

Retail/Residential

Technical Reference Manual

Version 2018.3

Effective Date: January 1, 2018

Efficiency Maine Trust 168 Capitol Street Augusta, ME 04330 866-376-2463 <u>efficiencymaine.com</u>

Table of Contents

| TABLE OF CONTENTS | 2 |
|---|------|
| INTRODUCTION | 4 |
| TRM CHANGE LOG | 10 |
| CONSUMER PRODUCTS | 19 |
| CFL BULB – FOOD PANTRY & APPLIANCE PACKS (INACTIVE) (CFLFP, CFLAPP) | 20 |
| STANDARD LED BULB – RETAIL (LEDSTDLL, LEDSTDSL, LEDSTDP) | 22 |
| SPECIALTY LED BULB – RETAIL (LEDSPCRFL, LEDSPCRFS, LEDSPCOL, LEDSPCOS, LEDSPCCDL, LEDSPCCDS) | 24 |
| STANDARD LED BULB – FOOD PANTRY, DIRECT INSTALL & OPT-IN MAILED DIY KIT (LEDSTDLFP, LEDSTDSFP, LILLEDSTANL, LILEDSTANS) | 28 |
| SPECIALTY LED BULB – FOOD PANTRY, DIRECT INSTALL & OPT-IN MAILED DIY KIT (LEDSPCLFP, LEDSPCSFP, LILEDSPECL, LILEDSPECS) | 30 |
| STANDARD LED BULB – DISTRIBUTOR (LEDSTDLLD, LEDSTDSLD) | 32 |
| SPECIALTY LED LAMP – DISTRIBUTOR (LEDSPCCDDL, LEDSPCCDDS, LEDSPCGLDL, LEDSPCGLDS, LEDSPCBRDL, LEDSPCBRDS, LEDSPCPF | ٦DL, |
| LEDSPCPRDS, LEDSPCPBDL, LEDSPCPBDS, S110 <2/4><l s="">)</l> | 34 |
| LED MOGUL LAMP INTERIOR – DISTRIBUTOR (S64 <l h=""><l s="">)</l></l> | 36 |
| LED MOGUL LAMP EXTERIOR – DISTRIBUTOR (S6 <b c=""><l h="" m=""><l s="">)</l></l> | 38 |
| Refrigerator (Inactive) (RF) | 41 |
| Freezer (Inactive) | 42 |
| ROOM AIR CONDITIONER (INACTIVE) (RAC) | 43 |
| ROOM AIR PURIFIER (RAP) | 44 |
| Dehumidifier (DH) | 45 |
| DISHWASHER (INACTIVE) (DW) | 47 |
| CLOTHES WASHER (CW) | 49 |
| LOW-FLOW KITCHEN AERATOR (LFKA, LILFKA) | 52 |
| LOW-FLOW BATHROOM AERATOR (LFBA, LILFBA) | 54 |
| Low-flow Showerhead (LFSH) | 56 |
| Thermostatic Shower Valve (TSV, LILFSH) | 58 |
| HEAT PUMP WATER HEATER (HPWH) | 60 |
| WI-FI ENABLED THERMOSTAT (WIFITSTAT) | 62 |
| HOME ENERGY SAVINGS PROGRAM | 63 |
| Сизтом Ратн (T1,T2) | 64 |
| Air Sealing (AA, LAA) | 65 |
| ATTIC/ROOF INSULATION ALL FUELS (BA, LBA) | 67 |
| Attic/Roof Insulation Natural Gas (BA, LBA) | 69 |
| WALL INSULATION (BW, LBW) | 71 |
| BASEMENT INSULATION (BB, LBB) | 73 |
| Mobile Home Underbelly Insulation (LUB) | 75 |
| Insulate Attic Openings (LUB) | 77 |
| DUCT INSULATION (LUB) | 79 |
| DUCT SEALING (LUB) | 81 |
| Hydronic Heating Pipe Insulation (LUB) | 83 |
| SEAL/INSULATE PIPES/DUCTS (LUB) | 84 |
| DUCTLESS HEAT PUMP (CH) | 86 |
| HIGH-EFFICIENCY FURNACES AND BOILERS (DB, DF) | 88 |
| Pellet/Wood Stove (CPS, CWS) | 90 |
| Pellet/Cord Wood Boiler (APB) | 91 |

| Central Air-source Heat Pump (ducted) (DHA)93 |
|---|
| Central Geothermal (Ground source) Heat Pump (GCL, GOL)95 |
| On-Demand Natural Gas Water Heater (NGWH)97 |
| LOW-INCOME PROGRAM |
| AIR SEALING DIRECT INSTALL (LNAS) |
| Attic/Roof Insulation Direct Install (LNAI) |
| LOW-INCOME GAS HEAT |
| FURNACE AND BOILER RETROFIT |
| DUCTLESS HEAT PUMP RETROFIT (LIDHP, LCH)104 |
| HEAT PUMP WATER HEATER DIRECT INSTALL (LIHPWH)108 |
| Domestic Water Heater Temperature Turn-Down |
| Domestic Water Heater Pipe Insulation |
| DOMESTIC WATER HEATER WRAP |
| APPENDIX A: GLOSSARY |
| APPENDIX B: COINCIDENCE AND ENERGY PERIOD FACTORS119 |
| APPENDIX C: CARBON DIOXIDE EMISSION FACTORS |
| APPENDIX D: RETAIL LIGHTING EISA HISTORY |
| APPENDIX E: STANDARD ASSUMPTIONS FOR MAINE |
| APPENDIX F: SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION FOR RETAIL PRODUCTS |

Introduction

PURPOSE

The Efficiency Maine Trust Residential/Retail, Commercial and Multifamily Technical Reference Manuals (TRMs) provide documentation for the Trust's calculation of energy and demand savings from energy-efficiency measures. Each TRM serves as a central repository and common point of reference for the methods, formulas, assumptions and sources that are used to estimate savings from energy-efficiency measures, and provides a common platform for analyzing energy savings across measures and programs. The importance of the TRM is derived from the importance of energy and demand savings calculations, which are at the foundation of the Trust's program planning and management, cost-effectiveness analysis, program evaluation, Annual Report and Independent System Operator – New England (ISO-NE) Forward Capacity Market (FCM) participation.

GENERAL FORMAT

The TRM is organized by program area and then by measure category, which may include one or more measures. Each measure category is presented in its own section as a measure characterization, following a standard format. The measure characterization includes a measure overview, energy and demand savings algorithms, baseline assumptions, deemed parameter values or instructions for inputs to savings algorithms, measure life and measure costs and impact factors for calculating adjusted gross savings and net savings. When there is a set of common values across measures, summary tables are provided at the end of the relevant section or in an appendix.

Where deemed savings values are specified, Efficiency Maine Trust (the Trust or EMT) uses integer values when reporting in units of kWh, three decimal places when reporting in units of MMBtu, and three decimal places for all demand (kW) values.

GUIDANCE & COMMON ASSUMPTIONS

In using the Trust's TRMs, it is helpful to note the following:

- **Gross savings:** Algorithms are specified for *gross* savings. To calculate *adjusted gross* savings or *net* savings, impact factors that account for verified measure performance (adjusted gross) and attribution (net) must be applied. The formulas used to calculate adjusted gross and net savings are described below.
- **Annual savings:** Algorithms are specified for *annual* savings. Unless otherwise noted, annual savings are assumed to be realized for each year of the measure life.
- **Unit savings:** Algorithms are specified for *per unit savings*. The Trust's program databases track and record the number of units of a given measure delivered through the program.
- *Meter-level savings:* Savings are assumed to be the savings that occur at the customer's meter (or point of use for non-electric savings); line losses are not included in these calculations.
- Non-electric savings: When applicable, savings are counted for natural gas, oil, propane, kerosene, wood and/or water. The deemed unit savings, algorithms and assumptions for these non-electric impacts are described in the measure characterizations whenever those savings are counted. If a non-electric impact is not described for a measure, it can be assumed that no non-electric impacts are counted for that measure.

- In-Service Rate (ISR): The in-service rate represents the percentage of program units that are installed or implemented. Unless otherwise stated in the measure-specific sections of this TRM, the ISR is set to 100 percent for the following reasons:
 - In the commercial sector, it is uncommon for customers to purchase equipment and not immediately install or use it.
 - The Trust's non-retail programs include some level of verification of the measure purchase and/or installation. These verification procedures ensure that projects and savings are counted only for measures that are implemented.
 - The effects of non-implemented units may be identified in the program impact evaluation and accounted for in the energy and demand realization rates.
 - Direct install measures result in 100 percent installation rates.
- **Coincidence Factors (CF):** Coincidence factors are provided for the summer and winter on-peak periods as defined by the ISO-New England for the Forward Capacity Market (FCM), and are calculated in accordance with the FCM methodology. Electric demand reduction during the ISO New England peak periods is defined as follows:
 - **Summer on-peak**: average demand reduction from 1:00 to 5:00 PM on non-holiday weekdays in June, July and August
 - Winter on-peak: average demand reduction from 5:00 to 7:00 PM on non-holiday weekdays in December and January
- Life: Life refers to the effective useful life of the measure. It represents the equivalent number of years the savings are expected to be realized. Lifetime savings = annual savings x life. Measure life takes one or more of the following aspects into consideration: 1) projected equipment life, 2) documented equipment warranty, 3) measure persistence¹, and 4) savings persistence². Life is set to represent a conservative estimate of the aggregate life of all measures of that type installed and not the characterization of the life of a single, specific installed measure.
- **Deemed savings value vs. deemed savings algorithm:** For some measures, deemed savings values are provided representing the estimated average savings per unit for the measure. The deemed savings value may be based directly on the results from an evaluation or other research study, or may be based on a set of deemed input parameters applied to the stated energy and demand savings algorithms.

For other measures, deemed values are provided for only some of the parameters in the algorithm and actual values for a given measure are required to calculate savings. In these cases, project-specific (or "Actual") data recorded in the relevant program tracking database is used in combination with the TRM deemed parameters to compute savings.

¹ Measure persistence is a quantification of how long the measure will remain in place. Causes of reduced measure persistence include any activity that removes the measure or eliminates the savings such as equipment upgrade, refurbishment or renovation of the building, closure of a business, or override of efficiency controls. ² Savings persistence is a quantification of how long the defined savings will remain. Causes of reduced savings persistence include a change to the baseline over the useful life of the measure so that future savings are less than first-year savings and changes in usage behavior over time.

- **Project-specific ("actual") data for parameter inputs**: The savings methods for some measures specify "actual" data for at least one of the input parameters. Actual data refers to values that are specific to the project. Unless otherwise stated, these actual project data should be collected and documented on the project application forms. For some measures, the TRM provides alternative values if the actual data are unknown.
- **Data sources for deemed parameter inputs:** Wherever possible, deemed parameter values and assumptions are based on Maine-specific research and data. When such data are not available, the TRM relies on relevant data sources from other areas within the U.S.; in doing so, data sources from neighboring states and regions are prioritized. In some cases, engineering judgment and scaling for regional differences are used.
- Decision type: The decision type describes the underlying scenario that is assumed for the savings calculation of a given measure. The decision type has implications for the baseline efficiency case and the measure cost assumptions as shown below.³ For each energy-efficiency measure, the TRM identifies the relevant decision type, or types, corresponding to the scenarios in which the given measure may be implemented.

| Decision Type | Scenario | Baseline | Measure Cost |
|--------------------|--|--|--|
| New Construction | Customer is in the market to purchase new equipment for a new construction or new capacity project or as part of a planned renovation or to add controls to improve the performance of new equipment | Federal standards or standard market practice for new equipment | Incremental cost: difference between the cost of baseline and cost of high-efficiency equipment |
| Replace on Burnout | Customer is in the market to purchase new equipment to replace existing equipment that has worn out or otherwise needs replacing | Federal standards or standard market practice for new equipment | Incremental cost: difference between the cost of baseline and cost of high-efficiency equipment |
| Retrofit | Customer's existing equipment is in working order and has remaining useful life or customer is adding controls to improve the performance of operating equipment in an existing facility. | Existing equipment or conditions | Full measure cost: cost of the high-efficiency equipment (including installation) |

- *Efficiency standards:* The TRM anticipates the effects of changes in efficiency standards for some measures, including shifts in the baseline for CFL and LED bulbs due to changes in Federal Standards for lighting products under the Energy Independence & Security Act of 2007 (EISA).
- TRM updates: The TRMs are reviewed and updated annually, or more frequently if needed, to reflect new information obtained through research and evaluation studies, changes in program offerings (measures) and shifts in technology and baselines. Annual updates to the TRM are published as a new "version" (Version YYYY.1) with a specific effective date. Inter-year updates to the TRM are published as iterations to the version year (Version YYYY.x) with changes and effective date indicated.

³ Table adapted from National Action Plan for Energy Efficiency (2008). Understanding Cost-Effectiveness of Energy Efficiency Programs: Best Practices, Technical Methods, and Emerging Issues for Policy-Makers. Energy and Environmental Economics, Inc. and Regulatory Assistance Project. https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2015-08/documents/napee_report.pdf

SAVINGS FORMULAS

The formulas and inputs used to calculate the deemed gross annual energy ($\Delta kWh/yr$ (electricity) and $\Delta MMBtu/yr$ (natural gas and other fuels)) and gross max demand (ΔkW) savings for each measure are described in the measure sections. The formulas used to calculate adjusted gross savings, on-peak demand savings, and lifetime savings are described below. For measures that have different gross max demand savings for winter and summer, max heating (ΔkW_H) and max cooling (ΔkW_C) demand savings are reported. For measures where coincident demand reductions are estimated directly, winter (ΔkW_{wP}) and summer peak (ΔkW_{SP}) demand savings are reported and the coincidence factors set to 100 percent.

Adjusted Gross Savings

Adjusted gross savings represent the total energy and demand savings achieved by measures implemented through the Trust's programs. The adjusted gross savings values are calculated by applying various evaluation parameters to the gross annual energy and demand savings:

Adjusted Gross Annual kWh = $\Delta kWh/yr \times ISR \times RR_E$ Adjusted Gross Lifetime kWh = $\Delta kWh/yr \times ISR \times RR_E \times Measure$ Life Adjusted Gross Annual MMBtu⁴ = $\Delta MMBtu/yr \times ISR \times RR_E$ Adjusted Gross Lifetime MMBtu⁴ = $\Delta MMBtu/yr \times ISR \times RR_E \times Measure$ Life Adjusted Gross Summer On-Peak kW = $\Delta kW \times ISR \times RR_D \times CF_S$ Adjusted Gross Winter On-Peak kW = $\Delta kW \times ISR \times RR_D \times CF_W$

The Adjusted Gross Summer On-Peak kW value is equivalent to the Demand Reduction Value reported to the ISO-NE Forward Capacity Market.

Net Savings

Net Savings represent the total realized energy and demand savings that are attributable to the Trust's programs. These net savings are calculated by applying the net-to-gross (NTG) factors such as free-ridership (FR) and spillover (SO) to the adjusted gross savings.

Net Annual kWh = $\Delta kWh/yr \times ISR \times RR_E \times (1 - FR + SO)$

Net Lifetime kWh = $\Delta kWh/yr \times ISR \times RR_E \times (1 - FR + SO) \times Measure Life$

Net Summer On-Peak kW = $\Delta kW \times ISR \times RR_D \times CF_S \times (1 - FR + SO)$

Net Winter On-Peak kW = $\Delta kW \times ISR \times RR_D \times CF_W \times (1 - FR + SO)$

Note the parameter (1 – FR + SO) may be replaced with the net-to-gross (NTG) ratio.

⁴ In this document and other reporting documents, fossil fuel savings are reporting in unit of MMBtu. In the tracking data base (effRT), natural gas savings are calculated in units of therms and then must be converted to MMBtu.

SAVINGS CALCULATIONS

The actual calculation of energy-efficiency savings, pursuant to the algorithms and assumptions documented in the TRM, occurs in the Trust's program tracking databases. In 2012, the Trust initiated a significant effort to upgrade and transform its existing program-specific databases into a comprehensive, unified database system that supports multiple programs with standardized internal processes, features and quality. This initiative builds on the foundation of the successful Efficiency Maine Reporting and Tracking (effRT) database system that historically supported the Business Programs to create a new multi-program database system, effRT 2.0. As part of this effort, the Trust is mapping the TRM deemed values and algorithms into effRT, and establishing processes for updates to effRT to coincide with TRM updates.

As of January 1, 2014, the Trust added adjustment factors for the in-service rate (ISR) and the evaluated realization rate (RR) to the formulas used to calculate the demand reduction value (DRV) for Forward Capacity Market (FCM) monthly reporting. Results using these two additional factors are referred to as *Adjusted Gross Savings* in the effRT report.

TRM Change Log

| Change Type | TRM Section | Description | Effective Date | effRT update |
|---------------|--|--|-------------------|-----------------|
| PY2014 Addend | dum | | 1 | |
| Revision | Table B-1: Coincidence Factors and Energy Period Factors | Added coincidence and energy period factors for the new ductless heat pump and ductless heat pump retrofit measures to existing Table | 11/12/2013 | Y |
| New | Ductless Heat Pump | New measure section for Ductless Heat Pump | 11/12/2013 | N |
| Revision | CFL Bulb, LED Bulb | -Updated savings algorithm and savings values to account for evaluation findings indicating a share of retail lighting program measures being used in commercial settings | 7/1/2013 | Y |
| PY2015 Update | 2S | | | |
| Revision | CFL Bulb, LED Bulb | -Updated savings to include new EISA update for PY2015 | 7/1/2014 | Y |
| Revision | Refrigerator, Freezer, Dehumidifier | -Updated energy and demand savings based on new evaluation results and a baseline adjustment -Updated Coincidence Factors to be consistent with updated peak demand savings -Updated free ridership (FR) and spillover (SO) using new evaluation results | 7/1/2014 | Y |
| Revision | Room Air Conditioner | -Updated energy and demand savings using a new baseline condition accounting for new code standard -Updated FR and SO using new evaluation results | 7/1/2014 | Y |
| Revision | Room Air Purifier | -Updated FR and SO using new evaluation results | 7/1/2014 | Y |
| Revision | Clothes Washer, Dishwasher | -Updated distribution of water heater fuels based on new evaluation results -Updated FR and SO using new evaluation results (the values for the dishwasher measure were based on overall program weighted average) | 7/1/2014 | Y |
| Revision | effRT schedules (Appliance Rebate and Retail Lighting Programs) | Savings, Pricing and Factor schedules in effRT updated to reflect 2014 TRM values and formulas | 7/1/2014 | Y |
| Revision | High-efficiency Electric Water Heater | Temperature setpoint of the water heater was updated based on recent evaluation results | 7/1/2014 | Y |
| Revision | Heat Pump Water Heater | -Updated savings based on a Heat Pump Water Heaters Field Evaluation report -Updated FR and SO using new evaluation results | 7/1/2014 | Y |

| Change Type | TRM Section | Description | Effective | effRT |
|-------------|-------------------------|---|------------------|--------|
| | | | Date | update |
| Revision | Table B-1: | -Updated Coincidence Factors for the | 7/1/2014 | Y |
| | Coincidence Factors | following measures: CFL Bulb, LED Bulb, | | |
| | | Refrigerator, Freezer, Denumidifier, Clothes | | |
| | | Washer, Heat Pump Water Heater | | |
| | | -Added Coincidence Factors for all newly | | |
| Dovision | Table D 1. Energy | Judeo medsures | 7/1/2014 | V |
| REVISION | Pariod Eastors | following moscures: CEL Bulb LED Bulb | //1/2014 | T |
| | Feriou Factors | Pofrigorator Franzer Dehumidifier Clethes | | |
| | | Washer Heat Pump Water Heater | | |
| | | -Added Energy Period Eactors for all newly | | |
| | | added measures | | |
| Revision | Ductless Heat Pump | Energy/demand impacts description of | 7/1/2014 | N |
| | Ducticss field i unip | methodology, coincidence factors, and | //1/2014 | |
| | | energy period factors for the Ductless Heat | | |
| | | Pump measure (added to the TRM as a | | |
| | | PY2014 addendum) were updated based on | | |
| | | a revised savings model | | |
| New | Direct Install CFL Bulb | New measure section for Direct Install CFL in | 7/1/2014 | N |
| | | Low-income Program | | |
| New | Ductless Heat Pump | New measure section for Ductless Heat | 7/1/2014 | N |
| | Retrofit | Pump Retrofit in Low-income Program | | |
| New | Low-income | New measure sections for heating | 7/1/2014 | N |
| | Multifamily Gas Heat, | measures: Low-income Multifamily Gas | | |
| | Furnaces and Boilers, | Heat, Furnaces and Boilers, Furnace and | | |
| | Furnace and Boiler | Boiler Retrofit | | |
| | Retrofit | | | |
| New | Home Energy Savings | New measure sections for the following | 7/1/2014 | Ν |
| | Program | measures: Custom Path, Air Sealing, | | |
| | | Attic/Roof Insulation, Wall Insulation, | | |
| | | Basement Insulation, High-Efficiency | | |
| | | Furnaces/Boilers, Furnace and Boiler | | |
| | | Retrofit, Pellet/Wood Stove, Pellet Boiler, | | |
| | | Central Air-Source Heat Pump (Ducted), | | |
| | | Central Geothermal (Ground Source) Heat | | |
| | | Pump, On-Demand Natural Gas Water | | |
| Pemoval | Advanced Power | This measure was discontinued and the | 7/1/2014 | v |
| Keniovai | Strin | TRM entry was removed accordingly | //1/2014 | |
| Revision | Ductless Heat Pump | Updated measure life undated measure | 9/27/2014 | N |
| | Retrofit | cost | 2, _ / , _ 0 ± 1 | |
| Revision | Central Geothermal | Changed baseline to Oil Boiler | 9/27/2014 | N |
| | (Ground Source) Heat | | | |
| | Pump | | | |
| Revision | CFL Bulb, LED Bulb, | Adjusted measure life to 5 years | 7/1/2014 | Y |
| | CFL Direct Install | | | |
| New | Heat Pump Water | New measure section for Heat Pump Water | 1/1/2015 | Y |
| | Heater Direct Install | Heater Direct Install in Low-income Program | | |

| Change Type | TRM Section | Description | Effective | effRT |
|---------------|---|---|---------------|-------|
| Revision | Low-flow Kitchen | Measure costs undated to reflect program | 3/1/2015 | |
| Nevision | Aerator, Low-flow | costs under the direct install program | 3/1/2013 | |
| | Showerhead, CFL | | | |
| | Direct Install, | | | |
| | Ductless Heat Pump | | | |
| | Retrofit | | | |
| Revision | Ductless Heat Pump | Updated savings to account for fuel | 3/1/2015 | Y |
| | Retrofit | distribution | | |
| Other | Low-income | Added Replace on Burnout decision type | 3/1/2015 | N |
| News | Multifamily Gas Heat | Added distribute a LED research | 1/1/2015 | N N |
| New | | Added distributor LED measure | 1/1/2015 | Y |
| Revision | LED High-Efficiency | Adjusted measure cost based on program | 7/1/2014 | v |
| REVISION | Furnaces and Boilers | data | //1/2014 | |
| Revision | Wood and Pellet | Adjusted savings estimates to account for | 7/1/2014 | Y |
| | Stoves | outdoor make up air kit efficiency | .,_, | |
| PY2016 Update | 25 | · · · | | |
| Other | Introduction | Expanded description of in-service rate; | | N |
| | | revised deemed savings value vs. deemed | | |
| | | savings algorithm, data sources for deemed | | |
| | | parameter inputs, decision type and TRM | | |
| | | updates descriptions to make them | | |
| | | applicable and consistent across all TRMs | - // /2 2 / - | |
| Revision | CFL Retail, LED Retail, | Updated to incorporate evaluation results | //1/2015 | Y |
| | LED DISTRIBUTOR, CFL | | | |
| Povision | Direct Install Pofrigorator, Eroozor | Lindated to reflect latest ENERGY STAR® | 7/1/2015 | V |
| REVISION | Room Air Conditioner | calculator | //1/2013 | T |
| Revision | Clothes Washer | Updated to reflect new federal standard | 7/1/2015 | N |
| New | Retail: Low-flow | Added measures to retail section | 7/1/2015 | N |
| - | Kitchen Aerator, Low- | | , , | |
| | flow Bathroom | | | |
| | Aerator, Low-flow | | | |
| | Showerhead | | | |
| New | Thermostatic Shower | Added to retail and low-income sections | 7/1/2015 | N |
| | Valve | | | |
| Revision | High-efficiency | Updated to reflect updated federal standard | 7/1/2015 | N |
| De later | Electric Water Heater | effective 4/16/2015 | 7/4/2045 | |
| Revision | Heat Pump Water | Updated incremental measure cost based on | //1/2015 | Y |
| | пеацег | water beaters due to new federal standards | | |
| Revision | Air Sealing | Revised savings estimates based on | 7/1/2015 | Y |
| Nevision | Attic/Roof Insulation | temperature bin analysis using TMY3 data | //1/2013 | |
| | Wall Insulation. | | | |
| | Basement Insulation | | | |
| Revision | Ductless Heat Pump, | Updated to reflect refined assumptions and | 7/1/2015 | Y |
| | Ductless Heat Pump | modeling | | |
| | Retrofit | | | |

| Change Type | TRM Section | Description | Effective Date | effRT update |
|-------------|---|--|-------------------|-----------------|
| Other | Low-income Gas Heat | Removed multifamily designation and added | 7/1/2015 | N |
| Other | Furnace and Boiler Retrofit (Prescriptive) | Clarified that measure is prescriptive | 7/1/2015 | N |
| Revision | Low-income: Low- flow Kitchen Aerator, Low-flow Bathroom Aerator, Low-flow Showerhead | Updated savings estimates to reflect heat pump water heat energy recovery factor | 7/1/2015 | Y |
| Revision | Appendix B | Updated coincidence factors and energy period factors for new and modified measures | 7/1/2015 | Y |
| Revision | Multiple | Updated MMBtu per kWh conversion factor from 0.003413 to 0.003412 | 7/1/2015 | Y |
| Other | Appendix: Carbon Dioxide Emission Factors | Added carbon dioxide emission factors table | 7/1/2015 | N |
| New | CFL – Food Bank | Added new entry for CFL Food Bank measure | 7/1/2015 | Y |
| Other | Appendix: Coincidence and Energy Period Factors | Corrected footnotes | 7/1/2015 | N |
| Revision | Retail Products | Added Commercial Sector to Dehumidifier, Room Air Purifier, Clothes Washer and Heat Pump Water Heater – no savings adjustments at this time | 7/1/2015 | N |
| Revision | Distributor Lighting | Adjusted deemed savings to account for higher efficacy program requirement | 7/1/2015 | Y |
| New | Value-line LED | Added value-line LEDs for retail and distributor | 1/1/2015 | Y |
| Revision | CFL & LED | Made several corrections/refinements to CFL and LED entries | 7/1/2015 | Y |
| Revision | Pellet Boiler | Added Cord Wood Boilers | 3/1/2016 | Y |
| Revision | Low-flow Devices | Minor corrections to calculations | 7/1/2015 | Y |
| Revision | On-Demand Natural Gas Water Heater | Updated efficiency, water use and cost assumptions | 3/1/2016 | Y |
| Revision | CFL and LED | Corrected avoided O&M estimates to properly account for delay of first purchase; corrected demand savings to apply cooling interactive demand factor to summer peak only | 1/1/2016 | Y |
| New | LED – Food Pantry & Appliance Packs | New entry for LED Food Pantry & Appliance Packs | 3/1/2016 | Y |
| Revision | Low-flow Kitchen Aerator & Low-flow Showerhead | Added Appliance Pack impact factors to Low-flow Kitchen Aerator and Low-flow Showerhead entries | 3/1/2016 | Y |
| Other | Introduction: Savings Formulas | Updated description to clarify demand savings terms | 3/1/2016 | N |

| Change Type | TRM Section | Description | Effective Date | effRT update |
|---------------|--|---|-------------------|-----------------|
| PY2017 Update | lS | | | |
| Revision | All | Default FR for measures not yet evaluated changed from 0% to 25%. | 7/1/2016 | Y |
| Revision | CFL measures | Removed retail CFL measure, food pantry CFL retained to allow for "sell through" of existing inventory until LEDs are available in August 2016 | 7/1/2016 | Y |
| Revision | LED measures | LED measures split into separate entries for standard and specialty bulbs. Savings estimates updated on FY16 bulb mix | 7/1/2016 | Y |
| Other | Various | Marked measures not currently offered as inactive. Inactive measures were not reviewed for revisions. | 7/1/2016 | Y |
| Correction | Refrigerator | Removed RATIO _{BASE} which was an inadvertent holdover from a previous version | N/A | Ν |
| Revision | Dehumidifier | Parameters updated based on PY16 sales data and revised ENERGY STAR [®] standard | 7/1/2016 | Y |
| Correction | Dehumidifier | Winter coincidence factor set to 0% | N/A | Ν |
| Removal | High-efficiency Electric Resistance Water Heater | New federal standards has made high- efficiency electric resistance water heater the baseline | 7/1/2016 | Y |
| Revision | Room Air Purifier | CADR updated based on PY16 sales data | 7/1/2016 | Υ |
| Revision | Heat Pump Water Heater | Retail and Low-income HPWH savings estimates adjusted for energy factors reflecting current program models and federal minimum standard | 7/1/2016 | Y |
| Revision | Heat Pump Water Heater | Updated measure life to reflect NREL, National Residential Efficiency Measure Database | 7/1/2016 | Y |
| Correction | Clothes Washer | Calculation correction made to energy savings | 7/1/2016 | Y |
| Revision | Clothes Washer | Demand savings algorithm employed to allow calculation based on new efficiency values; evaluation results used to derive coincidence factors | 7/1/2016 | Y |
| Revision | Clothes Washer | Measure cost updated per ENERGY STAR® | 7/1/2016 | Υ |
| Revision | Home Energy Savings Program | Baseline and energy-efficient measure assumptions updated based on most recent program data | 7/1/2016 | Y |
| Revision | Home Energy Savings Program | Fuel savings presented for known and unknown heating fuel type | 7/1/2016 | Y |
| New | Attic/Roof Insulation Natural Gas | Separate measure added for attic/roof insulation installed in homes heated with natural gas due to different baseline eligibility | 7/1/2016 | Y |
| Revision | Attic/Roof Insulation All Fuels | Natural gas removed from fuel distribution | 7/1/2016 | Y |

| Change Type | TRM Section | Description | Effective | effRT |
|-------------|---|--|-----------|--------|
| 0 // | | | Date | update |
| Revision | Insulation measures | Separate free-ridership rate added for Low- income Home Energy Savings Program (LIHESP) | 7/1/2016 | Y |
| New | Home Energy Savings Program | Added new measures for mobile home underbelly insulation, insulate attic openings, duct insulation, duct sealing and hydronic heating pipe insulation | 7/1/2016 | Y |
| Revision | Ductless Heat Pump | Added savings for multi-head and multiple unit projects | 7/1/2016 | Y |
| Revision | High-Efficiency Furnaces and Boilers | Deemed measure cost updated based on data provided in Vermont and Illinois TRMs; separate baseline efficiencies, efficient efficiencies and savings presented by fuel type and equipment type; efficient equipment efficiencies updated based on recent program data | 7/1/2016 | Y |
| Revision | Pellet/Cord Wood Boiler | Baseline fuel mix assumption updated; updated annual heat load based on Residential Baseline Study | 7/1/2016 | Y |
| Revision | Central Heat Pumps | Savings algorithm updated to use annual heat and cooling loads from Residential Baseline Study; coincidence factors corrected | 7/1/2016 | Y |
| New | Air Sealing and Attic Insulation Direct Install | New measures added to low-income section (retroactive to July 1, 2015) | 7/1/2015 | Y |
| Revision | Furnace Boiler Retrofit | Savings algorithm updated to use annual heat loads from Residential Baseline Study, transitioned to actual for baseline and efficient-energy factors | 7/1/2016 | Y |
| Revision | Low-flow Devices | Measure life adjusted to reflect National Renewable Energy Laboratory's National Residential Efficiency Measure Database | 7/1/2016 | Y |
| Revision | Ductless Heat Pump Retrofit | Savings updated to remove assumed fuel distribution; Savings will be allocated based on actual fuel type; Added parameters used in modeling that were not previously included; Modified efficient measure assumption to reflect program requirements; No impact on savings estimates. | 7/1/2016 | Y |
| Revision | Low-flow Devices – low-income only | Savings adjusted for revised water heater energy factors | 7/1/2016 | Y |
| Other | Appendix Retail Lighting Assumptions and EISA | Appendix renamed to Retail Lighting EISA History. This appendix is being maintained for historical reference only. | 7/1/2016 | N |
| Other | Appendix Standard Assumptions for Maine | Updated appendix to reflect baseline assumptions used in TRM entries for boilers and furnaces | 7/1/2016 | N |

| Change Type | TRM Section | Description | Effective | effRT |
|---------------|---|---|------------|-------|
| Other | Appendix Carbon | Undated to current US Energy Information | 7/1/2016 | N |
| other | Dioxide Emission Factors | Administration (EIA) factors | 77172010 | |
| Revision | Ductless Heat Pump | Clarified unit definition to allow up to two units per dwelling | 9/14/2016 | Y |
| New | Seal/Insulate Pipe/Ducts | New measure based on weighted average of duct insulation, duct sealing and hydronic heating pipe insulation | 7/1/2016 | Y |
| Revision | LED (Retail and Distributor) | Updated measure costs, split specialty bulbs into more refined categories. | 11/21/2016 | Y |
| Revision | Heat Pump Water Heater | Updated measure cost based on price survey | 11/21/2016 | Y |
| Revision | Retail Products: Thermostatic Shower Valve | Decision type changed to retrofit. In Service Rate estimate updated based on customer survey data. Measure cost updated based on program actuals. | 11/21/2016 | Y |
| Revision | Room Air Purifier | Measure cost updated based on shelf survey | 11/21/2016 | Y |
| Revision | LED Standard Food Pantry, Direct Install, & Opt-in Mailed DIY Kit | Added 100 W sub measure | 12/1/2016 | Ŷ |
| Revision | LED Specialty Food Pantry, Direct Install, & Opt-in Mailed DIY Kit | New measure for specialty bulbs | 1/1/2017 | Y |
| Revision | LED (Retail and Distributor) | Updated measure cost | 2/1/2017 | Y |
| Revision | On-Demand Natural Gas Water Heater | Revised assumptions and savings based on new program eligibility criteria | 3/1/2017 | Y |
| Revision | Central Geothermal (Ground Source) Heat Pump | Revised measure cost based on updated assumed baseline cost | 3/1/2017 | Y |
| Revision | Low Income Heat Pump Water Heater | Scaling factors updated for current COP and assumed water use | 4/1/2017 | Y |
| Revision | Heat Pump Water Heater | Scaling factors updated for participating models | 5/1/2017 | Y |
| Revision | LED (Retail and Distributor) | Updated measure cost | 5/1/2017 | Y |
| Other | LED (all) | Removed reference to ENERGY STAR [®] | 4/1/2017 | N |
| Other | Glossary | Updated RR definition to distinguish between RR _E and RR _D | 4/1/2017 | N |
| PY2018 Update | S | | | |
| Revision | LED (AII) | Updated measure costs and delta watts based on program data analysis, revised FR based on pricing trial, updated interactive effects, updated savings estimates accordingly | 7/1/2017 | Y |
| Other | Consumer Products Low Flow Devices | Added note about application of ERWH % in effRT when water heat type is unknown. | 7/1/2015 | N |

| Change Type | TRM Section | Description | Effective | effRT |
|-------------|------------------|--|-----------|--------|
| | | | Date | update |
| Revision | LFKA, LFBA, TSV | Updated measure cost to be actual cost. | 7/1/2017 | Y |
| | | Changed LFKA to Retrofit. Added HPWH | | |
| | | savings for direct install. Updated HPWH | | |
| | | savings to reflect 3.5 COP. | - / . / | |
| Revision | Low Income Low | Combined with Consumer Products | 7/1/2017 | Y |
| | Flow Devices | measures and clarified different savings for | | |
| | | HPWH and ERWH. | 7/4/2047 | |
| Other | All Measures | Updated/added effRT measure codes for all measures | //1/201/ | N |
| Revision | НРШН | Updated measure cost based on program | 7/1/2017 | Y |
| | | data analysis | | |
| Other | Glossary | Added definitions for interactive effects and | 7/1/2017 | N |
| | | waste heat factor | | |
| Other | Appendix F | Updated bulb replacement schedule, added | 7/1/2017 | N |
| | | derivation of interactive effects, added price | | |
| | | elasticity FR estimation formula | | |
| Other | Retail Products | Renamed to Consumer Products | 7/1/2017 | Ν |
| Correction | Clothes Washer | Corrected %E _{DHW_B} and %E _{DHW_EE} values that | 7/1/2016 | Υ |
| | | were inverted. (retroactive to 7/1/2016) | | |
| Revision | Clothes Washer | Updated measure cost based on most | 10/1/2017 | Y |
| | | recent program data | | |
| Revision | Distributor LEDs | Updated measure costs based on most | 10/1/2017 | Y |
| | | recent program data | | |
| Revision | Distributor LEDs | Updated FR and SO to reflect findings from | 10/1/2017 | Y |
| | | BIP Evaluation | | |
| New | Distributor LEDs | Added Linear LED and Mogul based LEDs | 10/1/2017 | Y |
| New | Appendix B | Added Commercial Interior and Exterior | 10/1/2017 | Y |
| | | Lighting factors | | |
| Revision | LEDs | Updated measure costs based on most | 10/1/2017 | Y |
| | | recent program data | | |
| Revision | Heat Pump Water | Measure cost update based on shelf survey | 10/1/2017 | Y |
| | Heater | performed Aug 2017 | | |
| Revision | Heat Pump Water | Measure cost update based on program | 1/1/2018 | Y |
| | Heater | data and shelf survey performed Nov 2017 | | |
| Revision | LEDs | Updated measure costs based on most | 1/1/2018 | Y |
| | | recent program data | | |

New: indicates a measure that was not included in the previous TRM

Revision: indicates a revision to the savings or costs of an existing measure

Removal: indicates a removal of measure that is discontinued

Other: indicates a change to an existing measure or existing text and that does not affect savings or cost calculation

Note: The Change Log provides a running history of changes in chronological order. More recent changes take precedence over previous changes. Previous change log entries are not updated so as to provide historic reference to past changes.

Consumer Products

| CFL Bulb – Food Pantry | & Appliance Packs (Inactive) (CFLFP, CFLAPP) |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Last Revised Date | 3/1/2016 (retroactive to 1/1/2016) |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | |
| Description | ENERGY STAR [®] Compact Fluorescent Lamps (CFLs). This measure involves giving away CFL bulbs to participants at food pantries and appliance rebate participants that opt-in. Bulbs distributed offset future purchase of inefficient bulbs (incandescent or halogen). ENERGY STAR [®] key efficiency criteria require that CFLs provide three times more lumens per watt than incandescent bulbs. ⁵ |
| Primary Energy Impact | Electric |
| Sector | Residential |
| Program(s) | Food Pantry Lighting Program, Appliance Rebate Program |
| End-Use | Lighting |
| Decision Type | New Construction, Replace on Burnout |
| DEEMED GROSS ENERGY | SAVINGS (UNIT SAVINGS) |
| Electric Demand savings | $\Delta kW = 0.038$ $\Delta kW_{WP} = 0.00588$ $\Delta kW_{SP} = 0.00446$ |
| Annual Energy Savings | $\Delta kWh/yr = 26$ $\Delta MMBtu/yr_{GAS} = -0.004$ $\Delta MMBtu/yr_{OIL} = -0.032$ $\Delta MMBtu/yr_{WOOD} = -0.006$ |
| | $\Delta MMBtu/yr_{PROP} = -0.003$ |
| | $\Delta MMBtu/yr_{KERO} = -0.003$ |
| | Δ MMBtu/yr _{ELEC} = -0.0004 = -0.114 kWh/yr |
| | $\Delta MMBtu/yr_{net} = 0.041$ |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVINGS | ALGORITHMS (UNIT SAVINGS) |
| Demand savings | Δ kW = Δ Watt _{CFL} / 1,000 x IE _{COOL D} |
| | $\Delta kW_{SP} = \Delta Watt_{CFL} / 1,000 \times CF_S \times IE_{COOL D} \qquad \Delta kW_{WP} = \Delta Watt_{CFL} / 1,000 \times CF_W$ |
| Annual energy savings | $ \Delta \text{ kWh/yr} = \Delta \text{Watt}_{CFL} / 1,000 \text{ x} [365 \text{ x} \text{ HPD}_{RES} \text{ x} \% \text{RES} + \text{HPY}_{COMM} \text{ x} \% \text{COMM}] \text{ x} \text{ IE}_{COOL_E} $ $ \Delta \text{MMBtu/yr} = -\Delta \text{Watt}_{CFL} / 1,000 \text{ x} [365 \text{ x} \text{ HPD}_{RES} \text{ x} \% \text{RES} + \text{HPY}_{COMM} \text{ x} \% \text{COMM}] \text{ x} \text{ IE}_{\text{HEAT}_E} $ $ \Delta \text{MMBtu/yr}_{FUEL} = \Delta \text{MMBtu/yr} \text{ x} \% \text{FUEL} $ |
| Definitions | Unit = 1 bulb |
| | $\Delta Watt_{CFL}$ = Average wattage difference between baseline builts and program CFLS (W)1,000= Conversion: 1,000 Watts per kW365= Conversion: 365 days per yearHPD _{RES} = Average daily operating hours in residential setting (hrs/day)%RES= Share of bulb purchases that are installed in residential sockets (%)HPY _{COMM} = Average annual operating hours in commercial setting (hrs/yr)%COMM= Share of bulb purchases that are installed in commercial sockets (%)IE _{COOL_D} = Electric demand interactive effect multiplier, accounts for reduced cooling loadIE _{COOL_D} = Electric energy interactive effect multiplier, accounts for reduced cooling load |
| | $I_{\text{HEAT E}} = MMBtu energy interactive effect multiplier. accounts for increased heat load$ |
| | %FUEL = Home heating fuel distribution excluding coal and other ⁶ |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTION | S |
| Baseline Efficiency | Incandescent/Halogen bulb |
| Efficient Measure | CFL |

⁵ ENERGY STAR[®] CFL Key Performance Requirements: <u>http://www.energystar.gov/index.cfm?c=cfls.pr_crit_cfls</u>

⁶ Heating fuel distribution is used to allocate savings to different fuels because the interactive effects impact the home's heating energy consumption.

| CFL Bulb – Food Pantry | CFL Bulb – Food Pantry & Appliance Packs (Inactive) (CFLFP, CFLAPP) | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|---|----------------------|----------------------|-----------|----------|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------|--|--|--|
| PARAMETER VALUES (DEE | PARAMETER VALUES (DEEMED) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Measure | $\Delta \text{Watt}_{\text{CFL}}$ | HPD _{RES} | HPY _{COMM} | %RES | %COI | VM Life | (yrs) | C | ost (\$) | | | |
| CFL Bulb | 35 ⁷ | 2 ⁸ | 3,772 ⁹ | 100%10 | 0% | 10 · | 7 ¹¹ | 1 | 2512 | | | |
| | IE _{COOL_D} | IE _{cool_e} | IE _{HEAT_E} | %FUEL | Avo | Avoided O&M (\$) | | | | | | |
| CFL Bulb | 1.08 ¹³ | 1.03 ¹³ | 0.001914 | Table E-1 | | 5.39 ¹⁵ | | | | | | |
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Measure | | ISR | RR _E | RR_{D} | CFs | CF _s CF _w | | R | SO | | | |
| Food Pantry | 000/16 | | 1000/17 | 1000/18 | 11 00/19 | 16 00/20 | 0% | 6 ²¹ | 00/21 | | | |
| Appliance Pack | 93 | 970 | 100% | 100% | 11.6% | 10.8% | 21 | % ²² | - 0%21 | | | |

⁸ NMR Group, Efficiency Maine Retail Lighting Program Overall Evaluation Report, April 16, 2015, p. 16.

⁷ CLEAResult Wattage Report 7/1/2014-3/31/2015, weighted average for 13 and 23 watt standard bulbs distributed to food pantry and appliance packs.

⁹ Average annual hours of use for commercial spaces. Efficiency Maine Commercial Technical Reference Manual Version 2015.1 Table 33.

¹⁰ Food pantry participants assumed to be residential consumers installing bulbs in homes. Commercial participation in Appliance Rebate Program is less than 3%; assume that only residential participants opt for bulb packs.

¹¹ Although CFL bulbs have a predicted useful life of 14 years based on rated lifetime of 10,000, measure life has been defined as 7 years to account for more stringent EISA standards that take effect January 1, 2020. Because savings will be reduced after 2020, the reduced measure life represents an equivalent life that results in the same net present value (NPV) benefits as the full life of the measure with the post-2020 savings reduction.

 $^{^{\}rm 12}$ Cost values based on personal communication with Stan Mertz based on industry estimates.

¹³ Derived from the following reports: NMR Group, Inc., "Connecticut Residential Lighting Interactive Effects Memo," submitted to the Connecticut Energy Efficiency Board, October 27, 2014; New York Department of Public Service, *New York Standard Approach for Estimating Energy Savings from Energy Efficiency Programs*, October 15, 2010; Efficiency Vermont 2013 Technical Reference User Manual--Measure Savings Algorithms and Cost Assumptions, August 9, 2013, p. 367; Minnesota Department of Commerce, *State of Minnesota Technical Reference Manual for Energy Conservation Improvement Programs, Version 1*, 2014; and analysis performed by Cadmus June 2015, based on 2015 NY TRM, Appendix D--HVAC Interactive Effects Multipliers.

¹⁴ NMR Group, Inc., "Connecticut Residential Lighting Interactive Effects Memo," submitted to the Connecticut Energy Efficiency Board October 27, 2014. ¹⁵ Because the efficient measure has a longer effective life than the baseline measure, future replacement costs are avoided. The avoided O&M cost is based on the NPV of \$1.00 avoided replacement cost every 2 years for 13 years starting in year 2 and real discount rate of 2.43%. No labor costs have been included. Replacement cost values based on personal communication with Stan Mertz based on industry estimates. Real discount rate based on Avoided Energy Supply Costs in New England: 2015 Report, April 3, 2015.

¹⁶ ISR equals the evaluated long term in-service rate from NMR Group, Efficiency Maine Retail Lighting Program Overall Evaluation Report, April 16, 2015, p. 14.

¹⁷ Realization rates are 100 percent since savings estimates are based on evaluation results.

¹⁸ Realization rates are 100 percent since savings estimates are based on evaluation results.

¹⁹ NMR Group, Efficiency Maine Retail Lighting Program Overall Evaluation Report, April 16, 2015, p. 19.

²⁰ Ibid.

²¹ NMR Group, Efficiency Maine Retail Lighting Program Overall Evaluation Report, April 16, 2015, p. 24.

²² Free ridership of appliance pack recipients is assumed to be the same as for retail CFLs. NMR Group, Efficiency Maine Retail Lighting Program Overall Evaluation Report, April 16, 2015, p. 24.

| Standard LED (Light Emitting Diode) Bulb – Retail (LEDSTDLL, LEDSTDSL, LEDSTDP) | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Last Revised Date | 7/1/2017 | | | | | | | | |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | | | | | | | | | |
| Description | Standard (A-Line) LED Bulbs. This measure involves the installation of a new LED in place of an | | | | | | | | |
| | existing or new inefficient bulb. | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Energy | Electric | | | | | | | | |
| Impact | | | | | | | | | |
| Sector | Residential, Commercial | | | | | | | | |
| Program(s) | Consumer Products Program – Lighting - Retail | | | | | | | | |
| End-Use | Lighting | | | | | | | | |
| Decision Type | New Construction, Replace on Burnout | | | | | | | | |
| DEEMED GROSS ENERG | iy savings (unit savings) | | | | | | | | |
| Demand savings | See Table 1 | | | | | | | | |
| Annual energy savings | See Table 1 | | | | | | | | |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVING | GS ALGORITHMS (UNIT SAVINGS) | | | | | | | | |
| Demand savings | Δ kW = Δ Watt _{LED} / 1,000 x IE _{COOL_D} | | | | | | | | |
| | $\Delta kW_{SP} = \Delta Watt_{LED} / 1,000 \times CF_S \times IE_{COOL_D} \qquad \Delta kW_{WP} = \Delta Watt_{LED} / 1,000 \times CF_W$ | | | | | | | | |
| Annual energy savings | $\Delta kWh/yr = \Delta Watts_{LED} / 1,000 x [365 x HPD_{RES} x %RES + HPY_{COMM} x %COMM] x IE_{COOL_E}$ | | | | | | | | |
| | Δ MMBtu = - Δ Watts _{LED} / 1,000 x [365 x HPD _{RES} x %RES + HPY _{COMM} x %COMM] x IE _{HEAT_E} | | | | | | | | |
| | Δ MMBtu _{FUEL} = Δ MMBtu x %FUEL | | | | | | | | |
| Definitions | Unit = 1 bulb | | | | | | | | |
| | ΔWatt _{LED} = Average wattage difference between baseline bulbs and program LED (Watts) | | | | | | | | |
| | 1,000 = Conversion: 1,000 Watts per kW | | | | | | | | |
| | 365 = Conversion: 365 days per year | | | | | | | | |
| | HPD _{RES} = Average daily operating hours in residential setting (hrs/day) | | | | | | | | |
| | %RES = Share of bulb purchases that are installed in residential setting (%) | | | | | | | | |
| | HPY _{COMM} = Average annual operating hours in commercial setting (hrs/yr) | | | | | | | | |
| | %COMM = Share of bulb purchases that are installed in commercial setting (%) | | | | | | | | |
| | IE _{COOL_D} = Electric demand interactive effect multiplier, accounts for reduced cooling load | | | | | | | | |
| | IE _{COOL_E} = Electric energy interactive effect multiplier, accounts for reduced cooling load | | | | | | | | |
| | IE _{HEAT_E} = MMBtu energy interactive effect multiplier, accounts for increased heat load | | | | | | | | |
| | %FUEL = Home heating fuel distribution excluding coal and other ²³ | | | | | | | | |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTIC | DNS | | | | | | | | |
| Baseline Efficiency | Halogen bulb | | | | | | | | |
| Efficient Measure | LED bulb | | | | | | | | |

²³ Heating fuel distribution is used to allocate savings to different fuels because the interactive effects impact the home's heating energy consumption.

| Standard LED (Light E | mitting Diod | e) Bu | lb – Re | etail (Ll | EDST | DLL, LEC | OSTD | SL, LED | STDP) | | | |
|-----------------------|---------------------------|-------|------------------|----------------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|------------|----------|------------------|
| PARAMETER VALUES (E | PARAMETER VALUES (DEEMED) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Measure | $\Delta Watts_{LED}$ | HP | D _{RES} | HPYco | ММ | %RE | S | %CON | /M | Life (yrs) | | Cost (\$) |
| LED Bulb | Table 1 | 2 | 24 | 3,772 ²⁵ | | 96% | 96% ²⁶ | | ≥20,000 hr: <20,000 hr: | | 28 29 | Table 2 |
| | IE _{COOL_D} | IEco | DOL_E | E IEHEAT_E | | %FU | EL | | Avoided C | 0&M (\$) | | |
| LED Bulb | 1.087 ³⁰ | 1.0 | 23 ³¹ | 0.001 | 38 ³² | Table E-1 | | | Table | e 2 | | |
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Measure | ISR | | R | | | RR _D | (| CFs | CF_W | FR | | SO |
| LED Bulb | 99% ³³ | | 100 |)% ³⁴ | 1(| 00% ³⁵ | 14 | .4% ³⁶ | 18.6% ³⁷ | Table 2 | | 0% ³⁸ |

- ²⁵ Average annual hours of use for commercial spaces. Efficiency Maine Commercial Technical Reference Manual Version 2015.1 Table 33.
- ²⁶ The Cadmus Group, Efficiency Maine Trust Residential Lighting Program Evaluation, November 1, 2012, p. 71.
- ²⁷ Ibid.

²⁴ NMR Group, Efficiency Maine Retail Lighting Program Overall Evaluation Report, April 16, 2015, p. 16.

²⁸ Although long-life LEDs have a useful life of 29 years based on rated lifetime of 25,000 hours, an equivalent measure life has been defined to account for dual baselines (full savings prior to 7/1/2020 and reduced savings post 7/1/2020 when more stringent EISA standards that take effect 1 January 2020 assuming a 6 month sell through).

²⁹ Ibid with useful life of 18 years based on rated lifetime of 15,000 hours.

³⁰ Derived from the concept set forth in Rundquist, R.A., Johnson, K.F., Aumann, D.J. (1993). Calculating Lighting and HVAC Interactions. ASHRAE Journal, 35(11), 28-

^{37.} See Appendix F: Supplementary Information for Retail Products for derivation and input assumptions.

³¹ Ibid.

³² Ibid.

³³ ISR is based on long term In-Service Rate from NMR Group, Efficiency Maine Retail Lighting Program Overall Evaluation Report, April 16, 2015, p. 14. It is assumed that storage habits are the same for standard efficiency bulbs as LED therefore the equivalent measure life is based on the long term in-service rate.
³⁴ Realization rates are 100 percent since savings estimates are based on evaluation results.

³⁵ Ibid.

³⁶ Composite summer coincidence factor: 96 percent of bulbs in residential sockets with summer CF at 11.8 percent (NMR Group, Efficiency Maine Retail Lighting Program Overall Evaluation Report, April 16, 2015, p. 19) and 4 percent of bulbs in commercial sockets with summer CF at 76 percent (Efficiency Maine Trust Commercial TRM, July 1, 2013).

³⁷ Composite winter CF: 96 percent of bulbs in residential sockets with winter CF at 16.8 percent (NMR Group, Efficiency Maine Retail Lighting Program Overall Evaluation Report, April 16, 2015, p. 19) and 4 percent of bulbs in commercial sockets with winter CF at 63 percent (Efficiency Maine Trust Commercial TRM, July 1, 2013).

