

**DE 17-136 EERS
Benefit/Cost Working Group**

AGENDA

April 11, 2018, 1:30 pm – 4:00 pm – Hearing Room B

Website: (http://www.puc.nh.gov/EESE%20Board/EERS_Working_Groups.html)

AGENDA

1. Introductions
2. Presentation on recent Avoided Energy Supply Cost (AESC) Results
3. Begin discussion on AESC, if time allows

Overview of March 15, 2018 Meeting

- a. Presentation on National Standards Practice Manual
- b. National Standards Practice Manual (NSPM) describes the Resource Value Framework and Test, which takes into account policy goals.
- c. NSPM identifies principles and outlines steps in implementing cost-effectiveness tests.
- d. DRIPE - depends whether we want to include impacts to the utility only, the entire state, or all of New England.
- e. Non-Utility System (Participant) Benefits/Costs
 - Should participant costs be included?
 - Low income customers can be handled separately (e.g., CT, MI, NM, etc, in Slide 24)
 - Non-Energy Impacts – if include costs, should include benefits (NEIs); can be hard to quantify; adder/proxy better than zero, but doesn't account for variation from program to program
 - Economic development and job impacts – very difficult to accurately calculate and hard to ensure no double counting.
- f. Rate Impact Measures Test has limitations; more comprehensive rate impact analysis takes into account rate impacts, bill impacts, and participant impacts over a longer term.
- g. Participation can be increased by program design or policy directives.
- h. Resource Value Test allows for the use of various tests and inputs depending upon policy goals (e.g., Utility Costs Test with Low Income and Fuel Neutrality or multiple tests-Total Resource Cost Test, Utility Cost, and Participant Cost Test, etc.)