37,400 | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{\square}$ | 22.000 | $\underline{14.600}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| Total Resources |  | 1.545,500 | 2,362,300 | 2,806,000 | 2,338.100 | 1,846,300 | 1,987.300 | 1.231.900 | 809,100 | 833.200 | 830,900 | 840.200 | 999.700 |

# COMPARISON OF RESOURCES AND REQUIREMENTS Low Case Normal Year (MMBtu) <br> Heating Season (Nov-Mar) 

| REQUIREMENTS |  | 2006-07 | 2007-08 | 2008-09 | 2009-10 | 2010-11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Firm Sendout |  | 9,179,000 | 9,394,000 | 9,465,300 | 9,606,700 | 9,777,500 |
| Refill | Underground Storage | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | LNG | 42,200 | 36,400 | 68,400 | 107,400 | 119,100 |
|  | Propane | 93,500 | 93,400 | 93,500 | 93,400 | 93,500 |
| Total Requirements |  | 9,314,700 | 9,523,800 | 9,627,200 | 9,807,500 | 9,990,100 |
| RESOURCES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PNGTS |  | 21,000 | 21,200 | 21,000 | 21,000 | 21,000 |
| TGP | AES-Londonderry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28,000 |
|  | ANE | 584,700 | 588,600 | 584,700 | 584,700 | 584,700 |
|  | BP / Nexen | 447,200 | 450,200 | 447,200 | 447,100 | 447,200 |
|  | CoEnergy | 1,783,900 | 1,784,000 | 1,784,000 | 1,783,900 | 1,784,000 |
|  | Gulf Supply | 3,098,000 | 3,118,500 | 3,098,000 | 3,098,000 | 3,098,000 |
|  | Market Area -- Zone 4 | 279,900 | 209,000 | 250,100 | 332,800 | 369,500 |
|  | Market Area -- Zone 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45,300 |
|  | Storage | 2,309,000 | 2,490,000 | 2,490,400 | 2,488,800 | 2,490,900 |
| Other Purchased Resources |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DOMAC | Vapor | 478,200 | 522,700 | 547,900 | 571,400 | 652,900 |
|  | Liquid | 42,200 | 36,400 | 68,400 | 107,400 | 119,100 |
| LNG From Storage |  | 49,400 | 43,600 | 75,500 | 114,600 | 126,300 |
| Propane | Vapor | 128,000 | 166,600 | 166,700 | 164,500 | 130,100 |
|  | Truck | 93,500 | 93,400 | 93,500 | 93,400 | 93,500 |
| Total Resources |  | 9,315,000 | 9,524,200 | 9,627,400 | 9,807,600 | 9,990,500 |

# COMPARISON OF RESOURCES AND REQUIREMENTS Low Case Normal Year (MMBtu) 

Non-Heating Season (Apr-Oct)

| REQUIREMENTS |  | 2006-07 | 2007-08 | 2008-09 | 2009-10 | 2010-11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Firm Sendout |  | 3,659,300 | 3,734,700 | 3,800,500 | 3,870,000 | 3,955,500 |
| Refill | Underground Storage | 2,383,200 | 2,571,200 | 2,571,900 | 2,569,900 | 2,572,300 |
|  | LNG | 27,300 | 27,300 | 27,300 | 27,300 | 27,300 |
|  | Propane | 34,500 | 73,300 | 73,300 | 71,200 | 36,600 |
| Total Requirements |  | 6,104,300 | 6,406,500 | 6,473,000 | 6,538,400 | 6,591,700 |
| RESOURCES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PNGTS |  | 12,600 | 12,600 | 12,600 | 12,600 | 12,600 |
| TGP | AES-Londonderry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | ANE | 840,900 | 840,900 | 840,900 | 840,900 | 840,900 |
|  | BP / Nexen | 668,300 | 668,300 | 668,300 | 668,300 | 668,300 |
|  | CoEnergy | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Gulf Supply | 3,520,700 | 3,949,000 | 4,021,800 | 4,092,100 | 4,233,200 |
|  | Market Area -- Zone 4 | 250,100 | 129,800 | 148,600 | 169,400 | 197,600 |
|  | Market Area -- Zone 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Storage | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Purchased Resources |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DOMAC | Vapor | 729,700 | 685,400 | 660,100 | 636,500 | 555,100 |
|  | Liquid | 27,300 | 27,300 | 27,300 | 27,300 | 27,300 |
| LNG From Storage |  | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 |
| Propane | Vapor | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Truck | 34,500 | 73,300 | 73,300 | 71,200 | 36,600 |
| Total Resources |  | 6,104,100 | 6,406,600 | 6,472,900 | 6,538,300 | 6,591,600 |

## COMPARISON OF RESOURCES AND REQUIREMENTS Low Case Normal Year 2006-07 (MMBtu)

| REQUIREMENTS |  | 11/2006 | 122006 | 01/2007 | 022007 | $03 / 2007$ | 04,2007 | 05/2007 | $06 / 2007$ | 07/2007 | 082007 | 09/2007 | 10/2007 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Firm Sendoul |  | 1,306,000 | 1.997.200 | 2.303,400 | 1,903.900 | 1,668.500 | 969,200 | 598,100 | 325,100 | 279.300 | 275.200 | 362.300 | 852.100 |
| Refill | Underground Storage | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 206,200 | 531,300 | 514,300 | 531.300 | 502.400 | 7.700 | 0 |
|  | LNG | 2.800 | 14.400 | 0 | 0 | 25,000 | 0 | 13,000 | 2.800 | 2.900 | 2.000 | 2.800 | 2.900 |
|  | Propane | 0 | 11,000 | 66,500 | 16,000 | $\underline{0}$ | 0 | $\underline{22.000}$ | 12.500 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | - | O |
| Tolal Requrements |  | 1.308,800 | 2,022,600 | 2,369,900 | 1,918,900 | 1.693.500 | 1.265,400 | 1.162,400 | 854.700 | 813,500 | 780,500 | 372.800 | 855.000 |
| RESOURCES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PNGTS |  | 3,300 | 4.600 | 5,100 | 3.900 | 4.100 | 2.800 | 2,000 | 1.300 | 1,100 | 1.300 | 1,500 | 2.600 |
| tge | AES-Londonderty | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | ANE | 117.900 | 121.800 | 123.800 | 109.400 | 121.800 | 117.900 | 121,800 | 117.900 | 121,800 | 121,800 | 117.900 | 121.800 |
|  | BP/Nexen | 93,700 | 96.700 | 96.700 | 63.400 | 96.700 | 93,700 | 96,800 | 93,700 | 96,800 | 90.800 | 93.700 | 98.800 |
|  | CoEnergy | 0 | 812,900 | 618,800 | 552.200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Gulf Supply | 615.500 | 636,000 | 636,000 | 574.500 | 636.000 | 647.800 | 669.000 | 611,700 | 587.900 | 554.800 | 84.800 | 364.700 |
|  | Marke1 Area - Zone 4 | 286.600 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13,300 | 170.900 | 64,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15.200 |
|  | Markel Asea -- Zone 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Storage | 0 | 455.900 | 664,400 | 527,200 | 681.500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | , | 0 |
| Olner Purchased Resources |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Domac | Vapor | 206,300 | 43,000 | 88,000 | 48.600 | 94,300 | 229,500 | 170.900 | 12.000 | 0 | 0 | 69,300 | 248.000 |
|  | L.qus | 2,800 | 14,400 | 0 | 0 | 25,000 | - | 13.000 | 2.800 | 2.900 | 2,900 | 2,800 | 2,900 |
| LNG From Storage |  | 2.800 | 15,300 | 6,100 | 3,000 | 22.200 | 2.800 | 2,900 | 2.800 | 2.900 | 2,900 | 2.800 | 2,800 |
| Propane | Vapor | $\bigcirc$ | 19.000 | 66.500 | 31.800 | 18.600 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Truck | Q | 11,000 | 66,500 | 16,000 | Q | 0 | 22,000 | 12,500 | 9 | $\underline{0}$ | Q | 0 |
| Yotal Resources |  | 1.308.900 | 2.022.600 | 2.369.900 | 1.920,100 | 1,693,500 | 1,265,400 | 1,162,400 | 854.700 | 813.400 | 780,500 | 372.800 | 857,000 |

## COMPARISON OF RESOURCES AND REQUIREMENTS <br> Low Case Normal Year 2007-08 <br> (MMBtu)

| REQUIREMENTS |  | 11/2007 | 12/2007 | 01/2008 | 02/2000 | 03/2008 | 04/2008 | 05/2000 | $06 / 2008$ | 0712008 | 0882008 | $09 / 2008$ | $10 / 2008$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Firm Sendout |  | 1,329,500 | 2,029,600 | 2,340,600 | 1,997,400 | 1,696,900 | 988,400 | 608.500 | 331,900 | 284.700 | 281.100 | 371.000 | 869,100 |
| Refill | Underground Storage | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 145.700 | 531.300 | 514,300 | 531.300 | 512.900 | 335.700 | 0 |
|  | LNG | 3.000 | 14,400 | 4,600 | 0 | 14.400 | 0 | 13,000 | 2.800 | 2.900 | 2.900 | 2.800 | 2.900 |
|  | Propane | $\underline{0}$ | Q | $\underline{56,000}$ | 37,400 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 22,000 | 22.000 | 22.000 | 7.300 | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ |
| Total Requirements |  | 1.332.500 | 2.044,000 | 2,401.200 | 2,034,800 | 1.711,300 | 1.134,100 | 1,174.800 | 871,000 | 840,000 | 804.200 | 709,500 | 872.000 |
| RESOURCES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PNGTS |  | 3,300 | 4,600 | 5.100 | 4,100 | 4,100 | 2.800 | 2.000 | 1,300 | 1,100 | 1,300 | 1.500 | 2.600 |
| TGP | AES-Londonderry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | ANE | 117,900 | 121.800 | 121.800 | 105,300 | 121,800 | 117,900 | 121,800 | 117,900 | 121.800 | 121.800 | 117.900 | 121,800 |
|  | BP/Nexen | 93,700 | 96,700 | 96.700 | 66,400 | 96.700 | 93,700 | \$8,800 | 93,700 | 96.800 | 96,800 | 93,700 | 96,800 |
|  | CoEnergy | 0 | 610,500 | 618,500 | 555.000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
|  | Guif Supply | 645.500 | 636,000 | 636,000 | 595,000 | 636,000 | 647,800 | 669,100 | 815,700 | 593,400 | 571.200 | 473,900 | 377,800 |
|  | Markel Area -- Zone 4 | 175.500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33.500 | 38,900 | 71.900 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 19.000 |
|  | Markel Area -- Zone 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |
|  | Storage | 109,800 | 482,100 | 680.000 | 579,800 | 638.300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 |
| Other Purchased Resources |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DOMAC | vapor | 211.000 | 44.600 | 98.000 | 50.700 | 118.400 | 230.300 | 175.200 | 14,900 | 0 | 0 | 17,000 | 248,000 |
|  | Liquid | 3.000 | 14,400 | 4.600 | 0 | 14.400 | 0 | 13.000 | 2,800 | 2.900 | 2,900 | 2.800 | 2,900 |
| LNG From Storage |  | 3.000 | 21,700 | 3.700 | 3.700 | 11,500 | 2,800 | 2,900 | 2.800 | 2.900 | 2,900 | 2.800 | 2,900 |
| Propane | Vapor | 0 | \$1,600 | 81,000 | 37.400 | 36,600 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Truck | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 56,000 | 37400 | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | 22,000 | 22,000 | 22,000 | 7.300 | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ |
| Total Resources |  | 1.332 .700 | 2,044.000 | 2.401.400 | 2,034.800 | 1.711.300 | 1.134.200 | 1.174.700 | 871,100 | 840.900 | 804.200 | 709.600 | 871.900 |

COMPARISON OF RESOURCES AND REQUIREMENTS
Low Case Normal Year 2008-09
(MMBtu)

| REQUIREMENTS |  | 112008 | 1272008 | 01/2009 | 02/2009 | 03/2099 | 04/2009 | 05/2009 | $06 / 2009$ | 0772009 | ¢8/2009 | 09/2009 | 10/2009 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Firm Sendout |  | 1.350.100 | 2.058,100 | 2.373.300 | 1,962,100 | 4.721,700 | 1,005,200 | 619.300 | 337,800 | 289,400 | 286,200 | 378.600 | 884.000 |
| Refill | Underground Storage | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 137,500 | 531.300 | 514.300 | 531,300 | 513,000 | 344,500 | 0 |
|  | LNG | 4,000 | 14.400 | 28.300 | 0 | 21.700 | 0 | 13.000 | 2.800 | 2.900 | 2,900 | 2.800 | 2.900 |
|  | Propane | $\underline{0}$ | 19900 | 67,900 | 6.300 | $\underline{0}$ | Q | $\underline{22,000}$ | $\underline{22,000}$ | 22,000 | 7300 | $\bigcirc$ | Q |
| Tolal Requrements |  | 1,354,100 | 2,091,900 | 2,469,500 | 1,568,300 | 1,743,400 | 1,142.700 | 1.185.600 | 876.000 | 845.600 | 809.400 | 725,900 | 886,900 |
| RESOURCES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PNGTS |  | 3.300 | 4.800 | 5.100 | 3.900 | 4.100 | 2.800 | 2,000 | 1,300 | 1,100 | 1,300 | 1.500 | 2.600 |
| TGP | AES-Londonderry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | ANE | 117,900 | 121.800 | 121.800 | 101.400 | 121,800 | 117.900 | 121.800 | 117.900 | 121.800 | 121.800 | 117,900 | 121.800 |
|  | BP/Nexen | 93,700 | 06,700 | 06,700 | 63.400 | 96.700 | 93,700 | 96,800 | 93.700 | 96,800 | 96,800 | 93,700 | 96.800 |
|  | CoEnergy | 0 | 618,800 | 620,000 | 545.200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ |
|  | Gulf Supply | 615,500 | 636,000 | 636,000 | 574.500 | 636.000 | 647.900 | 669.300 | 618.500 | 598.000 | 576.400 | 507.200 | 404.500 |
|  | Market Area -- Zone 4 | 213.700 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36,400 | 46,800 | 79.100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 22.700 |
|  | Market Area -- Zone 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 |
|  | Storage | 87.300 | 494.700 | 690,900 | 572,000 | 645,500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Purchased Resources |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 |
| DOMAC | Vapor | 214,800 | 45.800 | 107.400 | 54,100 | \$25.800 | 230,900 | 178,600 | 17.900 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 232.700 |
|  | Liquid | 4,000 | 14,400 | 28.300 | 0 | 21.700 | 0 | 13,000 | 2.800 | 2.900 | 2.900 | 2,800 | 2.900 |
| LNG From Storage |  | 4,000 | 20,300 | 27,500 | 4.800 | 18,900 | 2.800 | 2,900 | 2.800 | 2.900 | 2.900 | 2,800 | 2,900 |
| Propane | Vapor | 0 | 19,400 | 67,900 | 42,800 | 36.600 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Inuck | $\underline{0}$ | 19,400 | 67,900 | 6.200 | Q | $\bigcirc$ | $\underline{22.000}$ | 32.000 | 22,000 | 7300 | 0 | - |
| Total Resources |  | 1,354,200 | 2,091,900 | 2.469.500 | 1,968,300 | 1.743,500 | 1.142.800 | 1.185.500 | 876.900 | 845.500 | 809.400 | 725.900 | 886,800 |

COMPARISON OF RESOURCES AND REQUIREMENTS
Low Case Normal Year 2009-10 (MMBtu)

| REQUIREMENTS |  | 11/3000 | 12/2009 | $01 / 2010$ | 022010 | 03/2010 | 04/2010 | 05/2010 | 062019 | $07 / 2010$ | Q82010 | 0982010 | $10 / 2010$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Furm Sendout |  | 1,371,800 | 2,088,200 | 2,407,900 | 1,880,800 | 1,748,000 | 1,023,000 | 630,700 | 344,000 | 294.300 | 291.500 | 388.600 | 899,800 |
| Refitil | Underground Storage | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 129,600 | 531,300 | 514,300 | 531.300 | 513,500 | 349,900 | 0 |
|  | LNG | 5.100 | 14,400 | 35,500 | 27,400 | 25.000 |  | 13,000 | 2,800 | 2.900 | 2,900 | 2,800 | 2,900 |
|  | Propane | $\bigcirc$ | 28,300 | 62,600 | $\underline{0}$ | 2.500 | $\bigcirc$ | 22,000 | 22.000 | $\underline{22,000}$ | 5,200 | $\bigcirc$ | $\underline{0}$ |
| Total Requrements |  | 1,376,900 | 2,130,900 | 2.506 .000 | 2.018.200 | 1.775.500 | 1,152,600 | 1.197,000 | 883,100 | 850,500 | 813.200 | 739,300 | 902,700 |
| RESOURCES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PNGTS |  | 3.300 | 4.600 | 5,100 | 3,900 | 4,100 | 2,800 | 2.000 | 1,300 | 1,100 | 1,300 | 7.500 | 2,600 |
| TGP | AES-Londanderty | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | ANE | 117.900 | 121,800 | 121,800 | 101,400 | 121.800 | 117,900 | 121,800 | 117,900 | 121,800 | 123.800 | 117.900 | 121.800 |
|  | BP/ Nexen | 93.700 | 96.700 | 96.700 | 68,000 | 94,000 | 93,700 | 06.800 | 93,700 | 96.800 | 96,800 | 93.700 | 96.800 |
|  | CoEnergy | 0 | 613.800 | 810.100 | 560,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Guil Supply | 615,500 | 636,000 | 636,000 | 574,500 | 636,000 | 647.800 | 660,400 | 621,500 | 603,000 | 582.300 | 520.700 | 447.400 |
|  | Market Area -- Zone 4 | 297,800 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35,000 | 56.100 | 86,700 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26,600 |
|  | Market Area -- Zone 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Storage | 20,000 | 520,900 | 713,300 | 572.000 | 862.600 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Purchased Rescurces |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DOMAC | Vapor | 218,600 | 47,900 | 116.500 | 58.200 | 130,200 | 231,500 | 182,300 | 21.100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 201.600 |
|  | Liquid | 5.100 | 24.400 | 35.500 | 27.400 | 25,000 | 0 | 13,000 | 2.800 | 2,900 | 2,900 | 2,800 | 2.900 |
| LNG From Storage |  | 5.100 | 18.200 | 36,500 | 27.600 | 27,200 | 2,800 | 2.900 | 2,800 | 2.900 | 2.900 | 2,800 | 2,900 |
| Propane | Vapor | 0 | 28,300 | 71.800 | 27,300 | 37,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Truck | $\bigcirc$ | 28,300 | $\underline{62.600}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\underline{2500}$ | 0 | 22.000 | $\underline{22,000}$ | $\underline{22,000}$ | 5.200 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| Yotal Resources |  | 1,377,000 | 2,130,900 | 2,506,000 | 2,018.300 | 1,775,400 | 1.152.600 | 1,196,900 | 883,900 | 850.500 | 813.200 | 739.400 | 902.600 |

## COMPARISON OF RESOURCES AND REQUIREMENTS

 Low Case Normal Year 2010-11 (MMBtu)| REQUIREMENTS |  | 11/2010 | $12 / 2010$ | $01 / 2011$ | 028011 | 03/2011 | 04/2011 | 05/2011 | 0812011 | 072011 | O8/2011 | 09/2011 | 1012011 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Firm Sendou: |  | 1,398,200 | 2,124,600 | 2,449,500 | 2.025,400 | 1,779,800 | 1,044,600 | 644,700 | 351,800 | 300,600 | 298,400 | 396.500 | 918,900 |
| Refill | Underground Slorage | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 121,700 | 531,300 | 514.300 | 531,300 | 515,700 | 358.000 | 0 |
|  | LNG | 8,400 | 14,400 | 38.900 | 34.400 | 25,000 | 0 | 13,000 | 2,800 | 2.900 | 2.900 | 2,800 | 2.900 |
|  | Propane | $\underline{0}$ | 7.100 | 53,900 | 32.500 | $\underline{0}$ | 0 | $\underline{22,000}$ | 14,600 | @ | 0 | $\underline{9}$ | $\underline{0}$ |
| Tolal Requrements |  | 1.404.600 | 2.146.100 | 2.542.300 | 2,082,300 | 1.804.800 | 1.168.300 | 3.213,000 | 883.500 | 834,800 | 817,000 | 757.300 | 921,800 |
| RESOURCES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PNGTS |  | 3,300 | 4,600 | 5,100 | 3.000 | 4.100 | 2.800 | 2,000 | 1.300 | 1,100 | 1,300 | 1,500 | 2.600 |
| tGP | AES-Londonderry | 0 | 28,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | ANE | 117.900 | 121,800 | 121,800 | 101.400 | 121,800 | 117.900 | 121.800 | 117,900 | 121.800 | 121,800 | 117.900 | 121.860 |
|  | BP/Nexen | 93.700 | 96.700 | 86,700 | 63.400 | 96,700 | 93.700 | 96,800 | 93,700 | 96,800 | 96,800 | 93.700 | 96,800 |
|  | CoEnergy | 0 | 606,500 | 617,500 | 580,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Guls Supply | 615.500 | 836.000 | 636,000 | 574.500 | 636.000 | 647,900 | 669,400 | 625,100 | 609,200 | 591,300 | 538,700 | 551.600 |
|  | Market Area - Zone 4 | 329,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40,500 | 68,800 | 96.300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32.500 |
|  | Market Area - Zone 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45,300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Slorage | 9.400 | 500,500 | 719.600 | 504.500 | 866.900 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Purchased Resources |  | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DOMAC | vapor | 223,400 | 103,400 | 126,200 | 62,700 | 137,500 | 232400 | 186,700 | 25,300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 110.700 |
|  | Lquid | 6.400 | 14,400 | 38,900 | 34,400 | 25,000 | 0 | 13,000 | 2,800 | 2,900 | 2.900 | 2,800 | 2,800 |
| LNG From Slorage |  | 6.400 | 20.100 | 36.200 | 32.600 | 31,000 | 2.800 | 2.900 | 2.800 | 2,900 | 2.900 | 2.800 | 2,900 |
| Propane | Vapor | 0 | 7.100 | 90.500 | 32,500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Truck | $\underline{0}$ | 7.100 | 53,900 | 32.500 | $\underline{0}$ | 0 | 22,000 | 14,600 | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | 0 |
| Tolaj Resources |  | 1,404,700 | 2.146.200 | 2.542.400 | 2,092,400 | 1,804.800 | 1.166.300 | 1.210,900 | 883,500 | 834.700 | 817,000 | 757,400 | 921.800 |

# EnergyNorth <br> Cold Snap Scenario Resources and Requirements 2006-07 

## COMPARISON OF RESOURCES AND REQUIREMENTS <br> Cold Snap Scenario 2006-07 (MMBtu)

| REOUIREMENTS |  | 11/2006 | 1272006 | 0172007 | 022007 | $03 / 2007$ | 04/2007 | 05/2007 | $06 / 2007$ | 07/2007 | $08 / 2007$ | 29/2007 | 10/2007 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Firm Sencout |  | 1.347,600 | 2.052 .800 | 2.431 .500 | 1,956,700 | 1,717,800 | 1,004,100 | 620,600 | 340,800 | 293,000 | 289.700 | 381.000 | 883.800 |
| Refill | Undergound Slorage | 600 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 389,800 | 531,300 | 514.300 | 530.800 | 502.400 | 7.700 | 0 |
|  | LNG | 3.800 | 14.400 | 34,200 | 17,200 | 25,000 | 0 | 13,000 | 2.800 | 2.900 | 2.900 | 2,800 | 2,900 |
|  | Propane | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 93,500 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 22,000 | $\underline{22,000}$ | $\underline{22,000}$ | $\underline{2.400}$ | 0 | $\underline{0}$ |
| Toial Requirements |  | 1,352.000 | 2,067,200 | 2,559,200 | 1,973,900 | 1,742,800 | 1.393.900 | 4.186,900 | 879,900 | 848.700 | 797.400 | 391.500 | 886,700 |
| RESOURCES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PNGTS |  | 3.300 | 4,600 | 5.100 | 3.900 | 4,100 | 2.800 | 2.000 | 1,300 | 1,100 | 1,300 | 1,500 | 2,600 |
| TGP | AES-Londonderry | 0 | 20,000 | 29,100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | ANE | 117,000 | 121.800 | 121.800 | 101.400 | 121,800 | 117,900 | 121,800 | 117,900 | 121,800 | 121.800 | 117,900 | 121,800 |
|  | BP/ Naxen | 93,700 | 96,700 | 96,700 | 63,400 | 96,700 | 93,700 | 96,800 | 93.700 | 96.800 | 96,800 | 93.700 | 96,800 |
|  | CoEnergy | 0 | 609,200 | 619,800 | 555,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Guli Supply | 615,500 | 636,000 | 636.000 | 574,500 | 636,000 | 647,900 | 669,400 | 620.800 | 601.200 | \$69,300 | 172.800 | 392.200 |
|  | Market Area - Z Zone 4 | 298,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29.400 | 297,700 | 79,100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22.200 |
|  | Markel Area -- Zone 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Slorage | 800 | 500,700 | 882.100 | 559,300 | 656.500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Purchased Resources |  | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DOMAC | vacor | 215.500 | 45.500 | 99,200 | 53.400 | 119.400 | 231,200 | 179,800 | 18,700 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 245.200 |
|  | Liqued | 3.800 | 14.400 | 34,200 | 17.200 | 25,000 | 0 | 13,000 | 2,800 | 2,900 | 2.900 | 2,800 | 2,900 |
| LNG From Storage |  | 3.800 | 18,200 | 33,600 | 24,100 | 22,200 | 2.800 | 2,900 | 2,800 | 2.900 | 2.900 | 2.800 | 2.900 |
| Propane | Vapor | 0 | 0 | 108,200 | 21,500 | 31,700 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Truck | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 93,500 | 9 | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | 23.000 | 22.000 | $\underline{22,000}$ | 2400 | $\underline{0}$ | 0 |
| Total Resources |  | 1,352,100 | 2.067.100 | 2.559,300 | 1,974,100 | 1.742.800 | 1,394.000 | 1.186,800 | 880.000 | 848.700 | 797,400 | 391.500 | 886.600 |

## V. MANAGEMENT OF THE RESOURCE PORTFOLIO

## A. Introduction

The Company's resource management effort is a continuous process used by the Company to manage its portfolio in order to: (i) maximize the use of capacity, (ii) minimize the cost of gas, (iii) maintain flexibility to meet changing weather conditions and uncertainties of the competitive demand and supply markets, and (iv) maintain operational integrity of its distribution system. Because the Company must maintain sufficient capacity in its resource portfolio to meet current and expected design day and design year customer requirements, at any given time, it might have resources that are temporarily under-utilized. Through its resource management efforts, the Company seeks to extract the maximum value possible from these under-utilized resources and maintain the lowest cost for its firm customers.

## B. Portfolio Management

As part of the Settlement, the Company agreed not to renew its Gas Resource Portfolio Management and Gas Sales Agreement ("Portfolio Management Agreement") with Merrill Lynch Commodities, LLC ("Merrill") that terminated on March 31, 2006. On December 8, 2005, the Company filed its Portfolio Management Plan with the Commission which provided a detailed plan on how the Company would manage its gas resources effective with the
termination of its Portfolio Management Agreement with Merrill. The Portfolio Management Plan is provided as Appendix B.

## C. Benefits of a Coordinated KeySpan New England Portfolio

There are a number of benefits enjoyed by New Hampshire customers as a result of the coordination of the gas supply planning and acquisition efforts with those of the three KeySpan LDCs in Massachusetts. This coordination has created the opportunity for the Company's customers to benefit from the economies of scale and scope that were not available when the Company performed these functions on its own.

For example, shortly after the KeySpan merger, EnergyNorth coordinated its contract-renewal negotiations with its primary pipeline supplier, Tennessee, with those of the KeySpan Massachusetts LDCs. This greatly increased the Company's bargaining power ${ }^{1}$. One significant benefit resulting from the negotiations was the creation of a single Operational Balancing Agreement ("OBA") with Tennessee for all of the KeySpan New England citygates. This allows the Company and the KeySpan Massachusetts LDCs to balance deliveries across all of its Tennessee citygates in New England.

A second example of the benefits of coordinated portfolios is that of displacement. Displacement combines the benefits of both the single OBA and

[^14]the use of on-system supply and distribution assets between the Company and the KeySpan Massachusetts LDCs. On any given day, the Massachusetts LDCs may make LNG available to EnergyNorth by vaporizing LNG into their systems and "deliver" it to EnergyNorth through displacement on its distribution system and the Tennessee pipeline. Because KeySpan has a single OBA for New England, EnergyNorth incurs only the commodity cost and the LNG trucking costs to the MA facility and avoids the pipeline transportation costs to which it otherwise would have been subject.

A third example of the benefits to the Company from coordination with the KeySpan Massachusetts LDCs is its ability to use a 500,000 gallon propane storage tank in Haverhill, Massachusetts to the extent that is not currently needed to meet sendout requirements in the Massachusetts portfolio. Because of the close proximity of the Haverhill facility to the EnergyNorth service territory, this facility has been made available for propane storage needed to meet peak season sendout requirements for New Hampshire customers. Without this facility, EnergyNorth would be required to contract for an incremental winter refill contract.

A fourth example of the benefits to the Company from coordination with the KeySpan Massachusetts LDCs relates to LNG winter trucking. Each winter season, the Company contracts with Transgas Inc. for a "Dedicated Service" agreement for the months of December, January and February. The agreement provides for a specific level of service including both trailers and drivers for trucking LNG. Each LDC pays a portion of the cost based on its need on the
design day for a portable vaporizer(s) if any, and its design winter season sendout percentage of the total of the total design winter season. Given design conditions, each LDC would be limited to the level of service it pays for. However, in the absence of design conditions, if the resources paid for by one LDC are not being fully utilized on any given day, any of the other LDCs may call upon those temporarily unutilized resources and pay only the variable charges incurred for using those resources. Without this flexibility, each individual LDC would need to contract for incremental trucking service.

## D. Storage Management

Within the overall management of its portfolio, the Company must also adhere to two specific rules as established by the Commission related to the management of storage supplies; (1) Storage Rule Curve and (2) Seven Day Storage Rule.

## 1) Storage Rule Curve

Since the 2004/05 winter period, the Company has implemented a strategy that it agreed upon with Commission Staff regarding the dispatch of underground storage volumes. Under this strategy, during the peak period, the Company computes the cumulative forecasted usage under its design weather scenario of total underground storage volumes for the remainder of the peak period as of the end of each month as listed in Schedule 11B of the September 1st Cost of Gas filing. The Company divides these cumulative volumes by its
total underground storage MSQ and these values ("rule curve") are used by the Company to determine the minimum overall end-of month inventory level for its underground storage fields. Within each month, the Company may withdraw underground storage volumes to levels below the rule curve on any given day, so long as by the last day of each month the Company is at or above the rule curve. ${ }^{2}$

## 2) Seven Day Storage Rule

Puc rule 506.03 ("On-site Storage") directs New Hampshire gas utilities to "maintain an on-site storage capability in connection with the operation of its gas distribution system between December 1 and February 14 of each year which will provide peak shaving supplies for an estimated maximum-design cold period of 7 consecutive days." Under the rule, between February 15 and February 28, the minimum on-site storage capacity may then be reduced to $75 \%$ of the total requirement and between March 1 and March 31 the minimum on-site storage requirement may then be reduced to $50 \%$ of the original total requirement.

## E. Managing Volatility

The natural gas commodity market continues to be volatile. Spiking price increases in the spring and summer of 2005 were exacerbated by the effects of Hurricanes Katrina and Rita, which shut down both offshore gas platforms and onshore gas processing plants, causing gas prices to rise from the $\$ 7-\$ 8 / \mathrm{MMBtu}$

[^15]range into the $\$ 14-\$ 15 / \mathrm{MMB}$ tu range in late September 2005 . Since then, prices have moderated as demand slackened from a combination of conservation and a relatively mild winter and higher levels of storage inventories nationally. At the time of this filing, prices for the upcoming 2006/07 winter remain in the $\$ 9-\$ 10$ range, somewhat below the $\$ 14-\$ 15 / \mathrm{MMBu}$ range of last year.

The Company mitigates volatility in the gas commodity markets in several ways. First, the Company maintains a balanced portfolio that includes contract storage and on-system LNG. These assets allow the Company to inject gas during the off peak season for withdrawal during the peak season, providing a natural pricing hedge. Second, the Company maintains a geographically diverse gas supply portfolio that reduces its exposure to volatility in any single supply region and also minimizes exposure to volatility at a single pricing point or market index. Finally, the Company mitigates price volatility with a formal hedging program, its Natural Gas Risk Management Plan, as well as its Fixed Price Option program.

Under the Natural Gas Risk Management Plan the Company uses two hedging strategies aimed at reducing gas cost volatility or fixing the cost of gas. Under one strategy, financial derivatives are executed before the winter heating season to establish a price or price range for $50 \%$ of the estimated flowing volume for each month from October through May. Under the other strategy, financial derivatives are executed prior to the summer injection season to establish a price or price range for $20 \%$ of the market area storage capacity. The total volume hedged, based on the storage capacity forecast, is divided equally
over the May through October injection period. Lastly, the Company offers a Fixed Price Option ("FPO") program to its customers whereby customers are given the option to fix the price for the gas supply portion of their bills for the winter season. In order to fix the cost of gas supplies for this program, the Company hedges $35 \%$ of its portfolio. The Company received Commission approval on September 16, 2005, Order No. 24,515 in Docket No. DG 05-127, for both its Natural Gas Risk Management Plan and Fixed Price Option program.

## VI. SUMMARY OF COMPLIANCE WITH THE TERMS OF THE AUGUST 19, 2005 SETTLEMENT

On August 19, 2005, the Company, the Commission Staff and the Office of the Consumer Advocate entered into a Settlement to resolve outstanding issue in dockets DG 04-133 and DG 04-175 which was approved by the Commission in Order No. 24,531 dated October 12, 2005. The Settlement requires the Company to incorporate certain information into this IRP filing. This section identifies the information to be included and documents the Company's compliance with the Settlement terms.

## 1. All volumes will be stated in MMBtus;

Throughout the filing, all volume references are stated in MMBtu.
2. For purposes of forecasting average use per customer, the Company will use at least three years' worth of customer usage data;

As documented in Section III Table III-1, the forecast of average use per customer was developed using quarterly data for the twenty- one year period January 1984 through December 2005.
3. The Company will develop an econometric demand forecasting model for use in the IRP in place of the end use forecasting model it currently uses;

The econometric demand forecasting model specified by the Company for this IRP is described in detail in Section III B.
4. For purposes of establishing design planning standards, the Company will utilize a Monte Carlo weather forecasting analysis;

The Monte Carlo weather forecasting analysis used by the Company to develop its design planning standards is described in detail in Section III E.
5. The IRP will include a detailed contingency plan addressing the Company's plans for ensuring adequate supplies and capacity resources for low probability weather scenarios and a range of possible supply/capacity interruptions. Among other things, the contingency plan shall address the following:
(a) Displacement of gas from the Company's Massachusetts affiliates to New Hampshire to the extent feasible under the combined OBA on the Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company system;
(b) The potential for and related cost if the Company were to increase the level of dedicated trucking to deliver liquid supplies to New Hampshire during periods when vaporized LNG from its Massachusetts affiliates' facilities cannot be
displaced via pipeline from Massachusetts to New Hampshire;
(c) A reasonable range of potential supply or capacity disruptions under design day weather conditions and the Company's response to each specified situation, including a loss of pipeline and LNG or propane supplies;

The Company's contingency plan is set forth in Section IV F.
6. The IRP will include a section setting forth the Company's planning practices relating to longer-term portfolio optimization. The section will identify the available and potentially available supply resources and their respective costs. In addition, the section will discuss the opportunities for utilizing these available resources, either as replacements for expiring contracts or meeting load growth, describe the portfolio optimization model, and identify the mix and timing of resource additions and subtractions that are expected to minimize costs over the long-term under a given set of price and demand forecasts. Determination of the optimal portfolio also requires the Company to address the role of its peaking plants in its overall portfolio. Finally, the section will also
identify supply resources that are unlikely to be available to the Company because of its particular circumstances;

The design of the Company's portfolio and the optimization of that portfolio to meet sendout requirements over the forecast period is discussed in Section IV.
7. The IRP will include a section that discusses the extent to which the Company's supply or capacity plans take into account the potential migration of sales service customers to transportation service. In addition, the section will discuss whether and how the Company's plans address the risk that transportation customers migrate to sales service. To the extent that the Company does not plan to serve the gas requirements of all transportation customers, the section will also address how the Company protects customers against a possible reduction in supply reliability resulting from unauthorized gas usage by migrating transportation customers.

A discussion of the Company's historical experience and forecast of transportation migration, including a discussion of planning for "grandfathered transportation load" is contained in Section III B (6).

## 8. The IRP will include a section that describes the

 Company's strategy for managing the short, medium and long term risks arising from volatility in gas commodity costs, such as the potential for entering into fixed price forward contracts and financial hedges or the economic operation of peaking facilities.A discussion of the Company's price volatility management and fixed price option programs is contained in Section $V$.
9. The IRP will include a section discussing the purpose of the Company's curtailment plan and the implications of that plan for supply and/or capacity planning.

The Company filed its New Hampshire Emergency Curtailment plan with the Commission on November 1, 2005. That plan is not designed to address the Company's upstream capacity and supply planning process or the Company's gas supply contingency planning activities. However, as discussed in Section V, in the event that the company is unable to overcome an upstream force majuere event that prevented it from delivering sufficient supply to meet its firm sendout requirements, the Company would look to the curtailment plan for the most orderly and efficient means of curtailing customer load until such time as the emergency event was resolved.
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## APPENDIX A

KeySpan Energy Delivery New England
EnergyNorth Natural Gas Inc.
Number of Commercial and Industrial Customers Forecasting
Regression Model: D1
Dependent Variable: CUSCI
Independent Variable: CUSCI_1 POP Auto(-4)

| Size | 85 Parameter: |  | 3 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mean | 7462.374 Std Dev | 1741.739 |  |
| R-Square | 0.9994 DW | 2.3058 |  |
| SSE | 3017373 MSE | 35499 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Term | CUSCl_1 | POP | Auto(-4) |
| Estimate | 0.9309 | 0.5111 | -0.621 |
| Std Error | 0.0378 | 0.25 | 0.0917 |
| T-Ratio | 24.66 | 2.04 | -6.77 |
| Pr $>[t]$ | $<.0001$ | $0.044<.0001$ |  |

Forecasts (from Base Period 2005-Q4)
Date LCL Forecast UCL
2006 Q1 $9999.551 \quad 10369.02 \quad 10738.49$
2006Q2 $10092.65 \quad 10466.01 \quad 10839.38$
2006Q3 $\quad 10406.78 \quad 10784.19 \quad 11161.6$
2006Q4 $10177.34 \quad 10559.87 \quad 10942.41$
2007Q1 $10234.57 \quad 10620.96 \quad 11007.36$
2007Q2 $10313.82 \quad 10704.07 \quad 11094.33$
2007Q3 $10521.86 \quad 10916.0511310 .25$
2007 Q4 $10389.58 \quad 10788.31 \quad 11187.03$
2008Q1 $10440.19 \quad 10842.68 \quad 11245.16$
2008Q2 $10488.410894 .61 \quad 11300.82$
2008Q3 $10624.57 \cdot 11034.48 \quad 11444.39$
2008Q4 10571.63 10985.53 11399.43
$2009 Q 1 \quad 10635.41 \quad 11052.89 \quad 11470.36$
$\begin{array}{lllll}2009 Q 2 & 10685.06 & 11106.14 & 11527.21\end{array}$
2009Q3 $10807.7711232 .42 \quad 11657.08$
2009Q4 10788.3411216 .8211645 .29
2010 Q1 $10810.611242 .61 \quad 11674.63$
2010Q2 10863.0211298 .4711733 .92
2010Q3 $10928.81 \quad 11367.68 \quad 11806.56$
2010Q4 $10922.47 \quad 11364.8 \quad 11807.14$
2011Q1 10975.0911417 .4311866 .29
2011Q2 11022.87 1146311920.59
2011Q3 11076.43 $11545.11 \quad 11980.63$
2011Q4 $11120.21 \quad 11544.0412030 .91$

## APPENDIXA

KeySpan Energy Delivery New England
EnergyNorth Natural Gas Inc.
Number of Commercial and Industrial Customers Forecasting


Forecasts (from Base Period 2005-Q4)

| Date | LCL | Forecast | UCL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2006Q1 | 9954.965 | 10366.26 | 10777.55 |
| 2006Q2 | 10087.68 | 10460.75 | 10833.81 |
| 2006Q3 | 10399.96 | 10777.05 | 11154.14 |
| 2006Q4 | 10168.3 | 10550.49 | 10932.68 |
| 2007Q1 | 10222.76 | 10608.78 | 10994.81 |
| 2007Q2 | 10299.57 | 10689.42 | 11079.26 |
| 2007Q3 | 10506.1 | 10899.83 | 11293.57 |
| 2007Q4 | 10371.5 | 10769.71 | 11167.92 |
| 2008Q1 | 10420.05 | 10821.96 | 11223.87 |
| 2008Q2 | 10466.73 | 10872.3 | 11277.88 |
| 2008Q3 | 10602.22 | 11011.42 | 11420.62 |
| 2008Q4 | 10547.64 | 10960.76 | 11373.88 |
| 2009Q1 | 10610.22 | 11026.85 | 11443.48 |
| 2009Q2 | 10659.22 | 11079.38 | 11499.53 |
| 2009Q3 | 10781.85 | 11205.52 | 11629.19 |
| 2009Q4 | 10761.58 | 11188.99 | 11616.4 |
| 2010Q1 | 10783.43 | 11214.32 | 11645.21 |
| 2010Q2 | 10835.44 | 11269.69 | 11703.94 |
| 2010Q3 | 10901.26 | 11338.86 | 11776.47 |
| 2010Q4 | 10894.05 | 11335.05 | 11776.06 |
| 2011Q1 | 10942.78 | 11386.98 | 11831.19 |
| 2011Q2 | 10981.49 | 11428.88 | 11876.27 |
| 2011Q3 | 11052.2 | 11502.73 | 11953.27 |
| 2011Q4 | 11063.25 | 11517 | 11970.74 |

## APPENDIXA

KeySpan Energy Delivery New England
EnergyNorth Natural Gas Inc.
Number of Commercial and industrial Customers Forecasting
Regression Model: D3
Dependent Variable: CUSCI
Independent Variable: CUSCI_1 GSP Auto(-4) .

| Size | 85 Parameter: |  | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mean | 7462.374 | Std Dev | 1741.739 |
| R-Square | 0.9994 | DW | 2.3068 |
| SSE | 3105003 | MSE | 36529 |
| Term | CUSCI_1 | GSP | Auto (-4) |
| Estimate | 0.9525 | 0.0119 | -0.6271 |
| Std Error | 0.0418 | 0.008926 | 0.0916 |
| T-Ratio | 22.81 | 1.33 | -6.85 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}>[t]$ | <. 0001 | 0.1871 | <. 0001 |

Forecasts (from Base Period 2005-Q4)

| Date | LCL | Forecast | UCL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2006Q1 | 10044.22 | 10485.04 | 10925.86 |
| 2006Q2 | 10279.1 | 10676.89 | 11074.68 |
| 2006Q3 | 10682.14 | 11084.72 | 11487.29 |
| 2006Q4 | 10531.33 | 10940.22 | 11349.12 |
| 2007Q1 | 10718.24 | 11132.39 | 11546.54 |
| 2007Q2 | 10911.36 | 11331.28 | 11751.2 |
| 2007Q3 | 11239.05 | 11665.27 | 12091.49 |
| 2007Q4 | 11219.43 | 11653.11 | 12086.79 |
| 2008Q1 | 11412.35 | 11852.97 | 12293.58 |
| 2008Q2 | 11612.75 | 12060.79 | 12508.83 |
| 2008Q3 | 11897.74 | 12353.71 | 12809.69 |
| 2008Q4 | 11967.05 | 12431.87 | 12896.69 |
| 2009Q1 | 12169.44 | 12642.92 | 13116.39 |
| 2009Q2 | 12373.64 | 12856.25 | 13338.86 |
| 2009Q3 | 12630.82 | 13123.02 | 13615.23 |
| 2009Q4 | 12751.69 | 13254.19 | 13756.68 |
| 2010Q1 | 12955.75 | 13468.54 | 13981.32 |
| 2010Q2 | 13160.87 | 13684.34 | 14207.82 |
| 2010Q3 | 13398.39 | 13932.94 | 14467.5 |
| 2010Q4 | 13551.58 | 14097.74 | 14643.9 |
| 2011Q1 | 13756.08 | 14313.93 | 14871.78 |
| 2011Q2 | 13957.7 | 14527.57 | 15097.44 |
| 2011Q3 | 14179.54 | 14761.73 | 15343.93 |
| 2011Q4 | 14348.48 | 14943.37 | 15538.26 |

## APPENDIX A

KeySpan Energy Delivery New England
EnergyNorth Natural Gas Inc.
Residential Customers Forecasting
ARIMA Model $(4,2,0)$
Time Series: . CUSCI

| Size | 1.331409 | Parameter: | 5 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mean | 7462.374 | Std Dev | 1741.739 |  |  |
| R-Square | 0.993631 |  | 1.331409 |  |  |
| SSE | 1096.782 | MSE | 1724694 | RMSE | 1313.276 |
| Estimation |  |  |  |  |  |
| Parameter | MU | AR1_1 | AR1_2 | AR1_3 | AR1_4 |
| Estimate | 279.5836 | 0.627437 | 0.179011 | -0.719115 | 0.386266 |
| Standard Error | 29.68743 | 0.090442 | 0.095932 | 0.10739 | 0.109028 |
| t Value | 9.417574 | 6.93745 | 1.866012 | -6.696291 | 3.542809 |
| FACTOR | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Lag | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

Forecasts (from Base Period 2005-Q4)

| Date | L95 |  |  |  | Forecast | U95 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2006Q1 | 10313.73 | 10601.51 | 10889.29 |  |  |  |
| 2006Q2 | 10244.72 | 10584.46 | 10924.19 |  |  |  |
| 2006Q3 | 10325.93 | 10684.06 | 11042.19 |  |  |  |
| 2006Q4 | 10230.52 | 10609.05 | 10987.58 |  |  |  |
| 2007Q1 | 10327.29 | 10750.86 | 11174.44 |  |  |  |
| 2007Q2 | 10318.03 | 10758.51 | 11198.98 |  |  |  |
| 2007Q3 | 10703.85 | 11152.66 | 11601.46 |  |  |  |
| 2007Q4 | 10414.57 | 10865.49 | 11316.41 |  |  |  |
| 2008Q1 | 10578.02 | 11092.37 | 11606.72 |  |  |  |
| 2008Q2 | 10598.54 | 11136.37 | 11674.2 |  |  |  |
| 2008Q3 | 10767.56 | 11313.08 | 11858.59 |  |  |  |
| 2008Q4 | 10615.81 | 11171.04 | 11726.27 |  |  |  |
| 2009Q1 | 10755.25 | 11352.37 | 11949.48 |  |  |  |
| 2009Q2 | 10723.01 | 11335.58 | 11948.15 |  |  |  |
| 2009Q3 | 11049.95 | 11670.53 | 12291.12 |  |  |  |
| 2009Q4 | 10807.54 | 11431.28 | 12055.01 |  |  |  |
| 2010Q1 | 10963.54 | 11629.54 | 12295.54 |  |  |  |
| 2010Q2 | 10994.96 | 11677.82 | 12360.68 |  |  |  |
| 2010Q3 | 11210.52 | 11898.92 | 12587.32 |  |  |  |
| 2010Q4 | 11028.06 | 11722.3 | 12416.54 |  |  |  |
| 2011Q1 | 11191.23 | 11921.78 | 12652.33 |  |  |  |
| 2011Q2 | 11166.34 | 11910.19 | 12654.04 |  |  |  |
| 2011Q3 | 11461.46 | 12211.95 | 12962.43 |  |  |  |
| 2011Q4 | 11243.18 | 11997.13 | 12751.08 |  |  |  |

## APPENDIXA

KeySpan Energy Delivery New England
EnergyNorth Natural Gas inc.
Number of Commercial and Industrial Customers Forecasting

| Model: | Winters Exponential Smoothing Model |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Var: | CUSCI |  |  |  |  |
| Method | Add Winters |  |  |  |  |
| Size | 1.86041 Parameter: | 6 |  |  |  |
| Mean | 7462.374 Std Dev | 1741.739 |  |  |  |
| R-Square | 0.987845 DW | 1.86041 |  |  |  |
| SSE | 946.8496 MSE | 3244799 | RMSE | 1801.333 |  |
|  | Constant Linear | Quarter1 | Quarter2 | Quarter3 | Quarter4 |
| Estimate | 10337.6470 .00981 | 92.29171 | -48.25213 | -33.26256 | -10.77702 |
| Weight | 0.1055730 .105573 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 |

Forecasts (from Base Period 2005-Q4)

| Date | L95 | Forecast | U95 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 2006Q1 | 10112.41 | 10499.94 | 10887.47 |
| 2006Q2 | 10039.25 | 10429.4 | 10819.56 |
| 2006Q3 | 10121.11 | 10514.4 | 10907.7 |
| 2006Q4 | 10209.93 | 10606.9 | 11003.86 |
| 2007Q1 | 10378.61 | 10779.98 | 11181.34 |
| 2007Q2 | 10303.07 | 10709.44 | 11115.81 |
| 2007Q3 | 10382.44 | 10794.44 | 11206.45 |
| 2007Q4 | 10468.65 | 10886.94 | 11305.23 |
| 2008Q1 | 10634.64 | 11060.02 | 11485.4 |
| 2008Q2 | 10556.33 | 10989.48 | 11422.63 |
| 2008Q3 | 10632.88 | 11074.48 | 11516.09 |
| 2008Q4 | 10716.23 | 11166.98 | 11617.72 |
| 2009Q1 | 10879.36 | 11340.06 | 11800.75 |
| 2009Q2 | 10798.19 | 11269.52 | 11740.85 |
| 2009Q3 | 10871.88 | 11354.52 | 11837.17 |
| 2009Q4 | 10952.39 | 11447.02 | 11941.64 |
| 2010Q1 | 11112.73 | 11620.1 | 12127.46 |
| 2010Q2 | 11028.82 | 11549.56 | 12070.3 |
| 2010Q3 | 11099.81 | 11634.56 | 12169.31 |
| 2010Q4 | 11177.67 | 11727.06 | 12276.44 |
| 2011Q1 | 11335.44 | 11900.13 | 12464.82 |
| 2011Q2 | 11249.02 | 11829.6 | 12410.18 |
| 2011Q3 | 11317.56 | 11914.6 | 12511.64 |
| 2011Q4 | 11393.04 | 12007.1 | 12621.15 |

