



Commercial/Industrial and Multifamily Technical Reference Manual

Version 2018.3

Effective Date: January 1, 2018

Efficiency Maine Trust
168 Capitol Street
Augusta, ME 04330
efficiencymaine.com

Table of Contents

| | |
|--|-----------|
| INTRODUCTION | 4 |
| TRM CHANGE LOG | 10 |
| LIGHTING EQUIPMENT | 19 |
| Prescriptive Lighting: Lighting Fixtures – Interior Spaces (New Fixtures), Codes S21, S51, S61, S81 | 20 |
| Prescriptive Lighting: Lighting Fixtures – Interior Spaces (Retrofit/Replacement Lamps), Codes S40,S52, S62, S64, S21R, S51R, S61R, S81R, S110R..... | 22 |
| Prescriptive Lighting: Lighting Fixtures with Integrated Controls – Interior Spaces (New Construction), Code S71 (Inactive) | 24 |
| Prescriptive Lighting: Lighting Fixtures with Integrated Controls – Interior Spaces (Retrofit), Code S70 (Inactive) | 26 |
| Prescriptive Lighting: Lighting Fixtures – LED Exit Sign, Code X10 (Inactive)..... | 28 |
| Prescriptive Lighting: Lighting Fixtures – Exterior Spaces (New fixtures), Codes S11, S13, S17, S23 | 30 |
| Prescriptive Lighting: Lighting Fixtures – Exterior Spaces (Retrofit/Replacement Lamps), Codes S6, S8, S11R, S13R, S17R, S23R..... | 31 |
| Prescriptive Lighting: Lighting Controls – Interior Spaces, Codes L60.1, L70.1, L71.1 | 33 |
| Prescriptive Lighting: Lighting Fixtures – Refrigerated Spaces, Codes S30, S32 | 35 |
| Prescriptive Lighting: Lighting Controls – Refrigerated Spaces, Code L50..... | 37 |
| VARIABLE FREQUENCY DRIVES | 39 |
| Advanced Rooftop Controls | 40 |
| Prescriptive VFD: Variable Frequency Drives (VFDs) for HVAC, Codes SFA, SFP, RFA, RFP, BEF, CWP, HHWP | 42 |
| HVAC EQUIPMENT | 44 |
| Prescriptive HVAC: Unitary Air Conditioners, Codes AC1-AC6 (Inactive) | 45 |
| Prescriptive HVAC: Heat Pump Systems, Codes AH1-AH5, WH..... | 47 |
| Prescriptive HVAC: Packaged Terminal Air Conditioners and Heat Pumps (Inactive) | 49 |
| Prescriptive HVAC: Demand Control Ventilation, Codes DCVE, DCVN | 51 |
| Prescriptive HVAC: Variable Refrigerant Flow, Code VRF | 52 |
| Ductless Heat Pump – Commercial/Industrial, Codes DHP1L-DHP4L..... | 54 |
| Ductless Heat Pump – Multifamily, Code MPDHPNC | 56 |
| Ductless Heat Pump Retrofit – Low-Income Multifamily, Code LIDHP | 58 |
| Prescriptive HVAC: Modulating Burner Controls for Boilers and Heaters, Code AF1..... | 61 |
| Prescriptive HVAC: Boiler Stack Heat Exchanger (Boiler Economizer), Code AF2 | 62 |
| Prescriptive HVAC: Boiler Reset/Lockout Controls, Code AF3 | 62 |
| Prescriptive HVAC: Oxygen Trim for Boilers and Heaters, Code AF4..... | 64 |
| Prescriptive HVAC: Boiler Turbulator, Code AF5..... | 65 |
| Prescriptive HVAC: Programmable Thermostat, Code AF6 | 66 |
| Prescriptive HVAC: Efficient Boilers and Furnaces, Codes G9-G11, G01M, G07M, G08M, G15M, G16M, H2L, H3L, H1M, H2SM, H2MM, H3VSM, H3SM, H3MM | 67 |
| Electronically Commutated Supply Fan Motor (ECMSF)..... | 71 |
| Electronically Commutated Hot Water Smart Pump (ECMHW) | 72 |
| REFRIGERATION EQUIPMENT | 73 |
| Prescriptive Refrigeration: Evaporator Fan Motor Control for Cooler/Freezer, Code R10 | 74 |
| Prescriptive Refrigeration: Door Heater Controls for Cooler/Freezer, Code R20..... | 75 |
| Prescriptive Refrigeration: Zero Energy Doors for Coolers/Freezers, Codes R30, R31..... | 77 |
| Prescriptive Refrigeration: High-Efficiency Evaporative Fan Motors, Codes R40, R41, R42..... | 78 |
| Prescriptive Refrigeration: Floating-Head Pressure Controls, Codes R50, R51, R52 | 80 |
| Prescriptive Refrigeration: Discus & Scroll Compressors, Codes R60, R61, R62, R63, R70, R71, R72, R73, R74 | 82 |
| Prescriptive Refrigeration: ENERGY STAR® Reach-in Coolers and Freezers, Code R80 | 84 |
| Prescriptive Refrigeration: ENERGY STAR® Commercial Ice Makers, Code R90 | 86 |