³⁸ Ibid.

| Specialty LED Bulb – Retail (LEDSPCRFL, LEDSPCRFS, LEDSPCOL, LEDSPCOS, LEDSPCCDL, LEDSPCCDS) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|--|------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Last Revised Date | 4/1/2017 (ef | fective 5/1/2 | 2017) | | | | | | | | | | |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Description | Specialty LED | Bulbs (Glob of an existin | oe, Candelabr g or new inef | a, and 3-way) ficient bulb (ir | . This measur | e involves the installa or halogen). | tion of a | | | | | | |
| Primary Energy Impact | Electric | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sector | Residential, (| Residential, Commercial | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Program(s) | Consumer Pr | Consumer Products Program – Lighting - Retail | | | | | | | | | | | |
| End-Use | Lighting | - | - | - | | | | | | | | | |
| Decision Type | New Constru | ction, Repla | ce on Burnou | t | | | | | | | | | |
| DEEMED GROSS ENERG | SY SAVINGS (UNIT SAVINGS) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Demand savings | See Table 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Annual energy savings | See Table 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVING | SS ALGORITHN | ALGORITHMS (UNIT SAVINGS) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Demand savings | Δ kW = Δ Wa | tt _{led} / 1,000 | x IE _{cool_d} | | | | | | | | | | |
| | $\Delta kW_{SP} = \Delta W$ | $kW_{SP} = \Delta Watt_{LED} / 1,000 \times CF_S \times IE_{COOL_D}$ $\Delta kW_{WP} = \Delta Watt_{LED} / 1,000 \times CF_W$ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Annual energy savings | Δ kWh/yr = Δ | $\Delta kWh/yr = \Delta Watts_{LED} / 1,000 x [365 x HPD_{RES} x %RES + HPY_{COMM} x %COMM] x IE_{COOL E}$ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Δ MMBtu = - Δ Watts _{LED} / 1,000 x [365 x HPD _{RES} x %RES + HPY _{COMM} x %COMM] x IE _{HEAT E} | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | = Δ MMBtu x | x %FUEL | | | • | | | | | | | |
| Definitions | Unit | Unit = 1 bulb | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | $\Delta Watt_{LED}$ | = Average | wattage diffe | rence betwee | en baseline bi | ulbs and program LED | (Watts) | | | | | | |
| | 1,000 | = Conversi | on: 1,000 Wa | tts per kW | | | | | | | | | |
| | 365 | = Conversi | on: 365 days | per year | | | | | | | | | |
| | HPD _{RES} | = Average | daily operatir | ng hours in res | sidential setti | ng (hrs/day) | | | | | | | |
| | %RES | = Share of | bulb purchas | es that are ins | stalled in resi | dential setting (%) | | | | | | | |
| | HPY _{COMM} | = Average | annual opera | ting hours in (| commercial s | etting (hrs/yr) | | | | | | | |
| | %COMM | = Share of | bulb purchase | es that are ins | stalled in com | mercial setting (%) | | | | | | | |
| | IE _{COOL_D} | = Electric c | lemand intera | active effect n | nultiplier, acc | ounts for reduced co | oling load | | | | | | |
| | IE _{COOL_E} | = Electric e | energy interac | ctive effect m | ultiplier, acco | unts for reduced cool | ing load | | | | | | |
| | IE _{HEAT_E} | = MMBtu e | energy intera | ctive effect m | ultiplier, acco | ounts for increased he | at load | | | | | | |
| | %FUEL | = Home he | eating fuel dis | tribution excl | uding coal an | d other ³⁹ | | | | | | | |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTIC | ONS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Baseline Efficiency | Incandescent | t | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Efficient Measure | LED bulb | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| PARAMETER VALUES (I | DEEMED) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Measure | $\Delta Watts_{LED}$ | HPD _{RES} | HPY _{COMM} | %RES | %COMM | Life (yrs) | Cost (\$) | | | | | | |
| LED Bulb | Table 1 | 2 ⁴⁰ | 3,772 ⁴¹ | 96% ⁴² | 4% ⁴³ | ≥20,000 hr: 25 ⁴⁴ <20,000 hr: 18 ⁴⁵ | Table 2 | | | | | | |
| | IE _{COOL D} | IE _{cool e} | IE _{HEAT E} | %FUEL | Avo | ded O&M (\$) | | | | | | | |
| LED Bulb | 1.08746 | 1.02347 | 0.0013848 | Table E-1 | 1 | Table 2 | | | | | | | |

³⁹ Heating fuel distribution is used to allocate savings to different fuels because the interactive effects impact the home's heating energy consumption.

⁴¹ Average annual hours of use for commercial spaces. Efficiency Maine Commercial Technical Reference Manual Version 2015.1, Table 33.

⁴⁴ Although LEDs have a useful life of 29 years based on rated lifetime of 25,000 hours, measure life has been capped at 25 years.

⁴⁵ Based on rated lifetime of 15,000 hours.

37. See Appendix F: Supplementary Information for Retail Products for derivation and input assumptions.

47 Ibid.

⁴⁰ NMR Group, Efficiency Maine Retail Lighting Program Overall Evaluation Report, April 16, 2015, p.16.

⁴² The Cadmus Group, Efficiency Maine Trust Residential Lighting Program Evaluation, November 1, 2012, p. 71.

⁴³ Ibid.

⁴⁶ Derived from the concept set forth in Rundquist, R.A., Johnson, K.F., Aumann, D.J. (1993). Calculating Lighting and HVAC Interactions. ASHRAE Journal, 35(11), 28-

| Specialty LED Bulb – Retail (LEDSPCRFL, LEDSPCRFS, LEDSPCOL, LEDSPCOS, LEDSPCCDL, LEDSPCCDS) | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------|------------------|--|--|--|--|
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Measure | ISR | RR _E | RR _D | CFs | CFw | FR | SO | | | | |
| LED Bulb | 99% ⁴⁹ | 100%50 | 100%51 | 14.4% ⁵² | 18.6% ⁵³ | Table 2 | 0% ⁵⁴ | | | | |

48 Ibid.

54 Ibid.

⁴⁹ ISR is based on long term In-Service Rate from NMR Group, Efficiency Maine Retail Lighting Program Overall Evaluation Report, April 16, 2015, p. 14. It is assumed that storage habits are the same for standard efficiency bulbs as LED therefore the equivalent measure life is based on the long-term in-service rate.

⁵⁰ Realization rates are 100 percent since savings estimates are based on evaluation results.

⁵¹ Realization rates are 100 percent since savings estimates are based on evaluation results.

⁵² Composite summer coincidence factor: 96 percent of bulbs in residential sockets with summer CF at 11.8 percent (NMR Group, Efficiency Maine Retail Lighting Program Overall Evaluation Report, April 16, 2015, p. 19) and 4 percent of bulbs in commercial sockets with summer CF at 76 percent (Efficiency Maine Trust Commercial TRM, July 1, 2013).

⁵³ NMR Group, Efficiency Maine Retail Lighting Program Overall Evaluation Report, April 16, 2015, p. 24.

| | | | | | Energy and Demand Savings with Interactive Effects | | | | | | | | | |
|---|------------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|--|--------------|--------|-------------|------------------|---------------|-------------------|--------------|--|--|
| Bulb Type | Measure Codes | Baseline Wattage | Efficient Wattage | ∆Watts _{LED} | Electricity | Winter kW | Summer | Natural Gas | Propane MMBtu | Wood MMBtu | Kerosene MMBtu | Oil MMBtu | | |
| | | | | | KUVII/ y | | RVV | Innibita | WIWIDCu | IVIII ID CU | IVIII DCG | WIWIDCU | | |
| Standard LEDs | LEDSTDLL, LEDSTDSL, LEDSTDP | 42 | 9 | 33 | 30 | 0.006 | 0.005 | -0.004 | -0.002 | -0.005 | -0.002 | -0.026 | | |
| Specialty LEDs - Reflector | LEDSPCRFL, LEDSPCRFS, LEDSPCRFP | 61 | 9 | 52 | 45 | 0.010 | 0.008 | -0.006 | -0.004 | -0.007 | -0.004 | -0.040 | | |
| Specialty LEDs - Other (Globe & 3- Way) | LEDSPCOL, LEDSPCOS | 48 | 7 | 41 | 36 | 0.008 | 0.006 | -0.004 | -0.003 | -0.006 | -0.003 | -0.032 | | |
| Specialty LEDs - Candelabra | LEDSPCCDL, LEDSPCCDS | 42 | 4 | 38 | 32 | 0.007 | 0.006 | -0.004 | -0.003 | -0.005 | -0.003 | -0.029 | | |

Table 1. Wattage and Savings by Bulb Type for Retail Channel⁵⁵

Table 2. Measure Cost, O&M and Free Ridership Rates by Bulb Type for Retail Channel⁵⁶

| Bulb Type | Measure Codes | Baseline Retail | Average Product Re Before Ir | Efficient etail Price ncentive | Incrementa | l First Cost | Avoided | d O&M ⁵⁷ | Free Rie Rat | dership te ⁵⁸ |
|-------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | | Price | ≥20,000 hr | <20,000 hr | ≥20,000 hr | <20,000 hr | ≥20,000 hr | <20,000 hr | 20,000 ≥20,000 hr hr \$6.06 3% | <20,000 hr |
| Standard LEDs | LEDSTDLL, LEDSTDSL | \$1.38 | \$3.80 | \$3.02 | \$2.42 | \$1.64 | \$7.23 | \$6.06 | 3% | 1% |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Specialty LEDs - Reflector | LEDSPCRFL, LEDSPCRFS | \$3.61 | \$8.05 | N/A | \$4.44 | N/A* | \$18.92 | \$15.86 | 21% | N/A* |

⁵⁵ Weighted average based on January – February 2017 program sales data for LEDs.

⁵⁶ Cost values based on weighted average pre-incentivized retail costs from Jan-Mar 2017 program sales data for efficient cost and surveyed baseline cost.

⁵⁷ Because the efficient measure has a longer effective life than the baseline measure, future replacement costs are avoided. The avoided O&M cost is based on the NPV of avoided replacement costs for baseline products throughout the lifetime of the efficient products. No labor costs have been included. See Table F-2 for baseline bulb replacement schedule.

⁵⁸ The free ridership rate is estimated from the retail price before incentive and the anticipated customer facing price and the coefficient of elasticity. A nominal incentive of 90% of incremental cost is assumed for

calculating free ridership rates for all bulbs not participating in off-shelf promotion. For standard LED bulbs participating in off-shelf promotion, the anticipated customer facing price is \$0.50. For reflector LED promotion bulbs the anticipated customer facing price is \$1.50. See Appendix F: Supplementary Information for Retail Products.

| Bulb Type | Measure Codes | Baseline Retail | Average Product Re Before Ir | Efficient etail Price ncentive | Incrementa | l First Cost | Avoideo | 1 O&M ⁵⁷ | Free Rie Rat | dership :e ⁵⁸ |
|---|----------------------|--------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|
| | | Price | ≥20,000 hr | <20,000 hr | ≥20,000 hr | <20,000 hr | ≥20,000 hr | <20,000 hr | ≥20,000 hr | <20,000 hr |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Specialty LEDs - Other (Globe & 3-Way) | LEDSPCOL, LEDSPCOS | \$2.14 | \$7.08 | N/A | \$4.94 | N/A* | \$11.22 | \$9.40 | 15% | N/A* |
| Specialty LEDs - Candelabra | LEDSPCCDL, LEDSPCCDS | \$1.21 | \$5.65 | \$5.35 | \$4.44 | \$4.14 | \$6.34 | \$5.32 | 15% | 16% |

*These bulb categories have not had any program participation and therefore have no program data to analyze. Values for ≥20,000 hr category will be used as placeholders in effRT.

| Standard LED Bulb – | Food Pantry, Direct Install & DIY Kit (LEDSTD | DLFP, LEDSTDSFP, LILEDSTANL, LILEDSTANS) | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Last Revised Date | 7/1/2017 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Description | This measure involves giving LED bulbs to partic | ipants via food pantries direct mail or direct | | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Energy | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Impact | Electric | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sector | Residential | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Program(s) | Arrearage Management Program, Food Pantry L Income Direct Mail | Arrearage Management Program, Food Pantry Lighting Program, Low Income Direct Install, Low ncome Direct Mail | | | | | | | | | | |
| End-Use | Lighting | Lighting | | | | | | | | | | |
| Decision Type | New Construction, Replace on Burnout | | | | | | | | | | | |
| DEEMED GROSS ENER | D GROSS ENERGY SAVINGS (UNIT SAVINGS) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Demand savings | 60 W Equivalent LED Bulb: $\Delta kW = 0.037$ 100 W Equivalent LED Bulb: $\Delta kW = 0.060$ | $\Delta kW_{WP} = 0.00571$ $\Delta kW_{SP} = 0.00435$ $\Delta kW_{WP} = 0.00924$ $\Delta kW_{SP} = 0.00704$ | | | | | | | | | | |
| Annual energy | 60 W Equivalent LED Bulb | 100 W Equivalent LED Bulb | | | | | | | | | | |
| savings | $\Delta kWh/yr = 25$ | $\Lambda kWh/vr = 41$ | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Δ MMBtu/yr _{GAS} = -0.004 | $\Delta MMBtu/vr_{GAS} = -0.005$ | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Δ MMBtu/yr _{OIL} = -0.022 | ΔMMBtu/yr _{OIL} = -0.036 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Δ MMBtu/yr _{WOOD} = -0.004 | ΔMMBtu/yr _{wood} = -0.007 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Δ MMBtu/yr _{PROP} = -0.002 | Δ MMBtu/yr _{PROP} = -0.003 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Δ MMBtu/yr _{KERO} =-0.002 | ΔMMBtu/yr _{KERO} =-0.003 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Δ MMBtu/yr _{ELEC} = -0.0003 = -0.081 kWh | Δ MMBtu/yr _{ELEC} = -0.0004 = -0.131 kWh | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Δ MMBtu/yr _{NET} = 0.052 | $\Delta MMBtu/yr_{NET} = 0.085$ | | | | | | | | | | |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVIN | GS ALGORITHMS (UNIT SAVINGS) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Demand savings | Δ kW = Δ Watt _{LED} / 1,000 x IE _{COOL D} | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Δ kW _{SP} = Δ Watt _{LED} / 1,000 x CF _s x IE _{COOL_D} | Δ kW _{WP} = Δ Watt _{LED} / 1,000 x CF _W | | | | | | | | | | |
| Annual energy | Δ kWh/yr = Δ Watts _{LED} / 1,000 x [365 x HPD _{RES} x % | SRES + HPY _{COMM} x %COMM] x IE _{COOL_E} | | | | | | | | | | |
| savings | Δ MMBtu = - Δ Watts _{LED} / 1,000 x [365 x HPD _{RES} x | %RES + HPY _{COMM} x %COMM] x IE _{HEAT_E} | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Δ MMBtu _{FUEL} = Δ MMBtu x %FUEL | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Definitions | Unit = 1 bulb | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | ΔWatt _{LED} = Average wattage difference betwee | een baseline bulbs and program LED (Watts) | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1,000 = Conversion: 1,000 Watts per kW | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 365 = Conversion: 365 days per year | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | HPD _{RES} = Average daily operating hours in re | esidential setting (hrs/day) | | | | | | | | | | |
| | %RES = Share of bulb purchases that are in | nstalled in residential setting (%) | | | | | | | | | | |
| | HPY _{COMM} = Average annual operating hours in | n commercial setting (hrs/yr) | | | | | | | | | | |
| | %COMM = Share of bulb purchases that are installed in commercial setting (%) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | $ IE_{COOL_D} = Electric demand interactive effect$ | multiplier, accounts for reduced cooling load | | | | | | | | | | |
| | IE_{COOL_E} = Electric energy interactive effect in | nuitiplier, accounts for reduced cooling load | | | | | | | | | | |
| | %FUEL = Home heating fuel distribution exc | cluding coal and other ⁵⁹ | | | | | | | | | | |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTI | ONS | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Baseline Efficiency | Halogen bulb | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Efficient Measure | ENERGY STAR [®] certified LED bulb | | | | | | | | | | | |

⁵⁹ Heating fuel distribution is used to allocate savings to different fuels because the interactive effects impact the home's heating energy consumption.

| Standard LED Bulb – | Food Pantry | , Direct I | nstall & | DIY k | (it (LEDS | TDLF | P, LEDS | TDSFP, L | ILEDSTANL, | LILE | DSTANS) |
|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|--|----------------------|--|-------------|---------|------------------|-----------------------------|------|----------------------|
| PARAMETER VALUES (| DEEMED) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Measure | $\Delta Watts_{LED}$ | HPD _{RES} | HPD _{RES} HPY _{COMM} | | %RE | %RES | | IM | Life (yrs) | | Cost (\$) |
| 60 W Equivalent | 34 ⁶⁰ | 7 61 | 2 77 | 2 772 ⁶² | | ⁄ 63 | 00/64 | 4 2 | 20,000 hr: 8 | 65 | actual ⁶⁷ |
| 100 W Equivalent | 55 ⁶⁸ | Z | 5,77 | 3,77262 | | 100%03 | | < | <20,000 hr: 7 ⁶⁶ | | |
| | IE _{COOL_D} | $IE_{COOL_{E}}$ | IE _{HEA} | IE _{HEAT_E} | | %FUEL | | Avoided O&M (\$) | | | |
| LED Bulb | 1.085 ⁶⁹ | 1.021 ⁷⁰ | 0.001 | 37 ⁷¹ | 7^{71} Table E-1 $\geq 20,000 \text{ hr: } 6.46$ $< 20,000 \text{ hr: } 6.06^{72}$ | | | | | | |
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Measure | ISR | | RR _E | | RR_{D} | (| CFs | CF_W | FR | | SO |
| Low-Income | 00%73 | 1 | 1000/74 | | 000/75 | 11 | 00/76 | 16 00/77 | 0%78 | | 00/79 |
| Non-Low-Income | 35% | | 5070 | | 0070 | 11. | .070 | 10.070 | 23% ⁸⁰ | | 070 |

⁷³ ISR is based on long-term ISR from NMR Group, Efficiency Maine Retail Lighting Program Overall Evaluation Report, April 16, 2015, p. 14. It is assumed that storage habits are the same for standard efficiency bulbs as LED therefore the equivalent measure life is based on the long-term ISR.

⁷⁹ Assume same free ridership as Appliance Pack CFL bulbs NMR Group, Efficiency Maine Retail Lighting Program Overall Evaluation Report, April 16, 2015, p. 24.

⁶⁰9 watt A-line standard bulb replacing a 43 W halogen.

⁶¹ NMR Group, Efficiency Maine Retail Lighting Program Overall Evaluation Report, April 16, 2015, p. 16.

⁶² Average annual hours of use for commercial spaces. Efficiency Maine Commercial Technical Reference Manual Version 2015.1 Table 33.

⁶³ Assume residential only for food pantry and appliance packs.

⁶⁴ Ibid.

⁶⁵ Although long-life LEDs have a useful life of 34 years based on rated lifetime of 25,000 hours, an equivalent measure life has been defined to account for dual baselines (full savings prior to 7/1/2020 and reduced savings post 7/1/2020 when more stringent EISA standards that take effect 1 January 2020 assuming a 6 month sell through).

⁶⁶ Ibid with rated lifetime of 15,000 hours.

⁶⁷ Actual cost paid by program.

⁶⁸ 17 watt A-line standard bulb replacing a 72 W halogen.

⁶⁹ Derived from the concept set forth in Rundquist, R.A., Johnson, K.F., Aumann, D.J. (1993). Calculating Lighting and HVAC Interactions. ASHRAE Journal, 35(11), 28-37. See Appendix F: Supplementary Information for Retail Products for derivation and input assumptions.

⁷⁰ Ibid.

⁷¹ Ibid.

⁷² Because the efficient measure has a longer effective life than the baseline measure, future replacement costs are avoided. The avoided O&M cost is based on the NPV of avoided replacement costs for baseline products throughout the lifetime of the efficient products. No labor costs have been included. See Table F-2 for baseline bulb replacement schedule.

⁷⁴ Realization rates are 100 percent since savings estimates are based on evaluation results.

⁷⁵ Ibid.

⁷⁶ NMR Group, Efficiency Maine Retail Lighting Program Overall Evaluation Report, April 16, 2015, p. 19.

⁷⁷ Ibid.

⁷⁸ Assume same free ridership as Food Pantry CFL bulbs: NMR Group, Efficiency Maine Retail Lighting Program Overall Evaluation Report, April 16, 2015, p. 24.

⁸⁰ Ibid.

| Specialty LED Bulb – | Food Pantry, Direct Install & DIY Kit (LEDSPCLFP, LEDSPCSFP, LILEDSPECL, LILEDSPECS) |
|--------------------------|--|
| Last Revised Date | 4/1/2017 |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | |
| Description | This measure involves giving LED bulbs to participants via food pantries, direct mail, direct |
| | install. Bulbs distributed offset future purchase of inefficient bulbs. |
| Primary Energy Impact | Electric |
| Sector | Residential |
| Program(s) | Arrearage Management Program, Food Pantry Lighting Program, Low Income Direct Install, Low Income Direct Mail |
| End-Use | Lighting |
| Decision Type | New Construction, Replace on Burnout |
| DEEMED GROSS ENER | GY SAVINGS (UNIT SAVINGS) |
| Demand savings | $\Delta kW = 0.059$ $\Delta kW_{WP} = 0.00907$ $\Delta kW_{SP} = 0.00691$ |
| Annual energy | $\Delta kWh/yr = 40$ |
| savings | Δ MMBtu/yr _{GAS} = -0.005 |
| | Δ MMBtu/yr _{OIL} = -0.036 |
| | Δ MMBtu/yr _{wood} = -0.007 |
| | Δ MMBtu/yr _{PROP} = -0.003 |
| | Δ MMBtu/yr _{KERO} =-0.003 |
| | Δ MMBtu/yr _{ELEC} = -0.0004 = -0.128 kWh |
| | $\Delta MMBtu/yr_{NET} = 0.083$ |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVIN | GS ALGORITHMS (UNIT SAVINGS) |
| Demand savings | Δ kW = Δ Watt _{LED} / 1,000 x IE _{COOL_D} |
| | $\Delta kW_{SP} = \Delta Watt_{LED} / 1,000 \text{ x CF}_{S} \text{ x IE}_{COOL} \qquad \Delta kW_{WP} = \Delta Watt_{LED} / 1,000 \text{ x CF}_{W}$ |
| Annual energy | $\Delta kWh/yr = \Delta Watts_{LED} / 1,000 x [365 x HPD_{RES} x %RES + HPY_{COMM} x %COMM] x IE_{COOL_E}$ |
| savings | Δ MMBtu = - Δ Watts _{LED} / 1,000 x [365 x HPD _{RES} x %RES + HPY _{COMM} x %COMM] x IE _{HEAT_E} |
| | $\Delta MMBtu_{FUEL} = \Delta MMBtu x \% FUEL$ |
| Definitions | Unit = 1 bulb |
| | ΔWatt _{LED} = Average wattage difference between baseline bulbs and program LED (Watts) |
| | 1,000 = Conversion: 1,000 Watts per kW |
| | 365 = Conversion: 365 days per year |
| | HPD _{RES} = Average daily operating nours in residential setting (nrs/day) (DES) = Share of bulk surplaces that are installed in residential setting (%) |
| | %RES = Share of build purchases that are installed in residential setting (%) |
| | = Average annual operating nous in commercial setting (in s/yr) %COMM = Share of bulb purchases that are installed in commercial setting (%) |
| | = Electric demand interactive effect multiplier accounts for reduced cooling load |
| | $ \mathbf{E}_{COOL} _{\mathbf{E}}$ = Electric energy interactive effect multiplier, accounts for reduced cooling load |
| | $I_{\text{E}_{\text{H}}\text{E}_{\text{H}}\text{E}_{\text{H}}}$ = MMBtu energy interactive effect multiplier, accounts for increased heat load |
| | %FUEL = Home heating fuel distribution excluding coal and other ⁸¹ |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTI | ONS |
| Baseline Efficiency | Incandescent bulb |
| Efficient Measure | ENERGY STAR [®] certified LED bulb |

⁸¹ Heating fuel distribution is used to allocate savings to different fuels because the interactive effects impact the home's heating energy consumption.

| Specialty LED Bulb – | Food Pantry | , Dir | ect In | stall & | DIY K | (it (LEDS | PCLFF | P, LEDS | PCSFP, | LILE | DSPECL, L | ILED. | SPECS) |
|----------------------|----------------------|-------|------------------|----------------------|-------|-----------------|----------|---------------|---------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| PARAMETER VALUES (| DEEMED) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Measure | $\Delta Watts_{LED}$ | HP | D _{RES} | HPYcc | омм | %RE | S | %CON | 1M | | Life (yrs) | | Cost (\$) |
| | E 182 | - | 83 | 2 772 ⁸⁴ | | 100% | (85 00/) | | 6 | ≥20 | ,000 hr: 25 | 87 | actual ⁸⁹ |
| | 54 | 2 | _ | 3,77284 | | 10076 |) | 0% | | <20 | ,000 hr: 21 | 1 ⁸⁸ | |
| | IE _{COOL_D} | IEc | OOL_E | IE _{HEAT_E} | | %FUI | EL | | Avoide | Avoided O&M (\$) | | | |
| | 1 09590 | 1 0 | 71 91 | 0.0012792 | | Table F-1 | | | ≥20,00 | 0 hr | : 16.90 | | |
| | 1.065 | 1.0 | 121 | 0.001 | 57 | Table | C-T | | <20,000 | 000 hr: 15.86 ⁹³ | | | |
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Measure | ISR | | R | RR _E | | RR _D | (| CFs | CFw | | FR | | SO |
| Low-Income | 0.0%/94 | | 100 | 1000/95 | | 000/96 | 11 | 0 0/97 | 16 9% | 98 | 0% ⁹⁹ | | 00/100 |
| Non-Low-Income | 3570 | | 100 | J70 | 1 | 0070 | 11.8%" | | 10.0/0 | 23% ¹⁰¹ | | | 070 |

⁹¹ Ibid.

⁸² 10 watt reflector bulb replacing a 64 W incandescent bulb (based on weighted average of retail program).

⁸³ NMR Group, Efficiency Maine Retail Lighting Program Overall Evaluation Report, April 16, 2015, p. 16.

⁸⁴ Average annual hours of use for commercial spaces. Efficiency Maine Commercial Technical Reference Manual Version 2015.1 Table 33.

⁸⁵ Assume residential only for food pantry and appliance packs.

⁸⁶ Ibid.

⁸⁷ Although long-life LEDs have a useful life of 34 years based on rated lifetime of 25,000 hours, effective useful life is capped at 25 years.

⁸⁸ Based on rated lifetime of 15,000 hours.

⁸⁹ Actual cost paid by program.

⁹⁰ Derived from the concept set forth in Rundquist, R.A., Johnson, K.F., Aumann, D.J. (1993). Calculating Lighting and HVAC Interactions. ASHRAE Journal, 35(11), 28-37. See Appendix F: Supplementary Information for Retail Products for derivation and input assumptions.

⁹² Ibid.

⁹³ Because the efficient measure has a longer effective life than the baseline measure, future replacement costs are avoided. The avoided O&M cost is based on the NPV of avoided replacement costs for baseline products throughout the lifetime of the efficient products. No labor costs have been included. See Table F-2 for baseline bulb replacement schedule.

⁹⁴ ISR is based on long-term ISR from NMR Group, Efficiency Maine Retail Lighting Program Overall Evaluation Report, April 16, 2015, p. 14. It is assumed that storage habits are the same for standard efficiency bulbs as LED therefore the equivalent measure life is based on the long-term ISR.

⁹⁵ Realization rates are 100 percent since savings estimates are based on evaluation results.

⁹⁶ Ibid.

⁹⁷ NMR Group, Efficiency Maine Retail Lighting Program Overall Evaluation Report, April 16, 2015, p. 19.

⁹⁸ Ibid.

⁹⁹ Assume same free ridership as Food Pantry CFL bulbs: NMR Group, Efficiency Maine Retail Lighting Program Overall Evaluation Report, April 16, 2015, p. 24. ¹⁰⁰ Assume same free ridership as Appliance Pack CFL bulbs NMR Group, Efficiency Maine Retail Lighting Program Overall Evaluation Report, April 16, 2015, p. 24. ¹⁰¹ Ibid.

| Standard LED Bulb – | Distributor (LEDSTDLLD, LEDSTDSLD) |
|----------------------------|---|
| Last Revised Date | 4/1/2017 (effective 5/1/2017) |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | |
| Description | Standard (A-Line) LED Bulbs. This measure involves the installation of a new LED in place of an |
| | existing or new inefficient bulb (incandescent or halogen). |
| Primary Energy Impact | Electric |
| Sector | Residential, Commercial |
| Program(s) | Consumer Products Program – Lighting - Distributor |
| End-Use | Lighting |
| Decision Type | New Construction, Replace on Burnout |
| DEEMED GROSS ENERG | SY SAVINGS (UNIT SAVINGS) |
| Demand savings | See Table 3 |
| Annual energy savings | See Table 3 |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVING | GS ALGORITHMS (UNIT SAVINGS) |
| Demand savings | Δ kW = Δ Watt _{LED} / 1,000 x IE _{COOL_D} |
| | $\Delta kW_{SP} = \Delta Watt_{LED} / 1,000 \times CF_S \times IE_{COOL_D} \qquad \Delta kW_{WP} = \Delta Watt_{LED} / 1,000 \times CF_W$ |
| Annual energy savings | $\Delta kWh/yr = \Delta Watts_{LED} / 1,000 x [365 x HPD_{RES} x %RES + HPY_{COMM} x %COMM] x IE_{COOL_E}$ |
| | Δ MMBtu = - Δ Watts _{LED} / 1,000 x [365 x HPD _{RES} x %RES + HPY _{COMM} x %COMM] x IE _{HEAT_E} |
| | Δ MMBtu _{FUEL} = Δ MMBtu x %FUEL |
| Definitions | Unit = 1 bulb |
| | ΔWatt _{LED} = Average wattage difference between baseline bulbs and program LED (Watts) |
| | 1,000 = Conversion: 1,000 Watts per kW |
| | 365 = Conversion: 365 days per year |
| | HPD _{RES} = Average daily operating hours in residential setting (hrs/day) |
| | %RES = Share of bulb purchases that are installed in residential setting (%) |
| | HPY _{COMM} = Average annual operating hours in commercial setting (hrs/yr) |
| | %COMM = Share of bulb purchases that are installed in commercial setting (%) |
| | IE _{COOL_D} = Electric demand interactive effect multiplier, accounts for reduced cooling load |
| | IE_{COOL_E} = Electric energy interactive effect multiplier, accounts for reduced cooling load |
| | IE _{HEAT_E} = MMBtu energy interactive effect multiplier, accounts for increased heat load |
| | %FUEL = Home heating fuel distribution excluding coal and other |
| | |
| Baseline Efficiency | Halogen bulb |
| Efficient Measure | LED bulb |

¹⁰² Heating fuel distribution is used to allocate savings to different fuels because the interactive effects impact the home's heating energy consumption.

| Standard LED Bulb – Distributor (LEDSTDLLD, LEDSTDSLD) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------|--------------------|-------------------|------------------------|---|---------------------------|------------------|--------------------|----------|--|--|-----------|
| PARAMETER VALUES (D | DEEMED) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Measure | $\Delta Watts_{LED}$ | HPD _{RES} | | HPY _{COMM} | | %RES | | %COMM | | Life (yrs) | | Cost (\$) |
| LED Bulb | Table 3 | 2 ¹⁰³ | | 3,772 ¹⁰⁴ | | 31% ¹⁰⁵ | | 69% ¹⁰⁵ | | ≥20,000 hr: 5 ¹⁰⁶ <20,000 hr: 3 ¹⁰⁷ | | Table 4 |
| | IE _{COOL_D} | IEco | DOL_E | IE _{HEAT_E} | | %FUEL | | Avoided O&M (\$) | | | | |
| LED Bulb | 1.126 ¹⁰⁸ | 1.04 | 48 ¹⁰⁹ | 0.00152 ¹¹⁰ | | Table E-1 | | Table 4 | | | | |
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Measure | ISR | | R | R _E | R | R _D | l _D (| | CFw | FR | | SO |
| LED Bulb | 99% ¹¹¹ | 10 | | D% ¹¹² 1 | | % ¹¹³ 56. | | 1% ¹¹⁴ | 48.7%115 | 26%116 | | 1.6%117 |

¹⁰⁹ Ibid. ¹¹⁰ Ibid.

¹¹³ Ibid.

¹⁰³ NMR Group, Efficiency Maine Retail Lighting Program Overall Evaluation Report, April 16, 2015, p. 16.

¹⁰⁴ Average annual hours of use for commercial spaces. Efficiency Maine Commercial Technical Reference Manual Version 2015.1 Table 33.

¹⁰⁵ Percent of bulbs sold through distributor channel installed in commercial setting based on program data collected 7/1/2016-3/31/2017.

¹⁰⁶ Although long-life LEDs have a useful life of 18 years based on rated lifetime of 25,000 hours, an equivalent measure life has been defined account for dual baselines (full savings prior to 7/1/2020 and reduced savings post 7/1/2020 when more stringent EISA standards that take effect 1 January 2020 assuming a 6-month sell through).

¹⁰⁷ Ibid with rated life of 15,000 hours.

¹⁰⁸ Derived from the concept set forth in Rundquist, R.A., Johnson, K.F., Aumann, D.J. (1993). Calculating Lighting and HVAC Interactions. ASHRAE Journal, 35(11), 28-37. See Appendix F: Supplementary Information for Retail Products for derivation and input assumptions.

¹¹¹ ISR is based on long-term ISR from NMR Group, Efficiency Maine Retail Lighting Program Overall Evaluation Report, April 16, 2015, p. 14. It is assumed that storage habits are the same for standard efficiency bulbs as LED therefore the equivalent measure life is based on the long-term ISR. ¹¹² Realization rates are 100 percent since savings estimates are based on evaluation results.

¹¹⁴ Composite summer coincidence factor: 31 percent of bulbs in residential sockets with summer CF at 11.8 percent (NMR Group, Efficiency Maine Retail Lighting Program Overall Evaluation Report, April 16, 2015, p.19) and 69 percent of bulbs in commercial sockets with summer CF at 76 percent (Efficiency Maine Trust Commercial TRM, July 1, 2013).

¹¹⁵ Composite winter coincidence factor: 96 percent of bulbs in residential sockets with winter CF at 16.8 percent (NMR Group, Efficiency Maine Retail Lighting Program Overall Evaluation Report, April 16, 2015, p. 19) and 4 percent of bulbs in commercial sockets with winter CF at 63 percent (Efficiency Maine Trust Commercial TRM, July 1, 2013).

¹¹⁶ Nexant, Business Incentive Program Process Evaluation, Published October 31, 2016, page 58.
¹¹⁷ Ibid.

| Specialty LED Lamp – | Distributor (Codes: LEDSPCCDDL, LEDSPCCDDS, LEDSPCGLDL, LEDSPCGLDS, |
|-------------------------|---|
| LEDSPCBRDL, | LEDSPCBRDS, LEDSPCPRDL, LEDSPCPRDS, LEDSPCPBDL, LEDSPCPBDS, |
| S110 <2, | /4> <l \$="">)</l> |
| Last Revised Date | 10/1/2017 |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | |
| Description | Specialty LED Bulbs (Globe, Reflector, Candelabra, 3-way and Linear). This measure involves the |
| | installation of a new LED in place of an existing or new inefficient bulb (incandescent or |
| | halogen). |
| Primary Energy Impact | Electric |
| Sector | Residential, Commercial |
| Program(s) | Consumer Products Program – Lighting - Distributor |
| End-Use | Lighting |
| Decision Type | New Construction, Replace on Burnout |
| DEEMED GROSS ENERG | SY SAVINGS (UNIT SAVINGS) |
| Demand savings | See Table 3 |
| Annual energy savings | See Table 3 |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVING | GS ALGORITHMS (UNIT SAVINGS) |
| Demand savings | Δ kW = Δ Watt _{LED} / 1,000 x IE _{COOL_D} |
| | $\Delta kW_{SP} = \Delta Watt_{LED} / 1,000 \times CF_S \times IE_{COOL_D} \qquad \Delta kW_{WP} = \Delta Watt_{LED} / 1,000 \times CF_W$ |
| Annual energy savings | $\Delta kWh/yr = \Delta Watts_{LED} / 1,000 x [365 x HPD_{RES} x %RES + HPY_{COMM} x %COMM] x IE_{COOL_E}$ |
| | Δ MMBtu = - Δ Watts _{LED} / 1,000 x [365 x HPD _{RES} x %RES + HPY _{COMM} x %COMM] x IE _{HEAT_E} |
| | Δ MMBtu _{FUEL} = Δ MMBtu x %FUEL |
| Definitions | Unit = 1 bulb |
| | ΔWatt _{LED} = Average wattage difference between baseline bulbs and program LED (Watts) |
| | 1,000 = Conversion: 1,000 Watts per kW |
| | 365 = Conversion: 365 days per year |
| | HPD _{RES} = Average daily operating hours in residential setting (hrs/day) |
| | %RES = Share of bulb purchases that are installed in residential setting (%) |
| | HPY _{COMM} = Average annual operating hours in commercial setting (hrs/yr) |
| | %COMM = Share of bulb purchases that are installed in commercial setting (%) |
| | IE _{COOL_D} = Electric demand interactive effect multiplier, accounts for reduced cooling load |
| | IE _{COOL_E} = Electric energy interactive effect multiplier, accounts for reduced cooling load |
| | IE _{HEAT_E} = MMBtu energy interactive effect multiplier, accounts for increased heat load |
| | %FUEL = Home heating fuel distribution excluding coal and other ¹¹⁸ |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTIC | ONS |
| Baseline Efficiency | Incandescent |
| Efficient Measure | LED bulb |

¹¹⁸ Heating fuel distribution is used to allocate savings to different fuels because the interactive effects impact the home's heating energy consumption.

Specialty LED Lamp – Distributor (Codes: LEDSPCCDDL, LEDSPCCDDS, LEDSPCGLDL, LEDSPCGLDS, LEDSPCBRDL, LEDSPCBRDS, LEDSPCPRDL, LEDSPCPRDS, LEDSPCPBDL, LEDSPCPBDS, S110<A/C><2/4><L/S>)

| PARAMETER VALUES (D | DEEMED) | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|------------------|----------------------|------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|---------|---------------------|
| Measure | $\Delta Watts_{LED}$ | HPD _{RES} | | HPY _{COMM} | | %RES | | %CON | /M | Life (yrs) | | Cost (\$) |
| | Table 2 | 2119 | 9 | 2 772120 | | 210/121 | | 60% | 122 2 | ≥20,000 hr: 9 ¹²³ | | Table 4 |
| | | | 5,772 | | 51% | | 09% | < | 20,000 hr: 5 | 5 ¹²⁴ | Table 4 | |
| | IE _{COOL_D} | IE _{COOL_E} | | IE _{HEAT_E} | | %FUEL | | Avoided O&M (\$) | | | | |
| LED Bulb | 1.126 ¹²⁵ | 1.048 | 3 ¹²⁶ | 0.00152127 | | Table E-1 | | Table 4 | | | | |
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Measure | ISR | | RR | E | RI | R _D (| | CFs | CF_W | FR | | SO |
| LED Bulb | 99% ¹²⁸ | | 100% | /129 0 | 100% ¹³⁰ | | 56.1% ¹³¹ | | 48.7% ¹³ | ² 26% ¹³³ | | 1.6% ¹³⁴ |

¹²⁶ Ibid. ¹²⁷ Ibid.

¹³⁰ Ibid.

¹¹⁹ NMR Group, Efficiency Maine Retail Lighting Program Overall Evaluation Report, April 16, 2015, p. 16.

¹²⁰ Average annual hours of use for commercial spaces. Efficiency Maine Commercial Technical Reference Manual Version 2015.1 Table 33.

¹²¹ Percent of bulbs sold through distributor channel installed in commercial setting based on interviews with distributors in July 2015 in advance of additional data gathering.

¹²² Ibid.

¹²³ Based on rated lifetime of 25,000 hours.

¹²⁴ Based on rated lifetime of 15,000 hours.

¹²⁵ Derived from the concept set forth in Rundquist, R.A., Johnson, K.F., Aumann, D.J. (1993). Calculating Lighting and HVAC Interactions. ASHRAE Journal, 35(11), 28-37. See Appendix F: Supplementary Information for Retail Products for derivation and input assumptions.

 ¹²⁸ ISR is based on long-term ISR from NMR Group, Efficiency Maine Retail Lighting Program Overall Evaluation Report, April 16, 2015, p. 14. It is assumed that storage habits are the same for standard efficiency bulbs as LED therefore the equivalent measure life is based on the long-term ISR
 ¹²⁹ Realization rates are 100 percent since savings estimates are based on evaluation results.

¹³¹ Composite summer coincidence factor: 31 percent of bulbs in residential sockets with summer CF at 11.8 percent (NMR Group, Efficiency Maine Retail Lighting Program Overall Evaluation Report, April 16, 2015, p.19) and 69 percent of bulbs in commercial sockets with summer CF at 76 percent (Efficiency Maine Trust Commercial TRM, July 1, 2013).

¹³² Composite winter coincidence factor: 96 percent of bulbs in residential sockets with winter CF at 16.8 percent (NMR Group, Efficiency Maine Retail Lighting Program Overall Evaluation Report, April 16, 2015, p. 19) and 4 percent of bulbs in commercial sockets with winter CF at 63 percent (Efficiency Maine Trust Commercial TRM, July 1, 2013).

 ¹³³ Nexant, Business Incentive Program Process Evaluation, Published October 31, 2016, page 58.
 ¹³⁴ Ibid.

| LED Mogul Lamp Inte | rior – Distributor (Codes: S64 <l h=""><l s="">)</l></l> |
|----------------------------|---|
| Last Revised Date | 10/1/2017 |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | |
| Description | LED mogul base lamps. This measure involves the installation of a new LED in place of an |
| | existing or new inefficient bulb (incandescent or halogen) in an interior fixture. |
| Primary Energy Impact | Electric |
| Sector | Residential, Commercial |
| Program(s) | Consumer Products Program – Lighting - Distributor |
| End-Use | Lighting |
| Decision Type | New Construction, Replace on Burnout |
| DEEMED GROSS ENERG | Y SAVINGS (UNIT SAVINGS) |
| Demand savings | See Table 3 |
| Annual energy savings | See Table 3 |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVING | SS ALGORITHMS (UNIT SAVINGS) |
| Demand savings | Δ kW = Δ Watt _{LED} / 1,000 x IE _{COOL_D} |
| | $\Delta kW_{SP} = \Delta Watt_{LED} / 1,000 \times CF_S \times IE_{COOL_D} \qquad \Delta kW_{WP} = \Delta Watt_{LED} / 1,000 \times CF_W$ |
| Annual energy savings | Δ kWh/yr = Δ Watts _{LED} / 1,000 x [365 x HPD _{RES} x %RES + HPY _{COMM} x %COMM] x IE _{COOL_E} |
| | Δ MMBtu = - Δ Watts _{LED} / 1,000 x [365 x HPD _{RES} x %RES + HPY _{COMM} x %COMM] x IE _{HEAT_E} |
| | Δ MMBtu _{FUEL} = Δ MMBtu x %FUEL |
| Definitions | Unit = 1 bulb |
| | ΔWatt _{LED} = Average wattage difference between baseline bulbs and program LED (Watts) |
| | 1,000 = Conversion: 1,000 Watts per kW |
| | 365 = Conversion: 365 days per year |
| | HPD _{RES} = Average daily operating hours in residential setting (hrs/day) |
| | %RES = Share of bulb purchases that are installed in residential setting (%) |
| | HPY _{COMM} = Average annual operating hours in commercial setting (hrs/yr) |
| | %COMM = Share of bulb purchases that are installed in commercial setting (%) |
| | IE _{COOL_D} = Electric demand interactive effect multiplier, accounts for reduced cooling load |
| | IE _{COOL_E} = Electric energy interactive effect multiplier, accounts for reduced cooling load |
| | IE _{HEAT_E} = MMBtu energy interactive effect multiplier, accounts for increased heat load |
| | %FUEL = Home heating fuel distribution excluding coal and other ¹³⁵ |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTIC | DNS |
| Baseline Efficiency | Incandescent |
| Efficient Measure | LED bulb |

¹³⁵ Heating fuel distribution is used to allocate savings to different fuels because the interactive effects impact the home's heating energy consumption.
| LED Mogul Lamp Interior – Distributor (Codes: S64 <l h=""><l s="">)</l></l> | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--------------|-------------------|-------|-------------------|-------------------------|-----|-----------|-------------------|---|------------|---------|
| PARAMETER VALUES (E | PARAMETER VALUES (DEEMED) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Measure ΔWattsLED HPDRES HPYCOMM %RES %COMM Life (yrs) Cost (\$ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| LED Bulb | Table 3 | 2 | 136 | 3,77 | 2 ¹³⁷ | 0 % ² | 138 | 100% | ₂ 2 ≥2 | 0,000 hr: 9 [:] 0 000 hr: 5 | 140 141 | Table 4 |
| | IE _{COOL_D} | DOL_E IEHEAT | | AT_E | %FL | JEL | | Avoided (| 0&M (\$) | , | | |
| LED Bulb | 1.144 ¹⁴² | 1.0 | 60 ¹⁴³ | 0.001 | 59 ¹⁴⁴ | Table | E-1 | | Tabl | e 4 | | |
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Measure ISR RR _E RR _D CF _S CF _W FR SO | | | | | | | | | | | SO | |
| LED Bulb | LED Bulb 99% ¹⁴⁵ 100% ¹⁴⁶ 100% ¹⁴⁷ 63.0% ¹⁴⁸ 76.0% ¹⁴⁹ 26% ¹⁵⁰ 1.6 | | | | | | | | | 1.6% ¹⁵¹ | | |

¹⁴⁶ Realization rates are 100 percent since savings estimates are based on evaluation results.

¹³⁶ NMR Group, Efficiency Maine Retail Lighting Program Overall Evaluation Report, April 16, 2015, p. 16.

¹³⁷ Average annual hours of use for commercial spaces. Efficiency Maine Commercial Technical Reference Manual Version 2015.1 Table 33.

¹³⁸ Mogul base lamps are primarily applicable to commercial settings. Percent installed in commercial applications is assumed to be 100%.

¹³⁹ Ibid.

¹⁴⁰ Based on rated lifetime of 25,000 hours.

¹⁴¹ Based on rated lifetime of 15,000 hours.

 ¹⁴² Derived from the concept set forth in Rundquist, R.A., Johnson, K.F., Aumann, D.J. (1993). Calculating Lighting and HVAC Interactions. ASHRAE Journal, 35(11), 28 37. See Appendix F: Supplementary Information for Retail Products for derivation and input assumptions.

¹⁴³ Ibid. ¹⁴⁴ Ibid.

¹⁴⁵ ISR is based on long-term ISR from NMR Group, Efficiency Maine Retail Lighting Program Overall Evaluation Report, April 16, 2015, p. 14. It is assumed that storage habits are the same for standard efficiency bulbs as LED therefore the equivalent measure life is based on the long-term ISR

¹⁴⁷ Ibid.

¹⁴⁸ KEMA, C&I Lighting Load Shape Project FINAL Report, July 2011.

¹⁴⁹ Ibid.

¹⁵⁰ Nexant, Business Incentive Program Process Evaluation, Published October 31, 2016, page 58.

¹⁵¹ Ibid.

| LED Mogul Lamp Exterior – Distributor (Codes: S6 <b c=""><l h="" m=""><l s="">)</l></l> | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|---|------------------|----------------------|---------|-----------------|---------|-----------|----------|--------|---------------------|------------|-----------|
| Last Revised Date | 10/1/2017 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Description | LED mogul b | ase la | amp ext | terior. ⁻ | This n | neasure i | nvolv | es the i | nstallat | ion c | of a new LE | D in | place of |
| | an existing o | r new | ineffic | ient bu | lb (in | candesce | ent or | halogei | n) in an | exte | erior fixture | e. | |
| Primary Energy Impact | Electric | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sector | Residential, | Comm | nercial | | | | | | | | | | |
| Program(s) | Consumer Pr | oduct | ts Prog | ram – L | ightir | ng - Distri | butor | | | | | | |
| End-Use | Lighting | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Decision Type | New Constru | ction, | , Repla | ce on B | urnou | ut | | | | | | | |
| DEEMED GROSS ENERG | Y SAVINGS (U | SAVINGS (UNIT SAVINGS) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Demand savings | See Table 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Annual energy savings | See Table 3 | ee Table 3 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVING | GS ALGORITHN | ALGORITHMS (UNIT SAVINGS) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Demand savings | Δ kW = Δ Wat | kW = Δ Watt _{LED} / 1,000 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | $\Delta kW_{SP} = \Delta W$ | $\Delta kW_{SP} = \Delta Watt_{LED} / 1,000 \times CF_S \Delta kW_{WP} = \Delta Watt_{LED} / 1,000 \times CF_W$ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Annual energy savings | $\Delta kWh/yr = \Delta$ | kWh/yr = Δ Watts _{LED} / 1,000 x [365 x HPD _{RES} x %RES + HPY _{COMM} x %COMM] | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Definitions | Unit | Jnit = 1 bulb | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | $\Delta Watt_{LED}$ | = Av | erage v | wattage | e diffe | erence be | etwee | n baseli | ine bulk | os an | d program | 1 LED | (Watts) |
| | 1,000 | = Co | nversio | on: 1,00 | 00 Wa | itts per k | W | | | | | | |
| | 365 | = Co | nversio | on: 365 | days | per year | | | | | | | |
| | HPD _{RES} | = Av | erage o | daily op | erati | ng hours | in res | identia | lsetting | g (hrs | s/day) | | |
| | %RES | = Sh | are of l | bulb pu | rchas | es that a | re ins | talled ir | n reside | ntial | l setting (% | 6) | |
| | НРҮ _{сомм} | = Av | erage a | annual | opera | iting hou | rs in c | ommer | cial set | ting | (hrs/yr) | | |
| | %COMM | = Sh | are of l | bulb pu | rchas | es that a | re ins | talled ir | n comm | ercia | al setting (| %) | |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTIC | ONS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Baseline Efficiency | Incandescent | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Efficient Measure | LED bulb | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| PARAMETER VALUES (I | DEEMED) | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | |
| Measure | $\Delta Watts_{LED}$ | HP | D _{RES} | HPY _C | OMM | %RE | S | %CON | ЛМ | | Life (yrs) | | Cost (\$) |
| I FD Lamp | Table 3 | Table 3 2^{152} 3 772 ¹⁵³ $0\%^{154}$ 100% ¹⁵⁵ $\geq 20,000 \text{ hr: } 9^{156}$ Table 4 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 20,000 hr: 5 ¹⁵ / | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | Avoide | ed O | &M (\$) | | |
| LED Lamp | | | | | | | | | Т | able | 4 | | |
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | 1 | | | | 1 | | 1 | | | 1 | |
| Measure | ISR | | R | R _E | | RR _D | (| CFs | CFw | / | FR | | SO |
| LED Bulb | 99% ¹⁵⁸ | $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | | | | | | | | | 1.6% ¹⁶⁴ | | |

¹⁵² NMR Group, Efficiency Maine Retail Lighting Program Overall Evaluation Report, April 16, 2015, p. 16.

¹⁵⁹ Realization rates are 100 percent since savings estimates are based on evaluation results.

¹⁶⁰ Ibid.

164 Ibid.

 ¹⁵³ Average annual hours of use for commercial spaces. Efficiency Maine Commercial Technical Reference Manual Version 2015.1 Table 33.
 ¹⁵⁴ Mogul base lamps are primarily applicable to commercial settings. Percent installed in commercial applications is assumed to be 100%.

¹⁵⁵ Ibid.

¹⁵⁶ Based on rated lifetime of 25,000 hours.

¹⁵⁷ Based on rated lifetime of 15,000 hours.

¹⁵⁸ ISR is based on long-term ISR from NMR Group, Efficiency Maine Retail Lighting Program Overall Evaluation Report, April 16, 2015, p. 14. It is assumed that storage habits are the same for standard efficiency bulbs as LED therefore the equivalent measure life is based on the long-term ISR

¹⁶¹ Efficiency Vermont TRM 2012, Commercial Outdoor Lighting.

¹⁶² Ibid.

¹⁶³ Nexant, Business Incentive Program Process Evaluation, Published October 31, 2016, page 58.

| | | | Energy and Demand Savings with Interactive Effects | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|----------|--|-----------|-------------|--------|--------|---------|---------|--------|----------|--------|
| Bulh Type | Measure Codes | Baseline | Efficient | AWattsurp | | | | Natural | | | | |
| build rype | Micusure coues | Wattage | Wattage | | Electricity | Winter | Summer | Gas | Propane | Wood | Kerosene | Oil |
| | | | | | kWh/y | kW | kW | MMBtu | MMBtu | MMBtu | MMBtu | MMBtu |
| Standard A-Line LED | LEDSTDLLD, LEDSTDSLD | 47 | 10 | 37 | 110 | 0.018 | 0.023 | -0.015 | -0.010 | -0.019 | -0.010 | -0.105 |
| Specialty LED - Candelabra | LEDSPCCDDL, LEDSPCCDDS | 47 | 5 | 42 | 124 | 0.020 | 0.026 | -0.016 | -0.011 | -0.022 | -0.011 | -0.119 |
| Specialty LED - R20, MR16, Globe | LEDSPCGLDL, LEDSPCGLDS | 36 | 7 | 29 | 87 | 0.014 | 0.018 | -0.011 | -0.008 | -0.015 | -0.008 | -0.083 |
| Specialty LED - BR30, PAR16 | LEDSPCBRDL, LEDSPCBRDS | 42 | 7 | 36 | 105 | 0.017 | 0.022 | -0.014 | -0.009 | -0.019 | -0.009 | -0.101 |
| Specialty LED - PAR20, PAR30 | LEDSPCPRDL, LEDSPCPRDS | 59 | 9 | 50 | 148 | 0.024 | 0.031 | -0.020 | -0.013 | -0.026 | -0.013 | -0.141 |
| Specialty LED - PAR38, BR40 | LEDSPCPBDL, LEDSPCPBDS | 84 | 15 | 69 | 205 | 0.034 | 0.044 | -0.027 | -0.018 | -0.036 | -0.018 | -0.196 |
| Linear LED 2 ft | S110A2L, S110C2L, S110A2S, S110C2S | 37 | 11 | 26 | 127 | 0.021 | 0.027 | -0.017 | -0.012 | -0.023 | -0.012 | -0.125 |
| Linear LED 4 ft | S110A4L, S110C4L, S110A4S, S110C4S | 91 | 12 | 80 | 203 | 0.033 | 0.043 | -0.028 | -0.019 | -0.037 | -0.019 | -0.201 |
| LED Low Bay Mogul Screw-Base Low Output | S64ALL, S64BCLLL, S64ALS, S64BCLLS | 204 | 57 | 147 | 587 | 0.092 | 0.128 | -0.080 | -0.080 | -0.107 | -0.053 | -0.579 |
| LED Low Bay Mogul Screw-Base High Output | S64AHL, S64BCLHL, S64AHS, S64BCLHS | 363 | 99 | 264 | 1057 | 0.167 | 0.230 | -0.144 | -0.144 | -0.193 | -0.096 | -1.043 |
| LED High Bay Mogul Screw-Base Low Output | S64BCHLL, S64BCHLS | 449 | 105 | 344 | 1376 | 0.217 | 0.299 | -0.188 | -0.188 | -0.251 | -0.125 | -1.358 |
| LED High Bay Mogul Screw-Base High Output | S64BCHHL, S64BCHHS | 447 | 138 | 309 | 1237 | 0.195 | 0.269 | -0.169 | -0.113 | -0.225 | -0.113 | -1.221 |
| Outdoor Mogul Screw- Base Low Output | S6BLL, S6CLL, S6BLS, S6CLS | 200 | 42 | 158 | 598 | 0.006 | 0.111 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Outdoor Mogul Screw- Base Medium Output | S6BML, S6CML, S6BMS, S6CMS | 309 | 89 | 220 | 831 | 0.008 | 0.155 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Outdoor Mogul Screw- Base High Output | S6BHL, S6CHL, S6BHS, S6CHS | 458 | 129 | 329 | 1241 | 0.012 | 0.231 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |

Table 3. Wattage and Savings by Bulb Type for Distributor Channel

| Bulb Type | Measure Codes | Baseline | Retail Pri | ce Before | Incrementa | al First Cost | Avoided O&M ¹⁶⁶ | | |
|--|---------------------------------------|--------------|------------|------------|------------|---------------|----------------------------|------------|--|
| | | Retail Price | Ince | ntive | | -20,000 1 | | | |
| | | 41.00 | ≥20,000 hr | <20,000 nr | ≥20,000 hr | <20,000 hr | ≥20,000 hr | <20,000 nr | |
| Standard A-Line LED | LEDSTDLLD, LEDSTDSLD | Ş1.38 | \$4.62 | Ş4.11 | \$3.24 | Ş2.73 | \$13.33 | \$8.10 | |
| Specialty LED - Candelabra | LEDSPCCDDL, LEDSPCCDDS | \$1.21 | \$6.69 | \$4.69 | \$5.48 | \$3.48 | \$11.69 | \$7.11 | |
| Specialty LED - R20, MR16, Globe | LEDSPCGLDL, LEDSPCGLDS | \$2.92 | \$9.29 | \$6.61 | \$6.37 | \$3.69 | \$28.21 | \$17.15 | |
| Specialty LED - BR30, PAR16 | LEDSPCBRDL, LEDSPCBRDS | \$2.85 | \$7.92 | N/A | \$5.07 | N/A* | \$27.54 | N/A | |
| Specialty LED - PAR20, PAR30 | LEDSPCPRDL, LEDSPCPRDS | \$6.53 | \$12.24 | N/A | \$5.71 | N/A* | \$63.09 | N/A | |
| Specialty LED - PAR38, BR40 | LEDSPCPBDL, LEDSPCPBDS | \$3.80 | \$15.46 | N/A | \$11.66 | N/A* | \$36.72 | N/A | |
| Linear LED 2 ft | S110A2L, S110C2L, S110A2S, S110C2S | \$2.96 | \$12.91 | N/A | \$9.95 | N/A* | \$28.62 | N/A | |
| Linear LED 4 ft | S110A4L, S110C4L, S110A4S, S110C4S | \$2.96 | \$8.51 | N/A | \$5.55 | N/A* | \$28.62 | N/A | |
| LED Low Bay Mogul Screw-Base Low Output Type A | S64ALL, S64ALS | \$40.00 | \$120.00 | N/A | \$80.00 | N/A* | \$407.67 | N/A | |
| LED Low Bay Mogul Screw-Base High Output Type A | S64AHL, S64AHS | \$50.00 | \$240.00 | N/A | \$190.00 | N/A* | \$509.59 | N/A | |
| LED Low Bay Mogul Screw-Base Low Output Type B&C | S64BCLLL, S64BCLLS | \$40.00 | \$128.54 | N/A | \$88.54 | N/A* | \$407.67 | N/A | |
| LED Low Bay Mogul Screw-Base High Output Type B&C | S64BCLHL, S64BCLHS | \$50.00 | \$245.15 | N/A | \$195.15 | N/A* | \$509.59 | N/A | |
| LED High Bay Mogul Screw-Base Low Output | S64BCHLL, S64BCHLS | \$60.00 | \$191.39 | N/A | \$131.39 | N/A* | \$611.50 | N/A | |
| LED High Bay Mogul Screw-Base High Output | S64BCHHL, S64BCHHS | \$50.00 | \$270.10 | N/A | \$220.10 | N/A* | \$509.59 | N/A | |
| Outdoor Mogul Screw-Base Low Output | S6BLL, S6CLL, S6BLS, S6CLS | \$60.00 | \$90.81 | N/A | \$30.81 | N/A* | \$611.50 | N/A | |
| Outdoor Mogul Screw-Base Medium Output | S6BML, S6CML, S6BMS, S6CMS | \$80.00 | \$123.12 | N/A | \$43.12 | N/A* | \$815.34 | N/A | |
| Outdoor Mogul Screw-Base High Output | S6BHL, S6CHL, S6BHS, S6CHS | \$130.00 | \$305.83 | N/A | \$175.83 | N/A* | \$1,324.92 | N/A | |

Table 4. Measure Cost, O&M and Free Ridership Rates by Bulb Type for Distributor Channel¹⁶⁵

*These bulb categories have not had any program participation and therefore have no program data to analyze. Values for >20,000 hr category will be used as placeholders in effRT.