## APPENDIXA

KeySpan Energy Delivery New England
EnergyNorth Natural Gas inc.
Commercial \& Industrial Gas Use Per Customer Forecasting (Dth/Customer)(2006-2010)

| Mode Var |  | E1 | E2 | E3 | ARIMA | Weighted C \& I Use Per |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dependent independent | USNCI | USNCI | USNCI | USNCI |  |
|  |  | PRCG | PRCG | PRCG |  |  |
|  |  | GSP | EMP | PCl |  |  |
|  |  | CDDN | CDDN | CDDN |  |  |
|  |  | AUTO(-4) | AUTO(-4) | AUTO(-4) |  |  |
| Weight |  | 25.00\% | 25.00\% | 25.00\% | 25.00\% | 100.00\% |


| Commercial \& Industrial Use | Per Customer | Forecast | Percent | Growth from Base Year (2005) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2006Q4-2007Q3 | $1.45 \%$ | $-0.86 \%$ | $0.98 \%$ | $0.93 \%$ | $0.63 \%$ |
| 2007Q4-2008Q3 | $1.77 \%$ | $-0.63 \%$ | $1.28 \%$ | $-1.74 \%$ | $0.15 \%$ |
| 2008Q4-2009Q3 | $2.19 \%$ | $-0.53 \%$ | $1.56 \%$ | $-1.71 \%$ | $0.38 \%$ |
| 2009Q4-2010Q3 | $2.09 \%$ | $-0.50 \%$ | $1.54 \%$ | $-0.30 \%$ | $0.74 \%$ |
| 2010Q4-2011Q3 | $2.05 \%$ | $-0.49 \%$ | $1.37 \%$ | $0.43 \%$ | $0.88 \%$ |
| Average | $1.91 \%$ | $-0.60 \%$ | $1.35 \%$ | $-0.48 \%$ | $0.56 \%$ |


| Commercial \& Industrial Use | Per Customer | Forecast (Annual) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2005Q4-2006Q3 | 733 | $\mathbf{7 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{7 2 8}$ | $\mathbf{7 6 5}$ | $\mathbf{7 3 8}$ |
| 2006Q4-2007Q3 | 743 | 718 | 735 | 773 | 742 |
| 2007Q4-2008Q3 | 756 | 713 | 745 | 759 | 743 |
| 2008Q4-2009Q3 | 773 | 709 | 756 | 746 | 746 |
| 2009Q4-2010Q3 | 789 | 706 | 768 | 744 | 752 |
| 2010Q4-2011Q3 | 805 | 702 | 779 | 747 | 758 |
| Average | 767 | 712 | 752 | 756 | 747 |


| Commercial \& Industrial | Use | Per Customer | Forecast (Quarterly) |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005Q1 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 | 395 |
| 2005Q2 | 161 | 161 | 161 | 161 | 161 |
| 2005Q3 | 66 | 66 | 66 | 66 | 66 |
| 2005Q4 | 137 | 137 | 137 | 137 | 137 |
| 2006Q1 | 383 | 380 | 381 | 395 | 385 |
| 2006Q2 | 151 | 149 | 150 | 156 | 152 |
| 2006Q3 | 62 | 59 | 61 | 78 | 65 |
| 2006Q4 | 150 | 143 | 148 | 138 | 145 |
| 2007Q1 | 378 | 371 | 375 | 407 | 383 |
| 2007Q2 | 150 | 145 | 148 | 155 | 149 |
| 2007Q3 | 65 | 59 | 64 | 74 | 65 |
| 2007Q4 | 161 | 148 | 158 | 131 | 149 |
| 2008Q1 | 375 | 364 | 371 | 402 | 378 |
| 2008Q2 | 151 | 142 | 149 | 152 | 149 |
| 2008Q3 | 69 | 59 | 67 | 74 | 67 |
| 2008Q4 | 172 | 153 | 168 | 128 | 155 |
| 2009Q1 | 374 | 358 | 368 | 398 | 374 |
| 2009Q2 | 153 | 140 | 150 | 152 | 149 |
| 2009Q3 | 74 | 59 | 71 | 67 | 68 |
| 2009Q4 | 183 | 157 | 177 | 125 | 161 |
| 2010Q1 | 373 | 352 | 365 | 397 | 372 |
| 2010Q2 | 156 | 138 | 151 | 150 | 149 |
| 2010Q3 | 78 | 59 | 74 | 71 | 71 |
| 2010Q4 | 193 | 161 | 186 | 125 | 166 |
| 2011Q1 | 372 | 346 | 363 | 401 | 371 |
| 2011Q2 | 158 | 136 | 152 | 150 | 149 |
| 2011Q3 | 83 | 59 | 78 | 71 | 72 |
| 2011Q4 | 202 | 165 | 194 | 124 | 171 |

## APPENDIXA

KeySpan Energy Delivery New England
EnergyNorth Natural Gas Inc.
Number of Commercial and Industrial Customers Forecasting
Regression Model: E1
Dependent Variable: USNCI
Independent Variable: PRCG_1 GSP CDDN Auto(-4)

| Size | 84 Parameter: |  | 3 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mean | 175.3273 Std Dev | 99.54606 |  |  |
| R-Square | 0.9936 | DW | 1.6033 |  |
| SSE | 2275352 MSE | 27088 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Term | PRCG_1 | GSP | CDDN | Auto (-4) |
| Estimate | -31.4242 | 0.0154 | 0.7471 | -0.9091 |
| Std Error | 13.4085 | 0.005063 | 0.0826 | 0.0555 |
| T-Ratio | -2.34 | 3.05 | 9.04 |  |
| Pr>[t] | 0.0215 | $0.0031<.0001$ | -0001 |  |

Forecasts (from Base Period 2005-Q4)

| Date | LCL | Forecast | UCL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2006Q1 | 363.1864 | 382.9923 | 402.7981 |
| 2006Q2 | 131.1244 | 151.3293 | 171.5342 |
| 2006Q3 | 41.50724 | 61.60318 | 81.69913 |
| 2006Q4 | 129.984 | 150.124 | 170.2641 |
| 2007Q1 | 358.1547 | 378.1884 | 398.2221 |
| 2007Q2 | 129.5283 | 149.9125 | 170.2967 |
| 2007Q3 | 44.66131 | 64.94145 | 85.22159 |
| 2007Q4 | 140.5037 | 160.8159 | 181.1282 |
| 2008Q1 | 354.8179 | 375.0224 | 395.227 |
| 2008Q2 | 130.7385 | 151.2585 | 171.7785 |
| 2008Q3 | 48.79252 | 69.2115 | 89.63049 |
| 2008Q4 | 151.6309 | 172.0698 | 192.5088 |
| 2009Q1 | 353.3007 | 373.6332 | 393.9658 |
| 2009Q2 | 132.7717 | 153.3958 | 174.0199 |
| 2009Q3 | 53.28147 | 73.8072 | 94.33292 |
| 2009Q4 | 162.2438 | 182.7787 | 203.3136 |
| 2010Q1 | 352.1721 | 372.6043 | 393.0364 |
| 2010Q2 | 134.8213 | 155.5246 | 176.228 |
| 2010Q3 | 57.56071 | 78.16843 | 98.77614 |
| 2010Q4 | 172.1763 | 192.7846 | 213.3929 |
| 2011Q1 | 351.5866 | 372.0974 | 392.6081 |
| 2011Q2 | 137.0743 | 157.8396 | 178.6049 |
| 2011Q3 | 61.87784 | 82.55008 | 103.2223 |
| 2011Q4 | 181.6389 | 202.3044 | 222.9699 |
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KeySpan Energy Delivery New England
EnergyNorth Natural Gas Inc.
Number of Commercial and Industrial Customers Forecasting
Regression Model: E2
Dependent Variable: USNCI
independent Variable: PRCG_1 LBFC CDDN Auto(-4)

| Size | 84 Parameter: | 3 |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Mean | 175.3273 Std Dev | 99.54606 |
| R-Square | 0.9936 DW | 1.5239 |
| SSE | 2295214 MSE | 27324 |


| Term | PRCG_1 | LBFC | CDDN | Auto(-4) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Estimate | -25.3783 | 1.0834 | 0.6731 | -0.9262 |
| Std Error | 12.9077 | 0.4432 | 0.118 | 0.0513 |
| T-Ratio | -1.97 | 2.44 | 5.7 | -18.04 |
| Pr>[t] | 0.0526 | 0.0166 | $<.0001$ | $<.0001$ |

Forecasts (from Base Period 2005-Q4)

| Date | LCL | Forecast | UCL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2006Q1 | 359.98 | 379.662 | 399.3441 |
| 2006Q2 | 128.7001 | 148.7727 | 168.8454 |
| 2006Q3 | 38.76556 | 58.73124 | 78.69691 |
| 2006Q4 | 122.692 | 142.7091 | 162.7262 |
| 2007Q1 | 351.3861 | 371.3021 | 391.2182 |
| 2007Q2 | 124.4433 | 144.6866 | 164.9299 |
| 2007Q3 | 38.74699 | 58.88999 | 79.03299 |
| 2007Q4 | 127.5446 | 147.7343 | 167.9239 |
| 2008Q1 | 344.1133 | 364.2019 | 384.2906 |
| 2008Q2 | 121.7867 | 142.154 | 162.5213 |
| 2008Q3 | 38.6808 | 58.95328 | 79.22576 |
| 2008Q4 | 132.2109 | 152.5264 | 172.8419 |
| 2009Q1 | 337.6502 | 357.8657 | 378.0811 |
| 2009Q2 | 119.3455 | 139.8006 | 160.2557 |
| 2009Q3 | 38.67126 | 59.03672 | 79.40217 |
| 2009Q4 | 136.5994 | 157.0049 | 177.4103 |
| 2010Q1 | 331.6812 | 351.9882 | 372.2951 |
| 2010Q2 | 117.0984 | 137.6127 | 158.127 |
| 2010Q3 | 38.66634 | 59.09586 | 79.52538 |
| 2010Q4 | 140.6464 | 161.1135 | 181.5805 |
| 2011Q1 | 326.1261 | 346.4968 | 366.8674 |
| 2011Q2 | 114.9825 | 135.5334 | 156.0842 |
| 2011Q3 | 38.63003 | 59.10065 | 79.57127 |
| 2011Q4 | 144.3666 | 164.8728 | 185.379 |

## APPENDIX A

KeySpan Energy Delivery New England EnergyNorth Natural Gas Inc.
Number of Commercial and Industrial Customers Forecasting
Regression Model: E3
Dependent Variable: USNCI
Independent Variable: PRCG_1 PCI CDON Auto(-4)

| Size | 84 Parameter: | 3 |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Mean | 175.3273 Std Dev | 99.54606 |
| R-Square | 0.9937 DW | 1.5946 |
| SSE | 2262254 MSE | 26932 |


| Term | PRCG_1 | PCI | CDDN | Auto(-4) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Estimate | -30.4444 | 22.9306 | 0.7175 | -0.9097 |
| Std Error | 13.19 | 7.2926 | 0.0875 | 0.0557 |
| T-Ratio | -2.31 | 3.14 | 8.2 | -16.33 |
| Pr>[t] | 0.0234 | $0.0023<.0001$ | $<.0001$ |  |

Forecasts (from Base Period 2005-Q4)
Date LCL Forecast UCL
2006 Q1 $361.2463 \quad 380.9842 \quad 400.7221$
$\begin{array}{lllll}2006 Q 2 & 129.6978 & 149.827 & 169.9561\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { 2006Q3 } & 40.78146 & 60.80308 & 80.8247\end{array}$
2006Q4 $128.1086 \quad 148.1775 \quad 168.2463$
2007Q1 $355.1295 \quad 375.0937 \quad 395.0579$
2007Q2 $127.943 \quad 148.2459 \quad 168.5489$
2007Q3 $43.69852 \quad 63.89892 \quad 84.09931$
$\begin{array}{lllll}2007 Q 4 & 137.7179 & 157.9537 & 178.1896\end{array}$
2008Q1 $\quad 350.6594 \quad 370.7891 \quad 390.9188$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { 2008Q2 } & 128.3223 & 148.7521 & 169.182\end{array}$
2008Q3 $46.99289 \quad 67.32371 \quad 87.65453$
2008Q4 $147.42 \quad 167.7757 \quad 188.1314$
2009Q1 $\quad 347.5832 \quad 367.8336 \quad 388.0841$
2009Q2 $129.2721 \quad 149.7941 \quad 170.3162$
$2009 \mathrm{Q} 3 \quad 50.62733 \quad 71.05343 \quad 91.47953$
2009Q4 $156.8139 \quad 177.2554 \quad 197.6969$
$\begin{array}{lllll}2010 Q 1 & 345.1363 & 365.4754 & 385.8145\end{array}$
2010Q2 $130.3371 \quad 150.9244 \quad 171.5117$
2010Q3 $\quad 53.93042 \quad 74.4248 \quad 94.91918$
2010Q4 $165.2502 \quad 185.7528 \quad 206.2553$
2011Q1 $342.9017 \quad 363.3059 \quad 383.7101$
2011Q2 $131.3338 \quad 151.9658 \quad 172.5978$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { 2011Q3 } & 57.06275 & 77.60483 & 98.1469\end{array}$
2011Q4 $173.1455 \quad 193.6898 \quad 214.2342$

## APPENDIX A

KeySpan Energy Delivery New England
EnergyNorth Natural Gas Inc.
Residential Customers Forecasting
ARIMA Model (4,1,1)
Time Series: USNCI

| Size | 2.002519 | Parameter: | 6 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mean | 175.3273 | Std Dev | 99.54606 |  |  |  |
| R-Square | 0.976265 | DW | 2.002519 |  |  |  |
| SSE | 1108.223 |  | 1954101 | RMSE | 1397.892 |  |
| Estimation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Parameter | MU | MA1_1 | AR1_1 | AR1_2 | AR1_3 | AR1_4 |
| Estimate | 5.787166 | -0.249354 | 0.234703 | -0.158219 | 0.115414 | 0.242861 |
| Standard Error | 34.49484 | 0.112279 | 0.111248 | 0.109153 | 0.10828 | 0.124106 |
| $t$ Value | 0.167769 | -2.220848 | 2.109725 | -1.44952 | 1.065881 | 0 |
| FACTOR | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Lag | 0 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 16 |

Forecasts (from Base Period 2005-Q4)

| Date | L95 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Forecast | U95 |  |  |
| 2006Q1 | 364.04 | 394.8654 | 425.6907 |
| 2006Q2 | 139.7442 | 156.2182 | 172.6922 |
| 2006Q3 | 61.27616 | 77.65878 | 94.04139 |
| 2006Q4 | 121.2904 | 137.6746 | 154.0588 |
| 2007Q1 | 390.392 | 406.6973 | 423.0027 |
| 2007Q2 | 137.841 | 154.5446 | 171.2483 |
| 2007Q3 | 56.98285 | 73.59889 | 90.21494 |
| 2007Q4 | 114.2049 | 130.8287 | 147.4525 |
| 2008Q1 | 385.1663 | 401.719 | 418.2717 |
| 2008Q2 | 135.5242 | 152.4283 | 169.3324 |
| 2008Q3 | 57.255 | 74.07523 | 90.89546 |
| 2008Q4 | 111.4769 | 128.3023 | 145.1278 |
| 2009Q1 | 381.3279 | 398.0864 | 414.8449 |
| 2009Q2 | 135.2179 | 152.2925 | 169.3671 |
| 2009Q3 | 50.3736 | 67.36704 | 84.36048 |
| 2009Q4 | 108.149 | 125.1581 | 142.1671 |
| 2010Q1 | 380.1741 | 397.1206 | 414.0672 |
| 2010Q2 | 133.0103 | 150.2512 | 167.492 |
| 2010Q3 | 54.14313 | 71.30614 | 88.46916 |
| 2010Q4 | 107.8109 | 124.9809 | 142.1509 |
| 2011Q1 | 383.9264 | 401.0357 | 418.1449 |
| 2011Q2 | 132.9415 | 150.3418 | 167.7421 |
| 2011Q3 | 53.38265 | 70.70731 | 88.03196 |
| 2011Q4 | 106.8181 | 124.1493 | 141.4805 |

## APPENDIX A

KeySpan Energy Delivery New England
EnergyNorth Natural Gas Inc.
Number of Commercial and Industrial Customers Forecasting
Model: Winters Exponential Smoothing Model
Var: USNCI
Method Add Winters

| Size | 2.003168 Parameter: | 5 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mean | 175.3273 Std Dev | 99.54606 |  |
| R-Square | 0.957198 DW | 2.003168 |  |
| SSE | 957.3116 MSE | 3732493 | RMSE |


|  | Constant | Linear | Quarter1 | Quarter2 | Quarter3 | Quarter4 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Estimate | 1871.884 | 0 | 1810.776 | -410.7561 | -1179.696 | -220.3243 |
| Weight | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 |

Forecasts (from Base Period 2005-Q4)

| Date | L95 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | Forecast $l$ U95

## APPENDIXA

KeySpan Energy Delivery New England
EnergyNorth Natural Gas Inc.
Commercial \& Industrial Gas Consumption Forecasting (Dth) (2006-2010)

| Model |  | F1 | F2 | F3 | ARIMA | Weighted C \& I Sale Calculated Sales | Combined (50/50) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Var | Dependent | GSNCI | GSNCI | GSNCI | USNCI |  |  |
|  | Independent | GSNCI_1 | PRCG | GSNCI_1 |  |  |  |
|  |  | PRCG | AUTO(-4) | PRCG |  |  |  |
|  |  | AUTO(-4) | AUTO(-8) | AUTO(-4) |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | AUTO(-8) |  |  |  |


| Weight | 20.00\% | 20.00\% | 20.00\% | 40.00\% | 100.00\% |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commercial \& Industrial Gas Sales Forecast (Percent Growth from Base Year (2005) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006Q4-2007Q3 | 5.34\% | 2.73\% | 5.55\% | 5.46\% | 4.87\% | 3.57\% | 6.85\% |
| 2007Q4-2008Q3 | 4.03\% | 1.56\% | 3.78\% | 2.75\% | 2.96\% | 3.34\% | 3.15\% |
| 2008Q4-2009Q3 | 3.53\% | 1.60\% | 3.33\% | 0.09\% | 1.72\% | 3.51\% | 2.59\% |
| 2009Q4-2010Q3 | 3.09\% | 1.71\% | 2.95\% | 2.20\% | 2.43\% | 3.85\% | 3.12\% |
| 2010Q4-2011Q3 | 2.75\% | 1.81\% | 2.64\% | 3.69\% | 2.90\% | 3.84\% | 3.36\% |
| Average | 3.75\% | 1.88\% | 3.65\% | 2.84\% | 2.98\% | 3.62\% | 3.81\% |
| Commercial \& Industrial Gas Sales Forecast (Dth) (Annual) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005Q4-2006Q3 | 7,924,343 | 8,628,982 | 7,919,898 | 8,067,522 | 8,121,654 | 7,734,162 | 7,734,162 |
| 2006Q4-2007Q3 | 8,347,166 | 8,864,129 | 8,359,073 | 8,508,086 | 8,517,308 | 8.010,453 | 8,263,881 |
| 2007Q4-2008Q3 | 8,683,945 | 9,002,617 | 8,675,271 | B,742,207 | 8,769,249 | 8,278,350 | 8,523,800 |
| 2008Q4-2009Q3 | 8,990,327 | 9,146,297 | 8,964,552 | 8,749,767 | 8,920,142 | 8.569,259 | 8,744,701 |
| 2009Q4-2010Q3 | 9,268,498 | 9,302,969 | 9,228,745 | 8,942,571 | 9,137,071 | 8,898,799 | 9,017,935 |
| 2010Q4-2011Q3 | 9,523,502 | 9,471,707 | 9,472,064 | 9,272,510 | 9,402,459 | 9,240,153 | 9,321,306 |
| Average | 8,789,630 | 9,069,450 | 8,769,934 | 8,713,777 | 8,811,314 | 8,455,196 | 8,600,964 |
| Commercial \& Industrial Gas Sales Forecast (Dth) (Quarterly) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005Q1 | 3,969,780 | 3,969,780 | 3,969,780 | 3,969,780 | 3,969,780 | 3,969,780 | 3,969,780 |
| 2005Q2 | 1,645,482 | 1,645,482 | 1,645,482 | 1,645,482 | 1,645,482 | 1,645,482 | 1,645,482 |
| 200503 | 708,090 | 708,090 | 708,090 | 708,090 | 708,090 | 708,090 | 708,090 |
| 2005Q4 | 1,410,809 | 1,410,809 | 1,410,809 | 1,410,809 | 1,410,809 | 1,410,809 | 1,410,809 |
| 2006Q1 | 3,692,222 | 3,880,956 | 3,707,906 | 4,114,267 | 3,901,924 | 4,024,862 | 3,963,393 |
| 2006Q2 | 1,813,328 | 2,342,509 | 1,797,889 | 1,747,723 | 1,889,834 | 1,594,698 | 1,742,266 |
| 2006Q3 | 1,007,984 | 994,709 | 1,003,293 | 794,723 | 919,086 | 696,737 | 807,911 |
| 2006Q4 | 1,568,394 | 1,388,746 | 1,575,371 | 1,519,931 | 1,514,475 | 1,541,227 | 1,527,851 |
| 2007Q1 | 3,554,583 | 3,551,825 | 3,596,040 | 4,265,976 | 3,846,880 | 4,126,266 | 3,986,573 |
| 2007Q2 | 1,959,766 | 2,690,215 | 1,944,099 | 1,832,279 | 2,051,727 | 1,618,709 | 1,835,218 |
| 2007 Q3 | 1,264,423 | 1,233,343 | 1,243,563 | 889,900 | 1,104,226 | 724,251 | 914,238 |
| 2007Q4 | 1,723,803 | 1,356,013 | 1,718,470 | 1,493,894 | 1,557,215 | 1,641,576 | 1,599,396 |
| 2008Q1 | 3,416,180 | 3,258,284 | 3,466,937 | 4,422,443 | 3,797,257 | 4,207,907 | 4,002,582 |
| 2008Q2 | 2,068,023 | 2,905,675 | 2,047,880 | 1,891,434 | 2,160,889 | 1,663,479 | 1,912,184 |
| 2008Q3 | 1,475,938 | 1,482,645 | 1,441,984 | 934,436 | 1,253,888 | 765,388 | 1,009,638 |
| 2008Q4 | 1,859,170 | 1,362,417 | 1,844,405 | 1,504,657 | 1,615,061 | 1,760,113 | 1,687,587 |
| 2009Q1 | 3,307,383 | 3,014,925 | 3,363,528 | 4,412,323 | 3,702,096 | 4,298,721 | 4,000,409 |
| 2009Q2 | 2,163,409 | 3,037,947 | 2,139,859 | 1,934,546 | 2,242,061 | 1,715,810 | 1,978,936 |
| 2009Q3 | 1,660,365 | 1,731,008 | 1,616,760 | 898,242 | 1,360,923 | 794,615 | 1,077,769 |
| 2009Q4 | 1,983,410 | 1,407,841 | 1,961,164 | 1,512,351 | 1,675,424 | 1,879,656 | 1,777,540 |
| 2010Q1 | 3,218,219 | 2,816,523 | 3,276,892 | 4,471,147 | 3,650,786 | 4,400,227 | 4,025,506 |
| $2010 \mathrm{Q2}$ | 2,247,336 | 3,111,583 | 2,221,393 | 2,019,492 | 2,323,859 | 1,767,483 | 2,045,671 |
| 2010Q3 | 1,819,534 | 1,967,022 | 1,769,296 | 939,580 | 1,487,002 | 851,433 | 1,169,218 |
| 2010Q4 | 2,093,823 | 1,486,236 | 2,066,129 | 1,587,970 | 1,764,426 | 2,002,102 | 1,883,264 |
| 2011Q1 | 3,149,039 | 2,658,407 | 3,207,782 | 4,555,481 | 3,625,238 | 4,518,524 | 4,071,881 |
| 2011Q2 | 2,321,290 | 3,143.414 | 2,293,562 | 2,099,844 | 2,391,591 | 1,821,568 | 2,106,580 |
| 2011Q3 | 1,959,350 | 2,183,651 | 1,904,591 | 1,029,215 | 1,621,204 | 897,959 | 1,259,581 |
| 2011Q4 | 2,193,962 | 1,590,689 | 2,162,153 | 1,632,147 | 1,842,220 | 2,123,846 | 1,983,033 |

## APPENDIX A

KeySpan Energy Delivery New England
EnergyNorth Natural Gas Inc.
Commercial and Industrial Gas Comsumption (Dth) Forecasting
Regression Model: F1
Dependent Variable: GSNCI
Independent Variable: GSNCl_1 PRCCI Auto(-4)

| Size |  | Parameter: | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mean | 1074400 | Std Dev | 678186.6 |
| R-Square | 0.9802 | DW | 1.9972 |
| SSE | 4.57E+14 |  | 5.37E+12 |
| Term | GSNCI_1 | PRCCI | Auto(-4) |
|  | 00.4874 | 517287 | -0.854699 |
|  | 00.0921 | 151317 | 0.056307 |
|  | 0.29 | 3.42 | -15.17927 |
|  | $0<.0001$ | 0.001 | 0 |

Forecasts (from Base Period 2005-Q4)

| Date | LCL | Forecast | UCL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 2006Q1 | 3084476 | 3692222 | 4299969 |
| 2006Q2 | 1634936 | 1813328 | 1991719 |
| 2006Q3 | 830218.9 | 1007984 | 1185749 |
| 2006Q4 | 1391476 | 1568394 | 1745311 |
| 2007Q1 | 3378544 | 3554583 | 3730622 |
| 2007Q2 | 1777443 | 1959766 | 2142089 |
| 2007Q3 | 1082543 | 1264423 | 1446303 |
| 2007Q4 | 1542883 | 1723803 | 1904722 |
| 2008Q1 | 3236017 | 3416180 | 3596344 |
| 2008Q2 | 1882995 | 2068023 | 2253052 |
| 2008Q3 | 1291220 | 1475938 | 1660656 |
| 2008Q4 | 1675385 | 1859170 | 2042956 |
| 2009Q1 | 3124226 | 3307383 | 3490540 |
| 2009Q2 | 1976404 | 2163409 | 2350414 |
| 2009Q3 | 1473558 | 1660365 | 1847171 |
| 2009Q4 | 1797443 | 1983410 | 2169377 |
| 2010Q1 | 3032751 | 3218219 | 3403686 |
| 2010Q2 | 2058789 | 2247336 | 2435882 |
| 2010Q3 | 1631094 | 1819534 | 2007974 |
| 2010Q4 | 1906105 | 2093823 | 2281542 |
| 2011Q1 | 2961702 | 3149039 | 3336375 |
| 2011Q2 | 2131452 | 2321290 | 2511128 |
| 2011Q3 | 1769546 | 1959350 | 2149154 |
| 2011Q4 | 2004756 | 2193962 | 2383169 |

## APPENDIX A

KeySpan Energy Delivery New England EnergyNorth Natural Gas Inc.
Commercial and Industrial Gas Comsumption (Dth) Forecasting
Regression Model: F2
Dependent Variable: GSNCl
Independent Variable: PRCCI Auto(-1) Auto(-2) Auto(-3) Auto(-4)

| Size |  | Parameter: | 3 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mean | 1074400 | Std Dev | 678186.6 |  |  |
| R-Square | 0.9691 |  | 1.4073 |  |  |
| SSE | 7.16E+14 | MSE | 8.53E+12 |  |  |
| Term | PRCCI | Auto(-1) | Auto(-2) | Auto(-3) | Auto (-4) |
|  | 01326293 | -0.136698 | 0.125686 | 0.017356 | -0.780446 |
|  | 0131058 | 0.068217 | 0.069803 | 0.069803 | 0.068217 |
|  | $0 \quad 10.12$ | -2.00387 | 1.800582 | 0.248643 | -11.44064 |
|  | $0<.0001$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Forecasts (from Base Period 2005-Q4)

| Date | LCL | Forecast | UCL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 2006Q1 | 3166714 | 3880956 | 4595198 |
| 2006Q2 | 1652030 | 2342509 | 3032988 |
| 2006Q3 | 290182.3 | 994708.6 | 1699235 |
| 2006Q4 | 657924.3 | 1388746 | 2119568 |
| 2007Q1 | 2692828 | 3551825 | 4410822 |
| 2007Q2 | 1837167 | 2690215 | 3543263 |
| 2007Q3 | 371162.5 | 1233343 | 2095524 |
| 2007Q4 | 477569.3 | 1356013 | 2234456 |
| 2008Q1 | 2310417 | 3258284 | 4206151 |
| 2008Q2 | 1953390 | 2905675 | 3857961 |
| 2008Q3 | 523751.6 | 1482645 | 2441537 |
| 2008Q4 | 390994 | 1362417 | 2333841 |
| 2009Q1 | 2004347 | 3014925 | 4025502 |
| 2009Q2 | 2017421 | 3037947 | 4058473 |
| 2009Q3 | 705986.5 | 1731008 | 2756029 |
| 2009Q4 | 371842.2 | 1407841 | 2443840 |
| 2010Q1 | 1758559 | 2816523 | 3874487 |
| 2010Q2 | 2041240 | 3111583 | 4181925 |
| 2010Q3 | 893877.2 | 1967022 | 3040166 |
| 2010Q4 | 402952.9 | 1486236 | 2569518 |
| 2011Q1 | 1563150 | 2658407 | 3753664 |
| 2011Q2 | 2035333 | 3143414 | 4251495 |
| 2011Q3 | 1073917 | 2183651 | 3293385 |
| 2011Q4 | 471578.9 | 1590689 | 2709799 |

## APPENDIX A

KeySpan Energy Delivery New England
EnergyNorth Natural Gas Inc.
Commercial and Industrial Gas Comsumption (Dth) Forecasting
Regression Model: F3
Dependent Variable: GSNCI
Independent Variable: GSNCI_1 PRCCI Auto(-4) Auto(-8)

| Size | 84 Parameter: | 4 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Mean | 1074400 Std Dev | 678186.6 |
| R-Square | 0.9806 DW | 2.0006 |
| SSE | $4.47 E+14$ MSE | $5.33 E+12$ |

Term GSNCI 1 PRCCl Auto(-4) Auto(-8)

| 0 | 0.4897 | 501164 | -0.816044 | -0.045227 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 0.0928 | 151400 | 0.108997 | 0.108997 |
| 0 | 5.28 | 3.31 | -7.486848 | -0.414938 |
| $0<.0001$ | 0.0014 | 0 | 0 |  |

Forecasts (from Base Period 2005-Q4)

| Date | LCL | Forecast | UCL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2006Q1 | 3100213 | 3707906 | 4315599 |
| 2006Q2 | 1618996 | 1797889 | 1976783 |
| 2006Q3 | 825052.9 | 1003293 | 1181533 |
| 2006Q4 | 1397977 | 1575371 | 1752765 |
| 2007Q1 | 3419522 | 3596040 | 3772558 |
| 2007Q2 | 1761053 | 1944099 | 2127146 |
| 2007Q3 | 1060993 | 1243563 | 1426132 |
| 2007Q4 | 1536859 | 1718470 | 1900081 |
| 2008Q1 | 3286092 | 3466937 | 3647781 |
| 2008Q2 | 1861911 | 2047880 | 2233850 |
| 2008Q3 | 1256370 | 1441984 | 1627597 |
| 2008Q4 | 1659736 | 1844405 | 2029074 |
| 2009Q1 | 3179511 | 3363528 | 3547544 |
| 2009Q2 | 1951742 | 2139859 | 2327977 |
| 2009Q3 | 1428895 | 1616760 | 1804625 |
| 2009Q4 | 1774169 | 1961164 | 2148159 |
| 2010Q1 | 3090434 | 3276892 | 3463350 |
| 2010Q2 | 2031620 | 2221393 | 2411166 |
| 2010Q3 | 1579689 | 1769296 | 1958902 |
| 2010Q4 | 1877292 | 2066129 | 2254965 |
| 2011Q1 | 3019376 | 3207782 | 3396189 |
| 2011Q2 | 2102440 | 2293562 | 2484684 |
| 2011Q3 | 1713567 | 1904591 | 2095615 |
| 2011Q4 | 1971790 | 2162153 | 2352516 |

## APPENDIX A

KeySpan Energy Delivery New England
EnergyNorth Natural Gas Inc.
Commercial and Industrial Gas Comsumption (Dth) Forecasting
ARIMA Model $(3,1,0)$
Time Series: GSNCI

| Size |  | Parameters | 4 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mean | 1340528.354 | Std Dev | 902070.4028 |  |  |
| R -Square | 0.981428056 |  | 1.990617189 |  |  |
| SSE | $1.26604 \mathrm{E}+14$ |  | $1.56301 \mathrm{E}+12$ | RMSE | 1250206 |
| Estimation |  |  |  |  |  |
| Parameter | MU | AR1_1 | AR1_2 | AR1_3 |  |
| Estimate | 591532.9542 | 0.13805071 | -0.25552873 | 0.258268 |  |
| Standard Error | 153261.3229 | 0.10426159 | 0.116155612 | 0.128794 |  |
| $t$ Value | 3.859636228 | 1.32408029 | -2.199882784 | 2.005288 |  |
| FACTOR | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Lag | 0 | 2 | 10 | 16 |  |

Forecasts (from Base Period 2005-Q4)

| Date | L95 | Forecast |  |  | U95 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2006Q1 | 3869231 | 4114267 | 4359303 |  |  |
| 2006Q2 | 1502687 | 1747723 | 1992759 |  |  |
| 2006Q3 |  | 547363 | 794723 |  |  |
| 2006Q4 |  | 1272572 | 1519931 |  |  |
| 2007Q1 | 3914494 | 4265976 | 17672931 |  |  |
| 2007Q2 | 1480796 | 1832279 | 217459 |  |  |
| 2007Q3 | 536731 | 889900 | 1243069 |  |  |
| 2007Q4 |  | 1140726 | 1493894 |  |  |
| 2008Q1 | 3989863 | 4422443 | 4847063 |  |  |
| 2008Q2 | 1458854 | 1891434 | 2324023 |  |  |
| 2008Q3 | 500942 | 934436 | 1367929 |  |  |
| 2008Q4 | 1071163 | 1504657 | 1938151 |  |  |
| 2009Q1 | 3920411 | 4412323 | 4904235 |  |  |
| 2009Q2 | 1442634 | 1934546 | 2426457 |  |  |
| 2009Q3 | 405309 | 898242 | 1391174 |  |  |
| 2009Q4 | 1019419 | 1512351 | 2005284 |  |  |
| 2010Q1 | 3896615 | 4471147 | 5045679 |  |  |
| 2010Q2 | 1444960 | 2019492 | 2594024 |  |  |
| 2010Q3 | 364869 | 939580 | 1514291 |  |  |
| 2010Q4 | 1013259 | 1587970 | 2162682 |  |  |
| 2011Q1 | 3900232 | 4555481 | 5210729 |  |  |
| 2011Q2 | 1444596 | 2099844 | 2755092 |  |  |
| 2011Q3 | 373928 | 1029215 | 1684501 |  |  |
| 2011Q4 | 976861 | 1632147 | 2287434 |  |  |

## APPENDIX A

KeySpan Energy Delivery New England
EnergyNorth Natural Gas Inc.
Commercial and Industrial Gas Comsumption (Dth) Forecasting
Winters Exponential Smoothing Model
Var: GSNCl

| Size |  | Parameter: | 6 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mean | 1340528 | Std Dev | 902070.4028 |  |  |  |
| R-Square | 0.931591 |  | 2.27798452 |  |  |  |
| SSE | 7.16E+15 |  | $4.89869 \mathrm{E}+14$ | RMSE | 22132979 |  |
|  | Constant | Linear | Quarter1 | Quarter2 | Quarter3 | Quarter 4 |
| Estimate | 20162984 | 67757.6 | 17484197.71 | -3753627 | -11125270 | -2605300 |
| Weight | 0.105573 | 0.105573 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 |

Forecasts (from Base Period 2005-Q4)

| Date | L95 | Forecast | U95 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2006Q1 | 3295338 | 3771494 | 4247649.505 |
| 2006Q2 | 1175099 | 1654487 | 2133875.159 |
| 2006Q3 | 440859.9 | 924098.6 | 1407337.347 |
| 2006Q4 | 1295121 | 1782871 | 2270622.101 |
| 2007Q1 | 3305440 | 3798597 | 4291754.234 |
| 2007Q2 | 1182282 | 1681590 | 2180898.291 |
| 2007Q3 | 444970 | 951201.7 | 1457433.374 |
| 2007Q4 | 1296023 | 1809974 | 2323925.979 |
| 2008Q1 | 3303034 | 3825700 | 4348366.419 |
| 2008Q2 | 1176480 | 1708693 | 2240906.72 |
| 2008Q3 | 435704 | 978304.7 | 1520905.455 |
| 2008Q4 | 1283246 | 1837077 | 2390909.134 |
| 2009Q1 | 3286743 | 3852803 | 4418862.767 |
| 2009Q2 | 1156669 | 1735796 | 2314923.183 |
| 2009Q3 | 412382.5 | 1005408 | 1598432.998 |
| 2009Q4 | 1256436 | 1864180 | 2471924.464 |
| 2010Q1 | 3256511 | 3879906 | 4503300.701 |
| 2010Q2 | 1123063 | 1762899 | 2402735.632 |
| 2010Q3 | 375458.3 | 1032511 | 1689563.333 |
| 2010Q4 | 1216258 | 1891284 | 2566309.194 |
| 2011Q1 | 3213175 | 3907009 | 4600843.61 |
| 2011Q2 | 1076641 | 1790002 | 2503363.604 |
| 2011Q3 | 326026.9 | 1059614 | 1793200.836 |
| 2011Q4 | 1163894 | 1918387 | 2672879.35 |

## APPENDIX A

KeySpan Energy Delivery New England
EnergyNorth Natural Gas inc.
Residential Customers Forecasting (2006-2010)

| Model |  | A1 A | A2 A | A3 A |  | ARIMA | Winter's CUSR | Weighted Residential Customers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Var | Dependent | CUSR C | CUSR CU | CUSR C | USR CU |  |  |  |
|  | Independent | Intercept C | CUSR_1 CU | CUSR_1 | USR_1 |  |  |  |
|  |  | CUSR_1 E | EMP POP | POP | SP |  |  |  |
|  |  | GSP A | AUTO(-4) AU | AUTO(-4) |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | AUTO(-4) |  |  | ITO(-4) |  |  |  |
|  | Weight | 15.00\% | 15.00\% | 15.00\% | 15.00\% | 20.00\% | 20.00\% | 100.00\% |
|  | Residential Customer Forecast - Percent Growth from Base Year (2005) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2006Q4-2007Q3 | 2.90\% | 0.78\% | 0.83\% | 2.49\% | 2.79\% | 2.40\% | 2.09\% |
|  | 2007Q4-2008Q3 | 3.03\% | 0.80\% | 0.79\% | 2.52\% | 2.21\% | 2.02\% | 1.93\% |
|  | 2008Q4-2009Q3 | 3.15\% | 0.77\% | 0.71\% | 2.59\% | 1.56\% | 1.98\% | 1.81\% |
|  | 2009Q4-2010Q3 | 3.06\% | 0.74\% | 0.66\% | 2.47\% | 1.83\% | 1.94\% | 1.82\% |
|  | 2010Q4-2011Q3 | 2.94\% | 0.77\% | 0.68\% | 2.35\% | 1.95\% | 1.91\% | 1.81\% |
|  | Average | 3.02\% | 0.77\% | 0.73\% | 2.48\% | 2.07\% | 2.05\% | 1.89\% |
|  | Residential Customer Forecast (Annual) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2005Q4-2006Q3 | 72,552 | 71,950 | 71,981 | 72,470 | 72,768 | 72,263 | 72,349 |
|  | 2006Q4-2007Q3 | 74,659 | 72,510 | 72,575 | 74,273 | 74,799 | 73,995 | 73,861 |
|  | 2007Q4-2008Q3 | 76,917 | 73,089 | 73,150 | 76,145 | 76,449 | 75,492 | 75,283 |
|  | 2008Q4-2009Q3 | 79,342 | 73,653 | 73,672 | 78,114 | 77,644 | 76,988 | 76,644 |
|  | 2009Q4-2010Q3 | 81,772 | 74,197 | 74,155 | 80,039 | 79,067 | 78,485 | 78,035 |
|  | 2010Q4-2011Q3 | 84,172 | 74,772 | 74,660 | 81,918 | 80,612 | 79,981 | 79,447 |
|  | Average | 78,236 | 73,362 | 73,366 | 77,160 | 76,890 | 76,201 | 75,937 |
|  | Residential Customer Forecast (Quarterly) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2005Q1 | 71,607 | 71,607 | 71,607 | 71,607 | 71,607 | 71,607 | 71,607 |
|  | 2005Q2 | 71,575 | 71,575 | 71,575 | 71,575 | 71,575 | 71,575 | 71,575 |
|  | 2005Q3 | 73,331 | 73,331 | 73,331 | 73,331 | 73,331 | 73,331 | 73,331 |
|  | 2005Q4 | 69,487 | 69,487 | 69,487 | 69,487 | 69,487 | 68,487 | 69,487 |
|  | 2006Q1 | 72,797 | 72,391 | 72,419 | 72,754 | 73,887 | 72,997 | 72,931 |
|  | 2006Q2 | 73,122 | 72,267 | 72,305 | 73,013 | 72,708 | 72,921 | 72,732 |
|  | 2006Q3 | 74,803 | 73,656 | 73,715 | 74,626 | 74,991 | 73,647 | 74,248 |
|  | 2006Q4 | 71,966 | 70,425 | 70,457 | 71,721 | 71,279 | 71,926 | 71,326 |
|  | 2007Q1 | 74,814 | 72.874 | 72,944 | 74,476 | 75,949 | 74,493 | 74,355 |
|  | 2007Q2 | 75,191 | 72,779 | 72,850 | 74,758 | 75,527 | 74,417 | 74,326 |
|  | 2007Q3 | 76,664 | 73,963 | 74,050 | 76,139 | 76,440 | 75,144 | 75,439 |
|  | 2007Q4 | 74,521 | 71,306 | 71,344 | 73,911 | 73,470 | 73,423 | 73,041 |
|  | 2008Q1 | 76,957 | 73,378 | 73,452 | 76,240 | 77,566 | 75,990 | 75,715 |
|  | 2008Q2 | 77,426 | -73,334 | 73,395 | 76,599 | 76,820 | 75,914 | 75,660 |
|  | 2008Q3 | 78,766 | -74,340 | 74,410 | 77,829 | 77,939 | 76,640 | 76,718 |
|  | 2008Q4 | 77,206 | -72,148 | 72,152 | 76,165 | 74,526 | 74,919 | 74,540 |
|  | 2009Q1 | 79,313 | -73,891 | 73,926 | 78,148 | 78,990 | 77,487 | 77,087 |
|  | 2009Q2 | 79,821 | 73,857 | 73,873 | 78,530 | 78,049 | 77,411 | 77,004 |
|  | 200903 | 81,028 | 74,714 | 74,736 | 79,612 | 79,013 | 78,137 | 77,944 |
|  | 2009Q4 | 79,891 | 72,906 | 72,853 | 78,356 | 76,156 | 76,416 | 76,115 |
|  | 2010Q1 | 81,692 | 74,380 | 74,355 | 80,025 | 80,125 | 78,983 | 78,390 |
|  | 2010Q2 | 82,216 | -74,384 | 74,337 | 80,417 | 79,426 | 78,907 | 78,370 |
|  | 2010Q3 | 83,287 | 75,120 | -75,076 | 81,359 | 80,559 | 79,633 | 79,265 |
|  | 201004 | 82,490 | -73,648 | -73,526 | 80,437 | 77.614 | 77,912 | 77,621 |
|  | 2011Q1 | 84,055 | 74,908 | 74,813 | 81,867 | 81,904 | 80,480 | 79,823 |
|  | 2011Q2 | 84,586 | 74,942 | 74,826 | 82,263 | 80,784 | 80,404 | 79,730 |
|  | 2011 Q3 | 85,558 | 75,588 | 75,477 | 83,103 | 82,145 | 81,130 | 80,614 |
|  | 2011Q4 | 85,030 | -74,395 | 574,208 | 82,449 | 79,138 | 79,409 | 79,122 |

## APPENDIX A

KeySpan Energy Delivery New England
EnergyNorth Natural Gas Inc.
Residential Customers Forecasting
Regression Model: A1
Dependent Variable: CUSR
Independent Variable: Intercept CUSR_1 GSP Auto(-4)

| Size | 84 Parameter: |  | 3 |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mean | 57113.37 Std Dev | 8831.98 |  |  |
| R-Square | 0.9914 DW | 2.4907 |  |  |
| SSE | 55608441 MSE | 662005 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Term | Intercept | CUSR_1 | GSP | Auto(-4) |
| Estimate | 7114 | 0.802 | 0.1337 | -0.8028 |
| Std Error | 2382 | 0.0698 | 0.0562 | 0.0793 |
| T-Ratio | 2.99 | 11.5 | 2.38 | -10.12 |
| Pr>[t] | $0.0037<.0001$ | $0.0196<.0001$ |  |  |

Forecasts (from Base Period 2005-Q4)

| Date | LCL | Forecast | UCL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2006Q1 | 70595.78 | 72797.39 | 74999 |
| 2006Q2 | 72215.47 | 73122.49 | 74029.51 |
| 2006Q3 | 73890.36 | 74803.28 | 75716.19 |
| 2006Q4 | 71044.64 | 71966.17 | 72887.69 |
| 2007Q1 | 73890.27 | 74814.05 | 75737.84 |
| 2007Q2 | 74260.35 | 75191.17 | 76121.99 |
| 2007Q3 | 75725.88 | 76663.68 | 77601.47 |
| 2007Q4 | 73574.32 | 74521.22 | 75468.11 |
| 2008Q1 | 76005.58 | 76956.64 | 77907.69 |
| 2008Q2 | 76467.36 | 77426.43 | 78385.5 |
| 2008Q3 | 77798.5 | 78765.63 | 79732.77 |
| 2008Q4 | 76229.15 | 77206.07 | 78182.99 |
| 2009Q1 | 78330.05 | 79312.96 | 80295.86 |
| 2009Q2 | 78828.81 | 79820.79 | 80812.78 |
| 2009Q3 | 80026.77 | 81027.89 | 82029 |
| 2009Q4 | 78879.57 | 79891.16 | 80902.75 |
| 2010Q1 | 80673.02 | 81692.09 | 82711.17 |
| 2010Q2 | 81187.02 | 82215.96 | 83244.91 |
| 2010Q3 | 82248.36 | 83287.2 | 84326.04 |
| 2010Q4 | 81440.04 | 82489.77 | 83539.5 |
| 2011Q1 | 82996.91 | 84055.19 | 85113.48 |
| 2011Q2 | 83517.72 | 84586.4 | 85655.07 |
| 2011Q3 | 84478.9 | 85557.96 | 86637.02 |
| 2011Q4 | 83940.14 | 85030.32 | 86120.49 |

## APPENDIX A

KeySpan Energy Delivery New England EnergyNorth Natural Gas Inc.
Residential Customers Forecasting
Regression Model: A2
Dependent Variable: CUSR
Independent Variable: CUSR_1 LBFC Auto(-4)

| Size | 85 Parameter: |  | 3 |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mean | 57113.37 Std Dev | 8831.98 |  |
| R-Square | 0.9955 DW | 2.4531 |  |
| SSE | 55010975 MSE | 647188 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Term | CUSR_1 | LBFC | Auto(-4) |
| Estimate | 0.8242 | 16.3452 | -0.8328 |
| Std Error | 0.0595 | 5.4189 | 0.0767 |
| T-Ratio | 13.85 | 3.02 | -10.86 |
| Pr>[t] | $<.0001$ | $0.0034<.0001$ |  |

Forecasts (from Base Period 2005-Q4)

| Date | LCL | Forecast | UCL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2006Q1 | 70473.46 | 72391.32 | 74309.19 |
| 2006Q2 | 71376.95 | 72266.65 | 73156.35 |
| 2006Q3 | 72761.36 | 73655.72 | 74550.08 |
| 2006Q4 | 69523.92 | 70425.04 | 71326.16 |
| 2007Q1 | 71973.16 | 72874.47 | 73775.79 |
| 2007Q2 | 71873.79 | 72779.1 | 73684.41 |
| 2007Q3 | 73054.25 | 73962.82 | 74871.39 |
| 2007Q4 | 70392.59 | 71305.98 | 72219.38 |
| 2008Q1 | 72465.11 | 73378.43 | 74291.75 |
| 2008Q2 | 72417.56 | 73333.7 | 74249.84 |
| 2008Q3 | 73421.08 | 74339.51 | 75257.94 |
| 2008Q4 | 71226.39 | 72148.28 | 73070.18 |
| 2009Q1 | 72969.08 | 73890.71 | 74812.34 |
| 2009Q2 | 72933.48 | 73857.05 | 74780.63 |
| 2009Q3 | 73789.4 | 74714.49 | 75639.58 |
| 2009Q4 | 71978.77 | 72906.27 | 73833.77 |
| 2010Q1 | 73452.85 | 74379.9 | 75306.95 |
| 2010Q2 | 73455.47 | 74383.77 | 75312.07 |
| 2010Q3 | 74190.68 | 75119.92 | 76049.16 |
| 2010Q4 | 72717.61 | 73648.47 | 74579.34 |
| 2011Q1 | 73977.57 | 74907.87 | 75838.17 |
| 2011Q2 | 74010.84 | 74941.91 | 75872.98 |
| 2011Q3 | 74656.71 | 75588.32 | 76519.93 |
| 2011Q4 | 73462.42 | 74395.11 | 75327.8 |