| | |
|---|------------|
| WATER HEATING EQUIPMENT | 88 |
| Prescriptive Water Heating: Tankless Water Heater, Code WH1M..... | 89 |
| AGRICULTURAL EQUIPMENT | 90 |
| Prescriptive Agricultural: New Vapor-Tight High Performance T8 Fluorescent Fixtures (Inactive)..... | 91 |
| Prescriptive Agricultural: Plate Heat Exchangers for Milk Processing (Inactive) | 92 |
| Prescriptive Agricultural: Adjustable Speed Drives for Dairy Vacuum Pumps, Codes VP<X>..... | 93 |
| Prescriptive Agricultural: Scroll Compressors, Codes AMSC<X>..... | 95 |
| Prescriptive Agricultural: Adjustable Speed Drives on Ventilation Fans (Potato Storage Equipment), Codes ASD<X> ... | 95 |
| Prescriptive Agricultural: High-Volume Low-Speed Fans, Code AOLSF | 98 |
| COMMERCIAL KITCHEN EQUIPMENT | 99 |
| ENERGY STAR® Natural Gas Kitchen Equipment, Codes G17–G22 | 100 |
| Demand Control Kitchen Ventilation, Code DCKV | 102 |
| High Efficiency Pre-Rinse Spray Valve, Code HPSV | 103 |
| COMPRESSED AIR EQUIPMENT..... | 105 |
| Prescriptive Compressed Air: High-Efficiency Air Compressors, Codes C1–C4..... | 106 |
| Prescriptive Compressed Air: High-Efficiency Dryers, Codes C10–C16 | 108 |
| Prescriptive Compressed Air: Receivers, Codes C20–C27..... | 109 |
| Prescriptive Compressed Air: Low Pressure Drop Filters, Codes C30–C33..... | 111 |
| Prescriptive Compressed Air: Air-Entraining Nozzles, Code C40 | 112 |
| THERMAL ENVELOPE | 114 |
| Multifamily Building Attic/Roof/Ceiling Insulation, Code MIA | 115 |
| Multifamily Building Wall Insulation, Code MIW | 117 |
| Multifamily Building Basement Insulation, Code MIB | 119 |
| COMMERCIAL LAUNDRY EQUIPMENT..... | 121 |
| Multifamily Common Area Clothes Washer, Code MCW | 122 |
| Multifamily Common Area Clothes Dryer, Code MCD..... | 124 |
| COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL CUSTOM PROGRAM..... | 125 |
| Advanced Building, Codes AB – <X>..... | 126 |
| Custom – C&I Custom Electric Projects, Codes CC<X>, CG<X>, CSS<X>, CSolar | 127 |
| Custom – C&I Custom Natural Gas Projects, Codes CC<X>, CG<X>, CSS<X> | 129 |
| Custom – C&I Custom Thermal Projects, Codes CC<X>, CG<X>, CSS<X>..... | 131 |
| Custom – C&I Custom Distributed Generation Projects, Codes CC<X>, CG<X>, CSS<X>, CSolar..... | 133 |
| APPENDIX A: GLOSSARY | 137 |
| APPENDIX B: ENERGY PERIOD FACTORS AND COINCIDENCE FACTORS | 142 |
| APPENDIX C: CARBON DIOXIDE EMISSION FACTORS | 148 |
| APPENDIX D: PARAMETER VALUES REFERENCE TABLES | 150 |

Introduction

PURPOSE

The Efficiency Maine Trust Residential/Retail and Commercial/Industrial and Multifamily Technical Reference Manuals (TRMs) provide documentation for the Trust's calculation of energy and demand savings from energy-efficiency measures. Each TRM serves as a central repository and common point of reference for the methods, formulas, assumptions, and sources that are used to estimate savings from energy-efficiency measures, and provides a common platform for analyzing energy savings across measures and programs. The importance of the TRM is derived from the importance of energy and demand savings calculations, which are at the foundation of the Trust's program planning and management, cost-effectiveness analysis, program evaluation, Annual Report, and Independent System Operator – New England (ISO-NE) Forward Capacity Market (FCM) participation.

GENERAL FORMAT

The TRM is organized by end use and then by measure category, which may include one or more measures. Each measure category is presented in its own section as a measure characterization, following a standard format. The measure characterization includes: a measure overview; energy and demand savings algorithms; baseline assumptions; deemed parameter values or instructions for inputs to savings algorithms, measure life and measure costs and impact factors for calculating adjusted gross savings and net savings. When there is a set of common values across measures, summary tables are provided at the end of the relevant section or in an appendix.

Where deemed savings values are specified, Efficiency Maine Trust (the Trust or EMT) uses integer values when reporting in units of kWh, one decimal place when reporting in units of MMBtu, and three decimal places for all demand (kW) values.