¹⁶⁵ Cost values based on weighted average pre-incentivized distributor costs from October-November 2017 program sales data for efficient cost and surveyed baseline cost.

¹⁶⁶ Because the efficient measure has a longer effective life than the baseline measure, future replacement costs are avoided. The avoided O&M cost is based on the NPV of avoided replacement costs for baseline products throughout the lifetime of the efficient products. No labor costs have been included. See Table F-2 for baseline bulb replacement schedule.

| Refrigerator (Inactive) (RF) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|---|--|---|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------|-------------|--|--|--|--|
| Last Revised Date | 7/1/2015 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Description | ENERGY ST ENERGY ST refrigerato The ENERG percent mo ENERGY ST | ENERGY STAR® certified refrigerator in place of a new code-compliant or standard efficiency refrigerator. The ENERGY STAR® key efficiency criteria requires that full-size refrigerators be at least 20 percent more energy efficient than the minimum federal standard. ¹⁶⁷ A list of certified ENERGY STAR® refrigerators is available at: <u>http://downloads.energystar.gov/bi/qplist/refrigerators.xls</u> | | | | | | | | | | |
| | <u>http://dow</u> | nloads.energy | <u>star.gov/bi</u> | /qplist/refrig | <u>erators.xls</u> | | | | | | | |
| Primary Energy Impact | Electric | Electric | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sector | Residentia | Residential | | | | | | | | | | |
| Program(s) | Appliance | Rebate Progra | m | | | | | | | | | |
| End-Use | Retrigeration | on mustice Daula | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | ruction, Repla | ce on Burno | but | | | | | | | | |
| DEEIVIED GROSS EIVERGY | | NII SAVINGS) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Demand savings | $\Delta KW_{SP} = 0.0$ $\Delta kW_{WP} = 0$ | .017 ¹⁶⁹ | | | | | | | | | | |
| Annual energy savings | ∆kWh/yr = | 49.1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVINGS | ALGORITHM | IS (UNIT SAVIN | NGS) | | | | | | | | | |
| Demand savings | $\Delta kW_{SP} = De$ | eemed based o | on evaluate | d results | | | | | | | | |
| | $\Delta kW_{WP} = D$ | eemed based | on evaluate | ed results | | | | | | | | |
| Annual energy savings | $\Delta kWh/yr =$ | (kWh _{BASE} - kW | /h _{EE}) x ISA | | | | | | | | | |
| Definitions | kWh _{BASE} kWh _{EE} ISA | = Average a = Average a = In-situ ad | annual ener annual ener justment fa | gy consump gy consump ctor (%) | tion for bas tion for ENE | eline mo RGY STA | dels (kWh/yr R® models (k |) Wh/yr) | | | | |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTION | S | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Baseline Efficiency | Residential effective Section | l refrigerator t eptember 15, 2 | hat meets t 2014 ¹⁷⁰ | he current fe | ederal minir | num effi | ciency requir | ement, | | | | |
| Efficient Measure | ENERGY ST | AR [®] -certified | refrigerator | | | | | | | | | |
| PARAMETER VALUES (DEE | EMED) | | - | | | | | | | | | |
| Measure | kWh _{BASE} | kWh _{EE} | ISA | | Life (yrs) | Cost (| 5) | | | | | |
| Refrigerator | 509.7 ¹⁷¹ | 460.0171 | 98.8% 172 | | 12 ¹⁷¹ | 20 ¹⁷³ | | | | | | |
| IMPACT FACTORS | 1 | - 1 | <u> </u> | | 1 | 1 | | | | | | |
| Measure | ISR | RRE | RR _D | CFs | (| CFw | FR | SO | | | | |
| Refrigerator | 100% ¹⁷⁴ | ISR RR_E RR_D CF_S CF_W FR SO $100\%^{174}$ $100\%^{175}$ $100\%^{176}$ $100\%^{176}$ $67.8\%^{177}$ $3.3\%^{177}$ | | | | | | | | | | |

¹⁶⁷ ENERGY STAR® Refrigerators and Freezers Key Product Criteria: <u>http://www.energystar.gov/index.cfm?c=refrig.pr_crit_refrigerators</u>

¹⁷³ ENERGY STAR Appliance Calculator.

¹⁶⁸ NMR Group, Inc., Efficiency Maine Appliance Rebate Program Evaluation Overall Report – FINAL, July 18, 2014, p. 30.

¹⁶⁹ Memo provided to supplement NMR Group, Inc., Efficiency Maine Appliance Rebate Program Evaluation Overall Report – FINAL, July 18, 2014.

¹⁷⁰ Federal Standard, Code of Federal Regulations, Title 10, Part 430, Subpart C.

¹⁷¹ Table F-1. Weighted Average Refrigerator Energy Use.

¹⁷² Ibid., p. 28. The in-situ adjustment (ISA) factor is a correction factor applied to a refrigerator's rated kWh consumption to reflect real world conditions, such as door openings, food in the refrigerators, internal temperature settings, and ambient conditions. The ISA factor for refrigerators was derived by comparing the *actual* (metered) kWh consumption with the *rated* kWh consumption; the ratio of each refrigerator's actual metered kWh consumption to its rated kWh consumption was calculated and averaged to calculate the ISA factor.

¹⁷⁴ NMR Group, Inc., Efficiency Maine Appliance Rebate Program Evaluation Overall Report – FINAL, July 18, 2014, Table 2-41.

¹⁷⁵ Realization rates are 100 percent since savings estimates are based on evaluation results.

¹⁷⁶ Peak coincidence factors for this measure are embedded in the evaluated peak demand impacts.

¹⁷⁷ NMR Group, Inc., Efficiency Maine Appliance Rebate Program Evaluation Overall Report – FINAL, July 18, 2014, Table 2-41.

| Freezer (Inactive) (FR) | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|---|----------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|--|
| Last Revised Date | 7/1/2015 | | | | | | | | | |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | | | | | | | | | | |
| Description | ENERGY STA ENERGY STA freezer. The 10 percent n | R [®] Freezer. Th R [®] -certified fr ENERGY STAR hore energy e | his measure in reezer in place ® key efficien fficient than t | volves of a n cy crite he min | the pu ew cod eria req imum f | rchase ar le-compli uires tha federal st | nd in ant o t full anda | stallation of or standard e -size freezer ard. ¹⁷⁸ | a new efficiency s be at least | |
| | A list of certi | fied ENERGY | STAR® freezer | s is ava | ailable a | at: | | | | |
| | http://down | loads.eneravs | tar.aov/bi/ap | list/Fre | ezers% | 20Produ | ct%2 | 0List.xls | | |
| Primary Energy Impact | Electric | <u> </u> | | | 0201070 | | | <u></u> | | |
| Sector | Residential | | | | | | | | | |
| Program(s) | Appliance Re | ebate Program | 1 | | | | | | | |
| End-Use | Refrigeration | <u>וסי</u> | | | | | | | | |
| Decision Type | New Constru | New Construction, Replace on Burnout | | | | | | | | |
| DEEMED GROSS ENERGY S | AVINGS (UNIT | SAVINGS) | | | | | | | | |
| Demand savings | $\Delta kW_{SP} = 0.00$ |)9 | | | | | | | | |
| | $\Delta kW_{WP} = 0.0$ | 10 | | | | | | | | |
| Annual energy savings | $\Delta kWh/yr = 3$ | 0 | | | | | | | | |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVINGS A | LGORITHMS | UNIT SAVING | iS) | | | | | | | |
| Demand savings | $\Delta kW_{SP} = \Delta kW$ | $V_{\text{SP-Refrig}} x (\Delta k V)$ | /h _{FREEZER} / Δ kW | /h _{REFRIG} |) | | | | | |
| | $\Delta k W_{WP} = \Delta k V$ | $V_{WP-Refrig} x (\Delta k)$ | $Nh_{FREEZER} / \Delta k$ | Nh _{REFRIC} | G) | | | | | |
| Annual energy savings | $\Delta kWh/yr = \Delta$ | kWh _{FREEZER} | | | | | | | | |
| Definitions | Unit | = 1 Freez | er | | | | | | | |
| | $\Delta kWh_{FREEZER}$ | = Averag non-cei | e annual ener tified models | gy savi (kWh/ | ngs for 'yr) | ENERGY | STAF | R [®] freezer co | mpared to | |
| | Δ kWh _{REFRIG} | = Average to non- | e annual ener certified mod | gy savi els (kW | ngs for /h/yr) | ENERGY | STAF | R [®] refrigerat | or compared | |
| | $\Delta kW_{SP-Refrig}$ | = Evaluat | ed summer p | eak dei | mand r | eduction | for F | Refrigerator | measure (kW) | |
| | $\Delta kW_{\text{WP-Refrig}}$ | = Evaluat | ed winter pea | ak dem | and red | duction fo | or Re | frigerator m | easure (kW) | |
| | RATIOBASE | = Adjustr | nent factor to | accou | nt for b | aseline u | pdat | te (%) | | |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTIONS | 5 | | | | | | | | | |
| Baseline Efficiency | Standard res | idential freez | er that meets | the cu | rrent fe | ederal mi | nimu | Im efficiency | , | |
| | requirement | , effective Se | otember 15, 2 | 014 ¹⁷⁹ | | | | | | |
| Efficient Measure | ENERGY STA | R [®] -certified fr | eezer | | | | | | | |
| PARAMETER VALUES (DEE | EEMED) | | | | | | | | | |
| Measure | $\Delta kWh_{FREEZER}$ | $\frac{\Delta kWh_{REFRIG}}{\Delta kW_{SP-Refrig}} = \frac{\Delta kW_{WP-Refrig}}{\Delta kW_{WP-Refrig}} = \frac{\Delta kW_{KP-Refrig}}{\Delta kW_{KP-Refrig}} = \frac{\Delta kWh_{REFRIG}}{\Delta kWh_{REFRIG}} = \frac{\Delta kW}{\Delta kW} = \frac{\Delta kW_{KP-Refrig}}{\Delta kW_{KP-Refrig}} = \frac{\Delta kW}{\Delta kW} = \frac{\Delta kW}{\Delta $ | | | | | | Cost (\$) | | |
| ENERGY STAR [®] Freezer | 30 ¹⁸⁰ | 49.1 ¹⁸¹ 0.015 ¹⁸¹ 0.017 ¹⁸¹ 12 ¹⁸⁰ | | | | 0 ¹⁸⁰ | | | | |
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | | | | |
| Measure | ISR | RR _E | RR_{D} | C | Fs | CFw | | FR | SO | |
| ENERGY STAR [®] Freezer | 100% ¹⁸² | 100% ¹⁸³ | 100% ¹⁸³ | 100 | % ¹⁸⁴ | 100% ¹ | 84 | 65.5% ¹⁸⁵ | 3.3% ¹⁸⁵ | |

¹⁷⁸ ENERGY STAR[®] Refrigerators and Freezers Key Product Criteria: <u>http://www.energystar.gov/index.cfm?c=refrig.pr_crit_refrigerators</u>

¹⁷⁹ Federal Standard, Code of Federal Regulations, Title 10, Part 430, Subpart C.

¹⁸⁰ United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), ENERGY STAR Appliance Savings Calculator, May 2015. Annual energy savings are based on savings of 30kWh at the default settings (15.4 cubic feet, chest freezer).

¹⁸¹ See Refrigerator measure entry.

¹⁸² Efficiency Maine Trust (EMT) assumes that all purchased units are installed (i.e. ISR = 100%). This is consistent with evaluation findings for other appliance measures.

¹⁸³ Realization rates are 100 percent since savings estimates are based on evaluation results.

¹⁸⁴ Peak coincidence factors for this measure are embedded in the evaluated peak demand impacts.

| Room Air Conditioner (Inactive) (RAC) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Last Revised Date | 7/1/2015 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Description | ENERGY STAR [®] ENERGY STAR [®] efficiency roor conditioners b standards. ¹⁸⁶ A list of certifie | [®] Room AC (R/ [®] -certified roo m air condition the at least 10 p ed ENERGY ST | AC). T m ain ner. T perce AR® 1 | This meas r conditio The ENER(nt more e room air (| ure invo ner in p GY STAF energy e conditic | olves th lace of ® key e efficien oners is | e purchase a new cod efficiency c t than the available a | e and installatio e-compliant or riteria require minimum feder at: | on of a new standard that room air ral | | | | |
| | http://downlo | ads.energysta | r.gov | //bi/qplist | /Room | <mark>%20Air</mark> | %20Condit | ioners%20Prod | luct%20List.xls | | | | |
| Primary Energy Impact | Electric | Electric | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sector | Residential | esidential | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Program(s) | Appliance Reb | ate Program | | | | | | | | | | | |
| End-Use | Cooling | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Decision Type | New Construct | lew Construction, Replace on Burnout | | | | | | | | | | | |
| DEEMED GROSS ENERG | GY SAVINGS (UN | SAVINGS (UNIT SAVINGS) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Demand savings | $\Delta kW = 0.094$ | ΔkW_{WP} = 0 | Z | $\Delta kW_{SP} = 0$ | .01 | | | | | | | | |
| Annual energy savings | Δ kWh/yr = 10 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVING | GS ALGORITHM | S (UNIT SAVI | IGS) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Demand savings | $\Delta kW = CAP_{EE} x$ | (1 / EER _{BASE} – | 1/E | ER _{EE}) / 10 | 00 | | | | | | | | |
| Annual energy savings | Δ kWh/yr = CA | P _{EE} x (1 / EER _B | ase — S | 1 / EER _{EE}) | / 1000 | x EFLH | | | | | | | |
| Definitions | Unit | = 1 room air c | ondit | tioner | | | | | | | | | |
| | CAP _{EE} | = Average cap | acity | of install | ed roor | n air co | onditioner | Btu/h) | | | | | |
| | EER _{BASE} | = Energy-effic | iency | ratio of o | code-co | mplian | t room air | conditioner (Bt | .u/h/Watt) | | | | |
| | EER _{EE} | = Energy-effic | iency | ratio of I | ENERGY | ′ STAR® | -certified r | oom air condit | ioner | | | | |
| | | (Btu/h/Watt) | | | - | | | | | | | | |
| | EFLH | = Equivalent f | ull lo | ad hours | for roor | n air co | onditioner | (hrs/yr) | | | | | |
| | 1000 | = Conversion: | 1000 |) Watts pe | er kW | | | | | | | | |
| | ONS | | | | . 1 | | | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | | | | |
| Baseline Efficiency | Standard room | n air condition | er th | lat meets | the cur | rent fe | deral minir | num efficiency | requirement | | | | |
| | effective June | 1, 2014 ¹⁰ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Efficient Measure | ENERGY STAR | e-certified roo | m all | r conditio | ner | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Measure | | EEKBASE | | EER | EE 190 | E | FLH | LITE (Yrs) | Lost (\$) | | | | |
| | 10,000 | 9.8-33 | | 10.8 | | 1 | 02*** | 9.00 | 50100 | | | | |
| | | | | | 0 | - | CF | | | | | | |
| | 100% ¹⁹² | KKE 100.00/193 | 10 | κκ _D | 11 1 | rs o/194 | | | 5 2 20/ 195 | | | | |
| EINERGY STAK® KAC | 100% | 100.0% | 10 | 0.0% | 11.1 | 70 | 0.0%** | 05.5% | 3.3%-55 | | | | |

¹⁸⁵ NMR Group, Inc., Efficiency Maine Appliance Rebate Program Evaluation Overall Report – FINAL, July 18, 2014, Table 2-41.

185 ENERGY STAR® Room Air Conditioners Key Product Criteria: http://www.energystar.gov/index.cfm?c=roomac.pr_crit_room_ac

¹⁸⁷ Federal Standard, Code of Federal Regulations, Title 10, Part 430, Subpart C.

¹⁸⁹ Minimum EER for code-compliant room air conditioner effective June 1, 2014.

¹⁹⁰ ENERGY STAR[®] requirement for room air conditioner as of October 2013.

¹⁹¹ Final Report Coincidence Factor Study Residential Room Air Conditioners, June 23, 2008, Table 22, full load equivalent hours for Portland, ME.

¹⁹² EMT assumes that all purchased units are installed (i.e. ISR = 100%). This is consistent with evaluation findings for other appliance measures.

¹⁹³ This measure has not yet been evaluated. Until the next program impact evaluation, EMT assumes 100 percent realization rate.

¹⁸⁸ Typical room air conditioner size, April 2009 according to ENERGY STAR® Room Air Conditioner calculator.

| Room Air Purifier (RAP) | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|-------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|---------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|
| Last Revised Date | 11/21/2016 | | | | | | | | | | |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Description | ENERGY ST | AR [®] -certified | l room air p | urifier (RAP |). This | s measi | ure involv | ves tł | he purcha | se and | |
| | installatior | n of a new EN | ERGY STAR® | -certified r | oom a | air puri [.] | fier (also | calle | ed room a | ir cleaners) | |
| | in place of | a standard e | fficiency roo | m air purifi | er. Th | ne ENEF | RGY STAF | R® ke | y efficiend | cy criteria | |
| | require that | at room air pu | urifiers have | a minimun | n effic | ciency c | of 2.0 CAI | DR/W | Vatt and n | naximum | |
| | standby po | ower of 2.0 W | /atts. ¹⁹⁶ | | | | | | | | |
| | A list of ce | rtified ENERG | iy star® roo | om air purif | iers is | availal | ole at: | | | | |
| | <u>http://dow</u> | <u>nloads.energ</u> | <u>ystar.gov/b</u> | i/qplist/Roc | <u>om_A</u> | <u>ir_Clea</u> | ners_Qu | <u>alifie</u> | <u>d_Produc</u> | <u>t_List.xls</u> | |
| Primary Energy Impact | Electric | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sector | Residentia | Residential, Commercial | | | | | | | | | |
| Program(s) | Appliance | Rebate Progr | am | | | | | | | | |
| End-Use | Appliance | | | | | | | | | | |
| Decision Type | New Const | New Construction, Replace on Burnout | | | | | | | | | |
| DEEMED GROSS ENERGY | SAVINGS (U | NIT SAVINGS | | | | | | | | | |
| Demand Savings | $\Delta kW = 0.1$ | .07 ΔkW_{SP} = | = 0.071 ∆k\ | $N_{\rm WP} = 0.071$ | 1 | | | | | | |
| Annual Energy Savings | Δ kWh/yr = | 624 | | | | | | | | | |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVINGS | ALGORITHN | IS (UNIT SAV | INGS) | | | | | | | | |
| Demand Savings | $\Delta kW = CAI$ | DR × (1/EF _{BASE} | - 1/EF _{ES}) / 1 | L000 | | | | | | | |
| Annual Energy Savings | $\Delta kWh/yr =$ | : [CADR × (1/I | EF _{BASE} – 1/EF | _{ES}) × Hours | + (SBI | P _{BASE} – S | SBP_{ES}) × (| 8,760 | 0 – Hours) |] / 1000 | |
| Definitions | Unit | = 1 room air | purifier | | | | | | | | |
| | CADR | = Rated Clea | r Air Deliver | y Rate (CAD | DR) | | | | | | |
| | EFBASE | = Rated effic | iency for ba | seline unit (| (CADF | R/Watt) | | | | | |
| | EF _{ES} | = Rated effic | iency for EN | ERGY STAR | ® unit | : (CADR | /Watt) | | | | |
| | SBPBASE | = Rated stan | dby power f | or baseline | unit (| (Watts) | | | | | |
| | SBPES | = Rated stan | dby for ENE | RGY STAR® | unit (| Watts) | | | | | |
| | Hours | = Annual ope | erating hour | s (hrs/yr) | | | | | | | |
| | 8,760 | = Total hours | s in a year (2 | 4 hours/da | y × 36 | 55 days | /year) | | | | |
| | 1,000 | = Conversion | i: 1,000 Wat | ts per kW | | | | | | | |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTION | S | | | | | | | | | | |
| Baseline Efficiency | Conventio | nal model wit | :h CADR = 18 | 33, CADR/W | /att = | 1.0, an | id standb | ру ро | wer = 1.0 | Watts | |
| Efficient Measure | Average av | ailable ENER | GY STAR [®] -ce | ertified mod | del | | | | | | |
| PARAMETER VALUES (DEE | EMED) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Measure | CADR EF _{BASE} SBP _{BASE} EF _{ES} SBP _{ES} Hours Life (yrs) Cost (\$) | | | | | | | | | | |
| ENERGY STAR [®] RAP | 153 ¹⁹⁷ | 1.0 ¹⁹⁸ | 1.0 ¹⁹⁸ | 3.3197 | 0. | 6 ¹⁹⁷ | 5,840 ¹⁹ | 99 | 9 ²⁰⁰ | 55.82 ²⁰¹ | |
| Measure | %RES | %COMM | | | | | | | | | |
| ENERGY STAR [®] RAP | 99% ²⁰² | 1% ²⁰² | | | | | | | | | |
| IMPACT FACTORS | 1 | r | 1 | 1 | | - | r | | | | |
| Measure | ISR | RR _E | RR _D | CFs | | C | Fw | | FR | SO | |
| ENERGY STAR [®] RAP | 100% ²⁰³ | 100% ²⁰⁴ | 100% ²⁰⁴ | 66.7% ² | :05 | 66. | 7% ²⁰⁵ | 65 | .5% ²⁰⁶ | 3.3% ²⁰⁶ | |

¹⁹⁴ See Appendix B: Coincidence and Energy Period Factors.

196 ENERGY STAR® Room Air Cleaners Key Product Criteria: http://www.energystar.gov/index.cfm?c=room_airclean.pr_crit_room_airclean

¹⁹⁷ Average CADR based on PY 2016 sales data as of 4/21/16.

¹⁹⁸ EPA Research based on available models, 2011 (from ENERGY STAR® Appliance Savings Calculator, accessed 3/31/2013).

¹⁹⁹ Assume average 16 hours per day operating (from ENERGY STAR® Appliance Savings Calculator, accessed 3/31/2013).

²⁰⁰ Appliance Magazine, Portrait of the U.S. Appliance Industry 1998 (from ENERGY STAR® Appliance Savings Calculator, accessed 3/31/2013).

²⁰¹ Shelf and on-line survey November 2016 of ENERGY STAR[®] and non-ENERGY STAR[®] units sold through Home Depot, Walmart, Best Buy, Lowe's and Amazon. ²⁰² EFI program data analysis Sept 23, 2015. Since commercial sector participation is currently very low, no adjustments to savings estimates are being made at this time.

¹⁹⁵ NMR Group, Inc., Efficiency Maine Appliance Rebate Program Evaluation Overall Report – FINAL, July 18, 2014, Table 2-41.

| Dehumidifier (DH) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|---|---|---|---|-------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Last Revised Date | 7/1/201 | 6 | | | | | | | | | | |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | • | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Description | ENERGY new ENE efficienc The ENE Liters/k\ dehumic | STAR [®] def ERGY STAR by dehumic RGY STAR [®] Wh for deh difiers up to | numidifien -certifien lifier. * key effic umidifier o 185 pin | rs. This me d dehumic :iency crite s < 75 pint ts per day. | easure inve lifier in pla eria specif s per day 207 | olves the po ace of a nev y a minimu and a minin | urchase and w code-com m energy fa mum energ | d installation opliant or st actor of 2.0 by factor of | n of a tandard 2.80 for | | | |
| | A list of | certified El | NERGY ST | AR [®] dehu | midifiers i | s available : | at: | | | | | |
| | http://d | ownloads.e | energysta | r.gov/bi/g | plist/dehu | imid_prod_ | <u>list.xls</u> | | | | | |
| Primary Energy Impact | Electric | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sector | Resident | sidential, Commercial | | | | | | | | | | |
| Program(s) | Applianc | pliance Rebate Program | | | | | | | | | | |
| End-Use | Applianc | pliance | | | | | | | | | | |
| Decision Type | New Cor | ew Construction, Replace on Burnout | | | | | | | | | | |
| DEEMED GROSS ENERGY SAVI | NGS (UN | IT SAVING | S) | | | | | | | | | |
| Demand savings | $\Delta kW = 0$ | .092 ∆k | $W_{SP} = 0.0$ | 34 ∆kW _v | _{/P} = 0.000 | | | | | | | |
| Annual energy savings | ∆kWh/y | r = 150 | | | | | | | | | | |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVINGS ALG | ORITHMS | G (UNIT SAV | VINGS) | | | | | | | | | |
| Demand savings | ∆kW = C | AP _{EE} x 0.47 | ′3 x (1 / E | $F_{BASE} - 1/$ | EF _{EE}) / 24 | x ISA | | | | | | |
| Annual energy savings | ∆kWh/y | r = CAP _{EE} x | 0.473 x (| 1 / EF _{BASE} - | - 1 / EF _{EE}) : | k Hours / 24 | 4 x ISA | | | | | |
| Definitions | Unit | = 1 c | lehumidif | fier | | | | | | | | |
| | CAPEE | = Ra | ted capao | ity of the | dehumidi | fier in pints | per day (pi | ints/day) | | | | |
| | EFBASE | = Ra | ted Energ | gy Factor fo | or baselin | e dehumidi | fier (liters/l | kWh) | | | | |
| | EFEE | = Ra | ted Energ | gy Factor fo | or ENERG | ۲ STAR® de | humidifier | (liters/kWh |) | | | |
| | Hours | = An | nual ope | rating hou | rs (hrs/yr) | | | | | | | |
| | 0.473 | = Co | nversion: | 0.473 lite | rs per pint | t | | | | | | |
| | 24 | = Co | nversion: | 24 hours | per day | | | | | | | |
| | ISA | = In- | situ Adju | stment Fa | ctor | | | | | | | |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTIONS | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Baseline Efficiency | effective October 2012 ²⁰⁸ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Efficient Measure | ENERGY | STAR [®] -cer | tified deh | numidifier | | | | | | | | |
| PARAMETER VALUES (DEEME | D) | [| [| | | | [| [| | | | |
| Measure | %RES | %COMM | CAP _{EE} | EF_{BASE} | EF_{EE} | Hours | ISA | Life (yrs) | Cost (\$) | | | |
| ENERGY STAR [®] Dehumidifier | 97% ²⁰⁹ | 3% ²⁰⁹ | 54 ²¹⁰ | 1.65 ²¹⁰ | 2.0 ²¹¹ | 1,632 ²¹² | 81.6% ²¹³ | 12 ²¹⁴ | 50 ²¹⁵ | | | |

²⁰³ EMT assumes that all purchased units are installed (i.e. ISR = 100%). This is consistent with evaluation findings for other appliance measures.

²⁰⁴ This measure has not yet been evaluated. Until the next program impact evaluation, EMT assumes 100 percent realization rate.

²⁰⁵ See Appendix B: Coincidence and Energy Period Factors.

²⁰⁶ NMR Group, Inc., Efficiency Maine Appliance Rebate Program Evaluation Overall Report – FINAL, July 18, 2014, Table 2-41.

²⁰⁷ ENERGY STAR[®] Dehumidifiers Key Product Criteria:

 $https://www.energystar.gov/sites/default/files/ENERGY\%20STAR_Dehumidifiers_V4\%200_Specification_Final.pdf$

²⁰⁹ EFI program data analysis Sept 23, 2015. Since commercial sector participation is currently very low, no adjustments to savings estimates are being made at this time.

 $^{\rm 210}$ Average capacity based on PY16 sales data as of 4/21/16.

²¹¹ <u>https://www.energystar.gov/sites/default/files/ENERGY%20STAR_Dehumidifiers_V4%200_Specification_Final.pdf</u>

²¹² NMR Group, Inc., Efficiency Maine Appliance Rebate Program Evaluation Overall Report – FINAL, July 18, 2014, p. 53.

²⁰⁸ Federal Standard, Code of Federal Regulations, Title 10, Part 430, Subpart C.

| Dehumidifier (DH) | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | |
| Measure | ISR | RRE | RR _D | CFs | CFw | FR | SO |
| ENERGY STAR [®] Dehumidifier | 100% ²¹⁶ | 100% ²¹⁷ | 100% ²¹⁷ | 37.1% ²¹⁸ | 0% ²¹⁹ | 65.3% ²²⁰ | 3.3% ²²¹ |

²¹⁹ Assumed that dehumidifiers are not operating in the winter.

²²¹ Ibid.

²¹³ Ibid, p. 53. The in-situ adjustment (ISA) factor is a correction factor applied to a dehumidifier's *rated* power draw to accurately represent its *actual* power draw. The ISA factor for dehumidifiers was derived by averaging the ratio of actual (metered) power draw of each metered dehumidifier to its rated power draw. ²¹⁴ <u>https://www.energystar.gov/sites/default/files/asset/document/appliance_calculator.xlsx</u>

²¹⁵ https://www.energystar.gov/sites/default/files/asset/document/appliance_calculator.xlsx

²¹⁶ NMR Group, Inc., Efficiency Maine Appliance Rebate Program Evaluation Overall Report – FINAL, July 18, 2014, p. 51.

²¹⁷ Realization rates are 100 percent since savings estimates are based on evaluation results.

²¹⁸ Derived from summer peak demand, NMR Group, Inc., Efficiency Maine Appliance Rebate Program Evaluation Overall Report – FINAL, July 18, 2014, p. 55.

²²⁰ NMR Group, Inc., Efficiency Maine Appliance Rebate Program Evaluation Overall Report – FINAL, July 18, 2014, Table 2-42.

| Dishwasher (Inactive) (| DW) |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Last Revised Date | 7/1/2015 |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | |
| Description | ENERGY STAR [®] Dishwashers. This measure involves the purchase and installation of a new ENERGY STAR [®] -certified dishwasher in place of a new code-compliant or standard efficiency dishwasher. The current ENERGY STAR [®] requirements, effective as of January 20, 2012, specify a maximum 295 kWh/year and minimum 4.25 gallons/cycle. ²²² |
| | The associated water heater may be electric or non-electric. The deemed unit energy savings are weighted averages based on the percentages of homes with electric and non-electric water heaters. A list of certified ENERGY STAR [®] dishwashers is available at: <u>http://downloads.energystar.gov/bi/qplist/Dishwashers%20Product%20List.xls</u> |
| Primary Energy Impact | Electric (additional impacts include: natural gas, heating oil, propane and water) |
| Sector | Residential |
| Program(s) | Appliance Rebate Program |
| End-Use | Process |
| Decision Type | New Construction, Replace on Burnout |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVINGS | (UNIT SAVINGS) |
| Demand Savings | $\Delta kW = 0.159$ $\Delta kW_{WP} = 0.006$ $\Delta kW_{SP} = 0.003$ |
| Annual Energy Savings | $\Delta kWh/yr = 6.6$ |
| | Δ MMBtu _{GAS} /yr = 0.003 |
| | $\Delta MMBtu_{OIL}/yr = 0.02$ |
| | $\Delta MMBtu_{PROP}/yr = 0.003$ |
| Annual water savings | Δ Gallons/yr = 468 |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVINGS | ALGORITHMS (UNIT SAVINGS) |
| Demand savings | $\Delta kW = \Delta kWh/yr / Hours$ |
| Annual energy savings | $\Delta kWh/yr = (kWh_{BASE} - kWh_{EE}) / RCycles \times Cycles \times [(1 - \%E_{HW}) + (\%E_{HW} \times \%HW_{ELEC})]$ |
| | Δ MMBtu _{GAS} /yr = (kWh _{BASE} – kWh _E) / RCycles × Cycles × %E _{HW} × 0.003412 / Eff _{GAS} × %HW _{GAS} |
| | Δ MMBtu _{OIL} /yr = (kWh _{BASE} – kWh _{EE}) / RCycles × Cycles × %E _{HW} × 0.003412 / Eff _{OIL} × %HW _{OIL} |
| | Δ MMBtu _{PROP} /yr = (kWh _{BASE} – kWh _{EE}) / RCycles × Cycles × %E _{HW} × 0.003412 / Eff _{PROP} × |
| | %HW _{PROP} |
| Annual water savings | Δ Gallons/yr = (WC _{BASE} – WC _{FE}) × Cycles |

²²² ENERGY STAR[®] Dishwashers Key Product Criteria: <u>http://www.energystar.gov/index.cfm?c=dishwash.pr_crit_dishwashers</u>

| Dishwasher (Inactive) (| DW) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|---------------------------------|--|--------------------|-------|------------|--------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|-------|-------------------|--|--|
| Definitions | Unit | = 1 dish | washer | | | | | | | | | | |
| | kWh _{BASE} | = Rated | annual er | nergy | , use of | baseline | dishwash | er (kW | 'h/yr) | | | | |
| | kWh _{EE} | = Rated | annual er | nergy | , use of | ENERGY | STAR® dis | hwash | er (kWh/y | r) | | | |
| | RCycles | = Rated | dishwash | er cy | cles pe | r year (cy | cles/yr) | | | | | | |
| | Cycles | = Annua | al dishwas | her d | cycles (c | ycles/yr) | | | | | | | |
| | Hours | = Annua | al operatin | ig ho | ours (hrs | /yr) | | | | | | | |
| | %E _{HW} | = Perce | ntage of d | ishw | asher e | nergy use | ed for wat | er hea | ting (%) | | | | |
| | HW _{ELEC} | = Perce | ntage of h | ome | s with e | lectric w | ater heati | ng (%) | | | | | |
| | HW_{GAS} | = Perce | ntage of h | ome | s with n | atural ga | s water h | eating | (%) | | | | |
| | %HW _{OIL} | = Perce | ntage of h | ome | s with c | il water l | neating (% | 6) | | | | | |
| | %HW _{PROP} | = Perce | ntage of h | ome | s with p | ropane c | or LNG wa | ter hea | ating (%) | | | | |
| | Eff_{GAS} | = Efficie | ency of exis | sting | gas-fire | ed water | heaters (୨ | %) | | | | | |
| | Eff _{OIL} | = Efficie | ency of exis | sting | g oil-fire | d water h | eaters (% | 5) | | | | | |
| | Eff _{PROP} | = Efficie | ency of exis | sting | g propar | e-fired w | ater heat | ers (%) |) | | | | |
| | WC _{BASE} | = Rated | water cor | nsum | nption p | er cycle f | or the ba | seline o | dishwashe | r | | | |
| | | (gallons/cycle) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | WCEE | VC _{EE} = Rated water consumption per cycle for the ENERGY STAR [®] dishwasher | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | (gallons/cycle) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 0.003412 | = Conve | ersion fact | or: 0 | .003412 | 2 MMBtu | per kWh | | | | | | |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTION | IS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Baseline Efficiency | Standard o | dishwasher | that meet | s the | e curren | t federal | minimum | efficie | ency requi | reme | ent, | | |
| | effective N | Лау 2013. Т | he require | emer | nt states | s that Sta | ndard size | e dishw | vashers sha | all n | ot exceed | | |
| | 355 kWh/ | year and 6.5 | 5 gallons p | er cy | ycle.223 | | | | | | | | |
| Efficient Measure | ENERGY S | TAR [®] -certifi | ed dishwa | sher | - | | | | | | | | |
| PARAMETER VALUES (DE | EMED) | | | 1 | | | | - | | | | | |
| Measure | kWh _{BASE} | kWhee | RCycles | C | ycles | Hours | WCBASE | WC | ее %Ен | N | | | |
| ENERGY STAR® | 307 ²²⁴ | 295 ²²⁴ | 215 ²²⁴ | 2 | 08224 | 208 ²²⁵ | 6 5 ²²⁴ | 4 25 | ²²⁴ 56% ² | 24 | | | |
| Dishwasher | 507 | 233 | 215 | | 00 | 200 | 0.5 | 7.25 | 5070 | | | | |
| Measure | [%] HW _{ELEC} | HW_{GAS} | %HW _{OIL} | %⊦ | WPROP | Eff_{GAS} | Eff _{OIL} | Eff _{PRC} | DP Life (y | rs) | Cost (\$) | | |
| ENERGY STAR® | 73% ²²⁶ | 1 0% ²²⁶ | 53% 226 | q | 0/226 | 75% ²² | 75% ²²⁷ | 7 5% ² | 27 1022 | 4 | 10 ²²⁴ | | |
| Dishwasher | 2370 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Measure | ISR | RR _E | RR |) | 0 | CF _S | CFw | | FR | | SO | | |
| ENERGY STAR® | 100%228 | $100\%^{228}$ $100\%^{229}$ $100\%^{229}$ $2.2\%^{230}$ $4.0\%^{230}$ $54.9\%^{231}$ $3.3\%^{231}$ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Dishwasher | 10070 | 10070 | 10070 | | 2.2 | .70 | 4.070 | | J7.J/0 | | J.J/0 | | |

²²⁹ This measure has not yet been evaluated. Until the next program impact evaluation, EMT assumes 100 percent realization rate.

²³⁰ See Appendix B: Coincidence and Energy Period Factors.

²²³ Federal Standard, Code of Federal Regulations, Title 10, Part 430, Subpart C.

²²⁴ Minimum federal efficiency standard (effective May 30, 2013).

²²⁵ Assume that each cycle is 1 hour so the total operating hours is equal to the total number of cycles.

²²⁶ NMR Group, Inc., Efficiency Maine Appliance Rebate Program Evaluation Overall Report – FINAL, July 18, 2014, Table 2-15

²²⁷ Values are assumed to be the same as a gas-fired water heater.

²²⁸ EMT assumes that all purchased units are installed (i.e. ISR = 100%). This is consistent with evaluation findings for other appliance measures.

²³¹ NMR Group, Inc., Efficiency Maine Appliance Rebate Program Evaluation Overall Report – FINAL, July 18, 2014, Table 2-42; used program average.

| Clothes Washer (CW) | |
|-------------------------------|---|
| Last Revised Date | 10/1/2017 (retroactive to 7/1/2016) |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | |
| Description | ENERGY STAR [®] clothes washer. This measure involves the purchase and installation of a new ENERGY STAR [®] -certified clothes washer in place of a new code-compliant or standard efficiency clothes washer. The current ENERGY STAR [®] requirements, effective as of February 1, 2013, specify a minimum Integrated Modified Energy Factor (IMEF) of 2.06 and maximum integrated water factor (IWF) of 4.3 for top-loading machines and IMEF of 2.38 and WF of 3.7 for front-loading machines. ²³² The associated water heater and clothes dryer may be electric or non-electric. The deemed |
| | unit energy savings are weighted averages based on percentages of homes with electric and non-electric water heaters and clothes dryers. A list of certified ENERGY STAR[®] clothes washers is available at: http://www.energystar.gov/productfinder/product/certified-clothes-washers/ |
| Primary Energy Impact | Electric (additional impacts include: natural gas, heating oil, propane and water) |
| Sector | Residential, Commercial |
| Program(s) | Appliance Rebate Program |
| End-Use | Process |
| Decision Type | New Construction, Replace on Burnout |
| DEEMED GROSS ENERGY S | AVINGS (UNIT SAVINGS) |
| Demand savings | $\Delta kW = 0.505$ $\Delta kW_{SP} = 0.024$ $\Delta kW_{WP} = 0.032$ |
| Annual energy savings | Δ kWh/yr = 163 |
| | Δ MMBtu _{GAS} /yr = 0.100 |
| | Δ MMBtu _{oll} /yr = 0.292 |
| | Δ MMBtu _{PROP} /yr = 0.065 |
| Annual water savings | Δ Gallons/yr = 2,444 |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVINGS A | LGORITHMS (UNIT SAVINGS) |
| Demand savings | $\Delta kW = \Delta kWh/yr / Loads^{233}$ |
| Annual energy savings | ΔkWh/yr = CAP _{EE} × Loads × [(1/IMEF _{BASE}) × (%E _{MACHINE_B} + %E _{DHW_B} × %DHW _{ELEC} + %E _{DRYER_B} × %Dryer _{ELEC} × %Dried) – (1/IMEF _{EE}) × (%E _{MACHINE_EE} + %E _{DHW_EE} × %DHW _{ELEC} + %E _{DRYER_EE} × %Dryer _{ELEC} × %Dried)] ΔMMBtu _{GAS} /yr = CAP _{EE} × Loads × [(1/IMEF _{BASE}) × (%E _{DHW_B} × %DHW _{GAS} + %E _{DRYER_B} × %Dryer _{GAS} x %Dried) – (1/IMEF _{EE}) × (%E _{DHW_EE} × %DHW _{GAS} + %E _{DRYER_EE} × %Dryer _{GAS} x %Dried)] × 0.003412 / Eff _{GAS} ΔMMBtu _{OIL} /yr = CAP _{EE} × Loads × [(1/IMEF _{BASE}) × (%E _{DHW_B} × %DHW _{OIL}) – (1/IMEF _{EE}) × (%E _{DHW_EE} × %DHW _{OIL}] × 0.003412 / Eff _{OIL} ΔMMBtu _{PROP} /yr = CAP _{EE} × Loads × [(1/IMEF _{BASE}) × (%E _{DHW_B} × %DHW _{PROP} + %E _{DRYER_B} × %Dryer _{PROP} x %Dried) – (1/IMEF _{EE}) × (%E _{DHW_EE} × %DHW _{PROP} + %E _{DRYER_B} × %Dryer _{PROP} x %Dried) – (1/IMEF _{EE}) × (%E _{DHW_EE} × %DHW _{PROP} + %E _{DRYER_EE} × %Dryer _{PROP} x %Dried)] × 0.003412 / Eff _{PROP} |
| Annual water savings | Δ Gallons/yr = CAP _{EE} × (IWF _{BASE} – IWF _{EE}) × Loads |

 ²³² ENERGY STAR[®] Clothes Washers Key Product Criteria: <u>http://www.energystar.gov/index.cfm?c=clotheswash.pr_crit_clothes_washers</u>
 ²³³ Demand savings algorithm assumes that the average load time is one hour.

| Clothes Washer (CW) | | |
|------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| Definitions | Unit | = 1 clothes washer |
| | %DHW _{ELEC} | = Percentage of homes with electric domestic hot water |
| | %Dryer _{ELEC} | = Percentage of homes with electric dryers |
| | IMEF BASE | = Rated Integrated Modified Energy Factor for baseline model |
| | | (ft³/kWh/cycle) |
| | IMEFEE | = Rated Integrated Modified Energy Factor for ENERGY STAR [®] model |
| | | (ft³/kWh/cycle) |
| | Loads | = Washer loads per year (cycles/yr) |
| | %Е _{масніле_в} | = Percentage of baseline clothes washer system energy used for washer |
| | | machine |
| | %Emachine_ee | = Percentage of ENERGY STAR [®] clothes washer system energy used for washer machine |
| | %Едны в | = Percentage of baseline clothes washer system energy used for water |
| | 55 | heating |
| | %E _{dhw ee} | = Percentage of ENERGY STAR [®] clothes washer system energy used for |
| | - | water heating |
| | %E _{dryer_b} | = Percentage of baseline clothes washer system energy used for the clothes |
| | _ | dryer |
| | %E _{dryer_ee} | = Percentage of ENERGY STAR [®] clothes washer system energy used for the |
| | | clothes dryer |
| | %Dried | = Percentage of washed loads that are dried in dryer (%) |
| | CAPEE | = Rated capacity of the installed clothes washer (ft ³) |
| | %DHW _{GAS} | = Percentage of homes with natural gas water heating (%) |
| | %DHW _{OIL} | = Percentage of homes with oil water heating (%) |
| | %DHW _{PROP} | = Percentage of homes with propane or LNG water heating (%) |
| | %Dryer _{GAS} | = Percentage of homes with gas clothes dryers (%) |
| | %Dryer _{PROP} | = Percentage of homes with propane or LNG clothes dryers (%) |
| | Eff _{GAS} | = Efficiency of existing gas-fired water heaters (%) |
| | Eff _{OIL} | = Efficiency of existing oil-fired water heaters (%) |
| | Eff _{PROP} | = Efficiency of existing propane-fired water heaters (%) |
| | IWF _{BASE} | = Rated integrated water factor for the baseline clothes washer |
| | | (gallons/cycle/ft ³) |
| | IWFEE | = Rated integrated water factor for the ENERGY STAR [®] clothes washer |
| | | (gallons/cycle/ft ³) |
| | 0.003412 | = Conversion factor: 0.003412 MMBtu per kWh |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTIONS | | |
| Baseline Efficiency | Standard cloth | es washer. The current federal standard requires a minimum IMEF of 1.29 and |
| | IWF of 8.4 for t | op loading machines and IMEF of 1.84 and IWF of 4.7 for front loading |
| | machines. The | se standards are valid for clothes washers manufactured on or after March 7, |
| | 2015. | |
| Efficient Measure | ENERGY STAR® | -certified clothes washer. |

| Clothes Washer (CW) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|-----|----------------------|--|--|
| PARAMETER VALUES (DEEMED) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Measure | CAPEE | IMEF BASE | IMEF | EE E | ff _{GAS} | Eff _{PF} | ROP | Eff _{OIL} | Life (yı | rs) | Cost (\$) | | |
| | 3.81 ²³⁴ | 1.66 ²³⁵ | 66 ²³⁵ 2.61 ² | | 75% ²³⁶ | | 236 | 75% ²³⁶ | 11 ²³⁷ | ' | 92 ²³⁸ | | |
| | %Emachine_ | в %Ема | CHINE_EE | %Edry | ′ER_B | %Ε _Γ | DRYER_EE | % | Edhw_b | 0 | %E _{DHW_ee} | | |
| | 8% ²³⁹ | 8% | 6 ²³⁹ | 61% | 239 | 69 | 69% ²³⁹ | | 31% ²³⁹ | | 23% ²³⁹ | | |
| ENERGY STAR [®] CW | IWF_{BASE} | IW | Ϋ́F _{EE} | %DHV | V _{elec} | %D | HW _{GAS} | %D | %DHW _{PROP} | | %DHW _{OIL} | | |
| | 5.92 ²³⁵ | 3.9 | 3 ²³⁴ | 23% | 240 | 10 |)%²⁴⁰ | g |)%²⁴⁰ | | 53% ²⁴⁰ | | |
| | Loads | %Dried | 1 %C | Dryer _{ELEC} | er _{ELEC} %Dry | | ver _{GAS} %Dryer | | %RES | | %COMM | | |
| | 322.4 ²⁴¹ | 100% ²⁴ | ² 89 | 9.6% ²⁴³ | 7.8 | ²⁴³ 2.6% | | % | 99% ²⁴⁴ | | 1% ²⁴⁴ | | |
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Measure | ISR | RRE | | RR _D | C | Fs | CF | w | FR | | SO | | |
| ENERGY STAR [®] CW | 100% ²⁴⁵ | 100%2 | ¹⁶ 1 | 00% ²⁴⁶ | 4.8% | 6% ²⁴⁷ | 6.3% | 6 ²⁴⁸ | 56.7% ²⁴⁹ | | 3.3% ²⁴⁹ | | |

- ²³⁸ Based on program data 7/1/2016-6/30/2017 and shelf survey of non-program units conducted in August 2017. Average price of program unit: \$647. Weighted average price of surveyed non-program unit using assumed sales shares: \$555.
- ²³⁹ Illinois Statewide TRM Effective 06/01/15.

²³⁴ NMR Group, Inc., Efficiency Maine Appliance Rebate Program Evaluation Overall Report – FINAL, July 18, 2014, Table 2-13.

²³⁵ Weighted average IMEF and IWF of Federal Standard rating for Front Loading and Top Loading units. Weighting is based upon the relative top- versus front-loading percentage of available non-ENERGY STAR[®] product in the CEC database.

²³⁶ EMT assumes 75 percent efficiency for existing fossil fuel-fired water heaters.

²³⁷ NMR Group, Inc., Efficiency Maine Appliance Rebate Program Evaluation Overall Report – FINAL, July 18, 2014, Table 2-18.

²⁴⁰ Ibid., Table 2-15.

²⁴¹ Ibid., Table 2-14.

²⁴² NMR Group, Inc., Efficiency Maine Appliance Rebate Program Evaluation Overall Report – FINAL, July 18, 2014, p. 40: consistent with implicit assumption used in the savings algorithm for clothes washers.

²⁴³ Ibid., Table 2-16.

²⁴⁴ EFI program data analysis Sept 23, 2015. Since commercial sector participation is currently very low, no adjustments to savings estimates are being made at this time.

²⁴⁵ NMR Group, Inc., Efficiency Maine Appliance Rebate Program Evaluation Overall Report – FINAL, July 18, 2014, p. 38.

²⁴⁶ Realization rates are 100 percent since savings estimates are based on evaluation results.

 ²⁴⁷ Derived from summer peak demand NMR Group, Inc., Efficiency Maine Appliance Rebate Program Evaluation Overall Report – FINAL, July 18, 2014, p. 45.
 ²⁴⁸ Derived from winter peak demand Memo provided to supplement NMR Group, Inc., Efficiency Maine Appliance Rebate Program Evaluation Overall Report – FINAL, July 18, 2014.

²⁴⁹ NMR Group, Inc., Efficiency Maine Appliance Rebate Program Evaluation Overall Report – FINAL, July 18, 2014, Table 2-41

| Low-flow Kitchen Aera | tor (LFKA, LILFKA) | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Last Revised Date | 7/1/2017 | | | | | | | | | |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | | | | | | | | | | |
| Description | This measure involves the replacement of existing kitchen aerators with low-flow aerators. | | | | | | | | | |
| | he savings assume all fixtures are served by electric resistance water heaters. | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Energy Impact | Electric (additional impacts include: water) | | | | | | | | | |
| Sector | Residential | | | | | | | | | |
| Program(s) | Appliance Rebate Program | | | | | | | | | |
| End-Use | Domestic Hot Water | | | | | | | | | |
| Decision Type | Retrofit | | | | | | | | | |
| DEEMED GROSS ENERGY | SAVINGS (UNIT SAVINGS) | | | | | | | | | |
| Demand Savings ²⁵⁰ | HPWH: $\Delta kW = 0.007$ $\Delta kW_{WP} = 0.00008$ $\Delta kW_{SP} = 0.00005$ | | | | | | | | | |
| | ERWH: $\Delta kW = 0.023$ $\Delta kW_{WP} = 0.0003$ $\Delta kW_{SP} = 0.0002$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Annual Energy Savings ²⁵¹ | HPWH: ΔkWh/yr = 79 ERWH: ΔkWh/yr = 283 | | | | | | | | | |
| Annual Water Savings | $\Delta Gallons/yr = 2.696$ | | | | | | | | | |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVINGS | ALGORITHMS (UNIT SAVINGS) | | | | | | | | | |
| Demand Savings | $\Delta kW = \Delta kWh/vr \times F_{ED}$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Annual Energy Savings | $\Delta kWh/vr = N_{opl} \times t \times 365 \times (GPM_{BASE} - GPM_{FE}) / N_{fixtures} \times \rho_{H20} \times C\rho_{H20} / 3.412 \times (T_{opl} - T_{in}) / RE_{FWH}$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Annual Water Savings | $\Delta Gallons/vr = N_{pol} \times t \times 365 \times (GPM_{RASE} - GPM_{EE}) / N_{fivtures}$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Definitions | Unit = 1 kitchen aerator | | | | | | | | | |
| | F_{ED} = Energy to Demand ratio (kW/kWh) | | | | | | | | | |
| | N_{pol} = Number of people per home (person/home) | | | | | | | | | |
| | t = Total time all kitchen aerators are used per day per person (min/day/person) | | | | | | | | | |
| | GPM _{BASE} = Baseline flowrate of kitchen aerator (gallon/min) | | | | | | | | | |
| | GPM _{EE} = Measure flowrate of kitchen aerator (gallon/min) | | | | | | | | | |
| | N _{fixtures} = Number of kitchen sinks (sinks/home) | | | | | | | | | |
| | T _{pou} = Temperature at point of use (°F) | | | | | | | | | |
| | T _{in} = Temperature of water mains (°F) | | | | | | | | | |
| | RE _{EWH} = Recovery efficiency of electric hot water heater | | | | | | | | | |
| | ρ_{H20} = Density of water (8.33 lbs per gallons) | | | | | | | | | |
| | Cp _{H20} = Specific heat of water: 1 Btu/lb/°F | | | | | | | | | |
| | 3,412 = Conversion: 3,412 Btu per kWh | | | | | | | | | |
| | 365 = Conversion: 365 days per year | | | | | | | | | |
| | 60 = Conversion: 60 minutes per hour | | | | | | | | | |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTION | NS | | | | | | | | | |
| Baseline Efficiency | Federal standards set a maximum 2.2 GPM for faucet aerators manufactured after January 1, 1994. ²⁵² | | | | | | | | | |
| Efficient Measure | High-efficiency Kitchen Faucet Aerator (1.5 GPM) | | | | | | | | | |

²⁵⁰ For consumer products where water heater type is unknown savings listed in TRM for ERWH are multiplied by 21% in effRT to account for the percent of water heaters that are electric resistance. NMR, 2015 Maine Residential Baseline Study.