## APPENDIX A

KeySpan Energy Delivery New England
EnergyNorth Natural Gas Inc.
Residential Customers Forecasting

| Regression | Model: | A3 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dependen | Variable: | CUSR |  |  |
| Independe | nt Variable: | CUSR_1 | POP | Auto(-4) |
| Size |  | Parameter: | 3 |  |
| Mean | 57113.37 | Std Dev | 8831.98 |  |
| R-Square | 0.9952 | DW | 2.4606 |  |
| SSE | 55375565 | MSE | 651477 |  |
| Term | CUSR 1 | POP | Auto(-4) |  |
| Estimate | 0.8424 | 8.1299 | -0.8383 |  |
| Std Error | 0.0562 | 2.8331 | 0.0767 |  |
| T-Ratio | 15 | 2.87 | -10.9 |  |
| $\operatorname{Pr}>[t]$ | <. 0001 | 0.0052 | <. 0001 |  |

Forecasts (from Base Period 2005-Q4)

| Date | LCL | Forecast | UCL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2006Q1 | 70475.51 | 72418.79 | 74362.08 |
| 2006Q2 | 71414.99 | 72304.8 | 73194.61 |
| 2006Q3 | 72820.05 | 73714.6 | 74609.15 |
| 2006Q4 | 69555.35 | 70456.77 | 71358.19 |
| 2007Q1 | 72042.58 | 72944.24 | 73845.91 |
| 2007Q2 | 71944.11 | 72849.89 | 73755.67 |
| 2007Q3 | 73141.28 | 74050.43 | 74959.58 |
| 2007Q4 | 70429.72 | 71343.84 | 72257.96 |
| 2008Q1 | 72537.5 | 73451.58 | 74365.66 |
| 2008Q2 | 72478.26 | 73395.26 | 74312.26 |
| 2008Q3 | 73490.66 | 74410.02 | 75329.38 |
| 2008Q4 | 71229.06 | 72151.97 | 73074.89 |
| 2009Q1 | 73003.25 | 73925.88 | 74848.51 |
| 2009Q2 | 72948.9 | 73873.5 | 74798.1 |
| 2009Q3 | 73810.13 | 74736.24 | 75662.35 |
| 2009Q4 | 71924.11 | 72852.63 | 73781.16 |
| 2010Q1 | 73427.17 | 74355.15 | 75283.13 |
| 2010Q2 | 73407.39 | 74336.57 | 75265.74 |
| 2010Q3 | 74145.92 | 75075.94 | 76005.97 |
| 2010Q4 | 72594.49 | 73526.06 | 74457.62 |
| 2011Q1 | 73882.54 | 74813.38 | 75744.23 |
| 2011Q2 | 73894.07 | 74825.55 | 75757.03 |
| 2011Q3 | 74544.69 | 75476.55 | 76408.42 |
| 2011Q4 | 73274.98 | 74207.78 | 75140.59 |

## APPENDIXA

KeySpan Energy Delivery New England EnergyNorth Natural Gas Inc.
Residential Customers Forecasting
Regression Model: A4
Dependent Variable: CUSR
Independent Variable: CUSR_1 GSP POP Auto(-4)

| Size | 84 Parameter: | 3 |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Mean | 57113.37 Std Dev | 8831.98 |
| R-Square | 0.9968 DW | 2.3198 |
| SSE | 51931756 MSE | 618235 |


| Term | CUSR 1 | GSP | POP | Auto (-4) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Estimate | 0.6895 | 0.1177 | 12.1407 | -0.8026 |
| Std Error | 0.0816 | 0.0461 | 3.0887 | 0.0777 |
| T-Ratio | 8.45 | 2.55 | 3.93 | -10.33 |
| $\mathrm{Pr}>[\mathrm{t}]$ | <. 0001 | 0.0125 | 0.0002 | <. 0001 |

Forecasts (from Base Period 2005-Q4)

| Date | LCL | Forecast | UCL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2006Q1 | 70628.92 | 72753.82 | 74878.73 |
| 2006Q2 | 72108.3 | 73013.35 | 73918.4 |
| 2006Q3 | 73714.91 | 74625.68 | 75536.45 |
| 2006Q4 | 70802.07 | 71721.12 | 72640.18 |
| 2007Q1 | 73554.86 | 74475.82 | 75396.77 |
| 2007Q2 | 73830.34 | 74757.74 | 75685.15 |
| 2007Q3 | 75205.13 | 76138.77 | 77072.42 |
| 2007Q4 | 72969.1 | 73910.91 | 74852.73 |
| 2008Q1 | 75294.73 | 76239.81 | 77184.9 |
| 2008Q2 | 75646.61 | 76598.55 | 77550.48 |
| 2008Q3 | 76870.36 | 77829.05 | 78787.75 |
| 2008Q4 | 75197.56 | 76164.55 | 77131.53 |
| 2009Q1 | 77176.53 | 78148.05 | 79119.57 |
| 2009Q2 | 77550.86 | 78529.74 | 79508.61 |
| 2009Q3 | 78625.54 | 79611.69 | 80597.83 |
| 2009Q4 | 77361.67 | 78356.25 | 79350.82 |
| 2010Q1 | 79025.01 | 80025.08 | 81025.15 |
| 2010Q2 | 79409.37 | 80417.08 | 81424.8 |
| 2010Q3 | 80343.45 | 81358.71 | 82373.97 |
| 2010Q4 | 79413.4 | 80437.05 | 81460.71 |
| 2011Q1 | 80837.06 | 81866.84 | 82896.62 |
| 2011Q2 | 81225.95 | 82263.49 | 83301.03 |
| 2011Q3 | 82058.05 | 83103.26 | 84148.46 |
| 2011Q4 | 81395.93 | 82449.41 | 83502.9 |

## APPENDIX A

KeySpan Energy Delivery New England EnergyNorth Natural Gas Inc.
Residential Customers Forecasting
ARIMA Model (3,2,2)
Time Series:
CUSR

| Size |  | Parameters | 6 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mean | 57113.3722 | Std Dev | 8831.98 |  |  |  |
| R-Square | 0.99216725 |  | 2.133572 |  |  |  |
| SSE | 38863779.4 |  | 504724.4 | RMSE | 710.4396 |  |
| Estimation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Parameter | MU | MA1 1 | MA1 2 | AR1 1 | AR1 2 | AR1 3 |
| Estimate | -15.9515596 | 0.203073 | 0.110084 | -0.445459 | -0.411138 | -0.491715 |
| Standard Error | 21.0663524 | 0.121847 | 0.126043 | 0.119616 | 0.120134 | 0.155974 |
| t Value | -0.75720558 | 1.666621 | 0.87338 | -3.724076 | -3.422331 | -3.152546 |
| FACTOR | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Lag | 0 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 6 | 9 |

Forecasts (from Base Period 2005-Q4)

| Date | L95 |  | Forecast |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2006Q1 | 72494.1669 | 73886.6 | 75279.04 |
| 2006Q2 | 70927.2022 | 72707.72 | 74488.24 |
| 2006Q3 | 72893.3057 | 74991.31 | 77089.31 |
| 2006Q4 | 69125.0871 | 71279.41 | 73433.74 |
| 2007Q1 | 73106.2917 | 75948.88 | 78791.47 |
| 2007Q2 | 72278.8665 | 75527.05 | 78775.23 |
| 2007Q3 | 72950.4635 | 76440.13 | 79929.79 |
| 2007Q4 | 69893.7461 | 73470.33 | 77046.92 |
| 2008Q1 | 73393.9933 | 77566.46 | 81738.92 |
| 2008Q2 | 72362.6781 | 76820.16 | 81277.64 |
| 2008Q3 | 73277.3314 | 77939.19 | 82601.05 |
| 2008Q4 | 69782.7095 | 74525.68 | 79268.64 |
| 2009Q1 | 73701.3257 | 78989.53 | 84277.73 |
| 2009Q2 | 72472.6391 | 78049.39 | 83626.14 |
| 2009Q3 | 73220.03 | 79012.89 | 84805.74 |
| 2009Q4 | 70171.5307 | 76156.35 | 82141.17 |
| 2010Q1 | 73487.5314 | 80125.34 | 86763.16 |
| 2010Q2 | 72400.5039 | 79426.45 | 86452.4 |
| 2010Q3 | 73222.9286 | 80559.46 | 87895.99 |
| 2010Q4 | 70010.4474 | 77614.33 | 85218.21 |
| 2011Q1 | 73588.799 | 81904.35 | 90219.91 |
| 2011Q2 | 72052.1459 | 80784.43 | 89516.7 |
| 2011Q3 | 73061.9064 | 82145.02 | 91228.14 |
| 2011Q4 | 69746.3698 | 79137.8 | 88529.22 |

## APPENDIX A

| KeySpan Energy Delivery New England |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EnergyNorth Natural Gas Inc. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential Customers Forecasting |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Model: | Winters Exponential Smoothing Model |  |  |  |  |  |
| Var: | CUSR |  |  |  |  |  |
| Method | Add Winters |  |  |  |  |  |
| Size |  | Parameter: | 6 |  |  |  |
| Mean | 57113.37 | Std Dev | 8831.98 |  |  |  |
| R-Square | 0.977041 | DW | 1.622063 |  |  |  |
| SSE | $1.58 \mathrm{E}+08$ | MSE | 1898747 | RMSE | 1377.95 |  |
|  | Constant | Linear | Quarter1 | Quarter2 | Quarter3 | Quarter4 |
| Estimate | 71937.27 | 374.1495 | 685.325 | 235.1703 | 587.381 | -1507.876 |
| Weight | 0.105573 | -0.105573 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 |

Forecasts (from Base Period 2005-Q4)
Date L95 Forecast U95
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { 2006Q1 } 70296.01 & 72996.74 & 75697.48\end{array}$
2006Q2 $70201.67 \quad 72920.74 \quad 75639.81$
2006Q3 $\quad 70906.19 \quad 73647.1 \quad 76388.01$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { 2006Q4 } & 69159.49 & 71925.99 & 74692.49\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}2007 Q 1 & 71696.18 & 74493.34 & 77290.51\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { 2007Q2 } & 71585.28 & 74417.34 & 77249.39\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}2007 Q 3 & 72272.37 & 75143.7 & 78015.02\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}2007 Q 4 & 70507.48 & 73422.59 & 76337.7\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}2008 Q 1 & 73025.4 & 75989.94 & 78954.48\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}2008 Q 2 & 72895.24 & 75913.94 & 78932.63\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { 2008Q3 } & 73562.69 & 76640.3 & 79717.9\end{array}$
2008Q4 $\quad 71777.88 \quad 74919.19 \quad 78060.5$
2009Q1 $74275.87 \quad 77486.54 \quad 80697.2$
2009Q2 $\quad 74125.75 \quad 77410.53 \quad 80695.32$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { 2009Q3 } & 74773.28 & 78136.89 & 81500.51\end{array}$
2009Q4 $72968.69 \quad 76415.79 \quad 79862.88$
2010Q1 $\quad 75447.27 \quad 78983.14 \quad 82519$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { 2010Q2 } & 75278.01 & 78907.13 & 82536.25\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}2010 Q 3 & 75906.72 & 79633.49 & 83360.26\end{array}$
2010Q4 $\quad 74083.67 \quad 77912.38 \quad 81741.1$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { 2011Q1 } & 76544.34 & 80479.73 & 84415.13\end{array}$
2011Q2 $76357.58 \quad 80403.73 \quad 84449.88$
2011Q3 $76969.22 \quad 81130.09 \quad 85290.96$
$\begin{array}{lllll}2011 Q 4 & 75129.53 & 79408.98 & 83688.43\end{array}$

## APPENDIX A

KeySpan Energy Delivery New England
EnergyNorth Natural Gas Inc.
Residential Gas Use Per Customer Forecasting (Dth/Customer)(2006-2010)

| Model |  | B1 | B2 | ARIMA | Winter's | Weighted Residential Use Per |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Var | Dependent | USNR | USNR | USNR | USNR |  |


| Residential Use Per Customer Forecast -- Percent Growth from Base Year (2005) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2006Q4-2007Q3 | 1.21\% | 0.97\% | -2.13\% | 2.81\% | 0.77\% |
| 2007Q4-2008Q3 | 1.24\% | 1.00\% | 3.34\% | -0.84\% | 1.17\% |
| 2008Q4-2009Q3 | 1.34\% | 1.03\% | -0.76\% | -0.84\% | 0.39\% |
| 2009Q4-2010Q3 | 1.22\% | 0.94\% | -1.09\% | -0.85\% | 0.26\% |
| 2010Q4-2011Q3 | 1.14\% | 0.81\% | -0.59\% | -0.86\% | 0.31\% |
| ve | 1.23 | 0.95\% | -0.24\% | -0.11\% | 0.58\% |


| Residential Use Per Customer |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Forecast (Annual) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005Q4-2006Q3 | 85 | 85 | 88 | 85 | 86 |
| 2006Q4-2007Q3 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 88 | 86 |
| 2007Q4-2008Q3 | 87 | 86 | 89 | 87 | 87 |
| 2008Q4-2009Q3 | 88 | 87 | 88 | 86 | 88 |
| 2009Q4-2010Q3 | 90 | 88 | 87 | 86 | 88 |
| 2010Q4-2011Q3 | 91 | 89 | 86 | 85 | 88 |
| Average | 88 | 87 | 87 | 86 | 87 |


| Residential Use Per Customer Forecast (Quarterly) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2005Q1 | 51 | 51 | 51 | 51 | 51 |
| 2005Q2 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 |
| 2005Q3 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 2005Q4 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 |
| 2006 Q1 | 49 | 49 | 52 | 48 | 49 |
| 2006Q2 | 15 | 15 | 14 | 16 | 15 |
| 2006Q3 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 2006Q4 | 19 | 19 | 15 | 19 | 18 |
| 2007Q1 | 48 | 47 | 52 | 48 | 49 |
| 2007Q2 | 15 | 15 | 13 | 16 | 15 |
| 2007Q3 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 2007Q4 | 21 | 21 | 15 | 19 | 19 |
| 2008Q1 | 47 | 46 | 53 | 48 | 48 |
| 2008Q2 | 15 | 14 | 14 | 15 | 15 |
| 2008Q3 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 5 |
| 2008Q4 | 23 | 23 | 14 | 19 | 20 |
| 2009Q1 | 46 | 45 | 53 | 48 | 48 |
| 2009Q2 | 15 | 14 | 14 | 15 | 14 |
| 2009Q3 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 5 |
| 2009Q4 | 24 | 24 | 14 | 18 | 21 |
| 201001 | 45 | 45 | 53 | 48 | 47 |
| 201002 | 15 | 14 | 13 | 15 | 14 |
| 2010Q3 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 4 | 5 |
| 2010Q4 | 26 | 25 | 14 | 18 | 22 |
| 2011Q1 | 45 | 44 | 53 | 47 | 47 |
| 2011Q2 | 15 | 14 | 13 | 15 | 14 |
| 2011 Q3 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 4 | 5 |
| 2011 Q4. | 27 | 26 | 14 | 18 | 22 |
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Residential Gas Use Per Customer Forecasting

| Regression | Model: | B1 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dependent | ariable: | USNR |  |  |  |
| Independen | Variable: | PRCG_1 | GSP | CDDN | Auto (-4) |
| Size | 84 | Parameters | 4 |  |  |
| Mean | 23.3816 | Std Dev | 14.09718 |  |  |
| R-Square | 0.9944 | DW | 1.9741 |  |  |
| SSE | 36230.36 | MSE | 431.3138 |  |  |
| Term | PRCG 1 | GSP | CDDN | Auto (-4) |  |
| Estimate | -19.9013 | 7.73E-04 | 0.1125 | -0.824984 |  |
| Std Error | 17.731 | 4.74E-04 | 0.006663 | 0.061664 |  |
| T-Ratio | -1.12 | 1.63 | 16.88 | -13.38 |  |
| $\mathrm{Pr}>[4]$ | 0.2649 | 0.1065 | <. 0001 |  |  |

Forecasts (from Base Period 2005-Q4)

|  | Date |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| LCL | Forecast |  | UCL |
| 2006Q1 | 47.5282 | 49.035953 | 50.5437 |
| 2006Q2 | 13.62485 | 15.143039 | 16.66123 |
| 2006Q3 | 3.448361 | 4.9521578 | 6.455954 |
| 2006Q4 | 17.24014 | 18.74014 | 20.24015 |
| 2007Q1 | 46.21848 | 47.702759 | 49.18704 |
| 2007Q2 | 13.29935 | 14.790702 | 16.28205 |
| 2007Q3 | 3.534074 | 5.0120428 | 6.490012 |
| 2007Q4 | 19.38771 | 20.861844 | 22.33598 |
| 2008Q1 | 45.2174 | 46.676239 | 48.13508 |
| 2008Q2 | 13.18606 | 14.649715 | 16.11337 |
| 2008Q3 | 3.679912 | 5.1310135 | 6.582115 |
| 2008Q4 | 21.25179 | 22.699019 | 24.14625 |
| 2009Q1 | 44.48372 | 45.916401 | 47.34909 |
| 2009Q2 | 13.15536 | 14.591371 | 16.02738 |
| 2009Q3 | 3.854232 | 5.2784208 | 6.70261 |
| 2009Q4 | 22.83283 | 24.253132 | 25.67344 |
| 2010Q1 | 43.90934 | 45.35992 | 46.72264 |
| 2010Q2 | 13.15861 | 14.567544 | 15.97647 |
| 2010Q3 | 4.026361 | 5.4241265 | 6.828992 |
| 2010Q4 | 24.1694 | 25.563314 | 26.95723 |
| 2011Q1 | 43.47512 | 44.856299 | 46.23747 |
| 2011Q2 | 13.19831 | 14.581037 | 15.96376 |
| 2011Q3 | 4.207047 | 5.5792023 | 6.951358 |
| 2011Q4 | 25.31114 | 26.679484 | 28.04783 |
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Regression Model: B2
Dependent Variable: USNR
independent Variable: PRCG_1 PCI CDDN Auto(-4)

| Size | 84 Parameter: | 4 |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Mean | 23.3816 Std Dev | 14.09718 |
| R-Square | 0.9944 DW | 1.9878 |
| SSE | 36421.39 MSE | 433.588 |


| Term | PRCG_1 PCl | CDDN | Auto(-4) |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Estimate | -20.0477 | 1.1484 | 0.1111 | -0.819139 |
| Std Error | 17.5442 | 0.6569 | 0.006892 | 0.062584 |
| T-Ratio | -1.14 | 1.75 | 16.12 | -13.09 |
| Pr>[t] | 0.2564 | $0.0841<.0001$ |  |  |

Forecasts (from Base Period 2005-Q4)

| Date | LCL | Forecast | UCL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2006Q1 | 47.31945 | 48.8231 | 50.32675 |
| 2006Q2 | 13.50867 | 15.02239 | 16.53612 |
| 2006Q3 | 3.390562 | 4.890042 | 6.389522 |
| 2006Q4 | 17.20183 | 18.69771 | 20.19359 |
| 2007Q1 | 45.88745 | 47.36767 | 48.8479 |
| 2007Q2 | 13.15564 | 14.6424 | 16.12915 |
| 2007Q3 | 3.460455 | 4.933986 | 6.407517 |
| 2007Q4 | 19.33426 | 20.80415 | 22.27404 |
| 2008Q1 | 44.78536 | 46.24001 | 47.69466 |
| 2008Q2 | 12.98877 | 14.4476 | 15.90644 |
| 2008Q3 | 3.559831 | 5.006291 | 6.452751 |
| 2008Q4 | 21.14133 | 22.58415 | 24.02697 |
| 2009Q1 | 43.94622 | 45.37455 | 46.80287 |
| 2009Q2 | 12.89488 | 14.32582 | 15.75675 |
| 2009Q3 | 3.686523 | 5.105838 | 6.525154 |
| 2009Q4 | 22.66413 | 24.07982 | 25.49552 |
| 2010Q1 | 43.2903 | 44.69238 | 46.09445 |
| 2010Q2 | 12.84212 | 14.24572 | 15.64933 |
| 2010Q3 | 3.804666 | 5.197328 | 6.589989 |
| 2010Q4 | 23.92057 | 25.30968 | 26.69879 |
| 2011Q1 | 42.76655 | 44.14293 | 45.51931 |
| 2011Q2 | 12.81371 | 14.19086 | 15.56801 |
| 2011Q3 | 3.920657 | 5.287473 | 6.654289 |
| 2011Q4 | 24.97329 | 26.33664 | 27.69998 |
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ARIMA Model $(0,1,2)$
Time Series: USNR

| Size | 85 Parameter: |  | 3 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mean | 23.07367 Std Dev | 14.56763 |  |  |
| R-Square | 0.985375 DW | 1.922783 |  |  |
| SSE | 25081.69 MSE | 305.8743 RMSE | 17.48926 |  |
| Estimation |  |  |  |  |
| Parameter | MU | MA1_1 | MA1_2 |  |
| Estimate | -0.795273 | 0.365959 | -0.303824 |  |
| Standard Error | 1.7307 | 0.13731 | 0.136645 |  |
| t Value | -0.459509 | 2.665207 | -2.22346 |  |
| FACTOR | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Lag | 0 | 17 | 20 |  |

Forecasts (from Base Period 2005-Q4)

| Date | L95 |  |  |  | Forecast | U95 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2006Q1 | 50.13358 | 51.68735 | 53.24111 |  |  |  |
| 2006Q2 | 12.83089 | 14.40092 | 15.97095 |  |  |  |
| 2006Q3 | 3.834074 | 5.389117 | 6.94416 |  |  |  |
| 2006Q4 | 13.6781 | 15.22694 | 16.77579 |  |  |  |
| 2007Q1 | 50.44688 | 51.98066 | 53.51443 |  |  |  |
| 2007Q2 | 11.71038 | 13.25963 | 14.80888 |  |  |  |
| 2007Q3 | 3.694458 | 5.230195 | 6.765931 |  |  |  |
| 2007Q4 | 13.91787 | 15.4477 | 16.97753 |  |  |  |
| 2008Q1 | 51.91284 | 53.42824 | 54.94365 |  |  |  |
| 2008Q2 | 12.23814 | 13.77068 | 15.30322 |  |  |  |
| 2008Q3 | 4.395847 | 5.915191 | 7.434534 |  |  |  |
| 2008Q4 | 12.91721 | 14.42999 | 15.94276 |  |  |  |
| 2009Q1 | 51.77492 | 53.27445 | 54.77399 |  |  |  |
| 2009Q2 | 12.24712 | 13.76207 | 15.27703 |  |  |  |
| 2009Q3 | 4.9235 | 6.425874 | 7.928247 |  |  |  |
| 2009Q4 | 12.71309 | 14.20856 | 15.70402 |  |  |  |
| 2010Q1 | 51.65238 | 53.13533 | 54.61828 |  |  |  |
| 2010Q2 | 11.90242 | 13.39934 | 14.89626 |  |  |  |
| 2010Q3 | 4.704538 | 6.189638 | 7.674737 |  |  |  |
| 2010Q4 | 12.45531 | 13.93392 | 15.41253 |  |  |  |
| 2011Q1 | 51.58902 | 53.05581 | 54.52259 |  |  |  |
| 2011Q2 | 11.84022 | 13.31981 | 14.7994 |  |  |  |
| 2011Q3 | 4.641785 | 6.11011 | 7.578436 |  |  |  |
| 2011Q4 | 12.39231 | 13.85439 | 15.31648 |  |  |  |
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Winters Exponential Smoothing Model
Var: USNR

| Size |  | Parameter: | 5 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mean | 23.07367 | Std Dev | 14.56763 |  |  |  |
| R-Square | 0.967208 |  | 2.31736 |  |  |  |
| SSE | 695.9008 | MSE | 26.37993 | RMSE | 5.13614 |  |
|  | Constant | Linear | Quarter1 | Quarter2 | Quarter3 | Quarter4 |
| Estimate | 26.37993 | 215.4984 | 260.0458 | -64.49231 | -169.9895 | -25.564 |
| Weight | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 |

Forecasts (from Base Period 2005-Q4)

| Date | L95 | Forecast | U95 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2006Q1 | 46.81059 | 48.27548 | 49.74037 |
| 2006Q2 | 14.34829 | 15.82562 | 17.30295 |
| 2006Q3 | 3.693185 | 5.155618 | 6.618051 |
| 2006Q4 | 17.57704 | 19.03472 | 20.49239 |
| 2007Q1 | 46.65012 | 48.09218 | 49.53425 |
| 2007Q2 | 14.18927 | 15.64233 | 17.09538 |
| 2007Q3 | 3.533227 | 4.972324 | 6.411421 |
| 2007Q4 | 17.4167 | 18.85142 | 20.28615 |
| 2008Q1 | 46.48885 | 47.90889 | 49.32893 |
| 2008Q2 | 14.02924 | 15.45903 | 16.88882 |
| 2008Q3 | 3.372344 | 4.78903 | 6.205715 |
| 2008Q4 | 17.25547 | 18.66813 | 20.08079 |
| 2009Q1 | 46.32678 | 47.7256 | 49.12441 |
| 2009Q2 | 13.86824 | 15.27574 | 16.68324 |
| 2009Q3 | 3.210566 | 4.605735 | 6.000904 |
| 2009Q4 | 17.09337 | 18.48483 | 19.8763 |
| 2010Q1 | 46.16392 | 47.5423 | 48.92068 |
| 2010Q2 | 13.70631 | 15.09244 | 16.47858 |
| 2010Q3 | 3.04793 | 4.422441 | 5.796952 |
| 2010Q4 | 16.93045 | 18.30154 | 19.67263 |
| 2011Q1 | 46.00029 | 47.35901 | 48.71772 |
| 2011Q2 | 13.54349 | 14.90915 | 16.27481 |
| 2011Q3 | 2.884471 | 4.239146 | 5.593822 |
| 2011Q4 | 16.76673 | 18.11825 | 19.46976 |
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| Model |  | C1 | C2 | ARIMA | Weighted Res Sales | Calculated Sales | Combined (50/50) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Var | Dependent | GSNR | GSNR | GSNR |  |  |  |
|  | independent | GSP | PRCG |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Auto(-4) | GSP |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Auto(-4) |  |  |  |  |


| Weight | 30.00\% | 30.00\% | 40.00\% | 100.00\% |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residential Gas Sales Forecast - Percent Growth from Base Year (2005) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006Q4-2007Q3 | 2.57\% | 2.86\% | 0.80\% | 1.96\% | 2.80\% |  |
| 2007Q4-2008Q3 | 2.65\% | 2.91\% | 3.65\% | 3.12\% | 3.08\% | 2.37\% |
| 2008Q4-2009Q3 | 3.02\% | 3.23\% | 3.07\% | 3.10\% | 3.08\% | 3.10\% |
| 2009Q4-2010Q3 | 2.86\% | 3.00\% | 0.69\% | 2.05\% | 2.21\% | 2.66\% |
| 2010Q4-2011Q3 | 2.79\% | 2.88\% | 1.56\% | 2.34\% | 2.04\% | 2.05\% |
| Average | 2.78\% | 2.98\% | 1.95\% | 2.34\% | 2.14\% | 2.24\% |
| Residential Gas Sales Forecast (Dth) (Annual) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005Q4-2006Q3 | 6,440,173 | 6,373,218 | 6,267,804 | 6,351,139 | 6,190,483 |  |
| 2006Q4-2007Q3 | 6,605,996 | 6,555,369 | 6,318,014 | 6,475,615 | $6,190,483$ $6,363,654$ | $6,270,811$ $6,419,635$ |
| 2007Q4-2008Q3 | 6,780,906 | 6,745,872 | 6,548,691 | 6,677,510 | $6,363,654$ $6,559,457$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6,419,635 \\ & 6,618,483 \end{aligned}$ |
| 2008Q4-2009Q3 | 6,985,470 | 6,963,457 | 6,749,937 | 6,884,653 | $6,559,457$ $6,704,409$ | $6,618,483$ $6,794,531$ |
| 2009Q4-2010Q3 | 7,185,317 | 7,172,667 | 6,796,495 | 7,025,993 | 6,841,297 | $6,794,531$ $6,933,645$ |
| 2010Q4-2011Q3 | 7,385,507 | 7,379,427 | 6,902,273 | 7,190,389 | 6,987,414 | $7,088,902$ |
| Average | 6,897,228 | 6,865,002 | 6,597,202 | 6,767,550 | 6,607,786 | $6,687,668$ |
| Residential Gas Sales Forecast (Dth) (Quarterly) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005Q1 | 3,528,270 | 3,528,270 | 3,528,270 | 3,528,270 |  |  |
| 2005Q2 | 1,160,112 | 1,160,112 | 1,160,112 | 1,160,112 | $3,656,773$ $1,152,706$ | 3,592,521 |
| 2005Q3 | 408,202 | 408,202 | 408,202 | 4,408,202 | 1,152,706 | 1,156,409 |
| 2005Q4 | 1,166,664 | 1,166,664 | 1,166,664 | 1,166,664 | 1,117,630 | 402,537 |
| 2006Q9 | 3,559,793 | 3,558,606 | 3,590,859 | 3,571,863 | $1,117,630$ $3,599,159$ | $1,142,147$ $3,585,511$ |
| 2006Q2 | 1,258,946 | 1,214,090 | 1,076,523 | 1,172,520 | 1,097,882 | $3,585,511$ $1,135,201$ |
| 2006Q3 | 454,771 | 433,858 | 433,758 | 440,092 | 1,097,812 | $1,135,201$ 407,952 |
| 2006Q4 | 1,196,674 | 1,194,043 | 1,163,577 | 1,182,646 | 1,289,845 | 1,236,246 |
| 2007Q1 | 3,579,722 $1,340,108$ | 3,600,875 | 3,652,102 | 3,615,020 | 3,608,859 | $1,236,246$ $3,611,939$ |
| 2007Q2 | $1,340,108$ 489,491 | 1,283,348 | 1,058,275 | 1,210,347 | 1,085,920 | 1,148,134 |
| 2007Q4 | 489,491 $4,214,658$ | 477,104 $1,204,545$ | 444,060 $1,206,344$ | 467,602 | 379,030 | 423,316 |
| 2008Q1 | 1,214,658 | $1,204,545$ $3,645,295$ | $1,206,344$ $3,774,692$ | 1,208,299 | 1,414,044 | 1,311,171 |
| 2008Q2 | 1,429,259 | 1,365,667 | 1,74,692 1,054,872 | 3,683,704 | 3,645,106 | 3,664,405 |
| 2008Q3 | 536,193 | 530,364 | $1,054,072$ 512,783 | $1,260,427$ 525,080 | 1,102,754 | 1,181,590 |
| 2008Q4 | 1,243,818 | 1,225,694 | 1,178,654 | 1,212,315 | 397,553 | 461,317 |
| 2009Q1 | 3,630,725 | 3,698,181 | 3,865,989 | 1,212,315 | $1,506,041$ $3,668,355$ | 1,359,178 |
| 2009Q2 | 1,523,717 | 1,452,855 | 1,131,908 | 1,345,735 | $3,668,355$ $1,115,229$ | 3,706,711 |
| 2009Q3 | 587,211 | 586,727 | 573,386 | 581,536 | 1,115,229 | 1,230,482 |
| 2009Q4 | 1,273,658 | 1,246,673 | 1,197,762 | 1,235,204 | 414,784 1,601358 | 498,160 |
| 2010Q1 | 3,659,009 | 3,748,018 | 3,863,514 | 3,767,514 | 1,601,358 | 1,418,281 |
| 2010Q2 | 1,615,496 | 1,537,093 | 1,142,776 | 1,402,887 | $1,695,129$ $1,124,005$ | 3,731,321 |
| $2010 \mathrm{Q3}$ | 637,154 | 640,884 | 592,443 | $1,402,087$ 620,389 | $1,124,005$ 420,805 | 1,263,446 |
| 2010Q4 | 1,302,582 | 1,265,905 | 1,215,450 | 1,256,726 | 420,805 $1,685,064$ | 520,597 |
| 2011Q1 | 3,687,643 | 3,797,116 | 3,892,877 | 3,802,579 | 1,685,064 | 1,470,895 |
| 2011Q2 | 1,706,712 | 1,620,754 | 1,172,139 | 1,467,095 | $3,734,352$ $1,138,337$ | 3,768,466 |
| 2011Q3 | 688,571 | 695,652 | 621,807 | $1,467,095$ 663,989 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,138,337 \\ 429,660 \end{array}$ | 1,302,716 |
| 2011Q4 | 1,332,195 | 1,285,233 | 1,244,813 | 1,283,154 | 1,764,365 | $\begin{array}{r} 546,825 \\ 1,523,759 \end{array}$ |
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Regression Model: C1b Dependent Variable: GSNR
Independent Variable: GSP Auot(-1) Auot(-2) Auot(-3) Auot(-4)

| Size | 84 Parameter: | 5 |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Mean | 1317481 Std Dev | 872900 |
| R-Square | 0.9939 DW | 0.935 |
| SSE | $1.35 E+14 \mathrm{MSE}$ | $1.61 E+12$ |

Term GSP Auot(-1) Auot(-2) Auot(-3) Auot(-4)

| Estimate | 152.1634 | -0.0171 | $5.96 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 0.003858 | $-9.89 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Std Error | $6.71 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.95 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.79 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.97 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.90 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| T-Ratio | 2.27 | -0.88 | 0.33 | 0.2 | -51.97 |
| Pr $>[t]$ | 0.0259 | 0.3828 | 0.7403 | $0.8454<.0001$ |  |

Forecasts (from Base Period 2005-Q4)

| Date | LCL | Forecast | UCL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2006Q1 | 2750675 | 3559793 | 4368910 |
| 2006Q2 | 1167627 | 1258946 | 1350264 |
| 2006Q3 | 364470 | 454771.1 | 545072.1 |
| 2006Q4 | 1106879 | 1196674 | 1286470 |
| 2007Q1 | 3490899 | 3579722 | 3668546 |
| 2007Q2 | 1249064 | 1340108 | 1431153 |
| 2007Q3 | 399420.4 | 489490.8 | 579561.2 |
| 2007Q4 | 1125110 | 1214658 | 1304206 |
| 2008Q1 | 3512177 | 3600796 | 3689415 |
| 2008Q2 | 1338608 | 1429259 | 1519910 |
| 2008Q3 | 446467.9 | 536192.6 | 625917.3 |
| 2008Q4 | 1154636 | 1243818 | 1333000 |
| 2009Q1 | 3542433 | 3630725 | 3719016 |
| 2009Q2 | 1433534 | 1523717 | 1613899 |
| 2009Q3 | 497903.7 | 587210.6 | 676517.4 |
| 2009Q4 | 1184911 | 1273658 | 1362406 |
| 2010Q1 | 3571115 | 3659009 | 3746904 |
| 2010Q2 | 1525839 | 1615496 | 1705153 |
| 2010Q3 | 548320.1 | 637153.6 | 725987.1 |
| 2010Q4 | 1214316 | 1302582 | 1390847 |
| 2011Q1 | 3600196 | 3687643 | 3775090 |
| 2011Q2 | 1617615 | 1706712 | 1795809 |
| 2011Q3 | 600244.9 | 688570.6 | 776896.3 |
| 2011Q4 | 1244443 | 1332195 | 1419947 |

## APPENDIXA

| KeySpan Energy Delivery New England |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EnergyNorth Natural Gas Inc. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential Gas Consumption (Dth) Forecasting |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Regression Model: <br> Dependent Variable: Independent Variable: |  | C 2 c |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | GSNR |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | PRCG_1 | GSP | Auot(-1) | Auot(-2) | Auvot(-3) | Auot(-4) |
| Size 81 |  | Parameters | 7 |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | 1317481.5 | Std Dev | 872900.04 |  |  |  |  |
| R-Square | 0.9813 |  | 1.7866 |  |  |  |  |
| SSE | 1.25E+14 |  | $1.54 \mathrm{E}+12$ |  |  |  |  |
| Term | Intercept | PRCG_1 | GSP | Auot(-1) | Auot(-2) | Auot(-3) | Auot(-4) |
| Estimate | 8469003 | -1117401 | 156.4301 | 0.0596 | 0.0692 | 0.0795 | -0.9301 |
| Std Error | $1.60 E+06$ | $1.03 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 4.33E+01 | 5.61E-03 | 2.11E-03 | 1.04E-02 | 2.71E-03 |
| T-Ratio | 5.31 | -1.09 | 3.61 | 10.63 | 32.76 | 7.67 | -343.06 |
| $\operatorname{Pr}>[t]$ | $<.0001$ | 0.2796 | 0.0005 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 | <. 0001 |

Forecasts (from Base Period 2005-Q4)

| Date | LCL | Forecast | UCL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2006Q1 | 3251848.49 | 3558605.89 | 3865363.3 |
| 2006Q2 | 1123306.22 | 1214089.95 | 1304873.7 |
| 2006Q3 | 344076.987 | 433857.635 | 523638.28 |
| 2006Q4 | 1104715.49 | 1194042.71 | 1283369.9 |
| 2007Q1 | 3512511.48 | 3600874.89 | 3689238.3 |
| 2007Q2 | 1192728.67 | 1283347.93 | 1373967.2 |
| 2007Q3 | 387450.464 | 477103.741 | 566757.02 |
| 2007Q4 | 1115378.72 | 1204544.89 | 1293711.1 |
| 2008Q1 | 3557050.86 | 3645295.19 | 3733539.5 |
| 2008Q2 | 1275297.49 | 1365667.31 | 1456037.1 |
| 2008Q3 | 440921.216 | 530364.144 | 619807.07 |
| 2008Q4 | 1136771.27 | 1225694 | 1314616.7 |
| 2009Q1 | 3610141.7 | 3698180.55 | 3786219.4 |
| 2009Q2 | 1362785.01 | 1452855.31 | 1542925.6 |
| 2009Q3 | 497541.284 | 586726.773 | 675912.26 |
| 2009Q4 | 1158033.33 | 1246672.69 | 1335312 |
| 2010Q1 | 3660226.42 | 3748017.64 | 3835808.9 |
| 2010Q2 | 1447361.88 | 1537092.73 | 1626823.6 |
| 2010Q3 | 551994.157 | 640884.001 | 729773.85 |
| 2010Q4 | 1177577.42 | 1265904.86 | 1354232.3 |
| 2011Q1 | 3709603 | 3797116.08 | 3884629.1 |
| 2011Q2 | 1531386.05 | 1620754.2 | 1710122.3 |
| 2011Q3 | 607080.588 | 695652.217 | 784223.85 |
| 2011Q4 | 1197234.78 | 1285233.23 | 1373231.7 |

## APPENDIX A

KeySpan Energy Delivery New England EnergyNorth Natural Gas Inc.
Residential Gas Consumption (Dth) Forecasting
ARIMA Model (0,1,2)
Time Series:
GSNR

| Size | 85 Parameters |  |  | 3 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mean | 1317481.496 Std Dev | $872900: 0386$ |  |  |  |  |
| R-Square | 0.987879378 | DW | 1.320508125 |  |  |  |
| SSE | $1.10962 E+14 ~ M S E$ | $1.3532 E+12$ | RMSE | 1163271.1 |  |  |
| Estimation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Parameter | MU | MA1_1 | MA1_2 |  |  |  |
| Estimate | 293631.8719 | 0.3441897 | -0.259873623 |  |  |  |
| Standard Error | 113903.1465 | 0.1386313 | 0.137639482 |  |  |  |
| t Value | 2.577908345 | 2.4827701 | -1.888074693 |  |  |  |
| FACTOR | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |
| Lag | 0 | 17 | 20 |  |  |  |

Forecasts (from Base Period 2005-Q4)

2006Q1
2006Q2
2006Q3
2006Q4
2007Q1
2007Q2
2007Q3
2007Q4
2008Q1
2008Q2
2008Q3
2008Q4
2009Q1
2009Q2
2009Q3
2009Q4
2010Q1
2010Q2
2010Q3
2010Q4
2011Q1
2011Q2
2011Q3
2011Q4
Date L95 Forecast U95

L95 Forecast U95
$3362861.849 \quad 3590858.8 \quad 3818855.738$ $\begin{array}{llll}981826.8792 & 1076523.1 & 1171219.257\end{array}$
$340083.9819 \quad 433758.08 \quad 527432.1735$
$\begin{array}{llll}1070456.503 & 1163577.4 & 1256698.356\end{array}$
$3559987.139 \quad 3652102.2 \quad 3744217.342$
$963848.06161058274 .9 \quad 1152701.698$
$350600.9659444059 .67 \quad 537518.3833$
$1113430.661 \quad 1206344.4 \quad 1299258.2$
$3682744.882 \quad 3774692.3 \quad 3866639.75$
$960540.0247 \quad 1054871.6 \quad 1149203.267$
$419375.7474 \quad 512782.94 \quad 606190.1337$
$1085837.462 \quad 1178654.5 \quad 1271471.529$
$3774092.862 \quad 3865988.6 \quad 3957884.334$
$1037647.178 \quad 1131907.7 \quad 1226168.216$
$480030.2256 \quad 573385.79 \quad 666741.362$
$1105023.618 \quad 1197762.4 \quad 1290501.156$
$3771661.224 \quad 3863513.9 \quad 3955366.605$
$\begin{array}{llll}1048802.51 & 1142775.6 & 1236748.782\end{array}$
$499338.5152 \quad 592443.36 \quad 685548.2126$
$1122950.685 \quad 1215450.2 \quad 1307949.659$
$3801229.377 \quad 3892877.1 \quad 3984524.826$
$1078509.428 \quad 1172138.8 \quad 1265768.239$
529014.3185 $\quad 621806.55 \quad 714598.7837$
$1152620.821 \quad 1244813.41337005 .897$

| Index Variable Name | Unit | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dependent Varlables |  |  |
| 1 CUSN |  | Number of Non-Heating Residential Customers |
| 2 CUSH |  | Number of Heating Residential Customers |
| 3 CUSR |  | Number of Residential Customers |
| 4 CUSI |  | Number of Industiral Customers |
| 5 Cusc |  | Number of Commercial Customers |
| 6 CuSCl |  | Number of Commercial and Industrial Cust. |
| 7 USEN | MMBTU/Customer | Gas Consumption per Non-Heating Res. Cust. |
| 8 USEH | MMBTU/Customer | Gas Consumption per Heating Res. Cust. |
| 9 USER | MMBTU/Customer | Gas Consumption per Residential Cust. |
| 10 USEC | MMBTU/Customer | Gas Consumption per Commercial Cust. |
| 11 USEI | MMBTU/Custorner | Gas Consumption per industrial Cust. |
| 12 USECI | MMBTU/Customer | Gas Consumption per C \& 1 Cust. |
| 13 USNN | MMBTU/Cuslorner | Gas Consumption per Non-Heating Res. Cust. |
| 14 USNH | MMBTU/Customer | Gas Consumption per Heating Res. Cust. |
| 15 USNR | MMBTU/Customer | Gas Consumption per Residenital Cust. |
| 16 USNC | MMBTU/Customer | Gas Consumption per Commercial Cust. |
| 17 USNI | MMBTU/Customer | Gas Consumption per industial Cust. |
| 18 USNCI | MMBTU/Customer | Gas Consumption per C \& ICust. |
| 19 GASN | MMBTU | Gas Consumption of Residental Cust. |
| 20 GASH | mmetu | Gas Consumption of Heating Res. Cust. |
| 21 GASR | MMBTU | Gas Consumption of Non-Heating Res. Cust. |
| 22 GASC | mм9tu | Gas Consumption of C \& I Cust. |
| 23 GASI | MMBTU | Gas Consumption of Commercial Cust. |
| 24 GASCI | MMBTU | Gas Consumpton of Industrial Cust. |
| 25 GSNN | MMBTU | Normal Gas Consumption of Residential Cust. |
| 26 GSNH | MmBTU | Normal Gas Consumption of Heating Res. Cust. |
| 27 GSNR | MmBTU | Normal Gas Cons. of Non-Heating Res.Cust. |
| 28 GSNC | MMBTU | Normal Gas Consumption of C\&ICust. |
| 29 GSNI | MMBTU | Normal Gas Consumption of Commerclal Cust. |
| 30 GSNCl | MmbTU | Normal Gas Consumption of Industrial Cust. |
| Indopendent Variables |  |  |
| 31 CPI | 1982-84 $=100$ | Consumer Price Index |
| 32 GSP | Mallions of \$ | Gross State Product-Aggregate |
| 33 RGSP | Millions of 2000 \$ | Real Gross State Product-Aggregate |
| 34 POP | Thousands | Total Population |
| 35 NMIG | Thousands | Net Migration |
| 36 EMP | Thousands | Employment, Total Non-Agriculture |
| 37 RUEM | Percent | Unemployment Rate |
| 38 UEMP | Thousands | Number Unemployed |
| 39 REMP | Thousands | Resident Employment |
| 40 LBFC | Thousands | Total Labor Force |
| 41 HH | Thousands | Households, Family and Non-Family |
| 42 HSTM | Thousands | Housing Starts, Private Multi-Family |
| 43 HSTS | Thousands | Housing Starts, Private Single Family |
| 44 HSTT | Thousands | Housing Stants, Total Private |
| 45 HSOLD | Thousands | Home Sales, Existing Single-family units |
| 46 HINC | Thousands of \$ | Average Household income |
| 47 PCl | Thousands of \$ | Per Capita Personal Income |
| 48 RPCl | Thousands 2000 \$ | Real Per Capita Personal Income |
| 49 PINC | millions of \$ | Personal income. Total, By Place of Residence |
| 50 RPINC | Millions of 2000 \$ | Real Personal Income, Total |
| 51 RPIR | Millions of 2000 \$ | Real Income, Residence Adjustment |
| 52 RPTR | Millions of 2000 \$ | Real Nonfarm Proprietors income |
| 53 PTP | Millions of \$ | Personal Income, Total Proprietors income, |
| 54 TPTR | Millions of 2000 \$ | Real Total Proprietors income |
| 55 PINF | Millions of \$ | Personal income, Nontarm Proprietors Income |
| 56 INDX | (2002=100) | Industrial Production Index, Total |
| 57 PRCO | (\$/MCF) | New Hampshire \#2 Heating Oil Production Price |
| 58 PRCG | (\$/MCF) | New Hampshire Nalual Gas City Gate Price |
| 59 PRCR | (\$/MCF) | New Hampshire Residential Natural Gas Price |
| 60 PRCC | (\$/MCF) | New Hampshire Commercial Nalural Gas Price |
| 61 PRCI | (S/MCF) | New Hampshire Industria! Natural Gas Price |
| 62 PRCCI | (\$/MCF) | New Hampshire C \& I Natural Gas Prics |
| 63 EGYO | (MMCF) | New Hampshire \#2 Heating Oil cnsmp |
| 64 EGYG | (MMCF) | New Hampshire Natural Gas cnsmp by Als |
| 65 EGYR | (MMCF) | New Hampshire Residential Natural Gas cnsmp |
| 66 EGYC | (MMCF) | Now Hampshire Commercial Natural Gas cnsmp |
| 67 EGYI | (MMCF) | New Hampshire industrial Natural Gas cnsmo |
| 68 RPRR | PRCR/PRCO | Price Ratio: Ress. Natural Gas Price: \#2 Oil Price |
| 69 RPRC | PRCC/PRCO | Price Ratio: Commercial Gas Price: \#2 Oll Price |
| 70 RPRI | PRCI/PRCO | Price Ratio: Industrial Gas Price : \#2 Oll Price |
| 71 REGR | EGYRJEGYO | Energy Use Ratio: Res. Natural Gas : \#2 Oill |
| 72 REGC | EGYCIEGYO | Energy Use Ratio: Commercial Gas : \#2 Oll |
| 73 REGI | EgYilegro | Energy Use Ratio: Industrial Gas : \#2 Oil |
| 74 REVN | (\$) | Revenue to Residential Non-Heating Customers |
| 75 REVH | (\$) | Revenue to Residential Heating Customers |
| 76 REVR | (\$) | Revenue to Residential Customers |
| 77 REVC | (\$) | Revenue to Commercial Customers |
| 78 REVI | (\$) | Revenue to Industrial Customers |
| 79 REVCl | (\$) | Revenue to Commercial and Industrial Cust. |
| 80 RVNN | (\$) | Revenue (Normal)to Residential Non-Heating Cust. |
| 81 RVNH | (\$) | Revenue (Normal)to Residential Heating Cust. |
| 82 RVNR | (\$) | Revenue (Normal)to Residential Cust. |
| 83 RVNC | (\$) | Revenue (Normal)to Commercial Cust. |
| 84 RVNI | (\$) | Revenue (Normal)to indusirial Cust. |
| 85 RVNCl | (\$) | Revenue (Normal)to C \& I Cust. |
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KeySpan Energy Delivery New England - EnergyNorth Gas Inc.
Demand Forecast Econometric Model
Variable Lisi
(2005)


Period Covered End of History Date 198401-2005Q4 2005 Q4 984Q1-200504 2005 1984Q1-2005Q4 200504 1984Qt-2005Q4 2005 Q4 $1984 Q 1-2005 Q 42005 Q 4$
$1984 Q 1-2005 Q 42005 Q 4$ 1984Q1-2005Q4 2005 Q4 984Q1-2005Q4 2005 Q4 1984Q1-2005@4 2005 Q4 1884Q1-2005Q4 2005 Q4 98401-2005Q4 2005 1984Q1-2005Q4 200504 198401-200504 2005 $\mathrm{Q4}$ $198401-2005 Q 42005$ Q4
198401-2005Q4 2005 Q4 $188401-2005 \mathrm{Q} 42005 \mathrm{Q4}$
$1984 \mathrm{Q} 1-2005 \mathrm{Q} 42005 \mathrm{O4}$ 1984Q1-2005Q4 200504
1984Qi-2005Q4 200504 1984Q1-2005O4 $2005 \mathrm{Q4} 4$
$198401-2005 \mathrm{Q} 42005 \mathrm{Q4}$ 1984Q1-2005Q4 2005 Q4
1984Qi-2005Q4 2005 Q4