GUIDANCE & COMMON ASSUMPTIONS

In using the Trust's TRMs, it is helpful to note the following:

- **Gross savings:** Algorithms are specified for *gross* savings. To calculate *adjusted gross* savings or *net* savings, impact factors that account for verified measure performance (adjusted gross) and attribution (net) must be applied. The formulas used to calculate adjusted gross and net savings are described below.
- **Annual savings:** Algorithms are specified for *annual* savings. Unless otherwise noted, annual savings are assumed to be realized for each year of the measure life.
- **Unit savings:** Algorithms are specified for *per unit* savings. The Trust's programs' databases track and record the number of units delivered through the program.
- **Meter-level savings:** Savings are assumed to be those that occur at the customer's meter (or point of use for non-electric savings); line losses are not included in these calculations.
- **Non-electric savings:** When applicable, savings are counted for natural gas, oil, propane, kerosene, wood, and/or water. The deemed unit savings, algorithms and assumptions for these non-electric impacts are described in the measure characterizations whenever those savings are counted. If a non-electric impact is not described for a measure, it can be assumed that no non-electric impacts are counted for that measure.
- **In-Service Rate (ISR):** The in-service rate represents the percentage of program units that are installed or implemented. Unless otherwise stated in the measure-specific sections of this TRM, the ISR is set to 100 percent for all commercial measures for the following reasons:

- Purchased units are assumed to be installed. In the commercial sector, it is uncommon for customers to purchase equipment and not immediately install or use it.
 - The Trust’s programs include some level of verification of the measure purchase and/or installation. These verification procedures ensure that projects and savings are counted only for measures that are implemented.
 - The effects of non-implemented units may be identified in the program impact evaluation and accounted for in the energy and demand realization rates (RRs).
 - For most commercial measures, it is common to assume ISR = 100% or, equivalently, not include an ISR factor. For example, the 2013–2015 Massachusetts TRM assumes a 100% ISR for all commercial measures except screw-in measures, stating that “All installations have 100% in service rate since all programs include verification of equipment installations.” Many other TRMs, including New York, Connecticut, and the Mid-Atlantic TRM, do not include an ISR in savings equations for commercial measures.
- **Coincidence Factors (CF):** Coincidence factors are provided for the summer and winter on-peak periods as defined by the ISO-New England for the FCM, and are calculated consistently with the FCM methodology. Electric demand reduction during the ISO New England peak periods is defined as follows:
 - **Summer on-peak:** average demand reduction from 1:00 PM to 5:00 PM on non-holiday weekdays in June, July, and August
 - **Winter on-peak:** average demand reduction from 5:00 PM to 7:00 PM on non-holiday weekdays in December and January
 - **Life:** “Life” refers to the effective useful life of the measure. It represents the equivalent number of years the savings are expected to be realized. Lifetime savings = annual savings x life. Measure life takes one or more of the following aspects into consideration: 1) projected equipment life, 2) documented equipment warranty, 3) measure persistence,¹ and 4) savings persistence.² Life is set to represent a conservative estimate of the aggregate life of all measures of that type installed and not the characterization of the life of a single, specific installed measure.
 - **Deemed savings value vs. deemed savings algorithm:** For some measures, deemed savings values are provided representing the estimated average savings per unit for the measure. The deemed savings value may be based directly on the results from an evaluation or other research study, or may be based on a set of deemed input parameters applied to the stated energy and demand savings algorithms.

For other measures, deemed values are provided for only some of the parameters in the algorithm and actual values for a given measure are required to calculate savings. In these cases, project-specific (or “actual”) data recorded in the relevant program tracking database are used in combination with the TRM deemed parameters to compute savings.

¹ Measure persistence is a quantification of how long the measure will remain in place. Causes of reduced measure persistence include any activity that removes the measure or eliminates the savings, such as equipment upgrade, refurbishment or renovation of the building, closure of a business, and override of efficiency controls.

² Savings persistence is a quantification of how long the defined savings will remain. Causes of reduced savings persistence include a change to the baseline over the useful life of the measure so that future savings are less than first year savings and changes in usage behavior over time.

- **Project-specific (“actual”) data for parameter inputs:** The savings methods for most commercial measures specify “Actual” data for at least one of the input parameters. Actual data refers to values that are specific to the project. Unless otherwise stated, these actual project data should be collected and documented on the project application forms. For some measures, the TRM provides alternative values if the actual data are unknown.
- **Data Sources for deemed parameter inputs:** Wherever possible, deemed parameter values and assumptions are based on Maine-specific research and data. When such data are not available, the TRM relies on relevant data sources from other areas within the U.S.; in doing so, data sources from neighboring states and regions are prioritized. In some cases, engineering judgment and scaling for regional differences are used.
- **Project type:** The project type describes the underlying scenario that is assumed for the savings calculation of a given measure. The decision type has implications for the baseline efficiency case and the measure cost assumptions as shown below.³ For each energy-efficiency measure, the TRM identifies the relevant project type, or types, corresponding to the scenarios in which the given measure may be implemented.