²⁵¹ For consumer products where water heater type is unknown savings listed in TRM for ERWH are multiplied by 21% in effRT to account for the percent of water heaters that are electric resistance. NMR, 2015 Maine Residential Baseline Study.

²⁵² Federal Standard, Code of Federal Regulations, Title 10, Part 430, Subpart C.

| Low-flow Kitchen Aerator (LFKA, LILFKA) | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|---|---------------------|------------------|-----|--------------------------|--|--|
| PARAMETER VALUES (DEE | EMED) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Measure | t | N _{ppl} | | GPM _{BASE} | PM _{BASE} GPM _{EE} N _{fixtures} Life (| | Life (y | rs) | Cost (\$) | | |
| Low-flow Kitchen Aerator | 4.51 ²⁵³ | 2.3 | 4 ²⁵⁴ | 2.2 ²⁵² | 1.5 | 1 ²⁵⁵ | 10 ²⁵ | 6 | Actual ²⁵⁷ | | |
| | F _{ED} | | | T _{pou} | T _{in} | REEV | VH | | | | |
| ERWH | 0.000080 | 0.0000040258 | | 02253 | FO 9 259 | 0.98 | 260 | | | | |
| HPWH | 0.000080 | 13 | | 93 | 50.8 | 3.5 ² | 61 | | | | |
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Measure | ISR | R | R _E | RR _D | CFs | CFw | FF | 2 | SO | | |
| Retail | 100% ²⁶² | 100 | % ²⁶³ | 100% ²⁶³ | 0.8% ²⁶⁴ | 1.2% ²⁶⁴ | 25% | 265 | 0% ²⁶⁶ | | |
| Low Income | 100% ²⁶⁷ | 100% ²⁶⁸ | | 100%268 | 0.8% ²⁶⁹ | 1.2% ²⁶⁹ | 0%2 | 270 | 0% ²⁷¹ | | |

²⁶⁴ See Appendix B: Coincidence and Energy Period Factors.

 ²⁵³ The Cadmus Group and Opinion Dynamics, MEMD: Showerhead and Faucet Aerator Meter Study, June 2013. Prepare for Michigan Evaluation Working Group.
 ²⁵⁴ American Community Survey, 2011 1-year estimate for population of Maine: http://www.census.gov/acs/www/

²⁵⁵ Assumed value: 1 kitchen faucet per home.

²⁵⁶ NREL, National Residential Efficiency Measure Database.

²⁵⁷ Total cost. For direct install it includes installation cost.

²⁵⁸ State of Pennsylvania, Technical Reference Manual, Rev date: March 2015, p. 126.

²⁵⁹ Standard Building America DHW Schedules, weighted average by population of all Maine water main sources.

²⁶⁰ NREL, Building America Research Benchmark Definition, 2009, p.12, <u>http://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy10osti/47246.pdf</u>

²⁶¹ Program heat pump water heater required energy factor.

²⁶² EMT assumes that all purchased units are installed (i.e. ISR = 100%). This is consistent with the MA 2013-2015 TRM.

²⁶³ This measure has not yet been evaluated. Until the next program impact evaluation, EMT assumes 100 percent realization rate.

²⁶⁵ Program not yet evaluated, assume default FR of 25%.

²⁶⁶ Program not yet evaluated, assume default SO of 0%.

²⁶⁷ EMT assumes that all received units are installed (i.e. ISR = 100%). This is consistent with the MA 2013-2015 TRM.

²⁶⁸ This measure has not yet been evaluated. Until the next program impact evaluation, EMT assumes 100 percent realization rate.

²⁶⁹ See Appendix B: Coincidence and Energy Period Factors.

²⁷⁰ Program assumes no free ridership for Low Income programs.

²⁷¹ Program not yet evaluated, assume default SO of 0%.

| Low-flow Bathroom Aer | ator (LFBA, LILFBA) | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Last Revised Date | 7/1/2017 | | | | | | | | | |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | | | | | | | | | | |
| Description | PA WaterSense Low-flow Aerator. This measure involves the replacement of existing | | | | | | | | | |
| | athroom aerators with low-flow aerators. The savings assume all fixtures are served by | | | | | | | | | |
| | ectric resistance water heaters. | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Energy Impact | Electric (additional impacts include: water) | | | | | | | | | |
| Sector | Residential | | | | | | | | | |
| Program(s) | Appliance Rebate Program | | | | | | | | | |
| End-Use | Domestic Hot Water | | | | | | | | | |
| Decision Type | Retrofit | | | | | | | | | |
| DEEMED GROSS ENERGY S | AVINGS (UNIT SAVINGS) | | | | | | | | | |
| Demand Savings ²⁷² | HPWH: $\Delta kW = 0.001$ $\Delta kW_{WP} = 0.000003$ $\Delta kW_{SP} = 0.000002$ | | | | | | | | | |
| | ERWH: $\Delta kW = 0.002$ $\Delta kW_{WP} = 0.000009$ $\Delta kW_{SP} = 0.000007$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Annual Energy Savings ²⁷³ | HPWH: Δ kWh/yr = 8 ERWH: Δ kWh/yr = 29 | | | | | | | | | |
| Annual Water Savings | ΔGallons/yr = 333 | | | | | | | | | |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVINGS A | ALGORITHMS (UNIT SAVINGS) | | | | | | | | | |
| Demand Savings | $\Delta kW = \Delta kWh/yr \times F_{ED}$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Annual Energy Savings | $\Delta kWh/yr = N_{ppl} \times t \times 365 \times (GPM_{BASE} - GPM_{EE}) / N_{fixture} \times \rho_{H20} \times Cp_{H20} / 3,412 \times (T_{pou} - T_{in}) / C_{Pou} + C_{$ | | | | | | | | | |
| | RE _{EWH} | | | | | | | | | |
| Annual Water Savings | Δ Gallons/yr = N _{ppl} × t × 365 × (GPM _{BASE} – GPM _{EE}) / N _{fixture} | | | | | | | | | |
| Definitions | Unit = 1 bathroom aerator | | | | | | | | | |
| | F _{ED} = Energy to demand ratio (kW/kWh) | | | | | | | | | |
| | GPM _{BASE} = Baseline flowrate of bathroom aerator (gallon/min) | | | | | | | | | |
| | GPM _{EE} = Measure flowrate of bathroom aerator (gallon/min) | | | | | | | | | |
| | t = Total time all bathroom aerators are used per day per person (min/day/person) | | | | | | | | | |
| | N _{ppl} = Number of people per home (person/home) | | | | | | | | | |
| | N _{fixture} = Number of bathroom sinks (sinks/home) | | | | | | | | | |
| | T _{pou} = Temperature at point of use (°F) | | | | | | | | | |
| | T _{in} = Temperature of water mains (°F) | | | | | | | | | |
| | RE _{EWH} = Recovery efficiency of electric hot water heater | | | | | | | | | |
| | ρ_{H20} = Density of water (8.33 lbs per gallons) | | | | | | | | | |
| | Cp _{H20} = Specific heat of water: 1 Btu/lb/°F | | | | | | | | | |
| | 3,412 = Conversion: 3,412 Btu per kWh | | | | | | | | | |
| | 365 = Conversion: 365 days per year | | | | | | | | | |
| | 60 = Conversion: 60 minutes per hour | | | | | | | | | |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTIONS | | | | | | | | | | |
| Baseline Efficiency | Federal standards set a maximum 2.2 GPM for faucet aerators manufactured after January | | | | | | | | | |
| Efficient Measure | LISEDA WaterSense High-efficiency Bathroom Sink Faucet (1 E GDM) ²⁷⁵ | | | | | | | | | |
| | USERA WATERSEISE HIGH-EITCHEICY BACHTOUTH SHIK FAULET (1.5 GPIVI) | | | | | | | | | |

²⁷⁴ Federal Standard, Code of Federal Regulations, Title 10, Part 430, Subpart C.

²⁷² For consumer products where water heater type is unknown savings listed in TRM for ERWH are multiplied by 21% in effRT to account for the percent of water heaters that are electric resistance. NMR, 2015 Maine Residential Baseline Study.

²⁷³ For consumer products where water heater type is unknown savings listed in TRM for ERWH are multiplied by 21% in effRT to account for the percent of water heaters that are electric resistance. NMR, 2015 Maine Residential Baseline Study.

²⁷⁵ http://www.epa.gov/WaterSense/docs/faucet_spec508.pdf

| Low-flow Bathroom Aerator (LFBA, LILFBA) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------|-----------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|---------------------|------|---------------------|--------------------|-------|--------------------------|
| PARAMETER VALUES (DEEL | MED) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Meas | sure | t | | 1 | N _{ppl} | N _{fixture} | | GPM BASE | | GPM _{EE} | Life | (yrs) | Cost (\$) |
| Low-flow Bathroom Aer | ator | 1.65^{2} | 276 | 2.3 | 34 ²⁷⁷ | 2.96 ²⁷ | 8 | 2.2 ²⁷⁴ | | 1.5 ²⁷⁵ | 10 | 279 | actual |
| | | FE | | | | T _{pou} | T _{in} | | | RI | EWH | | |
| ER | WH | 0 0000001 2280 | | 280 | 9C ²⁷⁶ | | | FO 9281 | | 0.98 ²⁸² | | | |
| HP | WH | 0.00008013-00 | | | 80 | | 50.8 | | | 3.5 ²⁸³ | | | |
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Measure | - | SR | SR RR _E | | | RR_{D} | | CFs | | -w | FR | | SO |
| Retail | 10 | 0% ²⁸⁴ 10 | | 0% ²⁸⁵ | 5 1 | .00% ²⁸⁶ | (| 0.3% ²⁸⁷ | 0.42 | 6 ²⁸⁸ | 25% ²⁸⁹ | | 0% ²⁹⁰ |
| Low Income | 10 | 00% ²⁹¹ 10 | | 0% ²⁹² | ² 1 | .00% ²⁹³ | (| 0.3% ²⁹⁴ | 0.49 | 6 ²⁹⁵ | 0% ²⁹⁶ | | 0% ²⁹⁷ |

²⁷⁶ The Cadmus Group and Opinion Dynamics, MEMD: Showerhead and Faucet Aerator Meter Study, June 2013. Prepare for Michigan Evaluation Working Group.

²⁷⁷ American Community Survey, 2011 1 year estimate for population of Maine: http://www.census.gov/acs/www/

²⁷⁸ 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey (RECS). Microdata for CT, ME, NH, RI, and VT single-family detached homes; assuming 1.5 faucets per full bathroom and 1 per half bathroom.

²⁷⁹ NREL, National Residential Efficiency Measure Database.

²⁸⁰ State of Pennsylvania, Technical Reference Manual, Rev date: March 2015, p. 126.

²⁸¹ Standard Building America DHW Schedules, weighted average by population of all Maine water main sources.

²⁸² NREL, Building America Research Benchmark Definition, 2009, p.12, http://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy10osti/47246.pdf

²⁸³ Program heat pump water heater required energy factor.

²⁸⁴ EMT assumes that all purchased units are installed (i.e. ISR = 100%). This is consistent with the MA 2013-2015 TRM.

²⁸⁵ This measure has not yet been evaluated. Until the next program impact evaluation, EMT assumes 100 percent realization rate.
²⁸⁶ Ibid.

²⁸⁷ See Appendix B: Coincidence and Energy Period Factors.

²⁸⁸ Ibid.

²⁸⁹ Program not yet evaluated, assume default FR of 25%.

²⁹⁰ Program not yet evaluated, assume default SO of 0%.

²⁹¹ EMT assumes that all purchased units are installed (i.e. ISR = 100%). This is consistent with the MA 2013-2015 TRM.

 ²⁹² This measure has not yet been evaluated. Until the next program impact evaluation, EMT assumes 100 percent realization rate.
 ²⁹³ Ibid.

²⁹⁴ See Appendix B: Coincidence and Energy Period Factors.

²⁹⁵ Ibid.

²⁹⁶ Program assumes no free ridership for Low Income programs.

²⁹⁷ Program not yet evaluated, assume default SO of 0%.

| Low-flow Showerhead | I (LFSH) | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Last Revised Date | 3/1/2015 | | | | | | | | | | |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Description | EPA WaterSense Low-flow Showerhead. This measure involves the replacement of existing showerheads with low-flow showerheads. The savings assume all fixtures are served by electric resistance water heaters. | | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Energy Impact | ectric (additional impacts include: water) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sector | Residential | | | | | | | | | | |
| Program(s) | Appliance Rebate Program | | | | | | | | | | |
| End-Use | Domestic Hot Water | | | | | | | | | | |
| Decision Type | Retrofit | | | | | | | | | | |
| DEEMED ENERGY SAVIN | GS (UNIT SAVINGS) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Demand Savings ²⁹⁸ | HPWH: $\Delta kW = 0.007$ $\Delta kW_{WP} = 0.00005$ $\Delta kW_{SP} = 0.00003$ ERWH: $\Delta kW = 0.012$ $\Delta kW_{WP} = 0.0001$ $\Delta kW_{SP} = 0.00006$ | | | | | | | | | | |
| Annual Energy Savings ²⁹⁹ | HPWH: ΔkWh/yr = 84 ERWH: ΔkWh/yr = 150 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Annual Water Savings | $\Delta Gallons/yr = 1,200$ | | | | | | | | | | |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVING | S ALGORITHMS (UNIT SAVINGS) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Demand Savings | $\Delta kW = \Delta kWh/yr \times F_{ED}$ | | | | | | | | | | |
| Annual Energy Savings | $\label{eq:linear_state} \begin{split} \Delta k W h/yr = N_{ppl} \times t \times 365 \times N_{showers} / N_{fixture} \times (GPM_{BASE} - GPM_{EE}) \times \rho_{H20} \times C_{H20} / 3,412 \times (T_{pou} - T_{in}) \\ / RE_{EWH} \end{split}$ | | | | | | | | | | |
| Annual Water Savings | Δ Gallons/yr = N _{ppl} × t × 365 × N _{showers} / N _{fixture} × (GPM _{BASE} – GPM _{EE}) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Definitions | Unit = 1 efficient showerhead | | | | | | | | | | |
| | F _{ED} = Energy to demand ratio (kW/kWh) | | | | | | | | | | |
| | GPM _{BASE} = Baseline flowrate of showerhead (gallon/min) | | | | | | | | | | |
| | GPM _{EE} = Measure flowrate of showerhead (gallon/min) | | | | | | | | | | |
| | t = Length of shower (minutes/shower) | | | | | | | | | | |
| | N _{ppl} = Number of people per home (person/home) | | | | | | | | | | |
| | N _{showers} = Number of showers per person per day (showers/person/day) | | | | | | | | | | |
| | N _{fixture} = Number of showerheads (showerhead/home) | | | | | | | | | | |
| | T _{pou} = Temperature at point of use (°F) | | | | | | | | | | |
| | T _{in} = Temperature of water mains (°F) | | | | | | | | | | |
| | RE _{EWH} = Recovery efficiency of electric hot water heater | | | | | | | | | | |
| | ρ _{H20} = Density of water: 8.33 lbs per gallons | | | | | | | | | | |
| | C _{H20} = Specific heat of water: 1 Btu/lb/°F | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 3,412 = Conversion: 3,412 Btu per kWh | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 365 = Conversion: 365 day per year | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 60 = Conversion: 60 minutes per hour | | | | | | | | | | |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTIO | NS | | | | | | | | | | |
| Baseline Efficiency | Federal standards set a maximum 2.5 GPM for all showerheads manufactured after January 1, 1994. ³⁰⁰ | | | | | | | | | | |
| Efficient Measure | USEPA WaterSense High-efficiency Showerhead (2.0 GPM) ³⁰¹ | | | | | | | | | | |

²⁹⁸ For consumer products where water heater type is unknown savings listed in TRM for ERWH are multiplied by 21% in effRT to account for the percent of water heaters that are electric resistance. NMR, 2015 Maine Residential Baseline Study.

²⁹⁹ For consumer products where water heater type is unknown savings listed in TRM for ERWH are multiplied by 21% in effRT to account for the percent of water heaters that are electric resistance. NMR, 2015 Maine Residential Baseline Study.

 $^{^{\}rm 300}$ Federal Standard, Code of Federal Regulations, Title 10, Part 430, Subpart C.

³⁰¹ Water-Efficient Showerheads, WaterSense: An EPA Partnership Program, <u>http://www.epa.gov/WaterSense/products/showerheads.html</u>

| Low-flow Showerhead | l (LFSH) | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| PARAMETER VALUES (D | EEMED) | | | | | | | | |
| Measure | t | N _{ppl} | N_{showers} | N _{fixture} | GPM BASE | GPMEE | T _{pou} | Life (yrs) | Cost (\$) |
| Low-flow Showerhead | 7.83 ³⁰² | 2.34 ³⁰³ | 0.61 ³⁰⁴ | 1.7 ³⁰⁵ | 2.5 ³⁰⁰ | 2.0 ³⁰⁶ | 101 ³⁰⁷ | 10 ³⁰⁸ | actual |
| Measure | T _{in} | REEWH | F | Đ | | | | | |
| ERWH | FO 9309 | 0.98310 | 0.0000 | 001 2311 | | | | | |
| HPWH | 50.8 | 3.5 ³¹² | 0.00008 | 5013 | | | | | |
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | | | |
| Measure | ISR | | RRE | RR□ |) | CFs | CFw | FR | SO |
| Retail | 100% ³¹ | ³ 1 | 00% ³¹⁴ | 100% | ³¹⁴ C | .5% ³¹⁵ | 0.8% ³¹⁵ | 25% ³¹⁶ | 0% ³¹⁷ |
| Low Income | 100%31 | 8 1 | 00% ³¹⁹ | 100% | ³¹⁹ C | .5% ³²⁰ | 0.8% ³²⁰ | 0% ³²¹ | 0% ³²² |

³⁰² The Cadmus Group and Opinion Dynamics, MEMD: Showerhead and Faucet Aerator Meter Study, June 2013. Prepare for Michigan Evaluation Working Group. ³⁰³ American Community Survey, 2011 1 year estimate for population of Maine: http://www.census.gov/acs/www/

 ³⁰⁴ The Cadmus Group and Opinion Dynamics, MEMD: Showerhead and Faucet Aerator Meter Study, June 2013. Prepare for Michigan Evaluation Working Group.
 ³⁰⁵ 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey (RECS). Number of full bathrooms for single family detached home, microdata for CT, ME, NH, RI, and Vermont.
 ³⁰⁶ Measure flowrate: http://www.epa.gov/WaterSense/products/showerheads.html

³⁰⁷ The Cadmus Group and Opinion Dynamics, MEMD: Showerhead and Faucet Aerator Meter Study, June 2013. Prepare for Michigan Evaluation Working Group. ³⁰⁸ NREL, National Residential Efficiency Measure Database.

³⁰⁹ Standard Building America DHW Schedules, weighted average by population of all Maine water main sources.

³¹⁰ NREL, Building America Research Benchmark Definition, 2009, p.12, <u>http://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy10osti/47246.pdf</u>

³¹¹ State of Pennsylvania, Technical Reference Manual, Rev date: March 2015, p.126.

³¹² Program heat pump water heater required energy factor.

³¹³ EMT assumes that all purchased units are installed (i.e. .ISR = 100%). This is consistent with the MA 2013-2015 TRM.

³¹⁴ This measure has not yet been evaluated. Until the next program impact evaluation, EMT assumes 100 percent realization rate.

³¹⁵ See Appendix B: Coincidence and Energy Period Factors.

³¹⁶ Program not yet evaluated, assume default FR of 25%.

³¹⁷ Program not yet evaluated, assume default SO of 0%.

³¹⁸ EMT assumes that all received units are installed (i.e. ISR = 100%). This is consistent with the MA 2013-2015 TRM.

³¹⁹ This measure has not yet been evaluated. Until the next program impact evaluation, EMT assumes 100% realization rate.

³²⁰ See Appendix B: Coincidence and Energy Period Factors.

 $^{^{\}rm 321}\,{\rm Program}$ assumes no free ridership for Low Income programs.

³²² Program not yet evaluated, assume default SO of 0%.

| | valve (ISV, LILISH) | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Last Revised Date | 7/1/2017 | | | | | | | | | |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | | | | | | | | | | |
| Description | This measure involves the replacement of existing showerheads with thermostatically controlled low-flow showerheads that shutoff water when set temperature is reached until restarted. Savings are achieved by eliminating wasted hot water between the time hot water reaches the shower and when the shower begins to be used. The savings assume all fixtures are served by electric resistance water heaters. | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Energy Impact | Electric (additional impacts include: water) | | | | | | | | | |
| Sector | Residential | | | | | | | | | |
| Program(s) | Appliance Rebate Program | | | | | | | | | |
| End-Use | Domestic Hot Water | | | | | | | | | |
| Decision Type | Retrofit | | | | | | | | | |
| DEEMED ENERGY SAVIN | GS (UNIT SAVINGS) | | | | | | | | | |
| Demand Savings ³²³ | HPWH: $\Delta kW = 0.010$ $\Delta kW_{WP} = 0.0008$ $\Delta kW_{SP} = 0.0005$ ERWH: $\Delta kW = 0.035$ $\Delta kW_{WP} = 0.0003$ $\Delta kW_{SP} = 0.0002$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Annual Energy Savings ³²⁴ | HPWH: ΔkWh/yr = 123 ERWH: ΔkWh/yr = 442 | | | | | | | | | |
| Annual Water Savings | $\Delta Gallons/yr = 3,153$ | | | | | | | | | |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVINGS | S ALGORITHMS (UNIT SAVINGS) | | | | | | | | | |
| Demand Savings | $\Delta kW = \Delta kWh/yr \times F_{ED}$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Annual Energy Savings | $ \begin{array}{l} \Delta kWh/yr = N_{ppl} \times 365 \times N_{showers} \ / \ N_{fixture} \times \rho_{H20} \times C_{H20} \ / \ 3,412 \times (t \times (GPM_{BASE} - GPM_{EE}) \times (T_{pou} - T_{in}) \\ + \ GPM_{BASE} \times t_W/60 \times (T_{WH} - T_{in}) \) \ / \ RE_{EWH} \end{array} $ | | | | | | | | | |
| Annual Water Savings | $\Delta Gallons/yr = N_{ppl} \times 365 \times N_{showers} / N_{fixture} \times (t \times (GPM_{BASE} - GPM_{EE}) + GPM_{BASE} \times t_W/60)$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Definitions | Unit= 1 efficient showerhead GPM_{BASE} = Baseline flowrate of showerhead (gallon/min) GPM_{EE} = Measure flowrate of showerhead (gallon/min)t= Length of shower (minutes/shower) t_w = Seconds of wasted hot water between when water gets hot and user steps in N_{ppl} = Number of people per home (person/home) $N_{showers}$ = Number of showers per person per day (showers/person/day) $N_{fixture}$ = Number of showerheads (showerhead/home) T_{pou} = Temperature at point of use (°F) T_{in} = Temperature of water mains (°F) T_{WH} = Water heater set temperature (°F) RE_{EWH} = Recovery efficiency of electric hot water heater ρ_{H20} = Density of water: 8.33 lbs per gallons C_{H20} = Specific heat of water: 1 Btu/lb/°F $3,412$ = Conversion: $3,412$ Btu per kWh 365 = Conversion: 365 day per year 60 = Conversion: 60 minutes per hour | | | | | | | | | |

³²³ For consumer products where water heater type is unknown savings listed in TRM for ERWH are multiplied by 21% in effRT to account for the percent of water heaters that are electric resistance. NMR, 2015 Maine Residential Baseline Study.

³²⁴ For consumer products where water heater type is unknown savings listed in TRM for ERWH are multiplied by 21% in effRT to account for the percent of water heaters that are electric resistance. NMR, 2015 Maine Residential Baseline Study.

| Thermostatic Shower | Valve (TSV | , LILF | FSH) | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|------------------------------------|---|------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTIONS | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Baseline Efficiency | Federal st 1994. ³²⁵ | ederal standards set a maximum 2.5 GPM for all showerheads manufactured after January 1, 1994. ³²⁵ | | | | | | | | | |
| Efficient Measure | USEPA Wa | aterSe | ense l | High-effic | ciency Sh | owerl | head v | with The | rmostatic Co | ntrol Valve (2 | L.5 GPM) ³²⁶ |
| PARAMETER VALUES (D | ARAMETER VALUES (DEEMED) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Measure | N _{ppl} | Nsho | wers | N _{fixture} | t | GPN | I BASE | GPMEE | T _{pou} | T _{in} | tw |
| Low-flow Showerhead | 2.34 ³²⁷ | 0.61 | 1 ³²⁸ | 1.7 ³²⁹ | 7.83 ³³⁰ | 2.5 ³³¹ | | 1.5 ³³² | 101 ³³³ | 50.8 ³³⁴ | 59 ³³⁵ |
| Measure | Т _{WH} | REH | PWH | F | Đ | | | | | Life (yrs) | Cost (\$) |
| ERWH | 126.2 ³³⁶ | 0.98 | 8 ³³⁷ | 0.0000 | 8013 ³³⁸ | | | | | 10 ³³⁹ | \$30 ³⁴⁰ |
| HPWH | | 3.5 | 341 | | | | | | | | + |
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Measure | ISR | | | RRE | RRD | | (| CFs | CFw | FR | SO |
| Retail | 70% ³⁴² | | 10 | 0% ³⁴³ | 100% | 344 | 0.5% ³⁴⁵ | | 0.8% ³⁴⁶ | 25% ³⁴⁷ | 0% ³⁴⁸ |
| Low Income | 70% ³⁴⁹ | | 10 | 00% ³⁵⁰ 100% | | 351 | 0.5 | 5% ³⁵² | 0.8% ³⁵³ | 0% ³⁵⁴ | 0% ³⁵⁵ |

³²⁵ Federal Standard, Code of Federal Regulations, Title 10, Part 430, Subpart C.

³²⁶ http://thinkevolve.com/wp-content/uploads/2014/11/evolve-1.5-gpm-Single-Function-Showerhead-with-ShowerStart-TSV.pdf

³²⁷ American Community Survey, 2011 1 year estimate for population of Maine: http://www.census.gov/acs/www/

³²⁸ Ibid.

³²⁹ 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey (RECS). Number of full bathrooms for single family detached home, microdata for CT, ME, NH, RI, and Vermont.

³³⁰ The Cadmus Group and Opinion Dynamics, MEMD: Showerhead and Faucet Aerator Meter Study, June 2013. Prepare for Michigan Evaluation Working Group.
³³¹ Federal Standard, Code of Federal Regulations, Title 10, Part 430, Subpart C.

³³² Measure flowrate: <u>http://www.epa.gov/WaterSense/products/showerheads.html</u>

³³³ The Cadmus Group and Opinion Dynamics, MEMD: Showerhead and Faucet Aerator Meter Study, June 2013. Prepare for Michigan Evaluation Working Group.
³³⁴ Standard Building America DHW Schedules, weighted average by population of all Maine water main sources.

³³⁵ State of Pennsylvania, Technical Reference Manual, Rev date: March 2015, p. 126.

³³⁶ NMR Group, Inc., Efficiency Maine Appliance Rebate Program Evaluation Overall Report – FINAL, July 18, 2014

³³⁷ NREL, Building America Research Benchmark Definition, 2009, p.12, <u>http://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy10osti/47246.pdf</u>

³³⁸ State of Pennsylvania, Technical Reference Manual, Rev date: March 2015, p. 126.

³³⁹ 2010 Ohio TRM: conservative estimate based on review of TRM assumptions from other states.

³⁴⁰ Based on program data. \$40 TSV showerhead and \$10 non-WaterSense showerhead.

³⁴¹ Program heat pump water heater required energy factor.

³⁴² Assumes same ISR as mailed kits.

³⁴³ This measure has not yet been evaluated. Until the next program impact evaluation, EMT assumes 100 percent realization rate.

³⁴⁴ This measure has not yet been evaluated. Until the next program impact evaluation, EMT assumes 100 percent realization rate.

³⁴⁵ See Appendix B: Coincidence and Energy Period Factors.

³⁴⁶ See Appendix B: Coincidence and Energy Period Factors.

³⁴⁷ Program not yet evaluated, assume default FR of 25%.

³⁴⁸ Program not yet evaluated, assume default SO of 0%.

³⁴⁹ ISR based on results of customer survey conducted in October 2016 by CLEAResult for kits mailed April-Sept 2016.

³⁵⁰ This measure has not yet been evaluated. Until the next program impact evaluation, EMT assumes 100 percent realization rate.

³⁵¹ This measure has not yet been evaluated. Until the next program impact evaluation, EMT assumes 100 percent realization rate.

³⁵² See Appendix B: Coincidence and Energy Period Factors.

³⁵³ See Appendix B: Coincidence and Energy Period Factors.

³⁵⁴ Program assumes no free ridership for Low Income programs

³⁵⁵ Program not yet evaluated, assume default SO of 0%.

| /1/2017 |
|--|
| |
| |
| NERGY STAR [®] -certified Heat Pump Water Heaters (HPWH). This measure involves the purchase and stallation of a new ENERGY STAR [®] certified HPWH in place of a new code-compliant or standard ficiency electric water heater. Savings are counted only for the improved water heater efficiency. ³⁵⁶ list of certified ENERGY STAR [®] heat pump water heaters is available at: ttp://downloads.energystar.gov/bi/gplist/Water Heaters Product List.xls |
| ectric |
| esidential, Commercial |
| ppliance Rebate Program |
| omestic Hot Water |
| ew Construction, Replace on Burnout |
| AVINGS (UNIT SAVINGS) |
| kW _{SP} = 0.195 kW _{WP} = 0.417 |
| kWh/yr = 2,115 |
| LGORITHMS (UNIT SAVINGS) |
| kW _{SP} = ∆kW _{SP} /y _{Evaluated} *Scaling factor Demand - Summer Peak kW savings from a HPWH eld-evaluation study scaled for a COP of 3.35 kW _{WP} = ∆kW _{WP} /y _{Evaluated} *Scaling factor Demand - Winter Peak kW savings from a HPWH eld-evaluation study scaled for a COP of 3.35 |
| kWh/yr = Δ kWh/yr _{Evaluated} *Scaling factor Energy - Annual kWh savings from a HPWH field- valuation study scaled for a COP of 3.35 ey assumptions include: Average tank size for the Efficiency Maine Trust (EMT) in-program HPWHs is approximately 50 gallons. ³⁵⁷ Typical HPWH set-point temperature in Maine households is expected to be comparable to the set-point temperature in Massachusetts and Rhode Island households metered. ³⁵⁸ Most, if not all, of EMT's in-program HPWHs will be installed in conditioned or partially conditioned spaces (i.e. regulated temperature and/or humidity), as was the case for most HPWH units studied in the evaluation ³⁵⁹ Realized energy savings scale by COP and water use as follows: caling factor energy = (1/COP _{BASE} – 1/COP _{EE})/(1/COP _{BASE_STUDY} – 1/COP _{STUDY}) * WU _{ME} /WU _{STUDY} = 254 Realized demand savings scale by COP as follows: caling factor demand = (1/COP _{BASE} – 1/COP _{EE})/(1/COP _{BASE_STUDY} – 1/COP _{STUDY}) = 1.116 Vhere \circ COP _{BASE} study = 0.904 – coefficient of performance for standard 50 gallon water heater |
| |

³⁵⁶ Interactive impacts on cooling, heating and humidification energy are assumed to be negligible due to the short cooling season in Maine and the expectation that most water heaters are not located in conditioned spaces. EMT will re-evaluate this assumption as more data and evaluation results are available.

³⁵⁷ NMR Group, Inc., Efficiency Maine Appliance Rebate Program Evaluation Overall Report – FINAL, July 18, 2014, Table 2-31; at least 89 percent of HPWH units in EMT program are 50 gallons units (with the remaining 11 percent with unknown tank size). Steven Winter Associates Inc., Heat Pump Water Heaters, Evaluation of Field Installed Performance, June 26, 2012 included 10 units with 50 gallon tanks; 1 unit with a 60 gallon tank; and 3 units with 80 gallon tanks.

³⁵⁸ NMR Group, Inc., Efficiency Maine Appliance Rebate Program Evaluation Overall Report – FINAL, July 18, 2014, Table 2-35; the average set-point temperature in Maine is 126.2°F, compared to the average set-point temperature of 127.6°F found in Steven Winter Associates Inc., Heat Pump Water Heaters, Evaluation of Field Installed Performance, June 26, 2012.

³⁵⁹ Considering Maine's climate (winter), it can be anticipated that most, if not all, properly installed HPWHs will be installed in fully or partially conditioned spaces. ³⁶⁰ Federal Standard, Code of Federal Regulations, Title 10, Part 430, Subpart C.

| Heat Pump Water Heater (HPWH) | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--------------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------------|---------|----------------------|-----------|------------------|--|--|
| COP_{STUDY} = 2.35 – average rated coefficient of performance of water heaters included in the study³⁶¹ COP_{BASE} = 0.945 – coefficient of performance for standard 50 gallon water heater COP_{EE} = 3.35 – Average EF of a participating Heat Pump Water Heater based on PY 2017 sales data for the time period of 3/23/17 - 4/7/17 (reflects new models entering the marketplace) WU_{ME} = 51.1³⁶² WU_{STUDY} = 45.5³⁶³ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTIONS | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Baseline Efficiency Standard 50-gallon residential water heater with an AHRI Energy Factor = 0.945. ³⁶⁴ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Efficient Measure | Efficient Measure ENERGY STAR [®] -certified model (EF = 3.11 ³⁶⁵) | | | | | | | | | | |
| PARAMETER VALUES (DE | EEMED) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Measure | %F | RES | %C | %COMM | | rs) | | Cost (\$) | | | |
| ENERGY STAR [®] HPWH | 989 | 6 ³⁶⁶ | 29 | % ³⁶⁶ | 13 ³⁶⁷ | | 1,028 ³⁶⁸ | | 8 ³⁶⁸ | | |
| | A 1.3 A / h. / | | 41.347 | | A L-) A / | | S | caling | factors | | |
| | ΔKWN/ | Y Evaluated | ΔKVVs | P, Evaluated | $\Delta KVV_{WP, EV}$ | aluated | Ene | rgy | Demand | | |
| ENERGY STAR [®] HPWH | 1,6 | 687 | 0. | 175 | 0.374 | 1 | 1.2 | 54 | 1.116 | | |
| IMPACT FACTORS | • | | | | | | | | | | |
| Measure | ISR | RR _E | RR _D | CFs | CFw | FR | | | SO | | |
| ENERGY STAR [®] HPWH | $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | | | | | | | | | |

³⁶⁴ Federal Standard, Code of Federal Regulations, Title 10, Part 430, Subpart C. EF = 0.945 value is calculated for 50-gallon water heater.

³⁶⁵ Average EF of a 50 gallon Heat Pump Water Heater based on PY 2016 sales data for the time period of 3/3/16-4/7/16.

³⁶⁷ NREL, National Residential Efficiency Measure Database.

³⁶¹ Steven Winter Associates Inc., Heat Pump Water Heaters, Evaluation of Field Installed Performance, June 26, 2012, Table 1.

³⁶² For Maine, 51.1 GPD is used based on average number of people per household (Nppl): 16.286 x Nppl + 13. The relationship is used in NY and Indiana TRMs and is based on: Lutz, James D., Liu, Xiaomin, McMahon, James E., Dunham, Camilla, Shown, Leslie J.McCure, Quandra T; "Modeling patterns of hot water use in households;" LBL-37805 Rev. Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, 1996.

³⁶³ Average GPD found in the Steven Winter Associates Inc., Heat Pump Water Heaters, Evaluation of Field Installed Performance, June 26, 2012, was 45.5 GPD.

³⁶⁶ EFI program data analysis Sept 23, 2015. Since commercial sector participation is currently very low, no adjustments to savings estimates are being made at this time.

³⁶⁸ Incremental cost for 40-50-gallon unit, based on water heater cost market research conducted by CleaResult, August 2017. Weighted average MSRP for heat pump water heaters rebated between Feb-Oct 2017 is \$1,379 and average retail price for top selling electric resistance water heater is \$352 based on November 2017 shelf prices from Home Depot, and Lowe's.

³⁶⁹ NMR Group, Inc., Efficiency Maine Appliance Rebate Program Evaluation Overall Report – FINAL, July 18, 2014, p. 60.

³⁷⁰ Realization rates are 100 percent since savings estimates are based on evaluation results.

³⁷¹ Peak coincidence factors for this measure are embedded in the evaluated peak demand impacts.

³⁷² NMR Group, Inc., Efficiency Maine Appliance Rebate Program Evaluation Overall Report – FINAL, July 18, 2014, Table 2-41.

| Wi-Fi Enabled Thermostat (WIFITSTAT) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------------------|---|------------------------------|----------------|------------------|---------------------|-------------|----------|--------------------|--------|----------------------|--|--|
| Last Revised Date | evised Date 2/1/2016 (new measure) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Description | This measure existing non- | e involves the programmab | e purchase a le thermosta | nd inst at. | allation | of a nev | w Wi-Fi E | nabled | Thermosta | t in p | lace of an | | |
| Primary Energy Impact | Electric, Hea | lectric, Heating Oil, Propane, Natural Gas | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sector | Residential, | esidential, Commercial | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Program(s) | Appliance R | ppliance Rebate Program | | | | | | | | | | | |
| End-Use | Heating and | leating and Cooling | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Decision Type | Retrofit | etrofit | | | | | | | | | | | |
| DEEMED GROSS ENERGY | Y SAVINGS (U | AVINGS (UNIT SAVINGS) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Demand Savings | $\Delta kW = 0$ | $\Delta kW = 0$ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Annual Energy Savings | Electric Savir | lectric Savings: $\Delta kWh/yr = 2$ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Fuel Savings | AMMBtu/yr = 11.4 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Fuel Savings | el Savings by Type: Δ MMBtu _{GAS} = 1.2 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | $\Delta MMBtu_{PROP} = 0.8$ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | $\Delta MMBtu_{OIL} = 8.5$ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | $\Delta MMBtu_{KERO} = 0.8$ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVINGS ALGORITHMS (UNIT SAVINGS) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Demand Savings | $\Delta kW = 0$ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Annual Energy Savings | Electric: ∆kW | /h/yr = CSF | x %COOL x | SEER | x CL + ⊦ | ISF x HO | C / 0.003 | 412 x 9 | %FUEL | | | | |
| | Fuel: ΔMMB | tu/yr = HSF | x HC | Z | \MMBt | u _{FUEL} = | ΔMMBtι | u/yr x 🤅 | %FUEL | | | | |
| Definitions | Unit = | 1 Wi-Fi ena | bled therm | ostat | | | | | | | | | |
| | CSF = | Cooling Sav | ings Factor | (%) | | | | | | | | | |
| | %COOL = | % of homes | s that have | centra | al air co | ndition | ers | | | | | | |
| | SEER = | Seasonal er | nergy-efficie | ency ra | atio for | centra | l air cono | ditione | er (Btu/Wa | tt-hr | .) | | |
| | CL = | Annual Coo | ling Load (I | MMBt | u) | | | | | | | | |
| | HSF = | Heating Sav | ings Factor | (%) | | | | | | | | | |
| | HC = | Annual Hea | iting Consu | mptio | n (MMI | Btu) | | | | | | | |
| | 3,412 = | Conversion | : 3,412 Btu | per k\ | Nh | | | | | | | | |
| | %FUEL = | Home neat | ing tuel dist | ributi | on exci | uding v | vood, co | al and | other | | | | |
| Basolino Efficiency | Standard no | n nrogramn | aabla tharm | octat | | | | | | | | | |
| Efficient Measure | Standard non-programmable thermostat | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| PARAMETER VALUES (D | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Measure | CSF | %(00) | CI | F | ISF | нс | | %FUFI | Life (v | rs) | Cost (\$) | | |
| Wi-Fi Thermostat | 16% ³⁷³ | 2.4% ³⁷⁴ | 6.4 ³⁷⁴ | 10 | % ³⁷⁵ | 114 | - 374 T: | able F- | 1 10 ³⁷ | 6 | \$249 ³⁷⁷ | | |
| IMPACT FACTORS | 10/0 | 2.175 | 0. 1 | 1 10 | .,. | | | | - 10 | | Υ <u></u> 'J | | |
| Measure | ISR | RR⊧ | RR | D | C | Fs | CFv | N | FR | | SO | | |
| ENERGY STAR [®] HPWH | 100% ³⁷⁸ | 100%379 | , 100% | 379 | 100 | % ³⁸⁰ | 100% | 380 | 25% ³⁸¹ | | 0% ³⁸² | | |
| L | | 1 | | | • | | 1 | | | I | | | |

³⁷³ Based on electricity savings per thermostat from Cadmus Group, Wi-Fi Programmable Controllable Thermostat Pilot Program Evaluation, September 2012. ³⁷⁴ NMR Group, Maine Single-Family Residential Baseline Study, September 14, 2015.

³⁷⁵ Based on gas savings per thermostat from Cadmus Group, Wi-Fi Programmable Controllable Thermostat Pilot Program Evaluation, September 2012.

³⁷⁶ GDS Measure Life Report, Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures, Prepared for the New England State Program Working Group, June 2007. Table 1.

³⁷⁷ Based on online pricing from multiple retailers as of February 2016.

³⁷⁸ This measure has not yet been evaluated. Until the next program impact evaluation, EMT assumes 100 percent ISR.

³⁷⁹ This measure has not yet been evaluated. Until the next program impact evaluation, EMT assumes 100 percent Realization Rate.

³⁸⁰ Peak coincidence factors for this measure are embedded in the evaluated peak demand impacts.

³⁸¹ Program not yet evaluated, assume default FR of 25%.

Home Energy Savings Program

 $^{^{\}rm 382}$ Program not yet evaluated, assume default SO of 0%.

| Custom Path (T1,T2) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|--|---|----------------------|-----------------|----------|---------------|------------|------------|----------|-------------------|--|--|--|
| Last Revised Date | 7/1/2016 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Description | The HESP c | ne HESP custom path involves multiple energy-efficiency measures that achieve at least 20 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | percent ene | ercent energy savings compared to baseline annual energy consumption. ³⁸³ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Energy Impacts | Electric, Na | tural Gas, He | ating Oil, I | Propane, Kero | sene, | Wood, Pelle | et | | | | | | |
| Sector | Residential | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Program(s) | Home Ener | gy Savings Pr | ogram | | | | | | | | | | |
| End-Use | Lighting, He | eating, Coolir | ng, Domest | ic Hot Water, | Refrig | geration, Ap | pliances | | | | | | |
| Decision Type | Retrofit | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| DEEMED GROSS ENERG | <u>GY SAVINGS (</u> | UNIT SAVIN | GS) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Demand savings | $\Delta kW = NA$ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Annual energy savings | Annual ene | rgy savings a | lepend on | project-specifi | c data | а. | | | | | | | |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVING | GS ALGORITH | IMS (UNIT SA | AVINGS) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Demand savings | $\Delta kW = NA^{38}$ | 34 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Annual Energy savings | If fuel know | fuel known: Δ MMBtu _{FUEL} = Δ MMBTU | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | If fuel is un | f fuel is unknown: Δ kWh = Δ MMBTU × %FUEL × (1,000 / 3.412) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Δ MMBtu _{FUE} | $_{\rm EL} = \Delta MMBTL$ | J × %FUEL | | | | | | | | | | |
| Definitions | Unit | = HESP cu | stom proje | ect | | | | | | | | | |
| | Δ MMBTU | = Annual (| energy sav | ings predicted | lusing | g the Real H | ome Ana | lyzer (RH | IA) b | uilding | | | |
| | | simulation | n software | (MMBtu) or c | other a | approved m | odeling s | oftware | | | | | |
| | %FUEL | = Home h | eating fue | distribution e | exclud | ling coal and | l other to | be used | whe | en fuel | | | |
| | | type is un | known ³⁸⁵ | | | | | | | | | | |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTIC | ONS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Baseline Efficiency | The baselin | e case is the | baseline a | nnual energy | consu | umption of t | he existir | ng home, | befo | ore any | | | |
| | energy-effic | ciency measu | ires are ins | stalled. | | | | | | | | | |
| Efficient Measure | The high-ef | ficiency case | involves n | nultiple measu | ires th | hat reduce k | baseline a | annual er | nergy | / | | | |
| | consumptio | on by a minin | num of 20 | percent. The e | energy | y savings es | timate is | based or | ו bui | lding | | | |
| | energy simulation using the RHA or other approved modeling software. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| PARAMETER VALUES | | | | | | | _ | | | | | | |
| Measure | | | | | | | | Life (yı | rs) | Cost (\$) | | | |
| | U | %FUEL | | | | | | 0.0397 | , | | | | |
| Custom Path | Model | Table E-1 | | | | | | 20387 | | Actual | | | |
| | 100 | | | 65 | <u> </u> | CГ | - | D | <u> </u> | | | | |
| Measure | ISK 1000/ | KK _E | | | | | + | K / 390 | ┣── | SU 00(201 | | | |
| Custom Path | 100% | 100%300 | NA | 10.7509 | | /9./303 | 25% | 0 | | U% ³⁹¹ | | | |

³⁸³ While not limited to any specific energy-efficiency measure, it is expected that a vast majority of projects in the HESP Custom Path will be weatherization measures that do not perfectly align with the prescriptive weatherization measures offered as part of the Home Energy Savings Program.

³⁸⁴ While there may be some net kW impact associated with Custom Path measures, they are expected to be insignificant in magnitude, and therefore assumed to be negligible.

³⁸⁵ Heating fuel distribution is used to allocate savings to different fuels because the vast majority of the HESP Custom Path projects are expected to be weatherization measures, which predominantly impact the home's heating energy consumption.

³⁸⁶ Annual energy savings are determined on a case-by-case basis by performing building energy simulations using the Real Home Analyzer (RHA) or other approved modeling software.

 ³⁸⁷ 20 years is assumed by EMT. Prescriptive building envelope insulation measures in the Home Energy Savings Program have measure lives of 25 years. To account for any projects that are not weatherization measures and potentially have shorter measure lives, the measure life was adjusted down 20 percent to 20 years.
 ³⁸⁸ The measure has not yet been evaluated. Until the next program impact evaluation, EMT assumes 100 percent realization rate.

³⁸⁹ Since most custom path projects will be related to weatherization and savings will be for heating and cooling, the same factors as Ductless Heat Pump are assumed.

³⁹⁰ Program not yet evaluated, assume default FR of 25%.

³⁹¹ Program not yet evaluated, assume default SO of 0%.

| Air Sealing (AA, LAA) | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Last Revised Date | 7/1/2016 | | | | | | | | | | |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Description | This measure in | nvolves sealing air leaks in v | vindows, doors, roof, crawl spaces and outside walls | | | | | | | | |
| | resulting in dec | creased heating and cooling | loads. | | | | | | | | |
| Energy Impacts | Electric, Natura | al Gas, Oil, Propane, Wood, | Kerosene | | | | | | | | |
| Sector | Residential | | | | | | | | | | |
| Program(s) | Home Energy S | Savings Program | | | | | | | | | |
| End-Use | Heating, Coolir | ng | | | | | | | | | |
| Decision Type | on Type Retrofit | | | | | | | | | | |
| DEEMED GROSS ENERGY SAVINGS (UNIT SAVINGS) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Demand savings $\Delta kW_{SP} = 0.023$ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | $\Delta kW_{WP} = 0.000$ | | | | | | | | | | |
| Annual energy | For electric hea | at: | If fuel is unknown distribute savings based on % Fuel | | | | | | | | |
| savings | ∆kWh = 2953 | | Δ kWh = 36 | | | | | | | | |
| | | $\Delta MMBtu_{GAS} = 0.914$ | | | | | | | | | |
| | For non-electri | r non-electric heat: $\Delta MMBtu_{OIL} = 6.601$ | | | | | | | | | |
| | $\Delta kWh = 12.816$ | 5 | $\Delta MMBtu_{WOOD} = 1.219$ | | | | | | | | |
| | Δ MMBtu = 10. | 033 | $\Delta MMBtu_{PROP} = 0.609$ | | | | | | | | |
| | | | $\Delta MMBtu_{KERO} = 0.609$ | | | | | | | | |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVIN | GS ALGORITHMS | S (UNIT SAVINGS) | | | | | | | | | |
| Demand savings $\Delta kW = \Delta kWh_{COOL} \times LSF_{SP} \times %COOL$ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Annual Energy | hergy For known fuel and non-electric heat: Δ MMBtu _{FUEL} = Δ MMBtu _{HEAT} / EFF | | | | | | | | | | |
| savings | $\Delta kWh = \Delta MME$ | Btu _{cool} / EER x 1000 x %COO | DL | | | | | | | | |
| | For known elec | ctric heat: Δ kWh = Δ MMBtu | u _{HEAT} / 0.003412 / EFF + ΔMMBtu _{COOL} / EER x 1000 x | | | | | | | | |
| | %COOL | | | | | | | | | | |
| | For unknown f | uel: Δ MMBtu _{FUEL} = Δ MMBtu | J _{HEAT} / EFF x %FUEL | | | | | | | | |
| | $\Delta kWh = \Delta MME$ | Btu _{HEAT} / 0.003412 / EFF x % | FUEL + Δ MMBtu _{COOL} / EER x 1000 x %COOL | | | | | | | | |
| Definitions | Unit | = Air sealing project | | | | | | | | | |
| | $\Delta MMBtu_{HEAT}$ | = Reduction in annual hea | t loss due to improved insulation and associated air | | | | | | | | |
| | | sealing derived from temp | perature bin analysis using Typical Meteorological Year | | | | | | | | |
| | | 3 (TMY3) | | | | | | | | | |
| | $\Delta MMBtu_{COOL}$ | = Reduction in annual hea | t gain due to improved insulation and associated air | | | | | | | | |
| | | sealing derived from temp | perature bin analysis using TMY3 | | | | | | | | |
| | EFF | = Efficiency factor of repre | esentative heating system (Btu/Btu) | | | | | | | | |
| | EER | = Energy-efficiency ratio o | f representative cooling system (Btu/Wh) | | | | | | | | |
| | %FUEL | = Home heating fuel distri | bution excluding coal and other ³⁹² | | | | | | | | |
| | LSF _{SP} | = Summer peak load shap | e factor (kW/kWh/yr) | | | | | | | | |
| | %COOL = Equivalent percentage of homes with full electric cooling equipment (%) | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 0.003412 | = Conversion factor (MME | Btu/kWh) | | | | | | | | |
| 1000 = Conversion factor (kW/MW) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTI | ONS | | | | | | | | | | |
| Baseline Efficiency | The baseline ca | ase is the existing home bef | ore the air-sealing measures are installed. The | | | | | | | | |
| | program contra | actor measures the baseline | e leakage rate (CFM50 _{PRE}) during the home audit. | | | | | | | | |
| Efficient Measure | The high-efficie | ency case is the home after | the air-sealing measures are installed. The program | | | | | | | | |
| | contractor mea | asures the post-upgrade lea | kage rate (CFM50 $_{POST}$) after the air-sealing installation | | | | | | | | |
| | is complete. | | | | | | | | | | |

 $^{^{\}rm 392}$ Heating fuel distribution is used when heating system fuel is unknown.

| Air Sealing (AA, LAA) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|------|-------------------|----------|----------------------|-----|---------|------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|
| PARAMETER VALUES (| DEEMED) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Measure | ∆CFM50 | $\Delta \text{MMBtu}_{\text{HEAT}}$ | Δ MMBtu | COOL | %CO0 | DL | LSF_{SP} | | %FUEI | L | Life (yrs) | Cost (\$) |
| Air Sealing | 593 ³⁹³ | 8.077 ³⁹⁴ | 0.238 ³⁹ | 4 | 53% ³⁹ | 95 | 0.00176 ³ | 96 | Table E | -1 | 15 ³⁹⁷ | \$700 ³⁹⁸ |
| Measure | EFF | EER | | | | | | | | | | |
| Air Sealing | 80.5 ³⁹⁹ | 9.8 ⁴⁰⁰ | | | | | | | | | | |
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Measure | ISR | RR _E | RR _D | | CF | 5 | CFw | / | F | R | | SO |
| Air Sealing | | | | | | | | | 25% | 6 ⁴⁰⁴ | | |
| Low Income Air | 100%401 | 100%402 | 100% | 102 | 100% | 403 2 | 100% | 403 | 0% | 406 | | 0% ⁴⁰⁵ |
| Sealing | | | | | | | | | 070 | D | | |

³⁹⁹ Representative heating system efficiency NMR Group, Maine Single-Family Residential Baseline Study, September 14, 2015.

⁴⁰⁰ Average existing cooling efficiency is set to the federal standard of 9.8 according to DOE Federal Test Procedure 10 CFR 430, Appendix F:

- ⁴⁰¹ ISR is 100 percent because deemed savings results are based on evaluated results that include installation verification.
- ⁴⁰² The measure has not yet been evaluated. Until the next program impact evaluation, EMT assumes 100 percent realization rate.

³⁹³ Average participant improvement in cubic feet per minute at 50 pounds per square inch pressure, Opinion Dynamics, Evaluation of the Efficiency Maine Trust PACE, PowerSaver, and RDI Programs – Final Evaluation Report, Volume II: Residential Direct Install Program, October 2013.

³⁹⁴ Heat loss/gain changes based on weighted temperature bin analysis using TMY3 temperature bins for Portland (71.2%), Bangor (23.4%) and Caribou (5.4%) and the factors defined in this TRM entry. CFM50 converted to cubic feet per hour (CFH) at natural pressure using 14.8 LBNL factor. Btu savings estimated using 0.018 Btu/CFH natural/delta temperature* hours per year for each delta temperature. Delta temperature defined as ambient minus 65 degrees F for heating season and 70 degrees F minus ambient temperature for cooling season.

³⁹⁵ Portland Press Herald, <u>http://www.pressherald.com/2014/05/26/put power rates on ice that s a cool idea /</u>. In 2010, an estimated 79 percent of customers in ISO-New England region had room air conditioners. Of the 79 percent, 40 percent of homes have equivalent of whole home A/C (3 window A/Cs); 39 percent of homes have total cooling capacity equivalent of 1 or 2 window A/C units. The remaining 21 percent have no cooling equipment installed. Assuming that the 39 percent of homes with 1 or 2 window units are equivalent to 33% of whole home cooling, the resulting equivalent cooling for all homes is 53 percent (40%*100% + 39%*33%).