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Res Var index Res Var Name \& \[
\stackrel{1}{\text { CUSN }}
\] \& \[
\stackrel{2}{\mathrm{CUSH}}
\] \& \[
\stackrel{3}{\text { CUSR }}
\] \& \[
\begin{gathered}
4 \\
\text { USEN }
\end{gathered}
\] \& \[
\begin{gathered}
5 \\
\text { USEH }
\end{gathered}
\] \& \[
\begin{gathered}
6 \\
\text { USER }
\end{gathered}
\] \& \[
\begin{gathered}
7 \\
\text { USNN }
\end{gathered}
\] \& \[
\begin{gathered}
8 \\
\text { USNH }
\end{gathered}
\] \& \[
\begin{gathered}
9 \\
\text { USNR }
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline Description \& ENGI: Number of Non-Heating Residential Customers \& \begin{tabular}{l}
ENGI: Number of \\
Heating \\
Residential \\
Customers
\end{tabular} \& ENGI: Number of Residential Customers \& ENGI: Natural Gas Consumption per Non-Heating Residential Customers \& ENGI: Natural Gas Consumption per Heating Residential Customers \& ENG:; Natural Gas Consumption per Residential Customers \& ENGI: Natural Gas Consumption per Non-Heating Residential Customers \& \begin{tabular}{l}
ENGI: Natural \\
Gas Consumption \\
per Heating \\
Residential \\
Customers
\end{tabular} \& ENGI: Natural Gas Consumption per Residential \\
\hline Star Year \& 1984 \& 1984 \& 1984 \& 1984 \& 1984 \& 1984 \& Customers 1984 \& Customers 1984 \& Customers 1984 \\
\hline Period/Year \& 4 \& 4 \& 4 \& 4 \& 4 \& , \& 188 \& 1884
4 \& 884 \\
\hline Period/ Cycle \& 4 \& 4 \& 4 \& 4
4 \& 4 \& 4 \& 4 \& 4 \& 4 \\
\hline \& \& \& 4 \& 4 \& 4 \& 4 \& 4 \& 4 \& 4 \\
\hline 1984Q1 \& 5875 \& 33173 \& 39048 \& 8.37 \& 45.14 \& 39.61 \& . 50 \& \& \\
\hline 1984Q3 \& 5830 \& 33183 \& 39013 \& 5.96 \& 20.46 \& 18.29 \& 5.82 \& 19.59 \& 40.56 \\
\hline 198404 \& 5866 \& 33085 \& 38766 \& 3.62 \& 6.81 \& 6.35 \& 3.59 \& 6.74 \& 17.53
6.28 \\
\hline 198501 \& 5995 \& 339915 \& 39885 \& 5.12 \& 22.77 \& 20.13 \& 5.29 \& 24.63 \& 6.23
21.74 \\
\hline 198502 \& 5949 \& 349129 \& 40910
41078 \& 8.28 \& 44.03 \& 38.79 \& 8.55 \& 46.28 \& 21.74
40.75 \\
\hline 198503 \& 5797 \& 35129 \& 41078
40960 \& 6.03 \& 17.70 \& 16.01 \& 6.20 \& 18.64 \& 40.75
16.84 \\
\hline 198504 \& 6088 \& 36270 \& 40960
42358 \& 3.69
5.02 \& 6.83 \& 6.38 \& 3.62 \& 6.87 \& 16.84
6.41 \\
\hline 198601 \& 6117 \& 38608 \& 42358 \& 5.02 \& 22.94 \& 20.36 \& 5.06 \& 23.38 \& 6.45
20.75 \\
\hline 198602 \& 6070 \& 39015 \& 44725 \& 8.73
6.52 \& 44.19 \& 39.34 \& 9.00 \& 46.03 \& 40.97 \\
\hline 198603 \& 5915 \& 39453 \& 45085 \& 6.52 \& 17.86 \& 16.33 \& 6.98 \& 19.67 \& 17.96 \\
\hline 198604 \& 6212 \& 40791 \& 47003 \& 3.14
4.81 \& 6.12 \& 5.73 \& 3.13 \& 6.05 \& 5.67 \\
\hline 198704 \& 6242 \& 42210 \& 48452 \& 4.81
8.72 \& 24.90 \& 22.24 \& 4.79 \& 24.74 \& 22.08 \\
\hline 1987 Q2 \& 6194 \& 42852 \& 489046 \& 8.72
6.43 \& 49.92 \& 44.61 \& 8.87 \& 52.01 \& 46.47 \\
\hline 188703 \& 6036 \& 42639 \& 48675 \& 6.43
4.12 \& 22.21 \& 20.22 \& 6.66 \& 23.53 \& 21.40 \\
\hline -1987Q4 \& 6339 \& 43756 \& 48675
50095 \& 4.12
5.48 \& 8.13 \& 7.64 \& 4.18 \& 8.24 \& 7.74 \\
\hline 198801 \& 6370 \& 45173 \& 51543 \& 5.48
7.83 \& 25.26 \& 22.76 \& 5.50 \& 25.48 \& 22.95 \\
\hline 198802 \& 6320 \& 45218 \& 51538 \& 7.83
6.74 \& 49.66 \& 44.49 \& 7.94 \& 50.82 \& 45.52 \\
\hline 1988Q3 \& 6159 \& 44672 \& 50831 \& 6.14
3.60 \& 22.51
7.40 \& 20.57 \& 6.81 \& 22.85 \& 20.88 \\
\hline 1988Q4 \& 6468 \& 45376 \& 51844 \& 3.60
5.08 \& 7.40
27.39 \& 6.94 \& 3.58 \& 7.32 \& 6.87 \\
\hline 198901 \& 6500 \& 46909 \& 53409 \& 5.08
8.60 \& 27.39 \& 24.60 \& 5.07 \& 27.17 \& 24.42 \\
\hline 198902 \& 6449 \& 47004 \& 53453 \& 8.60
6.88 \& 49.62 \& 44.63 \& 8.89 \& 52.27 \& 46.99 \\
\hline 1989Q3 \& 6285 \& 45897 \& 52182 \& 6.88
3.66 \& 22.28
7.53 \& 20.42
7.07 \& 6.83 \& 21.97 \& 20.15 \\
\hline 198804 \& 6600 \& 46503 \& 53103 \& 3.66
6.39 \& 7.53
27.46 \& 7.07 \& 3.69 \& 7.67 \& 7.19 \\
\hline 199001 \& 6632 \& 47867 \& 54499 \& 6.39
8.00 \& 27.46
47.37 \& 24.84 \& 6.22 \& 25.77 \& 23.34 \\
\hline 198002 \& 6581 \& 47476 \& 54057 \& 8.00
5.93 \& 47.37
22.00 \& 42.58 \& 8.24 \& 49.85 \& 44.79 \\
\hline 199003 \& 6413 \& 46199 \& 52612 \& 5.93
4.10 \& 22.00
7.08 \& 20.04
6.72 \& 5.82 \& 22.16 \& 20.18 \\
\hline 199004 \& 6387 \& 47332 \& 53719 \& 4.10
5.91 \& 7.08
22.15 \& 6.72 \& 4.06 \& 7.09 \& 6.72 \\
\hline 199101 \& 6304 \& 48797 \& 55101 \& 8.91
8.35 \& 22.15
43.74 \& 20.22
39.69 \& 6.26 \& 25.06 \& 22.82 \\
\hline 189102 \& 6196 \& 48319 \& 54507 \& 8.35
5.82 \& 43.74
18.82 \& \begin{tabular}{l}
39.69 \\
\\
\hline 1735
\end{tabular} \& 8.82 \& 47.55 \& 43.12 \\
\hline 199103 \& 6049 \& 47103 \& 53152 \& 5.82
3.99 \& 18.82
6.72 \& 17.35
6.41 \& 6.32 \& 21.44 \& 19.72 \\
\hline 199104 \& 6017 \& 48172 \& 54190 \& 3.98
5.80 \& 6.72
22.53 \& 6.41
20.67 \& 3.92 \& 6.64 \& 6.33 \\
\hline \(1992 \mathrm{Q1}\) \& 6025 \& 49426 \& 55451 \& 8.85
8.51 \& 22.53
47.94 \& 20.67
43.66 \& 5.98 \& 24.04 \& 22.03 \\
\hline 199202 \& 6035 \& 49138 \& 55173 \& 8.51
6.23 \& 47.94
23.17 \& 43.66 \& 8.73 \& 48.85 \& 45.38 \\
\hline 1992Q3 \& 5975 \& 47926 \& 53901 \& 6.23
4.21 \& 23.17 \& 21.32 \& 6.06 \& 21.78 \& 20.06 \\
\hline 1992 Ca \& 6027 \& 49069 \& 55096 \& 4.21
6.13 \& 7.02
24.59 \& 6.71 \& 4.21 \& 7.02 \& 6.71 \\
\hline 199301 \& 5998 \& 49743 \& 55741 \& 6.13
8.06 \& 24.59
48.90 \& 22.57
45.40 \& 6.04 \& 23.85 \& 21.90 \\
\hline 1993Q2 \& 6006 \& 49717 \& 55723 \& 8.06
6.05 \& 49.90
21.31 \& 45.40 \& 8.03 \& 49.15 \& 44.73 \\
\hline 1993Q3 \& 6006 \& 48841 \& 54847 \& 6.05
4.01 \& 21.31
6.44 \& 19.67 \& 6.09 \& 21.54 \& 19.88 \\
\hline 199304 \& 6041 \& 50009 \& 56050 \& 4.01
5.70 \& 6.44
23.80 \& 6.18 \& 3.79 \& 6.00 \& 5.76 \\
\hline 199401 \& 6070 \& 50949 \& 57019 \& 5.70
8.27 \& 23.90
52.7 \& 21.94 \& 5.67 \& 23.50 \& 21.58 \\
\hline 199402 \& 6065 \& 50957 \& 57022 \& 8.27
5.85 \& 52.77
20.81 \& 48.03 \& 7.88 \& 48.55 \& 44.22 \\
\hline 198403 \& 6035 \& 50125 \& 56160 \& 5.85
3.96 \& 20.81
6.23 \& 19.31 \& 5.83 \& 20.79 \& 19.20 \\
\hline \(1994 \mathrm{Q4}\) \& 6071 \& 51184 \& 57256 \& 3.96
5.20 \& 6.23
27.67 \& \(\begin{array}{r}5.89 \\ \hline 2599\end{array}\) \& 4.12 \& 6.36 \& 6.12 \\
\hline 199501 \& 5933 \& 52218 \& 58151 \& 5.20
6.60 \& 27.67
44.63 \& 25.29
40.75 \& 5.40 \& 30.90 \& 28.19 \\
\hline 1895 L 2 \& 5852 \& 52220 \& 58072 \& 6.60
5.39 \& 44.63
20.03 \& 40.75 \& 6.94 \& 48.94 \& 44.65 \\
\hline 199503 \& 5794 \& 51357 \& 57151 \& 5.39
4.07 \& 20.03
6.68 \& 18.55 \& 5.38 \& 19.67 \& 18.23 \\
\hline 199504 \& 5817 \& 52277 \& 58094 \& 4.07 \& 6.68
25.18 \& 6.42 \& 3.94 \& 8.47 \& 6.21 \\
\hline 199601 \& 5870 \& 53009 \& 58879 \& 4.86
6.32 \& 25.18
49.61 \& 23.15 \& 4.84 \& 24.60 \& 22.62 \\
\hline 199602 \& 5872 \& 53113 \& 58985 \& 6.32
5.42 \& 49.61
20.77 \& 45.29 \& 6.31 \& 48.36 \& 45.07 \\
\hline 199603 \& 5854 \& 52552 \& 58406 \& 5.42
4.14 \& 20.77
6.87 \& 18.24
6.60 \& 5.32 \& 19.65 \& 18.22 \\
\hline 199604 \& 5820 \& 53417 \& 59237 \& 4.14 \& 6.87
25.40 \& 6.60

23.39 \& 4.10 \& 6.85 \& 6.57 <br>
\hline 1997Q1 \& 5864 \& 54151 \& 60016 \& 4.92
6.08 \& 25.40
44.52 \& 23.39
40.76 \& 4.89
6.23 \& 24.88
47.75 \& 23.01 <br>
\hline 1997Q2 \& 5895 \& 54260 \& 60155 \& 6.08
5.33 \& 44.52
22.06 \& 40.76
20.42 \& 6.23
5.17 \& 47.75
20.00 \& 43.69 <br>
\hline 199703
189704 \& 5886 \& 54050 \& 59935 \& 4.19 \& 22.06
6.55 \& 20.42
6.32 \& 5.17
4.14 \& 20.00
6.46 \& 18.54 <br>
\hline 1997Q4 \& 5908
5927 \& 54775 \& 60683 \& 5.00 \& 24.85 \& 2.32 \& 4.14
4.89 \& 6.46
24.70 \& 6.23 <br>
\hline 199802 \& 5927 \& 55334 \& 61261 \& 6.20 \& 42.07 \& 38.60 \& 4.8.58 \& 24.70
48.29 \& 22.78 <br>
\hline 199803 \& 5964
5947 \& 55610 \& 61574 \& 5.23 \& 17.66 \& 16.46 \& 5.48 \& 19.58 \& 44.26 <br>
\hline 199824 \& 5959 \& 55349 \& 61296 \& 3.86 \& 6.50 \& 6.25 \& 3.55 \& 6.15 \& 18.29
5.90 <br>
\hline 199901 \& 5903 \& 56095 \& 62050 \& 4.80 \& 20.30 \& 18.81 \& 4.89 \& 21.77 \& 5.90
20.15 <br>
\hline 199902 \& 5864 \& 57002 \& 62865 \& 6.07
5.02 \& 45.10 \& 41.43 \& 6.25 \& 47.72 \& 20.15
43.81 <br>
\hline 199903 \& 5870 \& 57025 \& ${ }_{62895}$ \& 5.02
3.48 \& 17.57
5.87 \& 16.40
565 \& 5.14 \& 18.18 \& 43.81
16.96 <br>
\hline 199904 \& 5885 \& 57932 \& 63797 \& 3.48
4.75 \& 5.87
20.43 \& $\begin{array}{r}5.65 \\ \hline 18.98\end{array}$ \& 3.55 \& 6.04 \& 16.96
5.81 <br>
\hline 200001 \& 5782 \& 58480 \& 64262 \& 6.41 \& 20.43
47.38 \& 18.98
43.69 \& 4.88 \& 21.85 \& 20.29 <br>
\hline 200002 \& 5781 \& 58784 \& 64566 \& 6.4
5.09 \& 47.38
18.28 \& 43.69
17.10 \& 6.51 \& 48.71 \& 44.82 <br>
\hline 200003 \& 5663 \& 57686 \& 63349 \& 5.9
3.91 \& 18.28
6.57 \& 17.10
6.33 \& 5.12
3 \& 18.94 \& 17.70 <br>
\hline 200004 \& 5836 \& 58047 \& 63883 \& 5.54 \& 6.57
24.31 \& 6.33
22.60 \& 3.87 \& 6.47 \& 6.24 <br>
\hline 200101 \& 5716 \& 58722 \& 64437 \& 7.18 \& 24.31
48.21 \& 22.60
44.57 \& 5.59
709 \& 24.02 \& 22.33 <br>
\hline 200102 \& 5772 \& 58585 \& 64356 \& 5.54 \& 48.21
19.42 \& 44.57
+8.17 \& 7.09 \& 47.16 \& 43.60 <br>
\hline 200103 \& 5741 \& 59179 \& 64920 \& 5.54
3.59 \& 19.42
5.95 \& 18.17
5.74 \& 5.62 \& 19.48 \& 18.24 <br>
\hline 200104 \& 6027 \& 59330 \& 65357 \& 5.67 \& $\begin{array}{r}5.95 \\ 18.52 \\ \hline\end{array}$ \& 5.74
17.33 \& 3.48 \& 5.90 \& 5.69 <br>
\hline $2002 \mathrm{Q1}$ \& 5987 \& 59932 \& 65919 \& 5.67
8.15 \& 18.52
41.05 \& 17.33
38.06 \& 6.13 \& 21.22 \& 19.82 <br>
\hline 2002 Q 2 \& 5963 \& 59858 \& 65821 \& 8.75
5.71 \& 41.05
19.69 \& 38.06
18.42 \& ${ }^{8.88}$ \& 47.16 \& 43.68 <br>
\hline 200203 \& 5852 \& 58878 \& 64730 \& 3.95 \& 19.69
6.85 \& 18.42
6.59 \& 5.72 \& 19.94 \& 18.66 <br>
\hline 200204 \& 5804 \& 60189 \& 65993 \& 6.28 \& 6.85
24.85 \& 6.59
23.22 \& 3.32 \& 6.11 \& 5.86 <br>
\hline $2003 \mathrm{Q1}$ \& 5787 \& 62172 \& 67959 \& 6.28 \& 24.85
51.84 \& 23.22
48.24 \& 6.18 \& 24.30 \& 22.70 <br>
\hline 200302 \& 5947 \& 63268 \& 69215 \& 6.20 \& 59.84
20.75 \& 48.24
19.50 \& 10.00
5 \& 55.09 \& 51.25 <br>
\hline 2003 a 3 \& 6016 \& 64590 \& 70606 \& 3.48 \& 20.75
5.89 \& 19.50
5.69 \& 5.80 \& 18.04 \& 16.99 <br>
\hline 2003Q4 \& 5548 \& 61697 \& 67245 \& 3.48
6.04 \& 5.89
23.12 \& 5.68 \& 3.48 \& 5.88 \& 5.68 <br>
\hline 200401 \& 5771 \& 65629 \& 71400 \& 6.04
9.18 \& 23.12
48.82 \& 21.71 \& 5.51 \& 19.43 \& 18.00 <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& 48.82 \& 45.61 \& 9.97 \& 54.98 \& 51.34 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

| Res Var Index | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Res Var Name | CUSN | CUSH | CUSR | USEN | USEH | USER | USNN | USNH | USNR |
| Description | ENGI: Number of Non-Heating Residential Customers | ENG: Number of Heating Residential Customers | ENGI: Number of Residential Customers | ENGI: Natural Gas Consumption per Non-Heating Residential Customers | ENGI: Natural Gas Consumption per Heating Residential Customers | ENGI: Natural Gas Consumption per Residential Customers | ENGI: Natural Gas Consumption per Non-Heating Rosidential Customers | ENGI: Natural Gas Consumption per Heating Residential Customers | ENGI: Natural Gas Consumption per Residential Customers |
| Start Year | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 |
| Start Period | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Period / Year | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Perrod/Cycle | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 2004Q2 | 5585 | 64293 | 69878 | 5.84 | 18.38 | 17.37 | 5.70 | 17.33 | 16.40 |
| 2004Q3 | 5675 | 66341 | 72016 | 3.68 | 5.92 | 5.74 | 3.67 | 5.90 | 5.73 |
| 2004Q4 | 5275 | 62637 | 67911 | 5.71 | 20.94 | 18.75 | 5.27 | 17.78 | 16.81 |
| 2005Q1 | 5403 | 66205 | 71607 | 9.02 | 46.66 | 43.82 | 8.78 | 52.50 | 49.27 |
| 2005 Q2 | 5384 | 65191 | 71575 | 5.79 | 18.88 | 17.90 | 5.50 | 17.08 | 16.21 |
| 2005Q3 | 5423 | 67908 | 73331 | 3.54 | 5.72 | 5.56 | 3.54 | 5.73 | 5.57 |
| 2005Q4 | 5076 | 64411 | 69487 | 5.78 | 20.84 | 19.74 | 5.36 | 17.69 | 16.79 |
| 2006Q1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 200602 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006Q3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 200604 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007Q1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007Q2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007Q3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007Q4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008Q1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008Q2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008Q3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008Q4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 200901 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009Q2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009Q3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2009 \mathrm{Q4}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 201001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 201002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010Q3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 201004 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 201101 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 201102 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011Q3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 201104 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012Q1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012Q2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012Q3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2012 \mathrm{Q4}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2013Q1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 201302 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2013Q3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2013Q4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2014 Q1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . |  |
| 2014Q2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2014Q3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2014 \mathrm{Q4}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2015Q1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 201502 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 201503 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2015Q4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 201601 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 201602 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2016Q3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2016Q4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 201701 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017Q2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017Q3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 209784 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 201801 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 201802 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018Q3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018Q4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2019Q1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 201902 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2019Q3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 201904 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 202001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 202002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2020Q3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 202004 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |





| Res Var index Res Var Name | $\begin{gathered} 19 \\ \text { POP } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20 \\ \text { NMIG } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21 \\ \text { EMP } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22 \\ \text { RUEM } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23 \\ \text { UEMP } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24 \\ \text { REMP } \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{25}{\text { LBFC }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26 \\ & \mathrm{HH} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 27 \\ \text { HSTM } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Description | Tolal Population | Net Migration | Employment. <br> Total Non- <br> Agriculture. By Place of Work NAICS | Unamployment Rate | Number Unemployed | Resident Employment |  | Households, Family and Non- | Housing Starts, Private Multi- |
| Stan Year | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 | Employment 1984 | Total Labor Force | Family | Family |
| Period / Year | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 1984 |
| Period/ Cycie | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 2004Q2 |  |  |  | 4 | ${ }^{4}$ | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 2004Q3 | 1301.8534 | 1.7255 1.4414 | 626.667 | 3.9631 | 28.665 | 694.632 | 723.297 | 499.832 |  |
| 2004Q4 | 1304.5434 | 1.4.422 | 629.300 630.767 | 3.7472 | 27.152 | 697.450 | 724.603 | 501.221 | 1.2098 1.3036 |
| 2005Q1 | 1307.2389 | 1.4.914 | 630.767 633.100 | 3.5868 3.6959 | 26.046 | 700.105 | 726.151 | 502.678 | 1.2428 |
| 200502 | 1309.9400 | 1.5165 | 633.100 635.000 | 3.6959 3.5100 | 26.964 | 702.581 | 729.544 | 504.199 | 1.2428 0.9884 |
| 200503 | 1312.7878 | 1.60\%? | 635.000 636.500 | 3.5100 3.6243 | 26.398 26.576 | 704.848 | 731.246 | 609.654 | 1.3884 |
| 2005Q4 | 1315.7833 | 1.8215 | 636.133 | 3.6243 3.5361 | 26.576 25.958 | 706.709 708.112 | 733.285 | 510.868 | 0.6629 |
| 200601 | 1318.9273 | 1.9812 | 640.501 | 3.3943 | 24.958 | 708.112 711.032 | 734.068 736.015 | 512.470 514.140 | 1.0173 |
| 200662 | 1322.2208 | 2.1418 | 643.181 | 3.4014 | 25.105 | 711.032 | 736.015 738.082 | 514.140 | 1.0361 |
| 2006034 | 1325.6648 1329.1158 | 2.3037 | 645.799 | 3.4034 | 25.180 | 714.950 | 738.082 740.140 | 516.071 | 0.8549 |
| 200701 | 1329.1158 1332.5735 | 2.3218 | 647.468 | 3.4031 | 25.257 | 716.815 | 742.172 | 518.038 519.807 | 0.8342 |
| 200702 | 1332.5735 1336.0384 | 2.398 | 649.597 | 3.4016 | 25.313 | 718.847 | 744.160 | 521.751 | 0.8996 |
| 200703 | 1339.5648 | 2.34131 | 651.647 | 3.4012 | 25.380 | 720.814 | 746.194 | 523.633 | 0.9016 |
| 2007Q4 | 1343.0988 | 2.48 .107 | 653.560 | 3.4009 | 25.447 | 722.809 | 748.256 | 525.532 | 0.9269 |
| 2008Q1 | 1346.6398 | 2.4684 | 655.744 658.166 | 3.4006 | 25.516 | 724.814 | 750.330 | 527.483 | 0.9523 0.9696 |
| 2008Q2 | 1350.1886 | 2.48174 | 658.166 660.449 | 3.3999 3.3986 | 25.581 | 726.834 | 752.415 | 529.462 | 0.9775 |
| 2008Q3 | 1353.7831 | 2.5.46 | 66.449 682.605 | 3.3986 3.3968 | 25.644 | 728.911 | 754.555 | 531.399 | 0.9600 |
| 2008 Q4 | 1357.3854 | 2.5139 | 664.959 | 3.3968 3.3952 | 25.703 | 730.991 | 756.694 | 533.349 | 0.9675 |
| 2000Q1 | 1360.9951 | 2.5\%.2 | 666.664 | 3.3933 | 25.763 | 733.058 | 758.821 | 535.312 | 0.9712 |
| 2009 Q 2 | 1364.6129 | 2.6027 | 668.462 | 3.3933 3.3914 | 25.821 25.881 | 735.136 737245 | 760.957 | 537.306 | 0.9668 |
| 200903 | 1368.2252 | 2.6088 | 669.960 | 3.3893 | 25.881 25.938 | 737.245 738.335 | 763.126 | 539.283 | 0.9554 |
| 200904 | 1371.8453 | 2.62 .94 | 671.480 | 3.3893 3.3873 | 25.938 25.995 | 738.335 741.419 | 765.273 | 541.239 | 0.9518 |
| 201001 | 1375.4734 | 2.6480 | 672.510 | 3.3859 3. | 25.995 26.050 | 741.419 743.492 | 767.414 | 543.206 | 0.9459 |
| 201002 | 1379.1090 | $2.66 / 8$ | 673.594 | 3.3859 3.3830 | 26.050 | 743.492 745.573 | 769.542 | 545.176 | 0.9249 |
| 201003 | 1382.5753 | 2.51:2 | 674.170 | 3.3806 | 26.106 26.156 | 745.573 | 771.678 | 547.096 | 0.9114 |
| 2010Q4 | 1386.0482 | 2.52113 | 674.935 | 3.3784 | 26.156 26.208 | 747.554 749.532 | 773.710 | 548.924 | 0.9199 |
| 201101 | 1389.5285 | 2.54 .14 | 675.765 | 3.3784 3.3764 | 26.208 26.260 | 749.532 751.510 | 775.740 | 550.778 | 0.9091 |
| 201192 | 1393.0150 | 2.5017 | 676.557 | 3.3764 3.374 | 26.280 26.314 | 751.510 753.510 | 777.770 | 552.655 | 0.9083 |
| 201103 | 1396.3656 | 2.4446 | 676.999 | 3.3744 3.3715 | 26.314 26.358 | 753.510 755.431 | 779.824 | 554.458 | 0.9138 |
| 201104 | 1399.7216 | 2.4634 | 677.645 | 3.3682 | 26.358 26.388 | 755.431 757.352 | 781.789 | 556.168 | 0.9184 |
| 2012Q1 | 1403.0838 | 2.4822 | 678.126 | 3.3682 3.3643 | 26.388 26.434 | 757.352 759.285 | 783.750 | 557.894 | 0.9023 |
| 2012 C 2 | 1406.4543 | 2.5146 | 678.759 | 3.3643 3.3597 | 26.434 26.454 | 759.285 | 785.719 | 559.622 | 0.8977 |
| 2012 Q3 | 1409.8062 | 2.5091 | 679.132 | 3.3542 | 26.464 26.486 | 761.227 | 787.691 | 561.331 | 0.8939 |
| 201204 | 1413.1664 | $2.5 \times 26$ | 679.753 | 3.3542 3.3485 | 26.486 26.507 | 763.165 | 789.652 | 562.999 | 0.8905 |
| $2013 Q 1$ | 1416.5355 | $2.54{ }^{\text {c }}$ 2 | 680.174 | 3.3485 3.346 | 26.507 | 765.087 | 791.604 | 564.681 | 0.8837 |
| 2013 Q2 | 1419.9125 | 2.56 \%91 | 680.703 | 3.3426 3.3363 | 26.526 26.542 | 767.046 769.015 | 783.571 | 566.358 | 0.8830 |
| 2013Q3 | 1423.1885 | 2.4816 | 681.280 | 3.3363 3.3299 | 26.542 26.555 | 768.015 | 795.557 | 588.038 | 0.8728 |
| 2013Q4 | 1426.4717 | 2.5141 | 681.874 | 3.3299 3.3235 | 26.555 26.567 | 770.816 | 797.471 | 569.658 | 0.8735 |
| $2014 \mathrm{Q1}$ | 1429.7629 | $2.5 \div 56$ | 682.344 | 3.3235 3.3170 | 26.567 26.580 | 772.815 | 789.382 | 571.279 | 0.8739 |
| 201402 | 1433.0612 | 2.54 .44 | 682.989 | 3.3105 | 26.580 26.582 | 774.730 76.668 | 801.309 | 572.888 | 0.8732 |
| 201403 | 1436.1951 | 2.4019 | 683.530 | 3.3105 3.3039 | 26.582 26.600 | 776.668 778.506 | 803.260 | 574.548 | 0.8583 |
| $2014 \mathrm{Q4}$ | 1439.3351 | 2.424 | 684.185 | 3.2974 | 26.600 | 778.506 780.335 | 805.107 | 578.123 | 0.8628 |
| 201501 | 1442.4819 | $2.46 \cdot 16$ | 684.684 | 3.2974 3.2909 | 26.608 26.616 | 780.335 782.478 | 806.943 | 577.724 | 0.8634 |
| 2015Q2 | 1445.6344 | 2.46869 | 685.462 | 3.2989 3.2843 | 26.616 26.625 | 782.178 794.048 | 808.794 | 579.359 | 0.8659 |
| 2015Q3 | 1448.7855 | 2.4891 | 686.226 | 3.2778 3.278 | 26.625 26.633 | 784.048 785.806 | 810.674 | 581.015 | 0.8797 |
| 201504 | 1451.9425 | 2.50 .47 | 687.141 | 3.2715 | 26.633 26.643 | 785.806 787.770 | 812.540 | 582.647 | 0.8852 |
| 201601 | 1455.1059 | 2.5714 | 688.063 | 3.2715 3.2653 | 26.643 26.654 | 787.770 789.631 | 814.413 | 584.280 | 0.8882 |
| 201602 | 1458.2748 | 2.55002 | 688.957 | 3.2653 3.2592 | 26.654 26.667 | 789.631 791.522 | 816.285 | 585.968 | 0.8980 |
| 2016Q3 | 1461.4132 1464.5570 | 2.5: 10 | 689.624 | 3.2532 | 26.667 26.678 | 791.522 783,381 | 818.189 820.059 | 587.675 588.384 | 0.8076 |
| 201701 | 1464.5570 1467.7070 | 2.55999 | 690.625 | 3.2474 | 26.692 | 785.239 | 821.930 | 588.384 581.072 | 0.9143 |
| 201702 | 1470.8620 | 2.50,10 | 681.877 | 3.2419 | 26.708 | 797.114 | 823.822 | 582.745 | 0.9128 |
| 201703 | 1474.0546 | 2.66..0 | 693.270 694.759 | 3.2369 | 26.729 | 799.031 | 825.764 | 594.420 | 0.9200 0.9329 |
| 2017Q4 | 1477.2527 | $2.6 \times 1.56$ | 694.759 | 3.2323 3.2281 | 26.754 26.781 | 800.852 | 827.707 | 596.115 | 0.9329 0.9470 |
| 201801 | 1480.4568 | 2.7035 | 697.965 | 3.2281 3.2242 | 26.781 26.812 | 802.857 | 829.639 | 597.812 | 0.9470 0.8562 |
| 201802 | 1483.6662 | 2.7235 | 699.599 | 3.2242 3.2204 | 26.812 26.844 | 804.778 806.745 | 831.590 | 599.482 | 0.9688 |
| 201803 | 1486.8267 | 2.71:31 | 700.944 | 3.2165 | 26.844 26.875 | 806.715 808.629 | 833.558 835.504 | 601.178 | 0.9821 |
| 201804 | 1489.9923 | 2.7:70 | 702.704 | 3.2130 | 26.875 | 808.629 810.519 | 835.504 837.425 | 602.847 | 0.9884 |
| 201901 | 1493.1636 | 2.7!.12 | 704.377 | 3.2095 | 26.907 26.940 | 810.519 812.435 | 837.425 839.375 | 604.516 606.184 | 0.9763 |
| 201903 | 1486.3399 1499.3467 | 2.7754 $2.6 \% 47$ | 706.062 | 3.2051 | 26.974 | 814.359 | 839.375 84.333 | 606.184 607.814 | 0.9868 |
| 2019Q4 | 1502.3577 | 2.6.1:3 | 707.713 | 3.2027 | 27.004 | 816.179 | 843.184 | 609,401 | 0.9913 $1: 0026$ |
| 202001 | 1505.3735 | 2.6\% 21 | 709.431 711.050 | 3.1992 3.1957 | 27.035 | 818.015 | 845.051 | 610.988 | 1.0026 1.0099 |
| 2020Q2 | 1508.3934 | 2.65.49 | 712.551 | 3.1957 3.1918 | 27.065 | 819.850 | 846.914 | 612.588 | 1.0091 1.0088 |
| 2020Q3 | 1511.1360 | 2,4:14 | 713.934 | 3.1918 3.1882 | 27.082 | 821.709 | 848.801 | 614.182 | 1.0088 1.0138 |
| 2020Q4 | 1513.8815 | 2.4609 | 713.934 715.347 | 3.1882 3.1848 | 27.116 | 823.392 | 850.508 | 615.654 | 1.0138 1.0073 |
|  |  |  | 715.347 | 3.1848 | 27.141 | 825.056 | 852.187 | 617.127 | 0.9950 |


| Res Var Index | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 35 | 36 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Res Var Name | HSTS | HSTT | HSOLD | HINC | PCl | RPCI | PINC | RPINC | RPIR |


| Description | Housing Starts, <br> Private Single Family | Housing Starts Total Private | Home Sales. Existing Singleamlly units | Per Capita Personal income By Place of Residence |  | Real Per Capita Pergonal Income | Personal Income, Total, By Place of R Residence | Real Personal Income, Total | Real income, Residence Adjustment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Year | 1984 | 1:54 | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 |
| Start Pariod | , |  | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Periad / Year | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Period / Cycle | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 1884Q1 | 8.8378 | 10.9\% 0 | 15.200 | 39.2809 | 14.1131 | 22.0593 | 13720.00 | 21444.87 | 2067.90 |
| 198402 | 7.9622 | 10.41:38 | 16.300 | 39.6849 | 14.3070 | 22.1474 | 13876.00 | 21635.09 | 2136.26 |
| 198403 | 7.6684 | 10.5:11 | 12.600 | 40.4733 | 14.6293 | 22.4727 | 14363.00 | 22063.66 | 2175.18 |
| 1884Q4 | 9.4995 | 12.6) U | 11.700 | 41.3541 | 14.9824 | 22.8718 | 14784.00 | 22568.92 | 2202.85 |
| 198501 | 9.7410 | 14.2: $: 1$ | 13.200 | 42.4888 | 15.4244 | 23.2954 | 15297.00 | 23103.06 | 2245.82 |
| 198502 | 10.5343 | 17.5:12 | 14.700 | 42.9866 | 15.6468 | 23.4536 | 15596.00 | 23377.40 | 2264.89 |
| 198503 | 9.0169 | 14.21, 18 | 16.300 | 43.3079 | 15.7798 | 23.5014 | 15838.00 | 23589.60 | 2257.83 |
| 198504 | 11.1747 | 16.4. 3 | 13.900 | 44.2612 | 16.1475 | 23.8615 | 16322.00 | 24119.28 | 2277.16 |
| 198601 | 15.5154 | 21.17\%9 | 14.600 | 45.5292 | 16.6106 | 24.3704 | 16808.00 | 24806.70 | 2285.83 |
| 198602 | 13.7531 | 98.51.12 | 14.300 | 46.1399 | 16.8606 | 24.7223 | 17283.00 | 25341.64 | 2302.05 |
| 1986Q3 | 13.5674 | 18.2931 | 14.600 | 46.3599 | 16.9682 | 24.6843 | 17516.00 | 25481.54 | 2344.53 |
| 1986Q4 | 13.0298 | 17.81,36 | 17.500 | 47.0289 | 17.2389 | 24.9106 | 17821.00 | 25896.28 | 2385.73 |
| 198701 | 11.8098 | 14.9:18 | 16.800 | 47.7503 | 17.5394 | 25.0619 | 18362.00 | 26237.43 | 2389.12 |
| 198702 | 12.3730 | 15.3:410 | 15.400 | 48.6371 | 17.8822 | 25.3339 | 18853.00 | 26709.26 | 2404.16 |
| 198703 | 11.6998 | 14.4790 | 15.100 | 49.9537 | 18.3861 | 25.7848 | 18513.00 | 27365.16 | 2441.59 |
| 198704 | 11.1964 | 13.8979 | 14.400 | 51.5364 | 18.9846 | 26.4002 | 20282.00 | 28204.31 | 2476.67 |
| 198801 | 12.5773 | 18.3:78 | 14.100 | 51.7878 | 19.1207 | 26.3610 | 20563.00 | 28349.46 | 2502.27 |
| 198802 | 8.2599 | 11.8505 | 14.000 | 52.3635 | 19.3326 | 26.3613 | 20929.00 | 28538.12 | 2541.69 |
| 1988Q3 | 7.7653 | 11.32,26 | 14.600 | 53.0392 | 19.5814 | 26.3854 | 21305.00 | 28707.91 | 2548.07 |
| 1988Q4 | 7.0208 | 9.56:36 | 12.700 | 54.2866 | 20.0413 | 26.7438 | 21915.00 | 29244.18 | 2580.80 |
| 198981 | 6.4051 | 8.7817 | 10.000 | 55.2885 | 20.4105 | 26.9172 | 22431.00 | 29581.81 | 2570.32 |
| 198902 | 6.0648 | 7.25.18 | 9.600 | 55.3741 | 20.4414 | 26.6112 | 22578.00 | 29392.70 | 2550.28 |
| 188803 | 5.5336 | 7.1.)5 | 9.700 | 55.4738 | 20.4775 | 26.4896 | 22858.00 | 29310.26 | 2549.67 |
| 198904 | 4.9087 | 6.15\%7 | 10.000 | 55.7097 | 20.5639 | 26.3833 | 22794.00 | 29244.45 | 2557.00 |
| 1990Q1 | 5.1058 | $5.6 \% 9$ | 9.400 | 55.2127 | 20.3798 | 25.7659 | 22830.00 | 28610.80 | 2510.87 |
| 1980Q2 | 3.7655 | 4.4:19 | 8.400 | 55.9547 | 20.5809 | 25.7557 | 22905.00 | 28650.23 | 2514.17 |
| 198003 | 3.3691 | 3.8i/9 | 8.300 | 56.0827 | 20.6778 | 25.5440 | 22989.00 | 28389.01 | 2489.19 |
| 189004 | 3.6860 | 4.71.:19 | 7.700 | 55.3353 | 20.4688 | 24.9625 | 22744.00 | 27737.26 | 2446.40 |
| 1991Q1 | 2.9906 | 3.24:6 | 8.000 | 56.3812 | 20.9564 | 25.3867 | 23273.00 | 28170.71 | 2643.62 |
| 189102 | 3.9135 | 4.03/18 | 10.100 | 56.6156 | 21.1122 | 25.4143 | 23433.00 | 28208.06 | 2630.25 |
| 199103 | 3.5952 | 3.7131 | 9.900 | 56.6062 | 21.1379 | 25.2665 | 23503.00 | 28093.47 | 2620.13 |
| 199104 | 3.9180 | 4.0:11 | 10.600 | 57.3074 | 21.4239 | 25.4054 | 23863.00 | 28297.84 | 2608.86 |
| $1982 \mathrm{Q1}$ | 3.6335 | $53.8 \leqslant 5$ | 12.300 | 57.3842 | 21.4801 | 25.2878 | 23979.00 | 28216.56 | 2600.55 |
| 1892 Q 2 | 3.9003 | 3 4.0i\%8 | 13.000 | 58.1336 | 21.7948 | 25.4834 | 24362.00 | 28484.91 | 2610.90 |
| 199203 | 3.7764 | 4.1139 | 12.000 | 58.5777 | 21.9722 | 25.5125 | 24624.00 | 28591.67 | 2595.13 |
| 199204 | 4.4427 | 7 4.6!33 | 13.000 | - 60.3169 | 22.6156 | 26.0948 | 25411.00 | 28320.27 | 2664.22 |
| 1993 Q1 | 3.9187 | 4.0.11 | 13.300 | - 58.4031 | 21.8654 | 25.0992 | 24632.00 | 28274.94 | 2616.05 |
| 199302 | 3.8781 | $4.4 .71: 3$ | 13.200 | 59.5197 | 22.2744 | 25.4048 | 25158.00 | 28683.63 | 2691.67 |
| 199303 | 4.0073 | 3 4.31 | 14.300 | 60.2819 | 22.5581 | 25.6403 | 25552.00 | 29043.29 | 2723.38 |
| 199304 | 4.0997 | 4.3:39 | 16.500 | 60.5679 | 22.6683 | 25.6304 | 25751.00 | 29115.93 | 2763.36 |
| 1994Q1 | 3.6871 | 13.9 (1) | 16.000 | 61.3351 | 22.9480 | 25.8441 | 26144.00 | 29443.43 | 2691.62 |
| 199402 | 4.4166 | 6 4.7133 | - 16.800 | 62.8374 | 23.5130 | 26.3368 | 28865.00 | 30091.40 | 2785.68 |
| 199403 | 4.2278 | 8 4.51, i3 | - 16.100 | -63.2155 | 23.6842 | 26.2953 | 27149.00 | 30142.19 | 2755.63 |
| 199404 | 4.3981 | 1 4.8iii0 | - 16.000 | -64.2376 | 24.1132 | 26.6526 | 27731.00 | 30651.47 | 2778.76 |
| 189501 | 4.4029 | 9 4.8:311 | 16.500 | -64.5073 | 24.2789 | 26.7080 | 28014.00 | 30815.43 | 2665.30 |
| 1995Q2 | 4.0999 | $94.4: 3$ | . 16.300 | -65.7585 | 24.7970 | 27,1266 | 28704.00 | 31400.69 | 2636.42 |
| 1995 Q3 | 4.0936 | $6 \quad 4.3126$ | - 17.100 | 065.4600 | 24.7317 | 26.9406 | 28734.00 | 31300.31 | 2709.12 |
| 199504 | 3.6331 | 13.70 .99 | - 17.300 | 066.0210 | 24.9874 | - 27.1057 | 29138.00 | 31608.18 | 2717.36 |
| 1996, 1 | 4.1762 | 2 4.5:4 | $4 \quad 17.900$ | 068.2732 | 25.8773 | - 27.8977 | 30287.00 | 32651.63 | 2746.93 |
| 199602 | 4.4237 | 7 4.6\%16 | - 19.400 | - 69.1562 | 26.2565 | - 28.1263 | 30844.00 | 33040.53 | 2792.66 |
| 199603 | 4.5250 | 0 4.9737 | - 20.900 | - 69.9106 | 26.5713 | -28.3502 | 31311.00 | 33407.31 | 2819.95 |
| 199604 | 4.3943 | 3 5.1rio | 20.000 | 70.5557 | 26.8483 | 28.4655 | 31736.00 | 33835.75 | 2860.56 |
| 1997Q1 | 4.6566 | 65.2 :17 | 21.000 | 69.7642 | 26.5752 | 28.0385 | 31511.00 | 33246.11 | 2964.73 |
| 199702 | 4.3494 | 45.0115 | $5 \quad 22.800$ | 70.7528 | 26.9828 | - 28.4146 | 32094.00 | 33797.03 | 2947.53 |
| 199703 | 4.9123 | 3 5.4 $1 / 2$ | $2 \quad 24.400$ | 71.8129 | 27.4027 | $7 \quad 28.7789$ | 32706.00 | 34348.55 | 2965.83 |
| 1997Q4 | 4.8184 | 4 5.6:6 | - 25.300 | - 72.9582 | 27.8620 | - 29.1638 | 33369.00 | 34828.19 | 3018.76 |
| 1998Q9 | 5.5377 | 7 - 5.8ツ!2 | 24.800 | - 73.8231 | 28.2196 | - 29.5153 | 33914.00 | 35471.18 | 2982.95 |
| 199802 | 5.1800 | 05.5068 | $8 \quad 29.900$ | $0 \quad 75.2926$ | 28.8033 | 30.0751 | 34735.00 | 36268.81 | 3085.49 |
| 199803 | 5.2661 | 15.46 .11 | 125.900 | $0 \quad 76.9528$ | 29.4627 | 730.6622 | 35648.00 | 37099.33 | 3100.28 |
| 199884 | 5.3408 | $85.6: 0$ | $0 \quad 25.600$ | $0 \quad 78.0362$ | 29.9032 | 231.0061 | 36301.00 | 37639.85 | 3107.54 |
| 199901 | 5.8090 | 0 6.2.1 | 123.500 | -77.1690 | 29.6032 | 230.6176 | 36056.00 | 37291.47 | 3389.29 |
| 199902 | 5.8087 | $7 \quad 6.01 .5$ | $5 \quad 28.100$ | - 78.1377 | 29.9897 | $7 \quad 30.6261$ | 36660.00 | 37869.93 | 3427.90 |
| 199903 | 5.9380 | 0 6.4.s, 7 | $7 \quad 28.700$ | - 79.4435 | 30.5037 | $7 \quad 31.1724$ | 37416.00 | 38236.17 | 3537.89 |
| 199904 | 5.5910 | 0 5.8!: 0 | $5 \quad 26.200$ | -81.1508 | 31.1616 | 6 31.6561 | 38366.00 | 38974.79 | 3620.55 |
| 200001 | 5.9264 | 4 6.3:38 | $8 \quad 23.000$ | -86.3042 | 33.1425 | $5 \quad 33.3774$ | 40957.00 | 41247.38 | 3981.03 |
| 200002 | 5.3120 | - 5.7 :16 | $6 \quad 28.500$ | -85.9178 | 32.9917 | $7 \quad 33.0654$ | 40928.00 | 41019.47 | 3959.83 |
| 200003 | 5.6236 | 6 6.0․14 | $4 \quad 31.200$ | 87.1124 | 33.4442 | $2 \quad 33.3645$ | 41639.00 | 41539.72 | 4128.13 |
| 200004 | 6.8586 | 6 7.2\% | $8 \quad 26.000$ | 87.9798 | 33.7658 | 833.5354 | 42191.00 | 41903.13 | 4101.82 |
| 200109 | 5.8335 | 35 6.2: 8 | $8 \quad 20,900$ | -88.5736 | 33.9770 | 033.4742 | 42608.00 | 41977.50 | 4022.58 |
| 200102 | 5.2956 | 565 | $1 \quad 27.400$ | -88.3226 | 33.8589 | 3933.1476 | 42613.00 | 41717.74 | 3953.17 |
| 200103 | 6.6405 | 5 6.8in | $1 \begin{aligned} & 30.400\end{aligned}$ | -87.8854 | 33.6809 | 9 32.9265 | 42524.00 | 41571.59 | 3895.75 |
| 2001 Q 4 | 5.1642 | 26.10 .5 | $5 \quad 24.900$ | -88.1147 | 33.7531 | $31 \quad 32.9501$ | 42751.00 | 41733.94 | 3858.96 |
| 2002 Q 1 | 7.1926 | 26 8.4i:6 | $6 \quad 12.000$ | -88.4908 | 33.8741 | $41 \quad 32.9822$ | 43041.00 | 41920.47 | 3762.43 |
| 2002Q2 | 4.1520 | 20.1:13 | $3 \quad 25.300$ | - 89.2232 | 34.2035 | 35 33.0836 | 43598.00 | 42170.53 | 3759.73 |
| 200203 | 6.5708 | $888.7: 0$ | $0 \quad 29.600$ | - 88.4786 | 33.9780 | $30 \quad 32.7212$ | 43420.00 | 41813.93 | 3709.52 |
| 200204 | 5.8408 | -7.11.3 | $3 \quad 27.800$ | -88.3086 | 33.9658 | $58 \quad 32.5754$ | 43514.00 | 41732.84 | 3699.12 |
| 2003Q1 | 5.8813 | 33 7.1:1 | $: 10.900$ | -88.4494 | 34.0530 | $30 \quad 32.4157$ | 43736.00 | 41833.11 | 3558.27 |
| $2003 \mathrm{Q2}$ | 6.3898 | 8 -7.6\%9 | $9 \quad 26.500$ | -89.0008 | 34.2460 | $60 \quad 32.5471$ | 44095.00 | 41907.43 | 3571.56 |
| 200303 | 6.5460 | 80 8.5:9 | 939.600 | 00 89.8855 | 34.5756 | $56 \quad 32.7005$ | 44619.00 | 42199.29 | 3631.76 |
| 200304 | 6.1316 | 16 7.8:3 | \% 30.400 | . $00 \quad 90.9448$ | 34.9761 | 61 32.9727 | 45237.00 | 42645.84 | 3655.87 |
| $2004 \mathrm{Q1}$ | 6.2436 |  <br> 6.1 | 1511.500 | O0 92.8140 | 35.6894 | $94 \quad 33.3285$ | 46263.00 | 43202.53 | 3619.59 |