| Decision Type | Scenario | Baseline | Measure Cost |
|--------------------|--|---|---|
| New Construction | Customer is in the market to purchase new equipment for a new construction or new capacity project or as part of a planned renovation or to add controls to improve the performance of new equipment | Federal standards or standard market practice for new equipment | Incremental cost: difference between the cost of baseline and cost of high-efficiency equipment |
| Replace on Burnout | Customer is in the market to purchase new equipment to replace existing equipment that has worn out or otherwise needs replacing | Federal standards or standard market practice for new equipment | Incremental cost: difference between the cost of baseline and cost of high-efficiency equipment |
| Retrofit | Customer’s existing equipment is in working order and has remaining useful life or customer is adding controls to improve the performance of operating equipment in an existing facility | Existing equipment or conditions | Full measure cost: cost of the high-efficiency equipment (including installation) |

- **Efficiency standards:** The TRM anticipates the effects of changes in efficiency standards for some measures, including shifts in the baseline for CFLs due to changes in Federal standards for lighting products under the Energy Independence & Security Act of 2007 (EISA).
- **TRM Updates:** The TRMs are reviewed and updated annually, or more frequently if needed, to reflect new information obtained through research and evaluation studies, changes in program offerings (measures), and shifts in technology and baselines. Annual updates to the TRM are published as a new version (Version YYYY.1) with a specific effective date. Inter-year updates are published as iterations to the version year (Version YYYY.x) with changes and effective date indicated.

³ Table adapted from National Action Plan for Energy Efficiency (2008). Understanding Cost-Effectiveness of Energy Efficiency Programs: Best Practices, Technical Methods, and Emerging Issues for Policy-Makers. Energy and Environmental Economics, Inc. and Regulatory Assistance Project. <https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2015-08/documents/napee_report.pdf>.

SAVINGS FORMULAS

The formulas and inputs used to calculate the deemed gross annual energy ($\Delta\text{kWh}/\text{yr}$ (electricity) and $\Delta\text{MMBtu}/\text{yr}$ (natural gas and other fuels)) and gross max demand (ΔkW) savings for each measure are described in the measure sections. The formulas used to calculate adjusted gross savings, on-peak demand savings, and lifetime savings are described below. For measures that have different gross max demand savings for winter and summer, max heating (ΔkW_H) and max cooling (ΔkW_C) demand savings are reported. For measures where coincident demand reductions are estimated directly, winter (ΔkW_{WP}) and summer peak (ΔkW_{SP}) demand savings are reported and the coincidence factors set to 100 percent.

Adjusted Gross Savings

Adjusted gross savings represent the total energy and demand savings achieved by measures implemented through the Trust's programs. The adjusted gross savings values are calculated by applying various evaluation parameters to the gross annual energy and demand savings:

$$\text{Adjusted Gross Annual kWh} = \Delta\text{kWh}/\text{yr} \times \text{ISR} \times \text{RR}_E$$

$$\text{Adjusted Gross Lifetime kWh} = \Delta\text{kWh}/\text{yr} \times \text{ISR} \times \text{RR}_E \times \text{Measure Life}$$

$$\text{Adjusted Gross Annual MMBtu}^4 = \Delta\text{MMBtu}/\text{yr} \times \text{ISR} \times \text{RR}_E$$

$$\text{Adjusted Gross Lifetime MMBtu}^4 = \Delta\text{MMBtu}/\text{yr} \times \text{ISR} \times \text{RR}_E \times \text{Measure Life}$$

$$\text{Adjusted Gross Summer On-Peak kW} = \Delta\text{kW} \times \text{ISR} \times \text{RR}_D \times \text{CF}_S$$

$$\text{Adjusted Gross Winter On-Peak kW} = \Delta\text{kW} \times \text{ISR} \times \text{RR}_D \times \text{CF}_W$$

The Adjusted Gross Summer On-Peak kW value is equivalent to the Demand Reduction Value reported to the ISO-NE FCM.

Net Savings

Net savings represent the total realized energy and demand savings that are attributable to the Trust's programs. These net savings are calculated by applying the net-to-gross (NTG) factors, such as free ridership (FR) and spillover (SO), to the adjusted gross savings.

$$\text{Net Annual kWh} = \Delta\text{kWh}/\text{yr} \times \text{ISR} \times \text{RR}_E \times (1 - \text{FR} + \text{SO})$$

$$\text{Net Lifetime kWh} = \Delta\text{kWh}/\text{yr} \times \text{ISR} \times \text{RR}_E \times (1 - \text{FR} + \text{SO}) \times \text{Measure Life}$$

$$\text{Net Summer On-Peak kW} = \Delta\text{kW} \times \text{ISR} \times \text{RR}_D \times \text{CF}_S \times (1 - \text{FR} + \text{SO})$$

$$\text{Net Winter On-Peak kW} = \Delta\text{kW} \times \text{ISR} \times \text{RR}_D \times \text{CF}_W \times (1 - \text{FR} + \text{SO})$$

Note the parameter $(1 - \text{FR} + \text{SO})$ may be replaced with the NTG ratio.

⁴ In this document and other reporting documents, fossil fuel savings are reporting in unit of MMBtu. In the program tracking database (effRT), natural gas savings are calculated in units of therms and then must be converted to MMBtu.