³⁹⁶ Based on temperature bin analysis of ductless heat pump seasonal cooling using TMY3 temperature bins.

³⁹⁷ GDS Measure Life Report, Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures, Prepared for the New England State Program Working Group, June 2007, Table 1.

³⁹⁸ Average cost of sampled 2016 projects where attic insulation was itemized separately on contractor invoice (N=51).

http://buildingsdatabook.eere.energy.gov/TableView.aspx?table=7.5.1. The code was effective for products manufactured on or after October 1, 2000. Since the measure life for room air-conditioners is about 9 years, most units will meet this standard.

⁴⁰³ Peak coincidence factors for this measure are embedded in the evaluated peak demand impacts.

⁴⁰⁴ Program not yet evaluated, assume default FR of 25%.

⁴⁰⁵ Program not yet evaluated, assume default SO of 0%.

⁴⁰⁶ Program assumes no free ridership for the LIHESP program

| Attic/Roof Insulation A | Il Fuels (excluding | natural gas) (BA, LBA) | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Last Revised Date | 7/1/2016 | | | | | | | | | |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | | | | | | | | | | |
| Description | This measure involve | s the insulation of the attic f | floor to decrease heating and cooling losses. The | | | | | | | |
| | participant must also | complete a comprehensive | air-sealing project. The total savings below reflect savings | | | | | | | |
| 5 | due to the added att | d attic/roof insulation and improved air sealing. | | | | | | | | |
| Energy Impacts | Electric, Oil, Propai | ne, Wood, Kerosene | | | | | | | | |
| Sector | Residential | | | | | | | | | |
| Program(s) | Home Energy Savir | igs Program | | | | | | | | |
| End-Use | Heating, Cooling | | | | | | | | | |
| Decision Type | | (11.00) | | | | | | | | |
| DEEMED GROSS ENERGY | SAVINGS (UNIT SAV | /INGS) | | | | | | | | |
| Demand savings | $\Delta kW_{SP} = 0.043$ | | | | | | | | | |
| | $\Delta kW_{WP} = 0.0$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Annual energy savings | For electric heat: | lt | f fuel is unknown distribute savings based on % Fuel | | | | | | | |
| | ∆kWh = 5661 | Δ | 1kWh = 75 | | | | | | | |
| | | Δ | AMMBtu _{GAS} = 0 | | | | | | | |
| | For non-electric he | at: Δ | AMMBtu _{oil} = 13.847 | | | | | | | |
| | ∆kWh = 25 | Δ | MMBtu _{wood} = 2.500 | | | | | | | |
| | Δ MMBtu = 19.315 | Δ | MMBtu _{PROP} = 1.346 | | | | | | | |
| | | Δ | MMBtu _{kero} = 1.346 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVINGS | ALGORITHMS (UNI | T SAVINGS) | | | | | | | | |
| Demand savings | $\Delta kW = \Delta kWh_{COOL} x$ | LSF _{SP} x %COOL | | | | | | | | |
| Annual Energy savings | For known fuel and | l non-electric heat: Δ MME | $Btu_{FUEL} = \Delta MMBtu_{HEAT} / EFF$ | | | | | | | |
| | $\Delta kWh = \Delta MMBtu_{CO}$ | DOL / EER x 1000 x %COOL | | | | | | | | |
| | For known electric | heat: $\Delta kWh = \Delta MMBtu_{HEA}$ | _{AT} / 0.003412 / EFF + Δ MMBtu _{COOL} / EER x 1000 x | | | | | | | |
| | %COOL | | | | | | | | | |
| | For unknown fuel: | $\Delta MMBtu_{FUEL} = \Delta MMBtu_{HE}$ | at / EFF x %FUEL | | | | | | | |
| | $\Delta kWh = \Delta MMBtu_{H}$ | _{EAT} / 0.003412 / EFF x %FU | JEL + Δ MMBtu _{COOL} / EER x 1000 x %COOL | | | | | | | |
| Definitions | Unit | = Attic/roof insulation pr | roject | | | | | | | |
| | $\Delta MMBtu_{HEAT}$ | = Reduction in annual he | eat loss due to improved insulation and associated | | | | | | | |
| | | air sealing derived from | temperature bin analysis using TMY3 | | | | | | | |
| | $\Delta MMBtu_{COOL}$ | = Reduction in annual he | eat gain due to improved insulation and associated | | | | | | | |
| | | air sealing derived from | temperature bin analysis using TMY3 | | | | | | | |
| | EFF | = Efficiency factor of rep | presentative heating system (Btu/Btu) | | | | | | | |
| | EER | = Energy-efficiency ratio | of representative cooling system (Btu/Wh) | | | | | | | |
| | %FUEL | = Home heating fuel dist | tribution excluding natural gas, coal and other ⁴⁰⁷ | | | | | | | |
| | LSF _{SP} | = Summer peak load shape factor (kW/kWh/yr) | | | | | | | | |
| | %COOL | = Equivalent percentage | of homes with full electric cooling equipment (%) | | | | | | | |
| | 0.003412 | = Conversion factor (MN | /Btu/kWh) | | | | | | | |
| | 1000 | = Conversion factor (kW) | //MW) | | | | | | | |
| | SQFT | = Area of attic insulation | n (ft ²) assumed in temperature bin analysis | | | | | | | |
| | RVALPRE | = Pre-upgrade attic R-val | lue (ft ² -°F-hr/Btu) assumed in temperature bin | | | | | | | |
| | | analysis | | | | | | | | |
| | RVALPOST | = Post-upgrade attic R-va | alue (ft ² -°F-hr/Btu) assumed in temperature bin | | | | | | | |
| | | analysis | | | | | | | | |

⁴⁰⁷ Heating fuel distribution is used to allocate savings to different fuels because the savings achieved through insulation impact the home's heating energy consumption.

| Attic/Roof Insulation A | Attic/Roof Insulation All Fuels (excluding natural gas) (BA, LBA) | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|----|---------------------------|-----|---------------------|---|------|-----|--------------------|------------|--------------------|
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTION | NS | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Baseline Efficiency The baseline is the existing (pre-upgrade) insulation | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Efficient Measure The high-efficiency case is the upgraded insulation | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| PARAMETER VALUES (DE | EMED) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Measure ΔMMBtu _{HEAT} ΔMMBtu _{COOL} EFF EER %FUEL Life (yrs) Cost (\$) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Attic/Roof Insulation | 15.481 ⁴⁰⁸ | ³ 0.455 ⁴⁰⁸ 80.5 ⁴⁰⁹ 9.8 ⁴¹⁰ Table E-1 25 ⁴¹¹ 2,617 ⁴ | | | | | | | | 617 ⁴¹² | | |
| Measure | SQFT | RVALPRE | RV | AL _{POST} | I | LSF _{SP} | | | %C | 00L | $\Delta 0$ | CFM50 |
| Attic/Roof Insulation | 986 ⁴¹³ | 13.3 ⁴¹⁴ | 50 |).8 ⁴¹⁵ | 0.0 | 0176 ⁴¹⁶ | | | 539 | % ⁴¹⁷ | ··· | 387 ⁴¹⁸ |
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Program | ISR | ISR RR _E RR _D CF _S CF _W FR ^{419, 420} SO ⁴²¹ | | | | | | | | | | |
| HESP | 100%422 | 100%/422 100%/423 100%/424 25% 0% | | | | | | | | | | |
| LIHESP | 100% | 10070 | | 100% | | 1007 | D | 100% | | 0% | 0 | 070 |

⁴¹⁰ Average existing cooling efficiency is set to the federal standard of 9.8 according to DOE Federal Test Procedure 10 CFR 430, Appendix F:

http://buildingsdatabook.eere.energy.gov/TableView.aspx?table=7.5.1. The code was effective for products manufactured on or after October 1, 2000. Since the measure life for room air-conditioners is about 9 years, most units will meet this standard.

⁴¹² Average cost of sampled 2016 projects where attic insulation was itemized separately on contractor invoice (N=58).

⁴¹⁴ Average pre and post cavity R-values from FY16 projects consisting of only air sealing and attic insulation.
⁴¹⁵ Ibid.

⁴¹⁶ Based on temperature bin analysis of ductless heat pump seasonal cooling using TMY3 temperature bins.

⁴⁰⁸ Heat loss/gain changes based on weighted temperature bin analysis using TMY3 temperature bins for Portland (71.2%), Bangor (23.4%) and Caribou (5.4%) and the factors defined in this TRM entry. Heat transfer calculated as area insulated * delta temperature * hours per year for the delta temperature * (1/R value_pre – 1/R value_post). Delta temperature defined as ambient minus 65 degrees F for heating season and 70 degrees F minus ambient temperature for cooling season.
⁴⁰⁹ Representative heating system efficiency based on NMR Group, Maine Single-Family Residential Baseline Study, September 14, 2015.

⁴¹¹ GDS Measure Life Report, Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures, Prepared for the New England State Program Working Group, June 2007; Table 1.

⁴¹³ Representative square footage for a footprint of 32 ft x 40 ft and 23 percent framing. Representative footprint based on average square footage from NMR Residential Baseline Study, 2015 and General Housing Data - All Occupied Units (National) 2013 American Housing Survey.

⁴¹⁷ Portland Press Herald, <u>http://www.pressherald.com/2014/05/26/put power rates on ice that s a cool idea /</u>. In 2010, an estimated 79 percent of customers in ISO-New England region had room air conditioners. Of the 79percent, 40 percent of homes have equivalent of whole home A/C (3 window A/C's); 39 percent of homes have total cooling capacity equivalent of 1 or 2 window A/C units. The remaining 21 percent have no cooling equipment installed. Assuming that the 39 percent of homes with 1 or 2 window units are equivalent to 33% of whole home cooling, the resulting equivalent cooling for all homes is 53 percent (40%*100% + 39%*33%).

⁴¹⁸ Based on FY16 project blower-door tests for projects consisting of only air sealing and attic insulation minus the average CFM50 reduction of air sealing only projects. CFM50 converted to CFH natural using 14.8 LBNL factor. Btu savings estimated using 0.018 Btu/CFH natural/delta temperature* hours per year for each delta temperature.

⁴¹⁹ HESP Program not yet evaluated, assume default FR of 25%.

⁴²⁰ Program assumes no free ridership for the LIHESP program

⁴²¹ Program not yet evaluated, assume default SO of 0%.

⁴²² EMT assumes that all purchased units are installed (i.e. ISR = 100%).

⁴²³ The measure has not yet been evaluated. Until the next program impact evaluation, EMT assumes 100 percent realization rate.

⁴²⁴ Peak coincidence factors for this measure are embedded in the evaluated peak demand impacts.

| Attic/Roof Insulation N | Attic/Roof Insulation Natural Gas (BA, LBA) | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Last Revised Date | 7/1/2016 | | | | | | | | | |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | | | | | | | | | | |
| Description | This measure involve heated with natural g also complete a comp added attic/roof insu | s the insulation of the attic floor to decrease heating and cooling losses in homes gas where the existing attic insulation is rated at R-8 or lower. The participant must prehensive air-sealing project. The total savings below reflect savings due to the lation and improved air sealing. | | | | | | | | |
| Energy Impacts | Natural Gas | | | | | | | | | |
| Sector | Residential | | | | | | | | | |
| Program(s) | Home Energy Savin | gs Program | | | | | | | | |
| End-Use | Heating, Cooling | | | | | | | | | |
| Decision Type | Retrofit | | | | | | | | | |
| DEEMED GROSS ENERGY | SAVINGS (UNIT SAV | /INGS) | | | | | | | | |
| Demand savings | ΔkW_{SP} = 0.069 | | | | | | | | | |
| | $\Delta kW_{WP} = 0.0$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Annual energy savings | Δ kWh = 39 | | | | | | | | | |
| | Δ MMBtu _{NG} = 30.61 | 5 | | | | | | | | |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVINGS | ALGORITHMS (UNI | T SAVINGS) | | | | | | | | |
| Demand savings | $\Delta kW = \Delta kWh_{COOL} x$ | LSF _{SP} x %COOL | | | | | | | | |
| Annual Energy savings | $\Delta MMBtu_{FUEL} = \Delta MN$ | MBtu _{HEAT} / EFF | | | | | | | | |
| | $\Delta kWh = \Delta MMBtu_{cc}$ | DOL / EER x 1000 x %COOL | | | | | | | | |
| Definitions | Unit | = Attic/roof insulation project | | | | | | | | |
| | $\Delta MMBtu_{HEAT}$ | = Reduction in annual heat loss due to improved insulation and associated | | | | | | | | |
| | | air sealing derived from temperature bin analysis using TMY3 | | | | | | | | |
| | $\Delta MMBtu_{COOL}$ | = Reduction in annual heat gain due to improved insulation and associated | | | | | | | | |
| | | air sealing derived from temperature bin analysis using TMY3 | | | | | | | | |
| | EFF | = Efficiency factor of representative heating system (Btu/Btu) | | | | | | | | |
| | EER | = Energy-efficiency ratio of representative cooling system (Btu/Wh) | | | | | | | | |
| | %FUEL | = Home heating fuel distribution excluding coal and other ⁴²⁵ | | | | | | | | |
| | LSF _{SP} | = Summer peak load shape factor (kW/kWh/yr) | | | | | | | | |
| | %COOL | = Equivalent percentage of homes with full electric cooling equipment (%) | | | | | | | | |
| | 0.003412 | = Conversion factor (MMBtu/kWh) | | | | | | | | |
| | 1000 | = Conversion factor (kW/MW) | | | | | | | | |
| | SQFT | = Area of attic insulation (ft ²) assumed in temperature bin analysis | | | | | | | | |
| | RVALPRE | = Pre-upgrade attic R-value (ft ² -°F-hr/Btu) assumed in temperature bin | | | | | | | | |
| | | analysis | | | | | | | | |
| | RVALPOST | = Post-upgrade attic R-value (ft ² -"F-hr/Btu) assumed in temperature bin | | | | | | | | |
| | L | anaiysis | | | | | | | | |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTION | 15 | | | | | | | | | |
| Baseline Efficiency | The baseline is the | existing (pre-upgrade) insulation | | | | | | | | |
| Efficient Measure | The high-efficiency | case is the upgraded insulation | | | | | | | | |

⁴²⁵ Heating fuel distribution is used to allocate savings to different fuels because the savings achieved through insulation impact the home's heating energy consumption.

| Attic/Roof Insulation Natural Gas (BA, LBA) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------|-----------------------------|-----|---------------------|-----|----------------------|-----|---------|------|------------------|------------|--------------------|
| PARAMETER VALUES (DE | EMED) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Measure | $\Delta MMBtu_{HEAT}$ | Δ MMBtu _c | OOL | EFF | E | ER | % | FUEL | %C | 00L | Δ0 | CFM50 |
| Attic/Roof Insulation | 24.645 ⁴²⁶ | 0.725426 | 5 | 80.5 ⁴²⁷ | | 9.8 ⁴²⁸ | Tab | ole E-1 | 539 | % ⁴²⁹ | (1) (1) | 87 ⁴³⁰ |
| Measure | SQFT | RVALPRE | RV | AL _{POST} | | LSF_{SP} | | | Life | (yrs) | C | ost (\$) |
| Attic/Roof Insulation | 986 ⁴³¹ | 8 ⁴³² | 50 |).8 ⁴³³ | 0.0 | 00176 ⁴³⁴ | | | 25 | 5 435 | 2, | 617 ⁴³⁶ |
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Program | ISR | RR _E | | RR_{D} | | CF | 5 | CFw | 1 | FR437 | 7, 438 | SO ⁴³⁹ |
| HESP | 1000/440 | 1000/441 | | 1000/42 | 3 | 100% | 442 | 1000/ | 424 | 25 | % | 00/ |
| LIHESP | 100% | 100% | | 100% | | 100% |) | 100% | | 0% | 6 | 0% |

⁴²⁸ Average existing cooling efficiency is set to the federal standard of 9.8 according to DOE Federal Test Procedure 10 CFR 430, Appendix F:

http://buildingsdatabook.eere.energy.gov/TableView.aspx?table=7.5.1. The code was effective for products manufactured on or after October 1, 2000. Since the measure life for room air-conditioners is about 9 years, most units will meet this standard.

⁴³⁰ Based on FY16 project blower door tests for projects consisting of only air sealing and attic insulation minus the average CFM50 reduction of air sealing only projects. CFM50 converted to CFH natural using 14.8 LBNL factor. Btu savings estimated using 0.018 Btu/CFH natural/delta temperature* hours per year for each delta temperature.

⁴³² Program pre-condition criteria.

 ⁴²⁶ Heat loss/gain changes based on weighted temperature bin analysis using TMY3 temperature bins for Portland (71.2%), Bangor (23.4%) and Caribou (5.4%) and the factors defined in this TRM entry. Heat transfer calculated as area insulated * delta temperature * hours per year for the delta temperature * (1/R value_pre – 1/R value_post). Delta temperature defined as ambient minus 65 degrees F for heating season and 70 degrees F minus ambient temperature for cooling season.
 ⁴²⁷ Representative heating system efficiency based on NMR Group, Maine Single-Family Residential Baseline Study, September 14, 2015.

⁴²⁹ Portland Press Herald, <u>http://www.pressherald.com/2014/05/26/put power rates on ice that s a cool idea /</u>. In 2010, an estimated 79% of customers in ISO-New England region had room air conditioners. Of the 79 percent, 40 percent of homes have equivalent of whole home A/C (3 window A/C's); 39 percent of homes have total cooling capacity equivalent of 1 or 2 window A/C units. The remaining 21percent have no cooling equipment installed. Assuming that the 39 percent of homes with 1 or 2 window units are equivalent to 33 percent of whole home cooling, the resulting equivalent cooling for all homes is 53percent (40%*100% + 39%*33%).

⁴³¹ Representative square footage for a footprint of 32 ft x 40 ft and 23 percent framing. Representative footprint based on average square footage from NMR Residential Baseline Study, 2015 and General Housing Data - All Occupied Units (National) 2013 American Housing Survey.

⁴³³ Average pre and post cavity R-values from FY16 projects consisting of only air sealing and attic insulation.

⁴³⁴ Based on temperature bin analysis of ductless heat pump seasonal cooling using TMY3 temperature bins.

⁴³⁵ GDS Measure Life Report, Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures, Prepared for the New England State Program Working Group, June 2007; Table 1.

⁴³⁶ Average cost of sampled 2016 projects where attic insulation was itemized separately on contractor invoice (N=58).

⁴³⁷ HESP Program not yet evaluated, assume default FR of 25%.

⁴³⁸ Program assumes no free ridership for the LIHESP program

⁴³⁹ Program not yet evaluated, assume default SO of 0%.

⁴⁴⁰ EMT assumes that all purchased units are installed (i.e. ISR = 100%).

⁴⁴¹ The measure has not yet been evaluated. Until the next program impact evaluation, EMT assumes 100 percent realization rate.

⁴⁴² Peak coincidence factors for this measure are embedded in the evaluated peak demand impacts.

| Wall Insulation (BW, | LBW) | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Last Revised Date | 7/1/2016 | | | | | | | | | |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | | | | | | | | | | |
| Description | This measure involve | es the insulation of exterior v | walls to decrease heating and cooling losses. The | | | | | | | |
| | participant must also | o complete a comprehensive | e air-sealing project. The total savings below reflect | | | | | | | |
| | savings due to the ac | ngs due to the added insulation and improved air sealing. | | | | | | | | |
| Energy Impacts | Electric, Natural Ga | c, Natural Gas, Oil, Propane, Wood, Kerosene | | | | | | | | |
| Sector | Residential | | | | | | | | | |
| Program(s) | Home Energy Savir | ngs Program | | | | | | | | |
| End-Use | Heating, Cooling | | | | | | | | | |
| Decision Type | Retrofit | | | | | | | | | |
| DEEMED GROSS ENER | GY SAVINGS (UNIT S | SAVINGS) | | | | | | | | |
| Demand savings | $\Delta kW_{SP} = 0.16$ | | | | | | | | | |
| | $\Delta kW_{WP} = 0.0$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Annual energy | For electric boot | | If fuel is unknown distribute savings based on % | | | | | | | |
| savings | | | Fuel | | | | | | | |
| | ∆kwn = 21,044 | | Δ kWh = 259 | | | | | | | |
| | For non-olostria br | t . | $\Delta MMBtu_{GAS} = 6.512$ | | | | | | | |
| | | edl. | ∆MMBtu _{OIL} = 47.034 | | | | | | | |
| | $\Delta KWN = 91$ | | $\Delta MMBtu_{WOOD} = 8.683$ | | | | | | | |
| | $\Delta N N N B t u = 71.492$ | | $\Delta MMBtu_{PROP} = 4.342$ | | | | | | | |
| | | | Δ MMBtu _{KERO} = 4.342 | | | | | | | |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVIN | GS ALGORITHMS (U | NIT SAVINGS) | | | | | | | | |
| Demand savings | $\Delta kW = \Delta kWh_{COOL} x$ | LSF _{SP} x %COOL | | | | | | | | |
| Annual Energy | For known fuel and | d non-electric heat: Δ MM | $Btu_{FUEL} = \Delta MMBtu_{HEAT} / EFF$ | | | | | | | |
| savings | $\Delta kWh = \Delta MMBtu_{c}$ | COOL / EER x 1000 x %COOL | | | | | | | | |
| _ | For known electric | : heat: $\Delta kWh = \Delta MMBtu_{HF}$ | _{FAT} / 0.003412 / EFF + ΔMMBtu _{COOL} / EER x 1000 x | | | | | | | |
| | %COOL | | | | | | | | | |
| | For unknown fuel: | Δ MMBtu _{FUEL} = Δ MMBtu _{HI} | _{EAT} / EFF x %FUEL | | | | | | | |
| | Δ kWh = Δ MMBtu _F | _{НЕАТ} / 0.003412 / EFF x %FL | JEL + Δ MMBtu _{COOL} / EER x 1000 x %COOL | | | | | | | |
| Definitions | Unit | = Exterior walls insulation | on project | | | | | | | |
| | Δ MMBtu _{HEAT} | = Reduction in annual h | eat loss due to improved insulation and associated | | | | | | | |
| | | air sealing derived from | temperature bin analysis using TMY3 | | | | | | | |
| | | = Reduction in annual h | eat gain due to improved insulation and associated | | | | | | | |
| | | air sealing derived from | temperature bin analysis using TMY3 | | | | | | | |
| | EFF | = Efficiency factor of rep | presentative heating system (Btu/Btu) | | | | | | | |
| | EER | = Energy-efficiency ratio | o of representative cooling system (Btu/Wh) | | | | | | | |
| | %FUEL | = Home heating fuel dis | tribution excluding coal and other ⁴⁴³ | | | | | | | |
| | LSF _{SP} | = Summer peak load shape factor (kW/kWh/yr) | | | | | | | | |
| | %COOL | = Equivalent percentage | e of homes with full electric cooling equipment (%) | | | | | | | |
| | 0.003412 | = Conversion factor (MN | /lBtu/kWh) | | | | | | | |
| | 1000 | = Conversion factor (kW | //MW) | | | | | | | |
| | SQFT | = Area of wall insulation | n (ft ²) assumed in temperature bin analysis | | | | | | | |
| | RVALPRE | = Pre-upgrade R-value (1 | ft ² -°F-hr/Btu) assumed in temperature bin analysis | | | | | | | |
| | RVALPOST | = Post-upgrade R-value | (ft ² -°F-hr/Btu) assumed in temperature bin analysis | | | | | | | |
| | $\Delta CFM50$ | = Change in air leakage | resulting from improved air sealing assumed in | | | | | | | |
| | | temperature bin analysi | S | | | | | | | |

⁴⁴³ Heating fuel distribution is used to allocate savings to different fuels because the savings achieved through insulation impact the home's heating energy consumption.

| Wall Insulation (BW, | LBW) | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------|--|--|---------------------|----|------|--------------------|------------|------------------|-----------------------|----------------|-------------------|
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTI | ONS | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Baseline Efficiency The baseline is the existing (pre-upgrade) insulation | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Efficient Measure The high-efficiency case is the upgraded insulation | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| PARAMETER VALUES (| DEEMED) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Measure | $\Delta MMBtu_{HEAT}$ | Δ MMBtu _{co} | OL | EFF | EE | ER | %F | UEL | %CO | OL | ΔC | FM50 |
| Wall Insulation | 57.551 ⁴⁴⁴ | 1.693444 | 1.693 ⁴⁴⁴ 80.5 ⁴⁴⁵ 9 | | | | | e E-1 | 53% | 447 | 8 | 16 ⁴⁴⁸ |
| Measure | SQFT | RVALPRE | | RVAL POS | т | LS | FSP | Life (yrs) | | (| Cost | (\$) |
| Wall Insulation | 1,148 ⁴⁴⁹ | 3.6 ⁴⁵⁰ | | 16.4 ⁴⁵¹ | | 0.00 | 176 ⁴⁵² | 2 | 5 ⁴⁵³ | 2 | 2,73 | 5 ⁴⁵⁴ |
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Program | ISR | RR _E | | RR_{D} | | CF | s | CFv | v | FR ^{455, 45} | 6 | SO |
| HESP | 100%/457 | 00%/457 100%/458 100%/423 100%/459 100%/424 25% 0%/460 | | | | | | | | | 09/ 460 | |
| LIHESP | 100% | 100% | | 100% | | 1007 | 0 | 100% | | 0% | | 070 |

 ⁴⁴⁴ Heat loss/gain changes based on weighted temperature bin analysis using TMY3 temperature bins for Portland (71.2%), Bangor (23.4%) and Caribou (5.4%) and the factors defined in this TRM entry. Heat transfer calculated as area insulated * delta temperature * hours per year for the delta temperature * (1/R value_pre – 1/R value_post). Delta temperature defined as ambient minus 65 degrees F for heating season and 70 degrees F minus ambient temperature for cooling season.
 ⁴⁴⁵ Representative heating system efficiency based on NMR Group, Maine Single-Family Residential Baseline Study, September 14, 2015.

⁴⁴⁶ Average existing cooling efficiency is set to the federal standard of 9.8 according to DOE Federal Test Procedure 10 CFR 430, Appendix F: <u>http://buildingsdatabook.eere.energy.gov/TableView.aspx?table=7.5.1</u>. The code was effective for products manufactured on or after October 1, 2000. Since the measure life for room air-conditioners is about 9 years, most units will meet this standard.

⁴⁴⁷ Portland Press Herald, <u>http://www.pressherald.com/2014/05/26/put_power_rates_on_ice_that_s_a_cool_idea_/</u>. In 2010, an estimated 79 percent of customers in ISO-New England region had room air conditioners. Of the 79 percent, 40 percent of homes have equivalent of whole home A/C (3 window A/Cs); 39 percent of homes have total cooling capacity equivalent of 1 or 2 window A/C units. The remaining 21 percent have no cooling equipment installed. Assuming that the 39 percent of homes with 1 or 2 window units are equivalent to 33 percent of whole home cooling, the resulting equivalent cooling for all homes is 53 percent (40%*100% + 39%*33%).

⁴⁴⁸ Based on RHA data for HESP1 project in 2010 and 2011. Average CFM50 reduction across all insulation types was 1712 CFM50. From this 514 CFM50 was subtracted to account for the air-sealing reduction based on RDI evaluation and the result divided in half to account for multiple zones per project. 600 CFM50 per insulation zone assumed in the temperature bin analysis. CFM50 converted to CFH natural using 14.8 LBNL factor. Btu savings estimated using 0.018 Btu/CFH natural/delta temperature* hours per year for each delta temperature.

⁴⁴⁹ Representative square footage for a footprint of 32 ft x 40 ft, 1.5 stories, 23 percent framing and 183 square feet of windows and doors. Representative footprint and stories based on average square footage from NMR Residential Baseline Study, 2015 and General Housing Data - All Occupied Units (National) 2013 American Housing Survey.

⁴⁵⁰ Average pre and post cavity R-values from FY16 projects consisting of only air sealing and wall insulation.
⁴⁵¹ Ibid.

⁴⁵² Based on temperature bin analysis of ductless heat pump seasonal cooling using TMY3 temperature bins.

⁴⁵³ GDS Measure Life Report, Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures, Prepared for the New England State Program Working Group, June 2007; Table 1.

⁴⁵⁴ Average cost of sampled 2016 projects where wall insulation was itemized separately on contractor invoice (N=42)

⁴⁵⁵ Program not yet evaluated, assume default FR of 25%.

 $^{^{\}rm 456}$ Program assumes no free ridership for the LIHESP program

 $^{^{\}rm 457}$ EMT assumes that all purchased units are installed (i.e. ISR = 100%).

⁴⁵⁸ The measure has not yet been evaluated. Until the next program impact evaluation, EMT assumes 100% realization rate.

⁴⁵⁹ Peak coincidence factors for this measure are embedded in the evaluated peak demand impacts.

⁴⁶⁰ Program not yet evaluated, assume default SO of 0%.
| Basement Insulation | (BB, LBB) | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Last Revised Date | 7/1/2016 | | | | | | | | |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | | | | | | | | | |
| Description | This measure involve and cooling losses. T savings below reflect | is measure involves the insulation of basement walls or floor exposed to exterior to decrease heating id cooling losses. The participant must also complete a comprehensive air-sealing project. The total wings below reflect savings due to the added insulation and improved air sealing. | | | | | | | |
| Energy Impacts | Electric, Natural Ga | as, Oil, Propane, Wood, Ke | erosene | | | | | | |
| Sector | Residential | | | | | | | | |
| Program(s) | Home Energy Savir | ngs Program | | | | | | | |
| End-Use | Heating, Cooling | | | | | | | | |
| Decision Type | Retrofit | | | | | | | | |
| DEEMED GROSS ENER | GY SAVINGS (UNIT S | AVINGS) | | | | | | | |
| Demand savings | $\Delta kW_{SP} = 0.011$ | | | | | | | | |
| | $\Delta kW_{WP} = 0.0$ | | | | | | | | |
| Annual energy | E la . la . la | | If fuel is unknown distribute savings based on % | | | | | | |
| savings | For electric heat: | | Fuel | | | | | | |
| | $\Delta kWh = 11,175$ | | Δ kWh = 96 | | | | | | |
| | Foundation also takes in the | . | Δ MMBtu _{GAS} = 3.471 | | | | | | |
| | For non-electric ne | 281: | Δ MMBtu _{OIL} = 25.071 | | | | | | |
| | $\Delta KWN = 6$ | | Δ MMBtu _{WOOD} = 4.629 | | | | | | |
| | Δ MMBtu = 38.130 | | $\Delta MMBtu_{PROP} = 2.314$ | | | | | | |
| | | | $\Delta MMBtu_{KERO} = 2.314$ | | | | | | |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVIN | S ALGORITHMS (UNIT SAVINGS) | | | | | | | | |
| Demand savings | $\Delta kW = \Delta kWh_{COOL} x$ | LSF _{SP} x %COOL | | | | | | | |
| Annual Energy | For known fuel and | d non-electric heat: Δ MM | $Btu_{FUEL} = \Delta MMBtu_{HEAT} / EFF$ | | | | | | |
| savings | $\Delta kWh = \Delta MMBtu_{C}$ | 00L / EER x 1000 x %COOL | | | | | | | |
| | For known electric %COOL | heat: $\Delta kWh = \Delta MMBtu_{HI}$ | $_{\sf EAT}$ / 0.003412 / EFF + Δ MMBtu _{COOL} / EER x 1000 x | | | | | | |
| | For unknown fuel: | $\Delta MMBtu_{FUFL} = \Delta MMBtu_{H}$ | _{FAT} / EFF x %FUEL | | | | | | |
| | $\Delta kWh = \Delta MMBtu_{H}$ | _{EAT} / 0.003412 / EFF x %FU | JEL + Δ MMBtu _{COOL} / EER x 1000 x %COOL | | | | | | |
| Definitions | Unit | = Exterior walls insulation | on project | | | | | | |
| | $\Delta MMBtu_{HEAT}$ | = Reduction in annual h | eat loss due to improved insulation and associated | | | | | | |
| | | air sealing derived from | temperature bin analysis using TMY3 | | | | | | |
| | $\Delta MMBtu_{COOL}$ | = Reduction in annual h | eat gain due to improved insulation and associated | | | | | | |
| | | air sealing derived from | temperature bin analysis using TMY3 | | | | | | |
| | EFF | = Efficiency factor of rep | presentative heating system (Btu/Btu) | | | | | | |
| | EER | = Energy-efficiency ratio | o of representative cooling system (Btu/Wh) | | | | | | |
| | %FUEL | = Home heating fuel dis | tribution excluding coal and other ⁴⁶¹ | | | | | | |
| | LSF _{SP} | = Summer peak load sha | ape factor (kW/kWh/yr) | | | | | | |
| | %COOL | = Equivalent percentage | e of homes with full electric cooling equipment (%) | | | | | | |
| | 0.003412 | = Conversion factor (MN | //Btu/kWh) | | | | | | |
| | 1000 | = Conversion factor (kW | //MW) | | | | | | |
| | SQFT | = Area of basement insu | llation (ft ²) assumed in temperature bin analysis | | | | | | |
| | RVAL _{PRE} | = Pre-upgrade R-value (| It ² -"F-hr/Btu) assumed in temperature bin analysis | | | | | | |
| | RVALPOST | = Post-upgrade R-value | (ft ² - ² F-hr/Btu) assumed in temperature bin analysis | | | | | | |

⁴⁶¹ Heating fuel distribution is used to allocate savings to different fuels because the savings achieved through insulation impact the home's heating energy consumption.

| Basement Insulation | (BB, LBB) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|---------|---------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------------|------------|------------------|----------------------|-----------|-------------------|--|
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTI | ONS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Baseline Efficiency | The baseline is | s the existing | (pre | e-upgrade) | ins | ulation | | | | | | | |
| Efficient Measure | The high-effici | iency case is t | he : | upgraded i | nsu | lation | | | | | | | |
| PARAMETER VALUES (| DEEMED) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Measure | $\Delta MMBtu_{HEAT}$ | Δ MMBtu _{co} | OL | EFF | EE | EER % | | %FUEL %C | | OL ΔC | | FM50 | |
| Basement Insulation | 30.677 ⁴⁶² | 0.119463 | | 80.5 ⁴⁶⁴ | | 9.8 ⁴⁶⁵ | | le E-1 | 53% | 466 2 | | 98 ⁴⁶⁷ | |
| Measure | SQFT | RVALPRE | | RVAL POST | | LSF _{SP} | | Life (yrs) | | | Cost (\$) | | |
| Basement Insulation | 432 ⁴⁶⁸ | 1.4 ⁴⁶⁹ | | 15.4 ⁴⁷⁰ | 15.4 ⁴⁷⁰ 0.002 | | 176 ⁴⁷¹ 25 ⁴ | | 5 ⁴⁷² | 2,68 | | 8 ⁴⁷³ | |
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Program | ISR | RR _E | | RR_{D} | | CF | s | CFv | v | FR ^{474, 4} | 475 | SO ⁴⁷⁶ | |
| HESP | 1000/477 | 1000/478 | 200/478 | | | 1000 | / 480 | 100% | 481 | 25% |) | 0% | |
| LIHESP | 100% | 100% | | 100%473 | | 100% | 0 | 100% | | 0% | | 0% | |

⁴⁶⁸ Representative square footage for a footprint of 32 ft x 40 ft with equivalent of 3 ft exposed to ambient temperature (1 ft above grade, 4 ft below grade). Representative footprint based on average square footage from NMR Residential Baseline Study, 2015 and General Housing Data - All Occupied Units (National) 2013 American Housing Survey.

⁴⁶⁹ Average pre- and post-cavity R-values from FY16 projects consisting of only air sealing and basement insulation.

⁴⁷¹ Based on temperature bin analysis of ductless heat pump seasonal cooling using TMY3 temperature bins.

⁴⁷³ Average cost of sampled 2016 projects where attic insulation was itemized separately on contractor invoice (N=42)

⁴⁷⁴ Program not yet evaluated, assume default FR of 25%.

⁴⁶² Heat loss changes based on weighted temperature bin analysis using TMY3 temperature bins for Portland (71.2%), Bangor (23.4%) and Caribou (5.4%) and the factors defined in this TRM entry. Heat transfer calculated as area insulated * delta temperature * hours per year for the delta temperature * (1/R value_pre – 1/R value_post). Delta temperature defined as ambient minus 50 degrees F for heating season.

⁴⁶³ Cooling load for basement assumed to be zero since it is rare for a home in Maine to provide cooling in a basement.

⁴⁶⁴ Representative heating system efficiency based on NMR Group, Maine Single-Family Residential Baseline Study, September 14, 2015.

⁴⁶⁵ Average existing cooling efficiency is set to the federal standard of 9.8 according to DOE Federal Test Procedure 10 CFR 430, Appendix F:

http://buildingsdatabook.eere.energy.gov/TableView.aspx?table=7.5.1. The code was effective for products manufactured on or after October 1, 2000. Since the measure life for room air-conditioners is about 9 years, most units will meet this standard.

⁴⁶⁶ Portland Press Herald, <u>http://www.pressherald.com/2014/05/26/put power rates on ice that s a cool idea /</u>. In 2010, an estimated 79 percent of customers in ISO-New England region had room air conditioners. Of the 79 percent, 40 percent of homes have equivalent of whole home A/C (3 window A/Cs); 39 percent of homes have total cooling capacity equivalent of 1 or 2 window A/C units. The remaining 21 percent have no cooling equipment installed. Assuming that the 39 percent of homes with 1 or 2 window units are equivalent to 33 percent of whole home cooling, the resulting equivalent cooling for all homes is 53% (40%*100% + 39%*33%).

⁴⁶⁷ Based on RHA data for HESP1 project in 2010 and 2011. Average CFM50 reduction across all insulation types was 1712 CFM50. From this 514 CFM50 was subtracted to account for the air sealing reduction based on RDI evaluation and the result divided in half to account for multiple zones per project. 600 CFM50 per insulation zone assumed in the temperature bin analysis. CFM50 converted to CFH natural using 14.8 LBNL factor. Btu savings estimated using 0.018 Btu/CFH natural/delta temperature* hours per year for each delta temperature.

⁴⁷⁰ Ibid.

⁴⁷² GDS Measure Life Report, Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures, Prepared for the New England State Program Working Group, June 2007, Table 1.

 $^{^{\}rm 475}$ Program assumes no free ridership for the LIHESP program

⁴⁷⁶ Program not yet evaluated, assume default SO of 0%.

⁴⁷⁷ EMT assumes that all purchased units are installed (i.e. ISR = 100%).

⁴⁷⁸ The measure has not yet been evaluated. Until the next program impact evaluation, EMT assumes 100% realization rate.

⁴⁷⁹ Ibid.

⁴⁸⁰ Peak coincidence factors for this measure are embedded in the evaluated peak demand impacts.

⁴⁸¹ Ibid.

| Mobile Home Unde | rbelly Insulation (Component of LUB) | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Last Revised Date | 7/1/2016 | | | | | | | | |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | | | | | | | | | |
| Description | This measure involves the installation of insulati | his measure involves the installation of insulation on the underbelly of mobile homes that | | | | | | | |
| | separates conditioned space and unconditioned | space (including unconditioned basements, | | | | | | | |
| | unconditioned garages and crawl spaces). This n | neasure only has heating savings associated with | | | | | | | |
| | it. | | | | | | | | |
| Energy Impacts | Electric, Natural Gas, Oil, Propane, Wood, Keros | ene | | | | | | | |
| Sector | Residential | | | | | | | | |
| Program(s) | Home Energy Savings Program | | | | | | | | |
| End-Use | Heating | | | | | | | | |
| Decision Type | Retrofit | | | | | | | | |
| DEEMED GROSS ENER | GY SAVINGS (UNIT SAVINGS) | | | | | | | | |
| Demand savings | For electric heat: $\Delta kW_{WP} = 3.40$ | | | | | | | | |
| Annual energy | | If fuel is unknown distribute savings based on | | | | | | | |
| savings | For electric heat: | % Fuel | | | | | | | |
| | ∆kWh = 5,962 | Δ kWh = 48 | | | | | | | |
| | | ∆MMBtu _{GAS} = 2.274 | | | | | | | |
| | For non-electric heat: | $\Delta MMBtu_{PROP} = 1.516$ | | | | | | | |
| | ∆MMBtu = 25.270 | ∆MMBtu _{OIL} = 16.729 | | | | | | | |
| | | $\Delta MMBtu_{KERO} = 1.516$ | | | | | | | |
| | | $\Delta MMBtu_{WOOD} = 3.032$ | | | | | | | |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVIN | GS ALGORITHMS (UNIT SAVINGS) | • | | | | | | | |
| Demand savings | $\Delta kW_{WP} = \Delta kWh \times LSF_{WP}$ | | | | | | | | |
| Annual Energy | Δ MMBtu _{HEAT} = ((1/(0.55 x RVAL _{PRE} + 3.9) - 1/(1.0) |) x RVAL _{POST} + 3.9)) x HDD x 24 x F _{ADJ} x SQFT x GF) | | | | | | | |
| savings | / 1,000,000 | | | | | | | | |
| | For non-electric heat | | | | | | | | |
| | AMMBtu = AMMBtuurat / FEF | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | For electric heat | | | | | | | | |
| | Δ kWh = Δ MMBtu _{HEAT} / 0.003412 | | | | | | | | |
| | For unknown fuel | | | | | | | | |
| | Δ MMBtu _{FUEL} = Δ MMBtu _{HEAT} / EFF X %FUEL | | | | | | | | |
| | Δ kWh = Δ MMBtu _{HEAT} / 0.003412 X %FUEL | | | | | | | | |

| Mobile Home Unde | rbelly Insulat | ion (Compo | nen | t of LUB) | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|--|-----------|---------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------|-------------------|-----|-------------------|--|
| Definitions | Unit | = Floor | insu | lation projec | t | | | | | | |
| | $\Delta MMBtu_{HEAT}$ | = Annu | ial he | eat loss reduc | ction (MMBtu |) | | | | | |
| | RVALPRE | = Pre-u | ıpgra | ade floor insu | lation R-value | e (ft²-°F-hr/Btu | ר) | | | | |
| | RVAL POST | = Post- | upgr | ade floor ins | ulation R-valu | e (ft²-°F-hr/Bt | tu) | | | | |
| | HDD | = Heati | ing D | egree Days, I | Maine state a | verage ⁴⁸⁶ | | | | | |
| | F _{ADJ} | = ASHR | RAE a | idjustment fa | ictor | | | | | | |
| | SQFT | = Area | of flo | oor insulatior | n (ft²) | | | | | | |
| | GF | = Grou | nd Fa | actor, based | on percent of | unconditione | ed sp | ace walls | abo | ove | |
| | | grade (| grade (%) | | | | | | | | |
| | EFF | = Effici | ency | factor of rep | oresentative h | eating system | ı (Bti | u/Btu) | | | |
| | %FUEL | = Home | e hea | ating fuel dist | tribution exclu | uding coal and | d oth | er ⁴⁸² | | | |
| | LSF _{WP} | = Winte | er pe | eak load shap | e factor (kW/ | kWh/yr) | | | | | |
| | 0.55 | = Assumed factor to account for typical floor structure/framing and poor | | | | | | | | | |
| | | insulat | ion iı | nstallation | | | | | | | |
| | 1.0 | = Assumed factor to account for continuous, well installed insulation | | | | | | | | ۱ | |
| | 3.9 | = Assur | med | R-value of ex | isting floor m | aterials | | | | | |
| | 0.003412 | = Conv | ersio | on factor (kW | h/MMBtu) | | | | | | |
| | 1,000,000 | = Conv | ersio | on factor (Btu | /MMBtu) | | | | | | |
| | 24 | = Conv | ersio | on factor (hrs, | /day) | | | | | | |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTI | ONS | | | | | | | | | | |
| Baseline Efficiency | The baseline is | s the existing | (pre- | -upgrade) ins | sulation | | | | | | |
| Efficient Measure | The high-effici | iency case is t | the u | pgraded insu | llation | | | | | | |
| PARAMETER VALUES (| DEEMED) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Measure | RVAL _{PRE} 483 | RVAL _{POST} ⁴ | .84 | SQFT ⁴⁸⁵ | HDD ⁴⁸⁶ | F _{ADJ} ⁴⁸⁷ | Li | fe (yrs) 488 | C | Cost (\$) | |
| Underbelly Insulation | 0 | 12 | | 880 | 7,777 | 0.64 | | 25 | | Actual | |
| Measure | GF ⁴⁸⁹ | EFF ⁴⁹⁰ | | %FUEL | LSF _{SP} ⁴⁹¹ | | | | | | |
| Underbelly Insulation | 1 | 80.5 Table E-1 0.00176 | | | | | | | | | |
| IMPACT FACTORS | • | | | | | | | | | | |
| Measure | ISR ⁴⁹² | RR_{E}^{493} | | RR_{D}^{493} | CF ₅ ⁴⁹⁴ | CFw ⁴⁹⁴ | | FR ⁴⁹⁵ | | SO ⁴⁹⁶ | |
| Underbelly Insulation | 100% | 100% | | 100% | 100% | 100% | | 25% | | 0% | |

⁴⁸² Heating fuel distribution is used to allocate savings to different fuels because the savings achieved through insulation impact the home's heating energy consumption.

⁴⁸³ Engineering estimate of no insulation or poorly installed, ineffective insulation.

⁴⁸⁴ Based on standard practice of 2 inch spray foam or 2 inch rigid insulation.

⁴⁸⁵ Estimated average size of mobile homes in Maine.

⁴⁸⁶ Based on a population-weighted average HDD of Caribou, Bangor, and Portland from TMY3 dataset.

⁴⁸⁷ ASHRAE degree-day correction. 1989 ASHRAE Handbook – Fundamentals, 28.2, Fig 1.

⁴⁸⁸ GDS Measure Life Report, Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures, Prepared for the New England State Program Working Group, June 2007; Table 1.

⁴⁸⁹ It is assumed that the floor is 100% above grade.

⁴⁹⁰ Representative heating system efficiency based on NMR Group, Maine Single-Family Residential Baseline Study, September 14, 2015.

⁴⁹¹ Based on temperature bin analysis of ductless heat pump seasonal cooling using TMY3 temperature bins.

⁴⁹² EMT assumes 100% installation.

⁴⁹³ The measure has not yet been evaluated. Until the next program impact evaluation, EMT assumes 100% realization rate.

⁴⁹⁴ Peak coincidence factors for this measure are embedded in the calculated peak demand impacts.

⁴⁹⁵ Program not yet evaluated, assume default FR of 25%.

⁴⁹⁶ Program not yet evaluated, assume default SO of 0%.

| Insulate Attic Oper | nings (Component of L | .UB) | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|--|--|---|----------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Last Revised Date | 7/1/2016 | | | | | | | | |
| MEASURE OVERVI | EW | | | | | | | | |
| Description | This measure involve | s the installation of a the | ermal barrier on attic hatche | es, attic stairs, or whole | | | | | |
| | house fans. The infilt | ration savings can only b | e claimed if they are indepe | endent of the air sealing | | | | | |
| | measure. | | | | | | | | |
| Energy Impacts | Electric, Natural Gas, | Oil, Propane, Wood, Kei | rosene | | | | | | |
| Sector | Residential | | | | | | | | |
| Program(s) | Home Energy Savings | s Program | | | | | | | |
| End-Use | Heating | | | | | | | | |
| Decision Type | Retrofit | | | | | | | | |
| DEEMED GROSS EN | NERGY SAVINGS (UNIT | 'SAVINGS) | | | | | | | |
| Demand savings | | Attic hatch insulation | Attic pull down stairs | Whole house fan | | | | | |
| | | | insulation | insulation | | | | | |
| | For homes with non- | electric heating | I | 1 | | | | | |
| | | $\Delta kW_{WP} = 0.0$ | $\Delta kW_{WP} = 0.0$ | $\Delta kW_{WP} = 0.0$ | | | | | |
| | For homes with elect | ric resistance heating | 1 | 1 | | | | | |
| | With infiltration | 'ith infiltration $\Delta k W_{WP} = 0.087$ $\Delta k W_{WP} = 0.203$ $\Delta k W_{WP} = 0.094$ | | | | | | | |
| | Without infiltration | Vithout infiltration $\Delta k W_{WP} = 0.061$ $\Delta k W_{WP} = 0.114$ $\Delta k W_{WP} = 0.053$ | | | | | | | |
| | | | Γ | | | | | | |
| Annual energy | | Attic hatch insulation | Attic pull down stairs | Whole house fan | | | | | |
| savings ⁴⁹⁷ | | | insulation | insulation | | | | | |
| | For homes with non- | electric heating | I | 1 | | | | | |
| | With infiltration | Δ MMBtu = 0.646 | ∆MMBtu = 1.508 | ∆MMBtu = 0.699 | | | | | |
| | Without infiltration | Δ MMBtu = 0.453 | ΔMMBtu = 0.845 | ΔMMBtu = 0.397 | | | | | |
| | For homes with elect | ric resistance heating | 1 | 1 | | | | | |
| | With infiltration | ∆kWh = 152 | ΔkWh = 356 | ∆kWh = 165 | | | | | |
| | Without infiltration | Δ kWh = 107 | ∆kWh = 199 | ∆kWh = 94 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| GROSS ENERGY SA | VINGS ALGORITHMS (| UNIT SAVINGS) | | | | | | | |
| Demand savings | $\Delta kW_{WP} = \Delta kWh \times LSF$ | WP | | | | | | | |
| Annual Energy | $\Delta MMBtu_{COND} = SQFT$ | x (1/RVAL _{PRE} – 1/RVAL _{POS} | _{st}) x HDD x 24 x F _{ADJ} / 1,000,0 | 000 | | | | | |
| savings | $\Delta MMBtu_{INFIL} = Deeme$ | ed value | | | | | | | |
| | For homes with non- | For homes with non-electric heating | | | | | | | |
| | Δ MMBtu = (Δ MMBtu | I _{COND} + ΔMMBtu _{INFIL})/EFF | | | | | | | |
| | For homes with elect | ric resistance heating | | | | | | | |
| | $\Delta kWh = \Delta MMBtu / 0$ | .003412 | | | | | | | |

⁴⁹⁷ If fuel type is unknown, savings are to be allocated across fuel types using the home heating fuel distribution excluding coal and others found in Table E-1.

| Insulate Attic Open | ings (Compone | nt of LUB) | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------------|---------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--|--|
| Definitions | Unit | = Insu | ilation p | project | : | | | | | | | |
| | $\Delta MMBtu_{COND}$ | = Ann | iual con | ductio | n heat loss | reduction | | | | | | |
| | $\Delta MMBtu_{INFIL}$ | = Ann | ual infil | tratio | n heat loss | reduction | | | | | | |
| | SQFT | = Are | a of insu | ulatior | 1 (ft²) | | | | | | | |
| | RVALPRE | = Pre- | -upgrad | e R-va | lue (ft²-°F-l | nr/Btu) | | | | | | |
| | RVALPOST | = Pos | t-upgra | de R-v | alue (ft²-°F | -hr/Btu) | | | | | | |
| | HDD | = Hea | ting De | gree D | ays, Maine | population-w | eighted state | avera | ge ⁵⁰⁰ | | | |
| | F _{ADJ} | = ASH | IRAE ad | justme | ent factor ⁵⁰ | 2 | | | | | | |
| | EFF | = Effic | ciency fa | actor o | of represen | tative heating | system (Btu/ | Btu) | | | | |
| | LSF _{WP} | = Win | iter pea | k load | shape fact | or (W/kWh/yr |)504 | | | | | |
| | 0.003412 | = Con | version | factor | r (kWh/MN | 1Btu) | | | | | | |
| | 1,000,000 | = Con | version | factor | r (Btu/MMI | Btu) | | | | | | |
| | 24 | = Con | version | factor | r (hours/da | y) | | | | | | |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUM | PTIONS | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Baseline Efficiency | The baseline i | s the existir | ng (pre- | upgrad | de) insulati | on | | | | | | |
| Efficient Measure | The high-effic | iency case i | ncy case is the upgraded insulation | | | | | | | | | |
| PARAMETER VALUE | ES (DEEMED) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Measure | ΔMMBtu _{INFIL} 498 | SQF | Г ⁴⁹⁹ | RV | AL _{PRE} 499 | RVAL _{POST} 499 | HDD ⁵⁰⁰ | Life 50 | (yrs) | Cost (\$) | | |
| Attic Hatch Insulation | 0.154876 | 5.0 | 5 | | 1.69 | 21.7 | | | | | | |
| Attic Pull-Down | 0.533461 | 11.2 | 25 | | 1.69 | 11.7 | 7,777 | 2 | 5 | Actual | | |
| Stairs Insulation | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Whole House Fan | 0.243195 | 4.0 | 0 | | 1.32 | 11.3 | | | | | | |
| Insulation | - 502 | FFF 502 | 1.05 | 504 | | | | | | | | |
| Measure | F _{ADJ} ⁵⁰² | EFF | LSFw | /P | | | | | | | | |
| Insulate Attic Openings | 0.64 | 80.5 | 0.00 | 057 | | | | | | | | |
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Measure | ISR ⁵⁰⁵ | RR_{E}^{506} | R R _D | 506 | CFs ⁵⁰⁷ | CFw ⁵⁰⁷ | FR ⁵⁰⁸ | | 9 | 50 ⁵⁰⁹ | | |
| Insulate Attic Openings | 100% | 100% | 100 |)% | 100% | 100% | 25% | 25% | | 0% | | |

⁴⁹⁸ ASHRAE 1997 Handbook – Fundamentals, p. 25.16, was used to calculate infiltration of these measures using data from evaluation of WRAP and Helps Program, KEMA, 2010.

⁴⁹⁹ UI/CL&P C&LM Program Savings Documentation – 2015 p. 235, 4.4.11 Insulate Attic Openings measure, Table 1.

⁵⁰⁰ Based on a population-weighted average of Caribou, Bangor, and Portland from TMY3 dataset.

⁵⁰¹ GDS Measure Life Report, Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures, Prepared for the New England State Program Working Group, June 2007; Table 1.

⁵⁰² ASHRAE degree-day correction. 1989 ASHRAE Handbook – Fundamentals, 28.2, Fig 1.

⁵⁰³ Representative heating system efficiency based on NMR Group, Maine Single-Family Residential Baseline Study, September 14, 2015.

 $^{^{\}rm 504}$ Evaluation of WRAP and Helps Program, KEMA, 2010, Table ES-8, p. 1-10 divided by 1000 W/kW.

 $^{^{\}rm 505}$ EMT assumes that all purchased units are installed (i.e. ISR = 100%).

⁵⁰⁶ The measure has not yet been evaluated. Until the next program impact evaluation, EMT assumes 100 percent realization rate.

⁵⁰⁷ Peak coincidence factors for this measure are embedded in the calculated peak demand impacts.

 $^{^{\}rm 508}$ Program not yet evaluated, assume default FR of 25%.