| Res Var Index Res Var Name | $\begin{gathered} 28 \\ \text { HSTS } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29 \\ \text { HSTT } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30 \\ \text { HSOLE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 31 \\ \text { HINC } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 32 \\ \mathrm{PCl} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 33 \\ \mathrm{RPCl} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 34 \\ \text { PINC } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35 \\ \text { RPINC } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 36 \\ \text { RPIR } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Description Start Year | Housing Starts. <br> Private Single <br> Family | Housing Slants Total Private | Home Sales. <br> Existing Single- <br> family units | Average <br> Housahold Income | Per Capita <br> Personal Income - <br> By Place of <br> Residence | Real Per Capita Personal Income | Personal lincome, Total, By Place of Residence | Reai Personal Income. Total | Real Income, Residence |
| Start Period | 1984 | 1: 14 | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 | Residence 1984 | come, Total 1984 | Adjustment |
| Period / Year | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 18 | 1984 4 | 1984 | 1984 |
| Period/Cycle | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | - 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 200402 |  | 8.0.13 | 4 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 2004 Q 3 | 6.8606 6.5131 | $8.0 \div 13$ | 27.600 | 94.0436 | 36.4816 | 33.4739 | 47006.00 | 43488.24 |  |
| 2004Q4 | 6.5131 7.5724 | 7.8 8.8 | 35.200 3.100 | 95.5607 | 36.7914 | 33.9141 | 47897.00 | 44151.21 | 3669.20 |
| 200501 | 6.7195 | 7.71 | 31.100 27.478 | 97.7027 97.9138 | 37.6477 | 34.4361 | 49113.00 | 44823.44 | $3663.36$ |
| 2005Q2 | 6.3177 | 7.6 | 24.478 24.277 | 97.9138 98.1333 | 37.7651 38.1804 | 34.3519 34.4489 | 49368.00 | 44906.13 | 3692.15 |
| 2005Q3 | 7.3404 | $8.01 \cdot 14$ | 21.449 | 98.9810 | 38.1804 38.5325 | 34.4489 34.4514 | 50014.00 | 45125.96 45227.37 | 3634.33 |
| 2006Q1 | 5.8483 | $6.8 \cdot 6$ | 18.951 | 99.9991 | 38.9722 | 34.5998 | 1279.00 | 45227.37 | 3667.54 |
| 2006Q2 | 5.6963 | 6.7 | 21.592 | 100.9841 | 39.3961 | 34.8162 | 51960.65 | 45920.05 | 3689.73 |
| 2006Q3 | 5.2100 | $6.01 \cdot 18$ | 19.875 | 101.9243 | 39.8146 | 35.0348 | 52643.75 | 45920.05 46323.71 | 3686.36 |
| 2006Q4 | 4.9675 | $5.8!\cdot 0$ 5.8 | 18.720 | 102.9689 | 40.2719 | 35.3306 | 53385.99 | 46333.71 4683.47 | 3709.15 3744.97 |
| 2007Q1 | 4.9417 | 5.8 | 18.280 18089 | 103.8530 | 40.6575 | 35.5268 | 54038.56 | 47219.21 | 3744.97 3770.02 |
| 200702 | 4.9139 | 5.88 | 18.089 13.281 | 104.6593 | 41.0119 | 35.6855 | 54651.31 | 47553.56 | 3770.02 3783.91 |
| 200703 | 4.9027 | 5.8: 8 | 17.465 | 105.5991 | 41.4217 | 35.8850 | 55340.93 | 47957.07 | 3806.35 |
| 200704 | 4.9097 | $5.8 \cdot 13$ | 17.601 | 106.5054 107.4416 | 41.8183 42.2311 | 36.0824 | 56018.30 | 48334.68 | 3829.24 |
| 200804 | 4.8897 | $5.81,2$ | 17.314 | 107.4416 108.4192 | 42.2311 42.6628 | 36.2674 36.4573 | 56720.53 | 48710.65 | 3849.47 |
| 200802 | 4.8799 | 5.8\%\%9 | 17.468 | 109.5337 | 42.6628 43.1453 | 36.4573 36.7091 | 57451.40 | 49094.87 | 3869.43 |
| 200803 | 4.8711 | 5.8:136 | 16.733 | 110.5659 | 43.1453 | 36.7091 38.9278 | 58254.23 | 48564.14 | 3893.40 |
| 2008Q4 | 4.8671 | $5.8 \div 3$ | 17.057 | 110.5659 111.6275 | 43.5956 44.0590 | 36.9278 37.1641 | 59019.00 | 49992.21 | 3916.70 |
| 200901 | 4.8189 | 5.7: $\% 7$ | 16.848 | \$12.6757 | 44.0590 44.5199 | 37.1641 37.3872 | 59805.02 | 50446.07 | 3939.45 |
| $2009 \mathrm{Q2}$ | 4.8081 | 5.71,35 | 17.233 | 113.8423 | 44.5199 45.0267 | 37.3872 37.6601 | 60591.38 | 50883.82 | 3961.10 |
| 2009 Q 3 | 4.8185 | 5.712 | 17.059 | 114.9772 | 45.5200 | 37.6601 37.8197 | 61444.03 | 51391.41 | 3984.24 |
| 2009Q4 | 4.8554 | 5.8i:14 | 17.307 | 116.0481 | 45.9893 | 37.8197 38.1593 | 62281.66 | 51882.71 | 4007.44 |
| 2010Q2 | 4.8329 | $5.7: 8$ | 1\%.634 | 116.9834 | 46.98053 | 38.1593 38.3328 | 63090.19 63829.30 | 52348.66 52725.78 | 4031.01 |
| 201003 | 4.8663 | 5.7 \% 6 | 17.910 | 118.0415 | 46.8661 | 38.6501 | 64823.30 | 52725.78 53164.85 | 4052.19 |
| 201004 | 4.8990 4.9244 | 5.8:19 | 18.174 | 119.0558 | 47.3079 | 38.7452 | 65406.72 | 53568. 20 | 4073.97 |
| 201101 | 4.9410 | 5.8:35 | 12.391 | 120.0418 | 47.7408 | 38.9219 | 66171.02 | 53947.58 | 4085.94 |
| 201102 | 4.9450 | 5.8:12 $5.8 \% 8$ | 18.554 | 120.9872 | 48.1598 | 39.0804 | 66819.45 | 54303.37 | 4117.84 |
| 2011Q3 | 4.9376 | $5.8 \% \% 8$ $5.8!\cdot 1$ | 18.637 | 121.9882 | 48.5948 | 38.2524 | 67683.30 | 54679.21 | 4140.30 |
| 201104 | 4.9283 |  | 18.647 | 123.0072 | 49.0338 | 39.4186 | 68469.27 | 55042.75 | 4163.99 |
| 2012Q1 | 4.9116 | 5.8 .816 | 18.647 | 124.0262 | 49.4746 | 39.5836 | 69250.67 | 55405.89 | 4187.01 |
| 2012Q2 | 4.8937 | $5.80 \% 3$ | 18.607 | 124.9539 | 49.8783 | 38.7188 | 69984.78 | 55728.78 | 4210.73 |
| 2012 Q 3 | 4.8797 | 5.71:12 $5.7 / 12$ | 18.567 <br> 18.550 | 126.0127 | 50.3347 | 39.8864 | 70783.39 | 56098.41 | 4234.99 |
| 2012Q4 | 4.8779 | 5.7.12 5.71:5 | 18.550 18.555 | 127.0194 | 50.7665 | 40.0324 | 71570.99 | 56437.98 | 4258.85 |
| 2013 Q 1 | 4.8814 | 5.71 .5 5.7744 | 18.555 18.594 | 128.0604 | 54.2143 | 40.1866 | 72374.36 | 56790.38 | 4283.25 4307.40 |
| 201302 | 4.8803 | $5.77 \cdot 3$ 5.7:31 | 18.594 18.606 | 129.0894 130.2126 | 51.6551 | 40.3320 | 73171.32 | 57131.75 | 4337.40 4332.02 |
| 2013Q3 | 4.8854 | 5.7! 11 | 18.653 | 130.2126 131.3412 | 52.1348 | 40.5080 | 74026.86 | 57517.81 | 4357.30 |
| 201304 | 4.9000 | $5.7: 39$ | 18.754 | 131.3412 132.4675 | 52.6153 53.0950 | 40.6869 | 74881.53 | 57805.09 | 4383.15 |
| 2014Q1 | 4.9187 | 5.7919 | 19.881 | 132.4675 133.5805 | 53.0950 | 40.8653 | 75738.48 | 58293.20 | 4409.43 |
| $2014 \mathrm{Q2}$ | 4.9302 | 5.7305 | 18.962 | 133.5805 134.7604 | 53.5683 54.0734 | 41.0320 | 76580.01 | 51666.06 | 4434.95 |
| 201403 | 4.9386 | 5.8:15 | 19.023 | 134.7604 135.9379 | 54.0734 54.5760 | 41.2202 | 77480.44 | 59074.07 | 4460.81 |
| 201404 | 4.9618 | 5.8:52 | 19.170 | 135.9379 137.1329 | 54.5760 | 41.4084 | 78381.85 | 58471.92 | 4486.74 |
| 201501 | 4.9911 | 5.8: 10 | 19.349 | 137.1329 138.3356 | 55.0883 | 41.6060 | 79290.47 | 58884.88 | 4513.36 |
| 2015 Q2 | 5.0203 | $5.8!19$ | 19.527 | 138.3356 139.5998 | 55.6071 | 41.8033 | 80212.28 | 60300.56 | 4540.03 |
| 201503 | 5.0512 | 5.9:\% 4 | 19.715 | 140.9048 | 56.1530 56.7135 | 42.0159 | 81176.67 | 60738.61 | 4566.74 |
| 2015Q4 | 5.0748 | 5.91130 | +9.861 | 142.1994 | 56.7135 57.2712 | 42.2379 | 82165.65 | 61183.62 | 4593.52 |
| 201601 | 5.0844 | $5.9<23$ | 10.925 | 142.1994 143.4817 | 57.2712 57.8276 | 42.4619 42.6778 | 83154.42 | 61652.17 | 4621.28 |
| 2016Q2 | 5.0827 | 5.91174 | 19.926 | 144.7927 | 57.8276 58.3988 | 42.6778 | 84145.34 | 62100.84 | 4648.30 |
| 2016Q3 | 5.0786 | 5.9!:.8 | 10.919 | 146.1126 | 68.3988 58.9756 | 42.8982 | 85161.52 | 62558.83 | 4674.94 |
| 2017Q1 | 5.0814 | 5.90:12 | 15.937 | 147.4974 | 59.5768 | 43.1218 43.3584 | 88187.70 87253.68 | 63018.51 | 4701.37 |
| 2017Q2 | 5.0818 5.0756 | 6.00:8 | 0.000 | 148.8861 | 60.9785 | 43.5679 | 87253.68 88324.48 | 63500.81 | 4727.90 |
| 2017Q3 | 5.0701 | $6.01 \% 5$ | 0.000 | 150.3179 | 60.7983 | 43.7821 | 89425.87 | 64397.46 | 4752.14 |
| 2017 Q4 | 5.0726 | $6.0 \cdot 1$ 6.0 .17 | 0.000 | 151.7008 | 61.3993 | 43.9791 | 90505.91 | 64827.58 | 4776.07 |
| 2018Q1 | 5.0738 | 6.0 .37 $6.0 .2 \cdot 5$ | 0.000 | 153.2085 | 62.0514 | 44.2088 | 91665.63 | 64827.58 65307.63 | 4799.81 |
| 2018Q2 | 5.0697 | 6.0 .18 6.0 .8 | 0.000 0.000 | 154.6120 | 62.6599 | 44.4054 | 92765.33 | 65740.26 | 4823.11 |
| 2018Q3 | 5.0666 | 6.0 .8 6.0 :. 0 | 0.000 0.000 | 156.1472 | 63.3229 | 44.6366 | 93950.03 | 65720.26 <br> 68.83 | 4847.02 4870.37 |
| 201804 | 5.0682 | 6.0 .15 | 0.000 | 157.5787 159.1478 | 63.9446 | 44.8325 | 95074.52 | 68658.19 | 4870.37 4894.05 |
| 201901 | 5.0671 | 6.0.:0 | 0.000 9.000 | 159.1478 160.6584 | 64.6275 | 45.0612 | 96287.00 | 67140.84 | 4894.05 |
| 201902 | 5.0625 | 6.6: 8 | 0.000 | 160.6584 162.1815 | 65.2748 65.9327 | 45.2676 | 97465.82 | 67591.87 | 4817.01 4840.32 |
| 201903 | 5.0605 | 6.6 | 4.000 | 182.1815 163.7419 | 65.9327 66.6071 | 45.4740 | 98657.71 | 68044.53 | 489.32 4963.66 |
| 201904 | 5.0601 | $6.0 \cdot 12$ | 0.000 | 163.7419 165.4136 | 66.6071 67.3270 | 45.6893 | 99867.14 | 68504.14 | 4963.66 4986.87 |
| 202001 | 5.0533 | $6.05 \% 1$ | - .0 .000 | 165.4136 167.0245 | 67.3270 68.0242 | 45.9323 | 101148.31 | 69006.75 | 5010.83 |
| 2020Q2 | 5.0485 | 6.0633 | 0.000 | 167.0245 168.5589 | 68.0242 68.6900 | 46.1600 | 102401.85 | 69488.12 | 5035.18 |
| 202003 | 5.0475 | 6.0518 | 0.000 | - $\begin{array}{r}168.5089 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 68.6900 69.3457 | 46.3608 | 103611.49 | 69930.28 | 5059.53 |
| 2020Q4 | 5.0421 | 6.0\%/1 | 0.000 | - 171.6797 | 79.3457 | 46.5479 | 104790.83 | 70340.16 | 5082.89 |
|  |  |  | 0.000 |  | 70.0424 | 46.7541 | 106035.97 | 70780.23 | 5105.92 |


| Res Var Index Res Var Name | $\begin{gathered} 37 \\ \text { RPTR } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 38 \\ \text { PITP } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 39 \\ \text { TPTR } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 40 \\ \text { PiNF } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 41 \\ \text { INDX } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 42 \\ \text { PRCG } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 43 \\ \text { PRCR } \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{44}{\text { EGYG }}$ | $\begin{gathered} 45 \\ \text { EGYR } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Description Slart Year | Real Nonfarm Propriators Income | Personal Incon: Total Proprietor Income, | Real Total Proprietors Income | Personal income. <br> Nonfam <br> Proprietors <br> Income | industrial <br> Production Index. Total | New Hampshire Natual Gas City Gate Price | New Hampshire Residential Natural Gas Price | New Hampshire Natural Gas Consumption by All | Now Hampshire Resididential Natural Gas |
| Start Period | 1984 | 1934 | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 | Consumption |
| Period/Year | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5984 |
| Period / Cycle | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| $1984 \mathrm{Q1}$ | 1569.29 | 1009:10 | 1577.10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 196402 | 1574.33 |  |  | 1004.00 101700 |  | 3.68 | 6.5255 | 4197.21 | 484.44 |
| 1984Q3 | 1569.94 | $102 \%$ \%0 | 15877.52 | 1017.00 1022.00 |  | 4.03 | 7.9521 | 519.21 | 110.31 |
| 188404 | 1589.17 | 1046.10 | 157962 15960 | 1022.00 1041.00 |  | 4.26 | 7.0481 | 643.30 | 204.73 |
| 1985Q1 | 1748.93 | $1166 \% 0$ | 15961.01 | 1041.00 1158.00 |  | 4.39 | 6.9658 | 2146.38 | 805.14 |
| 198502 | 1788.23 | 1206. 30 | 1798.72 | 1158.00 1193.00 |  | 4.43 | 6.5717 | 1351.51 | 489.84 |
| 1985Q3 | 1828.91 | 1235:10 | 1839.33 | 1193.00 1228.00 |  | 4.40 | 8.1352 | 623.48 | 114.10 |
| 198504 | 1866.36 | 1270.10 | 1876.70 | 1228.00 1263.00 |  | 4.30 | 7.1575 | 726.49 | 204,31 |
| 1986Q1 | 1888.23 | 1294.00 | 1898.50 | 1263.00 1287.00 |  | 4.15 | 6.9209 | 2110.15 | 873.81 |
| 198602 | 1953.08 | 1339.00 | 1898.50 <br>  <br> 1834 | 1287.00 1332.00 |  | 3.97 3 | 6.4082 | 1298.05 | 531.94 |
| 198603 | 1990.89 | 1377.00 | 2003.99 | 1332.00 1368.00 |  | 3.78 | 8.0455 | 570.83 | 123.16 |
| 1986Q4 | 2027.37 | 141\% 60 | 2040.37 | 1403.00 |  | 3.57 | 7.0846 | 684.44 | 220.88 |
| 1987Q1 | 2170.50 | 154's:0 | 2204.79 | 1519.00 |  | 3.37 | 6.3498 | 2235.85 | 963.84 |
| 1987 Q2 | 2290.82 | 1644:40 | 2324.82 | 1617.00 |  | 3.20 | 5.8229 | 1376.75 | 582.92 |
| 1987Q3 | 2379.88 | 1724.00 | 2417.75 | 1697.00 |  | 3.06 | 7.3999 | 587.69 | 134,91 |
| 1987 Q4 | 2440.52 | 1784.10 | 2480.84 | 1755.00 |  | . 98 | 6.4818 | 724.42 | 240.56 |
| 198841 | 2494.00 | 1832.100 | 2525.71 | 1809.00 |  | 6 | 6.1953 | 2398.33 | 1019.61 |
| 188802 | 2496.69 | 1854.100 | 2528.06 | 1831.00 |  | 2.97 | 535 | 1474.62 | 613.78 |
| 198803 | 2499.56 | 1886.\%0 | 2541.33 | 1855.00 |  | 3.01 | 1018 | 641.68 | 142.46 |
| 1988G4 | 2522.08 | 1919.40 | 2559.45 | 1890.00 |  | 3.06 3.14 | 894 | 777.82 | 250.68 |
| 198901 | 2537.35 | 1940.60 | 2558.46 | 1924.00 |  | 3.14 3.45 | 600 | 2454.40 | 1044.00 |
| 198902 | 2473.48 | 1914.00 | 2491.70 | 1900.00 |  | 3.45 | 100 | 1670.19 | 686.00 |
| 188903 | 2444.89 | 1904.10 | 2463.00 | 1890.00 |  | 2.98 | 5000 | 683.90 | 155.00 |
| 188904 | 2406.89 | 1891.10 | 2426.13 | 18975.00 |  | 3.17 3.29 | 6.8600 | 80 | 274.00 |
| 189001 | 2233.99 | 1790.00 | 2263.07 | 1876.00 1767.00 |  | 3.29 $\mathbf{3 . 8 6}$ | 6.7800 | 2614.37 | 1118.00 |
| 199002 | 2180.19 | 176:10 | 2205.21 |  | 65.76 66.01 | 3.86 <br> 3.03 | 7.7700 | 1578.08 | 655.00 |
| 199003 | 2149.47 | 175410 | 2172.95 | 1740.00 | 66.01 65.79 | $\begin{array}{r}3.03 \\ 3.06 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 8.3200 | 670.47 | 145.00 |
| 1990 Q4 | 2089.08 | 173 \%.60 | 2111.03 | 1713.00 | 65.79 63.64 | 3.06 <br> 3.50 | 7.7700 | 789.90 | 203.00 |
| 199101 | 2028.71 | 1697. 10 | 2054.13 | 17376.00 | 63.64 81.26 | 3.50 3.72 | 8.8700 | 2239.51 | 905.40 |
| 199102 | 2037.99 | 1716.00 | 2065.68 | 16763.00 | 81.26 81.45 | 3.72 <br> 2.87 | 7.2200 | 1472.14 | 598.73 |
| 189103 | 2067.89 | 1747.00 | 2088.21 | 1693.00 173000 | 61.45 62.53 | 2.87 <br> 282 | 7.8800 | 870.34 | 141.29 |
| 199104 | 2072.86 | 1770. 10 | 2098.95 | 1748.00 | 61.53 63.34 | 2.82 3.40 | 7.1500 | 780.03 | 248.54 |
| 199201 | 2140.45 | 184\%.10 | 2173.40 | 1789.00 | 63.34 62.36 | 3.40 3.60 | 6.9000 | 2563.68 | 1032.21 |
| 189202 | 2187.64 | 190\%.100 | 2225.05 | 1819.00 1871.00 | 62.36 63.57 | 3.60 3.28 | 6.8400 | 1886.90 | 785.87 |
| 1992 Q 3 | 2231.69 | 195\% 0 | 2256.53 | 1922.00 | 63.57 64.74 | 3.28 3.42 | 9.0900 | 771.86 | 159.16 |
| 198204 | 2314.61 | 203: 10 | 2343.45 | 1922.00 2006.00 | 64.74 64.92 | 3.42 3.89 | 8.0900 | 916.66 | 287.60 |
| 199301 | 2338.26 | 2050110 | 2353.18 | 2006.00 2037.00 | 64.92 66.10 | 3.89 3.59 | 7.8600 | 2710.54 | 1048.26 |
| 199392 | 2367.75 | 2091.110 | 2384.86 | 2037.00 2076.00 | 66.10 67.06 | 3.59 | 5.9100 | 1844.28 | 721.41 |
| 1993 Q3 | 2414.21 | 2139.10 | 24:11.26 | 2076.00 | 67.06 67.85 | 3.91 4.44 | 8.6000 | 820.59 | 148.69 |
| 199304 | 2395.89 | 2141 ;0 | 24:0.77 | 2124.00 2119.00 | 67.85 69.27 | 4.44 3 | 7.0900 | 1097.95 | 327.66 |
| 199401 | 2316.60 | 2071.10 | 2332.36 | 2119.00 2057.00 | 69.27 70.80 | 3.72 3.94 | 8.1500 | 3459.99 | 1293.93 |
| 1394 Q2 | 2408.21 | 2164.10 | 2423.89 | 2057.00 | 70.80 72.37 | 3.94 3.38 | 6.5700 | 1799.34 | -664.72 |
| 199403 | 2395.91 | 2170,10 | 2409.24 | 2150.00 2158.00 | 72.37 73.56 | 3.38 2.94 | 9.4200 | 785.81 | 136.47 |
| $1994 \mathrm{Q4}$ | 2420.64 | 2202.00 | 2433.90 | 2158.00 2190.00 | 73.56 75.83 | 2.84 3.09 | 7.7800 73100 | 957.73 | 275.28 |
| 199501 | 2333.10 | 2124.10 | 2336.40 | 2190.00 2121.00 | 75.83 77.34 | 3.09 3.37 | 7.3100 5.6500 | 2671.03 | 1012.54 |
| 198502 | 2310.42 | 2194.00 | 2312.61 | 2121.00 2112.00 | 77.34 77.89 | 3.37 3.38 | 5.6500 | 1833.73 | -688.29 |
| 198503 | 2287.56 | 210300 | 2200.82 | 2120.00 | 77.89 78.69 | 3.38 3.86 | 8.1600 | 829.71 | 1 159.54 |
| 199504 | 2311.66 | 2135.00 | 2316.00 | 2100.00 2131.00 | 78.69 80.22 | 3.86 3.31 | 7.2400 | 880.60 | 253.66 |
| 199601 | 2362.06 | 2196.100 | 2369.61 | 2131.00 2191.00 | 80.22 80.77 | 3.31 | 7.0900 | 3136.33 | 1192.56 |
| 199602 | 2440.23 | $228 \pm .10$ | 24¢7.72 | 2191.00 2278.00 | 80.77 83.09 | 4.06 | 5.9400 | 1881.34 | 697.68 |
| 198603 | 2518.00 | 2365 | 25\%3.34 | 2278.00 236000 | 83.09 85.04 | 4.30 | 8.4500 | 786.42 | - 159.34 |
| 199604 | 2526.71 | 2396.10 | 2533.07 | 2384.00 | 85.04 86.24 | 4.45 | 7.0500 | 1112.44 | 311.86 |
| 199701 | 2575.41 | 2442.10 | 2576.47 | 2384.00 244.00 | 86.24 88.29 | 4.12 | 9.1000 | - 2918.69 | - 1060.97 |
| 199702 | 2606.33 | 2476.60 | 26.17 .39 | 2475.00 | 88.28 90.66 | 4.45 3.72 | 6.6200 9.0100 | - 1966.48 | 744.23 |
| 199703 | 2629.75 | 2505.00 | 26.30 .81 | 2504.00 | 90.66 93.83 | 3.72 4.25 | 9.0100 7.4700 | 821.65 1103.25 | 160,23 |
| 1987 C4 | 2670.20 | 255200 | 2671.24 | 2551.00 | 96.88 | 4.25 3.80 | 7.4700 8.1900 | 1103.25 3049.76 | 6 $\quad 326.82$ |
| 1998Q1 | 2811.42 | 2690.10 | 2813.51 | 2688.00 | 99.01 | 3.80 3.83 | 8.1900 6.3800 | 1103.2 <br> 1753.76 | - 1140.13 |
| 1998Q2 | 2896.49 | 2776.10 | 2898.58 | 2774.00 | 99.29 | 3.93 3.63 | 6.3800 9.0300 | 1753.26 846.24 | $4 \quad 642.78$ |
| 1988Q3 | 3024.31 | 2909 90 | 30.7 .43 | 2906.00 | 100.06 | 3.82 | 9.0300 7.2900 | $\begin{array}{r}846.24 \\ \hline 1033.17\end{array}$ | - 169.30 |
| 99909 | 3137.60 3092.45 | 3029.\%0 | 3140.72 | 3026.00 | 101.13 | 3.54 | 7.4400 | - 3303.81 | 293.51 1245.59 |
| 1999Q2 | 3142.24 | 3067.00 | 3101.76 3151.49 | $\begin{array}{r}2990.00 \\ 3058 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 102.18 | - 3.52 | - 5.6700 | - 1820.87 | 71245.59 <br> 72.39 |
| 199903 | 3177.15 | 3118.00 | 3186.35 | 3058.00 | 103.52 104.21 | - 3.81 | 8.8000 73800 | - 799.17 | 151.81 |
| 199904 | 3257.89 | 3215.0 | 3266.02 | 3207.00 | 104.21 106.74 | 5.84 4.64 | + $\quad 7.3800$ | - 1099.70 | - 325.47 |
| 200091 | 3441.23 | 3418 no | 3442.23 | 3417.00 | 108.74 | 4.64 4.29 | $\begin{array}{r}7.0600 \\ \hline 7.8400\end{array}$ | - $\quad 3728.70$ | - 1315.88 |
| 2000Q2 | 3497.80 | 3491.10 | 3409.80 | 3490.00 | 108.7 110.81 | 4.19 4.54 | $\begin{array}{r}7.8400 \\ \hline 12.4900\end{array}$ | - 2046.72 | 2631.76 |
| 2000Q3 | 3508.61 | 3517.00 | 3509.61 | 3517.00 | 110.81 111.60 | 4.59 <br> 6.67 | 12.4900 <br> 10.9900 | - $\begin{aligned} & 1083.48 \\ & 1254.81\end{aligned}$ | $8 \quad 178.26$ |
| 2000 Q 4 | 3515.85 | 3540.110 | 3515.85 | 3540.00 | 111.60 | 6.67 6.94 | - 10.9900 | - $\begin{aligned} & 1254.81 \\ & 3171.00\end{aligned}$ | 1302.05 |
| $2001 \mathrm{Q1}$ | 3483.68 | 353.91:0 | 3481.70 | 3536.00 | 110.80 | 6.97 | $\begin{array}{r}11.9400 \\ \hline 11.9800\end{array}$ | - 3171.00 | - 1254.71 |
| 2001Q2 | 3508.70 | 358:00 | 3505.77 | 3584.00 | 110.80 | 5.38 | 11.88800 <br> 16.6700 | 2280.00 1003.00 | - 734.17 |
| 2001Q3 | 3545.77 | 3624.100 | 35.12.83 | 3627.00 | 108.35 104.37 | 4.37 3.22 | 16.6700 <br> 13.0000 | 1003.00 1589.00 | ( 152.85 |
| 2001 Q 4 | 3548.52 | 3633 . 0 | 3546.57 | 3635.00 | 100.55 | -3.22 <br> 2.83 | - $\begin{array}{r}13.0000 \\ 9.4600\end{array}$ | - 1588.00 | O 300.26 |
| 2002Q1 | 3651.40 | 373:..10 | 36:14.84 | 3749.00 | 100.55 99.43 | 2.83 3.90 | 9.4600 10.0500 | - 2917.00 | 1031.54 |
| 002 O 2 | 3643.66 | 3745:10 | 3620.45 | 3767.00 | 99,43 $100: 24$ | 3.90 4.29 | 10.0500 12300 | - 2178.00 | -633.5i |
| 2002Q3 | 3592.99 | 3725.00 | 3587.22 | 3731.00 | $100: 24$ 100.88 | $\begin{array}{ll}4.29 \\ 4 & 4.51\end{array}$ | 12.2300 11.4100 | - 1657.00 | O 212.02 |
| 2002Q4 | 3611.85 | 3771.00 | 3616.64 | 3766.00 | $\begin{array}{r}100.88 \\ \hline 9.41\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll}4.51 \\ 4 & 4.84\end{array}$ | - $\begin{array}{r}11.4100 \\ 9.8900\end{array}$ | - 1038.00 | O 272.71 |
| 00301 | 3560.18 | 373810 | 355.6 .27 | 3740.00 | 99.41 98.19 | 4.94 <br> 9.20 | - 9.8900 | - 5812.00 | $0 \quad 1344.75$ |
| 003Q2 | 3634.29 | 3823.10 | 3633.34 | 3824.00 | 98.192 | 9.20 4.63 | 10.6700 16.9500 | - 3959.00 | 0827.12 |
| 003Q3 | 3719.71 | 3932.:0 | 3719.71 | 3934.00 393.00 | 98.82 100.50 | 4.63 <br> 7.76 | - 16.9500 | - 4097.00 | - 171.71 |
| 003Q4 | 3760.51 | 3990.10 | 376145 | 3938.00 | 100.50 | 7.76 <br> 8.56 | 13.4700 <br> 13.6700 | - 4882.00 | $0 \quad 317.17$ |
| 2004Q1 | 3813.83 | 4080: 0 | 38:5.70 | 4084.00 | 102.49 | 7.56 <br> 6.02 | - 13.6700 | - $\quad 5504.00$ | $0 \quad 1305.83$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | -6.02 | - 43.6200 | 6282.00 | 0 707.83 |


| Res Var Index Res Var Name | $\begin{gathered} 37 \\ \text { RPTR } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 38 \\ \text { PITP } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 39 \\ \text { TPTR } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 40 \\ \text { PINF } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 41 \\ \text { INDX } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 42 \\ \text { PRCG } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 43 \\ \text { PRCR } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 44 \\ \text { EGYG } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 45 \\ E G Y R \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Description | Reat Nonfarm Proprietors Income | Personai incom. Total Proprietor: income. | Real Total Proprietors income | Personal income. <br> Nonfarm <br> Proprielors <br> Income | Industrial <br> Production index. Total | New Hampshire Natual Gas Clity Gate Price | New Hampshire <br> Residential <br> Natural Gas Prica | New Hampshire Natural Gas Consumption by All | New Hampshire Residential Natural Gas |
| Start Period | 1984 | 19.4 | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 | Natural Gas Prica |  | Consumption |
| Period / Year | 4 | 4 | - 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | - 4 | 1884 4 | 1984 |
| Period/ Cycha | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | - 4 |
|  | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | - 4 |
| 200402 | 3936.57 | $4258{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{O}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2004Q3 | 3993.22 | 4333: 0 | 39.3 .35 39.9 .14 | 4255.00 4332.00 | 105.50 | 5.99 | 18.3500 | 4222.00 | 162.72 |
| 200404 | 3991.73 | 4364 , | 3841.73 | 4332.00 4364.00 | 107.73 108.05 | 7.63 9.07 | $16.3800$ | 3269.00 | 260.08 |
| $2005 \mathrm{Q1}$ | 4053.27 | 4455.0 | $40 \div 2.36$ | 4364.00 4456.00 | 108.05 109.52 | 9.07 8.21 | 13.2700 14.6500 | 6934.00 | 1345.51 |
| 2005Q2 | 4123.36 | $4566 . i 0$ | 4119.75 | 4570.00 | 109.52 110.23 | 8.21 9.65 | 14.6600 17.3000 | $5693.00$ $6050.00$ | 746.12 |
| 2005Q3 | 4159.29 4178.91 | 4647., 9 | 415.4 .82 | 4652.00 | 109.68 | 9.65 12.75 | 17.3000 18.5300 | $\begin{aligned} & 6050.00 \\ & 6050.00 \end{aligned}$ | 182.29 |
| 2006Q1 | 4178.91 4122.75 | 4703.0 | 41/5.36 | 4707.00 | 111.33 | 12.29 | 17.0100 | $6050.00$ | 245.80 1183.13 |
| 200602 | 4122.75 4139.63 | 4663.2 | 4121.45 | 4665.08 | 111.77 | 12.35 |  |  | 1183.13 |
| 2006Q3 | 4182.86 | 4702.11 | 4138.13 | 4704.40 | 112.82 | 12.99 |  |  |  |
| 2006Q4 | 4209.51 | 4765.0.5 | 4180.99 | 4767.78 | 113.39 | 12.99 |  |  |  |
| 2007Q1 | 4233.43 | 4863.3 | 4207.65 | 4817.44 | 112.98 | 13.09 |  |  |  |
| 2007Q2 | 4262.85 | 4917.16 | 4231.71 4261.43 | 4865.30 4919.19 | 143.27 | 13.29 |  |  |  |
| 2007Q3 | 4294.27 | $4975 . \leftarrow 5$ | 4261.43 4293.18 | 4919.19 4976.92 | 113.64 | 13.37 |  |  |  |
| 2007Q4 | 4331.61 | 5042.i:8 | $42 ¢ 3.38$ 43.2057 | 4976.92 5043.89 | 114.11 | 13.48 |  |  |  |
| 2008Q1 | 4374.07 | 5117 | 43.0 .57 4373.14 | 5043.89 | 114.74 | 13.60 |  |  |  |
| 2008 Q 2 | 4423.71 | $5198 \cdots$ | 4373.14 4422.85 | 5118.59 5199.32 | 415.37 | 13.70 |  |  |  |
| 200803 | 4467.48 | 5273.\%2 | 4422.85 44659 | 5199.32 | 115.93 | 13.81 |  |  |  |
| 2008Q4 | 4515.12 | 5351.4 | 4460.69 4514.42 | 5274.14 | 116.57 | 13.81 |  |  |  |
| 2009Q1 | 4568.64 | 5439.3 | 4514.42 | 5352.78 | 117.32 | 14.02 |  |  |  |
| 2009Q2 | 4625.99 | $5530 \% 1$ | 4625.43 | 5440.24 | 118.22 | 14.12 |  |  |  |
| 2009Q3 | 4672.41 | $5608 .: 7$ | $46: 5.43$ $46 ; 1.88$ | 5530.88 | 119.11 | 14.23 |  |  |  |
| 2009Q4 | 4799.52 | 5687.14 | 4711. 03 | 5608.91 5687.93 | 119.99 | 14.33 |  |  |  |
| 2010Q1 | 4765.16 | 5768. i 4 | 471 ¢\%. 74 | 5687.93 5768.66 | 120.99 | 14.44 |  |  |  |
| 201002 | 4818.34 | 5857.: $\boldsymbol{r}$ | 48:/.95 | 5768.66 5857.74 | 121.97 | 14.54 |  |  |  |
| 201003 | 4862.76 | 5937.u0 | $48: 7.95$ 4862.41 | 5857.74 5937.43 | 122.89 | 14.65 |  |  |  |
| 2010Q4 | 4907.73 | 6019.73 | 489.2 .41 | 5937.43 6019 | 123.80 | 14.75 |  |  |  |
| 2014Q1 | 4955.73 | 6106.12 | 4907.41 4955.44 | 6019.73 6107.07 | 124.84 | 14.86 |  |  |  |
| 201102 | 5002.42 | 6192. ${ }^{\text {a }} 1$ | 595.44 | 6107.07 6193.03 | 125.89 | 14.96 |  |  |  |
| 2011Q3 | 5042.95 | 6272.:8 | 5002.16 5042.72 | 6193.03 | 126.96 | 15.07 |  |  |  |
| 2011Q4 | 5086.14 | 6356.19 | 5042.72 | 6273.07 | 128.06 | 15.17 |  |  |  |
| 2012Q1 | 5131.01 | $6443 . \because 2$ | 5085.93 51.30 .81 | 6357.05 | 129.14 | 15.27 |  |  |  |
| 2012Q2 | 5176.27 | 6531.: 7 | 51:6.09 | 6443.57 6532.20 | 130.27 | 15.38 |  |  |  |
| 2012Q3 | 5216.80 | 6615.10 | 516.09 $52 \mathrm{if.64}$ | 6532.20 | 131.45 | 15.48 |  |  |  |
| 201204 | 5259.15 | 6702.3 | 5215.64 521.1 .00 | 6615.61 6702.32 | 132.64 | 15.58 |  |  |  |
| 2013 ar | 5303.14 | $6791: 1$ | 53.1 .00 5000 | 6702.32 6791.98 | 133.84 | 15.69 |  |  |  |
| $2013 \mathrm{Q2}$ | 5347.81 | 6882: 1 | 5367.69 | 6791.98 6882.76 | 135.04 | 15.80 |  |  |  |
| 2013Q3 | 5389.32 | 6969.. | 5367.09 5261.21 | 6882.76 6969.34 | 136.25 | 15.90 |  |  |  |
| 201304 | 5430.65 | 7055.4 | 54,\%, 51 | 6969.34 7055.87 | 137.47 | 16.01 |  |  |  |
| 201401 | 5477.32 | 7150.17 | 54.7. 54.24 | 7055.87 | 138.82 | 16.11 |  |  |  |
| $2014 \mathrm{Q2}$ | 5525.82 | 7248.:3 | 55\% 5.74 | 7150.78 724886 | 140.39 | 16.22 |  |  |  |
| $2014{ }^{\text {Q3 }}$ | 5568.55 | 7339.5 | 55ers 48 | 7246.86 7339.14 | 141.82 | 16.32 |  |  |  |
| 201404 | 5613.22 | 7432. ${ }^{\text {? }}$ | 561 ¢. 15 | 7339.14 7432.16 | 143.36 | 16.43 |  |  |  |
| 201501 | 5663.94 | 7534.:4 | 5613.15 568.88 | 7432.16 7534.22 | 144.94 | 16.53 |  |  |  |
| 2015Q2 | 5714.26 | 7636: 7 | 571.1.21 | 7534.22 7636.94 | 146.46 | 16.64 |  |  |  |
| 201503 | 5760.95 | 7735.: 6 | 571. 21 57 ì. 91 | 7636.94 7735.32 | 148.08 | 16.74 |  |  |  |
| 2015Q4 | 5810.50 | 7836: ${ }^{\text {/ }}$ | 58:0.46 | 7735.32 | 149.72 | 16.85 |  |  |  |
| 2016Q1 | 5865.79 | 7947.:9 | 5805.76 | 7837.02 | 151.42 | 16.85 |  |  |  |
| 201602 | 5920.40 | 8059 : 1 | 5920.37 | 8059.46 | 153.21 | 17.06 |  |  |  |
| 201603 | 5970.00 | 8164.68 | 5959.97 | 8059.46 8154.91 | 155.03 | 17.16 |  |  |  |
| 2016Q4 | 6022.99 | 8275.98 | $602 \% 97$ | 88275.91 | 156.90 | 17.27 |  |  |  |
| 2017Q1 | 5082.50 | 8401.14 | 602.97 $60: 32.48$ | 8275.92 8401.67 | 158.78 | 17.37 |  |  |  |
| 2017 Q 2 | 6142.63 | 8529 | 61-2. 61 | 8401.67 8529.99 | 160.34 | 17.47 |  |  |  |
| 2017Q3 | 6204.05 | $8661 .: 6$ | $61 \cdots 2.61$ 620.1 | 8529.99 | 161.65 | 17.58 |  |  |  |
| 2017Q4 | 6267.69 | 8797 | 6267.67 | 8661.49 | 163.39 | 17.68 |  |  |  |
| $2018 \mathrm{Q1}$ | 6332.56 | 8935.8 | 6207.67 | 8797.31 8935.81 | 164.98 | 17.78 |  |  |  |
| 2018Q2 | 6394.44 | 9071. 4 | 63.4 .43 | 8935.81 | 166.77 | 17.89 |  |  |  |
| 201803 | 6454.16 | 9205:.5 | 63:14.14 | 9071.36 | 168.58 | 18.00 |  |  |  |
| 2018Q4 | 6518.44 | 9348.1 | 65:8.43 | 9205.57 | 170.31 | 18.10 |  |  |  |
| 2019Q1 | 6583.23 | $9492 \cdot \mathrm{~m}$ | $65: 8.43$ $65: 3.22$ | 9348.12 9492.87 | 172.06 | 18.21 |  |  |  |
| 201902 | 6644.54 | 9633. $\cdot$ | 66.1 .53 | 9492.87 | 173.85 | 18.31 |  |  |  |
| 201903 | 6705.98 | 9776.4 | 670.153 | 9833.92 | 175.67 | 18.42 |  |  |  |
| 201904 | 6769.54 | 9922. 1 | $67: 9.94$ | 9776.16 | 177.44 | 18.52 |  |  |  |
| 202001 | 6837.05 | 10075.:8 | $68 \cdots .54$ | 9922.72 1007549 | 179.23 | 18.63 |  |  |  |
| 202002 | 6901.27 | 10225 !9 | 68.1.05 | 10075.49 | 181.10 | 18.73 |  |  |  |
| 2020Q3 | 6963.09 | 10373.1 | 6901.27 693308 | 10225.20 | 482.99 | 18.84 |  |  |  |
| 2020Q4 | 7025.69 | 10525 3 | 70.568 | 10373.42 10525.19 | 184.96 | 18.94 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 10525 | 70.5 .68 | 10525.19 | 186.91 | 18.05 |  |  |  |



| Res Var index Res Var Name | $\begin{gathered} 46 \\ \text { RPRR } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 47 \\ \text { REGR } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 48 \\ \text { REVN } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 49 \\ \text { REVH } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 50 \\ \text { REVR } \end{gathered}$ | 51 <br> RVNN | 52 <br> RVNH | $\begin{gathered} 53 \\ \text { RVNR } \end{gathered}$ | 54 HGN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Description | Price Ratio: <br> Residential <br> Natural Gas Price : \#2 Heating Oia Price | Energy <br> Consumption <br> Ratio: Residential <br> Natural Gas: \#2 <br> Heating Oil | Revenue to Residenual NonHeating Cuslomers | Revenue to Residential Heating Customers | Revenue to Residential Customers | Revenue <br> (Nomal)to <br> Rasidential Non- <br> Meating <br> Customers | Revenue <br> (Normal)lo <br> Residential <br> Heating <br> Customers | Revenue (Nomal)to Residentsal Customers | Cormpany Charge to Fresidential Non Heating Customers |
| Start Year | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 |
| Start Period | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Period / Year | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Period / Cycle | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 2004Q2 | 1.77 | 5.66 | 197145.00 | 5000511.00 | 5197656.00 | 195325.00 | 4842926.00 | 5038251.00 | 6.05 |
| 2004Q3 | 1.27 | 3.43 | 171016.00 | 3006773.00 | 3177789.00 | 152322.00 | 2643372.00 | 2795694.00 | 8.20 |
| 2004Q4 | 1.03 | 7.21 | 184569.00 | 5149930.00 | 5334499.00 | 179679.00 | 4746818.00 | 4826598.00 | 6.13 |
| 2005Q1 | 1.06 | 11.17 | 231489.00 | 9423834.00 | 9655323.00 | 241242.00 | 10116906.00 | 10358148.00 | 4.75 |
| 2005Q2 | 1.17 | 5.91 | 189409.00 | 5169881.00 | 5359290.00 | 185749.00 | 4950953.00 | 5136702.00 | 6.08 |
| 200503 | 1.08 | 4.32 | 1616.34 .00 | 3047763.00 | 3209397.00 | 161625.00 | 3048184.00 | 3210819.00 | 8.42 |
| 2005Q4 | 1.04 | 9.30 | 178456.00 | \$218159.00 | 5396615.00 | 173453.00 | 4822475.00 | 4895928.00 | 6.08 |
| 2006 Q 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006Q3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006Q4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007Q1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007Q2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007Q3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 200704 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008Q1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008Q2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008Q3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008Q4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009Q1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 200902 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009Q3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 200904 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010Q1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 201002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010Q3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 201004 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011Q1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 201102 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011Q3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 201104 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012Q1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012Q2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 Q 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012Q4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2013Q1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2013 Q 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 201303 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 201304 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 201401 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2014Q2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2014 Q 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 201404 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2015 Q 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2015Q2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2015Q3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2015Q4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 201601 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2016Q2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2016Q3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2016Q4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017 Q1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017Q2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 201703 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017Q4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018Q1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 201802 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018Q3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018Q4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 201901 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2019 C 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 201903 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2019Q4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 202001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2020Q2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 202003 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2020 Q 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Res Var index Res Var Name | $\begin{gathered} 55 \\ \text { CHGH } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 56 \\ \text { CHGR } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 57 \\ \text { CHNN } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 58 \\ \text { CHNH } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 59 \\ \text { CHNR } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 60 \\ \operatorname{CDDN} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 61 \\ & \operatorname{CDDA} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 62 \\ \mathrm{BDON} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 63 \\ \text { BDDA } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Description | Company Charge to Residential Heating Customers | Company Charge to Residential | Company charge (Nomal)to Residential Non. Heating | Company charge <br> (Normali)to <br> Residential Heating | Company charge (Normal)to <br> Residential | Normal Caliendar | tuai Callendar | Normal Billing |  |
| Starl Year | Customers 1984 | Customers 1984 | Customers | Customers | Customers | Degreo Days | Degree Days | Degree Days | Actual Billing Degree Days |
| Start Period | 4 | 1984 4 | 984 | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 | ${ }^{1984}$ |
| Period / Year | 4 | 4 | ${ }_{4}^{4}$ | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 1984 |
| Period / Cycie | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | ${ }^{4}$ | ${ }_{4}^{4}$ | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 1984Q1 |  |  |  | - 7 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 1984 Q 2 | 7.54 | 7.79 | 16.19 | 7.90 | 8.16 | 3652 | 3644 | 3826 |  |
| 198403 | 8.12 8.84 | 8.54 | 4.85 | 7.25 | 7.63 | 1032 | 1074 | 1494 | 3718 |
| 1984Q4 | 8.02 | 8.65 | 19.92 23.07 | 9.73 | 10.58 | 286 | 284 | 227 | 1599 |
| 198501 | 7.84 | 8.34 8.09 | 23.07 1674 | 10.62 | 11.07 | 2611 | 2310 | 2106 | 1893 |
| 1985 Q 2 | 8.48 | 8.98 | 16.74 14.73 | 8.13 | 8.38 | 3652 | 3507 | 3813 | 3593 |
| 198503 | 8.52 | 9.89 | 14.73 | 7.08 | 7.49 | 1032 | 980 | 1488 | 1378 |
| 198504 | 7.89 | 9.29 8.19 | 19.47 | 9.25 | 10.07 | 286 | 213 | 225 | 183 |
| 198601 | 7.53 | 7.77 | 23.03 | 10.74 | 11.17 | 2611 | 2596 | 2101 | 2016 |
| 198602 | 8.23 | 7.7 | 15.51 | 7.58 | 7.82 | 3652 | 3418 | 3803 | 3628 |
| 198603 | 9.34 | 10.06 | 23.94 | 6.17 | 6.52 1250 | 1032 | 906 | 1477 | 1290 |
| 198684 | 7.01 | 7.22 | 20.74 | 11.61 | 12 | 286 | 359 | 229 | 304 |
| 198701 | 6.50 | 6.67 | 12.66 | 10.20 6.17 | 10.51 | 2611 | 2566 | 2103 | 2137 |
| 198702 | 6.58 | 6.86 | 10.53 | 6.17 5.06 | 6.33 | 3652 | 3528 | 3793 | 3613 |
| 198703 | 6.64 | 7.12 | 12.73 | 5.06 6.16 | 5.28 6.60 | 1032 | 915 | 1471 | 1346 |
| 198704 | 5.89 | 6.07 | 15.96 | 7.91 | 6.60 8.16 | 286 2611 | 308 | 230 | 246 |
| 198801 | 5.86 | 5.99 | 11.96 | 5.88 | 8.16 8.01 | 2611 | 2564 | 2103 | 2096 |
| 1988Q2 | 5.83 | 6.07 | 1.96 8.87 | 5.38 4.33 | 8.01 4.51 | 3652 | 3601 | 3781 | 3685 |
| 198803 | 6.49 | 3 | 14.10 | 6.93 | 4.51 7.38 | 1032 | 1017 | 1465 | 1434 |
| 198804 | 6.76 | 6.93 | 17.90 | 6.92 8.93 | 7.38 | 286 | 298 | 231 | 257 |
| 198901 | 6.70 | 6.86 | 13.43 | 8.93 6.55 | 9.16 | 2611 | 2680 | 2108 | 2145 |
| 198902 | 6.49 | 6.8 | 13.43 9.72 | 6.55 4.77 | 6.71 | 3652 | 3415 | 3773 | 3549 |
| 198903 | 7.06 | 7.53 | 15.39 | 4.77 7.41 | 4.98 | 1032 | 1002 | 1458 | 1473 |
| 198904 | 6.80 | 7.02 | 17.06 | 7.41 9.15 | 7.91 | 286 | 228 | 227 | 184 |
| 199001 | 7.14 | 7.31 | 14.49 | 9.15 6.74 | 9.41 | 2614 | 2988 | 2118 | 2253 |
| 199002 | 7.34 | 7.61 | 11.64 | 6.74 5.22 | 6.81 5.45 | 3642 | 3175 | 3748 | 3528 |
| 199003 | 7.7 | 8.41 | 16.27 | 5.22 | 5.45 | 1032 | 1021 | 1460 | 1454 |
| 199004 | 7.2 | 7.46 | 19.25 | 8.29 8.38 | 8.87 | 285 | 220 | 226 | 162 |
| 1991 Q1 | 6. | 7.13 | 14.15 | 9.38 6.80 | 9.70 | 2629 | 2195 | 2108 | 1762 |
| 1901 Q 2 | 6.90 | 7.17 | 11.17 | 6.80 4.85 | 6.97 | 3620 | 3298 | 3717 | 3376 |
| 199103 | 7.39 | 7.96 | 16.20 | 4.85 8.12 | 5.08 | 1030 | 761 | 1440 | 1179 |
| 199104 | 7.09 | 7.32 | 18.54 | 8.12 9.45 | 8.69 | 282 | 264 | 225 | 174 |
| 199201 | 6.8 | 7.03 | 18.54 13.87 | 9.45 6.74 | 9.72 | 2845 | 2408 | 2102 | 1919 |
| 199202 | 7. | 7.61 | 11.79 | 6.74 5.11 | 6.89 | 3651 | 3479 | 3706 | 3552 |
| 199203 | 8.6 | 9.33 | 19.19 | 5.11 9.67 | 5.33 10.33 | 1028 | 1078 | 1437 | 1568 |
| 199204 | 9.35 | 9.39 | 11.71 | 9.67 9.95 | 10.33 10.01 | 280 | 288 | 223 | 232 |
| 199301 | 6.86 | 6.86 | 6.65 | 9.95 8.89 | 10.01 6.89 | 2805 | 2882 | 2088 | 2189 |
| 199302 | 3.74 | 3.76 | 4.36 | 8.88 3.74 | 6.89 3.76 | 3606 | 3711 | 3710 | 3775 |
| 199303 | 6.23 | 6.15 | 4.311 | 3.74 6.06 | 3.76 5 | $\begin{array}{r}1025 \\ \hline 275\end{array}$ | 907 | 1434 | 1386 |
| 199304 | 8.58 | 8.55 | 7.43 | 6.06 8.60 | 5.99 8.57 | 275 | 250 | 223 | 178 |
| 199401 | 6.94 | 6.95 | 7.25 | 8.60 6.94 | 8.57 | 2605 | 2628 | 2093 | 2154 |
| 199402 | 3.83 | 3.86 | 4.74 | 6.94 3.83 | 6.95 | 3606 | 4027 | 3734 | 4105 |
| 199403 | 5.78 | 5.73 | 4. 5 | 3.83 5.74 | 3.86 5 5 | 1025 | 956 | 1428 | 1442 |
| 198404 | 6.74 | 6.76 | 7.91 | 5.74 6.75 | 5.70 | 275 | 265 | 221 | 185 |
| 199501 | 6.57 | 6.57 | 6.64 | 6.75 6.59 | 6.77 | 2605 | 2237 | 2071 | 1813 |
| 189502 | 3.87 | 3.90 | 4.81 | 6.59 3.87 | 6.58 3.89 | 3606 | 3265 | 3717 | 3348 |
| 198503 | 5.51 | 5.49 | 4.95 | 3.87 5.32 | 3.89 5.30 | 1025 275 | 1052 280 | 1428 | 1476 |
| 198504 | 8.73 | 8.71 | 7.39 | 5.32 8.76 | 5.30 8.73 | 275 2599 | 280 | 217 | 175 |
| 199601 | 6.74 | 6.75 | 7.17 | 8.76 6.74 | 8.73 6.75 | 2599 3651 | 2613 | 2072 | 2093 |
| 199602 | 3.93 | 3.96 | 4.80 | 6.74 3.93 | 6.75 3.96 | 3651 1019 | 3634 | 3717 | 3741 |
| 199603 | 5.02 | 5.02 | 4.96 | 3.93 5.02 | 3.96 5.01 | 1019 282 | 1037 | 1428 | 1552 |
| 199604 | 8.90 | 8.87 | 7.57 | 5.02 8.88 | 5.01 8.86 | 282 2594 | $\begin{array}{r}198 \\ \hline 2553\end{array}$ | 217 | 140 |
| 199701 | 7.05 | 7.05 | 7.32 | 8.88 7.05 | 8.86 7.06 | 2594 | 2553 <br> 3440 | 2072 | 2120 |
| 1997Q2 | 4.10 | 4.13 | 5.00 | 4.11 | 7.06 4.13 | 3617 1023 | 3440 1166 | 3703 | 3418 |
| 199703 | 5.79 | 5.78 | 5.62 | 4.78 | 4.13 5.77 | 1023 275 | 1166 214 | 1432 | 1667 |
| 199704 | 8.57 | 8.61 | 10.73 | 5.78 8.55 | 5.76 8.60 | 275 2603 | 214 2556 | 210 2054 | 165 |
| 199801 | 7.36 | 7.40 | 10.12 | 7.36 | 8.60 7.40 | 2603 3602 | 2556 | 2054 | 2077 |
| 199802 | 5.95 | 6.02 | 8.19 | 5.95 | 7.40 6.02 | 3602 1020 | 2881 831 | 3669 | 3115 |
| 199803 199804 | 9.73 | 9.80 | 10.76 | 9.66 | 6.72 9.72 | 1020 274 | 831 164 | 1448 | 1221 |
| 199804 199901 | 7.48 703 | 7.54 | 9.94 | 7.48 | 7.54 | 274 2603 | $\begin{array}{r}164 \\ 2292 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 205 | 138 |
| 199901 199902 | 7.03 5.67 | 7.07 | 9.56 | 7.03 | 7.07 | 3504 | 2292 3342 | 2053 | 1842 |
| 1999Q2 | 5.67 8.52 | 5.73 | 7.76 | 5.68 | 5.74 | 9884 | 3342 896 | 3647 | 3394 |
| 199903 199904 | 8.52 8.04 | 8.57 | 9.45 | 8.50 | 8.55 | 884 257 | 896 168 | 1429 198 | 1341 |
| 199904 200001 | 8.04 8.18 | 8.10 | 10.43 | 8.04 | 8.10 | 2528 | 2345 | 199 2033 | 133 |
| 200002 | 6.94 | 8.21 7.00 | 30.52 | 8.17 | 8.20 | 3495 | 3344 | 3599 | 1862 3480 |
| 200003 | 9.87 | 9.93 | 9.19 10.88 | 6.88 | 6.94 | 979 | 997 | 1428 | 3480 1356 |
| 200004 | 10.62 | 10.60 | 12.45 | 9.88 10.60 | 9.93 | 251 | 241 | 194 | 193 |
| 200101 | 3.77 | 3.80 | 5.61 | 10.60 3.49 | 10.64 352 | 2529 | 2614 | 2033 | 2044 |
| 200102 | 3.31 | 3.37 | 5.56 | 3.48 3.16 | 3.52 | 3480 | 3551 | 3588 | 3679 |
| 200163 | 7.71 | 7.75 | ${ }^{3.67}$ | 3.16 7.77 | 3.23 7.82 | 977 | 880 | 1422 | 1401 |
| 200104 | 4.19 | 4.25 | 5.69 | 7.77 3.63 | 7.82 3.69 | ${ }_{2}^{248}$ | 158 | 182 | 113 |
| 200201 | 3.24 | 3.27 | 4.83 | 3.6 3.14 | 3.69 3.17 | 2513 3481 | 2082 | 2018 | 1653 |
| 2002 Q 2 | 4.12 | 4.17 | 6.20 | 3.61 3.61 | 3.17 3.68 | 3481 979 | 3013 992 | 3584 1428 | 3045 |
| 200293 | 7.07 | 7.11 | 9.32 | 3.61 7.92 | 3.68 7.99 | 979 244 | 992 | 1428 189 | 1399 |
| 200204 | 3.91 | 3.95 | 5.88 | 3.94 | 7.99 3.98 | 244 2485 | 111 2578 | 189 1894 | 130 |
| 2003Q1 | 2.93 | 2.96 | 4.52 | 2.86 | 2.89 | 3432 | 3815 | 1894 3533 | 2016 |
| 200302 | 4.00 | 4.05 | ${ }_{6} 9.07$ | 4.27 | 4.32 | 975 | 1072 | 3533 | 3313 |
| 2003Q3 | 7.69 | 7.73 | 13.53 | 7.70 | 7.74 | 236 | 111 | 1420 | 1540 |
| 200304 | 3.75 | 3.80 | 6.26 | 4.12 | 4.17 |  | 2371 | 183 2004 | 102 |
| 200461 | 3.00 | 3.02 | 4.52 | 2.86 | 2.88 | 2503 3459 | 2371 3718 | 2004 3563 | 1852 3809 |