SAVINGS CALCULATIONS

The actual calculation of energy efficiency savings, pursuant to the algorithms and assumptions documented in the TRM, occurs in the Trust's program tracking databases. In 2012, the Trust initiated a significant effort to upgrade and transform its existing program-specific databases into a comprehensive, unified database system that supports multiple programs with standardized internal processes, features, and quality. This initiative builds on the foundation of the successful Efficiency Maine Reporting and Tracking (effRT) database system that historically supported the Business Programs to create a new multi-program database system, effRT 2.0. As part of this effort, the Trust is mapping the TRM deemed values and algorithms into effRT, and establishing processes for updates to effRT to coincide with TRM updates.

As of January 1, 2014, the Trust added adjustment factors for the in-service rate (ISR) and the evaluated realization rate (RR) to the formulas used to calculate the demand reduction value (DRV) for Forward Capacity Market (FCM monthly reporting. Results using these two additional factors are referred to as *Adjusted Gross Savings* in the effRT report.

TRM Change Log

| Change Type | TRM Section | Description | Effective Date | effRT Update |
|------------------------|--|---|----------------|--------------|
| PY2014 Addendum | | | | |
| Correction | Table 32 - Installed Fixture Rated Wattage Reduction Table (SAVEEE) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Corrected the SAVE_{EE} values to show the average wattage reduction per fixture code. The previous values showed the fixture wattage rather than the wattage reduction. Added wattage savings values for new measure codes S51 and S61. | 11/12/2013 | N/A |
| New | Prescriptive Lighting: Lighting Fixtures – Interior Spaces (New Construction) | Added new fixture codes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Code S51 – LED Recessed Fixtures Code S61 – LED High/Low Bay Fixtures | 11/12/2013 | Y |
| New | Prescriptive Lighting: Lighting Fixtures – Interior Spaces (Retrofit) | Added new fixture codes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Code S50 – LED Recessed Fixtures Code S60 – LED High/Low Bay Fixtures | 11/12/2013 | Y |
| New | Prescriptive Lighting: Lighting Fixtures – Refrigerated Spaces | Added new fixture codes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Code S32 – LED Refrigerated Case Light – Horizontal (Retrofit) Code S33 – LED Refrigerated Case Light – Horizontal (New Construction) | 11/12/2013 | Y |
| Revision | Table 31 – Installed Measure Wattage and Cost Table (Watts _{EE} , SAVE _{EE} , Cost _{EE}) | Added fixture wattage values for new measure codes S50, S51, S60, S61, S32 and S33 | 11/12/2013 | Y |
| Revision | Table 35 – Installed Costs for Prescriptive Lighting High Efficiency Measures | Added measure costs for new measure codes S50, S51, S60, and S61. | 11/12/2013 | Y |
| New | Prescriptive DHP Retrofit: Ductless Heat Pump Retrofit | Added two new measures: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> DHP Retrofit (Electric Heat Baseline) DHP Retrofit (Non-Electric Heat Baseline) | 12/17/2013 | Y |
| Revision | Table 29 – Commercial Coincidence Factors and Energy Period Factors | Added coincidence and energy period factors for the two new DHP Retrofit measures | 12/17/2013 | Y |
| Revision | Appendix G: Custom Projects – Process Documentation | Updated eligibility requirements to reflect a mid-year change announced in a January 30, 2013 program opportunity notice | 2/25/2014 | N/A |
| PY2015 Updates | | | | |
| New | Multifamily Efficiency Program lighting measures | Added Multifamily Efficiency Program for retrofit lighting measures (superseded by subsequent modification) | 7/1/2014 | N/A |
| Revision | Prescriptive HVAC: Unitary Air-Conditioners | Updated baseline efficiency for Window AC units to reflect change to federal minimum efficiency standards | 7/1/2014 | N/A |
| Revision | Natural Gas Heating Equipment | Update baseline efficiency values based on new federal minimum efficiency requirements; updated measure costs | 7/1/2014 | Y |
| Other | Prescriptive Lighting: Lighting Controls – Interior Spaces | Revised description of savings calculation method to improve clarity; the change does not change the savings estimation approach | 7/1/2014 | N/A |
| Revision | Prescriptive HVAC: PTAC and PTHP | Updated baseline efficiency values | 7/1/2014 | N/A |