⁵⁰⁹ Program not yet evaluated, assume default SO of 0%.

| Duct Insulation (Co | omponent of LUB) | | | |
|------------------------|--|----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|
| Last Revised Date | 7/1/2016 | | | |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | 1 | | | |
| Description | This measure involves t | he installation of insulat | ion with an R-value grea | ter than or equal to 6 on |
| | uninsulated heating or | cooling ducts in uncondi | tioned space (i.e. attic, u | inconditioned basement) |
| | in order to reduce heat | ing and cooling losses. | | |
| Energy Impacts | Electric, Natural Gas, O | il, Propane, Wood, Keros | sene | |
| Sector | Residential | | | |
| Program(s) | Home Energy Savings P | rogram | | |
| End-Use | Heating, Cooling | | | |
| Decision Type | Retrofit | | | |
| DEEMED GROSS ENER | RGY SAVINGS (UNIT SAV | INGS) | - | |
| Demand savings | Basement Supply | Basement Return | Attic Supply | Attic Return |
| | | | | |
| | For homes with non-elect | ric heating | | |
| | $\Delta kW_{WP} = 0.0$ | $\Delta kW_{WP} = 0.0$ | $\Delta kW_{WP} = 0.0$ | $\Delta kW_{WP} = 0.0$ |
| | $\Delta kW_{SP} = 0.136$ | $\Delta kW_{SP} = 0.041$ | $\Delta kW_{SP} = 0.251$ | $\Delta kW_{SP} = 0.144$ |
| | | 1 | 1 | I |
| | For homes with electric re | esistance heating | i | i |
| | ΔkW_{WP} = 1.310 | $\Delta kW_{WP} = 0.316$ | ΔkW_{WP} = 1.453 | $\Delta kW_{WP} = 0.421$ |
| | ΔkW_{SP} = 0.136 | $\Delta kW_{SP} = 0.041$ | ΔkW_{SP} = 0.251 | $\Delta kW_{SP} = 0.144$ |
| | | | I | |
| Annual energy | Basement Supply | Basement Return | Attic Supply | Attic Return |
| savings ⁵¹⁰ | | 1 | , | I |
| | For homes with non-elect | ric heating | | |
| | Δ MMBtu = 9.743 | ∆MMBtu = 2.352 | ∆MMBtu = 10.802 | ∆MMBtu = 3.132 |
| | Δ kWh = 8 | $\Delta kWh = 2$ | Δ kWh = 15 | $\Delta kWh = 8$ |
| | | | | |
| | For homes with electric re | esistance heating | | |
| | $\Lambda kWh = 2307$ | Λ kWh = 557 | $\Delta kWh = 2563$ | ∆kWh = 747 |
| | | | | |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVI | NGS ALGORITHMS (UNIT | SAVINGS) | | |
| Demand savings | $\Delta kW_{WP} = \Delta kWh_H x LSF_W$ | 'P | | |
| Annual Energy | $\Delta kWh_{H} = SQFT x F_{H} / 0.0$ | 003412 | | |
| savings | $\Delta kWh_{c} = AKW_{c} \times SQFT$ | x %COOL | | |
| | $\Delta kWh = \Delta kWh_{H} + \Delta kWl$ | h _c | | |
| | Δ MMBtu = SQFT x F _H / | EFF | | |

⁵¹⁰ If fuel type is unknown, savings are to be allocated across fuel types using the home heating fuel distribution excluding coal and others found in Table E-1.

| Duct Insulation (| Compon | ent of | LUB) | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|---------------------|---|------------------|--|----------------------|-------|-------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--|--|--|
| Definition | s Unit | | = | Duct | insulation proj | ject | | | | | | | | |
| | ∆kWh | н | = / | = Annual energy savings for residences with electric heat (kWh) | | | | | | | | | | |
| | ∆kWh | с | = / | = Annual energy savings for electric cooling (kWh) | | | | | | | | | | |
| | SQFT | | = 9 | = Surface area of ducts being insulated (ft ²) | | | | | | | | | | |
| | F _H | | = / | = Annual heating fuel savings per square foot of duct insulation for | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | re | residences with fuel heating (MMBtu/ft ²) | | | | | | | | | | |
| | EFF | | = | Effici | ency factor of | rep | resent | ative heati | ng system (| Btu/Btu) | | | | |
| | %COO | L | = | Equiv | alent percenta | age | of hoi | mes with fu | ull electric c | ooling equipm | ent (%) | | | |
| | AKW _c | | = / | Annu | al electric savi | ngs | per so | quare foot | for residenc | es with electri | ic cooling | | | |
| | | | (k) | Wh/f | ťť) | | | | | | | | | |
| | LSF _{SP} | | = 9 | Sumr | ner Peak elect | ric l | oad sł | hape factor | , for reside | nces with elect | ric | | | |
| | | | co | COOIIng (W/KWN) | | | | | | | | | | |
| | LSF _{WP} | | = \ | Wint | er peak electri | c lo | ad sha | pe factor, | for residence | es with all ele | ctric | | | |
| | | heat | | | g (W/kWh) | | | | | | | | | |
| | 0.0034 | 412 | = (| Conv | ersion factor (I | kWł | h/MM | Btu) | | | | | | |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUM | PTIONS | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Baseline Efficienc | y The ba | aseline | is the exis | ting ι | uninsulated du | cts | | | | | | | | |
| Efficient Measur | e The hi | gh-effic | ciency case | e is tł | ne existing duc | ts v | vith in | sulation in | stalled | | | | | |
| PARAMETER VALUE | ES (DEEM | ED) | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | |
| Measure | SQFT ⁵¹¹ | F _H ⁵¹² | ² AKW | /c ⁵¹³ | %COOL ⁵¹⁴ | E | FF ⁵¹⁵ | LSF _{SP} ⁵¹⁶ | LSF _{WP} ⁵¹⁷ | Life (yrs) 518 | Cost (\$) | | | |
| Basement Supply | | 0.156 | 69 0.30 |)16 | _ | | | | | | | | | |
| Basement Return | 50 | 0.037 | 79 0.09 | 909 | 52% | c | 20 5 | 0.017 | 0.00057 | 25 | Actual | | | |
| Attic Supply | 50 | 0.173 | 39 0.55 | 566 | 5576 | C | 50.5 | 0.017 | 0.00037 | 25 | Actual | | | |
| Attic Return | | 0.050 | 0.32 | 206 | | | | | | | | | | |
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Measure | ISR ⁵² | ISR ⁵¹⁹ RR _E ⁵²⁰ | | | RR_{D}^{520} | | C | CFs ⁵²¹ | CFw ⁵²¹ | FR ⁵²² | SO ⁵²³ | | | |
| Duct Insulation | 1009 | % | 100% |) | 100% | | 1 | .00% | 100% | 25% | 0% | | | |

⁵¹⁷ Evaluation of WRAP and Helps Program, KEMA, 2010, Table ES-8, p. 1-10 divided by 1000 W/kW.

⁵¹¹ Program assumption.

⁵¹² Adapted from UI/CL&P C&LM Program Savings Documentation – 2015 p. 156, 4.2.15 Duct Insulation, Table 2. Provided value multiplied by ratio of HDD of Maine and Connecticut, 7,777/5,885. Maine HDD based on a population-weighted average of Caribou, Bangor, and Portland from TMY3 dataset.

⁵¹³ Adapted from UI/CL&P C&LM Program Savings Documentation – 2015 p. 156, 4.2.15 Duct Insulation, Table 1. Provided value multiplied by ratio of CDD of Maine and Connecticut, 207/530. Degree day data from the National Climactic Data Center, State Data, ME state & CT state, Jan 1979 to Dec 2008, yearly average. http://www7.ncdc.noaa.gov/CDO/CDODivisionalSelect.jsp

⁵¹⁴ Portland Press Herald, <u>http://www.pressherald.com/2014/05/26/put power rates on ice that s a cool idea /</u>. In 2010, an estimated 79 percent of customers in ISO-New England region had room air conditioners. Of the 79 percent, 40 percent of homes have equivalent of whole home A/C (3 window A/Cs); 39 percent of homes have total cooling capacity equivalent of 1 or 2 window A/C units. The remaining 21 percent have no cooling equipment installed. Assuming that the 39 percent of homes with 1 or 2 window units are equivalent to 33 percent of whole home cooling, the resulting equivalent cooling for all homes is 53 percent (40%*100% + 39%*33%).

⁵¹⁵ Representative heating system efficiency based on NMR Group, Maine Single-Family Residential Baseline Study, September 14, 2015.

⁵¹⁶ Evaluation of the Weatherization Residential Assistance Partnership (WRAP) and Helps Programs, conducted by KEMA, September 2010, table ES-9 p. 1-11.

⁵¹⁸ GDS Measure Life Report, Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures, Prepared for the New England State Program Working Group, June 2007; Table 1.

⁵¹⁹ EMT assumes that all purchased units are installed (i.e. ISR = 100%).

⁵²⁰ The measure has not yet been evaluated. Until the next program impact evaluation, EMT assumes 100 percent realization rate.

⁵²¹ Peak coincidence factors for this measure are embedded in the calculated peak demand impacts.

⁵²² Program not yet evaluated, assume default FR of 25%.

⁵²³ Program not yet evaluated, assume default SO of 0%.

| Duct Sealing (Comp | onent of LUB) |
|------------------------|---|
| Last Revised Date | 7/1/2016 |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | |
| Description | This measure involves duct sealing to improve air distribution from HVAC systems. |
| Energy Impacts | Electric, Natural Gas, Oil, Propane, Wood, Kerosene |
| Sector | Residential |
| Program(s) | Home Energy Savings Program |
| End-Use | Heating, Cooling |
| Decision Type | Retrofit |
| DEEMED GROSS ENER | GY SAVINGS (UNIT SAVINGS) |
| Demand savings | $\Delta kW_{SP} = 0.140$ For homes with electric resistance heating: $\Delta kW_{WP} = 1.817$ |
| Annual energy | For homes with non-electric heating |
| savings ⁵²⁴ | ΔMMBtu = 6.607 |
| | $\Delta kWh = 192$ |
| | |
| | For homes with electric resistance heating |
| | $\Delta kWh = 1,194$ |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVIN | GS ALGORITHMS (UNIT SAVINGS) |
| Demand savings | $\Delta kW_{SP} = REM_{SP} \times (CFM_{PRF} - CFM_{POST}) \times (COOL)$ |
| | |
| | For homes with electric resistance heating |
| | $\Delta kW_{WP} = REM_{WP} \times (CFM_{PRE} - CFM_{POST})$ |
| | For homos with non-alastric heating |
| Annual Energy | For nonness with non-electric reaching $AAAAAB+\mu = BEAA + \mu (CEAA + CEAA +) (EEE$ |
| savings | $\Delta W W D L U = REWIHEAT X (CFIVIPRE = CFIVIPOST) / EFF$ |
| | AKVVII – KEIVICOOL X (CFIVIPRE – CFIVIPOST) X 70COOL + KEIVIFAN X (CFIVIPRE – CFIVIPOST) |
| | For homes with electric resistance heating |
| | $AkWh = REM_{COOL} \times (CEM_{RRS} - CEM_{ROST}) \times \% COOL + REM_{RR} \times (CEM_{RRS} - CEM_{ROST})$ |
| Definitions | Unit = Duct sealing project |
| Demitions | $REM_{HEAT} = Heat loss reduction per CEM reduction in duct leakage (MMBtu/CEM)$ |
| | CEM _{PRE} = Air leakage rate before duct sealing at 25 Pa (CEM) ⁵²⁵ |
| | CFM _{POST} = Air leakage rate after duct sealing at 25 Pa (CFM) ⁵²⁶ |
| | EFF = Efficiency factor of representative heating system (Btu/Btu) |
| | REM _{COOL} = Cooling savings per CFM reduction in duct leakage (kWh/CFM) |
| | %COOL = Equivalent percentage of homes with full electric cooling equipment (%) |
| | REM _{FAN} = Fan energy savings per CFM reduction in duct leakage (kWh/CFM) |
| | REM _{ER} = Energy savings per CFM reduction in duct leakage (kWh/CFM) |
| | REM _{SP} = Summer peak electric demand savings factor (kW/CFM) |
| | REM _{WP} = Winter peak electric demand savings factor (kW/CFM) |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTI | ONS |
| Baseline Efficiency | The baseline is the existing (pre-upgrade) ducts |
| Efficient Measure | The high-efficiency case is the existing ducts with sealing applied |

⁵²⁴ If fuel type is unknown, savings are to be allocated across fuel types using the home heating fuel distribution excluding coal and other found in Table E-1.

⁵²⁵ From UI/CL&P C&LM Program Savings Documentation – 2015 p. 140, 4.2.9 Duct Sealing measure, Note 1, actual pre-case CFM leakage measured with duct blaster test should be used, otherwise estimated pre-case leakage rate of 0.195 CFM/SQFT can be used.

⁵²⁶ From UI/CL&P C&LM Program Savings Documentation – 2015 p. 140, 4.2.9 Duct Sealing measure, Note 2, actual post-case CFM leakage measured with duct blaster test should be used, otherwise estimated post-case leakage rate of 0.080 CFM/SQFT can be used.

| Duct Sealing | Duct Sealing (Component of LUB) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|----------------------------------|------|-----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|-----|--|----|--------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| PARAMETER \ | ALUES (D | EEME | D) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Measure | REM _{HEAT} ⁵ | 27 | CFM _{PRE} ⁵²⁸ | CFN | Л _{POST} 529 | ΄ Ε | EFF ⁵³⁰ | RI | EM _{COOL} 531 | %CO | OL ⁵³² | Life | (yrs) ⁵ | 33 | Cost (\$) ⁵³⁴ |
| Duct Sealing | 0.046 | | 195 | | 80 | 8 | 80.5% | | 0.414 | 53 | % | | 25 | | Actual |
| Measure | REMFAN | 535 | REM | 536 ER | 536 REM _{WP} | | P ⁵³⁷ REM SP ⁵³ | | | | | | | | |
| Duct Sealing | 1.454 | ŀ | 10.1 | .66 | 0.0 | 158 | 0.0023 | | | | | | | | |
| IMPACT FACT | ORS | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| N | /leasure | ISR | X ⁵³⁸ | RR_{E}^{539} | | RF | R _D ⁵³⁹ | | CF ₅ ⁵⁴⁰ | CFw ⁵⁴ | | ^D FR ⁵ | | ₹ ⁵⁴¹ | SO ⁵⁴² |
| Duct | Sealing | 10 | 0% | 100% | 100% | | 100% | | 100% | | 100% | | 25% | | 0% |

⁵²⁷ Adapted from UI/CL&P C&LM Program Savings Documentation – 2015 p. 138, 4.2.9 Duct Sealing measure, Table 2. Provided value multiplied by ratio of HDD of Maine and Connecticut, 7,777/5,885. Maine HDD based on a population-weighted average of Caribou, Bangor, and Portland from TMY3 dataset. ⁵²⁸ UI/CL&P C&LM Program Savings Documentation – 2015 p. 140, 4.2.9 Duct Sealing measure, Note 1.

⁵²⁹ UI/CL&P C&LM Program Savings Documentation – 2015 p. 140, 4.2.9 Duct Sealing measure, Note 1.

⁵³⁰ Representative heating system efficiency based on NMR Group, Maine Single-Family Residential Baseline Study, September 14, 2015.

⁵³¹ Adapted from UI/CL&P C&LM Program Savings Documentation – 2015 p. 138, 4.2.9 Duct Sealing measure, Table 1. Provided value multiplied by ratio of CDD of Maine and Connecticut, 207/530. Degree day data from the National Climactic Data Center, State Data, ME state & CT state, Jan 1979 to Dec 2008, yearly average. http://www7.ncdc.noaa.gov/CDO/CDODivisionalSelect.jsp

⁵³² Portland Press Herald, <u>http://www.pressherald.com/2014/05/26/put_power_rates_on_ice_that_s_a_cool_idea_/</u>. In 2010, an estimated 79 percent of customers in ISO-New England region had room air conditioners. Of the 79 percent, 40 percent of homes have equivalent of whole home A/C (3 window A/Cs); 39% of homes have total cooling capacity equivalent of 1 or 2 window A/C units. The remaining 21 percent have no cooling equipment installed. Assuming that the 39 percent of homes with 1 or 2 window units are equivalent to 33 percent of whole home cooling, the resulting equivalent cooling for all homes is 53 percent (40%*100% + 39%*33%).

⁵³³ GDS Measure Life Report, Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures, Prepared for the New England State Program Working Group, June 2007; Table 1.

⁵³⁴ Cost of service where duct sealing was the sole service performed.

⁵³⁵ Adapted from UI/CL&P C&LM Program Savings Documentation – 2015 p. 138, 4.2.9 Duct Sealing measure, Table 1. Provided value multiplied by ratio of HDD of Maine and Connecticut, 7,777/5,885. Maine HDD based on a population-weighted average of Caribou, Bangor, and Portland from TMY3 dataset.

⁵³⁶ Adapted from UI/CL&P C&LM Program Savings Documentation – 2015 p. 138, 4.2.9 Duct Sealing measure, Table 1. Provided value multiplied by ratio of HDD of Maine and Connecticut, 7,777/5,885. Maine HDD based on a population-weighted average of Caribou, Bangor, and Portland from TMY3 dataset.

⁵³⁷ UI/CL&P C&LM Program Savings Documentation – 2015 p. 139, 4.2.9 Duct Sealing measure, Table 3.

⁵³⁸ EMT assumes that all purchased units are installed (i.e. ISR = 100%).

⁵³⁹ The measure has not yet been evaluated. Until the next program impact evaluation, EMT assumes 100 percent realization rate.

⁵⁴⁰ Peak coincidence factors for this measure are embedded in the calculated peak demand impacts.

⁵⁴¹ Program not yet evaluated, assume default FR of 25%.

⁵⁴² Program not yet evaluated, assume default SO of 0%.

| Hydronic Heating Pi | pe Insulatio | n (Compone | nt of LUB) | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------|--|-------------------|---------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--|
| Last Revised Date | 7/1/2016 | | | | | | | | |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | | | | | | | | | |
| Description | This measure | e involves insu | lation of hea | ating | pipes to reduce | heat loss. This n | neasure does | s not | |
| | include pipe | insulation for | electric hyd | ronic | heating system | s. | | | |
| Energy Impacts | Natural Gas, | Oil, Propane, | Wood, Kero | sene | | | | | |
| Sector | Residential | | | | | | | | |
| Program(s) | Home Energy | y Savings Prog | ram | | | | | | |
| End-Use | Heating | | | | | | | | |
| Decision Type | Retrofit | | | | | | | | |
| DEEMED GROSS ENERG | GY SAVINGS (L | JNIT SAVINGS | | | | | | | |
| Demand savings | N/A | | | | | | | | |
| Annual energy | Δ MMBtu = 4 | .807 | | | | | | | |
| savings | | | | | | | | | |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVIN | IGS ALGORITHMS (UNIT SAVINGS) | | | | | | | | |
| Demand savings | N/A | | | | | | | | |
| Annual Energy | | $\Delta MMBtu = \Delta E_{u} \times 1 / EEE$ | | | | | | | |
| savings | | | | | | | | | |
| Definitions | Unit | = Pipe | insulation p | roject | : | | | | |
| | AF _H | = Annı | ual fuel savir | ngs foi | r residences wit | th fossil fuel hot | water heatin | ıg | |
| | L | = Leng | th of pipe in | sulate | ed | | | | |
| | EFF | = Effici | ency factor | of rep | presentative hea | ating system (Btu | u/Btu) | | |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTI | ONS | | | | | | | | |
| Baseline Efficiency | The baseline | is heating pip | es with no ii | nsulat | ion. | | | | |
| Efficient Measure | The high-effi | ciency case is | the existing | hot w | ater or heating | pipes with insul | ation installe | ed. | |
| | Insulation m | ust be R-3 or g | greater. | | | | | | |
| PARAMETER VALUES (| DEEMED) | | | | | | | | |
| Measure | L(ft) ⁵⁴³ | E | FF ⁵⁴⁴ | | АF _H ⁵⁴⁵ | Life (yrs) ⁵⁴⁶ | Cost | t (\$) | |
| Pipe Insulation | 100 | 100 80.5 0.0387 25 Actual | | | | | | | |
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | 1 | 1 | | | |
| Measure | ISR ⁵⁴⁷ | RR_{E}^{548} | RR_{D}^{548} | 3 | CFs | CFw | FR ⁵⁴⁹ | SO ⁵⁵⁰ | |
| Duct Sealing | 100% | 100% | 100% | 1 | N/A | N/A | 25% | 0% | |

⁵⁴³ Program estimate.

⁵⁴⁴ Representative heating system efficiency based on NMR Group, Maine Single-Family Residential Baseline Study, September 14, 2015.

⁵⁴⁵ Hot water heating values for 0.75" pipe adapted from UI/CL&P C&LM Program Savings Documentation – 2015 p. 279, 4.5.9 Pipe Insulation measure, Table 4.

Provided values in CCF were converted to MMBtu heat loss reduction using 103,200 Btu/CCF and heating system efficiency of 75 percent.

⁵⁴⁶ GDS Measure Life Report, Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures, Prepared for the New England State Program Working Group, June 2007; Table 1.

⁵⁴⁷ EMT assumes that all purchased units are installed (i.e. ISR = 100%).

⁵⁴⁸ The measure has not yet been evaluated. Until the next program impact evaluation, EMT assumes 100 percent realization rate.

⁵⁴⁹ Program not yet evaluated, assume default FR of 25%.

⁵⁵⁰ Program not yet evaluated, assume default SO of 0%.

| Seal/Insulate Pipes/ | Ducts (Component of LUB) |
|---------------------------|--|
| Last Revised Date | 10/1/2016 (effective 7/1/2016) |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | |
| Description | This measure involves insulation and/or sealing of heating pipes or ducts to reduce heat loss. |
| | This measure does not include pipe insulation for electric hydronic heating systems. |
| Energy Impacts | Electric, Natural Gas, Oil, Propane, Wood, Kerosene |
| Sector | Residential |
| Program(s) | Home Energy Savings Program |
| End-Use | Heating |
| Decision Type | Retrofit |
| DEEMED GROSS ENERG | GY SAVINGS (UNIT SAVINGS) |
| Demand savings | For homes with non-electric heating |
| | $\Delta kW_{SP} = 0.035$ |
| | |
| | For homes with electric resistance heating |
| | $\Delta k W_{SP} = 1.614 \qquad \Delta k W_{SP} = 0.138$ |
| Annual energy | For homes with non-electric heating |
| savings | Δ MMBtu = 5.57 Δ kWh = 30 |
| | |
| | For homes with electric resistance heating |
| | ∆kWh = 1,639 |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVIN | GS ALGORITHMS (UNIT SAVINGS) |
| Demand savings | For homes with non-electric heating |
| _ | $\Delta kW_{SP} = W_{DI} X CDS_{DI} + W_{DS} X CDS_{DS}$ |
| | |
| | For homes with electric resistance heating |
| | $\Delta kW_{WP} = W_{DI} X HDS_{DI} + W_{DS} X HDS_{DS} / (W_{DI} + W_{DS})$ |
| | $\Delta kW_{SP} = W_{DI} \times CDS_{DI} + W_{DS} \times CDS_{DS} / (W_{DI} + W_{DS})$ |
| Annual Energy | For homes with non-electric heating |
| savings | $\Delta MMBtu = W_{DI} X FS_{DI} + W_{DS} X FS_{DS} + W_{PI} X FS_{PI}$ |
| | $\Delta kWh = W_{DI} X ECS_{DI} + W_{DS} X ECS_{DS}$ |
| | |
| | For homes with electric resistance heating |
| | $\Delta kWh = W_{DI} X EHS_{DI} + W_{DS} X EHS_{DS} / (W_{DI} + W_{DS})$ |
| Definitions | Unit = Duct/Pipe Sealing/Insulation project |
| | W _{DI} = percent of projects performing duct insulation |
| | W _{DS} = percent of projects performing duct sealing alone |
| | W _{PI} = percent of projects performing pipe insulation |
| | CDS _{DI} = cooling demand reduction associated with duct insulation |
| | CDS _{DS} = cooling demand reduction associated with duct sealing |
| | HDS _{DI} = heating demand reduction associated with duct insulation |
| | HDS _{DS} = heating demand reduction associated with duct sealing |
| | FS _{DI} = fuel savings associated with duct insulation |
| | FS _{DS} = fuel savings associated with duct sealing |
| | FS _{PI} = fuel savings associated with pipe insulation |
| | ECS _{DI} = electric cooling savings associated with duct insulation |
| | ECS _{DS} = electric cooling savings associated with duct sealing alone |
| | EHS _{DI} = electric heating savings associated with duct insulation |
| | EHS _{DS} = electric heating savings associated with duct sealing alone |

| Seal/Insulate Pipes/ | Seal/Insulate Pipes/Ducts (Component of LUB) | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|--|--|-------------|------------|-----------------------|-----------|----------|-----------|-------------------|-------|-------------------|----------------------------|
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTIONS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Baseline Efficiency | See bas | See baseline assumptions under Duct Insulation, Duct Sealing and Hydronic Heating Pipe | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Insulation | nsulation measures | | | | | | | | | | |
| Efficient Measure | See effi | cient me | easure assu | mptions ur | nder Du | ict Insul | ation, D | ouct Sea | aling and I | Hydro | nic Hea | ating |
| | Pipe Ins | pe Insulation measures | | | | | | | | | | |
| PARAMETER VALUES (DEEMED) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mea | sure W _{DI} ⁵⁵¹ W _{DS} ⁵⁵² W _{PI} ⁵⁵³ Life (yrs) ⁵⁵⁴ Cost (\$ | | | | | | | : (\$) | | | | |
| Seal/Insulate Pipes/D | ucts | 10% | | 15% | | 75% | | | 25 | | Act | ual |
| Mea | sure | CDS _{DI} 555 | 5 CDS | DS 556 | HDS _{DI} 557 | | HDS | 558)S | ECS _{DI} | 559 | EC | S DS ⁵⁶⁰ |
| Seal/Insulate Pipes/D | ucts | 0.136 | 0. | 140 | 1.31 | 0 | 1.817 | | 8 | | | 192 |
| Mea | sure | FS_{DI}^{561} | FS | 562 DS | FS _{PI} ⁵ | 63 | EHS | 564 01 | EHS | 565 | | |
| Seal/Insulate Pipes/D | ucts | 9.743 | 6. | 607 | 4.80 | 7 | 2,3 | 07 | 1,19 | 4 | | |
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Measure | ISR ⁵⁶ | ISR ⁵⁶⁶ RR _E ⁵⁶⁷ RR _D ⁵⁴⁸ CF _s CF _w FR ⁵⁶⁸ SO ⁵⁶⁹ | | | | | | | | | SO ⁵⁶⁹ | |
| Duct Sealing | 100% | 6 | 100% | 1009 | 6 | N | /A | 1 | N/A | 25 | % | 0% |

⁵⁵⁸ Winter peak demand reduction for duct sealing. See Duct Sealing.

⁵⁶⁰ Electric savings for cooling for duct sealing. See Duct Sealing.

⁵⁵¹ Program estimate.

⁵⁵² Program estimate.

⁵⁵³ Program estimate.

⁵⁵⁴ GDS Measure Life Report, Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures, Prepared for the New England State Program Working Group, June 2007; Table 1.

⁵⁵⁵ Summer peak demand reduction for duct insulation basement supply. See Duct Insulation.

⁵⁵⁶ Summer peak demand reduction for duct sealing. See Duct Sealing.

⁵⁵⁷ Winter peak demand reduction for duct insulation basement supply. See Duct Insulation.

⁵⁵⁹ Electric savings for cooling for duct insulation basement supply. See Duct Insulation.

⁵⁶¹ Fuel savings for heating for duct insulation basement supply. See Duct Insulation.

⁵⁶² Fuel savings for heating for duct sealing. See Duct Sealing.

⁵⁶³ Fuel savings for heating for pipe insulation. See Hydronic Heating Pipe Insulation.

⁵⁶⁴ Electric savings for heating for duct insulation basement supply. See Duct Insulation.

 $^{^{\}rm 565}$ Electric savings for heating for duct sealing. See Duct Sealing.

⁵⁶⁶ EMT assumes that all purchased units are installed (i.e. ISR = 100%).

⁵⁶⁷ The measure has not yet been evaluated. Until the next program impact evaluation, EMT assumes 100 percent realization rate.

⁵⁶⁸ Program not yet evaluated, assume default FR of 25%.

⁵⁶⁹ Program not yet evaluated, assume default SO of 0%.

| Ductless Heat Pump | (CH |) | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Last Revised D | ate | 9/16/2016 | | | | | |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | | | | | | | |
| Descript | ion | This measure involves the purchase and ins pump (DHP) system, instead of a standard e heating system. | tallation of a high-efficiency ductless heat efficiency DHP system, as a supplemental | | | | |
| Energy Impa | acts | Electric | | | | | |
| Sec | tor | Residential | | | | | |
| Progran | n(s) | Home Energy Savings Program | | | | | |
| End-I | Jse | Heating, Cooling | | | | | |
| Decision Type New Construction, Replace on Burnout | | | | | | | |
| DEEMED GROSS ENER | GY S | AVINGS (UNIT SAVINGS) | | | | | |
| Demand savings For single head unit For multi-head or multiple units | | | | | | | |
| | $\Delta kW_{max} = 1.33$ | | | | | | |
| | | $\Delta kW_{WP} = 0.40$ | $\Delta kW_{WP} = 0.785$ | | | | |
| | | $\Delta kW_{SP} = 0.05$ | $\Delta kW_{SP} = 0.05$ | | | | |
| Annual energy savi | ngs | For single head unit | For multi-head or multiple units | | | | |
| | _ | ∆kWh/yr = 1,902 | ∆kWh/yr = 3,603 | | | | |
| | | Δ kWh _H /yr = 1,815 | $\Delta kWh_H/yr = 3,516$ | | | | |
| | | $\Delta kWh_c/yr = 88$ | $\Delta kWh_c/yr = 88$ | | | | |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVIN | IGS / | ALGORITHMS (UNIT SAVINGS) | · · · | | | | |
| Demand Savings | Мо | deled ⁵⁷⁰ | | | | | |
| Annual Energy Savings | Mo Hea Res Sav | deled ⁵⁷⁰ ating and cooling savings are modeled using T sults are weighted based on population (71.29 ings were calculated based on a model emplo • Average annual heat Loss is 92 MMBtu c | ^T MY3 data for Portland, Bangor and Caribou. % Portland, 23.4% Bangor, 5.4% Caribou). ⁵⁷¹ oying the following key assumptions: corresponding to an average UA of 493 | | | | |
| | Average annual heat Loss is 92 MMBtu corresponding to an average UA of 493 MMBtu/h/deg F. A single head DHP unit's contribution to heating does not exceed 35 percent of the home's heating load in any temperature bin. Even in temperature bins in which 100 percent of the home's heating load can be supplied by the DHP, the DHP supplies 35 percent of the heating load, and the remaining 65 percent is supplied by the existing heating system to account for distribution and behavior effects.⁵⁷² For DHP units with multiple heads or multiple units, the DHP contribution to heating is capped at 70 percent of the home's heating load in any temperature bin to account for more effective distribution.⁵⁷³ DHP heating output capacity and DHP heating efficiency (both baseline and efficient units) vary with outside air temperature as defined by performance curves. Baseline unit heating capacity is the same as the efficient unit. Heating is called for when outside air temperature is less than or equal to 65°F. | | | | | | |

 $^{^{\}rm 570}$ Based on Excel Workbook for Ductless Heat Pump

⁵⁷¹ Calculated based on population of each region; U.S. Census Bureau Census 2010 Summary File 1 population by census tract

⁵⁷² Heat load offset of 35 percent is consistent with other findings. Ecotope, NEEA Final Summary Report for the Ductless Heat Pump Impact and Process Evaluation, February 19, 2014 reported savings were analyzed to be equivalent to 30%-40% heat load offset.

⁵⁷³ Program assumption to be validated and refined during next evaluation.

| Ductless Heat Pump |) (CH | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|-------|--|--|-------|---------------------|--|-------|--------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|--------|--------------------------|--|
| Definitions | Uni | t = 1 si | ngle-he | ad [| OHP. Multiple | -head syst | tems | s or mo | re than | one sing | gle he | ead unit | |
| | | insta | lled cou | nt a | is 2 units. No | o more than 2 units can be claimed per dwelling. | | | | | | | |
| | HSF | PF _B = Hea | = Heating seasonal performance factor of the baseline DHP (| | | | | | | P (Btu/W | 'att-h | ır) | |
| | HSF | PF _{EE} = Hea | ating sea | asor | nal performan | ce factor o | of th | e high- | efficien | cy DHP (| Btu/ | Watt-hr) | |
| | CAF | P _{Cool} = Rat | ed cooli | ing | capacity of the | e DHP (kBt | u/h |) | | | | | |
| | CAF | P _{Heat} = Rat | ed heat | ing | capacity of th | e DHP (kB | tu/h |) | | | | | |
| | SEE | R _B = Sea | isonal ei | nerg | gy-efficiency r | atio for ba | seli | ne DHP | (Btu/W | /att-hr) | | | |
| | SEE | $R_E = Sea$ | = Seasonal energy-efficiency ratio for high-efficiency DHP (Btu/Watt-hr) | | | | | | | | | | |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPT | IONS | 5 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Baseline Efficie | ncy | The baseline | e case as | ssur | mes the home | retains its | s exi | sting h | eating s | ystem ar | nd ad | ds a new | |
| | | ductless heat pump that meets Federal minimum efficiency requirement for units | | | | | | | nits | | | | |
| | | manufactur | ed on o | r aft | ter January 1, | 2015: HSP | F=8 | 2 and | SEER=14 | 1.0. | | | |
| Efficient Meas | ure | The high-ef | ficiency | case | e assumes the | home ret | ains | its exis | sting hea | ating sys | tem | and adds | |
| | | a new high-efficiency DHP that meets minimum efficiency requirements for program | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | rebate: HSP | F=12.0 a | and | SEER=18.0. | | | | | | | | |
| PARAMETER VALUES | (DEE | MED) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Meas | ure | CAP _{Hea} | t | | | $HSPF_{B}$ | H | SPFe | Life | (yrs) | (| Cost (\$) | |
| Ductless Heat Pu | Imp | 17.5 ⁵⁷ | 4 | | 14.2 ⁵⁷⁴ | 8.2 ⁵⁷⁵ | 13 | 3.2 ⁵⁷⁴ | 18 | 576 | | 5682 ⁵⁷⁷ | |
| Meas | ure | SEER | | | SEER _E | | | | | | | | |
| Ductless Heat Pu | Imp | np 14 ⁵⁷⁵ 25.6 ⁵⁷⁴ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Meas | ure | ISR | RR _E | | RR_{D} | CFs | | C | Fw | FR | | SO | |
| Ductless Heat Pu | Imp | 100% ⁵⁷⁸ | 100%5 | 579 | 100% ⁵⁷⁹ | 100%5 | 80 | 100 |)% ⁵⁸⁰ | 25% ⁵ | 81 | 0% ⁵⁸² | |

⁵⁷⁴ Weighted average values of the most popular units that have been incentivized under the Efficiency Maine program.

⁵⁷⁵ Federal minimum efficiency requirement for units manufactured on or after January 1, 2015 (changes to 8.8 HSPF and 15 SEER January 1, 2023).

⁵⁷⁶ GDS Associates, Inc., Measure Life Report – Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures, June 2007; Table 1.

⁵⁷⁷ The incremental cost is the difference in cost between a typical high-efficiency unit (\$1,645 based on Fujitsu model 12RLS2, ecomfort.com) and a typical baseline unit (\$963 based on LG model LS093HE, ecomfort.com).

⁵⁷⁸ EMT assumes that all purchased units are installed (i.e. ISR = 100%). This is consistent with the MA 2013-2015 TRM.

⁵⁷⁹ The measure has not yet been evaluated. Until the next program impact evaluation, EMT assumes 100 percent realization rate.

⁵⁸⁰ The on-peak summer and winter kW savings are calculated directly from the modeling.

⁵⁸¹ Program not yet evaluated, assume default FR of 25%.

⁵⁸² Program not yet evaluated, assume default SO of 0%.

| High-Efficiency Fu | rnaces and Boilers (DB, DF) |
|---------------------|---|
| Last Revised Date | 7/1/2016 |
| MEASURE OVERVIE | W |
| Description | This measure involves the installation of a high-efficiency furnace, boiler or combination boiler plus domestic hot water (Combi) instead of a code-compliant furnace or boiler of the same fuel type and capacity (i.e. no fuel switching). In the case of combi units, the combi also replaces a standalone water heater. |
| Energy Impacts | Natural Gas. Heating Oil, Kerosene, Propane |
| Sector | Residential |
| Program(s) | Home Energy Savings Program |
| End-Use | Heating |
| Decision Type | New Construction, Replace on Burnout |
| DEEMED GROSS EN | ERGY SAVINGS (UNIT SAVINGS) |
| Demand Savings | $\Delta kW = 0.000$ |
| Annual Energy | NG Boiler Savings |
| Savings | $\Delta MMBtu_{GAS} = 14.841$ |
| | NG Furnace Savings |
| | $\Delta MMBtu_{GAS} = 18.361$ Propane Boiler Savings |
| | $\Delta MMBtu_{PROP} = 15.149$ |
| | |
| | Heating Oil/Kerosene Boiler Savings |
| | Heating Oil/Kerosene Eurnace Savings Δ MMBtu _{OIL/KERO} = 4.140 |
| | $\Delta MMBtuot (KERO = 5.940)$ |
| | NG Combi Savings |
| | $\Delta MMBtu_{GAS} = 21.969$ |
| GROSS ENERGY SAV | /INGS ALGORITHMS (UNIT SAVINGS) |
| Demand Savings | $\Delta kW = 0.0000$ |
| Annual Energy | For Boiler and Furnaces |
| Savings | $\Delta MMBtu/yr = AHL \times (AFUE_{EE} / AFUE_{BASE} - 1)$ |
| | For Combination Boiler and Domestic Hot Water |
| Definitions | $\Delta IVIVIBTU/Yr = AHL \times (AFUE_{EE} / AFUE_{BASE} - 1) + GPU \times 365 \times 8.33 \times 1 \times (I_{WH} - I_{in}) \times (EF_{EE}/EF_{BASE})$ |
| Definitions | AFL = Annual field (MMBlu/y) |
| | $AFUE_{BASE}$ = Rated efficiency of the bigb-efficiency unit (AFUE %) |
| | GPD = Average amount of hot water consumed annually per Maine household |
| | 365 = Constant: 365 days per year |
| | 8.33 = Density of water: 8.33 lb/gallon water |
| | 1 = Specific heat of water: 1 Btu/lb-°F |
| | T_{WH} = Water heater temperature set point (°F) |
| | T _{in} = Temperature of water mains (water into the water heater) (°F) |
| | EF _{BASE} = Energy factor for baseline stand alone tank water heater (%) |
| | EF _{EE} = Energy factor for high-efficiency unit (%) |
| | |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUM | PTIONS |
| Baseline Efficiency | The baseline case is a new boiler or furnace (and a new water heater in the case of a combi) that |
| | meets the federal minimum efficiency requirements. |
| Efficient Measure | The high-efficiency equipment exceeds the federal minimum efficiency. |

| High-Efficiency Furnaces an | High-Efficiency Furnaces and Boilers (DB, DF) | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|---|----|-------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|----------|-------------------|-------|--------------------------|--|
| PARAMETER VALUES (DEEME | D) | | | | | | | | | |
| | AHL ⁵⁸³ | | | | | | | | $c_{c+} (c)^{587}$ | |
| Measure | | | AFU | IE _{BASE} 584 | AFUE _{EE} 585 | Life (yr | s) ⁵⁸⁶ | , c | .USL (\$) | |
| Oil/Kerosene Furnace | | | 8 | 83% | 87.7% | | | | 668 | |
| Natural Gas Furnace | | | 8 | 80% | 95.2% | | | | 1,438 | |
| Propane Furnace | 02 | | 80% | | 95.5% | 25 | | | 742 | |
| Oil/Kerosene Boiler | 92 | | 8 | 84% | 87.3% | 25 | | | 326 | |
| Natural Gas Boiler/Combi | | | | 82% | 94.5% | | | | 1,785 | |
| Propane Boiler | | | | 82% | 94.8% | | | 2,030 | | |
| Measure | GPD ⁵⁸⁸ | | ٦ | 589 in | Т wн ⁵⁹⁰ | EFBAS | 591 E | | EF_{EE}^{592} | |
| Natural Gas Combi Unit | 51.1 | | Ξ, | 50.8 | 126.2 | 60% | 6 | | 94.5% | |
| IMPACT FACTORS | IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | | | |
| Measure | ISR | F | RRE | RR_{D} | CFs | CFw | FR | | SO | |
| High Eff. Furnaces/Boilers | 100% ⁵⁹³ | 10 | 0% ⁵⁹⁴ | 100%594 | NA | NA | 25% | 595 | 0% ⁵⁹⁶ | |

⁵⁸³ NMR Group, Maine Single-Family Residential Baseline Study, September 14, 2015.

⁵⁸⁴ Table E-2. Minimum Efficiency Requirements for Furnaces and Boilers- based on Code of Federal Regulations: <u>http://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/text-idx?c=ecfr&sid=61b33caa9460da7b2e875b478972dfdc&rgn=div6&view=text&node=10:3.0.1.4.18.3&idno=10</u>

⁵⁸⁵ Average AFUE for new high-efficiency equipment are based on average EMT program tracking data from November 2014 to April 2016.

⁵⁸⁶ GDS, Measure Life Report, Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures, June 2007; Table 1, value for new construction.

⁵⁸⁷ Oil/Kerosene and Propane unit costs based on incremental costs reported in Efficiency Vermont Technical Reference User Manual (TRM) 2014-87, 1/1/2014, p.

^{533.} Natural Gas unit costs based on incremental costs reported in Illinois Statewide Technical Reference Manual for Energy Efficiency Version 4.0, 1/23/2015, Boiler AFUE 95% p. 572 and Furnace AFUE 95 percent p. 578.

⁵⁸⁸ Daily household consumption of hot water calculated based on average number of people per household (Nppl): 16.286 x Nppl + 13. The relationship is used in NY and Indiana TRMs and is based on: Lutz, James D., Liu, Xiaomin, McMahon, James E., Dunham, Camilla, Shown, Leslie J.McCure, Quandra T; "Modeling patterns of hot water use in households;" LBL-37805 Rev., Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, 1996.

⁵⁸⁹ Standard Building America DHW Schedules, weighted average by population of all Maine water main sources.

⁵⁹⁰ NMR Group, Inc., Efficiency Maine Appliance Rebate Program Evaluation Overall Report – FINAL, July 18, 2014.

⁵⁹¹ Federal water heater standard, DOE Standard 10 CFR 430.32(d), effective 4/16/2015. EF assumes a 50 gallon storage tank (EF = 0.675-(0.0015*50)) = 0.60. <u>http://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/retrieveECFR?gp=&SID=23d182b583bbfb7d624f02a8d30ccc30&mc=true&n=pt10.3.430&r=PART&ty=HTML#sp10.3.430.c</u>

 ⁵⁹² Average AFUE for new high-efficiency equipment are based on average EMT program tracking data from November 2014 to April 2016.
 ⁵⁹³ EMT assumes that all purchased units are installed (i.e. ISR = 100%).

 $^{^{533}}$ EIVIT assumes that all purchased units are installed (i.e. ISR = 100%).

⁵⁹⁴ This measure has not yet been evaluated. Until the next program impact evaluation, EMT assumes 100 percent realization rate.

⁵⁹⁵ Program not yet evaluated, assume default FR of 25%.

⁵⁹⁶ Program not yet evaluated, assume default SO of 0%.

| Pellet/Wood Stove | (CPS, CWS) | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|-----------------------|--|---------------------|--------------------|-----------|----------|-------|--------------------|---------------------|----|--|
| Last Revised Date | 7/1/2014 | | | | | | | | | | |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Description | This measure | nis measure involves purchase and installation of an eligible pellet/wood stove to provide | | | | | | | | | |
| | supplementa | I heat for the e | existing heating | g system. En | ergy savi | ngs are | achi | eved due t | o the | | |
| | improved eff | iciency of eligi | ble pellet/woo | d stove and | the addit | ion of a | in ou | itdoor mal | e-up air kit | t. | |
| Energy Impacts | Wood | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sector | Residential | | | | | | | | | | |
| Program(s) | Home Energy | Savings Progr | am | | | | | | | | |
| End-Use | Heating | | | | | | | | | | |
| Decision Type | New Constru | ction, Replace | on Burnout | | | | | | | | |
| DEEMED GROSS ENER | GY SAVINGS (| UNIT SAVINGS | 5) | | | | | | | | |
| Demand savings | $\Delta kW_{SP} = NA$ | | | | | | | | | | |
| | $\Delta kW_{WP} = NA$ | | | | | | | | | | |
| Annual energy | | - 21 079 | | | | | | | | | |
| savings | | $\Delta MMBtu_{WOOD} = 21.078$ | | | | | | | | | |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVIN | IGS ALGORITH | MS (UNIT SAV | 'INGS) | | | | | | | | |
| Demand savings | $\Delta kW = NA$ | | | | | | | | | | |
| Annual Energy | | 11.4D+ | | 1/666 | 1 | | | | | | |
| savings | | IIVIBLUHEAT X 763 | STOVE X (1/EFF | BASE - 1/EFFE | E) | | | | | | |
| Definitions | Unit | = New pellet | t/wood stove | | | | | | | | |
| | AHL | = Average he | eating energy l | load for Mair | ne house | hold (M | IMBt | u) | | | |
| | %STOVE | = Percentage | e of heat load | served by ne | w pellet/ | 'wood s | tove | (%) | | | |
| | EFF _{BASE} | = Baseline h | eating equipm | ent efficienc | y (%) | | | | | | |
| | EFFEE | = Pellet/woo | od stove heatir | ng efficiency | (%) | | | | | | |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPT | IONS | | | | | | | | | | |
| Baseline Efficiency | The baseline | case is a non E | PA certified pe | ellet/wood s | tove to p | rovide s | suppl | lemental h | eat. | | |
| Efficient Measure | The high-effi | ciency case ass | umes the hom | ne retains its | existing | heating | syst | em and ad | ds a new | | |
| | pellet/wood | stove to provid | de supplement | al heat. | | | | | | | |
| PARAMETER VALUES | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Measure | AHL ⁵⁹⁷ | %STOVE | EFF _{BASE} | EFF_{EE} | | | | Life (yrs | Cost (\$ | 5) | |
| Pellet/Wood Stove | 92 | 50% ⁵⁹⁸ | 53% ⁵⁹⁹ | 70% ⁶⁰⁰ | | | | 25 ⁶⁰¹ | 3,000 ⁶⁰ | 02 | |
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Measure | ISR | RRE | RR _D | CFs | С | Fw | | FR | SO | | |
| Pellet/Wood Stove | 100% ⁶⁰³ | 100% ⁶⁰⁴ | 100% ⁶⁰⁴ | NA | N | IA | | 25% ⁶⁰⁵ | 0%606 | | |

⁵⁹⁷ NMR Group, Maine Single-Family Residential Baseline Study, September 14, 2015.

⁵⁹⁸ Estimate, comparison against RECS microdata for the New England census division found percentages in a similar range, though these data were not directly comparable. Primary data collection is the best method for refining this input.

⁵⁹⁹ Engineering judgment based on 60 percent combustion efficiency derated to account for distribution and heat losses associated with not having an outdoor makeup air kit.

⁶⁰⁰ U.S. DOE, conservative estimate of pellet stove efficiency: <u>http://energy.gov/energysaver/articles/wood-and-pellet-heating</u>

⁶⁰¹ GDS Measure Life Report, Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures, prepared for the New England State Program Working Group, June 2007, Table 1; value for new construction.

⁶⁰² Average full cost of participant pellet/wood stove installation minus \$700 for standard efficiency stove. Represents installations where the stove was the sole upgrade installed.

⁶⁰³ EMT assumes that all purchased units are installed (i.e. ISR = 100%).

⁶⁰⁴ The measure has not yet been evaluated. Until the next program impact evaluation, EMT assumes 100 percent realization rate.

⁶⁰⁵ Program not yet evaluated, assume default FR of 25%.

⁶⁰⁶ Program not yet evaluated, assume default SO of 0%.

| Pellet/Cord Wood B | oiler (APB) | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|--|---|----------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Last Revised Date | 7/1/2016 | | | | | | | | | | |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Description | This measu heating sys this measu to the char | his measure involves purchase and installation of a pellet or cord wood boiler as a whole-home eating system rather than a new fossil-fuel boiler. EMT estimates minimal energy savings for his measure, but the participating customer achieves overall GHG and fuel-cost reductions due o the change in fuel type. | | | | | | | | | |
| Energy Impacts | Wood, Oil | 0 /1 | | | | | | | | | |
| Sector | Residentia | | | | | | | | | | |
| Program(s) | Home Ener | rgy Savings Pr | ogram | | | | | | | | |
| End-Use | Heating | 0, 0 | 0 | | | | | | | | |
| Decision Type | New Const | ruction, Repl | acement | | | | | | | | |
| DEEMED GROSS ENER | GY SAVING | 5 (UNIT SAVI | NGS) | | | | | | | | |
| Demand savings | $\Delta kW_{SP} = N/$ | 4 | | | | | | | | | |
| | $\Delta kW_{WP} = N$ | A | | | | | | | | | |
| Annual energy | Δ MMBtu _w | _{00D} =-45.680 | | | | | | | | | |
| savings | Δ MMB | tu _{PELLET} = – 41 | 108 | | | | | | | | |
| | Δ MMB | tu _{cord} =-4.5 | 58 | | | | | | | | |
| | $\Delta MMBtu_{PR}$ | OPANE = 37.024 | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| | $\Delta MMBtu_{OI}$ | L = 36.143 | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVIN | IGS ALGORI | THMS (UNIT S | SAVINGS) | | | | | | | | |
| Demand savings | $\Delta kW = NA$ | | | | | | | | | | |
| Annual Energy | Δ MMBtu _{BA} | SEFUEL /yr = MN | ИBtu _{неат} x 1 / | EFF _{BASE} x %FUEL | BASE | | | | | | |
| savings | $\Delta MMBtu_{NE}$ | wFUEL/yr = - (I | MMBtu _{HEAT} x | 1 / EFF _{PB}) x %FU | EL _{EE} | | | | | | |
| Definitions | Unit | = New | pellet boiler | | | | | | | | |
| | AHL | = Aver | age annual he | eating load for M | laine home (MMB | tu) | | | | | |
| | EFBASE | = Aver | age baseline l | neating system e | efficiency (%) | | | | | | |
| | EF _{PB} | = Aver | age pellet boi | ler heating syste | em efficiency (%) | | | | | | |
| | %FUEL _{BASE} | = Distr | ibution of fue | l types for basel | ine boilers | | | | | | |
| | %FUEL _{EE} | = Distr | ibution of fue | l types for efficie | ent boilers | | | | | | |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPT | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Baseline Efficiency | The baselir | ne case is a ne | ew standard e | efficiency fossil f | uel boiler. | | | | | | |
| Efficient Measure | The high-e | fficiency case | assumes the | home replaces i | ts heating system | with a new pe | ellet boiler | | | | |
| | that meets | the minimur | n efficiency r | equirements for | program rebate. | | | | | | |
| | | | | 608 | a/= | 1:f= (| | | | | |
| Ivieasure | AHL ⁰⁰⁷ | EFFBASE | EFFPB | | %FUELEE | Lite (yrs) | Lost (Ş) | | | | |
| Boiler | 92 | 84% ⁶¹⁰ | 85% ⁶¹¹ | 33% Propane 34% Wood | 90% Pellets 10% Cord Wood | 25 ⁶¹² | 12,942 ⁶¹³ | | | | |

⁶⁰⁷ NMR Group, Maine Single-Family Residential Baseline Study, September 14, 2015.

⁶⁰⁸ Program Assumption

⁶⁰⁹ Program Assumption

⁶¹⁰ Code of Federal Regulations: <u>http://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/text-</u>

idx?c=ecfr&sid=61b33caa9460da7b2e875b478972dfdc&rgn=div6&view=text&node=10:3.0.1.4.18.3&idno=10

⁶¹¹ Average efficiency of residential pellet boiler, based on the following models: Pellergy KPS-100-5, Woodpecker Wood-Pellet Boiler, Froling P4, Kedel cord wood boiler and MeSys cord wood boiler.

⁶¹² GDS Measure Life Report, Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures, prepared for the New England State Program Working Group, June 2007, Table 1; value for new construction.

⁶¹³ Average incremental cost of participant pellet boiler. Represents installations where pellet boiler was the sole upgrade installed. Baseline cost reflects cost of a new, code-compliant, 80 kBtuh boiler. Baseline cost based on DEER 2008 cost workbook.

| Pellet/Cord Wood Boiler (APB) | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------|-----|-----|--------------------|-------|--|--|
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | | | |
| Measure | ISR | RRE | RR _D | CFs | CFw | FR | SO | | |
| Boiler | 100% ⁶¹⁴ | 100% ⁶¹⁵ | NA | NA | NA | 25% ⁶¹⁶ | 0%617 | | |

 $^{^{\}rm 614}$ EMT assumes that all purchased units are installed (i.e. ISR = 100%).

⁶¹⁵ The measure has not yet been evaluated. Until the next program impact evaluation, EMT assumes 100 percent realization rate.

⁶¹⁶ Program not yet evaluated, assume default FR of 25%.