| Res Var index Res Var Name | CHGH | $\begin{gathered} 56 \\ \text { CHGR } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 57 \\ \text { CHNN } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 58 \\ \text { CHNH } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 59 \\ \text { CHNR } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 60 \\ \text { CDDN } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 61 \\ C D O A \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 62 \\ \text { BDDN } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { S3 } \\ \text { BDDA } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Description | Company Charge <br> to Residential <br> Heating <br> Customers | Company Charge to Restidential Customers | Company charge (Normai)io Residential NonHeating Customers | Company charge (Normal)to Residential Heating Customers | Company charge <br> (Normai)to <br> Residential <br> Customers | Normal Caliendar Degree Days | Actual Callendar Degree Days | Normal Billing Degree Days | Actual Billing Degree Days |
| Start Year | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 |
| Start Period | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |  | , | 4 |
| Period / Year | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Period / Cycle | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | , | 4 |
| 2004Q2 | 4.23 | 4.28 | 6.13 | 4.35 | 4.40 | 977 | 897 | 1425 | 1331 |
| 2004 Q 3 | 7.66 | 7.69 | 1.30 | 6.75 | 6.78 | 231 | 133 | 180 | 119 |
| 2004Q4 | 3.93 | 3.98 | 6.46 | 4.26 | 4.32 | 2493 | 2394 | 1997 | 1868 |
| 2005Q1 | 3.05 | 3.08 | 1.56 | 2.91 | 2.94 | 3463 | 3581 | 3567 | 3636 |
| 200502 | 4.14 | 4.18 | 6.27 | 4.38 | 4.43 | 968 | 977 | 1412 | 1466 |
| 200503 | 7.85 | 7.87 | 8.42 | 7.84 | 7.87 | 226 | 75 | 175 | 80 |
| 200504 | 3.89 | 3.93 | 6.37 | 4.23 | 4.28 | 2487 | 2362 | 1995 | 1792 |
| 200601 |  |  |  |  |  | 3464 |  |  |  |
| 200602 |  |  |  |  |  | 969 |  |  |  |
| 200603 |  |  |  |  |  | 22 |  |  |  |
| 2006Q4 |  |  |  |  |  | 2497 |  |  |  |
| 200701 |  |  |  |  |  | 346 |  |  |  |
| 2007Q2 |  |  |  |  |  | 96 |  |  |  |
| 2007 Q 3 |  |  |  |  |  | 22 |  |  |  |
| 2007Q4 |  |  |  |  |  | 249 |  |  |  |
| 2008Q |  |  |  |  |  | 346 |  |  |  |
| 2008Q2 |  |  |  |  |  | 96 |  |  |  |
| 2008Q3 |  |  |  |  |  | 22 |  |  |  |
| 2008Q4 |  |  |  |  |  | 249 |  |  |  |
| 2009Q1 |  | . |  |  |  | 346 |  |  |  |
| 200902 |  |  |  |  |  | 96 |  |  |  |
| 200903 |  |  |  |  |  | 22 |  |  |  |
| $2009 \mathrm{Q4}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 249 |  |  |  |
| 201001 |  |  |  |  |  | 346 |  |  |  |
| 201002 |  |  |  |  |  | 06 |  |  |  |
| 201003 |  |  |  |  |  | 22 |  |  |  |
| 201004 |  |  |  |  |  | 248 |  |  |  |
| 2011Q1 |  |  |  |  |  | 346 |  |  |  |
| 201102 |  |  |  |  |  | 96 |  |  |  |
| 201103 |  |  |  |  |  | 22 |  |  |  |
| 201104 |  |  |  |  |  | 248 |  |  |  |
| 2012Q1 |  |  |  |  |  | 346 |  |  |  |
| 2012Q2 |  |  |  |  |  | 96 |  |  |  |
| 2012 Q 3 |  |  |  |  |  | 22 | 4 |  |  |
| 2012Q4 |  |  |  |  |  | 24 |  |  |  |
| 2013Q1 |  |  |  |  |  | 346 |  |  |  |
| 201302 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 68 |  |  |
| 2013Q3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 24 |  |  |
| 201304 |  |  |  |  |  | 24 |  |  |  |
| 2014Q1 |  |  |  |  |  | 34 |  |  |  |
| 2014Q2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 69 |  |  |
| 2014Q3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 24 |  |  |
| 2014Q4 |  |  |  |  |  | 24 |  |  |  |
| 201501 |  |  |  |  |  | 34 |  |  |  |
| 2015Q2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 69 |  |  |
| 2015Q3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 24 |  |  |
| 201504 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 97 |  |  |
| 201601 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 64 |  |  |
| 201602 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 69 |  |  |
| 2016Q3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 24 |  |  |
| 2016Q4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 97 |  |  |
| 2017 Q1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 64 |  |  |
| 2017C2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 69 |  |  |
| 2017 Q 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 224 |  |  |
| 2017Q4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 97 |  |  |
| 2018Q1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 484 |  |  |
| 201802 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 69 |  |  |
| 2018Q3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 224 |  |  |
| 201804 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 497 |  |  |
| 201901 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 464 |  |  |
| 2019Q2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 969 |  |  |
| 2019Q3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 224 |  |  |
| 201904 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 497 |  |  |
| 2020Q1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 464 |  |  |
| 2020Q2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 969 |  |  |
| 202003 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 224 |  |  |
| 202004 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 497 |  |  |


| C\&I Var indax C\&i Var Name | $\stackrel{1}{\text { CUSI }}$ | $\stackrel{2}{\text { cusc }}$ | $\stackrel{3}{\text { cuscl }}$ | $\stackrel{4}{\text { USEC }}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ \text { USEI } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ \text { USECI } \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{7}{\text { USNC }}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ \text { USNi } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9 \\ \text { USNCI } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Description | ENGI: Number of industiral Customers | ENGI: Number of Commercial Customers | ENGI: Number of C \& I Customers | ENGI: Natural Gas Consumption per Commercial Customers | ENGI: Natural Gas Consumption per Industrial Customers | ENGI: Naturat Gas Consumption perc \& 1 <br> Customers | ENG: Natural Gas Consumption per Commercial Customers | ENGI: Natural Gas Consumption per Industrial | ENGI: Naturai Gas Consumption perc\&l |
| Star Year <br> Stan Period | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 | Customers 1984 | Customers 1984 | Customers 1984 | Cus stomers |
| Period / Year | 4 | - ${ }_{4}^{4}$ | 4 | 4 | , | 4 | 1964 | 1884 | 1984 |
| Period / Cycle | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 4 |
|  | 4 | - ${ }^{4}$ | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 1984 Q 1 198402 | 4332 | 78 | 4410 | 275.10 | 341.38 |  |  |  |  |
| 1988402 | 4332 | 109 | 4441 | 126.10 | 140.52 | 276.28 +126.45 | 280.93 121.66 | 341.38 140.52 | 282.01 |
| 198404 | 4251 | 105 | 4356 | 58.31 | 120.65 | 59.81 | 121.66 58.35 | 140.52 120.65 | 122.13 |
| 198501 | 4689 | 71 | 4503 | 141.16 | 311.06 | 143.85 | 151.18 | 311.06 | 59.85 153.71 |
| 1985Q2 | 4683 | 66 | 47450 | 259.11 | 338.32 | 259.36 | 270.57 | 338.32 | 153.71 271.63 |
| 198503 | 4609 | 63 | 4750 | 108.80 | 228.13 | 110.46 | 113.92 | 228.13 | 271.63 115.51 |
| 198504 | 4745 | 66 | 4672 | 51.54 | 168.06 | 53.11 | 51.99 | 168.06 | 115.51 53.55 |
| 19860. 1 | 4834 | 70 | 4841 | 133.56 | 244.91 | 135.08 | 136.18 | 244.91 | 53.55 137.67 |
| 198602 | 4858 | 72 | 4904 4929 | 260.10 | 428.39 | 262.50 | 270.44 | 428.38 | 137.67 272.70 |
| 198603 | 4853 | 70 | 4924 | 106.09 49.71 | 231.86 | 107.92 | 114.98 | 231.86 | 272.70 116.69 |
| 198604 | 4969 | 71 | 5040 | 49.71 158.95 | 189.00 58213 | 51.70 | 48.95 | 189.00 | 176.69 50.95 |
| 198701 | 5160 | 71 | 5232 | 158.95 281.58 | 582.13 | 164.81 | 157.57 | 582.13 | 163.55 |
| 198702 | 5211 | 70 | 5232 | 281.58 115.96 | 957.67 410.30 | 290.80 | 292.95 | 957.67 | 302.02 |
| 198703 | 5093 | 55 | -5148 | 115.76 56.35 | 410.30 | 119.09 | 120.83 | 410.30 | 124.69 |
| 198704 | 5247 | 99 | 5.346 | 156.35 152.99 | 208.99 1046.02 | 57.99 | 56.93 | 208.99 | 58.55 |
| 198801 | 5437 | 113 | 5550 | 286.72 | 1046.02 1155.49 | 169.58 | 154.05 | 1046.02 | 170.62 |
| 198802 | 5430 | 92 | ! 522 | 286.72 130.27 | 1155.49 | 304.36 | 293.19 | 1155.49 | 310.70 |
| 198803 | 5382 | 88 | 6470 | 130.27 52.42 | 771.44 | 140.96 | 131.85 | 771.41 | 142.61 |
| 198804 | 5766 | 102 | $\stackrel{668}{ }$ | 52.42 159.76 | 501.64 949.10 | 59.64 17353 | 52.05 | 501.64 | 59.28 |
| 188901 | 5971 | 103 | 6074 | 159.76 274.70 | 949.10 1380.53 | 173.53 | 158.63 | 949.10 | 172.41 |
| 198902 | 6011 | 93 | 6704 | 274.70 122.37 | 1380.53 | 293.51 | 289.09 | 1380.53 | 307.58 |
| 198903 | 5895 | 291 | 6194 6186 | 122.37 46.89 | 861.09 | 133.63 | 120.85 | 861.09 | 132.13 |
| 188904 | 615 | 342 | 6501 608 | 46.89 148.34 | 263.99 5988 | 57.10 | 47.69 | 263.99 | 57.87 |
| 198001 | 6412 | 356 | 6768 | 148.34 215.50 | 598.78 | 172.01 | 139.25 | 598.78 | 163.40 |
| 198002 | 6366 | 325 | 6692 | $\underline{ } 95.14$ | 961.85 | 254.76 | 226.31 | 1016.09 | 267.85 |
| 199003 | 6197 | 314 | 6519 | 95.14 41.27 | 473.32 | 113.53 | 96.00 | 477.46 | 114.55 |
| 199004 | 6379 | 339 | 6718 | 41.27 107.84 | 240.89 530.18 | 50.89 | 41.50 | 240.18 | 174.08 51.08 |
| 199101 | 6610 | 350 | 6960 | 107.84 202.64 | 530.18 | 129.13 | 120.75 | 590.22 | 144.42 |
| 199102 | 6538 | 316 | 6854 | 202.64 83.93 | 947.25 | 240.12 | 219.36 | 1019.62 | 259.64 |
| 199103 | 6407 | 299 | 6706 | 83.93 39.62 | 470.18 | 101.72 | 93.53 | 511.62 | 112.78 |
| 199104 | 6610 | 319 | 6929 | 39.62 110.94 | 286.29 61760 | 50.60 | 39.82 | 288.17 | 112.78 51.37 |
| 199201 | 6817 | 328 | 7145 | 110.94 218.22 | $\begin{array}{r}617.60 \\ \hline 1155.68\end{array}$ | 134.25 | 117.73 | 650.54 | 14.23 |
| 199202 | 6782 | 316 | 7098 | 218.22 101.36 | 1155.66 651.85 | 261.25 | 226.48 | 1195.89 | 270.98 |
| 199203 | 6623 | 307 | 9930 | 101.36 42.48 | 651.85 334.74 | 125.87 | 96.22 | 622.37 | 119.64 |
| 199204 | 6813 | 313 | 3125 | 42.48 121.56 | 334.74 759.26 | 55.42 | 42.45 | 336.41 | 119.64 55.48 |
| 199301 | 6999 | 314 | 1313 | 123.56 231.74 | $\begin{array}{r}759.26 \\ \\ \hline 130.34\end{array}$ | 148.54 | 118.21 | 739.86 | 55.48 145.49 |
| 199302 | 6953 | 311 | 7264 | 231.74 97.24 | 1360.34 67776 | 280.20 | 228.86 | 1342.10 | 145.49 276.66 |
| 199303 | 6758 | 299 | 7058 | 97.24 43.51 | 677.76 399.99 | 122.10 | 97.61 | 681.44 | 122.61 |
| 199304 | 7020 | 300 | 7320 | 43.51 118.49 | 399.98 909.93 | 58.63 150.96 | 42.46 | 407.70 | 57.85 |
| 1994Q1 | 7278 | 308 | 7588 | 119.49 $\mathbf{2 5 5 . 5 3}$ | 909.93 1628.76 | 150.96 31129 | 116.89 | 896.17 | 148.79 |
| $1994 \mathrm{C}_{2}$ | 7230 | 309 | 7539 | 255.53 99.80 | 1628.76 757.87 | 311.29 126.77 | 236.31 | 1522.10 | 288.52 |
| 189403 | 7051 | 302 | 7353 | 99.80 42.23 | 757.87 457.65 | 126.77 59.27 | 99.33 | 755.39 | 126.22 |
| $1994 \mathrm{Q4}$ | 7316 | 300 | 7628 | 104.06 | 457.65 718.66 | 59.27 | 42.85 | 463.05 | 60.09 |
| 199501 | 7570 | 304 | 7891 | 104.06 211.20 | 718.86 1166.14 | 136.82 | 113.84 | 759.85 | 149.15 |
| 199542 | 7469 | 296 | 7784 | 211.20 95.31 | 1166.14 527.62 | 263.09 | 231.16 | 1270.18 | 287.06 |
| 199503 | 7186 | 283 | 7489 | 40.07 | 527.62 287.57 | 122.42 57.83 | 93.67 | 520.89 | 120.48 |
| 199504 | 7439 | 294 | 7751 | 124.62 | 287.57 | 57.83 162.07 | 38.01 | 284.63 | 56.83 |
| 199601 | 7687 | 300 | 8007 | $\begin{array}{r}1243.62 \\ \hline 23.66\end{array}$ | 700.26 1331.09 | 162.07 294.18 | 121.93 | 686.62 | 158.91 |
| 199662 | 7616 | 300 | 7940 | 233.66 98.37 | 1331.09 657.62 | 294.18 133.67 | 232.60 | 1325.88 | 292.90 |
| 199603 | 7362 | 292 | 7680 | 39.35 | 657.62 433.42 | 133.67 66.22 | 93.49 | 637.46 | 127.98 |
| 199604 | 7633 | 302 | 7964 | 141.18 | 433.42 853.00 | 66.22 186.14 | 39.95 13917 | 440.08 | 67.23 |
| 199701 | 7857 | 311 | 8201 | 141.18 243.89 | 853.00 1261.88 | 186.14 | 139.17 | 844.55 | 183.75 |
| 199702 | 7819 | 308 | 8163 | 243.89 118.86 | 1261.88 727.75 | 305.51 160.77 | 261.12 | 1338.91 | 325.27 |
| 199703 | 7578 | 302 | 7921 | 18.86 44.23 | 727.75 342.24 | 160.77 76.88 | 108.63 | 678.25 | 149.25 |
| 199704 | 7910 | 300 | 8258 | 142.51 | 342.24 72.77 | 76.88 200.69 | 44.38 14171 | 332.54 | 77.46 |
| 199801 | 8170 | 312 | 8540 | 1227.96 | 726.77 959.98 | 200.69 300.37 | 141.71 | 723.06 | 199.62 |
| 199802 | 8077 | 314 | 8451 | 27.46 | 959.88 413.30 | 300.37 147.10 | 260.64 | 1080.88 | 337.90 |
| 199803 | 7837 | 296 | 8195 | 43.40 | 413.30 294.19 | 147.10 90.22 | 106.98 4.47 | 432.39 | 157.30 |
| 189804 t99901 | 8145 | 306 | 8518 | 116.30 | 294.19 519.60 | 90.22 179.30 | 41.47 | 300.05 | 89.20 |
| 199901 199902 | 8438 | 316 | 8835 | 245.47 | 932.61 | 179.30 328.86 | 124.47 | 546.04 | 189.62 |
| 199892 198903 | 8359 | 313 | 8758 | 94.22 | -332.91 | 328.86 148.04 | 259.37 | 985.29 | 346.66 |
| 199993 199904 | 8095 | 303 | 8485 | 38.49 | 3140,67 | 148.04 83.36 | 97.14 | 343.05 | 151.72 |
| 199904 200001 | 8384 | 315 | 8792 | 114.00 | 421.69 | 83.38 182.86 | 39.17 | 142.00 | 84.07 |
| 200001 | 8624 | 319 | 9036 | 257.79 | 1015.78 | 182.86 <br> 348.57 | 121.47 | 450.39 | 192.78 |
| 2000022 | 8561 | 313 | E968 | 98.95 | 374.81 | 348.57 152.06 | 264.85 | 1042.30 | 357.25 |
| 200004 | 8318 | 304 | \$7715 | 41.42 | 183.58 | 152.06 87.73 | 102.59 | 387.69 | 156.41 |
| 2000104 | 8433 | 311 | 8835 | 125.98 | 559.03 | 200.29 | +41.36 | 181.09 | 88.02 |
| 200104 | 8760 | 318 | 9078 | 262.26 | 1057.22 | 200.29 359.31 | 124.38 | 551.82 | 198.39 |
| 200102 | 8171 | 1151 | 9270 | 94.85 | 1057.22 596.28 | 359.31 178.02 | 257.34 | 1070.68 | 353.31 |
| 200103 200104 | 7381 | 1469 | 8861 | 16.46 | 596.28 345.70 | 178.02 77.83 | 92.58 | 625.94 | 178.76 |
| 200201 | 7615 | 1538 | 9162 | 47.10 | 688.38 | 77.83 174.19 | 16.18 | 349.77 | 78.76 |
| 2002 Q 2 | 7851 | 1562 | 9424 | 115.71 | 1143.54 | 171.19 | 53.73 | 766.81 | 192.26 |
| 2002Q3 | 7823 | 1405 | 9240 | 50.71 | 782.84 | 303.29 | 133.32 | 1313.36 | 347.27 |
| 200204 | 7336 7648 | 873 | 8213 | 18.82 | 443.92 | 195.64 90.97 | 51.54 | 782.07 | 194.35 |
| 200301 | 7648 | 1890 | 0543 | 66.30 | 594.88 | 90.97 | 18.21 | 519.11 | 113.89 |
| 200302 | 8079 8077 | 1627 | [1719 | 158.10 | ${ }_{1447.72}$ | 189.21 389 | 64.76 | 586.04 | 196.37 |
| 20303 | 8172 | 1674 | $!1860$ | 17.74 | 281.72 | 18.52 | 50.84 | 634.01 | 168.10 |
| 200304 | 7820 | 1605 | (\%439 | 59.96 | 688.27 | 86.69 | 17.77 | 281.47 | 86.67 |
| 200409 | 9488 | 1606 | 10:08 | 151.39 | :358.45 | 192.76 370.32 | 50.72 $\mathbf{4 7 0 . 3 4}$ | 599.68 \$493.92 | 169.81 406.35 |


| Csilvar index | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C\& Var Name | cusi | cusc | CUSCl | USEC | USEI | USECI | USNC | USN! | USNCI |
| Description | ENGI: Number of Industral <br> Customers | ENGI: Number of Commercial Customers | ENGI: Numbe of C\&ICustomers | ENGI: Naturai Gas Consumption per Commercial Customers | ENG: Natural Gas Consumption per Industrial Customers | ENG1: Natural <br> Gas Consumption per C\&1 <br> Customers | ENGI: Natural Gas Consumption per Commercial Customers | ENGI: Natural Gas Consumption per industrial Customers | ENGI: Natural <br> Gas Consumption per C\&I <br> Customers |
| Start Year | 1984 | 1984 | $\cdots 84$ | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 |
| Start Period | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Period / Year | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Perioos/Cycle | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 2004 Q 2 | 8231 | 1675 | 9922 | 51.45 | 593.24 | 164.43 | 48.57 | 572.60 | 158.55 |
| 2004Q3 | 8296 | 1722 | 10031 | 17.94 | 287.83 | 88.84 | 17.92 | 286.97 | 88.67 |
| 2004Q4 | 7880 | 1638 | 0530 | 57.32 | 630.03 | 178.58 | 49.44 | 556.39 | 159.21 |
| 2005Q1 | 8611 | 1736 | 10361 | 142.86 | 1260.74 | 347.40 | 159.10 | 1394.69 | 383.16 |
| 2005Q2 | 8466 | 1744 | 10220 | 53.67 | 626.24 | 171.87 | 49.27 | 584.41 | 161.01 |
| 2005 Q 3 | 8602 | 1803 | 10418 | 16.95 | 276.12 | 68.38 | 16.96 | 273.68 | 67.97 |
| 2005Q4 | 8190 | 1738 | ! 439 | 58.42 | 640.60 | 160.16 | 50.23 | 574.98 | 147.94 |
| 2006Q1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006Q2 |  |  | , |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006Q4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007Q1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007Q2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007Q3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007Q4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008Q1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008Q2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008Q3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008Q4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 200901 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 200902 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009Q3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 Q |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 201001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 Q 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 201003 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 201004 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 201104 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 201902 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011Q3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 201104 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 201201 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 201202 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 Q 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012Q4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 201301 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2013Q2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 201303 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2013 Q4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2014 Q 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2014 Q 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2014Q3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2014Q4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 201501 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2015Q2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2015Q3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2015Q4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 201601 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 201602 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2016 Q 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 201604 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 201701 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 201702 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 201703 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017 Q4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2018 Q 1$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018Q2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018Q3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018Q4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 201801 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2019 Q 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2019 Q 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 201904 |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| 202001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 202002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2020Q3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2020Q4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline C\&I Var index C\&I Var Name \& \[
\begin{gathered}
10 \\
\text { GASC }
\end{gathered}
\] \& \[
\begin{gathered}
11 \\
\text { GAS }
\end{gathered}
\] \& \[
\begin{gathered}
12 \\
\text { GASCI }
\end{gathered}
\] \& \[
\begin{gathered}
13 \\
\text { GSNC }
\end{gathered}
\] \& \[
\begin{gathered}
14 \\
\text { GSN }
\end{gathered}
\] \& \[
\begin{gathered}
15 \\
\text { GSNCI }
\end{gathered}
\] \& \[
\begin{gathered}
16 \\
C P
\end{gathered}
\] \& \[
\begin{gathered}
17 \\
\text { GSP }
\end{gathered}
\] \& \[
\begin{gathered}
18 \\
R G S p
\end{gathered}
\] \& \[
\begin{gathered}
19 \\
\text { POP }
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline Description
Start Year \& \begin{tabular}{l}
ENGI: Natural \\
Gas Consumption of C \& 1 \\
Customars
\end{tabular} \& ENGI: Natural Gas Consumption of Commercial Customers \& ENG!: Natural Gas Consurnesion of Industrial Customers \& \begin{tabular}{l}
ENGI: Normal \\
Natural Gas \\
Consumption of C \\
\& I Customers
\end{tabular} \& ENGI: Nemnal Natural Gas Consumption of Commercial Customers \& \begin{tabular}{l}
ENGI: Nomal \\
Natural Gas Consumption of industral Customers
\end{tabular} \& Consumer Price Index \& Gross State ProductAggregate \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Real Groses State Product- \\
Aggregate
\end{tabular} \& \\
\hline Start Period \& 1984 \& 1984 \& 1984 \& 1984 \& 1984 \& 1984 \& Price index 1984 \& \({ }_{1989}\) \& Aggregate 1984 \& Total Population \\
\hline Period / Year \& 4 \& 4 \& \({ }_{4}^{4}\) \& 4 \& 4 \& 4 \& 4 \& 4 \& 4 \& 1984
4 \\
\hline Period / Cyclo \& 4 \& - \(4_{4}^{4}\) \& 4 \& 4 \& 4 \& 4 \& 4 \& 4 \& 4 \& 4 \\
\hline 1984Q1 \& 1191738 \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& 4 \\
\hline 1984Q2 \& 546257 \& 15316 \& 1211,479
\(56: 574\) \& 1217009 \& 26741 \& 1243750 \& 102.4745 \& 13921.42 \& 0.00 \& \\
\hline 198403 \& 247883 \& 12668 \& 260552 \& 527048
248044 \& 15316 \& 542364 \& 102.8074 \& 14488.95 \& 0.00 \& 976.8630 \\
\hline 198404 \& 625813 \& 22189 \& 647801 \& 248044
670031 \& 12668
22189 \& 260713
692220 \& 103.8268
104.6483 \& 14845.54 \& 0.00 \& 981.7980 \\
\hline 188501 \& 1205009 \& 25148 \& 1230157 \& 1263182 \& 22189
25148 \& 692220
128831 \& 104.6483
106.6530 \& 15355.14 \& 0.00 \& 986.7579 \\
\hline 198502 \& 509528 \& 15133 \& 524661 \& 533513 \& 15133 \& 1288331
548646 \& 106.6530
108.1881 \& 15862.32 \& 0.00 \& 991.7429 \\
\hline 198503 \& 237564 \& 10588 \& 248152 \& 239603 \& 10588 \& 548646
250191 \& 108.1881 \& 16297.67 \& 0.00 \& 996.7530 \\
\hline 198504 \& 633791 \& 16082 \& 640873 \& 646237 \& 16082 \& 250191 \& 108.6814 \& 16826.34 \& 0.00 \& 1003.7541 \\
\hline 198601 \& 1257217 \& 29987 \& 1287204 \& 1307228 \& 29987 \& 662320
1337216 \& 111.5703 \& 17268.39 \& 0.00 \& 1010.8045 \\
\hline 188602 \& 515339 \& 16617 \& 531956 \& 558572 \& 16617 \& 1337216
575189 \& 110.2958 \& 17768.96 \& 0.00 \& 1017.9043 \\
\hline 198603 \& 241240 \& 13293 \& 254533 \& 237567 \& 13293 \& 575189
250880 \& 110.3331 \& 18166.74 \& 0.00 \& 1025.0540 \\
\hline 198604 \& 789816 \& 41331 \& 831147 \& 782957 \& 13293
41331 \& 250880 \& 110.1529 \& 18679.03 \& 0.00 \& 1032.2859 \\
\hline 188701 \& 1453030 \& 68314 \& 1521344 \& 1511736 \& 41331
68314 \& 824288
1580050 \& 112.1824 \& 19124.66 \& 0.00 \& 1039.5687 \\
\hline 198702 \& 600112 \& 28858 \& 628970 \& 629702 \& 28858 \& 1580050
658560 \& 113.3266 \& 19950,39 \& 0.00 \& 1046.9030 \\
\hline 198703 \& 287015 \& 11495 \& 298510 \& 289941 \& 11495 \& 658560 \& 114.8597 \& 20665.86 \& 0.00 \& 1054.2890 \\
\hline 198704 \& 802693 \& 103904 \& 906597 \& 808251 \& 103904 \& 301436 \& 115.8120 \& 21389.43 \& 0.00 \& 1081.2907 \\
\hline 158801 \& 1559007 \& 130185 \& \(168!192\) \& 1594184 \& 103904
1305 \& 912155
1724368 \& 119.0104
120.4813 \& 22299.88 \& 0.00 \& 1068.3389 \\
\hline 1988 Q2 \& 707388 \& 70970 \& 778358 \& 716495 \& 70970 \& 1724368
787485 \& 120.4813 \& 21829.23 \& 0.00 \& 1075.4339 \\
\hline 198803 \& 282133 \& 44145 \& 326278 \& 280135 \& 44145 \& 787485
324279 \& 123.1453 \& 22364.90 \& 0.00 \& 1082.5780 \\
\hline 198804 \& 921124 \& 97124 \& 1018249 \& 914606 \& 497124 \& 324279

1011730 \& 128.0685
127.4170 \& 22720.70 \& 0.00 \& 1088.0215 <br>
\hline 198901 \& 1640218 \& 142654 \& 1782872 \& 1725703 \& 142654 \& 18188358 \& 127.4170
1302364 \& 23159.34 \& 0.00 \& 1093.4944 <br>
\hline 198902 \& 735553 \& 80081 \& 815634 \& 726367 \& 142654
80081 \& 1888358
806449 \& 130.2364 \& 23269.59 \& 0.00 \& 1098.9949 <br>
\hline 198903 \& 276422 \& 76820 \& 353242 \& 281144 \& 76820 \& 806449
357964 \& 132.7346 \& 23470.38 \& 0.00 \& 1104.5230 <br>
\hline 198804 \& 913605 \& 20458 \& 1118188 \& 857648 \& 204583 \& 357964
106231 \& 133.8616 \& 23632.57 \& 0.00 \& 1106.4830 <br>
\hline 199001 \& 1381852 \& 34241 \& 1724270 \& 1451195 \& 204583 \& 1062231 \& 137.8583 \& 23688.24 \& 0.00 \& 1108.4465 <br>
\hline 199022 \& 605700 \& 15398 \& 759687 \& 161191 \& 361727
155333 \& 1812822
786524 \& 140.0840 \& 23855.06 \& 26630.39 \& 1110.4135 <br>
\hline 189003 \& 255770 \& 75613 \& 331383 \& 257210 \& 155333
75418 \& 786524
332828 \& 139.7830 \& 23658.55 \& 26368.37 \& 1112.3840 <br>
\hline 199024 \& 6879 \& 179549 \& 867485 \& 770294 \& $\begin{array}{r}75418 \\ \hline 199887\end{array}$ \& 332828 \& 144.3361 \& 23543.96 \& 26043.09 \& 1111.7697 <br>
\hline 199101 \& 1339478 \& 331855 \& 9671333 \& 1449951 \& 199887
357208 \& 970181
1807459 \& 148.0301 \& 23223.97 \& 25524.58 \& 1111.1558 <br>
\hline 199102 \& 5487 \& 148420 \& 697187 \& 1449951
611474 \& 357208
161501 \& 1807959
.72974 \& 150.5876 \& 23965.03 \& 26038.07 \& 1110.5422 <br>
\hline 199103 \& 253816 \& 85505 \& 339321 \& 255110 \& 161501
89353 \& 772874 \& 150.4335 \& 24344.52 \& 26272.06 \& 1109.9290 <br>
\hline 199104 \& 73333 \& 196808 \& 930142 \& 778185 \& 889353 \& ${ }^{344463}$ \& 151.6294 \& 24704.17 \& 26464.20 \& 1111.8876 <br>
\hline $1992 \mathrm{C1}$ \& 1487608 \& 379058 \& 1866766 \& 1543829 \& 207306 \& 985491 \& 153.2984 \& 25018.77 \& 26654.04 \& 1113.8496 <br>
\hline 199202 \& 687457 \& 205984 \& 893441 \& 643829
652603 \& 392263
196689 \& 1838182 \& 154.3808 \& 25603.19 \& 27160.75 \& 1115.8151 <br>
\hline 198203 \& 281343 \& 102766 \& 389109 \& 281184 \& 196669 \& 848272
38463 \& 155.4624 \& 26111.44 \& 27558.88 \& 1117.7840 <br>
\hline 199204 \& 828131 \& 237395 \& 1065526 \& 281884
805308 \& 103279 \& $\begin{array}{r}384463 \\ \hline 103659\end{array}$ \& 157.8577 \& 28604.95 \& 27864.59 \& 1120.6919 <br>
\hline 199301 \& 1621860 \& 427148 \& 204!1008 \& 805308
1601719 \& 231331 \& 1036639 \& 158.2196 \& 27154.68 \& 28407.33 \& 1123.6058 <br>
\hline 199302 \& 676104 \& 210784 \& 88¢5888 \& 1601719
678665 \& 421420
211928 \& 2023138 \& 160.6911 \& 27139.14 \& 28208.94 \& 1126.5281 <br>
\hline 199303 \& 294038 \& 119732 \& 413770 \& 2866943 \& 211828
122038 \& 800594 \& 160.1714 \& 27398.98 \& 28339.71 \& 1129.4580 <br>
\hline 1993Q4 \& 831773 \& 273284 \& 1105057 \& 286943
819958 \& 122038 \& 408961
1089107 \& 160.6923 \& 27630.37 \& 28473.16 \& 1132.7193 <br>
\hline 199401 \& 1859588 \& 501659 \& 2361347 \& 819958
1719776 \& 269149
468806 \& 1088107 \& 162.9581 \& 28122.86 \& 28844.20 \& 1135.9901 <br>
\hline 1894Q2 \& 721573 \& 234189 \& 95,5753 \& 719190 \& 468806
233414 \& 2188582 \& 163.4125 \& 28674.11 \& 29317.26 \& 1139.2703 <br>
\hline 199403 \& 297768 \& 138057 \& 435325 \& 78190
302151 \& 233414
139687 \& 851604
441837 \& 163.9126 \& 29194.20 \& 29766.03 \& 1142.5600 <br>
\hline 1994Q4 \& 761272 \& 215420 \& 1043344 \& 833299 \& 139687
227703 \& 441837 \& 186.0462 \& 29568.52 \& 29998.40 \& 1146.2819 <br>
\hline 1995Q1 \& 1598838 \& 354897 \& 2076039 \& 833299
1749939 \& 227703 \& 1137371 \& 168.1298 \& 30078.28 \& 30414.97 \& 1150.0360 <br>
\hline 1995Q2 \& 711852 \& 156176 \& 95:341 \& 699603 \& 386557 \& 2265184 \& 187.8976 \& 30983.61 \& 31293.57 \& 1153.7924 <br>
\hline 1995Q3 \& 287931 \& 81384 \& 43.119 \& 699603 \& 154185
80551 \& 937901 \& 188.3523 \& 31447.27 \& 31720.02 \& 1157.5610 <br>
\hline 199504 \& 926984 \& 205875 \& 1256186 \& 280326
906982 \& 80551
201867 \& 425815 \& 169.4686 \& 32135.41 \& 32347.28 \& 1161.8269 <br>
\hline 1996Q1 \& 1796226 \& 399770 \& 235 5436 \& 906982
1788084 \& 201867
398205 \& 1231694 \& 171.4813 \& 32807.00 \& 32950.78 \& 1166.1084 <br>
\hline 1996Q2 \& 749128 \& 197068 \& 106:389 \& 1788084
711952 \& 398205
191027 \& 2345126 \& 173.4123 \& 33280.26 \& 33477.73 \& 1170.4058 <br>
\hline 199603 \& 289711 \& 126704 \& 50:592 \& 711952
294095 \& 191027 \& 1016222 \& 174.7597 \& 34157.21 \& 34385.26 \& 1974.7190 <br>
\hline 199604 \& 1077657 \& 257890 \& 148:393 \& 2962287 \& 128649
255336 \& 516341 \& 175.1585 \& 34749.62 \& 35028.78 \& 1178.3784 <br>
\hline 199701 \& 1916186 \& 392439 \& 250:506 \& 2051539 \& 255336
416402 \& 1463374 \& 178.6147 \& 35538.30 \& 35791.40 \& 1182.0491 <br>
\hline 1997 Q2 \& 929400 \& 223903 \& 1312369 \& 20549414 \& 416402 \& 2667570 \& 179.6608 \& 35727.37 \& 35762.99 \& 1185.7313 <br>
\hline 199703 \& 335123 \& 103356 \& 60\%985 \& 83944
33614 \& 208675 \& 1218346
813572 \& 179.9009 \& 36330.66 \& 36401.55 \& 1189.4250 <br>
\hline 199704 \& 1127190 \& 217788 \& 165\%.342 \& 336261
1120879 \& 100427
216678 \& 613572
1648536 \& 180.6567 \& 36711.49 \& 36949.04 \& 1193.5324 <br>
\hline 199801 \& 1862497 \& 293834 \& 256:,236 \& 1120879
2129478 \& 216678
337595 \& 1648536
2885792 \& 181.4077 \& 37306.48 \& 37314.42 \& 1197.6540 <br>
\hline 199862 \& 787188 \& 129639 \& 124:146 \& 129478
864127 \& 337585
135627 \& ${ }^{2885792}$ \& 183.5020 \& 38110.50 \& 38412.85 \& 1201.7899 <br>
\hline 199803 \& 340074 \& 87079 \& 739:407 \& 324981 \& 135627
88816 \& 1329343 \& 184.4057 \& 38569.93 \& 39011.29 \& 1205.9400 <br>
\hline 199864 \& 947205 \& 159172 \& 152\%304 \& 324881
1013731 \& 88816
167270 \& 730865
1615225 \& 183.5080 \& 38288.06 \& 39801.89 \& 1209.9386 <br>
\hline 199901 \& 2071379 \& 294488 \& 291:237 \& 2188687 \& 167270
311022 \& 1615225
3062754 \& 185.8773 \& 40173.52 \& 40745.87 \& 1213.9504 <br>
\hline 199962 \& 787572 \& 104547 \& 1296568 \& 811953 \& 311022
107480 \& 3062754
1328782 \& 186.5375 \& 39887.58 \& 40198.40 \& 1217.9755 <br>
\hline 199903 \& 311611 \& 42623 \& 707286 \& 317095 \& 107480
43025 \& 1328782
713371 \& 188.2712 \& 39929.80
40311.35 \& 40377.99 \& 1222.0140 <br>
\hline 199904 \& 955724 \& 132974 \& 1607715 \& 1018365 \& 142022 \& 713371 \& 188.3838 \& 40311.35 \& 40697.59 \& 1228.6047 <br>
\hline 200001 \& 2223101 \& 323356 \& 314!:562 \& 2284015 \& 142022
3399 \& 1694881
3228110 \& 192.1140 \& 40979.27 \& 41270.02 \& 1231.1953 <br>
\hline 200002 \& 847122 \& 117190 \& 1363/63 \& 878348 \& 121216 \& 3288110
1402712 \& 185.0051
196.2250 \& 42370.03 \& 42496.59 \& 1235.7860 <br>
\hline 200003 \& 344554 \& 55808 \& 76.456 \& 344011 \& + 55052 \& 1402712
767041 \& 196.2250 \& 43480.92 \& 43538.29 \& 1240.5540 <br>
\hline 200004 \& 1062358 \& 173857 \& 176!586 \& 1048874 \& 55052
171616 \& 767041
1752792 \& 198.9644 \& 43908.45 \& 43855.41 \& 1245.0277 <br>
\hline 200101 \& 2297297 \& 336549 \& 3261793 \& 2254173 \& 171616
340838 \& 1752792
3207369 \& 201.2379
204.1178 \& 44564.59 \& 44445.70 \& 1249.5176 <br>
\hline 200102 \& 769362 \& 686511 \& 165 P 177 \& 750964 \& 340838
720668 \& 3207369 \& 204.1178
206.0262 \& 44057.51
44439.53 \& 43706.81 \& 1254.0237 <br>
\hline 200103 \& 121522 \& 507712 \& 689692 \& 119467 \& 513688 \& 1657025
697853 \& 206.0262 \& 44439.53 \& 43785.77 \& 1258.5460 <br>
\hline 200104 \& 358651 \& 4058958 \& 156\%i401 \& 409164 \& 513688
1179613 \& 697953
1761386 \& 206.1879 \& 44377.93 \& 43577.22 \& 1262.5568 <br>
\hline 200201 \& 908433 \& 1786590 \& 2858.238 \& 1046733 \& 2051909 \& 1761386
327263 \& 206.7880 \& 44689.03 \& - 43694.20 \& 1266.5804 <br>
\hline 200202 \& 396685 \& 1099808 \& 180\%68! \& 403191 \& 1098811 \& 3272633
1795819 \& 207.7972 \& 45409.13
45867 \& - 44126.53 \& 1270.6167 <br>
\hline 2002 Q \& 138077 \& 387687 \& 74.105 \& 133585 \& 1098811
45353 \& $\begin{array}{r}1795819 \\ \hline 936134\end{array}$ \& 208.9896 \& 45887.07 \& 44388.04 \& 1274.6660 <br>
\hline 200204 \& 507081 \& 1124517 \& 190:100 \& 495274 \& +1107812 \& 1936134
1874000 \& 210.0053 \& 46398.15 \& 44670.85 \& 1277.8858 <br>
\hline $2003 \mathrm{Q1}$ \& 1277318 \& 2354793 \& 3781479 \& 1345115 \& 17078194 \& 1874000
3965185 \& 213.5279 \& 46745.66 \& 44714.58 \& 1281.1137 <br>
\hline 2003Q2 \& 464408 \& 1138198 \& 179\%405 \& 410592 \& 2466194
1027287 \& ${ }_{1635185}$ \& 215.4818 \& 47123.45 \& 44991.97 \& 1284,3498 <br>
\hline 2003 Q 3 \& 144987 \& 471592 \& 854594 \& 145260 \& 1027287
471171 \& 1632221 \& 218.6297 \& 47656.52 \& 45448.36 \& 1287.5940 <br>
\hline 200304 \& 468892 \& 1104725 \& 181:399 \& ${ }_{396636}$ \& 471171 \& 854547 \& 218.0900 \& 48695.54 \& 46299.44 \& 1290.4780 <br>
\hline 200401 \& 1309709 \& 23038.53 \& 37? $\%$ ? 2 \& 396636
1445930 \& 962525
253581 \& ${ }^{1602778}$ \& 221.2831 \& 49320.49 \& - 46756.23 \& 1293.3686 <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& 253351 \& 4443848 \& 222.3654 \& 50514.78 \& - 47506.08 \& 1296.2655 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