| Change Type | TRM Section | Description | Effective Date | effRT Update |
|-------------|---|--|----------------|--------------|
| New | Prescriptive HVAC: Ductless Heat Pump Retrofit | Updated the existing Ductless Heat Pump Retrofit measure to include multi-head option; updated measure cost | 7/1/2014 | Y |
| Other | Small Business Direct Install Program | The PY2014 Direct Install Pilot Program is changed to the Small Business Direct Install Program in PY2015. | 7/1/2014 | N/A |
| Revision | DHP Retrofit | Updated the formula to include an HSPF adjustment factor and updated the annual EFLH value based on updates to the DHP workbook. Updates also included CF and EPF values for this measure. | 7/1/2014 | Y |
| Revision | HVAC: VRF | Updated baseline COP to reflect cold climate operation. | 9/23/2014 | Y |
| Revision | DHP Retrofit | Updated measure life | 9/27/2014 | Y |
| Other | DHP Retrofit | Removed qualifications table, revised measure cost for 4 zones to be 4+ zones | 11/30/2014 | Y |
| Other | Introduction | Updated TRM Update section. Inter-year updates will be released as iterations of the complete document. | 11/30/2014 | N |
| Other | Prescriptive Lighting: Lighting Fixtures – Multifamily (Retrofit), Prescriptive Lighting: Lighting Controls – Multifamily | Moved Multifamily lighting measures from Commercial TRM to Multifamily TRM | 1/1/2015 | N |
| Other | Prescriptive DHP | Removed Multifamily option. Included in Multifamily TRM | 1/1/2015 | N |
| Other | Custom Electric, Custom Natural Gas | Removed Multifamily section. Included in Multifamily TRM. Custom Natural Gas criteria updated. | 1/1/2015 | N |
| Other | Custom Natural Gas | Modified minimum savings threshold | 3/1/2015 | N |
| New | Prescriptive HVAC | Added new measures: Boiler Turbulator, Modulating Burner Controls, Oxygen Trim Controls, Boiler Economizer, Programmable Thermostats, Boiler Reset/Lockout Controls | 3/1/2015 | Y |
| New | Prescriptive Water Heating | Tankless Water Heater | 3/1/2015 | Y |
| New | Prescriptive Lighting | Added new measure codes: | 3/1/2015 | Y |
| New | Prescriptive Lighting: Lighting Fixtures – Interior Spaces (New Construction) | Added new fixture codes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Code S81 – LED Linear Ambient Fixtures | 3/1/2015 | Y |
| New | Prescriptive Lighting: Lighting Fixtures – Interior Spaces (Retrofit) | Added new fixture codes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Code S80 – LED Linear Ambient Fixtures | 3/1/2015 | Y |

| Change Type | TRM Section | Description | Effective Date | effRT Update |
|-----------------------|--|---|----------------|--------------|
| New | Prescriptive Lighting: Lighting Fixtures with Integrated Controls – Interior Spaces (New Construction) | Added new fixture codes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Code S71 – LED StairwayFixtures | 3/1/2015 | Y |
| New | Prescriptive Lighting: Lighting Fixtures with Integrated Controls – Interior Spaces (Retrofit) | Added new fixture codes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Code S70 – LED Stairway Fixtures | 3/1/2015 | Y |
| PY2016 Updates | | | | |
| Revision | Lighting Equipment | Revised waste heat factors for cooling. Added waste heat factor for heating | 7/1/2015 | Y |
| Revision | Lighting Equipment | Revised sub-division for LED Flood/Spot and High/Low Bay fixtures. | 7/1/2015 | Y |
| Revision | Appendix E: Lighting Costs | Revised measure costs for lighting measures | 7/1/2015 | Y |
| Revision | Ductless Heat Pump | Changed decision type to Lost Opportunity. Revised parameters based on updated modeling. | 7/1/2015 | Y |
| Revision | Prescriptive HVAC | Updated measure cost for Unitary A/C, Heat Pump Systems, Oxygen Trim Controls | 7/1/2015 | Y |
| Revision | Prescriptive Refrigeration | Updated measure cost for R80, R90 | 7/1/2015 | Y |
| Revision | Prescriptive Agriculture | Updated measure cost for vapor-tight high performance T8, | 7/1/2015 | Y |
| Revision | Prescriptive Agriculture | Adjustable Speed Drive savings calculation updated to reflect Variable Frequency Drive Evaluation Protocol | 7/1/2015 | Y |
| Revision | Prescriptive Natural Gas | Updated measure cost for natural gas heating equipment and natural gas kitchen equipment | 7/1/2015 | Y |
| Revision | Custom Incentives | Updated measure life for heating system replacement/upgrade and maintenance | 7/1/2015 | Y |
| Other | Appendix: Carbon Dioxide Emission Factors | Added carbon dioxide emission factors table | 7/1/2015 | N |
| Other | Lighting | Expanded Hospital entries to include all health care facilities | 7/1/2015 | Y |
| Other | Appendix: Average Annual Lighting Operating Hours and other Lookup Tables | Added annual operation hours reference for nursing homes/assisted living/health care and agriculture, added health care ventilation rates | 7/1/2015 | N |
| Other | Multiple | Updated kBtuh per kW conversion factor from 3.413 to 3.412 | 7/1/2015 | Y |
| Revision | S11 | New wattage sub-division added | 7/1/2015 | Y |
| Correction | Ductless Heat Pump | Corrected measure life to 15 years | 7/1/2015 | N |
| Revision | Table 25 Measure Life Reference for Custom Projects | Added Solar PV to table with measure life of 20 years | 7/1/2015 | Y |
| Revision | Appendix B | Corrected energy period factors for custom single shift process | 7/1/2015 | Y |
| New | Prescriptive HVAC Efficient Oil or Propane Boilers and Furnaces | New measure for PY16 | 9/1/2015 | Y |