⁶¹⁷ Program not yet evaluated, assume default SO of 0%.

| Central Air-source H | eat Pump (ducted) (DHA) |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Last Revised Date | 7/1/2016 |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | |
| Description | This measure involves the purchase and installation of new high-efficiency air-source heat pump for central heating and cooling rather than a new standard-efficiency air-source heat pump. Energy savings are achieved by the improved efficiency of the installed equipment compared to federal standards. |
| Energy Impacts | Electric |
| Sector | Residential |
| Program(s) | Home Energy Savings Program |
| End-Use | Heating, Cooling |
| Decision Type | New Construction, Replacement |
| DEEMED GROSS ENER | GY SAVINGS (UNIT SAVINGS) |
| Demand savings | Δ kW _{SP} = 0.054 (0.013 after 1/1/17, based on updated EER baseline of 11.8) |
| | Δ kW _{WP} = 0.538 (0.395 after 1/1/17, based on updated HSPF baseline of 8.2) |
| Annual energy | Δ kWh/yr = 2,806 (2,062 after 1/1/17, based on updated SEER and HSPF baselines of 14 and 8.2 |
| savings | respectively) |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVIN | GS ALGORITHMS (UNIT SAVINGS) |
| Demand savings | $\Delta kW_{SP} = CAP_C \times (1 / EER_{BASE} - 1 / EER_{EE}) \times CF_{SP}$ |
| | $\Delta kW_{WP} = CAP_{H} \times (1 / HSPF_{BASE} - 1 / HSPF_{EE}) \times CF_{WP}$ |
| Annual Energy | $\Delta kWh = \Delta kWh_{COOL} + \Delta kWh_{HEAT}$ |
| savings | $\Delta kWh_{COOL} = ACL \times 1000 x (1 / SEER_{BASE} - 1 / SEER_{EE})$ |
| | $\Delta kWh_{HEAT} = AHL \times 1000 x (1 / HSPF_{BASE} - 1 / HSPF_{EE})$ |
| Definitions | Unit = New ASHP equipment |
| | CAP _c = Output cooling capacity of ASHP (kBtu/hr) |
| | CAP _H = Output heating capacity of ASHP (kBtu/hr) |
| | SEER _{BASE} = SEER of new code-compliant ASHP (Btu/w-hr) (baseline code updates 6/30/16) |
| | SEER _{EE} = SEER of new high-efficiency ASHP (Btu/w-hr) |
| | $HSPF_{BASE}$ = HSPF of new code-compliant ASHP (Btu/w-hr) (baseline code updates 6/30/16) |
| | HSPF _{EE} = HSPF of new high-efficiency ASHP (Btu/w-hr) |
| | EER _{BASE} = EER of new code-compliant ASHP (Btu/w-hr) |
| | EER _{EE} = EER of new high-efficiency ASHP (Btu/w-hr) |
| | CF _{SP} = Summer peak coincidence factor (%) |
| | CF _{WP} = Winter peak coincidence factor (%) |
| | AHL = Annual heating load (MMBtu) |
| | ACL = Annual cooling load (MMBtu) |
| | 1000= Conversion factor MMBtu to kBtu |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTI | ONS |
| Baseline Efficiency | The baseline case is a new code-compliant air-source heat pump to provide heating and cooling. |
| Efficient Measure | The high-efficiency case is a new high-efficiency air-source heat pump with a HSPF greater than |
| | or equal to 10.0 Btu/W-h to provide heating and cooling. |

| Central Air-source H | eat Pump (o | lucted) (DF | IA) | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|----|--------------------|------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| PARAMETER VALUES | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Measure | CAPc | CAP _H | SEER _B | ASE | SEER _{EE} | HS | PFBASE | H | SPFEE | Life (y | rs) | Cost (\$) |
| Central ASHP | 36 ⁶¹⁸ | 36 ⁶¹⁸ | 13 ⁶¹⁹ | Ð | 18 ⁶²⁰ | 7. | .7 ⁶¹⁹ | 1 | 0.0 ⁶²¹ | 25 ⁶² | 2 | 2,000 ⁶²³ |
| Measure | EER _{BASE} | EE | EEREE | | EFLH HEAT | | EFLH _{COOL} | - | Al | ΗL | | ACL |
| Central ASHP | 11.2 ⁶²⁴ | 12 | 625 | 2,706 ⁶²⁶ | | 231 ⁶²⁶ | | 92 | 627 | | 2.7 ⁶²⁸ | |
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Measure | ISR | RR _E | F | RD | CF _{SP} | | CFw | Р | FR | | | SO |
| Central ASHP | 100 ^{%629} | 100% ⁶³⁰ | 100 |)% ⁶³⁰ | 25% ⁶³ | 31 | 50%6 | 31 | 25% ⁶³ | 2 | 0 | % ⁶³³ |

628 Ibid.

⁶¹⁸ Assumed capacity.

⁶¹⁹ U.S. DOE Standard, effective in 2006: <u>http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/product.aspx/productid/75</u>. A grace period is in place for an amendment to the 2006 standard, which delays the updated code until June 30, 2016.

⁶²⁰NY TRM 2010 p. 42, ASHP measure, SEER correlated to HSPF of 9.2 (closest HSPF value to 10).

⁶²¹ Minimum program requirement.

⁶²² GDS Measure Life Report, Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures, Prepared for the New England State Program Working Group, June 2007; Table 1; value for new construction.

⁶²³ Survey of standard and high-efficiency system costs at ecomfort.com.

⁶²⁴ Converted baseline SEER to EER using the following conversion: EER = -0.02*SEER²+1.12*SEER. U.S. DOE Building America House Simulation Protocols, p. 47, Eq 22, http://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy11osti/49246.pdf.

⁶²⁵ ENERGY STAR database, EER correlated to HSPF of 10: most common EER associated with split ASHP systems with HSPF of 10, viewed 7/16/14.

⁶²⁶ Calculated based on 97.4 MMBTU average heating load for Maine household and 36 kBtuh Central GSHP heating capacity. Average heating load for Maine

household is a weighted average value based on estimated heating energy and population distribution for Portland (96, 71.2%), Bangor (96, 23.4%), and Caribou (122, 5.4%).

⁶²⁷ NMR Group, Maine Single-Family Residential Baseline Study, September 14, 2015.

 $^{^{\}rm 629}$ EMT assumes that all purchased units are installed (i.e. ISR = 100%).

⁶³⁰ The measure has not yet been evaluated. Until the next program impact evaluation, EMT assumes 100% realization rate.

 $^{^{\}rm 631}$ MA TRM 2013 TRM 2010, Air-source heat pump peak coincidence factor.

⁶³² Program not yet evaluated, assume default FR of 25%.

⁶³³ Program not yet evaluated, assume default SO of 0%.

| Central Geothermal (Ground source) Heat Pump (GCL, GOL) | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Last Revised Date | 4/1/2017 (effe | ctive 3/1/2017) | | | | | | | |
| MEASURE OVERVIE | W | | | | | | | | |
| Description | This measure i | nvolves the purchase and installation of new Tier 3 high-efficiency geothermal heat | | | | | | | |
| | pump instead | of a standard efficiency oil boiler | | | | | | | |
| Energy Impacts | Electric, Heatir | ng Oil | | | | | | | |
| Sector | Residential | | | | | | | | |
| Program(s) | Home Energy S | Savings Program | | | | | | | |
| End-Use | Heating, Cooli | ng | | | | | | | |
| Decision Type | New Construct | ion, Replace on Burnout | | | | | | | |
| DEEMED GROSS EN | ERGY SAVINGS | UNIT SAVINGS) | | | | | | | |
| Demand savings | ΔkW_{c} = -0. | 152 ΔkW_{SP} = -0.015 | | | | | | | |
| | $\Delta kW_{H} = -2.$ | 573 ΔkW_{WP} = -2.048 | | | | | | | |
| Annual energy | Δ kWh/yr = -65 | 88 | | | | | | | |
| savings | $\Delta kWh_c/yr = -1$ | 1 | | | | | | | |
| | $\Delta kWh_H/yr = -6$ | 577 | | | | | | | |
| | ΔMMBTU _H /yr | = 109.524 | | | | | | | |
| GROSS ENERGY SAV | /INGS ALGORITH | IMS (UNIT SAVINGS) | | | | | | | |
| Demand savings | $\Delta kW_{H} = CAP_{H}$ | < (-1 / COP _{FF}) / 3.412 | | | | | | | |
| | $\Delta kW_{c} = [\% COC$ | $DL_{FULL} \times CAP_{C} \times (1/EER_{B} - 1/EER_{F}) + \%COOL_{NONF} \times CAP_{C} \times (-1/EER_{F})$ | | | | | | | |
| Annual Energy | Heating Saving | | | | | | | | |
| savings | $\Delta kWh_H/yr = AH$ | - +L x 1000 × (- 1 / COP _{FF}) / 3.412 | | | | | | | |
| | AMMBTU _H /vr | = AHL / AFUE _{BASE} | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | Cooling Saving | <u>S:</u> | | | | | | | |
| | $\Delta kWh_c/yr = AC$ | $L \times 1000 \times [\%COOL_{FULL} \times (1/EER_B - 1/EER_E) + \%COOL_{NONE} \times (-1/EER_E)]$ | | | | | | | |
| | Key Assumptio | ns | | | | | | | |
| | For hor | nes that have the equivalent of whole home A/C already installed, ground source heat pump | | | | | | | |
| | (GSHP) | will replace the cooling load equivalent to the GSHP's rated capacity. | | | | | | | |
| | For hor | nes that have existing partial cooling (i.e. 1 or 2 existing window A/C units), it is unknown if | | | | | | | |
| | the GH | SP will be used differently than the existing window A/C units. If the GHSP is used to cool the | | | | | | | |
| | same s | paces as existing window A/C units, the GHSP will replace the existing cooling load and result | | | | | | | |
| | in posit | ive savings due to increased efficiency. However, if the GHSP is used to cool the entire | | | | | | | |
| | nouse, | It may result in additional cooling load and hence negative savings. Without any in-situ data, | | | | | | | |
| | Eor hor | nes with no existing cooling equinment, it is assumed that the GSHP will be used to its full | | | | | | | |
| | cooling | capacity. | | | | | | | |
| Definitions | Unit | = New geothermal heat pump system | | | | | | | |
| | CAP _H | = Output heating capacity of geothermal heat pump at $47^{\circ}F$ (kBtu/hr) | | | | | | | |
| | CAPc | = Output cooling capacity of geothermal heat pump at 95°F (kBtu/hr) | | | | | | | |
| | COPEE | = Coefficient of performance of geothermal heat pump | | | | | | | |
| | EERB | = Assumed energy-efficiency ratio for existing cooling equipment (Btu/Watt-hr) | | | | | | | |
| | EER _E | = Rated energy-efficiency ratio for GSHP (Btu/Watt-hr) | | | | | | | |
| | %COOL _{FULL} | = Percentage of homes with existing cooling equipment equivalent of a whole | | | | | | | |
| | | home air conditioner (equivalent of 3 window A/C units) (%) | | | | | | | |
| | %COOL _{NONE} | = Percentage of homes with no existing cooling equipment (%) | | | | | | | |
| | AHL | = Annual heating load (MMBtu) | | | | | | | |
| | ACL | = Annual cooling load (MMBtu) | | | | | | | |
| | 1000 | = Conversion factor MMBtu to kBtu | | | | | | | |
| | | = Annual fuel utilization efficiency of the existing heating system (%) | | | | | | | |

| Central Geotherma | Central Geothermal (Ground source) Heat Pump (GCL, GOL) | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|---|---|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMP | EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTIONS | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Baseline Efficiency | The baseline case is a standard efficiency oil boiler and a mix of standard efficiency air conditioners | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | and no air conditioners. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Efficient Measure | The high-efficiency case is a new Tier 3 geothermal heat pump system to provide heating and | | | | | | | | | | | |
| cooling. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| PARAMETER VALUES | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Measure | CAP _H | CAPc | COPEE | EER _B | EERE | Life (yrs) | Cost (\$) | | | | | |
| GSHP | 36 ⁶³⁴ | 36 ⁶³⁵ | 4.1 ⁶³⁶ | 16.2 ⁶³⁷ | 21.1 ⁶³⁸ | 25 ⁶³⁹ | 31,000 ⁶⁴⁰ | | | | | |
| Measure | %COOL _{FULL} | %COOL _{NONE} | EFLH _H | EFLH _c | AFUEBASE | AHL | ACL | | | | | |
| GSHP | 40% ⁶⁴¹ | 21% ⁶⁴¹ | 2,706 ⁶⁴² | 231 ⁶⁴³ | 84% ⁶⁴⁴ | 92 ⁶⁴⁵ | 2.7 ⁶⁴⁶ | | | | | |
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Measure | ISR | ISR RR _E RR _D CF _S CF _W FR SO | | | | | | | | | | |
| GSHP | 100% ⁶⁴⁷ | 100%648 | 100% ⁶⁴⁸ | 10.2% ⁶⁴⁹ | 79.6% ⁶⁴⁹ | 25% ⁶⁵⁰ | 0%651 | | | | | |

idx?c=ecfr&sid=61b33caa9460da7b2e875b478972dfdc&rgn=div6&view=text&node=10:3.0.1.4.18.3&idno=10.

⁶³⁴ As referenced in MA 2013 TRM: ADM Associates, Inc. (2009). Residential Central AC Regional Evaluation. Prepared for NSTAR, National Grid, Connecticut Light & Power and United Illuminating; p. 4-12, Table 4-9.

⁶³⁵ As referenced in MA 2013 TRM: ADM Associates, Inc. (2009). Residential Central AC Regional Evaluation. Prepared for NSTAR, National Grid, Connecticut Light & Power and United Illuminating; p. 4-12, Table 4-9.

 $^{^{\}rm 636}$ ENERGY STAR* Geothermal Heat Pumps Key Product Criteria Open Loop Water-to-air Tier 3.

⁶³⁷ ENERGY STAR® Geothermal Heat Pumps Key Product Criteria Open Loop Water-to-air Tier 1.

⁶³⁸ ENERGY STAR[®] Geothermal Heat Pumps Key Product Criteria Open Loop Water-to-air Tier 3.

⁶³⁹ GDS Measure Life Report, Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures, Prepared for the New England State Program Working Group, June 2007; Table 1; value for new construction.

⁶⁴⁰ Average full cost of participant geothermal heat pump installation (\$38,000) minus assumed cost of standard efficiency system (\$7,000). Represents installations where geothermal heat pump was the sole upgrade installed.

⁶⁴¹ Portland Press Herald, <u>http://www.pressherald.com/2014/05/26/put power rates on ice that s a cool idea /</u>. In 2010, an estimated 79 percent of customers in ISO-New England region had room air conditioners. Of the 79 percent, 40 percent of homes have equivalent of whole home A/C (3 window A/Cs); 39 percent of homes have total cooling capacity equivalent of 1 or 2 window A/C units. The remaining 21 percent have no cooling equipment installed. ⁶⁴² Calculated based on 97.4 MMBTU average heating load for Maine household and 36 kBtuh Central GSHP heating capacity. Average heating load for Maine

household is a weighted average value based on estimated heating energy and population distribution for Portland (96, 71.2%), Bangor (96, 23.4%), and Caribou (122, 5.4%).

 ⁶⁴³ NY TRM 2010, average EFLH for the New York cities of Binghamton and Massena. The hours for these cities were mapped to the Maine cities of Portland, Bangor (Binghamton) and Caribou (Massena). Hours were scaled by degree days for each city. Final hours represent an average weighted by city population.
 ⁶⁴⁴ Code of Federal Regulations: http://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/text-

⁶⁴⁵ NMR Group, Maine Single-Family Residential Baseline Study, September 14, 2015

⁶⁴⁶ Ibid.

⁶⁴⁷ EMT assumes that all purchased units are installed (i.e. ISR = 100%).

⁶⁴⁸ The measure has not yet been evaluated. Until the next program impact evaluation, EMT assumes 100 percent realization rate.

⁶⁴⁹ Factors for the Central GSHP measure were assumed to be identical to the factors of the Ductless Heat Pump Retrofit measure because of the similarity between the two measures.

⁶⁵⁰ Program not yet evaluated, assume default FR of 25%.

⁶⁵¹ Program not yet evaluated, assume default SO of 0%.

| On-Demand Natura | l Gas Water H | leater (NGW | Н) | | | | |
|-------------------------|---------------------|--|-----------------------------|---|------------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Last Revised Date | 4/1/2017 (ef | fective 3/1/20 | 17) | | | | |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | | | | | | | |
| Description | This measure | his measure involves purchase and installation of new on-demand (instantaneous) natural gas- | | | | | |
| | fired water h | eater rather th | nan a new stan | dard tank wat | er heater. Ene | rgy savings are | achieved by |
| | reducing the | standby losses | s from the tank | k water heater | | | |
| Energy Impacts | Natural Gas | | | | | | |
| Sector | Residential | | | | | | |
| Program(s) | Home Energy | / Savings Progr | ram | | | | |
| End-Use | Heating, Coo | ling | | | | | |
| Decision Type | New Constru | ction, Replace | ment | | | | |
| DEEMED GROSS ENER | GY SAVINGS (| UNIT SAVINGS | 5) | | | | |
| Demand savings | $\Delta kW = NA$ | | | | | | |
| Annual energy | $\Delta kWh/yr = 0$ | | | | | | |
| savings | Δ MMBtu/yr | = 5.37 | | | | | |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVIN | IGS ALGORITH | MS (UNIT SAV | /INGS) | | | | |
| Demand savings | $\Delta kW = NA$ | | | | | | |
| Annual Energy | $\Delta kWh/yr = 0$ | | | | | | |
| savings | Δ MMBtu/yr | = GPD x 365 x 3 | 8.33 х 1 х (Т _{WH} | - T _{in}) x (1/EF _{BA} | $_{\rm SE} - 1/{\rm EF_{EE}}) / 1$ | ,000,000 | |
| Definitions | Unit | = New on-de | mand natural ន្ | gas water heat | er | | |
| | GAL | = Average am | nount of hot w | ater consumed | d annually per | Maine househ | old |
| | | (gal/yr/home | 2) | | | | |
| | Т _{WH} | = Water heat | er set-point te | mperature (°F |) | | |
| | T _{in} | = Average wa | ter at the mai | n (°F) | | | |
| | EF _{BASE} | = Energy fact | or for baseline | stand alone ta | ank water heat | :er (%) | |
| | EFEE | = Energy fact | or for on-dema | and water hea | ter (%) | | |
| | 365 | = Days per ye | ar | | | | |
| | 8.33 | = Density of v | water: 8.33 lb/ | gallon water | | | |
| | 1 | = Specific hea | at of water: 1 E | Stu/lb-°F | | | |
| | 1,000,000 | = Conversion | : 1,000,000 Bti | u/MMBtu | | | |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPT | | | | | <u>.</u> | | |
| Baseline Efficiency | The baseline | case is a new s | standard-effici | ency natural g | as fired tank w | ater heater. | |
| Efficient Measure | The high-effi | ciency case is a | a new on-dema | and (instantan | eous) natural g | gas fired water | heater with |
| | energy factor | r of at least 0.9 | 13. | | | | |
| | CDD | – | т | | | Life (see | |
| Ivieasure | GPD | I WH | lin | EFBASE | EFEE | Life (yrs) | Cost (\$) |
| | 51.1 ⁶⁵² | 126.2 ⁶⁵³ | 50.8 ⁶⁵⁴ | 0.62 ⁶⁵⁵ | 0.87656 | 25 ⁶⁵⁷ | 242 ⁶⁵⁸ |
| Gas water Heater | | | | | | | |

⁶⁵² Daily household consumption of hot water calculated based on average number of people per household (Nppl): 16.286 x Nppl + 13. The relationship is used in NY and Indiana TRMs and is based on: Lutz, James D., Liu, Xiaomin, McMahon, James E., Dunham, Camilla, Shown, Leslie J.McCure, Quandra T; "Modeling patterns of hot water use in households;" LBL-37805 Rev., Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, 1996.

⁶⁵³ NMR Group, Inc., Efficiency Maine Appliance Rebate Program Evaluation Overall Report – FINAL, July 18, 2014.

⁶⁵⁴ Standard Building America DHW Schedules, weighted average by population of all Maine water main sources.

⁶⁵⁵ Weighted average based on assumed market share of readily available water heaters that meet Federal water heater standard, DOE Standard 10 CFR 430.32(d), EF of 0.615 effective 4/16/2015. EF assumes a 40-gallon storage tank (EF = 0.675-(0.0015*40)).

⁶⁵⁶ Weighted average based on assumed program participation of eligible equipment (75% of measures with EF between 82 and 88% , 25% of measures with EF between 92-98%).

⁶⁵⁷ GDS Measure Life Report, Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures, prepared for the New England State Program Working Group, June 2007, Table 1; value for new construction.

⁶⁵⁸ Difference of weighted averages based on assumed market share of readily available baseline water heaters (\$474) and program eligible models (\$716) using internet listed prices accessed on 12/21/2016.

| On-Demand Natural Gas Water Heater (NGWH) | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------|---------------------|-----|-----|-----|--------------------|-------|
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | |
| Measure | ISR | RR _E | RR₀ | CFs | CFw | FR | SO |
| On-Demand Natural Gas Water Heater | 100% ⁶⁵⁹ | 100% ⁶⁵⁹ | NA | NA | NA | 25% ⁶⁶⁰ | 0%661 |

⁶⁵⁹ EMT assumes that all purchased units are installed (i.e. ISR = 100%).

 ⁶⁶⁰ Program not yet evaluated, assume default FR of 25%.
 ⁶⁶¹ Program not yet evaluated, assume default SO of 0%.

Low-income Program

| Air Sealing Direct Ins | Air Sealing Direct Install (LNAS) | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------|--------|---------------------------|------------|--------|---------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| Last Revised Date | 7/1/2016 (eff | ective 7/1/202 | 15) | | | | | | |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | | | | | | | | | |
| Description | This measure | involves seali | ng ai | r leaks ir | n windov | vs, do | oors, roof, ci | rawl spaces and | outside walls |
| | resulting in de | ecreased heat | ing a | nd cooli | ng loads | | | | |
| Energy Impacts | Natural Gas | | | | | | | | |
| Sector | Residential | | | | | | | | |
| Program(s) | Low-income D | Direct Install | | | | | | | |
| End-Use | Heating, Cooli | ing | | | | | | | |
| Decision Type | Retrofit | | | | | | | | |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVIN | GS ALGORITHN | 1S (UNIT SAVI | NGS) |) | | | | | |
| Demand savings | $\Delta kW = 0$ | | | | | | | | |
| Annual Energy | | | / 66 | c | | | | | |
| savings | | | / [] | | | | | | |
| Definitions | Unit | = Air-sealin | g pro | oject | | | | | |
| | HLF | = Heat loss | facto | or as a fu | unction c | of red | luction in CF | M50 | |
| | $\Delta CFM50$ | = Reduction | n in a | air infiltra | ation | | | | |
| | EFF | = Efficiency | fact | or of rep | presenta | tive h | neating syste | em (Btu/Btu) | |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTI | ONS | | | | | | | | |
| Baseline Efficiency | The baseline of | case is the exis | sting | home b | efore the | e air- | sealing mea | sures are instal | ed. The |
| | program cont | ractor measu | res tł | he baseli | ne leaka | ige ra | ate (CFM50 _{PF} | RE) during the ho | ome audit. |
| Efficient Measure | The high-effic | iency case is t | he h | ome afte | er the aiı | r-seal | ling measure | es are installed. | The program |
| | contractor me | easures the po | ost-u | pgrade l | eakage r | ate (| CFM50 _{POST}) a | after the air sea | ling installation |
| | is complete. | | | | | | | | |
| PARAMETER VALUES (| DEEMED) | | | | | | | | |
| Measure | HLF ⁶⁶² | ΔCFM50 |) | EFF | 663 | | | Life (yrs) | Cost (\$) |
| Air Sealing | 0.01362 | actual | | 80 | .5 | | | 15 ⁶⁶⁴ | \$700 ⁶⁶⁵ |
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | | | |
| Measure | ISR | RR _E | | RR _D | CFs | | CFw | FR | SO |
| Air Sealing | 100% ⁶⁶⁶ | 100% ⁶⁶⁷ | 10 |)0% ⁴⁰² | N/A | 4 | N/A | 25% ⁶⁶⁸ | 0%669 |

⁶⁶² Based on modeling of TMY3 data.

⁶⁶³ Representative heating system efficiency NMR Group, Maine Single-Family Residential Baseline Study, September 14, 2015.

⁶⁶⁴ GDS Measure Life Report, Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures, prepared for the New England State Program Working Group, June 2007, Table 1.

⁶⁶⁵ Average cost of sampled 2016 projects where attic insulation was itemized separately on contractor invoice (N=51).

⁶⁶⁶ ISR is 100 percent because deemed savings results are based on evaluated results that include installation verification.

⁶⁶⁷ The measure has not yet been evaluated. Until the next program impact evaluation, EMT assumes 100 percent realization rate.

⁶⁶⁸ Program not yet evaluated, assume default FR of 25%.

⁶⁶⁹ Program not yet evaluated, assume default SO of 0%.

| Attic/Roof Insulation |) Direct Install (| LNAI) | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|---|--------------------|------------------------------|--------------------|-----------|----------------------|-------|-------------------------|
| Last Revised Date | 7/1/2016 (ef | 7/1/2016 (effective 7/1/2015) | | | | | | | |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | | | | | | | | | |
| Description | This measure i | involves the insu | ulation of the att | ic floor to decre | ease heating | and cool | ing losses i | n hc | omes |
| | heated with na | atural gas where | e the existing att | ic insulation is r | ated at R-8 | or lower. | | | |
| Energy Impacts | Natural Gas | | | | | | | | |
| Sector | Residential | | | | | | | | |
| Program(s) | Low-income | Direct Install | | | | | | | |
| End-Use | Heating, Coo | ling | | | | | | | |
| Decision Type | Retrofit | | | | | | | | |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVINGS | ALGORITHMS | 6 (UNIT SAVIN | GS) | | | | | | |
| Demand savings | $\Delta kW = 0$ | | | | | | | | |
| Annual Energy savings | Δ MMBtu = H | LF x (1/ RVAL _P | RE-1/ RVALPOST |) x SQFT / EFF | | | | | |
| Definitions | Unit | Unit = Attic/roof insulation project | | | | | | | |
| | HLF | = Heat | loss factor as a | function of ch | nange in ins | ulation | R-value | | |
| | SQFT | = Area | of attic insulati | on (ft ²) assum | ed in temp | erature | bin analys | is | |
| | RVALPRE | = Pre-u | pgrade attic R- | value (ft²-°F-h | r/Btu) assu | med in t | emperatu | ıre k | oin |
| | | analysi | S | | | | | | |
| | RVALPOST | = Post- | upgrade attic R | -value (ft ² -°F- | hr/Btu) ass | umed in | temperat | ure | bin |
| | | analysi | S | | | | | | |
| | EFF | = Effici | ency factor of r | epresentative | heating sy | stem (Bt | u/Btu) | | |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTION | NS | | | | | | | | |
| Baseline Efficiency | The baseline | The baseline is the existing (pre-upgrade) insulation | | | | | | | |
| Efficient Measure | The high-effi | ciency case is t | he upgraded ir: | sulation | | | | | |
| PARAMETER VALUES (DE | EMED) | | | | | | | | |
| Measure | HLF ⁶⁷⁰ | SQFT | RVALPRE | RVALPOST | EFF ⁶⁷¹ | Life | (yrs) ⁶⁷² | Сс | ost (\$) ⁶⁷³ |
| Attic/Roof Insulation | 0.192138 | actual | actual | actual | 80.5 | | 25 | | 2,617 |
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | | | |
| Measure | ISR ⁶⁷⁴ | RR _E ⁶⁷⁵ | RR_{D}^{676} | CFs | | CFw | FR ⁶⁷⁷ | | SO ⁶⁷⁸ |
| Attic/Roof Insulation | 100% | 100% | 100% | N/A | 1 | I/A | 0% | | 0% |

⁶⁷⁰ Based on modeling of TMY3 data and average annual heat loss of 92 MMBtu per home.

⁶⁷¹ Representative heating system efficiency based on preliminary results from NMR Group, Maine Single-Family Residential Baseline Study, September 14, 2015. ⁶⁷² GDS Measure Life Report, Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures, Prepared for the New England State Program Working Group, June 2007; Table 1.

⁶⁷³ Average cost of sampled 2016 projects where attic insulation was itemized separately on contractor invoice (N=58).

⁶⁷⁴ EMT assumes that all purchased units are installed (i.e. ISR = 100%).

⁶⁷⁵ The measure has not yet been evaluated. Until the next program impact evaluation, EMT assumes 100% realization rate.

⁶⁷⁶ The measure has not yet been evaluated. Until the next program impact evaluation, EMT assumes 100% realization rate.

⁶⁷⁷ Program assumes no free ridership for the low-income direct install program.

⁶⁷⁸ Program not yet evaluated, assume default SO of 0%.

| Low-income Gas Heat | (Modeled) | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|--|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------------|-------------------|--|
| Last Revised Date | 3/1/2015 | 3/1/2015 | | | | | | |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | MEASURE OVERVIEW | | | | | | | |
| Description | This measure | involves the i | nstallation of | a new natura | l gas heating s | system and bu | uilding | |
| | weatherization | n measures to | o replace the e | existing natur | al gas heating | equipment. | | |
| Energy Impacts | Natural Gas | | | | | | | |
| Sector | Low Income | | | | | | | |
| Program(s) | Low-income P | rogram | | | | | | |
| End-Use | Heating | | | | | | | |
| Decision Type | Retrofit, Repla | ice on Burnou | ıt | | | | | |
| DEEMED GROSS ENERGY | ' SAVINGS (UNI | T SAVINGS) | | | | | | |
| Demand savings | $\Delta kW = NA$ | | | | | | | |
| Annual energy savings | $\Delta kWh/yr = 0$ | | | | | | | |
| | Δ MMBtu _{GAS} = | Calculated us | ing project-sp | ecific data | | | | |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVINGS | GROSS ENERGY SAVINGS ALGORITHMS (UNIT SAVINGS) | | | | | | | |
| Demand Savings | The program of | loes not estin | nate demand | savings for th | ese projects. | | | |
| Annual Energy Savings | The program e | estimates ann | ual natural ga | ıs savings usir | ng project-spe | cific data and | building | |
| | modeling soft | ware. | | | | | | |
| Definitions | Unit | = Low-incon | ne gas heat pr | oject | | | | |
| | Δ MMBtu _{GAS} | = Modeled a | annual natura | l gas savings f | or weatheriza | ition and heat | ing system | |
| | | upgrade (M | MBtu) | | | | | |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTIO | NS | | | | | | | |
| Baseline Efficiency | The baseline s | cenario is the | existing low- | income buildi | ng and heatin | ig system equ | ipment. | |
| Efficient Measure | The high-effici | ency measure | es involves we | eatherizing th | e building and | l replacing the | e existing | |
| | natural gas he | ating equipm | ent with new | high-efficiend | cy natural gas | heating equip | oment. | |
| PARAMETER VALUES | | | | | | | | |
| Measure | $\Delta MMBtu_{GAS}$ | | | | | Life (yrs) | Cost (\$) | |
| Multifamily Gas Heat | Model | | | | | 20 ⁶⁷⁹ | Actual | |
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | 1 | | 1 | 1 | | |
| Measure | ISR | RRE | RRD | CFs | CFw | FR | SO | |
| Multifamily Gas Heat | 100% ⁶⁸⁰ | 100% ⁶⁸¹ | 100% ⁶⁸¹ | NA | NA | 0% ⁶⁸² | 0% ⁶⁸³ | |

⁶⁷⁹ GDS, Measure Life Report, Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures, June 2007. Table 1, value for weatherization measures. ⁶⁸⁰ EMT assumes that all purchased units are installed (i.e. ISR = 100%).

⁶⁸¹ This measure has not yet been evaluated. Until the next program impact evaluation, EMT assumes 100 percent realization rate.

⁶⁸² EMT assumes 100 percent NTG (0 percent free ridership) for the low-income sector.

⁶⁸³ Program not yet evaluated, assume default SO of 0%.

| Furnace and Boiler | Retrofit (Pres | scriptive) | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|---------------------|--|---------------------|------------------|-----------------|-------------------|--------------------------|--|
| Last Revised Date | 7/1/2016 | /1/2016 | | | | | | |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | MEASURE OVERVIEW | | | | | | | |
| Description | This measure | involves the rep | lacement of a | in existing fur | nace or boiler | with a high-eff | ficiency | |
| | furnace or bo | oiler of the same | fuel type and | capacity (i.e. | no fuel switchi | ing). | | |
| Energy Impacts | Natural Gas, | Heating Oil, Kero | sene, Propan | e, Wood, Pelle | et | | | |
| Sector | Residential, L | ow Income | | | | | | |
| Program(s) | Low-income | Program, Home I | Energy Saving | s Program | | | | |
| End-Use | Heating | | | | | | | |
| Decision Type | Retrofit | | | | | | | |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVI | NGS ALGORITH | IMS (UNIT SAVIN | IGS) | | | | | |
| Demand savings | $\Delta kW = 0$ | | | | | | | |
| Annual Energy | $\Delta kWh/yr = 0$ | | | | | | | |
| Savings | ∆MMBtu/yr : | $\Delta MMBtu/yr = AHL \times (EF_{EE} / EF_{BASE} - 1)$ | | | | | | |
| Definitions | Unit | Unit = 1 new furnace or boiler | | | | | | |
| | AHL | = Annual heat l | oad (MMBtu/ | yr) | | | | |
| | EF _{BASE} | = Rated efficien | cy of the base | eline existing u | unit (AFUE) | | | |
| | EFEE | = Rated efficien | cy of the high | -efficiency un | it (AFUE) | | | |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPT | IONS | | | | | | | |
| Baseline Efficiency | The baseline | is the existing fu | rnace or boile | r. | | | | |
| Efficient Measure | The high-effi | ciency case is a n | ew furnace or | boiler that ex | ceeds the fed | eral minimum | efficiency | |
| | standards. | | | | | | | |
| PARAMETER VALUES | (DEEMED) | | | | | • | | |
| Measure | AHL ⁶⁸⁴ | EF _{BASE} | EFEE | | | Life (yrs) | Cost (\$) | |
| Furnace/Boiler | 92 | Actual | Actual | | | 25 ⁶⁸⁵ | Actual ⁶⁸⁶ | |
| Retrofit | 52 | Actual | Actual | | | 23 | Actual | |
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | - | • | | |
| Measure | ISR | RR _E | RR _D | CFs | CFw | FR | SO | |
| Furnace/Boiler Retrofit | 100% ⁶⁸⁷ | 100%688 | 100% ⁶⁸⁸ | NA | NA | 0% ⁶⁸⁹ | 0% ⁶⁹⁰ | |

⁶⁸⁴ NMR Group, Maine Single-Family Residential Baseline Study, September 14, 2015.

 ⁶⁸⁵ GDS, Measure Life Report, Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures, June 2007, Table 1, value for new construction.
 ⁶⁸⁶ Full cost of installation.

⁶⁸⁷ EMT assumes that all purchased units are installed (i.e. ISR = 100%).

⁶⁸⁸ This measure has not yet been evaluated. Until the next program impact evaluation, EMT assumes 100 percent realization rate.

⁶⁸⁹ EMT assumes 100 percent NTG (0 percent free ridership) for the low-income sector.

⁶⁹⁰ Program not yet evaluated, assume default SO of 0%.

| Ductless Heat Pump | Retrofit (LIDHP, LCH) | | | | |
|---------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|
| Last Revised Date | 7/1/2016 | | | | |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | | | | | |
| Description | This measure involves the purchase and installation | ion of a high-efficiency ductless heat pump (DHP) | | | |
| | system to supplement the existing heating syster | m in electric-, gas-, oil-, kerosene-, and propane- | | | |
| | heated homes and to replace existing window air | r-conditioning units. The new DHP equipment may | | | |
| | have one (single-head) or multiple (multi-head) in | ndoor units per outdoor unit. | | | |
| Energy Impacts | Electric, Heating Oil, Propane, Kerosene | | | | |
| Sector | Residential | | | | |
| Program(s) | Low-income Program | | | | |
| End-Use | Cooling, Heating | Cooling, Heating | | | |
| Decision Type | Retrofit | | | | |
| DEEMED GROSS ENER | GY SAVINGS ALGORITHMS (UNIT SAVINGS) | | | | |
| Demand Savings | If baseline electric heat | If baseline non-electric heat | | | |
| | ΔkW_{max} = 1.912 | $\Delta kW_{max} = -2.266$ | | | |
| | $\Delta kW_{WP} = 1.330$ | $\Delta kW_{WP} = -0.654$ | | | |
| | $\Delta kW_{SP} = -0.011$ | $\Delta kW_{SP} = -0.011$ | | | |
| Annual Energy | If baseline electric heat | | | | |
| Savings | ∆kWh/yr = 6,481 | | | | |
| | If baseline non-electric heat | | | | |
| | Electric energy savings (negative values indicate | increased usage) | | | |
| | ∆kWh/yr = -2,954 | | | | |
| | Fuel energy savings | | | | |
| | Δ MMBtu/y = 40 | | | | |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVIN | GS ALGORITHMS (UNIT SAVINGS) | | | | |
| Demand Savings | Modeled ⁶⁹¹ | | | | |

 $^{^{\}rm 691}$ Based on Excel Workbook for Ductless Heat Pump

| Ductless Heat Pump | Retrofit (LIDHP, LCH) |
|---------------------------|--|
| Annual Energy Savings | Modeled ⁶⁹¹ Heating and cooling savings are modeled using TMY3 data for Portland, Bangor and Caribou. Results are weighted based on population (71.2% Portland, 23.4% Bangor, 5.4% Caribou). ⁶⁹² |
| | Savings were calculated based on a model employing the following key assumptions: Average annual Heat Loss is 92 MMBtu corresponding to an average UA of 493 MMBtu/h/deg F. DHP's contribution to heating does not exceed 35 percent of the home's heating load in any temperature bin. Even in temperature bins in which 100 percent of the home's heating load can be supplied by the DHP, the DHP supplies 35 percent of the heating load, and the remaining 65 percent is supplied by the existing heating system to account for distribution and behavior effects.⁶⁹³ DHP heating output capacity and DHP heating efficiency (both baseline and efficient units) vary linearly with outside air temperature is less than or equal to 65°F. Cooling is called for when outside air temperature is greater than or equal to 70°F. For homes that have equivalent of whole home A/C already installed, DHP will replace the cooling load equivalent to the DHP's rated capacity. For homes that have existing partial cooling (i.e. 1 or 2 existing window A/C units), it is unknown if the DHP will be installed in the same areas served by the existing window A/C units. If installed in the same area, the DHP will replace the existing cooling load and result in positive savings due to increased efficiency. However, if installed in a different area, DHP may result in additional cooling load and hence increased energy use. Without any in-situ data, zero-net savings is assumed for homes with existing partial cooling. For homes with no existing cooling equipment, it is assumed that the DHP will be used to its full cooling capacity. |
| Definitions | Unit= 1 ductless heat pump (DHP) systemHSPFEE= Heating seasonal performance factor of the high-efficiency DHP (Btu/Watt-hr)CAP _{Cool} = Rated cooling capacity of the DHP (kBtu/h)CAP _{Heat} = Rated heating capacity of the DHP (kBtu/h)SEER _B = Seasonal energy-efficiency ratio for baseline DHP (Btu/Watt-hr)SEER _E = Seasonal energy-efficiency ratio for high-efficiency DHP (Btu/Watt-hr)%COOL _{FULL} = Percentage of homes with existing cooling equipment equivalent of a whole home air conditioner (equivalent of 3 window A/C units)%COOL _{NONE} = Percentage of homes with no existing cooling equipment |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTI | IONS |
| Baseline Efficiency | The baseline case assumes the home retains its existing electric resistance-, oil-, kerosene- or propane-heating system and uses a window air conditioning unit for cooling (or has no cooling). A weighted average of the blended baseline fuel-heating systems and electric resistance heating systems in Maine homes and single-package air conditioner are used in the model (see Table 5). |
| Efficient Measure | The high-efficiency case assumes the home retains its existing heating system and adds a new high- efficiency DHP that meets minimum efficiency requirements for program rebate: HSPF=13.0 Btu/W-h. |

⁶⁹² Calculated based on population of each region; U.S. Census Bureau Census 2010 Summary File 1 population by census tract.

⁶⁹³ Heat load offset of 35 percent is consistent with other findings. Ecotope, NEEA Final Summary Report for the Ductless Heat Pump Impact and Process Evaluation, February 19, 2014, reported savings were analyzed to be equivalent to 30%-40% heat load offset.

| Ductless Heat Pump Retrofit (LIDHP, LCH) | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|
| PARAMETER VALUES | | | | | | | |
| Measure | CAP _{Heat} | CAP | Cool | HSPF _E | SEER _E | %COOL _{FULL} | %COOL _{NONE} |
| DHP Retrofit | 17.5 ⁶⁹⁴ | 14.2 | 694 | 13.2 ⁶⁹⁴ | 25.6 ⁶⁹⁴ | 40% ⁶⁹⁵ | 21% ⁶⁹⁵ |
| Measure | SEER _B | AFL | JE _B | | | Life (yrs) | Cost (\$) |
| DHP Retrofit | 9.8 ⁶⁹⁶ | 80.5 | 697 | | | 18 ⁶⁹⁸ | \$Actual ⁶⁹⁹ |
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | |
| Measure | ISR | RRE | RR_{D} | CFs | CFw | FR | SO |
| DHP Retrofit | 100% ⁷⁰⁰ | 100% ⁷⁰¹ | 100% ⁷⁰¹ | 100% ⁷⁰² | 100% ⁷⁰² | 0% ⁷⁰³ | 0% ⁷⁰⁴ |

⁶⁹⁴ Weighted average values of the most popular units that have been incentivized under the Efficiency Maine program.

 ⁶⁹⁵ Portland Press Herald, <u>http://www.pressherald.com/2014/05/26/put power rates on ice that s a cool idea /</u>. In 2010, an estimated 79 percent of customers in ISO-New England region had room air conditioners. Of the 79 percent, 40 percent of homes have equivalent of whole home A/C (3 window A/Cs); 39 percent of homes have total cooling capacity equivalent of 1 or 2 window A/C units. The remaining 21percent have no cooling equipment installed.
 ⁶⁹⁶ Minimum EER for code-compliant room air conditioner effective June 1, 2014.

⁶⁹⁷ Representative heating system efficiency based on preliminary results from NMR Group, Maine Single-Family Residential Baseline Study, September 14, 2015.

⁶⁹⁸ GDS Associates, Inc., Measure Life Report – Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures, June 2007, Table 1.

⁶⁹⁹ Total cost to program which covers 100 percent of installation cost.

⁷⁰⁰ EMT assumes that all purchased units are installed (i.e. ISR = 100%).

⁷⁰¹ This measure is new and has not yet been evaluated. Until the next program impact evaluation, EMT assumes 100 percent realization rate. ⁷⁰² See Appendix B.

⁷⁰³ This measure is new and has not yet been evaluated. Until the next program impact evaluation, EMT assumes 100 percent NTG.

⁷⁰⁴ Program not yet evaluated, assume default SO of 0%.

| Fuel | Baseline: Main Heating Equipment | Efficiency Measure | Share | Efficiency | | | | | |
|----------|---------------------------------------|------------------------|------------|------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Heating Baseli | ne Assumptions | | | | | | | |
| | | | Calculated | | | | | | |
| Electric | Electric Baseboard | HSPF | Separately | 3.4 | | | | | |
| Gas | Gas-Fired Forced hot water boiler | AFUE | 6% | 75% | | | | | |
| Gas | Gas-Fired Steam boiler | AFUE | 3% | 75% | | | | | |
| Propane | Propane-Fired Forced hot water boiler | AFUE | 8% | 75% | | | | | |
| Propane | Propane-Fired Steam boiler | AFUE | 4% | 75% | | | | | |
| Oil | Oil-Fired Forced hot water boiler | AFUE | 22% | 75% | | | | | |
| Oil | Oil-Fired Steam boiler | AFUE | 22% | 75% | | | | | |
| Oil | Oil-Fired Ducted Furnace | AFUE * Duct Efficiency | 22% | 56% | | | | | |
| Wood | Wood Stove | AFUE | 12% | 60% | | | | | |
| Blended | Blended MMBtu Baseline | Blended Efficiency | 100% | 80.5% | | | | | |
| | | Duct Efficiency | | 75% | | | | | |
| | Cooling Baseline Assumptions | | | | | | | | |
| Electric | Single-Package Air Conditioner | SEER | 40% | 14 | | | | | |
| Electric | Single-Package Air Conditioner | EER | 40% | 12 | | | | | |

Table 5. Parameters for Existing Heating Systems

Sources

DOE standards for boilers manufactured on or after September 1, 2012

(http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/product.aspx/productid/72)

DOE standards for furnaces manufactured on or after May 1, 2013

(http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/product.aspx/productid/72)

US DOE: Better Duct Systems for Home Heating and Cooling (<u>http://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy05osti/30506.pdf</u>) DOE standards for Central air conditioners and central air conditioning heat pumps manufactured on or after January 1, 2015 (<u>http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/product.aspx/productid/75</u>) Maine Governor's Energy Office, SPACE HEATING FUEL COMPARISON CALCULATOR (<u>http://www.maine.gov/energy/fuel_prices/heating-calculator.php</u>)

DOE standards for AC and Heat Pump (on or after January 23, 2006, and before January 1, 2015) (http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/product.aspx/productid/75)

| Heat Pump Water Hea | ter Direct Install (LIHPWH) |
|-----------------------|---|
| Last Revised Date | 4/1/2017 |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | |
| Description | ENERGY STAR [®] -certified Heat Pump Water Heaters (HPWH) with a COP => 3.3 replacing a standard efficiency electric water heater. |
| Primary Energy Impact | Electric |
| Sector | Residential |
| Program(s) | Low-income Direct Install, Arrearage Management Program |
| End-Use | Domestic Hot Water |
| Decision Type | Retrofit |
| DEEMED GROSS ENERGY | (SAVINGS (UNIT SAVINGS) |
| Demand Savings | $\Delta k W_{SP} = 0.197$ |
| Annual Energy Savings | $\Delta k V W P = 0.424$ |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVINGS | |
| Demand Savings | $\Delta kW_{sP} = \Delta kW_{sP}/y_{Evaluated} *Scaling factor Demand - Summer Peak kW savings from a HPWH field-evaluation study scaled for a COP of 3.5 \Delta kW_{WP} = \Delta kW_{WP}/y_{Evaluated} *Scaling factor Demand - Winter Peak kW savings from a HPWH$ |
| | Heater field-evaluation study scaled for a COP of 3.5 |
| Annual Energy Savings | Δ kWh/yr = Δ kWh/y _{Evaluated} *Scaling factor Energy - Annual kWh savings from a HPWH field- evaluation study scaled for a COP of 3.5 Key assumptions include: |
| | Key assumptions include: Average tank size for EMT's in-program HPWHs is 50 gallons.⁷⁰⁵ Typical HPWH set-point temperature in Maine households is expected to be comparable to the set-point temperature in Massachusetts and Rhode Island households metered.⁷⁰⁶ All of EMT's in-program HPWHs will be installed in conditioned spaces or partially conditioned spaces (i.e. regulated temperature and/or humidity), as was the case for most HPWH units studied in the evaluation⁷⁰⁷ Realized energy savings scale by COP and water use as follows: Scaling factor energy = (1/COP_{BASE} – 1/COP_{EE})/(1/COP_{BASE_STUDY} – 1/COP_{STUDY}) * WU_{ME}/WU_{STUDY} = 1.275 Realized demand savings scale by COP as follows: Scaling factor demand = (1/COP_{BASE} – 1/COP_{EE})/(1/COP_{BASE_STUDY} – 1/COP_{STUDY}) = 1.135 Where COP_{BASE_STUDY} = 0.904 – coefficient of performance for standard 50 gallon water heater included in the study⁷⁰⁸ COP_{BASE} = 0.945 – orefficient of performance for standard 50 gallon water heater COP_{EE} = 3.5 – rated coefficient of performance of water heaters to be installed under this program |

⁷⁰⁵ NMR Group, Inc., Efficiency Maine Appliance Rebate Program Evaluation Overall Report – FINAL, July 18, 2014, Table 2-31; at least 89 percent of HPWH units in EMT program are 50 gallons units (with the remaining 11 percent with unknown tank size). Steven Winter Associates Inc., Heat Pump Water Heaters, Evaluation of Field Installed Performance, June 26, 2012 included 10 units with 50-gallon tanks; 1 unit with a 60-gallon tank; and 3 units with 80-gallon tanks.

⁷⁰⁶ NMR Group, Inc., Efficiency Maine Appliance Rebate Program Evaluation Overall Report – FINAL, July 18, 2014, Table 2-35; the average set-point temperature in Maine is 126.2°F, compared to the average set-point temperature of 127.6°F found in Steven Winter Associates Inc., Heat Pump Water Heaters, Evaluation of Field Installed Performance, June 26, 2012.

⁷⁰⁷ Considering Maine's climate (winter), it can be anticipated that most if not all properly installed HPWHs will be installed in fully or partially conditioned spaces. ⁷⁰⁸ Federal Standard, Code of Federal Regulations, Title 10, Part 430, Subpart C.

⁷⁰⁹ Steven Winter Associates Inc., Heat Pump Water Heaters, Evaluation of Field Installed Performance, June 26, 2012, Table 1.
| Heat Pump Water Heater Direct Install (LIHPWH) | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|------|---------------------|---------|----------------|-------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| | • WU _{ME} = 51 | 171 | LO | | | | | | | |
| | | = 45 | 5.5 ⁷¹¹ | | | | | | | |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTIO | EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTIONS | | | | | | | | | |
| Baseline Efficiency | Standard 50- | gall | on residen | tial wa | ter hea | iter with a | n AH | IRI Energy Facto | or = 0.945 ⁷¹² | |
| Efficient Measure | ENERGY STAF | R®-c | certified m | odel (E | F = 3.5 |) | | | | |
| PARAMETER VALUES (DEEMED) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Measure | ΔkWh/y _{Evaluated} ΔkW _{SP, Evaluated} | | aluated | ΔkW | NP, Evaluated | So (en | caling factors ergy/demand) | Life (yrs) | Cost (\$) | |
| ENERGY STAR [®] HPWH | 1,687 | | 0.17 | 5 | 0 | .374 | | 1.275/1.135 | 13 ⁷¹³ | Actual ⁷¹⁴ |
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | | | | |
| Measure | ISR | | RR _E | RF | ₹D | CFs | | CFw | FR | SO |
| ENERGY STAR [®] HPWH | 100%715 | 1 | L00% ⁷¹⁶ | 1009 | ³⁷⁰ | 100%71 | .7 | 100% ³⁷¹ | 0% ⁷¹⁸ | 0% ⁷¹⁹ |

⁷¹⁰ For Maine, 51.1 GPD is used based on average number of people per household (Nppl): 16.286 x Nppl + 13. The relationship is used in NY and Indiana TRMs and is based on: Lutz, James D., Liu, Xiaomin, McMahon, James E., Dunham, Camilla, Shown, Leslie J.McCure, Quandra T; "Modeling patterns of hot water use in households;" LBL-37805 Rev. Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, 1996.

 ⁷¹¹ Average GPD found in the Steven Winter Associates Inc., Heat Pump Water Heaters, Evaluation of Field Installed Performance, June 26, 2012, was 45.5 GPD.
 ⁷¹² Federal Standard, Code of Federal Regulations, Title 10, Part 430, Subpart C. EF = 0.945 value is calculated for 50-gallon water heater.

⁷¹³ NREL, National Residential Efficiency Measure Database.

⁷¹⁴ Total cost to program which covers 100 percent of installation cost.

⁷¹⁵ NMR Group, Inc., Efficiency Maine Appliance Rebate Program Evaluation Overall Report – FINAL, July 18, 2014, p. 60.

⁷¹⁶ Realization rates are 100 percent since savings estimates are based on evaluation results.

⁷¹⁷ Peak coincidence factors for this measure are embedded in the evaluated peak demand impacts.

⁷¹⁸ EMT assumes 0 percent free ridership and 0 percent spillover (i.e. NTG = 100%) for all measures implemented through the low-income program.

⁷¹⁹ Program not yet evaluated, assume default SO of 0%.

| Domestic Water Heater | Temperatu | re Turn-Dov | wn | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|--------|-----------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|
| Last Revised Date | 7/1/2013 | | | | | | | |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | | | | | | | | |
| Description | The hot wa | ter set-point | temperature | of the e | xistir | ng electric dom | nestic water he | ater (DWH) is |
| | reduced by | at least 10°F | . ⁷²⁰ Savings de | erive pri | mari | ly from reducin | ng the energy lo | ost to leaks, |
| | dishwasher | s and standb | y losses. The s | savings a | assur | me measures a | ire implemente | d on electric |
| | water heate | ers. | | | | | | |
| Primary Energy Impact | Electric | | | | | | | |
| Sector | Residential | | | | | | | |
| Program(s) | Low-income | e Program | | | | | | |
| End-Use | Domestic H | ot Water | | | | | | |
| Decision Type | Retrofit | | | | | | | |
| DEEMED GROSS ENERGY SAVINGS (UNIT SAVINGS) | | | | | | | | |
| Demand Savings | $\Delta kW = 0.01$ | 0 | | | | | | |
| Annual Energy Savings | Δ kWh/yr = | 87 | | | | | | |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVINGS ALGORITHMS (UNIT SAVINGS) | | | | | | | | |
| Demand Savings | $\Delta kW = \Delta kW$ | $\Delta kW = \Delta kWh/yr / Hours$ | | | | | | |
| Annual Energy Savings | Δ kWh/yr = | $\Delta kWh/yr = \Delta kWh_{EWHTD}$ | | | | | | |
| Definitions | Unit | = 10°F te | mperature tui | rndown | for 1 | electric DHW | | |
| | ΔkWh_{EWHTD} | = Average | e annual ener | gy savin | gs fo | or 10°F turndov | vn on electric v | vater heater |
| | | | (kWh/yr) | | | | | |
| | Hours | = Annual | operating ho | urs for w | /ater | ^r heater (hrs/yı | r) | |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTIONS | S | | | | | | | |
| Baseline Efficiency | Electric DW | 'H at original | set-point tem | peratur | e of | 130°F or great | er. | |
| Efficient Measure | Electric DW | 'H at set-poir | nt temperatur | e 10°F b | elow | the original set | et-point tempe | rature. If the |
| | original tem | nperature is i | reduced by les | ss than 1 | .0°F, | no savings sho | ould be claimed | l. The |
| | temperatur | e should not | be reduced b | elow 12 | 0°F.7 | 721 | | |
| PARAMETER VALUES (DEE | MED) | | | | | | 1 | |
| Measure | ∆kWh | EWHTD | Hours | | | Life (yrs) | Cos | st (\$) |
| DWH Turn-Down | 87 | 722 | 8,760 ⁷² | 3 | | 4 ⁷²⁴ | 0 | 725 |
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | T | 1 | | ГТ | | |
| Measure | ISR | RRE | RR _D | CFs | | CFw | FR | SO |
| DWH Turn-Down | 100% ⁷²⁶ | 100% ⁷²⁷ | 100% ⁷²⁷ | 9.6%7 | 28 | 13.3% ⁷²⁸ | 0% ⁷²⁹ | 0% ⁷³⁰ |

⁷²⁸Appendix B: Coincidence and Energy Period Factors.

⁷²⁰ Engineering assumption, conservative compared to Illinois 2012 TRM which claims 15°F setback.

⁷²¹ The risk of bacteria growing in the stored hot water increases when the set-point temperature is reduced below 120°F:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2094925/#B5

⁷²² Savings are captured by calculating energy savings from reducing the temperature of the water consumed by the following end uses: leaks, clothes washers and the use categorized by "other." No savings are claimed from hot water end uses such as showering or faucet use because it is assumed that the user will continue to operate the end use at the same temperature as prior to implementing this measure. By operating at the same temperature, the user uses water with the same amount of energy as before (thereby not reducing energy use directly). Daily water usages are based on EPA's WaterSense guide:

http://www.epa.gov/WaterSense/docs/home_suppstat508.pdf. Savings include reduced standby losses.

⁷²³ EMT assumes the water heater operates continuously to maintain the water heater set-point temperature.

⁷²⁴ GDS Associates, Inc., Measure Life Report – Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures, June 2007, Table 1.

⁷²⁵ Assumes temperature turn-down is performed as part of an audit or direct install program.

⁷²⁶ EMT assumes that all purchased units are installed (i.e. ISR = 100%). This is consistent with the MA 2013-2015 TRM.

⁷²⁷ This measure has not yet been evaluated. Until the next program impact evaluation, EMT assumes 100 percent realization rate.

⁷²⁹ EMT assumes 0 percent free ridership for all measures implemented through the low-income program.