| C\&I Var Index C\&IVar Name | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ \text { GASC } \end{gathered}$ | GAS! | 12 GASCI | $\begin{gathered} 13 \\ \text { GSNC } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14 \\ \text { GSNI } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15 \\ \text { GSNCI } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16 \\ & \text { CPI } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17 \\ \text { GSP } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18 \\ \text { RGSP } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19 \\ \mathrm{POP} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Description | ENGI: Natural <br> Gas Consumption of $\mathrm{C} \mathrm{Bl}_{1}$ <br> Customers | ENGI: Natural Gas Consumption of Commercial Customers | ENGI: Natural <br> Gas Consumpilion of industrial <br> Customers | ENGI: Normal <br> Natural Gas <br> Consumption of C <br> \& 1 Customers | ENGI: Normal <br> Natural Gas <br> Consumplion of Commercial Customers | ENGI: Normal <br> Natural Gas <br> Consumption of Industrial <br> Customers |  |  | Roal Gross State Product- <br> Aggregate |  |
| Start Year | 1984 | 1984 | $\because 984$ | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 |
| Start Period | 4 | - |  | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | - 4 |  |
| Period / Year | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Period / Cycte | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 200402 | 423536 | 993500 | 163:400 | 399819 | 958929 | 1573135 | 225.8373 | 51525.29 | 48053.73 | 1299.1690 |
| 2004 Q3 | 148840 | 495655 | 89:172 | 148664 | 494174 | 889515 | 225.0902 | 52286.95 | 48660.33 | 1301.8534 |
| 200404 | 451682 | 1031963 | 170:329 | 389334 | 911340 | 1517194 | 227.8726 | 53153.00 | 49190.69 | 1304.5434 |
| 2005Q1 | 1230242 | 2188883 | 3590249 | 1370077 | 2421452 | 3969780 | 229.1702 | 54039.51 | 49651.03 | 1307.2389 |
| 200502 | 454405 | 1092152 | $175 \mathrm{mitc5}$ | 417098 | 1019495 | 1645482 | 233.4505 | 54774.72 | 50023.85 | 1309.9400 |
| 200503 | 145812 | 497814 | 712383 | 145910 | 483423 | 708090 | 236.8629 | 55720.46 | 50.494 .77 | 1312.7878 |
| 2005Q4 | 478401 | 1113493 | 1591894 | 411375 | 999434 | 1410809 | 237.9245 | 56310.66 | 50616.27 | 1315.7833 |
| 2006Q1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 239.3080 | 57628.09 | 51387.60 | 1318.9273 |
| 200602 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 240.4924 | 58496.71 | 51893.18 | 1322.2208 |
| 200603 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 240.9598 | 59177.66 | 52269.32 | 1325.6648 |
| 2006Q4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 241.9080 | 58832.92 | 52592.77 | 1329.1158 |
| 2007Q1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 242.9320 | 60473.02 | 52888.57 | 1332.5735 |
| 2007 Q2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 243.8489 | 61103.39 | 53245.86 | 1336.0384 |
| 2007 Q3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 244.9061 | 61789.96 | 53646.33 | 1339.5648 |
| 2007Q4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 246.1188 | 62563.78 | 54094.72 | 1343.0988 |
| 2008Q1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 247,4104 | 63411.33 | 54540.22 | 1346.6398 |
| 200802 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 248.4899 | 64281.08 | 55051.28 | 1350.1886 |
| 2008Q3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 249.6398 | 85124.93 | 55534.69 | 1353.7831 |
| 2008Q4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 250.7177 | 66026.64 | 56073.11 | 1357.3854 |
| 2009Q1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 251.8801 | 66949.77 | 56560.55 | 1360.9951 |
| 2009Q2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 252.9048 | 67853.68 | 57068.00 | 1364.6129 |
| 2009 Q 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 253.9322 | 68680.18 | 57524.68 | 1368.2252 |
| 2009Q4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 254.9778 | 68530.31 | 58005.98 | 1371.8453 |
| 201004 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 256.2329 | 70398.21 | 58441.16 | 1375.4734 |
| 2010Q2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 257.3748 | 71296.48 | 58935.54 | 1379.1090 |
| 201003 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 258.5414 | 72102.80 | 59351.66 | 1382.5753 |
| 2010Q4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 259.7872 | 72988.46 | 59805.39 | 1386.0482 |
| 201101 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 261.0562 | 73887.63 | 60260.22 | 1389.5285 |
| 2011Q2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 262.3007 | 74748.54 | 60700.16 | 1383.0150 |
| 201103 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 283.8250 | 75561.69 | 61093.31 | 1396.3656 |
| 2011Q4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 264.9472 | 76445.39 | 61538.10 | 1399.7216 |
| 201201 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 286.2575 | 77349.46 | 61965.71 | 1403.0838 |
| 2012Q2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 267.6442 | 78238.77 | -62402.78 | 1406.4543 |
| 2012Q3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 268.0481 | 79067.33 | 62794.61 | 1409.8062 |
| 201204 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 270.4765 | -79978.00 | 63246.70 | 1413.1664 |
| 2013Q1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 274.9462 | -80937.50 | 63697.04 | 1496.5355 |
| 201302 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 273.3823 | 81870.22 | 64156.56 | 1419.9125 |
| 2013Q3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 274.8083 | 82777.18 | 64605.26 | 1423.1885 |
| 201304 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 278.2041 | 63719.07 | 7 65082.96 | 1426,4717 |
| $2014 \mathrm{Q}_{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 277.6452 | - 84748.46 | 6 65583.05 | 1429,7629 |
| 201402 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 279.1088 | 855740.10 | 66077.86 | 9433.0612 |
| 201403 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 280.5180 | -86678.81 | 166537.63 | 1436.1951 |
| 2014Q4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 281.8092 | 287677.07 | $7 \quad 67045.74$ | 1439.3351 |
| 201501 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 283.3054 | $4 \quad 88750.57$ | $7 \quad 67573.20$ | - 1442.4819 |
| 2015Q2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 284.7407 | $7 \quad 89820.44$ | 4 68109.96 | 1445.6344 |
| 2015Q3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 288.1709 | 980840.91 | 1 68813.88 | 1448.7855 |
| 2015Q4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 287.5183 | $3 \quad 91925.26$ | $6 \quad 69167.66$ | -1451.9425 |
| 2016Q1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 288.9131 | 1 93094.04 | $4 \quad 69741.90$ | 1455.1059 |
| 201602 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 290.3538 | $8 \quad 94183.98$ | 970279.08 | -1458.2748 |
| 2016Q3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 291.7824 | $4 \quad 95209.67$ | $7 \quad 70765.67$ | 71461.4132 |
| 2016Q4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 283.2204 | $4 \quad 96347.15$ | $5 \quad 71328.18$ | 1464.5570 |
| 2017Q1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 294.7927 | $7 \quad 97577.23$ | 371883.85 | -1467.7070 |
| 2017Q2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 296.3936 | 888771.34 | 3472431.16 | 1470.8620 |
| 2017Q3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 298.0099 | 9 99952.90 | - 72962.00 | 1474.0546 |
| 201704 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 299.6334 | 101228.97 | $7 \quad 73553.67$ | 1477.2527 |
| 201801 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 301.2300 | 102544.75 | 754137.85 | 1480.4568 |
| 2018Q2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 302.8437 | 103812.71 | 7174710.82 | 1483.6662 |
| 2018Q3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 304.5028 | 88105011.95 | 575226.78 | - 1486.8267 |
| 201804 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 306.1980 | 106337.05 | 75899.98 | 81489.9923 |
| 201901 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 307.9166 | $66 \quad 107701.61$ | 76401.10 | 1493.1636 |
| 201902 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 309.6486 | $36 \quad 109026.16$ | $16 \quad 76979.91$ | 11496.3399 |
| 2019 Q 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 311.3747 | 47110320.35 | $35 \quad 77535.48$ | $8 \quad 1499.3467$ |
| 201904 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 313.1019 | 19 111705.45 | $45 \quad 78148.19$ | 91502.3577 |
| 202001 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 314.7843 | 43 113128.86 | $86 \quad 78751.32$ | 21505.3735 |
| 202002 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 316.4897 | 114484.48 | $48 \quad 79332.55$ | $5 \quad 1508.3934$ |
| 2020Q3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 318.2606 | 1966 19598.04 | 04 79877.87 | $7 \quad 1511.1360$ |
| 202004 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 320.0713 | $13 \quad 177225.16$ | $16 \quad 80484.06$ | 6 1513.8815 |




| CdI Var Index C\&I Var Name | $\begin{gathered} 29 \\ \text { HSTT } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30 \\ \text { HSOLD } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 31 \\ \text { HiNC } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32 \\ & \mathrm{PCl} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 33 \\ \mathrm{RPCl} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 34 \\ \text { PINC } \end{gathered}$ | 35 RPINC | $\begin{gathered} 36 \\ \text { RPIR } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 37 \\ \text { RPTR } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Description | Housing Starts, Total Private | Home Sales, Existing Singlefamily units | Per Capita <br> Personal income - <br> By Place of <br> Residence |  | Raal Per Capita Personal income | Personal Income, Total, By Place of Re Residence | Real Personal income. Total | Real income, Rosidence Adjustment | Real Noniarm Proprietors in come |
| Start Year | 1984 | 1984 | 1 1384 | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 |
| Start Period | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Period / Year | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Period / Cyole | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 1984Q1 | 10.9670 | 15.200 | 39.2\%09 | 14.1131 | 22.0593 | 13720.00 | 21444.87 | 2067.90 | 1569.29 |
| 1984Q2 | 10.4638 | 16.300 | 39.68849 | 14.3070 | 22.1474 | 13976.00 | 21635.01 | 2136.26 | 1574.33 |
| 198403 | 10.5741 | 12.600 | 40.4733 | 14.6293 | 22.4727 | 14363.00 | 22063.66 | 2975.18 | 1569.94 |
| 1984Q4 | 12.5708 | 11.700 | 41.3541 | 14.9824 | 22.8718 | 14784.00 | 22568.92 | 2202.85 | 1589.17 |
| 198501 | 14.2171 | 13.200 | 42.1468 | 15.4244 | 23.2954 | 15297.00 | 23103.06 | 2245.82 | 1748.93 |
| 198502 | 17.5902 | 14,700 | 42.9:66 | 15.6468 | 23.4536 | 15596.00 | 23377.40 | 2264.89 | 1789.23 |
| 198503 | 14.2518 | 16.300 | 43.3019 | 15.7798 | 23.5014 | 15839.00 | 23589.60 | 2257.83 | 1828.91 |
| 198504 | 16.4333 | 13.900 | 44.26, 12 | 16.1475 | 23.8615 | 16322.00 | 24119.28 | 2277.16 | 1866.36 |
| 198601 | 21.1709 | 14.600 | 45,\%92 | 16.6106 | 24.3704 | 16908.00 | 24806.70 | 2285.83 | 1888.23 |
| 1986Q2 | 18.5142 | 14.300 | 46.1:99 | 16.8606 | 24.7223 | 17283.00 | 25341.64 | 2302.05 | 1953.08 |
| 198603 | 18.2991 | 14.600 | 46.3199 | 16.9682 | 24.6943 | 17516.00 | 25491.54 | 2344.53 | 1990.89 |
| 198604 | 17.8086 | 17.500 | 47.0:49 | 17.2389 | 24.9106 | 17921.00 | 25896.28 | 2385.73 | 2027.37 |
| 198701 | 14.9018 | 15.800 | 47.71.03 | 17.5394 | 25.0619 | 18362.00 | 26237.43 | 2389.12 | 2170.50 |
| 198702 | 15.3460 | 15.400 | 48.6. 71 | 17.8822 | 25.3339 | 18853.00 | 26709.26 | 2404.16 | 2290.82 |
| 198703 | 14.4790 | 15.100 | 49.95:37 | 18.3861 | 25.7848 | 19513.00 | 27385.16 | 2441.59 | 2379.88 |
| 198704 | 13.8979 | 14.400 | 51.5:\% 4 | 18.9846 | 26.4002 | 20282.00 | 28204.31 | 2476.67 | 2440.52 |
| 198881 | 18.3978 | 14.100 | 51.71778 | 19.1207 | 26.3610 | 20563.00 | 28349.46 | 2502.27 | 2494.00 |
| 198862 | 11.8505 | 14.000 | 52.36,35 | 19.3326 | 26.3613 | 20929.00 | 28538.12 | 2541.69 | 2496.69 |
| 198803 | 11.3556 | 14.600 | 53.0:002 | 19.5814 | 26.3854 | 21305.00 | 28707.91 | 2548.07 | 2499.56 |
| 198804 | 9.5636 | 12.700 | 54.266 | 20.0413 | 26.7438 | 21915.00 | 29244.18 | 2580.80 | 2522.08 |
| 198901 | 8.7877 | 10.000 | 55.2785 | 20.4105 | 26.9172 | 22431.00 | 29581.81 | 2570.32 | 2537.35 |
| 198902 | 7.2648 | 9.600 | 55.?/43 | 20.4414 | 26.6112 | 22578.00 | 29392.70 | 2550.28 | 2473.48 |
| 198903 | 7.1295 | 9.700 | 55.4738 | 20.4775 | 26.4896 | 22658.00 | 28310.26 | 2549.67 | 2444.89 |
| 188904 | 6.1527 | 10.000 | 55.7097 | 20.5639 | 26.3833 | 22794.00 | 29244.45 | 2557.00 | 2406.89 |
| 199001 | 5.6999 | 9.400 | 55.: 127 | 20.3798 | 25.7659 | 22630.00 | 28610.80 | 2510.87 | 2233.99 |
| 189002 | 4.4519 | 8.400 | 55,55:47 | 20.5909 | 25.7557 | 22905.00 | 28650.23 | 2514.17 | 2180.19 |
| 199003 | 3.8479 | 8.300 | 56.1827 | 20.6778 | 25.5440 | 22989.00 | 28399.01 | 2489.19 | 2149.47 |
| 199004 | 4.7041 | 7.700 | $55 . \% 353$ | 20.4688 | 24.9625 | 22744.00 | 27737.26 | 2446.40 | 2089.08 |
| 198104 | 3.2458 | 8.000 | 56.3812 | 20.9564 | 25.3667 | 23273.00 | 28170.77 | 2843.62 | 2028.71 |
| 199102 | 4.0878 | 10.100 | 56.6156 | 21.1122 | 25.4143 | 23433.00 | 28208.06 | 2830.25 | 2037.99 |
| 199103 | 3.7131 | 9.900 | 56.6062 | 21.1379 | 25.2665 | 23503.00 | 28093.47 | 2620.13 | 2067.89 |
| 199104 | 4.0311 | 10.600 | 57.3071 | 21.4239 | 25.4054 | 23863.00 | 28297.84 | 2608.86 | 2072.85 |
| 198201 | 3.8825 | 12.300 | 57:3842 | 21.4901 | 25.2878 | 23979.00 | 28216.56 | 2800.55 | 2140.45 |
| 199202 | 4.0178 | 13.000 | 58.: $: 36$ | 21.7949 | 25.4834 | 24362.00 | 28484.81 | 2610.90 | 2187.64 |
| 199203 | 4.1189 | 12.000 | 58.5/77 | 21.9722 | 25.5125 | 24624.00 | 28591.67 | 2585.13 | 2231.69 |
| 199204 | 4.6983 | 13.000 | 60.3 ; 69 | 22.6156 | 26.0948 | 25419.00 | 28320.27 | 2664.22 | 2314.61 |
| 199301 | 4.0611 | 13.300 | 58.1931 | 21.8654 | 25.0992 | 24632.00 | 28274.94 | 2616.05 | 2338.26 |
| 199302 | 4.7013 | 13.200 | 59.5:97 | 22.2744 | 25.4048 | 25158.00 | 28683.63 | 2691.67 | 2367.75 |
| 1993 Q3 | 4.3221 | 14.300 | 60.6319 | 22.5581 | 25.6403 | 25552.00 | 29043.29 | 2723.38 | 2414.21 |
| 199304 | 4.3589 | 16.500 | 60.1479 | 22.6683 | 25.6304 | 25751.00 | 29115.93 | 2763.36 | 2385.89 |
| 1994Q1 | 3.9091 | 16.000 | 61.035 | 22.9480 | 25.8441 | 26144.00 | 29443.43 | 2691.62 | 2316.60 |
| 199402 | 4.7133 | 316.800 | 62.8:74 | 23.5130 | 26.3368 | 26865.00 | 30091.40 | 2785.68 | 2408.21 |
| 199403 | 4.5663 | - 16.100 | -63.2:55 | 23.6842 | 26.2953 | 27148.00 | 30142.11 | 2755.63 | 2395.91 |
| $1994 \mathrm{Q4}$ | 4.8760 | - 16.000 | 64.2:76 | 24.1132 | - 26.6526 | 27731.00 | 30651.47 | 2778.76 | 2420.64 |
| 189501 | 4.8801 | - 16.500 | 64.5373 | 24.2799 | 26.7080 | - 28014.00 | - 30815.43 | 2685.30 | 2333.10 |
| 199502 | 4.4123 | 316.300 | 65.7\%85 | 24.7970 | 27.1266 | 28704.00 | - 31400.69 | 2636.42 | 2310.42 |
| 199503 | 4.3126 | - 17.100 | -65.4.50 | 24.7317 | 26.9406 | - 28734.00 | - 31300.31 | 2709.12 | 2287.56 |
| 199504 | 3.7099 | - 17.300 | -66.u:10 | 24.9874 | - 27.1057 | - 29138.00 | - 31608.18 | - 2717.36 | 2314.66 |
| 199601 | 4.5324 | $4 \quad 17.900$ | 068.2732 | 25.8773 | - 27.8977 | - 30287.00 | - 32651.63 | - 2746.93 | 2362.06 |
| 199602 | 4.6256 | - 19.400 | 69.1:62 | 26.2565 | - 28.1263 | 330844.00 <br> 319190 | - 33040.53 | - 2792.86 | 2440.23 |
| 199603 | 4.9797 | 720.900 | - 69.9106 | 26.5713 | - 28.3502 | - 31311.00 | - 33407.31 | 2819.95 | 2518.00 |
| 199604 | 5.1810 | 20.000 | -70.s5:57 | 26.8483 | $3 \quad 28.4555$ | $5 \quad 31736.00$ | - 33635.75 | -2860.56 | 2526.71 |
| 199701 | 5.2107 | - 21.000 | 69.7742 | 26.5752 | 28.0385 | $5 \quad 31511.00$ | - 33246.11 | 2964.73 | 2575.41 |
| 198702 | 5.0475 | 522.800 | - 70.7\%28 | 26.9828 | - 28.4146 | - 32094.00 | 33787.03 | 2947.53 | 2606.33 |
| 199703 | 5.4972 | 224.400 | $0 \quad 71.8129$ | 27.4027 | - 28.7789 | - 32706.00 | - 34348.55 | - 2965.83 | 2629.75 |
| 198704 | 5.6876 | - 25.300 | 0 72.9:82 | 27.8620 | 29.1638 | $8 \quad 33369.00$ | - 34928.19 | 3018.76 | 2670.20 |
| 189801 | 5.8882 | 224.800 | $0 \quad 73.8 \times 31$ | 28.2196 | 629.5153 | $3 \quad 33914.00$ | O 35471.19 | 2982.95 | 2819.42 |
| 199802 | 5.6268 | B 29.900 | $0 \quad 75.2026$ | 28.8033 | 30.0751 | $1 \quad 34735.00$ | 036268.81 | 3085.49 | 2896.49 |
| 199863 | 5.4691 | 1 25.900 | 0 76.9:28 | 29.4627 | $7 \quad 30.6622$ | 235648.00 | - 37099.33 | 3100.28 | 3024.31 |
| 199804 | 5.6110 | - 25.600 | $0 \quad 78.11362$ | 29.9032 | 231.0061 | 136301.00 | O 37639.85 | $5 \quad 3107.54$ | 3137.60 |
| 199901 | 6.2491 | 123.500 | ( 77.1690 | 29.6032 | 230.6176 | 6 36056.00 | - 37281.47 | $7 \quad 3389.28$ | 3092.45 |
| 198902 | 6.0655 | $5 \quad 28.100$ | $0 \quad 78.1377$ | 29.9997 | $7 \quad 30.8261$ | 136660.00 | $0 \quad 37669.93$ | $3 \begin{aligned} & 3427.90\end{aligned}$ | 3142.24 |
| 199903 | 6.4957 | $7 \quad 28.700$ | $0 \quad 79.4135$ | 30.5037 | $7 \quad 31.9724$ | $4 \quad 37416.00$ | O 38236.17 | $7 \quad 3537.89$ | 3177.15 |
| 199904 | 5.8055 | $5 \quad 26.200$ | -81.1508 | 31.1616 | $6 \quad 31.6561$ | 1 38366.00 | - 38974.79 | 3620.55 | 3257.89 |
| 200001 | 6.3488 | $8 \quad 23.000$ | -86.3042 | 33.1425 | $5 \quad 33.3774$ | $4 \quad 40957.00$ | $0 \quad 41247.38$ | 8 3881.03 | 3441.23 |
| 200002 | 5.7116 | $6 \quad 28.500$ | 85.! ${ }^{178}$ | 32.9917 | $7 \quad 33.0654$ | 40928.00 | $0 \quad 41019.47$ | $7 \quad 3959.83$ | 3497.80 |
| 200003 | 6.0894 | $4 \quad 31.200$ | 87.1:24 | 33.4442 | $2 \quad 33.3645$ | 4 41638.00 | $0 \quad 41539.72$ | 24128.13 | 3508.61 |
| 200004 | 7.2828 | $8 \quad 26.000$ | 87.9:98 | 33.7658 | 833.5354 | 42191.00 | O 41903.13 | $3 \quad 4101.82$ | 3515.85 |
| 200101 | 6.2338 | $8 \quad 20.900$ | 88.5.36 | 33.9770 | O 33.4742 | $42 \quad 42608.00$ | - 41877.50 | - 4022.58 | 3483.68 |
| 200102 | 5.9381 | $1 \quad 27.400$ | 803:26 | 33.8589 | 93.1476 | 42613.00 | 41797.74 | $4 \quad 3953.17$ | 3508.70 |
| 2001 Q 3 | 6.8401 | 130.400 | 800 87.3:54 | 33.6809 | 9 32.9265 | 542524.00 | - 41571.59 | $9 \quad 3895.75$ | 3545.77 |
| 200104 | 6.1115 | $5 \quad 24.900$ | 88.1197 | 33.7531 | 32.9501 | 42751.00 | - 41733.94 | 4 3858.96 | 3548.52 |
| 200201 | 8.4866 | $6 \quad 12.000$ | -88.1508 | 33.8741 | 132.9922 | 2243041.00 | - 41920.47 | $7 \quad 3762.43$ | 3651.40 |
| 200202 | 5.1103 | $3 \quad 25.300$ | 8900 2032 | 34.2035 | 3533.0836 | 43598.00 | 0042170.53 | 33759.73 | 3643.66 |
| 2002Q3 | 8.7210 | $0 \quad 29.600$ | 88.1186 | 33.9780 | - 32.7212 | $12 \quad 43420.00$ | 0041813.93 | 3709.52 | 3592.99 |
| 200204 | 7.1653 | 327.300 | 88.:066 | 33.9658 | 858.5754 | 43514.00 | 00 $\quad 41732.84$ | $4 \quad 3698.12$ | 3611.85 |
| 2003Q1 | 7.1381 | $1 \quad 10.900$ | 88.4 194 | 34.0530 | $30 \quad 32.4157$ | 43736.00 | - 41633.11 | $11 \quad 3558.27$ | 3560.18 |
| 200302 | 7.6789 | 9 26.500 | 89.4;08 | 34.2460 | 60 32.5471 | $71 \quad 44095.00$ | ( 41907.43 | 3571.56 | 3634.29 |
| 200303 | 8.5369 | 39.600 | 600 89.b.565 | 34.5756 | 5632.7005 | 44619.00 | - 42199.29 | $29 \quad 3631.76$ | 3719.71 |
| 2003Q4 | 7.8913 | $3 \quad 30.400$ | - 90 ¢5149 | 34.9761 | -32.9727 | $27 \quad 45237.00$ | 42645.84 | 343655.87 | 3760.51 |
| 200401 | 8.1195 | 11.500 | 0002.9140 | 35.6894 | 4 33.3295 | $95 \quad 46263.00$ | $00 \quad 43202.53$ | 53 3518.58 | 3813.83 |


| C\&IVar indax C\&IVar Name | $\begin{gathered} 29 \\ H S \Pi \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30 \\ \text { HSOLO } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 31 \\ \text { HiNC } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32 \\ & \mathrm{PCl} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 33 \\ \mathrm{RPCl} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 34 \\ \text { PINC } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35 \\ \text { RPINC } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 36 \\ R P I R \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 37 \\ \text { RPTR } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Description | Housing Starts, Total Private | Home Sales. Existing Singlefamily units | Average <br> Household Income | Por Capita <br> Personal income - <br> By Place of <br> Residence | Real Per Capita Personal income | Personal income, Total, By Place of Residence | Real Personal income, Total | Real Income, Residence Adiustment | Real Nonfam Proprietors |
| Start Year <br> Start Period | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 | Income, Tolal 1984 | Adjustment 198 | Income |
| Period / Year | 4 | - 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 1984 4 | 1984 4 | 984 4 | 1984 |
| Period; Cycle | 4 | 4 4 | 4 | - 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
|  | . 4 | - 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 2004Q2 | 8.0703 | 27.600 | 94.0-136 | 36.1816 | 33.4739 |  |  |  |  |
| 2004Q3 | 7.8167 | 35.200 | 95.5407 | 36.7914 | 33.47391 | 47006.00 47897.00 | 43488.24 | 3669.20 | 3936.57 |
| 2005Q4 | 8.8152 77079 | 31.100 | 97.7927 | 37.6477 | 34.4361 | 47897.00 49113.00 | 441523,44 | 3643.86 3663.36 | 3993.22 |
| 200502 | 7.7079 7.6460 | 27.478 | 97.9138 | 37.7651 | 34.3519 | 49368.00 | 44906.13 | 3682.15 | 3991.73 |
| 200503 | 8.0034 | 24.277 21.449 | 98.1333 | 38.1804 | 34.4489 | 50014.00 | 45125.96 | 3634.33 | 4053.27 |
| 200504 | 6.8656 | 21.449 18.951 | $98.99 \% 10$ | 38.5325 | 34.4514 | 50585.00 | 45227.37 | 3867.54 | 4123.36 4159.29 |
| 2006Q1 | 8.7324 | 18.351 21.592 | 9899991 1009491 | 38.9722 | 34.5898 | 51279.00 | 45525.89 | 3689.73 | 4159.29 4178.91 |
| 200602 | 6.0648 | 19.975 | 100.9891 107.9243 | 39.3961 39.8146 | 34.8162 | 51960.85 | 45920.05 | 3686.36 | 4178.91 4122.75 |
| 2006 Q3 | 5.8650 | 19.720 | 107.9243 102.9589 | 39.8146 40.2711 | 35.0348 | 52643.75 | 46323.71 | 3709.15 | 4139.63 |
| 200604 | 5.8671 | 18.280 | 102.9589 103530 | 40.2711 40.6575 | 35.3306 | 53385.99 | 46836,47 | 3744.97 | 4182.86 |
| 2007Q1 | 5.8433 | 18.089 | 104.6593 | 40.6575 41.0119 | 35.5268 35.6855 | 54038.56 54651.31 | 47219,21 | 3770.02 | 4209.51 |
| 2007Q2 | 5.8408 | 18.281 | 105.5991 | 41.4217 | 35.6855 35.8950 | 54651.31 55340.83 | 47553.56 | 3783.91 | 4233.43 |
| 2007Q3 | 5.8550 | 17.465 | 106.5054 | 41.8183 | 35.0950 36.0824 | 55340.03 56018.30 | 47957.07 48334.68 | 3806.35 | 4262.85 |
| $2007 \mathrm{Q4}$ | 5.8793 | 17.601 | 107.4416 | 42.2311 | 36.0824 36.2674 | 56018.30 56720.53 | 48334.68 48710.65 | 3829.24 | 4294.27 |
| 200801 | 5.8672 | 17.314 | 108.4192 | 42.6628 | 36.2674 36.4573 | 56720.53 57451.40 | 48710.65 | 3849.47 | 4331.61 |
| 2008Q2 | 5.8399 | 17.468 | 109.5337 | 42.6828 43.1453 | 36.4573 | 57451.40 58254.23 | 48094.87 48564.14 | 3869.43 | 4374.07 |
| 2008Q3 | 5.8386 | 16.733 | 110.5:599 | 43.5956 | 36.9278 | 58254.23 59018.00 | 48564.14 | 3893.40 | 4423.71 |
| 2008Q4 | 5.8383 | 17.057 | 111.6275 | 43.5956 44.0590 | 36.9278 37.1641 | 59019.00 58805.02 | 48992.21 | 3916.70 | 4467.48 |
| 2009Q1 | 5.7857 | 16.848 | $112.6 / 57$ | 44.5199 | 37.1644 37.3872 | 58805.02 60591.38 | 50446.07 | 3939.45 | 4515.12 |
| 2009Q2 | 5.7635 | 17.233 | 113.8 .123 | 44.51927 | 37.3872 37.6601 | 60591.38 | 50883.82 | 3961.10 | 4568.64 |
| 200903 | 5.7702 | 17.059 | 114.9772 | 45.0267 45.5200 | 37.6601 37.9197 | 81444.03 6228166 | 51391.41 | 3984.24 | 4625.99 |
| 200904 | 5.8014 | 17.307 | 116.0481 | 45.58893 | 37.9197 38.1593 | 62281.66 | 51682.71 | 4007.44 | 4672.41 |
| 201001 | 5.7578 | 17.634 | 116.9834 | 45.9893 46.4053 | 38.1593 38.3328 | 63090.49 | 52348.86 | 4031.01 | 4719.52 |
| 201002 | 5.7776 | 17.910 | 118.0115 | 46.4053 46.8661 | 38.3328 38.5504 | 63829.30 | 52725.78 | 4052.19 | 4765.16 |
| 201003 | 5.8189 | 18.474 | 119.0358 | 46.8661 47.3078 | 38.5501 38.7452 | 64633.52 | 53184.85 | 4073.97 | 4818.34 |
| 201004 | 5.8335 | 18.391 | 120.0419 | 47.3078 47.7408 | 38.7452 38.9219 | 65406.72 | 53568.20 | 4095.94 | 4862.76 |
| 201101 | 5.8492 | 18.554 | 120.9972 | 48.1598 | 33.08219 | 68971.02 68919.45 | 53947.58 54303.37 | 4117.84 | 4807.73 |
| 201102 | 5.8588 | 18.637 | 121.9882 | 48.5948 | 39.0804 | 66919.45 67693.30 | 54303.37 5467921 | 4140.30 | 4955.73 |
| 201403 | 5.8564 | 18.647 | $123.0 \div 72$ | 49.0339 | 39.2524 39.4186 | 67693.30 68469.27 | 54679.21 | 4163.90 | 5002.42 |
| 201104 | 5.8306 | 18.647 | $124.0<62$ | 49.0339 49.4746 | 39.4186 39.5836 | 68468.27 69250.67 | 55042.75 | 4187.01 | 5042.95 |
| 201201 | 5.8093 | 18.607 | 124.9539 | 49.4746 49.8793 | 39.5836 39.7188 | 69250.67 69984 | 55405.99 | 4210.73 | 5086.14 |
| 2012 Q 2 | 5.7876 | 18.567 | 124.9539 126.0127 | 49.8793 50.3347 | 39.7188 39.8864 | 69984.78 70793.38 | 55728.79 | 4234.99 | 5131.09 |
| 2012 Q 3 | 5.7702 | 18.550 | 127.0194 | 50.3367 50.7665 | 39.8864 40.0324 | 70793.38 71570.99 | 56088.41 | 4258.85 | 5176.27 |
| 201204 | 5.7615 | 18.555 | 128.06604 | 50.7665 51.2143 | 40.0324 40.1866 | 71570.99 72374.36 | 56437.99 | 4283.25 | 5216.80 |
| 2013Q1 | 5.7644 | 18.594 | 129.0:194 | 51.2143 51.6551 | 40.1866 40.3320 | 72374.36 | 56780.38 | 4307.40 | 5259.15 |
| 201302 | 5.7531 | 18.606 | $130 .: 2: 26$ | 51.6551 52.1348 | 40.3320 40.5080 | 73171.32 74026.86 | 57131.75 | 4332.02 | 5303.14 |
| $2013 \mathrm{Q3}$ | 5.7591 | 18.653 | 131.3412 | 52.1348 52.6153 | 40.5080 40.6869 | 74026.86 74881.53 | 57517.81 | 4357.30 | 5347.81 |
| 2013Q4 | 5.7739 | 18.754 | 132.4675 | 52.6153 53.0950 | 40.6869 40.8653 | 74881.53 75738.49 | 57805.09 | 4383.15 | 5389.32 |
| 2014Q1 | 5.7919 | 18.881 | 133.5805 | 53.0950 53.5683 | 40.8653 | 75738.49 | 58293.20 | 4408.43 | 5430.65 |
| 2014 Q 2 | 5.7895 | 18.962 | 134.7604 | 53.5683 54.0734 | 41.0320 41.2202 | 76590.01 | 58668.06 | 4434.85 | 5477.32 |
| 2014Q3 | 5.8015 | 19.023 | 135.9379 | 54.0734 54.5760 | 41.2202 41.4094 | 77490.44 | 59071.07 | 4460.61 | 5525.82 |
| 2014Q4 | 5.8252 | 19.170 | 135.9379 137.1329 | 54.5760 55.0883 | 41.4094 | 78381.85 | 50471.82 | 4486.74 | 5568.55 |
| 2015Q1 | 5.8570 | 19.349 | 138.3356 | 55.0883 55.6071 | 41.6060 | 78290.47 80212.28 | 59884.88 | 4513.38 | 5613.22 |
| 201502 | 5.8989 | 19.527 | 139.5008 | 56.1530 | 41.8033 | 80212.28 | 60300.56 | 4540.03 | 5663.94 |
| 2015Q3 | 5.9364 | 19.795 | 140.9048 | 56.1530 56.7135 | 42.0158 42.2379 | 81176.67 82165.65 | 60739.61 | 4566.74 | 5714.26 |
| 2015Q4 | 5.9630 | 19.861 | 142.1994 | 57.2712 | 42.2379 42.4619 | 82165.65 83154.42 | 61193.62 61652.17 | 4593.52 | 5760.95 |
| 201601 | 5.9823 | 19.926 | 143.4317 | 57.8276 | 42.4619 42.6779 | 83154.42 84145.34 | 61652.17 62100.84 | 4621.28 | 5810.50 |
| 2016Q2 | 5.9904 | 19.926 | 144.7927 | 58.3988 | 42.6779 42.8992 | 84145.34 85161.52 | 62100.84 62558.83 | 4648.30 | 5865.79 |
| 2016Q3 | 5.9928 | 19.911 | 146.1126 | 58.9756 | 42.8992 43.1216 | 85161.52 | 62558.83 | 4674.94 470137 | 5920.40 |
| 2016Q4 | 5.9942 | 19.937 | 147.4974 | 59.5768 | 43.1216 43.3584 | 86187,70 87253,68 | 63018.51 63500.84 | 4701.37 4727.90 | 5970.00 |
| 2017Q1 | 6.0018 | 0.000 | 148.8861 | 60.1785 | 43.3584 43.5671 | 87253,68 88324.48 | 63500.84 63943.67 | 4727.90 4752.14 | 6022.99 |
| 2017Q2 | 6.0085 | 0.000 | 150.3179 | 60.7983 | 43.5671 43.7821 | 88324.48 89425.87 | 63943.67 64397.46 | 4752.14 | 6082.50 |
| 2017Q3 | 6.0171 | 0.000 | 151.7008 | 61.3993 | 43.7821 43.9791 | 89425.87 80505.91 | 64397.46 64827.58 | 4776.07 4799.81 | 6142.63 |
| 2018 C 1 | 6.0287 6.0435 | 0.000 | 153.2085 | 62.0514 | 44.2088 | 81685.63 | 65307.63 | 4823.14 | 5204.05 |
| 201802 | 6.0435 6.0518 | 0.000 | 154.6120 | 62.6599 | 44.4054 | 92765.33 | 65740.26 | 4847.02 | 6267.69 |
| 2018Q3 | 6.0550 | 0.000 0.000 | 156.1472 | 63.3229 | 44.6366 | 93950.03 | 66226.83 | 4870.37 | 6332.56 |
| 2018Q4 | 6.0445 | 0.000 | $157.5 / 87$ 159.1478 | 63.9446 | 44.8325 | 95074.52 | 66658.11 | 4894.05 | 6394.44 6454.16 |
| 2019Q1 | 6.0540 | 0.000 | 159.1778 160.6584 | 64.6225 | 45.0612 | 96287.00 | 67140.84 | 4817.01 | 6454.16 6518.44 |
| 201902 | 6.0538 | 0.000 | 160.6384 162.1915 | 65.2748 85.9327 | 45.2676 45.4740 | 97465.82 | 67581.87 | 4940.32 | 6518.44 6583.23 |
| 201903 | 6.0830 | 0.000 | 163.7:19 | 65.9327 66.6071 | 45.4740 45.6893 | 98657.71 99867.14 | 68044.53 | 4963.66 | 6583.23 6644.54 |
| 201904 | 6.0692 | 0.000 | 165.4:36 | 67.3270 | 45.6893 45.9323 | 99867.14 101149.31 | 68504.14 | 4986.87 | 6705.98 |
| 202001 | 6.0621 | 0.000 | 167,0:45 | 67.3270 68.0242 | 45.9323 46.1600 | 101149.31 902401.85 | 68006.75 | 5010,83 | 6769.54 |
| 202002 | 6.0623 | 0.000 | 168.5:89 | 68.6900 | 46.1600 46.3608 | 102401.85 103611.49 | 69488.12 | 5035.18 | 6837.05 |
| 2020Q3 | 6.0548 | 0.000 | 170.0:97 | 68.6900 69.3457 | 46.3608 46.5479 | 103611.48 104790.83 | 69930.28 70340.16 | 5059.53 | 6801.27 |
| 2020Q4 | 6.0371 | 0.000 | $171.6: 97$ | 70.0424 | 46.5479 46.7541 | 104790.83 106035.97 | 70340.16 | 5082.89 | 6963.09 |
|  |  |  | 17.6.97 | 70.0424 | 46.7541 | 106035.97 | 70780.23 | 5105.92 | 7025.69 |


| C\&l Var Index C\&I Var Name | $\begin{gathered} 38 \\ \text { PTP } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 39 \\ T P T R \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 40 \\ \text { PINF } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 41 \\ \mathbb{N D X} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 42 \\ \text { PRCO } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 43 \\ \text { PRCG } \end{gathered}$ | 44 PRCR | $\begin{gathered} 45 \\ \text { PRCC } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 46 \\ \text { PRCi } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Description Start Year | Personal Income, Total Proprielors Income. | Real Total <br> Proprietors income | Personal Inconie. <br> Nonfarm <br> Propriators <br> Income | Industrial <br> Production Index, Total | Now Hampshire \#2 Heating Oil Production Price | Now Hampshire Natual Gas City Gate Frice | Naw Hampshire <br> Residential <br> Natural Gas Price | New Hampshire Commercial Natural Gas Price | New Hampshirs Industrial Natural Gas Price |
| Start Period | 1984 | 1984 | 11184 | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 |  |
| Period / Year | 4 | ${ }^{4}$ | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | , | , | 1984 |
| Period / Cycte | 4 | ${ }_{4}^{4}$ | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 198401 | 1009.00 | 1577.10 | 100400 |  |  |  |  | - 0.4017 |  |
| 198402 | 1021.00 | 1580.52 | 1017.00 |  | 7.9574 7.5289 | 3.68 | 6.5255 | 6.4017 | 5.2470 |
| 198403 | 1027.00 | 1577.62 | 1027.00 |  | 7.5289 7.5510 | 4.03 4.26 | 7.8521 7.0481 | 6.4233 | 4.6399 |
| 1984Q4 | 1046.00 | 1596.80 | 104.00 |  | 7.5510 7.4402 | 4.26 4.39 | 7.0481 6.9658 | 6.1289 6.3252 | 3.7502 |
| 198502 | 1166.00 1200.00 | 1761.01 | 1158.00 |  | 7.5584 | 4.38 4.43 | 6.9658 6.5717 | 6.3252 6.0109 | 4.6838 |
| 1985Q3 | 1235.00 | 1798.72 183933 | 119200 |  | 5.7383 | 4.40 | 8.1352 | 6.0583 | 4.9795 4.4135 |
| 198504 | 1270.00 | 1876.70 | 1268.00 |  | 7.5584 | 4.30 | 7.1575 | 5.7757 | 4.4135 3.5684 |
| 1986Q1 | 1294.00 | 1898.50 | 1263.00 128.00 |  | 7.6619 | 4.15 | 6.9209 | 6.6060 | 3.5684 4.8710 |
| 1986Q2 | 1339.00 | 1963.34 | 133:00 |  | 5.5561 | 3.97 | 6.4062 | 6.1713 | 4.8710 5.1879 |
| 1986Q3 | 1377.00 | 2003.99 | 1388.00 |  | 4.6769 | 3.78 | 8.0455 | 6.2724 | 5.1879 4.6084 |
| 198604 | 1412.00 | 2040.37 | 1403.00 |  | 508 | 3.57 | 7.0846 | 5.9708 | 4.6084 3.7336 |
| 198701 | 1543.00 | 2204.79 | 1519.00 |  | 00 | 3.37 | 6.3498 | S.8647 | 3.7336 4.3406 |
| 1987 Q2 | 1641.00 | 2324.82 | 1617.00 |  | 05 | 3.20 | 5.8229 | 5.4408 | 4.3406 4.6358 |
| 1987Q3 | 1724.00 | 2417.75 | 1697.00 |  | 96 | 3.06 | 7.3989 | 5.5649 | 4.6358 4.1198 |
| 1987Q4 | 1784.00 | 2480.84 | 1755.00 |  | 5 | 2.88 | 6.4818 | 5.2863 | 4.1198 3.3569 |
| 1988Q1 | 1832.00 | 2525.71 | 1805.00 |  | 5 | 2.96 | 6.1953 | 5.7644 | 4.3569 4.1970 |
| 198802 | 1854.00 | 2528.06 | 1831.00 |  | 9 | 2.97 | 5.5535 | 5.2525 | 4.1970 4.4732 |
| 1988Q3 | 1886.00 | 2541,33 | 1855.00 |  | 5.6596 | 3.01 | 7.1018 | 5.3590 | 4.4732 3.9572 |
| 1988Q4 | 1918.00 | 2559.45 | 1990.00 |  | 2828 | 3.06 | 6.1894 | 5.0803 | 3.9572 3.2140 |
| 198901 | 1940.00 | 2558.46 | 1924.00 |  | 4 | 3.11 | 6.6800 | 6.2000 | 3.2140 4.5775 |
| 198962 | 1514.00 | 2491.70 | 1904:00 |  | 6.1472 | 3.45 | 6.9100 | 6.3100 |  |
| 198903 | 1904.00 | 2463.00 | 1993\%00 |  | 5.7482 | 2.98 | 7.5000 | 6.1600 | 4.8695 4.3231 |
| 198904 | 1891.00 | 2426.13 | 1897\%.00 |  | 6.1472 | 3.17 | 6.8600 | 6.0000 |  |
| 199001 | 1790.00 | 2263.07 | 1876.00 $176 \%$ |  | 8.7554 | 3.29 | 5.7600 | 6.3800 | 3.5080 4.9033 |
| 199002 | 1763.00 | 2205.21 | 1743.00 | 65.76 66.01 | 6.6570 5.9625 | 3.86 | 7.7700 | 7.2800 | 4.9033 5.2303 |
| 199003 | 1759.00 | 2172.95 | 1740.00 | 66.01 65.79 | 5.9625 8.8514 | 3.03 | 8.3200 | 6.5000 | 5.2303 4.6583 |
| 199004 | 1731.00 | 2119.03 | 1/13.00 | 65.79 63.64 | 8.8514 7.9205 | 3.06 3 | 7.7700 | 6.0100 | 3.7881 |
| 199101 | 1697.00 | 2054.13 | 1676.00 | 63.64 61.26 | 7.9205 6.1989 | 3.50 | 6.9700 | 6.4300 | 4.6131 |
| 199102 | 1716.00 | 2065.68 | 1695.00 | 61.26 61.45 | 6.1989 5.7335 | 3.72 | 72200 | 6.5700 | 4.9414 |
| 199103 | 1747.00 | 2088.21 | 1730.00 | 61.45 62.53 | 5.7335 6.4871 | 2.87 2.82 | 7.8800 7.1500 | 5.9600 | 4.4015 |
| 199104 | 1770.00 | 2098.95 | 1744.00 | 62.53 63.34 | 6.4871 6.5093 | 2.82 3.40 | 7.1500 | 5.9300 | 3.6092 |
| 199201 | 1847.00 | 2173.40 | 1819.00 | 63.34 62.36 | 6.5093 6.0438 | 3.40 <br> 3.60 | 6.9000 | 6.4600 | 5.0790 |
| 1992 Q 2 | 1903.00 | 2225.05 | 1871.00 | 62.36 63.57 | 6.0438 6.0807 | 3.60 3.28 | 6.9400 | 6.4800 | 5.3640 |
| 189203 | 1952.00 | 2266.53 | 1922.00 | 64.57 | 6.0807 6.4723 | 3.28 3.42 | 9.0900 | 7.2400 | 4.6979 |
| 199204 | 2031.00 | 2343.45 | 2006.00 | 64.74 64.92 | 6.4723 6.4354 | 3.42 3.89 | 8.0900 | 6.7500 | 3.6714 |
| 1993Q1 | 2050.00 | 2353.18 | 203700 | 64.92 66.10 | 6.4354 6.2433 | 3.89 3.59 | 7.8600 50100 | 7.0000 | 5.2067 |
| 199302 | 2091.00 | 2384.86 | 20771.00 | 66.10 67.06 | 6.2433 5.7852 | 3.59 3.89 | 5.9100 | 5.6200 | 5.5017 |
| 199303 | 2139.00 | 2431.26 | 2124.00 | 67.06 67.85 | 5.7852 5.6831 | 3.81 4.44 | 8.6000 | 6.2200 | 4.7645 |
| 199304 | 2141.00 | 2420.77 | 291900 | 67.85 69.27 | 5.6831 5.9403 | 4.44 3.72 | 7.0900 | 5.9700 | 3.8236 |
| $1994 \mathrm{Q1}$ | 2071.00 | 2332.36 | 2057.00 | 69.27 70.80 | 5.9403 5.7778 | 3.72 3.94 | 8.1500 | 7.6800 | 5.4652 |
| $1994 \mathrm{Q2}$ | 2164.00 | 2423.89 | 215000 | 70.80 72.37 | 5.7778 5.3049 | 3.94 3.38 | 6.5700 | 6.3000 | 5.7675 |
| 199403 | 2170.00 | 2409.24 | 2158.00 | 72.37 73.56 | 5.73049 5.4675 | 3.38 | 9.4200 | 6.6300 | 5.2195 |
| 1994 OL | 2202.00 | 2433.90 | 2190.00 | 73.56 75.83 | 5.4675 5.7926 | 2.94 3.09 | 7.7600 73400 | 6.2700 | 4.2329 |
| 199501 | 2124.00 | 2336.40 | 2121.00 | 75.83 77.34 | 5.7926 5.6596 | 3.09 3.37 | 7.3100 58500 | 6.8600 | 4.8794 |
| 199502 | 2114.00 | 2312.61 | 2112.00 | 77.84 | 5.6596 5.3862 | 3.37 | 5.8500 | 5.4700 | 5.2841 |
| 198503 | 2103.00 | 2290.82 | $2: 00.00$ | 78.69 | 5.3862 5.4601 | 3.38 <br> 3.86 | 8.1600 | 6.0300 | 4.7881 |
| 199504 | 2135.00 | 2316.00 | 2131.00 | 78.69 80.22 | 5.4601 6.5831 | 3.86 | 7.2400 | 5.6600 | 3.9488 |
| 199601 | 2198.00 | 2369.51 | 2:10.00 | 80.22 80.77 | 6.5831 7.1299 | 3.31 | 7.0900 | 6.6700 | 5.1106 |
| 199602 | 2285.00 | 2447.72 | 277300 | 83.09 | 7.1299 6.1768 | 4.06 4.30 | 5.9400 | 5.7900 | 5.5996 |
| 1996Q3 1996Q4 | 2365.00 | 2523.34 | 2360.00 | 83.09 85.04 | 6.1768 7.3220 | 4.30 4.45 | 8.4500 7.0500 | 6.2900 | 4.9968 |
| $1996{ }^{194}$ | 2390.00 | 2533.07 | 2384.00 | 88.24 | 7.3220 7.5436 | 4.45 4.12 | 7.0500 8.1000 | 5.8600 8.3900 | 4.2826 |
| 199701 199702 | 2442.00 2476.00 | 2576.47 | 2441.00 | 88.28 | 7.5336 | 4.12 4.45 | 9.1000 6.6200 | 8.3900 | 5.3159 |
| 199702 198703 | 2476.00 2505.00 | 2607.39 | 247500 | 90.66 | 6.33245 | 4.45 3.72 | 6.6200 9.0100 | 6.5000 | 5.5646 |
| 199703 199704 | 2505.00 2552.00 | 2630.81 | 250. 000 | 93.83 | 6.5166 | 3.2 4.25 | 9.0100 7.4700 | 6.4700 6.1400 | 4.7243 |
| 19980) | 2552.00 2690.00 | 2671.24 | 2551.00 | 96.98 | 6.3984 | 3.90 | 8.1800 | 6.1400 7.6000 | 3.7229 |
| 199802 | 2776.00 | 28988.58 | 2688.00 | 99.01 | 6.0068 | 3.93 | 6.3800 | 6.1800 | 4.8829 5.1071 |
| 198803 | 2909.00 | 2898.58 3027.43 | 277600 290500 | $\begin{array}{r}99.29 \\ \hline 100.06\end{array}$ | 5.2089 | 3.53 | 9.0300 | 6.5900 | 5.1071 4.6809 |
| 189804 | 3029.00 | 3140.72 | 2902500 302600 | 100.06 101.13 | 5.2532 5.2311 | 3.82 | 7.2900 | 5.9400 | 3.7677 |
| 199901 | 2999.00 | 3101.76 | 299000 | 101.13 102.18 | 5.2311 | 3.54 | 7.4400 | 6.8800 | 4.6134 |
| 199902 | 3067.00 | 3151.49 | 3053.00 | 102.18 103.52 | 5.18187 5.1498 | 3.52 3.81 | 5.8700 | 5.4000 | 5.0460 |
| 199903 | 3118.00 | 3186.35 | 3105.00 | 104.21 | 5.1498 6.4871 | 3.81 5.64 | 8.8000 | 6.4100 | 4.6249 |
| 199904 | 3215.00 | 3266.02 | $320 \% 00$ | 106.74 | 6.4871 8.9327 | 5.64 4.64 | 7.3800 | 6.2900 | 3.8324 |
| 200001 | 3418.00 | 3442.23 | 2:11:00 | 108.77 | 8.9327 8.6002 | 4.64 4.19 | 9.0600 | 7.7900 | 6.0304 |
| 200002 | 3491.00 | 3498.80 | 319100 | 110.81 | 8.6002 8.7110 | 4.19 4.54 | 7.9400 | 6.8400 | 6.7178 |
| 200003 | 3517.00 | 3508.61 | 351.00 | 111.60 | 8.7110 9.7159 | 4.54 6.67 | 12.4900 | 9.1600 | 5.9907 |
| 200004 | 3540.00 | 3515.85 | 3541500 | 112.27 | 9.7159 9.9671 | 6.67 6.94 | 10.9800 | 8.7500 | 5.2864 |
| 200101 | 3534.00 | 3981.70 | 3536.00 | 110.80 | 9.9671 9.3612 | 6.94 5.38 | 11.9400 | 11.3200 | 11.2000 |
| 200102 | 3581.00 | 3505.77 | 3584.00 | 108.35 | 9.3612 8.8548 | 5.38 4.37 | 11.8900 | 11.6100 | 10.7200 |
| 200103 | 3624.00 | 3542.83 | 3627.00 | 108.35 <br> 10.3 | 8.8548 8.6889 | 4.37 3.22 | 16.6700 | 12.8300 | 8.2100 |
| 200104 | 3633.00 | 3546.57 | 3635.00 | 100.55 | 8.6889 8.3538 | 3.22 | 13.0000 | 9.9000 | 3.7100 |
| 200205 | 3732.00 | 3634.84 | 3745.00 | 100.55 99.43 | 8.3639 8.1052 | 2.93 3 | 9.4600 | 8.8800 | 7.2600 |
| 2002Q2 | 3743.00 | 3620.45 | 3767.00 | 100.24 | 8.1052 7.4919 | 3.90 4.29 | 10.0500 | 9.2100 | 7.7100 |
| 2002Q3 | 3725.00 | 3587.22 | 3731.00 | 100.88 | 7.4919 8.2308 | 4.29 | 12.2300 | 10.1000 | 5.9400 |
| 200204 | 3771.00 | 3616.64 | 376600 | 100.68 99.41 | 8.2308 9.3982 | 4.51 | 11.4100 | 9.0400 | 6.1500 |
| 2003Q1 | 3738.00 | 3558.27 | 3740.00 | 99.41 99.19 | 9.3982 | 4.94 | 9.8800 | 8.6200 | 7.9100 |
| 2003Q2 | 3823.00 | 3633.34 | $3 \times 24.10$ | 98.82 | 9.7971 8.7701 | 9.20 | 40.8700 | 10.7800 | B. 2300 |
| 2003Q3 | 3933.00 | 3719.71 | 3103000 | 98.82 100.50 | 8.7701 | 4.63 | 16.9500 | 11.6600 | 11.0600 |
| 2003 Q 4 | 3990.00 | 3761.45 | 3:mer 0 | 100.50 | 8.8957 | 7.76 | 13.4700 | 10.1600 | 9.6500 |
| 20040i | 4086.00 | 3815.70 | иін.. ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ | 102.49 | 10.0705 0.8710 | 8.56 | 13.6700 | 12.6100 | 9.2300 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 0.8740 | ¢. 32 | 14.6200 | 13.1000 | 13.0700 |