| Change Type | TRM Section | Description | Effective Date | effRT Update |
|-----------------------|--|---|----------------|--------------|
| PY2017 Updates | | | | |
| Revision | All | Free ridership and spillover rates updated for all measures based on draft evaluation reports for BIP and LCP; measures not yet evaluated assigned default FR of 25% and default SO of 0% | 7/1/2016 | Y |
| Other | Prescriptive Lighting | All non-LED measures have been removed, new measure codes added | 7/1/2016 | Y |
| Other | Prescriptive Lighting – Interior | Summer and winter peak demand savings algorithms added to clarify that interactive effects for cooling systems only apply to summer peak demand savings | 7/1/2016 | N |
| Revision | Prescriptive Lighting – Fixtures with Integrated Controls | Demand savings algorithms updated to properly reflect decreased wattage and decreased run time | 7/1/2016 | N |
| Revision | Table: Installed Fixture Rated Wattage Table (Watts _{EE}) | Removed non-LED fixtures, revised wattage based on updated binning, added new fixtures | 7/1/2016 | Y |
| Revision | Table: Installed Fixture Rated Wattage Reduction Table (SAVE _{EE}) | Revised wattage based on updated binning, removed ineligible fixtures | 7/1/2016 | Y |
| Revision | Table: Existing Fixture Rated Wattage Table | Added new measures eligible for controls | 7/1/2016 | Y |
| Revision | Table: Measure Costs for Prescriptive Lighting | Removed non-LED fixtures, revised costs based on updated binning, added new fixtures | 7/1/2016 | Y |
| Other | Table: Savings Factors for Lighting Controls | Added Cooler/Freezer Case factor to table | 7/1/2016 | N |
| Revision | VFD | Savings factors updated based on more recent study, ineligible sizes removed | 7/1/2016 | Y |
| Other | Ductless Heat Pump MF and LIMF | Added multifamily and low-income multifamily ductless heat pump measures from Multifamily TRM to Commercial TRM; multifamily TRM to be discontinued in 2017 | 7/1/2016 | N |
| Other | Efficient Oil or Propane Boilers and Furnaces | Ineligible sizes removed | 7/1/2016 | Y |
| Revision | Natural Gas Heating Equipment | Modified savings algorithm to use annual heat load, measure cost for G7, G15 and G16 updated based on recent projects when available | 7/1/2016 | Y |
| Other | Commercial Kitchen Equipment | Split kitchen equipment into separate section | 7/1/2016 | N |
| New | Demand Control Kitchen Ventilation | New measure | 7/1/2016 | Y |
| Other | Custom | Revised description to better describe small and large custom programs | 7/1/2016 | N |
| Other | Custom Thermal Projects | Renamed Custom Greenhouse Gas Projects to Custom Thermal Projects and added an energy content by fuel type reference table | 7/1/2016 | N |
| Other | Title | Renamed Commercial TRM to Commercial/Industrial and Multifamily TRM | 7/1/2016 | N |

| Change Type | TRM Section | Description | Effective Date | effRT Update |
|-------------|--|--|----------------|--------------|
| Other | Appendix G: Custom Projects – Process Documentation | Appendix removed | 7/1/2016 | N |
| New | Prescriptive Lighting & Appendices | New measure S81 added to Lighting Fixtures – Interior Spaces (Retrofit/Replacement Lamps). S81 and new bins for S52 added to Appendix: Lighting Installed Baseline Fixture Rated Wattage Tables and Baseline Lighting Power Density (LPD), Appendix: Prescriptive Lighting Measure Cost | 10/1/2016 | Y |
| Correction | Appendix: Lighting Installed Baseline Fixture Rated Wattage Tables and Baseline Lighting Power Density (LPD) | LED Retrofit Kit 2x2 Recessed Fixture bin wattage corrected | 7/1/2016 | N |
| Revision | Appendix: Prescriptive Lighting Measure Cost | S52 measure costs updated | 10/1/2016 | Y |
| New | Prescriptive Lighting & Appendices | New measure S40 added to Lighting Fixtures – Interior Spaces (Retrofit/Replacement Lamps), Appendix: Lighting Installed Baseline Fixture Rated Wattage Tables and Baseline Lighting Power Density (LPD) | 7/1/2016 | Y |
| Other | Reference tables in Appendices | Combined into a single table Table: Installed Fixture Rated Wattage Table (Watts _{EE}), Table: Installed Fixture Rated Wattage Reduction Table (SAVE _{EE}), and Table: Measure Costs for Prescriptive Lighting. Combined all parameter values reference tables into a single appendix. | N/A | N |
| Revision | Prescriptive Lighting | New fixture retrofit measure codes added to interior and exterior measures in support of Small Business Direct Install. | 7/1/2016 | Y |
| Revision | Lighting Reference Tables | Added separate parameter values for SBDI based on specific program participating measures. | 7/1/2016 | Y |
| New | High Efficiency Pre-Rinse Spray Valve | New measure added | 11/1/2016 | Y |
| Revision | ENERGY STAR® Natural Gas Kitchen Equipment | Savings estimates and measure cost updated based on current ENERGY STAR® calculator. | 11/1/2016 | Y |
| Revision | Lighting Reference Tables | Added new bin to S11 Pole-Mounted Streetlights and Parking Fixtures specifically for 1000 W MH replacements. | 12/1/2016 | Y |
| Revision | Lighting Reference Tables | Revised wattages and costs for S6, S8, S11, S17, S51 and S61 based on program analysis. | 12/1/2016 | Y |
| Revision | Lighting Reference Table | Revised wattage on S11 and costs for S6, S13, S51, S52, S61 based on review of Q1 and Q2 program projects | 1/1/2017 | Y |
| Correction | Lighting Fixtures with Integrated Controls | Corrected equation to properly calculate peak demand reduction | 4/1/2017 | N |