⁷³⁰ Program not yet evaluated, assume default SO of 0%.

| Domestic Water Heater | [.] Pipe Insula | ation | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|--|---------------------|---------------------|---------------|---------------|-------------------|---------------------|--|
| Last Revised Date | 7/1/2013 | | | | | | | | |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | | | | | | | | | |
| Description | Savings are | captured b | y installing 1 | 0 feet of pip | e insulation | on uninsula | ited water p | ipes | |
| | serving the | electric dor | nestic hot w | ater heater | (DWH). The | savings assu | ime measure | es are | |
| | implement | ed on electr | ic water hea | iters and that | it the tempe | erature turn- | down measu | lire has | |
| | been imple | emented. | | | | | | | |
| Primary Energy Impact | Electric | | | | | | | | |
| Sector | Residential | | | | | | | | |
| Program(s) | Low-incom | ow-income Program | | | | | | | |
| End-Use | Domestic H | omestic Hot Water | | | | | | | |
| Decision Type | Retrofit | | | | | | | | |
| DEEMED GROSS ENERGY SAVINGS (UNIT SAVINGS) | | | | | | | | | |
| Demand Savings | $\Delta kW = 0.02$ | 12 | | | | | | | |
| Annual Energy Savings | $\Delta kWh/yr=$ | 103 | | | | | | | |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVINGS ALGORITHMS (UNIT SAVINGS) | | | | | | | | | |
| Demand Savings | $\Delta kW = \Delta kV$ | $\Delta kW = \Delta kWh/yr / Hours$ | | | | | | | |
| Annual Energy Savings | $\Delta kWh/yr =$ | $\Delta kWh/yr = [GPD \times 365 \times \rho_{H2O} \times C_{H2O} \times (T_{WH} - T_{in}) / 3,412 / RE_{EWH}] \times SF_{PI}$ | | | | | | | |
| Definitions | Unit = 1 water heater | | | | | | | | |
| | GPD | GPD = Average daily hot water consumption (gallons/day) | | | | | | | |
| | р _{H2O} | = Density o | f water (8.3 | 3 lb/gallon) | | | | | |
| | С _{H20} | = Specific h | eat of wate | r (1 Btu/lb-°l | =) | | | | |
| | Т _{WH} | = Water he | ater temper | ature set po | int (°F) | | | | |
| | T _{in} | = Temperat | ture of wate | r mains (wa | ter into the | water heate | r) (°F) | | |
| | RE _{EWH} | = Recovery | Efficiency for | or baseline e | lectric wate | r heater | | | |
| | SF _{PI} | = Savings fa | actor for add | ling pipe ins | ulation | | | | |
| | Hours | = Annual o | perating hou | irs for water | heater (hrs | /yr) | | | |
| | 365 | = Conversio | on: 365 days | per year | | | | | |
| | 3,412 | = Conversion | on: 3,412 Bti | u per kWh | | | | | |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTION | S | | | | | | | | |
| Baseline Efficiency | Uninsulate | d DHW heat | er pipes (bo | th hot and c | old). The DV | VH must hav | /e no heat tr | ар | |
| | installed. | | | | | | | | |
| Efficient Measure | DHW heate | er pipes with | n 10 feet of p | pipe insulation | on installed. | Insulation n | nust be R-3 c | or | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | greater. ⁷³¹ | | | | | | | | |
| PARAMETER VALUES (DE | EMED) | | | | | | | | |
| Measure | GPD | T _{WH} | Tin | REEWH | SFPI | Hours | Life (yrs) | Cost (\$) | |
| DWH Pipe Insulation | 51.1 ^{/32} | 125 ⁷³³ | 50.8 ⁷³⁴ | 0.98 ⁷³⁵ | 0.03736 | 8,760′3′ | 15 ⁷³⁸ | \$70 ⁷³⁹ | |

⁷³¹ Complies with International Residential Code 2009 section N1103.3: mechanical system piping insulation.

⁷³² Daily household consumption of hot water calculated based on average number of people per household (Nppl): 16.286 x Nppl + 13. The relationship is used in NY and Indiana TRMs and is based on: Lutz, James D., Liu, Xiaomin, McMahon, James E., Dunham, Camilla, Shown, Leslie J.McCure, Quandra T; "Modeling patterns of hot water use in households;" LBL-37805 Rev., Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, 1996.

⁷³³ The set-point temperature is 10 degrees below the typical set-point temperature of 135°F, assuming that the temperature turn-down measure is implemented.

⁷³⁴ Standard Building America DHW Schedules, weighted average by population of all Maine water main sources.

⁷³⁵ NREL, Building America Research Benchmark Definition, 2009, p.12, <u>http://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy10osti/47246.pdf</u>

⁷³⁶ ACEEE Report Number E093, p. 117, April 2009, Potential for Energy Efficiency, Demand Response, and Onsite Solar Energy in Pennsylvania: "Insulating 10 feet of exposed pipe in unconditioned space, ¾" thick".

⁷³⁷ EMT assumes the water heater operates continuously to maintain the water heater set-point temperature.

⁷³⁸ GDS Associates, Inc., Measure Life Report – Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures, June 2007, Table 1.

⁷³⁹ NREL, National Residential Efficiency Measures Database, assuming R-5 insulation. The costs range from \$44 to \$92, with an average of \$70.

| Domestic Water Heater Pipe Insulation | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--|--|--|
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | | | | |
| Measure | ISR | RRE | RR _D | CFs | CFw | FR | SO | | | |
| DWH Pipe Insulation | 100% ⁷⁴⁰ | 100% ⁷⁴¹ | 100% ⁷⁴¹ | 100% ⁷⁴² | 100% ⁷⁴² | 0% ⁷⁴³ | 0% ⁷⁴⁴ | | | |

⁷⁴⁰ EMT assumes that all purchased units are installed (i.e. ISR = 100%). This is consistent with the MA 2013-2015 TRM.

⁷⁴¹ This measure has not yet been evaluated. Until the next program impact evaluation, EMT assumes 100 percent realization rate.

⁷⁴² See Appendix B: Coincidence and Energy Period Factors.

⁷⁴³ EMT assumes 0 percent free ridership for all measures implemented through the low-income program.

⁷⁴⁴ Program not yet evaluated, assume default SO of 0%.

| Domestic Water Heater | [.] Wrap | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|---|---|---|--|------------------------------|
| Last Revised Date | 7/1/2013 | | | | | | | |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | | | | | | | | |
| Description | Savings are domestic w DWH must Conservati implement been imple | e captured b vater heater be an ineffi on Act that v ed on electr emented. | y installing a (DWH) in ar cient model went into ef ic water hea | in insulating n unconditio that does no fect in 1991. aters and tha | blanket (wr ned space. F ot meet the The savings at the tempe | ap) on an ex For savings to National Ap assume me erature turn- | isting electri o be capture pliance Ener asures are down measu | c d, the gy ure has |
| Primary Energy Impact | Electric | | | | | | | |
| Sector | Residentia | | | | | | | |
| Program(s) | Low-incom | e Program | | | | | | |
| End-Use | Domestic H | lot Water | | | | | | |
| Decision Type | Retrofit | | | | | | | |
| DEEMED GROSS ENERGY | SAVINGS (U | | S) | | | | | |
| Demand Savings | $\Delta kW = 0.01$ | LO | - | | | | | |
| Annual Energy Savings | Δ kWh/yr = | 89 | | | | | | |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVINGS ALGORITHMS (UNIT SAVINGS) | | | | | | | | |
| Demand Savings | $\Delta kW = \Delta kWh/yr / Hours$ | | | | | | | |
| Annual Energy Savings | Δ kWh/yr = | $\Delta kWh/yr = [GPD \times 365 \times \rho_{H2O} \times Cp_{H2O} \times (T_{WH} - T_{in}) / 3,412] \times (1/EF_{BASE} - 1 / EF_{EE})$ | | | | | | |
| Definitions | Unit | Unit = 1 water heater with tank wrap | | | | | | |
| | GPD | = Average | daily hot wa | ter consump | tion (gallon | s/day) | | |
| | 365 | = Conversio | on: 365 days | per year | | | | |
| | р _{H2O} | = Density o | f water (8.3 | 3 lb/gallon) | | | | |
| | Ср _{н20} | = Specific h | eat of wate | r (1 Btu/lb-°l | F) | | | |
| | T _{WH} | = Water he | ater temper | ature set po | oint (°F) | | | |
| | T _{in} | = Tempera | ture of wate | er mains (wa | ter into the | water heate | r) (°F) | |
| | 3,412 | = Conversio | on: 3,412 Bt | u per kWh | | | | |
| | EFBASE | = Energy fa | ctor for bas | eline electrio | : water heat | er | | |
| | EFEE | = Energy fa | ctor for bas | eline electrio | water heat | er with wrap |) | |
| | Hours | = Annual o | perating hou | urs for water | r heater (hrs | /yr) | | |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTION | S | | | | | | | |
| Baseline Efficiency | Inefficient | DWH manuf | actured bef | ore 1991 wi | th no insulat | ing wrap in a | an unconditi | oned |
| | space. | | | | | | | |
| Efficient Measure | Inefficient | DWH manuf | actured bef | ore 1991 wi | th an insulat | ing wrap ins | talled in an | |
| | unconditio | ned space. | | | | | | |
| PARAMETER VALUES (DEI | | - | – | | | 11 | 1:fo (| Cost (c) |
| IVIeasure | | 1 _{WH} | Ι _{in} | | | HOURS | LITE (Yrs) | COST (\$) |
| EWH with tank wrap | 51.17 | 125/70 | 50.8'" | 0.86/70 | 0.88' | 8,760' | 1,30 | \$30, ₂₇ |

⁷⁴⁵ Daily household consumption of hot water calculated based on average number of people per household (Nppl): 16.286 x Nppl + 13. The relationship is used in NY and Indiana TRMs and is based on: Lutz, James D., Liu, Xiaomin, McMahon, James E., Dunham, Camilla, Shown, Leslie J.McCure, Quandra T; "Modeling patterns of hot water use in households;" LBL-37805 Rev., Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, 1996.

⁷⁴⁶ The set-point temperature is 10 degrees below the typical set-point temperature of 135°F, assuming that the temperature turn-down measure is implemented. ⁷⁴⁷ Standard Building America DHW Schedules, weighted average by population of all Maine water main sources.

⁷⁴⁸ The Oak Ridge study predicted that wrapping a 40-gal water heater would increase Energy Factor of a 0.86 electric DHW tank by 0.02 (to 0.88). "Meeting the

Challenge: The Prospect of Achieving 30 percent Energy Savings Through the Weatherization Assistance Program" by the Oak Ridge National Laboratory - May 2002. https://library.cee1.org/sites/default/files/library/1143/309.pdf

⁷⁴⁹ EMT assumes the water heater operates continuously to maintain the water heater set-point temperature.

⁷⁵⁰ DEER 2008

⁷⁵¹ <u>http://energy.gov/energysaver/projects/savings-project-insulate-your-water-heater-tank</u>

| Domestic Water Heater Wrap | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|---------|---------|---------------------|---------------------|---------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--|--|--|
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | | | | |
| Measure | ISR | RRE | RR _D | CFs | CFw | FR | SO | | | |
| EWH with tank wrap | 100%752 | 100%753 | 100 ^{%753} | 100 ^{%754} | 100%754 | 0% ⁷⁵⁵ | 0% ⁷⁵⁶ | | | |

⁷⁵² EMT assumes that all purchased units are installed (i.e. ISR = 100%). This is consistent with the MA 2013-2015 TRM.

⁷⁵³ This measure has not yet been evaluated. Until the next program impact evaluation, EMT assumes 100 percent realization rate.

⁷⁵⁴ See Appendix B: Coincidence and Energy Period Factors.

⁷⁵⁵ EMT assumes 0 percent free ridership for all measures implemented through the low-income program.

⁷⁵⁶ Program not yet evaluated, assume default SO of 0%.

Appendix A: Glossary

Definitions are based primarily on the Northeast Energy Efficiency Partnerships (NEEP), Regional Evaluation, Measurement & Verification (EMV) Forum, Glossary of Terms, Version 2.0 (PAH Associates, March 2011), cited at the end of each definition as [NEEP EMV Glossary].

Adjusted Gross Savings: The change in energy consumption and/or demand that results directly from program-related actions taken by participants in an efficiency program, regardless of why they participated, adjusted for evaluation findings. It adjusts for such factors as data errors, installation and persistence rates and hours of use, but does not adjust for free-ridership or spillover. Adjusted Gross Savings can be calculated as an annual or lifetime value. [NEEP EMV Glossary, edited]

Actual: Actual means the project-specific value that is recorded in the Project Application/Documentation for this measure.

Algorithm: An equation or set of equations, more broadly a method, used to calculate a number. In this case, it is an estimate of energy use or energy savings tied to operation of a piece of equipment or a system of interacting pieces of equipment. An algorithm may include certain standard numerical assumptions about some relevant quantities, leaving the user to supply other data to calculate the use or savings for the particular measure or equipment. [NEEP EMV Glossary]

Annual Demand Savings: The maximum reduction in electric demand in a given year within defined boundaries. The demand reduction is typically the result of the installation of higher efficiency equipment, new controls, or behavioral change. The term can be applied at various levels, from individual projects and energy-efficiency programs to overall program portfolios. [NEEP EMV Glossary, edited]

Annual Energy Savings: The reduction in electricity usage (reported as ΔkWh) or in fossil-fuel use (reported as $\Delta MMBtu$) in a given year from the savings associated with an energy-saving measure, project, or program. [NEEP EMV Glossary, edited]

Average Annual Operating Hours: see Hours of Use.

Baseline Efficiency: The assumed efficiency condition of the baseline equipment that is being replaced by the subject energy-efficiency measure. It is used to determine the energy savings obtained by the more efficient measure. [NEEP EMV Glossary, edited]

Btu: A standard measure of heat energy, one Btu is required to raise the temperature of one pound of water one degree Fahrenheit from 58.5 to 59.5 degrees under standard pressure of 30 inches of mercury at or near its point of maximum density. [NEEP EMV Glossary, edited]

Coincident Demand: The demand of a device, circuit or building that occurs at the same time as the peak demand of a system load or some other peak of interest. The peak of interest should be specified. [NEEP EMV Glossary]

Coincidence Factor (CF): The ratio of the average hourly demand of a group of measures during a specified period of time to the sum of their individual maximum demands (or connected loads) within the same period. [NEEP EMV Glossary, edited]

Deemed Savings: An estimate of energy or demand savings for a single unit of an installed energy-efficiency measure that (a) has been developed from data sources and analytical methods that are widely considered acceptable for the measure and purpose, and (b) is applicable to the situation being evaluated. A measure with deemed savings will have

the same savings per unit. Individual parameters used to calculate savings and/or savings calculation methods can also be deemed. [NEEP EMV Glossary, edited]

Delta Watts: The difference in the wattage between existing or baseline equipment and its more efficient replacement or installation at a specific time, expressed in watts or kilowatts. [NEEP EMV Glossary]

Demand: The time rate of energy flow. Demand usually refers to the amount of electric energy used by a customer or piece of equipment at a specific time, expressed in kilowatts. [NEEP EMV Glossary]

ENERGY STAR®: A joint program of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency and the U.S. Department of Energy designed to reduce energy use and its impact on the environment. The ENERGY STAR® label is awarded to products that meet applicable energy-efficiency guidelines as well as to homes and commercial buildings that meet specified energy-efficiency standards. [NEEP EMV Glossary, edited]

Free rider: A program participant who would have implemented the program measure or practice in the absence of the program. A free-rider can be: 1) total, in which the participant's activity would have completely replicated the program measure; 2) partial, in which the participant's activity would have partially replicated the program measure; or 3) deferred, in which the participant's activity would have completely replicated the program measure but at a future time beyond the program's timeframe. [NEEP EMV Glossary, edited]

Free ridership Rate (FR): The percent of energy savings through an energy-efficiency program attributable to free riders. [NEEP EMV Glossary, edited]

Gross Savings: The change in energy consumption and/or demand that results directly from program-related actions taken by participants in an efficiency program, regardless of why they participated and not adjusted for any factors. [NEEP EMV Glossary, edited]

Hours of Use (HOU) or Operating Hours: The average number of hours a measure is in use during a specified time period, typically a day or a year. [NEEP EMV Glossary]

Incremental Cost: The difference between the cost of existing or baseline equipment/service and the cost of energy-efficient equipment/service. [NEEP EMV Glossary]

In-Service Rate (ISR): The percentage of energy-efficiency measures adopted in response to program incentives that are actually installed and operating. The in-service rate is calculated by dividing the number of measures installed and operating by the number of incentives offered by an efficiency program in a defined period of time. [NEEP EMV Glossary, edited]

Interactive Effects (IE) - The influence of one technology's application on the energy required to operate another application. An example is the reduced heat in a facility as a result of replacing incandescent lights with CFLs, and the resulting need to increase space heating from another source, usually oil or gas fired. [NEEP EMV Glossary]

Kilowatt (kW): A measure of the rate of power used during a preset time period (e.g. minutes, hours, days or months) equal to 1,000 watts. [NEEP EMV Glossary]

Kilowatt-Hour (kWh): A common unit of electric energy; one kilowatt-hour is numerically equal to 1,000 watts used for one hour. [NEEP EMV Glossary]

Lifetime Energy Savings: The energy savings over the lifetime of an installed measure, calculated by multiplying the measure's annual energy usage reduction by its expected lifetime. [NEEP EMV Glossary, edited]

Measure Life: The length of time that a measure is expected to be functional. Measure Life is a function of: (1) *equipment life*—meaning the number of years that a measure is installed and will operate until failure; and (2) *measure persistence* which takes into account business turnover, early retirement of installed equipment, and other reasons that measures might be removed or discontinued. Measure Life is sometimes referred to as expected useful life (EUL). [adapted from NEEP EMV Glossary]

Meter-level Savings: Savings from energy-efficiency programs at the customer meter or premise level. [NEEP EMV Glossary, edited]

Net Present Value (NPV): Present value of benefits and costs that occur over the life of the measure taking the time value of money into account.

Net Savings: The savings attributable to an energy-efficiency program (which differs from gross savings because it includes the effects of free ridership and/or spillover rates).

Net-to-Gross Ratio (NTGR or NTG): The ratio of net savings to gross savings. The NTGR may be determined from the free ridership and spillover rates (NTGR=1-FR+SO), if available, or it may be a distinct value relating gross savings to the net effect of the program with no separate specification of FR and SO values. NTGR can be applied separately to either energy or demand savings.

Realization Rate (RR): The ratio of savings adjusted for data errors and for evaluated or verified results (verified) to initial estimates of project savings. RR_E (Energy Realization Rate) is applied to kWh and all fuels, while RR_D (Demand Realization Rate) is applied only to kW.

Seasonal Energy-efficiency Ratio (SEER): The total cooling output of a central AC unit in Btus (during its normal usage period for cooling) divided by the total electrical energy input in watt-hours during the same period, as determined using specified federal test procedures. [NEEP EMV Glossary]

Spillover (SO): Reductions in energy consumption and/or demand caused by the presence of an energy-efficiency program, beyond the program-related gross savings of the participants and without financial or technical assistance from the program. There can be participant and/or non-participant spillover. *Participant spillover* is the additional energy savings that occur when a program participant independently installs energy-efficiency measures or applies energy-saving practices in response to their participation in the efficiency program. *Non-participant spillover* refers to energy savings that occur when someone who did not participate in a program still installs energy-efficiency measures or applies energy savings practices as a result of a program's influence. [NEEP EMV Glossary, edited]

Spillover Rate (SO): Estimate of energy savings attributable to spillover effects expressed as a percent of savings installed by participants through an energy-efficiency program. [NEEP EMV Glossary]

Typical Meteorological Year 3: The TMY3s are data sets of hourly values of solar radiation and meteorological elements for a 1-year period published by the National Renewable Energy Laboratory. Their intended use is for computer simulations of solar energy conversion systems and building systems to facilitate performance comparisons of different system types, configurations, and locations in the United States and its territories. Because they represent typical rather than extreme conditions, they are not suited for designing systems to meet the worst-case conditions occurring at a location.

Waste Heat Factor (WHF): The interaction between a lighting measure's incidental heat output and installed HVAC systems.

Appendix B: Coincidence and Energy Period Factors

Coincidence factors are used to determine the average electric demand savings during the summer and winter on-peak periods as defined by the ISO-NE Forward Capacity Market (FCM). The on-peak demand periods are defined as follows:⁷⁵⁷

- Summer On-Peak: 1:00 to 5:00 PM on non-holiday weekdays in June, July and August.
- <u>Winter On-Peak</u>: 5:00 to 7:00 PM on non-holiday weekdays in December and January.

Energy period factors are used to allocate the annual energy savings into one of the four energy periods. This allocation is performed in order to apply the appropriate avoided cost values in the calculation of program benefits. The four energy periods are defined as follows:⁷⁵⁸

- <u>Winter Peak:</u> 7:00 AM to 11:00 PM on non-holiday weekdays during October through May (8 months).
- <u>Winter Off Peak</u>: 11:00 PM to 7:00 AM on non-holiday weekdays and all hours on weekends and holidays during October through May (8 months).
- Summer Peak: 7:00 AM to 11:00 PM on non-holiday weekdays during June through September (4 months).
- <u>Summer Off Peak:</u> 11:00 PM to 7:00 AM on non-holiday weekdays and all hours on weekends and holidays during June through September (4 months).

Table B-1 includes a listing of measure coincidence factors and energy period allocations.

⁷⁵⁷ http://www.iso-ne.com/markets-operations/markets/demand-resources/about

⁷⁵⁸ http://www.efficiencymaine.com/docs/2015-AESC-Report-With-Appendices-Attached.pdf, p. 2-71.

| Nanana Nama | re Name End Lice | | Coincidence Factor (CF) | | Energy Period Factors (EPF) | | | | Footnote Reference | |
|------------------------|------------------|-------|----------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|----------|--------|----------|-----------------------|-----|
| Measure Name | End-Use | Life | Winter | Summer | W | 'inter | Sur | nmer | CE | EDE |
| | | | On-Peak | On-Peak | Peak | Off Peak | Peak | Off Peak | CF | CPF |
| LED Bulb – Retail | Lighting | | 18.6% | 14.4% | 40.8% | 29.0% | 17.5% | 12.8% | 759 | 760 |
| LED Bulb – Distributor | Lighting | | 48.7% | 56.1% | 48.1% | 20.0% | 22.5% | 9.4% | 761 | 762 |
| LED Bulb – Food | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pantry/Direct | Lighting | | 16.8% | 11.8% | 39.0% | 31.1% | 16.3% | 13.6% | 763 | 764 |
| Install/Appliance Pack | | | | | | | | | | |
| LED Lamp Commercial | Lighting | | 62 0% | 76.0% | | 10.0% | 22 0% | 0.0% | 765 | 766 |
| Interior | Lighting | | 05.0% | 70.0% | 30.0% | 19.0% | 25.0% | 9.0% | 705 | 700 |
| LED Lamp Commercial | Lighting | | 70.2% | 2 7% | 20 5% | 50.6% | 61% | 22.8% | 767 | 769 |
| Exterior | Lighting | 70.27 | 5.770 | 20.3% | 50.0% | 0.170 | 22.0/0 | /0/ | 708 | |
| Refrigerator | Refrigeration | | 100.0% | 100.0% | 33.1% | 33.5% | 16.6% | 16.8% | 769 | 770 |
| Freezer | Refrigeration | | 100.0% | 100.0% | 33.1% | 33.5% | 16.6% | 16.8% | 769 | 771 |
| Room AC | Cooling | | 0.0% | 11.1% | 0.7% | 2.8% | 53.3% | 43.2% | 7 | 72 |
| Room Air Purifier | Cooling | | 66.7% | 66.7% | 30.4% | 36.2% | 15.6% | 17.9% | 7 | 73 |
| Dehumidifier | Cooling | | 0.0% | 37.1% | 17.9% | 15.5% | 33.9% | 32.7% | 769 | 770 |
| Dishwasher | Process | | 4.0% | 2.2% | 39.7% | 26.8% | 20.3% | 13.1% | 7 | 74 |

Table B-1. Retail and Residential Coincidence Factors and Energy Period Factors

⁷⁵⁹ Composite summer coincidence factor: 96% of bulbs in residential sockets with summer CF at 11.8% and 4% of bulbs in commercial sockets with summer CF at 76%. Composite winter coincidence factor: 96% of bulbs in residential sockets with winter CF at 16.8% and 4% of bulbs in commercial sockets with winter CF at 63%. Residential Factors from NMR Group, Efficiency Maine Retail Lighting Program Overall Evaluation Report, April 16, 2015, page 19. Commercial Factors from Efficiency Maine Trust Commercial TRM, July 1, 2015.

⁷⁶⁰ Composite Energy Period Factors for Residential (96%) and Commercial (4%). Residential energy period allocations are from NMR Group, Efficiency Maine Retail Lighting Program Overall Evaluation Report, April 16, 2015. Commercial energy period allocations from Central Maine Power, non-residential load profile for 3/1/08-2/28/09.

⁷⁶¹ Composite summer coincidence factor: 31 percent of bulbs in residential sockets with summer CF at 11.8 percent and 69 percent of bulbs in commercial sockets with summer CF at 76 percent. Composite winter coincidence factor: 31% of bulbs in residential sockets with winter CF at 16.8 percent and 69 percent of bulbs in commercial sockets with winter CF at 63 percent. Residential Factors from NMR Group, Efficiency Maine Retail Lighting Program Overall Evaluation Report, April 16, 2015, p. 19. Commercial Factors from Efficiency Maine Trust Commercial TRM, July 1, 2013.

⁷⁶² Composite Energy Period Factors for Residential (31%) and Commercial (69%). Residential energy period allocations are from NMR Group, Efficiency Maine Retail Lighting Program Overall Evaluation Report, April 16, 2015. Commercial energy period allocations from Central Maine Power, non-residential load profile for 3/1/08-2/28/09.

⁷⁶³ NMR Group, Efficiency Maine Retail Lighting Program Overall Evaluation Report, April 16, 2015, p. 19.

⁷⁶⁴ NMR Group, Efficiency Maine Retail Lighting Program Overall Evaluation Report, April 16, 2015.

⁷⁶⁵ KEMA, C&I Lighting Load Shape Project FINAL Report, July 2011.

⁷⁶⁶ Central Maine Power, Non-residential load profile for 3/1/08-2/28/09.

⁷⁶⁷ Efficiency Vermont TRM 2012, Commercial Outdoor Lighting.

⁷⁶⁸ Ibid.

⁷⁶⁹ Peak coincidence factors for this measure are embedded in the evaluated peak demand impacts.

⁷⁷⁰ Memo provided to supplement NMR Group, Inc., Efficiency Maine Appliance Rebate Program Evaluation Overall Report – FINAL, July 18, 2014.

⁷⁷¹ Assumed to be the same as refrigerator measure.

⁷⁷² RLW Analytics, Coincidence Factor Study, Residential Room Air Conditioners, June 2008. Values are based on TMY2 weather for Portland, Maine.

⁷⁷³ Values developed based on annual hours of use and equipment operating assumptions.

⁷⁷⁴ Values developed based on residential hot water usage profiles from: Aquacraft, Inc., The End Uses of Hot Water in Single Family Homes from Flow Trace Analysis.

| mmer W Immer Peak 4.8% 40.0% 9.6% 40.9% 00.0% 35.8% 00.0% 58.4% 00.0% 56.5% 00.0% 56.5% 00.0% 56.8% 25.0% 56.5% 10.2% 56.5% | Vinter Off Peak 26.6% 25.7% 30.8% 33.7% 34.3% 34.3% 36.8% 36.5% 34.3% | Sur Peak 20.1% 20.9% 17.9% 3.5% 5.4% 5.4% 2.8% 3.6% 5.4% | Off Peak 13.3% 12.5% 15.5% 4.5% 3.8% 3.1% 3.1% 3.8% 3.1% | CF 7' 769 769 769 769 769 7' 7' 778 | EPF 70 74 770 775 775 775 76 77 |
|--|---|--|--|---|---|
| Peak Peak 4.8% 40.0% 9.6% 40.9% .00.0% 35.8% .00.0% 58.4% .00.0% 56.5% .00.0% 56.5% .00.0% 56.8% .00.0% 56.8% .00.0% 56.5% .00.0% 56.5% .00.0% 56.5% .00.0% 56.5% .00.0% 56.5% | Off Peak 26.6% 25.7% 30.8% 33.7% 34.3% 34.3% 36.8% 36.5% 34.3% | Peak 20.1% 20.9% 17.9% 3.5% 5.4% 5.4% 2.8% 3.6% 5.4% | Off Peak 13.3% 12.5% 15.5% 4.5% 3.8% 3.1% 3.1% 3.8% | 7 769 769 769 769 769 769 77 77 | EPF 70 74 770 775 775 775 775 775 775 775 775 775 775 775 775 |
| 4.8% 40.0% 9.6% 40.9% .00.0% 35.8% .00.0% 58.4% .00.0% 56.5% .00.0% 56.5% .00.0% 56.8% .00.0% 56.8% .00.0% 56.5% .00.0% 56.5% .00.0% 56.5% .00.0% 56.5% .00.0% 56.5% .00.0% 56.5% | 26.6% 25.7% 30.8% 33.7% 34.3% 34.3% 36.8% 36.5% 34.3% | 20.1% 20.9% 17.9% 3.5% 5.4% 2.8% 3.6% 5.4% | 13.3% 12.5% 15.5% 4.5% 3.8% 3.1% 3.8% 3.8% | 7 [°] 769 769 769 769 769 7 [°] 7 [°] 778 | 70 74 770 775 775 775 76 77 |
| 9.6% 40.9% .00.0% 35.8% .00.0% 58.4% .00.0% 56.5% .00.0% 56.5% .00.0% 56.8% .00.0% 56.8% .00.0% 56.5% .00.0% 56.8% .00.0% 56.5% .00.0% 56.5% .00.0% 56.5% | 25.7% 30.8% 33.7% 34.3% 34.3% 36.8% 36.5% 34.3% | 20.9% 17.9% 3.5% 5.4% 2.8% 3.6% 5.4% | 12.5% 15.5% 4.5% 3.8% 3.8% 3.1% 3.1% 3.1% 3.8% | 77 769 769 769 769 77 77 77 | 74 770 775 775 775 76 77 |
| .00.0% 35.8% .00.0% 58.4% .00.0% 56.5% .00.0% 56.5% .00.0% 57.3% .00.0% 56.8% .00.0% 56.5% .00.0% 56.5% .00.0% 56.5% .00.0% 56.5% .00.0% 56.5% | 30.8% 33.7% 34.3% 34.3% 36.8% 36.5% 34.3% | 17.9% 3.5% 5.4% 2.8% 3.6% 5.4% | 15.5% 4.5% 3.8% 3.8% 3.1% 3.1% 3.1% 3.8% | 769 769 769 769 7' 7' 7' | 770 775 775 775 76 77 |
| .00.0% 58.4% .00.0% 56.5% .00.0% 56.5% .00.0% 57.3% .00.0% 56.8% .00.0% 56.5% .00.0% 56.5% .00.0% 56.5% .00.0% 56.5% .00.0% 56.5% .00.0% 56.5% | 33.7% 34.3% 34.3% 36.8% 36.5% 34.3% | 3.5% 5.4% 5.4% 2.8% 3.6% 5.4% | 4.5% 3.8% 3.8% 3.1% 3.1% 3.8% | 769 769 769 7 [°] 7 [°] 778 | 775 775 775 76 77 |
| .00.0% 56.5% .00.0% 56.5% .00.0% 57.3% .00.0% 56.8% 25.0% 56.5% 10.2% 56.5% | 34.3% 34.3% 36.8% 36.5% 34.3% | 5.4% 5.4% 2.8% 3.6% 5.4% | 3.8% 3.8% 3.1% 3.1% 3.8% | 769 769 7' 7' 778 | 775 775 76 77 |
| .00.0% 56.5% .00.0% 57.3% .00.0% 56.8% 25.0% 56.5% 10.2% 56.5% | 34.3% 36.8% 36.5% 34.3% | 5.4% 2.8% 3.6% 5.4% | 3.8% 3.1% 3.1% 3.8% | 769 7 7 778 | 775 76 77 |
| .00.0% 57.3% .00.0% 56.8% 25.0% 56.5% 10.2% 56.5% | 36.8% 36.5% 34.3% | 2.8% 3.6% 5.4% | 3.1% 3.1% 3.8% | 7 7 778 | 76 77 |
| .00.0% 56.8% 25.0% 56.5% 10.2% 56.5% | 36.5% 34.3% | 3.6% 5.4% | 3.1% | 7: 778 | 77 |
| 25.0% <u>56.5%</u> 10.2% 56.5% | 34.3% | 5.4% | 3.8% | 778 | |
| 10.2% 56.5% | | | | | 775 |
| 50.570 | 34.3% | 5.4% | 3.8% | 769 | 775 |
| 11.8% 39.0% | 31.1% | 16.3% | 13.6% | 7 | 79 |
| 0.8% 39.7% | 26.8% | 20.3% | 13.1% | 7 | 74 |
| 0.3% 39.7% | 26.8% | 20.3% | 13.1% | 774 | |
| 0.5% 35.5% | 31.1% | 18.1% | 15.3% | 7 | 74 |
| 0.5% 35.5% | 31.1% | 18.1% | 15.3% | 7 | 74 |
| 9.6% 40.9% | 25.7% | 20.9% | 12.5% | 774 | |
| .00.0% 30.4% | 36.2% | 15.6% | 17.9% | 7 | 73 |
| .00.0% 30.4% | 36.2% | 15.6% | 17.9% | 7 | 73 |
| NA NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| . <u>00.0%</u> NA | 30.4% | 30.4% 36.2% NA NA | 30.4% 36.2% 15.6% NA NA NA | 30.4% 36.2% 15.6% 17.9% NA NA NA NA | 30.4% 36.2% 15.6% 17.9% 77 NA NA NA NA NA NA |

⁷⁷⁵ Values developed based on the bin analysis calculations for insulation savings using typical annual hours in each weather bin during each demand and energy period.

⁷⁷⁶ Values developed based on the bin analysis calculations for DHP savings using typical annual hours in each weather bin during each demand and energy period.

⁷⁷⁷ Values developed based on the bin analysis calculations for DHP retrofit savings using typical annual hours in each weather bin during each demand and energy period.

⁷⁷⁸ MA TRM 2013 TRM 2010, Air-source heat pump peak coincidence factor.

⁷⁷⁹ NMR Group, Efficiency Maine Retail Lighting Program Overall Evaluation Report, April 16, 2015.

*Coincidence Factor and Energy Period Factors are not applicable for fossil-fuel measures, as avoided costs for fossil fuels do not account for time-of-use.

Appendix C: Carbon Dioxide Emission Factors

| Fuel | Emission Factor | Units |
|--|------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Coal by Ran | k | |
| Anthracite | 103.69 | kg CO ₂ / MMBtu |
| Bituminous | 93.28 | kg CO ₂ / MMBtu |
| Sub-bituminous | 97.17 | kg CO ₂ / MMBtu |
| Lignite | 97.72 | kg CO ₂ / MMBtu |
| Natural Gas | 5 | |
| Pipeline Natural Gas | 53.06 | kg CO ₂ / MMBtu |
| | 5.306 | kg CO ₂ / therm |
| Flared Natural Gas | 54.71 | kg CO ₂ / MMBtu |
| | 5.471 | kg CO ₂ / therm |
| Petroleum Fu | els | |
| Middle Distillate Fuels (No. 1, No. 2, No. 4 fuel oil, diesel, | 73.15 | kg CO ₂ / MMBtu |
| home heating oil) | 10.15 | kg CO ₂ / gallon |
| Jet Fuel (Jet A, JP-8) | 70.88 | kg CO ₂ / MMBtu |
| | 9.57 | kg CO ₂ / gallon |
| Kerosene | 72.31 | kg CO ₂ / MMBtu |
| | 9.76 | kg CO ₂ / gallon |
| Heavy Fuel Oil (No. 5, 6 fuel oil), bunker fuel | 78.80 | kg CO ₂ / MMBtu |
| | 11.80 | kg CO ₂ / gallon |
| Ethane | 59.59 | kg CO ₂ / MMBtu |
| | 4.14 | kg CO ₂ / gallon |
| Propane | 63.07 | kg CO ₂ / MMBtu |
| | 5.74 | kg CO ₂ / gallon |
| Isobutane | 65.07 | kg CO ₂ / MMBtu |
| | 6.45 | kg CO ₂ / gallon |
| n-Butane | 64.95 | kg CO ₂ / MMBtu |
| | 6.69 | kg CO ₂ / gallon |
| Unspecified LPG | 62.28 | kg CO ₂ / MMBtu |
| | - | kg CO ₂ / gallon |
| Refinery (Still) Gas | 64.20 | kg CO ₂ / MMBtu |
| | 9.17 | kg CO ₂ / gallon |
| Crude Oil | 74.54 | kg CO ₂ / MMBtu |
| | 10.29 | kg CO ₂ / gallon |
| Petroleum Coke | 102.12 | kg CO ₂ / MMBtu |
| | 14.65 | kg CO ₂ / gallon |
| Other Fuels | | |
| Tires/Tire Derived Fuel | 85.97 | kg CO ₂ / MMBtu |
| Waste Oil | 9.98 | kg CO ₂ / gallon |
| Waste Oil Blended with Residual Fuel Oil | 66.53 | kg CO ₂ / MMBtu |
| Waste Oil Blended with Distillate Fuel Oil | 71.28 | kg CO ₂ / MMBtu |
| Municipal Solid Waste | 417.04 | kg CO ₂ / short ton |
| Municipal Solid Waste | 41.70 | kg CO ₂ / MMBtu |
| Plastics Portion of MSW | 2,539.80 | kg CO ₂ / short ton |
| Electricity ⁷⁸⁰ | 1.029 | Pounds per kWh |

 $^{^{780}}$ From Avoided Energy Supply Cost in New England, 2015, Rick Hornby, et. al.

Appendix D: Retail Lighting EISA History

Lighting savings changed dramatically between 2011 and 2015 as a result of the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007 (EISA). The following tables outline key assumptions and calculations that changed during that time. This appendix is for historical reference only and is no longer updated.

| Bulb | Lumon Din | Proportion of Total Bulb | Average CFL | Baseline Wattage |
|-----------|-----------|-----------------------------|-------------|---------------------|
| Туре | | Sales | wallage | (2011) |
| Standard | 3301-4815 | 0.01% | 55.00 | 200 |
| Standard | 2601-3300 | 0.09% | 41.59 | 150 |
| Standard | 1490-2600 | 8.46% | 24.51 | 100 |
| Standard | 1050-1489 | 3.35% | 19.52 | 75 |
| Standard | 750-1049 | 78.72% | 13.41 | 60 |
| Standard | 310-749 | 4.35% | 9.51 | 40 |
| Standard | 0-309 | 0.02% | 5.00 | 25 |
| Specialty | 3301-4815 | 0.01% | 65.00 | 200 |
| Specialty | 1490-2600 | 0.65% | 26.47 | 100 |
| Specialty | 1050-1489 | 0.23% | 19.61 | 75 |
| Specialty | 750-1049 | 2.27% | 14.50 | 60 |
| Specialty | 310-749 | 0.72% | 10.08 | 40 |
| Giveaway | 1490-2600 | 1.13% | 23.00 | 100 |
| Weighted | | | | |
| Average | N/A | 100% | 14.62 | 63.71 |

Table D-1. Retail Lighting Program: Baseline Wattages and CFL Wattages

Table D-2 describes the adjustments to baseline starting in 2012 due to the changing maximum wattages specified in EISA.

| Lumen Range | Assumed Original Baseline | New Maximum Wattage | Effective Date |
|-------------|------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|
| 310-749 | 40 | 29 | 2014 |
| 750-1049 | 60 | 43 | 2014 |
| 1050-1489 | 75 | 53 | 2013 |
| 1490-2600 | 100 | 72 | 2012 |

Table D-2. EISA Adjustments by Lumen Range (Evaluation, Table 25)⁷⁸¹

Table D-3 shows the changes in the weighted average baseline wattage resulting from the EISA requirements becoming effective from 2011 through 2014. Weighted average wattage for CFL and LED bulbs are presented for 2011 and 2014 along with the resulting percentage change in savings compared to 2011 based on EISA impacts.

| Year | Program Year (7/1/(YY-1)- 6/30/YY) | EISA Adjusted Weighted Average Baseline Wattage | Weighted Average CFL Wattage | Delta Watts | Weighted Average LED Wattage | Delta Watts |
|------|---|--|------------------------------------|-------------|------------------------------------|-------------|
| 2011 | 2012 | 63.71 | 14.62 | 49.09 | 13 | 50.71 |
| 2012 | 2013 | 61.03 | 14.62 | 46.41 | 13 | 48.03 |
| 2013 | 2014 | 60.29 | 14.62 | 45.67 | 13 | 47.29 |
| 2014 | 2015 | 46.43 | 14.62 | 31.81 | 12 | 34.43 |

Table D-3. EISA Adjusted Weighted Average Baseline Wattage by Year

⁷⁸¹ The Cadmus Group, Efficiency Maine Trust Residential Lighting Program Evaluation, November 1, 2012, Table 25.

Appendix E: Standard Assumptions for Maine

| Table E-1. Distribution of He | eating Fuel for Maine Res | dential Customers |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|
|-------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|

| Heating Fuel | Percentage of Homes | Distribution Excluding Coal and Other | Distribution Excluding Natural Gas, Coal and Other | Distribution Excluding Natural Gas, Coal, Wood and Other |
|--------------|------------------------|---|--|---|
| Natural Gas | 9% | 9% | N/A | N/A |
| Oil | 65% | 66% | 72% | 83% |
| Wood | 8% | 8% | 9% | N/A |
| Propane | 6% | 6% | 7% | 8% |
| Kerosene | 6% | 6% | 7% | 8% |
| Pellet | 4% | 4% | 4% | N/A |
| Electricity | 0.80% | 0.80% | 0.9% | 1% |
| Coal | 0.40% | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Other | 0.30% | N/A | N/A | N/A |

Table E-2. Minimum Efficiency Requirements for Furnaces and Boilers⁷⁸²

| Equipment Category | Equipment Type | Federal Code Minimum (AFUE) | | |
|--|--|--------------------------------|--|--|
| | Non-weatherized gas furnaces (not including mobile home furnaces)* | 80% | | |
| | Mobile home gas furnaces | 80% | | |
| Furnaces | Non-weatherized oil-fired furnaces (not including mobile home furnaces)* | 83% | | |
| | Mobile home oil-fired furnaces | 75% | | |
| | Weatherized gas furnaces | 81% | | |
| | Weatherized oil-fired furnaces | 78% | | |
| | Electric furnaces | 78% | | |
| | Gas-fired hot water boiler* | 82% | | |
| | Gas-fired steam boiler | 80% | | |
| Boilers | Oil-fired hot water boiler* | 84% | | |
| | Oil-fired steam boiler | 82% | | |
| | Electric hot water boiler | None | | |
| * For the TRM, the highlighted equipment types have been selected as representative | | | | |
| of the systems installed under the program. Gas entries are used for Natural Gas and | | | | |
| Propane systems, Oil-fired are used for Oil and Kerosene systems. | | | | |

⁷⁸² Code of Federal Regulations: <u>http://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/text-</u> idx?c=ecfr&sid=61b33caa9460da7b2e875b478972dfdc&rgn=div6&view=text&node=10:3.0.1.4.18.3&idno=10

Appendix F: Supplementary Information for Retail Products

Using the values in the IL TRM v.4.0 2015,⁷⁸³ and quantities from the FY2014 Efficiency Maine Program by type yields a value of 509.7 kWh for baseline units after the September 2014 federal standard change (as detailed in Table F-1 below).

| IL TRM v.4.0 2015 for refrigerators after September 2014 federal standard change | FY2014 Maine Quantity | Baseline Unit | New Efficient ENERGY STAR® |
|---|--------------------------|------------------|----------------------------------|
| 1. Refrigerators and Refrigerator-freezers with | 0 | 368.6 | 331.6 |
| manual defrost | | | |
| 2. Refrigerator-Freezerpartial automatic | 1480 | 430.9 | 387.8 |
| defrost | | | |
| 3. Refrigerator-Freezersautomatic defrost | 3174 | 441.7 | 397.4 |
| with top-mounted freezer without through- | | | |
| the-door ice service and all-refrigerators | | | |
| automatic defrost | | | |
| 4. Refrigerator-Freezersautomatic defrost | 16 | 517.1 | 465.4 |
| with side-mounted freezer without through- | | | |
| the-door ice service | | | |
| 5. Refrigerator-Freezersautomatic defrost | 2357 | 545.1 | 490.7 |
| with bottom-mounted freezer without | | | |
| through-the-door ice service | | | |
| 5A Refrigerator-freezer—automatic defrost | 1214 | 713.8 | 651 |
| with bottom-mounted freezer with through- | | | |
| the-door ice service | | | |
| 6. Refrigerator-Freezersautomatic defrost | 0 | 601.9 | 550.1 |
| with top-mounted freezer with through-the- | | | |
| door ice service | | | |
| 7. Refrigerator-Freezersautomatic defrost | 9 | 652.9 | 596.1 |
| with side-mounted freezer with through-the- | | | |
| door ice service | | | |
| Total | 8250 | | |
| | | | - |
| | Weighted Average.: | 509.7 | 460.0 |

Table F-1. Weighted Average Refrigerator Energy Use

⁷⁸³ Illinois Statewide Technical Reference Manual for Energy Efficiency Version 4.0 Final, February 24, 2015, p. 508.

Table F-2. Baseline Bulb Replacement Schedule and Avoided O&M

| Commercial Hours/Year | Residential Hours/Year | Real Discount Rate |
|-----------------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| 3771 | 730 | 6.50% |

| | Re | Retail | | Residential | | Distributor | |
|-----------------------------|------------|------------|------------|-------------|------------|-------------|--|
| Life Category | >20,000 hr | <20,000 hr | >20,000 hr | < 20,000 hr | >20,000 hr | <20,000 hr | |
| Rated Hours | 25,000 | 15,000 | 25,000 | 15,000 | 25,000 | 15,000 | |
| % Commercial | 4% | 4% | 0% | 0% | 69% | 69% | |
| Hours/Year | 851.64 | 851.64 | 730 | 730 | 2828.29 | 2828.29 | |
| Rated Life (Years) | 29 | 18 | 34 | 21 | 9 | 5 | |
| Baseline Rated Hours | 2000 | 2000 | 2000 | 2000 | 2000 | 2000 | |
| Baseline Rated Life (Years) | 2.35 | 2.35 | 2.74 | 2.74 | 0.71 | 0.71 | |
| Baseline bulbs per EE life | 12 | 8 | 12 | 8 | 13 | 7 | |
| Check | 12 | 8 | 12 | 8 | 13 | 7 | |
| NPV of Bulbs | 5.24 | 4.39 | 4.68 | 4.01 | 9.66 | 5.87 | |

| | Baseline Replacement Schedule: Number of Bulbs Replaced per year | | | | | |
|------|--|------|------|------|------|------|
| Year | RetL | RetS | ResL | ResS | DisL | DisS |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | |
| 7 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | |
| 8 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | |
| 9 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | |
| 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| 11 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | | |
| 12 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | | |
| 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| 14 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| 16 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| 18 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | | |
| 19 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | | |
| 20 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | |
| 21 | 1 | | 0 | 1 | | |
| 22 | 0 | | 1 | | - | |
| 23 | 1 | | 0 | | | |
| 24 | 0 | | 1 | | | |
| 25 | 0 | | 0 | | | |
| 26 | 1 | | 0 | | | |
| 27 | 0 | | 1 | | | |
| 28 | 1 | | 0 | | | |
| 29 | 0 | | 0 | | | |
| 30 | | _ | 1 | | | |
| 31 | | | 0 | | | |
| 32 | | | 1 | | | |
| 33 | | | 0 | | | |
| 34 | | | 0 | | | |

Interactive Effects Derivation

More efficient lighting provides the same amount of lumens with fewer watts. Halogen and incandescent bulbs generate a lot of heat in addition to light. The wattage that produces heat rather than light is referred to as waste heat. When cooling is called for, the waste heat generated by inefficient lights requires the cooling system to work harder. By replacing inefficient lights with efficient lights less waste heat is produced which reduces the load on the cooling system. The magnitude of the reduced cooling load is proportional to the magnitude of the wattage reduction of the lights. Conversely, when heating is called for, the reduction in waste heat from the replacement of inefficient lights with efficient lights system. To calculate the interactive factors several factors must be considered as define below.

Factors included in the calculation of Interactive Effects Factors:

IGC = Internal Gain Contribution (%) – This factor accounts for some portion of the wattage reduction not contributing to the interactive effects. Some waste heat escapes through ceiling and wall penetrations without contributing to internal gains that affect the load on HVAC systems.

%**A** = Applicability (%) – Interactive effects are only applicable if the waste heat reduction interacts with a HVAC system. Lights installed in unconditioned spaces do not contribute to interactive effects. Applicability is calculated as the product of % of bulbs installed in interior sockets and the % of buildings with mechanical cooling. (%A = %I*%A/C)

 C_{HVAC} = Concurrency with Heating/Cooling – Waste heat only impacts HVAC systems when the lights and the systems are on concurrently. Cooling interactive effects only occur during the cooling season and heating interactive effects only occur during the heating season.

 Eff_{HVAC} = Efficiency of the HVAC system – The change in consumption of the HVAC system is determined by the efficiency of the system.

Cooling Demand Interactive Effects Factor

The following formula is used to calculate the cooling demand interactive effects factor. Total demand reduction is calculated by multiplying the demand reduction from the lighting change by the cooling demand factor. The values used in the formula are defined in the table below.

$$IE_{COOL_D} = 1 + \frac{IGC \times \%A \times C_{HVAC}}{Eff_{HVAC}}$$

Cooling Energy Interactive Effects Factor

The following formula is used to calculate the cooling energy interactive effects factor. Total energy savings is calculated by multiplying the energy savings from the lighting change by the cooling energy factor. The values used in the formula are defined in the table below.

$$IE_{COOL_{E}} = 1 + \frac{IGC \times \%A \times C_{HVAC}}{Eff_{HVAC}}$$

Heating Energy Interactive Effects Factor

The following formula is used to calculate the heating energy interactive effects factor. Heating energy increased used (in MMBtu) is calculated by multiplying the energy savings from the lighting change (in kWh) by the heating energy factor. The values used in the formula are defined in the table below.

$$IE_{HEAT_E} = \frac{IGC \times \%A \times C_{HVAC}}{Eff_{HVAC}} \times 0.003412 \ MMBtu/kWh$$

| Input Factors | 10 | GC | % | 6A | C | IVAC | Eff | IVAC | Interactiv Fac | ve Effects ctor |
|----------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|----------------------|--------------------|
| | Value | Note | Value | Note | Value | Note | Value | Note | Term | Value |
| Residential Cooling Demand | 75% | [784] | 46% | [785] | 100% | [786] | 400% | [787] | IE _{COOL_D} | 1.085 |
| Residential Cooling Energy | 75% | [784] | 46% | [785] | 25% | [788] | 400% | [787] | IE _{COOL_E} | 1.021 |
| Residential Heating | 75% | [784] | 86% | [789] | 50% | [790] | 80.5% | [791] | IE _{HEAT_E} | 0.00137 |
| Commercial Cooling Demand | 75% | [784] | 77% | [792] | 100% | [786] | 400% | [787] | IEcool_d | 1.144 |
| Commercial Cooling Energy | 75% | [784] | 77% | [792] | 42% | [793] | 400% | [787] | IE _{COOL_E} | 1.060 |
| Commercial Heating | 75% | [784] | 100% | [794] | 50% | [790] | 80.5% | [791] | IE _{HEAT_E} | 0.00159 |

Table 7. Interactive Effects Input Factors and resulting IE Factors

For Retail and Distributor programs, the interactive effect factors are calculated based on the portion of bulbs installed in residential and commercial settings as presented in the table below.

| | Residential | Commercial Retail | | Retail | Distributor |
|-----------|-------------|-------------------|--------------|---------|-------------|
| | | | % Commercial | 4% | 69% |
| IE_cool_d | 1.085 | 1.144 | | 1.087 | 1.126 |
| IE_cool_e | 1.021 | 1.060 | | 1.023 | 1.048 |
| IE_heat_e | 0.00137 | 0.00159 | | 0.00138 | 0.00152 |

⁷⁸⁷ Cooling equipment efficiency is assumed to be 400% based on a SEER of 14 which is the current federal minimum efficiency standard.

⁷⁸⁸ Cooling season is assumed to be 3 months for residential applications. (3/12 = 25%)

⁷⁸⁴ Based on engineering judgment

⁷⁸⁵ Per 2015 Maine Residential Baseline Study, 86% of bulbs are installed in locations that are conditioned. According to Portland Press Herald,

http://www.pressherald.com/2014/05/26/put power rates on ice that s a cool idea /, in 2010, an estimated 79 percent of customers in ISO-New England region had room air conditioners. Of the 79 percent, 40 percent of homes have equivalent of whole home A/C (3 window A/Cs); 39 percent of homes have total cooling capacity equivalent of 1 or 2 window A/C units. The remaining 21percent have no cooling equipment installed. Assuming that a window A/C unit cools 1/3 of a home that works out to be 53% of residential homes are mechanical cooled. (%A = 46% = 86%*53%)

⁷⁸⁶ Maximum demand reduction occurs when lights and cooling systems are on concurrently. Coincidence factors are then applied to determine coincidence with peak hours.

 ⁷⁸⁹ Per 2015 Maine Residential Baseline Study 86% of bulbs are installed in locations that are conditioned. 100% of residences are heated. (%A = 86% = 86% *100%)
 ⁷⁹⁰ Heating season is assumed to be 6 months. (6/12=50%)

⁷⁹¹ Per 2015 Maine Residential Baseline Study, the average heating system efficiency is 80.5%. It is assumed that commercial heating systems have a similar average efficiency.

⁷⁹² For commercial applications, it is assumed that all bulbs are installed in interior sockets. The C&I Prescriptive program tracks exterior lights separately and interactive effect factors are not applied to those measures. Based on the cooling system type saturation in the 2012 EMT Baseline Opportunities Study and assuming that window unit A/C cools 1/3 of the conditioned space, 77% of commercial space is mechanically cooled in Maine. (%A = 77% = 100%*53%) ⁷⁹³ Cooling season is assumed to be 5 months for commercial applications due to higher internal gains. (5/12=42%)

⁷⁹⁴ For commercial applications, it is assumed that all bulbs are installed in interior sockets. The C&I Prescriptive program tracks exterior lights separately and interactive effect factors are not applied to those measures. It is assumed that 100% of commercial spaces are heated. (%A = 100% = 100% 100%)

Free Ridership Rate Estimates

A lighting pricing trial was conducted where incentive levels were changed over time to determine the price elasticity of retail bulbs. Price elasticity is a measure of how demand for a product is related to the price of the product. It was determined that the demand for LED bulbs was strongly influenced by the customer facing price after the mark down (incentive) was applied.

The weekly sales of a particular bulb can be estimated with the following formula.

Weekly Sales =
$$e^{C1+C2 \times \ln(Price)}$$

Price is the customer facing price (what the bulb will cost at the register).

C1 and C2 are coefficients determined from the statistical analysis of the pricing trial results.

The trial found that the coefficients were different for standard LED bulbs and specialty LED bulbs as presented in Table 8.

| Bulb Type | C1 | C2 |
|---------------|----------|----------|
| Standard LED | -1.54502 | 7.348561 |
| Specialty LED | -0.75971 | 5.019844 |

 Table 8. LED Bulb Price Elasticity Coefficients