| C\&I Var index C\&I Var Name | 47 PRCCI | 48 EGYO | $\begin{gathered} 49 \\ \text { EGYG } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 50 \\ \text { EGYC } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 51 \\ \text { EGYI } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 52 \\ \text { RPRC } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 53 \\ \text { RPRI } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 54 \\ \text { REGC } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55 \\ \text { REGI } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Description | New Hampsilire <br> Commercial \& Industrial Natural Gas Price | New Hampshire \#2 Heating Oii | New Hamp:hur. <br> Natural Gas <br> Consumption by <br> All | New Hampshire Commercial Natural Gas Consumption | New Hampshire Industrial Natural | Price Ratio: Commercial Ntural Gas Price: \#2 | Price Ratio: Industrial Ntural Gas Price: \#2 | Energy <br> Consumption <br> Ratio: Commercial <br> Natural Gas : \#2 | Energy Consumption Ratio: industrial Natural Gas: \#2 |
| Start Year | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 |
| Start Period | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Period / Year | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | , | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Period / Cycte | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 2004Q2 | 12.8427 | 891.17 | 4222.00 | 297.19 | 533.06 | 1.39 | 1.16 | 10.34 | 18.54 |
| 2004Q3 | 12.1901 | 2353.38 | $326!00$ | 416.32 | 597.45 | 1.04 | 0.88 | 5.48 | 7.87 |
| 2004Q4 | 11.8037 | 5787.99 | $69 \% 1.00$ | 1596.99 | 725.91 | 0.97 | 0.81 | 8.55 | 3.89 |
| 2005Q1 | 13.1597 | 2004.20 | 5653.00 | 910.54 | 542.47 | 0.97 | 0.93 | 13.63 | 8.12 |
| 2005Q2 | 11.1381 | 956.62 | 6050.00 | 319.41 | 456.36 | 0.89 | 0.66 | 10.35 | 14.79 |
| 2005Q3 | 13.3308 | 1754.72 | 6050.00 | 428.82 | 516.47 | 0.87 | 0.69 | 7.53 | 9.07 |
| 2005Q4 | 16.2564 | 3942.71 | 6050.00 | -1393.65 | 553.24 | 1.01 | 0.98 | 10.96 | 4.35 |
| 2006Q1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006Q2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006Q3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006Q4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 200701 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 200702 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007Q3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007Q4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 200801 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 200802 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008Q3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008Q4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 200901 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009Q2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009Q3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 Q 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 201001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010Q2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010Q3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 201004 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011Q1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 Q 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011Q3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011Q4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012Q1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 201202 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 Q 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012Q4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2013Q1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2013Q2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2013Q3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 201304 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2014Q1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 201402 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2014Q3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 201404 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 204501 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2015Q2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2015Q3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2015Q4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2016Q4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2016 Q 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2016Q3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 201604 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 201701 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017Q2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017 Q3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017Q4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018Q1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018Q2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 C 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018Q4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2019Q1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2019Q2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2019Q3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2019Q4 |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2020Q9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 202002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2020Q3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2020 Q4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |




| C\&IVar index C\&l Var Name | $\begin{gathered} 65 \\ \text { CHNC } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 66 \\ \text { CHNI } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 67 \\ \mathrm{CHNCl} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 68 \\ \mathrm{CDDN} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 69 \\ \operatorname{CDDA} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 70 \\ \text { BDON } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 71 \\ \text { BDDA } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Descriplion | Company charge (Normal)to Commercial Customers | Company charge (Normal)to industrial Customers | Company charge (Nomal) 10 C \& 1 Customers | Nornal Cailenodr Degree Days | Actual Caliendar Degree Days | Normal Billing Degree Days | Actual Billing |
| Start Period | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 |
| Period / Year | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | , |
| Patiod / Cricda | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | - ${ }_{4}^{4}$ | 4 |
| 1984Q1 | 7.40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 198402 | 6.68 | ${ }_{14.31}$ | 7.66 6.89 | 3652 | 3644 | 3826 | 3718 |
| 198403 | 6.67 | 9.07 | 6.89 6.78 | 1032 286 | 1074 | 1494 | 1599 |
| 1984Q4 | 10.76 | 18.36 | 11.00 | 286 2611 | 284 2310 | 227 2106 | 208 1893 |
| 198501 498502 | 8.09 6.47 | 21.59 | 8.27 | 3652 | 3507 | 2106 3813 | 1893 3593 |
| 198503 | 8.48 | 9.22 | 6.54 | 1032 | 980 | 1488 | 1378 |
| 198504 | 10.67 | 22.28 18.08 | 8.99 10.85 | 286 | 213 | 225 | 183 |
| 198601 | 10.67 | 18.08 | 10.85 7.73 | 2611 | 2596 | 2104 | 2016 |
| 198602 | 6.12 | 14.06 | 7.73 6.35 | 3652 | 3418 | 3803 | 3628 |
| 198603 | 9.48 | 14.06 11.27 | 6.35 9.58 | 1032 | 906 | 1477 | 1290 |
| 198604 | 9.48 | 11.27 8.07 | 9.58 9.31 | 286 | 359 | 229 | 304 |
| 198701 | 6,14 | 8.07 5.29 | 9.31 6.10 | 2611 | 2566 | 2103 | 2137 |
| 198702 | 5.08 | 5.29 4.54 | 6.10 5.05 | 3652 | 3528 | 3793 | 3613 |
| 198703 | 5.24 | 4.54 12.09 | 5.05 5.50 | 1032 | 915 | 1471 | 1346 |
| 198704 | 7.47 | 12.09 6.16 | 5.50 7.32 | 286 | 308 | 230 | 246 |
| 198801 | 5.78 | 6.16 5.62 | 7.32 | 2611 | 2564 | 2103 | 2096 |
| 198802 | 5.78 4.17 | 5.62 4.11 | 5.76 4.17 | 3652 | 3601 | 3781 | 3685 |
| 198803 | 5.95 | 4.11 5.33 | 4.17 5.87 | 1032 | 1017 | 1465 | 1434 |
| 198804 | 5.95 8.28 | 5.33 7.20 | 5.87 8.17 | 286 | 298 | 231 | 257 |
| 198901 | 8.28 6.50 | 7.20 6.19 | 8.17 | 2611 | 2680 | 2108 | 214 |
| 198902 | 4.66 | 6.19 5.38 | 47 | 3652 | 3415 | 3773 | 3548 |
| 198903 | 6 | 5.38 5.97 | 4.73 6.43 | 032 | 1002 | 1458 | 1473 |
| 198904 | 8.8 | 8.23 | 6.43 8.74 | 286 2614 | 228 | 227 | 184 |
| 198001 | 7. | 6.22 | 8.74 7.27 | 2614 3642 | 2988 | 2118 | 2253 |
| 189002 | 5.9 | 6.22 4.96 | 7.27 5.71 | 3642 1032 | 3175 | 3748 | 3528 |
| 199003 | 8.12 | 6.42 | 7.74 | 1032 285 | 1021 220 | 1460 | 1454 |
| 198004 | 10.26 | 8.42 8.07 | 7.74 9.80 | 285 2629 | 220 2195 | 226 | 162 |
| 199101 | 7.55 | 6.28 | 7.30 | 3620 | 2195 3298 | 2108 3717 | 1762 3376 |
| 198102 | 5.52 | 4.36 | 5.28 | 3620 1030 | 3298 761 | 3717 1440 | 3376 1179 |
| 189103 | 7.73 | 5.82 | 7.23 | 1030 282 | 264 | 1440 | 1179 |
| 189104 | 10.34 | 8.08 | 9.86 | 282 2645 | 2408 | 225 | 174 |
| 189201 | 7.63 | 6.31 | 9.66 7.36 | 2655 | 2408 | 2102 | 1919 |
| 199202 | 5.89 | 5.03 | 7.36 5.69 | ${ }^{3651}$ | 8 | 3708 | 3552 |
| 199203 | 9.65 | 7.40 | 5.69 9.05 | 1026 280 | 28888 | 1437 | 1568 |
| 198204 | 10.21 | 8.63 | 9.86 | 280 2605 | 88 | 223 | 232 |
| $1993 \mathrm{Q1}$ | 6.89 | 6.57 | 9.68 6.82 | 2605 3606 | 2682 | 2088 | 2189 |
| 199302 | 3.93 | 3.92 | 6.82 3.93 | 3606 | 3711 | 3710 | 3775 |
| 199303 | 5.79 | 4.99 | 5.95 | 1025 275 | 907 250 | $\begin{array}{r}1434 \\ \\ \\ \hline 23\end{array}$ | 1386 |
| 199304 | 8.87 | 7.43 | 5.55 | 275 2605 | 250 2628 | 223 2093 | 978 |
| 199401 | 7.00 | 6.75 | 6.82 | 2605 | 2628 | 2093 | 2154 |
| 1994 Q 2 | 4.08 | 6.90 | 6.95 4.08 | 3606 1025 | 4027 956 | 3734 | 4105 |
| 199403 | 5.86 | 5.08 | 5.61 | 1025 275 | 956 | 1428 | 1442 |
| 198404 | 9.32 | 8.16 | 8.46 | 275 2605 | 265 | 221 | 185 |
| 1895Q1 | 6.69 | 6.22 | 8.46 6.23 | 2605 | 2237 | 2071 | 1813 |
| 199502 | 3.94 | 4.70 | 6.23 3.81 | 3606 | 3265 | 3717 | 3348 |
| 199503 | 6.01 | 5.78 | 3.81 | 1025 | 1052 | 1428 | 1476 |
| 199504 | 8.84 | 5.78 8.19 | 5.21 8.06 | 275 | 260 | 217 | 175 |
| 199601 | 6.90 | 8.19 6.69 | 8.06 6.57 | 2599 | 2613 | 2072 | 2093 |
| 199602 | 3.90 | 4.13 | 6.57 3.62 | 3651 | 3634 | 3717 | 3741 |
| 199603 | 5.54 | 4.124 | 3.62 4.38 | 1019 | 1037 | 1428 | 1552 |
| 199604 | 8.97 | 7.90 | 4.38 8.10 | 282 | 198 | 217 | 140 |
| 199701 | 7.10 | 8.99 | 8.10 | 2594 | 2553 | 2072 | 2120 |
| 199702 | 4.26 | 6.99 4.18 | 6.72 3 3 | 3617 | 3440 | 3703 | 3418 |
| 199703 | 5.91 | 4.77 | 4.22 | 1023 275 | 1166 214 | 1432 | 1667 |
| 199704 | 8.04 | 7.38 | 6.79 | 2603 | 214 2556 | 210 | 165 |
| 199881 | 7.20 | 6.94 | 6.45 | 3602 | 2556 | 2054 | 2077 |
| 198802 | 5.51 | 5.55 | 6.3 4 4 | 1020 | 2981 | 3668 | 3115 |
| 198883 | 7.36 | 5.72 | 4.34 | 274 | 831 | 1448 | 1221 |
| 199864 | 6.86 | 6.38 | 5.45 | 274 2603 | 164 2292 | 205 | 138 |
| 199901 | 6.87 | 6.59 | 5.45 6.01 | 2603 3504 | 2292 3342 | 2053 | 1842 |
| 199892 | 5.16 | 4.90 | 3.86 | 3504 984 | 3342 896 | 3617 | 3394 |
| 198903 | 6.34 | 5.74 | 3.86 3.66 | 984 257 | 896 168 | 1429 | 1341 |
| 199904 | 7.49 | 7.13 | 3.66 5.72 | 257 2528 | 168 2345 | 189 | 133 |
| 200001 | 8.02 | 7.64 | 5.12 6.93 | 2528 3495 | 2345 3344 | 2033 | 1862 |
| 200002 | 6.34 | 5.94 | 4.93 | 3495 979 | 3344 997 | 3598 | 3480 |
| 200003 | 8.00 | 6.68 | 4.78 | 251 | 997 | 1428 | 1356 |
| 200004 | 10.09 | 9.51 | 7.10 | 2529 | 241 | 194 | 193 |
| 200101 | 3.33 | 2.88 | 7.21 | 2529 3480 | 2614 355 | 2033 | 2044 |
| 200102 | 2.65 | 1.64 | 2.22 | 9480 | 3551 | 3588 | 3679 |
| 200103 | 6.85 | $\uparrow .93$ | 2.62 | 248 | 880 | 1422 | 1401 |
| 200104 | 3.34 | 1.58 | 1.86 | 248 2513 | 158 2082 | 182 | 113 |
| 200201 | 2.87 | 2.01 | 2.99 | 3481 | 2082 | 2018 | 1853 |
| 200202 | 3.69 | 1.89 | 2.03 | 3481 979 | 3013 | 3584 | 3045 |
| 200203 | 6.60 | 1.58 | 1.16 | 979 | 992 | 1428 | 1389 |
| 200204 | 3.58 | 2.19 | 2.9 | 244 2485 | 111 | 189 | 130 |
| 200301 | 2.69 | 1.95 | 2.14 | 2485 | 2578 | 1994 | 2016 |
| 200302 | 3.79 | 2.12 | 2.43 | 3432 975 | 3815 | 3533 | 3943 |
| 200303 | 6.34 | 2.14 | 2.32 | 275 | 1072 | 1420 | 1540 |
| 200304 | 3.79 | 2.07 | 2.22 | 236 2503 | $\begin{array}{r}111 \\ \hline 2371\end{array}$ | 183 | 102 |
| 200409 | 2.68 | 1.96 | 2.22 2.15 | 2503 3459 | 2371 3718 | 2004 3563 | 1852 3803 |


| CRIVar Index | 65 | 66 | 67 | 68 | 69 | 70 | 71 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C\&I Var Name | CHNC | CHNI | CHNCl | CDON | CDDA | BDDN | BDDA |
| Description | Company charge (Normal)to Commercial Customers | Company charge (Nornal)to industrial Customers | Company charge (Nomal)to C \& 1 Customers | Normal Callendar | Actual Callendar | Normal Billing | Actual Billing |
| Start Year | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 | 1984 |
| Start Period | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |  | 4 |
| Period / Year | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Period / Cycle | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 2004Q2 | 3.86 | 2.16 | 2.35 | 977 | 897 | 1425 | 1331 |
| 2004 Q 3 | 5.54 | 1.70 | 1.92 | 231 | 133 | 180 | 119 |
| 2004 Q4 | 3.82 | 2.13 | 2.30 | 2493 | 2394 | 1997 | 1868 |
| 2005Q1 | 2.72 | 1.99 | 2.17 | 3463 | 3581 | 3567 | 3636 |
| $2005 \mathrm{Q2}$ | 3.84 | 2.19 | 2.37 | 968 | 977 | 1412 | 1466 |
| 2005Q3 | 6.53 | 2.12 | $? .91$ | 22 | 75 | 175 | 80 |
| 200504 | 3.81 | 2.08 | <. 62 | 2497 | 2362 | 1995 | 1792 |
| 200601 |  |  |  | 346 |  |  |  |
| 200602 |  |  |  | 969 |  |  |  |
| 200603 |  |  |  | 22 |  |  |  |
| 2006Q4 |  |  |  | 249 |  |  |  |
| 2007Q1 |  |  |  | 346 |  |  |  |
| 2007Q2 |  |  |  | 96 |  |  |  |
| 200703 |  |  |  | 22 |  |  |  |
| 2007Q4 |  |  |  | 249 |  |  |  |
| 2008Q1 |  |  |  | 346 |  |  |  |
| 200802 |  |  |  | 96 |  |  |  |
| 2008Q3 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |
| 2008Q4 |  |  |  | 249 |  |  |  |
| 200901 |  |  |  | 346 |  |  |  |
| 200902 |  |  |  | 96 |  |  |  |
| 200903 |  |  |  | 22 |  |  |  |
| 2009Q4 |  |  |  | 249 |  |  |  |
| 2010Q1 |  |  |  | 346 |  |  |  |
| 201002 |  |  |  | 96 |  |  |  |
| 201003 |  |  |  | 22 |  |  |  |
| 201004 |  |  |  | 249 |  |  |  |
| 201101 |  |  |  | 346 |  |  |  |
| 2011 Q 2 |  |  |  | 96 |  |  |  |
| 201103 |  |  |  | 22 |  |  |  |
| 201104 |  |  |  | 249 |  |  |  |
| 2012Q1 |  |  |  | 346 |  |  |  |
| 201202 |  |  |  | 96 |  |  |  |
| 2012 Q 3 |  |  |  | 2 | 24 |  |  |
| 2012 Q 4 |  |  |  | 24 |  |  |  |
| $2013 Q 1$ |  |  |  | 34 |  |  |  |
| 201302 |  |  |  |  | 69 |  |  |
| 201303 |  |  |  |  | 24 |  |  |
| 2013Q4 |  |  |  | 24 |  |  |  |
| 201401 |  |  |  | 34 |  |  |  |
| 201402 |  |  |  |  | 69 |  |  |
| 2014Q3 |  |  |  |  | 24 |  |  |
| 2014Q4 |  |  |  | 24 |  |  |  |
| 201501 |  |  |  | 34 | 64 |  |  |
| 201502 |  |  |  |  | 69 |  |  |
| 201503 |  |  |  |  | 24 |  |  |
| 2015 Q4 |  |  |  |  | 97 |  |  |
| 201601 |  |  |  |  | 64 |  |  |
| 2016Q2 |  |  |  |  | 69 |  |  |
| 2016Q3 |  |  |  |  | 24 |  |  |
| 2016 Q4 |  |  |  |  | 97 |  |  |
| 2017Q1 |  |  |  |  | 64 |  |  |
| 2017 Q 2 |  |  |  |  | 69 |  |  |
| 201703 |  |  |  |  | 24 |  |  |
| 201704 |  |  |  |  | 97 |  |  |
| 201801 |  |  |  |  | 64 |  |  |
| 2018Q2 |  |  |  |  | 69 |  |  |
| 2018Q3 |  |  |  |  | 24 |  |  |
| 201804 |  |  |  |  | 97 |  |  |
| 201901 |  |  |  |  | 464 |  |  |
| 201902 |  |  |  |  | 69 |  |  |
| 2019Q3 |  |  |  |  | 224 |  |  |
| 201904 |  |  |  |  | 497 |  |  |
| 2020Q4 |  |  |  |  | 464 |  |  |
| 202002 |  |  |  |  | 969 |  |  |
| 2020Q3 |  |  |  |  | 224 |  |  |
| 202004 |  |  |  |  | 497 |  |  |

# ENERGYNORTH NATURAL GAS, INC. (d/b/a KeySpan Energy Delivery New England) <br> <br> INTEGRATED <br> <br> INTEGRATED RESOURCE PLAN 

 RESOURCE PLAN}
(November 1, 2006 - October 31, 2011)

## DG 06-105

Appendix B

## Yia Hand Delivery

December 8, 2005

Debra A. Howland
Executive Director and Secretary
New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission
21 S. Fruit Street, Suite 10
Concord, NH 03301
Re: DG 04-133/DG 04-175; EnergyNorth Natural Gas, Inc. d/b/a
KeySpan Energy Delivery New England
Dear Ms. Howland:
Enclosed for filing with the Commission are an original and eight copies of KeySpan Energy Delivery New England's Portfolio Management Plan. This Plan is being filed pursuant to the settlement agreement approved by the Commission in its Order No. 24,531 in dockets DG -04-133 and DG 04-175. An electronic copy of the filing was provided by e-mail to the librarian.
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## I. INTRODUCTION

This Portfolio Management Plan (the "Plan") is filed with the New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission ("Commission") by EnergyNorth Nat ural Gas, Inc. d/b/a KeySpan Energy Delivery New England ("EnergyNorth" or the "Company") ${ }^{1}$ in compliance with the New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission's ("Commission") Order No. 24,531 dated October 21, 2005 in Dockets DG 04-133 and 04-175.

In Order No. 24,531, the Commission approved a settlement agreement between EnergyNorth, the Commission Staff and the Office of the Consumer Advocate ("OCA") with regard to the Company's Integrated Resource Plan for the period November 1, 2004 through October 31, 2009 (the "IRP"). Among other things, under the settlement agreement, EnergyNorth agreed to file with the Commission a detailed plan of how the Company will manage its gas resources effective with the April 1, 2006 expiration of its Gas Resource Portfolio Management and Gas Sales Agreement with Merrill Lynch Commodities, LLC. ("Merrill Lynch").

In accordance with the terms of the approved settlement, this Plan discusses the Company's plans with respect to, (i) daily forecasting, (ii) nominating, scheduling and confirming city gate deliveries and storage injections, (iii) reconciling supply invoices; (iv) pursuing capacity release and off-system sales opportunities, (v) supply balancing on the Tennessee Gas Pipeline system

[^16](vi) contracting for seasonal supplemental supplies and (vii) the economic operation of peaking facilities.

## II. SUMMARY OF THE MERRILL LYNCH AGREEMENT

By contract, Merrill Lynch (1) manages certain of the Company's upstream interstate gas supply, transportation and underground storage assets and (2) provides the citygate gas supply requirements of the Company's firm sales customers. The Company retains the management of its supplemental resources.

Gas supplies delivered by Merrill Lynch to meet the Company's firm sales requirements and storage refill requirements are paid for by EnergyNorth in accordance with a tiered pricing hierarchy. The pricing hierarchy is intended to mimic the dispatch order the Company would employ if it were managing the assets on its own. The Company is responsible for paying all demand costs associated with its pipeline and underground storage resources. Commodity charges for citygate sales service are tied to market indices, which correlate to receipt points in the Company's portfolio.

With the expiration of the agreement with Merrill Lynch effective April 1, 2006, the Company plans to insource the management of its resource portfolio whereby the role of Merrill Lynch, with regards to management of the Company's upstream assets and commodity purchasing, will be assumed by the Company's Regulated Gas Transactions Group located in Hicksville, NY. ${ }^{2}$ This

[^17]group is also responsible for managing the regulated gas transactions for KeySpan Corporation's two New York-based gas utilities: KeySpan Energy Delivery New York (KED-NY) and KeySpan Energy Delivery Long Island (KED-LI).

## III. ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE

Implementation of the Company's Portfolio Management Plan will involve the close coordination of four groups within KeySpan's Asset Optimization Group; the Gas Supply Planning Group, currently led by Elizabeth Arangio, the Load Forecasting Group, currently led by Leo Silvestrini, the Regulated Gas Transactions Group, currently led by Mark Leippert and the Gas Contracting Group currently led by John Allocca. ${ }^{3}$ Currently, all day to day activity pertaining to the EnergyNorth portfolio is performed by the Gas Supply Planning Group in combination with Merrill Lynch. However, as noted above, effective April 1, 2006 the activities now performed by Merrill Lynch will become the responsibility of the Regulated Gas Transaction Group. In addition, the Gas Contracting Group will be responsible for the procurement and contracting of long-term (greater than one-month) commodity supplies and capacity resources. Detailed organizational charts can be found at Appendices 1 and 2.

[^18]
## IV. RESOURCE PROCUREMENT

## A. Determination of Gas Supply and Capacity Requirements

Gas supply and capacity (transportation or storage) requirements are established by the Gas Supply Planning and Load Forecasting Groups following the process specified in the IRP. A schematic listing of the upstream capacity resources currently available to meet the Company's firm sendout requirements is shown in Appendix 3. For supply and capacity requirements, the Gas Supply Planning Group will identify the desired quantity, duration, optimal receipt point(s), operational flexibility (i.e. baseload, first of the month swing, full swing, etc.) and nature of service (i.e. year round, seasonal, peaking, etc.). Once the requirements have been established, depending upon the duration of the requirement, the Gas Supply Planning Group will work with the Gas Contracting Group or the Regulated Gas Transactions Group to acquire the resource.

## B. Procurement of Short Term Supply

For requirements of one month or less (spot purchases), gas supply will be acquired by the Regulated Gas Transactions Group during bid week or in the daily market as needed. Price is determined via verbal offers and short-term gas supply will only be acquired from creditworthy counter-parties with whom the company has a pre-established base contract (i.e. an industry standard NAESB agreement, a sample copy of which is provided in Appendix 4). All gas trades will be documented either via the Intercontinental Exchange ("ICE") electronic trading system, recorded telephone lines, or written confirmations.

## C. Solicitation of Long Term Gas Supplv Proposals

Long-term gas supply requirements (greater than one month) are secured by the Company's Gas Contracting Group in consultation with the Planning Group ${ }^{4}$. The Company may prepare a request for proposal (RFP) that will include a term sheet outlining the specific supply requirements (i.e. quantity, pipeline, receipt point(s), delivery point(s) desired price structure, operational flexibility, etc.). The RFP will also include other typical and customary procedural instructions. The RFP will be sent to qualified suppliers either via e-mail or in hard-copy. The Company will maintain a list of qualified suppliers. In order to be deemed qualified; a supplier must satisfy the Company's creditworthiness criteria, as established by KeySpan's Credit group, and must have entered into an industry standard agreement with the Company. The Company will continuously assess reliability based in part upon the supplier's short-term transaction performance.

## D. Evaluation of Supply Offers and Negotiation of Agreements

Supply offers are evaluated jointly by the Company's Gas Contracting, Planning and Regulated Gas Transactions Groups to determine the best offer. The "best offer" is the offer that conforms most closely to the Company's requirements. Offers will be evaluated based upon both cost and non-cost factors including the supplier's experience, past performance, financial strength, ability to manage financial and physical risk and other factors that the Company

[^19]deems relevant to the specific supply requirement. The Company will reserve the right to reject any or all offers and to negotiate with individual suppliers. Upon selection of the best offer, the Gas Contracting Group takes the lead in negotiating a formal written agreement. The industry standard NAESB contract is preferable for standard deals; however, certain transactions may require an individually negotiated agreement. Except for industry standard agreements that were previously subject to legal review, all agreements are reviewed with the Company's Legal Department to ensure that all provisions are consistent with applicable laws, regulations, industry standards and operational requirements. Upon completion of negotiations, the agreement will be executed by an authorized individual and entered and maintained in the applicable contract tracking systems.

## E. Procurement of Incremental Capacity

When a need for incremental capacity is identified by the Gas Supply Planning Group, this Group works in concert with the Company's Gas Contracting Group to procure the incremental resource. In order to do so, the Company will evaluate all available options to determine the most economic resource with regard to meeting system operating and gas supply reliability requirements. ${ }^{5}$ The Company maintains relationships with all regional pipeline companies and is active in gathering market intelligence from proposed pipeline projects with the potential to fulfill the Company's capacity needs. If no existing

[^20]projects meet the Company's requirements, the Company may initiate a project that meets its needs. Generally, when subscribing to new capacity, the Company will participate in pipeline open seasons. In coordination with the Gas Supply Planning Group, the Gas Contracting Group will take the lead in preparing and submitting open season requests and in negotiating precedent agreements and service agreements. Contract review and negotiation is done in coordination with the Company's Legal Department to ensure that all provisions are consistent with all applicable laws, regulations, industry standards and operational requirements. Upon completion of negotiations, the agreement will be executed by an authorized individual and entered and maintained in the applicable contract tracking systems.

## F. Transaction Controls

The Gas Supply Planning Group will determine the Company's need for supply in order to meet customer requirements. The Company's Customer Choice Group will confirm the amount of gas received by EnergyNorth at the citygates on a daily basis.

Transactions executed by the Regulated Gas Transactions Group will be recorded on taped phone lines or documented electronically via the (ICE). If a transaction is executed using the ICE system, the gas trader will print out a confirmation sheet to document the transaction. Moreover, all gas supply purchase transactions will be recorded and entered into the Company's Nucleus

Transaction Management system ("Nucleus"). Nucleus will automatically assign a unique transaction number to each purchase and sale.

## G. Natural Gas Price Risk Management Plan

A substantial portion of the Company's gas supply purchased in accordance with the above stated procedures is priced based on market indices. These "index priced" supplies are subject to market volatility. In order to mitigate gas cost increases and protect customers from the sharp swings in commodity prices that have become prevalent in the natural gas industry, the Company has in place a Natural Gas Price Risk Management Plan that attempts to stabilize the cost of gas to customers through the use of financial derivatives and active management of its underground storage supplies. A copy of the most recent Natural Gas Price Risk Management Plan approved by the Commission in Docket DG 05-127 is attached as Appendix 5.

## V. OPERATIONAL PLANNING

Upon establishing a resource portfolio that is adequate to meet the projected requirements of its customers, it is the Company's responsibility to dispatch the assets based on actual weather as well as to perform portfolio management activities to further minimize the cost of maintaining the portfolio through mitigation measures.

Operational Planning encompasses the activity related to the actual dispatch of the assets in a least cost manner. These activities include daily,
intraday, monthly, and seasonal planning and the dispatch of the assets (including LNG and LPG), as well as storage inventory and imbalance management. Currently, the Gas Supply Planning Group is responsible for these activities and it will continue to be responsible for them after April 1, 2006.

## A. Daily Forecasting

The Gas Supply Planning Group, in conjunction with the Gas Control Group ("Gas Control"), utilizes a daily Game Plan, as referenced in Appendix 6, to coordinate the daily supply and demand balance. The Game Plan is an Excel spreadsheet that utilizes regression equations of base load plus heat load coefficients and forecasted degree day data for KeySpan's five New England divisions to calculate a short-term demand forecast. The forecast is verified on a regular basis and, as needed, adjusted in order to align with the most recent actual experienced data.

The demand side of the Game Plan is updated each morning by Gas Control. In addition, Gas Control populates the supply side of the Game Plan with information provided by the Gas Supply Planning and Customer Choice Groups the night before. ${ }^{6}$ Every weekday morning, the groups meet to discuss the supply needs for the current day as well as the following gas day. In addition, prior to a weekend or holiday, the meeting will also address the planning for the following several days. At this meeting, the groups discuss any issues and strategy pertinent to putting together the daily sequence of supplies to be

[^21]dispatched (the "daily setup"). This planning is done in time to execute prior to upstream pipeline nomination deadlines.

## B. Nominations, Confirmations and Balancing

Beginning April 1, 2006, the Regulated Gas Transactions Group will be responsible for short term purchases, nominations and scheduling of the Company's pipeline and underground storage supplies, duties currently performed by Merrill Lynch. The gas schedulers will enter all transactions into nomination setup sheets, schedule the transactions on the various interstate pipelines' electronic bulletin boards (EBBs) and update the daily volume sheet (as shown in Appendix 7) with all gas supplies scheduled to be delivered to EnergyNorth's citygates. In addition, the schedulers will use the same template that third party marketers use to email system supply volumes to the Customer Choice Group (Appendix 8 - BMS Nomination Template). The Customer Choice Group will upload the nominations into its Broker Management System ("BMS") along with the nominations from the marketers. The Customer Choice Group will then confirm the total amount of gas received by EnergyNorth at its citygates on Tennessee using the Daily Scheduled Deliveries Detail Report (Appendix 9 Daily Scheduled Deliveries Detail Report). The Planning Group will continue to dispatch and manage the Company's peaking contracts and peaking facilities (LNG and LPG).

At the end of each gas day, Gas Control is responsible for calculating sendout and tracking the Company's imbalances (Appendix 10 - EnergyNorth

Monthly Sendout Report). Each afternoon, Gas Control forwards the daily imbalance report to the Gas Supply Planning Group (Appendix 11 - Daily Imbalance Report). The Planning Group factors in the flexibility of its Operational Balancing Agreement ("OBA") when establishing the daily setup and manages its imbalance position. This activity will be handled by the Gas Supply Planning Group. ${ }^{7}$

The Company will maintain the information necessary to provide a monthly summary of all volumes purchased by EnergyNorth and the associated costs as shown in Appendix 12 - Monthly Merrill Lynch Report/Invoice.

## C. Underground Storage

Currently, management of the Company's underground storage contracts is handled by Merrill Lynch. The Company pays Merrill Lynch to fill its storages on a $1 / 7^{\text {th }}$ basis during the months of April through October. Effective April 1, 2006, the Company will manage these contracts through the Regulated Gas Transactions Group. As discussed in the Company's Natural Gas Price Risk Management Plan (Appendix 5), the Company will employ a similar $1 / 7^{\text {th }}$ refill strategy. However, unlike the arrangement with Merrill Lynch, operational flexibilities will need to be considered when developing its injection plan. For

[^22]example, the Company may not fill some of its larger storage fields to $100 \%$ full at the beginning of November in order to accommodate for warmer than planned weather and the need to inject gas into storage at the beginning of the month. The Company will maintain the information necessary to provide a monthly storage report similar to the one currently supplied by Merrill Lynch (Appendix 13 - Monthly Storage Report).

## D. Capacity Release and Off-System Sale Optimization Opportunities

Since the Company must maintain sufficient capacity in its resource portfolio to meet current and expected design day and design year customer requirements, at any given time, it may have resources that are temporarily under-utilized. On a daily, monthly and seasonal basis, the Planning Group will identify those resources that are not needed to meet firm sendout requirements. Any surplus resources that are identified will be made available for optimization via capacity release and/or off-system sale. It will be the responsibility of the Regulated Gas Transactions Group to market these resources in an effort to maximize their value. Revenues realized from capacity release or off-system sales transactions will be credited to EnergyNorth customers as an offset to gas costs. The Company will maintain the information necessary to provide reports detailing these types of transactions.

## E. Peak Season Planning

At the start of each winter season, the Gas Supply Planning Group hosts a Winter Operations Meeting attended by various departments throughout the Company including Gas Control, Gas Production, Engineering, Load Forecasting, Legal, Customer Choice, Transactions and Rates to review plans for the upcoming winter (Appendix 14 - Winter Operations 2005/06 Presentation). In preparation for this meeting, the Gas Supply Planning Group prepares a Gas Supply Winter Operations Manual for each participant that provides pertinent information regarding the gas supply portfolio, production statistics, etc. Lastly, the Gas Supply Planning Group holds a Weekly Winter Operations Meeting (during the entire winter period) with representatives from Gas Control, Regulated Gas Transactions, Gas Production, Engineering, Load Forecasting and Customer Choice. These meetings are held to discuss actual and forecasted weather and sendout data, storage inventories, LNG and LPG refill coordination, and any other relevant issues.

## VI. SUPPLY VALIDATION AND INVOICE RECONCILIATION

Supply validation and invoice reconciliation is and will continue to be performed by two groups, the Transaction Back office and Corporate Accounting. Both groups reside within the Company's finance organization.

## A. Physical Natural Gas/LNG Transaction Reporting and Invoicing

This process includes the preparation of monthly accrual of gas transactions made by and entered into the Company's NUCLEUS Risk Management system; this accrual is recorded by to Corporate Accounting at month end to the Company's general ledger.

As part of this accrual process, the Transaction Back Office provides a validation of data entered into NUCLEUS. Volumes are reconciled by the Transaction Back Office through SCADA system reports provided by Gas Control. Additionally, the following sources are utilized by the Transaction Back Office to validate gas costs: This process ensures that the Company's purchases align with sendout.

- The Nucleus Invoice Module is used to prepare the accrual and to validate invoices after the Mid Office, a term used to define the segregation of duties within the Regulated Gas Transactions Group, inputs daily gas purchases and prices in to the Nucleus, as well as storage injections and withdrawals.
- Customer Choice's Capacity Release Financial Summary report which documents pipeline capacity releases and Marketer managed supply, as well as transport gas from the Marketers is used during the accrual process and to support invoice review (see Appendix 15 - Capacity Release Financial Summary).
- Gas Control produces send-out reports by division, LNG trucking and vapor reports, supplemental usage reports for Boil-off and an Operational Balance Agreement (OBA) report which captures the pipeline imbalance for Tennessee (See Appendix 11).
B. Invoice Review

The Transaction Back Office is also responsible for invoice validation. This process consists of verifying invoices for volume, price and tariff information against that which is recorded in the Company's NUCLEUS Risk Management system. Actual invoice payments are verified against the initial accrual. Invoices are approved and signed and forwarded to Corporate Accounting and Treasury for payment. The Transaction Back Office is also responsible for working with Corporate Accounting to ensure that all invoices are accurately recorded.

## C. Financial (Hedging) Transaction Settlements

The Transaction Back Office is also responsible for confirming all financial settlement payment figures and preparing/submitting invoices on hedge gain settlements to counterparties, reviewing and approving of all counter-party hedge loss settlement invoices, and processing invoices related to margin activity. The Transaction Back Office Manager or Director approves all settlement invoicing. The Transaction Back Office is also responsible for working with Corporate Accounting to ensure that all invoices are accurately recorded.

## Appendix 1

## KeySpan Asset Optimization Group Organizational Chart



## Appendix 2

## KeySpan Regulated Gas Transaction Group Organizational Chart



## Appendix 3

## Schematic of KeySpan Upstream Capacity Resources
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## Appendix 4

## KeySpan New England Sample NAESB Agreement

## Base Contract for Sale and Purchase of Natural Gas

This Besecontractirenteredinto as of the following date: fune 2005 , The partes trithis Base contract are the following:
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## Appendix 5

## KeySpan New England Natural Gas Price Risk Management Plan

# EnergyNorth Natural Gas, Inc. d/b/a KeySpan Energy Delivery New Engiand 

## Natural Gas Price Risk Management Plan

## INTRODUCTLON

In recent years prices in fhe natural gas commodity market have becone sone of the most volatile of all traded comodites. As a rosilt, EnerghNoth Natura Gas Ince do/a
 dramatially from monthtomonth and yearto-xeak substand fortion of the








## DLANTERM

This Plan will become effectux when authorized ty the Companyis Risk Manhement Committee aidapproved byethe New HampshrePabic Unifies Commission.
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## GUDDELNES

## Rusk Manayement Toolef

The Company may use derivatives (swaps, call and pat options) to hedge the prices for a porton of its gas supply portolio for the period fom Qetober through May. Theportions of the paifolio that they hedge ate the fowing gas supplies that are indexed priced. The dervatues used in the hedge may be evherptysical in financial.
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## Transyction Execation Guidelimes
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## POLICLES, RROCEDURES ANDCONLROLS
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## Appendix 6

## KeySpan New England Sample "Game Plan"





## Appendix 7

KeySpan New England Sample
Daily Volume Sheet And On-Call Lists

|  |  | Wednesday 11/30/05 | Thursday 12/01/05 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALGONQUIN |  |  |  |
| BGC System Supply | Canadian | 0 | 0 |
|  | Baseload | 81,000 | 95,000 |
|  | Swing | 0 | 25,000 |
|  | Hubline 10K | 0 | 0 |
|  | Storage | 0 | 0 |
|  | Hubline Tier V | 0 | 0 |
|  | Providence LNG | 0 | 0 |
|  | Spot | 0 | 0 |
|  | IT Customers | 0 | 0 |
|  | FT Customers | 41,930 | 62,673 |
| Sempra to Mystic 7 (meter 27) |  | 0 | 0 |
| Exelon © LSt (meter 52) |  | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ |
| Subtotal: |  | 122,930 | 182,673 |
| Colonial System Supply (Cape Cod) | Canadian | 5,641 | 5,611 |
|  | Baseload | 15,000 | 20,000 |
|  | Swing/Spot | 0 | 0 |
|  | Hubline 10K | 0 | 0 |
|  | Storage | 0 | 0 |
|  | Hubline Tier V | 0 | 0 |
|  | DOMAC 15K | 0 | 0 |
|  | Providence LNG | 0 | 0 |
|  | Spot | 0 | 0 |
|  | IT Customers | 0 | 0 |
|  | FT Customers | 3,190 | 4,021 |
| Subtotal: |  | 23,831 | 29,632 |
| Wake-up/Payback: (BGC \& CGC) |  | 0 | 0 |
| AGT Payback (not scheduled on LiNK): |  | 0 | 0 |
| BGC System Supply Subtotal: |  | 146,761 | 212,305 |
| Less: DOMAC Backoff |  | 0 | 0 |
| Less: TYR Backoff |  | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL AGT(NET OF BACKOFFS): |  | 146,761 | 212,305 |
| DOMAC Backdoor Supply (FCSO64) |  | 0 | 0 |
| DOMAC Backdoor to Sempra (M7): |  | 20,000 | 900 |
| DOMAC Backdoor to Excelon (L St): |  | 0 | 0 |
| Baystate Nominations: |  | 0 | 0 |
| PNGTS |  |  |  |
| EnergyNorth System Supply |  |  |  |
|  | Baseload | 90 | 125 |
|  | Swing | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL PNGTS |  | 90 | 125 |
| NOTE: PLEASE USEA TOLERANCE OF $110 \%$ ON THE PIPELINE. |  |  |  |
| Remaining Swing Remaining Storage |  | $\begin{gathered} 77,965 \\ 103,575 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 33,965 \\ 103,575 \end{gathered}$ |

SCHEDULED DELIVERIES FOR BGC, CGC, EGC AND ENERGYNORTH


## ON CALLLIST



November 23,2005
 workhghours.

WorkPhome PipardCent Home Phone


| Mant Leiphert Ditu: | 545 |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | $33^{405425}$ |
|  | 545-5411 |
| Maidumith | 545 |
| Pathocies |  |
| Matiripatio | $44^{5} 548$ |
|  | 545-2430 |
|  | 855.440 |
| Micuach Scolian | 544.3453 |
| Wenturn | 34545434 |

Cellphine Gas (xid) amonM

## Cox Sumplobianing

TexinMarino:

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 16458821165 |  |
| 516)333548020 |  |
|  | (544) |
| (5uchemem | (4040328488429 |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| 20\%3\% 6 chat |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

a



## Appendix 8

KeySpan New England Sample BMS Nominations Template


## Appendix 9

## KeySpan New England Sample Scheduled Daily Deliveries Report

# KeySpan Energy Delivery: 

Energy North Gas Company
Daily Scheduled Defiveries Detail
Nowember 30, 2005


## Appendix 10

## KeySpan New England Sample Monthly Sendout Report


品
虽品



BOILOFF

| 1noanas $7 \forall 101$ | $\pm \pm 07109$ | yod | ヨNVdOYd |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

0000000000000000000000000000000合








## Appendix 11

## KeySpan New England Sample Daily Imbalance Report

## IMBALANCE

OCTOBER 2005
ENERGY NORTH

DAY


| 1 | 14,993 | 15,612 | 619 | 0 619 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 15,279 | 15,580 | 301 | 920 |
| 3 | 16,851 | 16,878 | 27 | 947 |
| 4 | 12,711 | 16,840 | 4,129 | 5,076 |
| 5 | 16,023 | 16,502 | 479 | 5,555 |
| 6 | 16,463 | 15,176 | -1,287 | 4,268 |
| 7 | 14,064 | 13,548 | -516 | 3,752 |
| 8 | 20,278 | 15,625 | -4,653 | -901 |
| 9 | 19,058 | 18,534 | -524 | -1,425 |
| 10 | 20,435 | 20,889 | 454 | -971 |
| 11 | 20,701 | 22,387 | 1,686 | 715 |
| 12 | 26,109 | 26,190 | 81 | 796 |
| 13 | 23,930 | 24,570 | 640 | 1,436 |
| 14 | 26,500 | 21,168 | -5,332 | -3,896 |
| 15 | 20,220 | 21,405 | 1,185 | -2,711 |
| 16 | 26,072 | 25,803 | -269 | -2,980 |
| 17 | 27,250 | 26,346 | -904 | -3,884 |
| 18 | 27,177 | 26,887 | -290 | -4,174 |
| 19 | 24,424 | 26,698 | 2,274 | -1,900 |
| 20 | 33,289 | 35,814 | 2,525 | 625 |
| 21 | 31,809 | 36,217 | 4,408 | 5,033 |
| 22 | 38,122 | 36,975 | -1,147 | 3,886 |
| 23 | 43,567 | 37,414 | -6,153 | -2,267 |
| 24 | 40,227 | 39,983 | -244 | -2,511 |
| 25 | 39,522 | 47,836 | 8,314 | 5,803 |
| 26 | 45,968 | 47,518 | 1,550 | 7,353 |
| 27 |  |  | 0 | 7,353 |
| 28 |  |  | 0 | 7,353 |
| 29 |  |  | 0 | 7,353 |
| 30 |  |  | 0 | 7,353 |
| 31 |  |  | 0 | 7,353 |
|  | 661,042 | 668,395 | 7,353 |  |

ACTUAL DELIVERY

DAILY
IMBALANCE

ACCUML'TVE IMBALANCE

## Appendix 12

## KeySpan New England Sample Monthly Asset Manager Reports/Invoices




Keyspan Gonsolidated Demand \& Reservation Charges.


## Appendix 13

## KeySpan New England Sample Monthly Storage Report




## Appendix 14

## KeySpan New England Winter Operations 2005/2006 Presentation

















## Appendix 15

KeySpan New England Sample
Capacity Release Financial Summary Report





[^0]:    1 The Local Distribution Companies ("LDCs") that operate under the name KeySpan Energy Delivery New England are: Boston Gas Company, Colonial Gas Company, Essex Gas Company and EnergyNorth Natural Gas, Inc. Unless otherwise specifically noted, the term "KeySpan" refers to all four of the New England LDCs.

    2 The forecasting period is based on split years from November 1 through October 31.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ The Company agreed as part of the Settlement to develop econometric models for this forecast to replace the enduse model used in its most recent IRP.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ The Company attempted to maintain t-tests at the 2.0 significance level, but in some cases found it necessary to retain some variables that tested between 1.0 and 2.0 to maintain the theoretical form of the equations.

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ The NSTAR model was initially developed to analyze electric energy-efficiency programs in Massachusetts. Northeast Efficiency Energy Partnerships ("NEEP") built the first version of the model in 1997 to analyze the costs and benefits of its regional programs. In January 1998, ComElectric retained GDS Associates, Inc. ("GDS") to perform a cost/benefit analysis of its electric energy-efficiency programs. During the first quarter of 1998, GDS enhanced the NEEP model and calculated benefit/cost ratios for ComElectric's programs. In 2000, following the BECo/Commonwealth merger, NSTAR retained Optimal Energy to enhance the model to analyze natural gas energy-efficiency programs. KeySpan used the enhanced model in December 2000 and January 2001 to analyze the costs and benefits of five regional GasNetworks energy-efficiency programs. KeySpan now uses a new GDS model to calculate the benefits and costs of its energy efficiency programs. The GDS model was initially used for projects for Fitchburg Gas and Electric. Many GDS clients now use the GDS model, including KeySpan, Efficiency Maine, the Vermont Department of Public Service, the New Hampshire Electric Cooperative, Public Service of New Mexico and other GDS clients.

[^4]:    ${ }^{5}$ In accordance with the Company's Delivery Terms and Conditions, new customers (as defined by a meter location) who have not previously been served by the Company as a sales customer, may opt directly to Supplier Service, and therefore, are not eligible for mandatory capacity assignment.

[^5]:    ${ }^{6}$ Under the Northern proposal, Northern would plan for $30 \%$ of the peak day requirement of Grandfathered customers and the cost of that capacity would be borne solely by those Grandfathered customers.

[^6]:    ${ }^{7}$ Because baiancing is not done by individual customer, but rather, across the Supplier's "pool" of customers, the Company's review of deliveries made by a Supplier include deliveries made on behalf of both Grandfathered

[^7]:    ${ }^{8}$ The Company's design year springboard incorporates observations from the 2003/04 split year, the year in which EnergyNorth experienced a design day, as more reflective of what might occur during design weather.

[^8]:    - EnergyNorth determined the equivalent number of customers using the following formula: Delta Supply/[(Heating Increment/Number of Customers)*EDD].

[^9]:    ${ }^{1}$ As noted in section III B above, this obligation excludes those firm transportation customers that are exempt from the Commission's mandatory capacity assignment rule. i.e. customers who had migrated to transportation service prior to the implementation of the mandatory capacity assignment rule or new customers who go direct to delivery only service.
    ${ }^{2}$ The Company did incorporate into the 2005/06 portfolio the upcoming addition of the short-haul capacity from Dawn to Waddington and the associated supply.

[^10]:    ${ }^{3}$ Union and TransCanada have each received the necessary regulatory authorizations. Both pipeline expansions are under construction and expected to be completed on schedule.

[^11]:    ${ }^{4}$ The on-system LNG storage capacity is not sufficient to meet the full seasonal requirements without refill throughout the winter season.

[^12]:    ${ }^{5}$ This seven-day period with 447 EDD is not the coldest seven-day period in the database. The coldest seven-day period was a 450 EDD total that occurred between January 16 and January 22, 2000.

[^13]:    ${ }^{6}$ This Task Force was originally established by the New England Gas Association (now NGA) Board of Directors and chartered to coordinate the activities of New England (now Northeast) gas industry participants with regard to issues related to regional gas supply and deliverability.

[^14]:    ${ }^{1}$ During those negotiations, Tennessee agreed to contribute to a significant distribution system upgrade to serve additional load in the Tilton, NH area to the benefit of both the Company and Tennessee.

[^15]:    ${ }^{2}$ The sole criterion for reviewing the prudence of the Company's dispatch of underground storage volumes is the Company's ability to remain at or above this rule curve as of the last day of each month within the peak period.

[^16]:    1 EnergyNorth is a wholly owned subsidiary of KeySpan New England LLC., which is itself a wholly owned subsidiary of KeySpan Corporation. KeySpan Corporation is a public utility holding company headquartered in Brooklyn N.Y. Under the KeySpan holding company structure, many of the functions that are described in this document are performed by employees of KeySpan shared services organizations on behalf of EnergyNorth.

[^17]:    2 Commodity supplies will be priced based on how they are actually dispatched.

[^18]:    3 The Gas Supply Planning and Load Forecasting Groups are based out of Waltham, MA. The Regulated Gas Transactions Group is based out of Hicksville, NY. The Gas Contracting Group is based out of Brooklyn, NY.

[^19]:    4 In certain instances, seasonal supplies may be procured by the regulated gas transaction group following the process for procurement of short-term supply.

[^20]:    5 In addition to considering new capacity, the Company will also consider the acquisition of existing capacity via assignment or capacity release.

[^21]:    6 The Customer Choice group is responsible for confirming both, the supplies delivered to the Company from third party suppliers on behalf of transportation customers, as well as supplies delivered to the Company to meet customer requirements.

[^22]:    7 Currently, EnergyNorth enjoys the benefits of operating under a single OBA with Tennessee for all of the KeySpan New England citygates. This allows EnergyNorth and the KeySpan Massachusetts LDCs to balance deliveries across all of its Tennessee citygates in New England. The Company hopes to maintain a single Tennessee OBA, however it is contingent upon the Company's portfolio management plan decision for the Massachusetts LDCs effective April 1, 2006.

[^23]:    Conyognt 40 g Noth Ahiencar Ghergy Standards boaro lac All RUGitr Resmand:

[^24]:    
    

