

Addendum to the statement by the NUCLEAR ENERGY STUDY GROUP at Heritage Heights Retirement Center:

William Klapproth

----- Original Message -----

From: "Dana Robinson" <danarobin52@hotmail.com>

To: <w.j.klapproth@worldnet.att.net>

Cc: <sschoeffler@mantis-boston.com>

Sent: Friday, June 09, 2006 10:28 PM

Subject: Notice of June 23rd Hearing by Energy Planning Advisory Board

> Hi Bill -

>

> That is an interesting step taken back in 2004 by the NH Legislature - but I wonder what they have been doing since their creation. While I don't think we qualify to become an official organization and ask for time at the meeting, I would suggest we could put together some comments to be included for their review and consideration.

>

> These are the points I would make:

>

> 1. Nuclear Power Plants - With the action by the Congress in 2002 to create the 2010 Nuclear Power Plant Programs and the public request by NuStart for interested States to be considered for the initial new Nuclear Power Plants - NEW HAMPSHIRE TOOK NO POSITIVE ACTION. In fact, the negative image of New Hampshire and the Clam Shell Alliance's successful efforts to delay Seabrook by several years and increase the cost by Tens of Millions of Dollars - has persuaded FPL Energy to not even actively consider New Hampshire in the foreseeable future.

>

> RECOMMENDED ACTION: The Legislature of New Hampshire and Public Utilities Commission should join together in sending NuStart a specific request for a 2nd Reactor at Seabrook to be put on a High Priority List.

>

> 2. Coal-Powered Energy Plants - Because these Plants are the major cause of the Global Warming - the Legislature should create either a much higher penalty for the Carbon Dioxide Pollution or a special Coal-Powered Energy Tax to help raise money for New Hampshire to become part of the FutureGen Alliance - focused on Clean Coal-Fueled Power Plants. The present \$1Billion investment by DOE and the FutureGen Alliance must be significantly increased and the 12-15-year timetable for the first new Clean Plant to become operational must be accelerated.

>

> RECOMMENDED ACTION: The Legislature should specifically oppose the addition or expansion of any existing Coal-Powered Plant - while focusing on encouraging all Alternative Energy Plants, especially Wind and Solar. It must accept the fact that none of these Alternative Plants will make a significant contribution to our future Energy needs - which is why adding the 2nd Nuclear Reactor at Seabrook is the only major alternative within the next 10-12 years.

>>

> Dana Robinson, 149 East Side Dr. Concord, NH, 03301