| Change Type | TRM Section | Description | Effective Date | effRT Update |
|-----------------------|---|---|----------------|--------------|
| Revision | High Efficiency Pre-Rinse Spray Valve | Added savings for electric resistance water heater, updated measure cost to be actual | 1/1/2017 | Y |
| Revision | Reference Lighting Annual Operating Hours | Revised reference hours table to use KEMA Lighting Load Shape Project values and added a facility/space type reference table based on Michigan Statewide Commercial and Industrial Lighting Hours-of-Use Study | 4/1/2017 | N |
| Revision | Tankless Water Heater | Added Propane | 4/1/2017 | Y |
| Other | Custom Programs | Updated descriptions to match program implementation | 7/1/2016 | N |
| New | Custom Program – Distributed Generation | Added new measure to separate out DG from other custom programs | 7/1/2016 | N |
| Revision | Prescriptive Gas | Updated savings formula | 5/1/2017 | Y |
| PY2018 Updates | | | | |
| New | HVAC Equipment | The addition of the “Electronically Commutated Hot water Circulator Pump Motors” measure to the HVAC equipment section as per the recommendation from Michaels Energy June 14, 2017 memo | TBD | N |
| New | HVAC Equipment | The addition of the “Electronically Commutated Supply Fan Motor” measure to the HVAC equipment section as per the recommendation from Michaels Energy June 14, 2017 memo | TBD | N |
| New | HVAC Equipment | The addition of the “Advanced Rooftop Controls” measure to the HVAC equipment section as per the recommendation from Michaels Energy June 14, 2017 memo | TBD | N |
| Other | HVAC Equipment | Incorporate Gas Equipment measures into HVAC equipment section, combine all boiler/furnace measures into a single table | N/A | N |
| New | Custom Program | Created Advanced Building entry to contextualize parameters | N/A | N |
| Revision | HVAC Equipment | Addition of oversize factor, rated input capacity of unit, and effective full load hours for heating (and corresponding values) to the natural gas heating equipment, codes G1-G16, CNG1-CNG16, G01M, G07M, G08M, G15M, G16M, H1M, H2M, H3M | 7/1/17 | Y |
| Revision | HVAC Equipment | Set PACT and Unitary measures to “inactive” | 7/1/17 | N |
| Revision | HVAC Equipment | Updated AH and DHP EFLH as per recommendations from Nexant, Business Incentive Program Impact Evaluation, unpublished draft, May 2017 | 7/1/17 | N |
| Revision | Prescriptive Lighting | Updated waste heat factors for interactive effects based on new derivation | 7/1/2017 | Y |
| Other | Appendix D | Added derivation of interactive effects | 7/1/2017 | N |

| Change Type | TRM Section | Description | Effective Date | effRT Update |
|-------------|--|--|----------------|--------------|
| Revision | Prescriptive Non-Lighting Measures | Used Nexant, Business Incentive Program Impact Evaluation, unpublished draft, May 2017 RR Demand values to adjust both the summer and winter peak Coincidence Factors; RRD Dchanged to 100% to reflect this change | 7/1/17 | Y |
| New | Table 41 | Created new table in Appendix D to reflect the changes made to the prescriptive non-lighting measures Coincidence Factors | 7/1/17 | N |
| Correction | Lighting | Updated waste heat factors consistent with derivation in Appendix D (update was not included in published 7/1/17 version) | 7/1/17 | Y |
| Correction | Variable Refrigerant Flow | Added conversion factor (kBtu to kWh) | 7/1/17 | Y |
| New | Thermal Envelope | Added new measures for multifamily thermal envelope upgrades | 8/1/17 | Y |
| New | Commercial Laundry Equipment | Added new measures for multifamily common area clothes washers and dryers | 8/1/17 | Y |
| Revision | Appendix D: Installed Measure Wattage and Cost Table | Wattage and Cost updated with FY18 SBI specific measures. | 9/1/17 | N |
| Revision | Appendix D: Installed Measure Wattage and Cost Table | Cost updated with most recent program data for S11, S13, S17, S23, S30, S51, S52, S61, S81, L60.1, L70.1 S6, S64, S110 removed from CIP portion of the table (moved to Retail/Residential TRM) | 10/1/17 | Y |
| Revision | HVAC Equipment | Updated incremental cost with most recent program data for AF1, AF6, G01M, G07M, G08M and VRF | 10/1/17 | Y |
| Revision | HVAC Equipment | Updated capacity bins for G07M and G08M | 10/1/17 | Y |
| Revision | Water Heating Equipment | Updated incremental cost for WH1 | 10/1/17 | Y |
| Revision | C&I Custom | Updated RR_e and RR_d with findings from the LCP Evaluation | 10/1/17 | Y |
| Revision | ECM Supply Fan and Hot Water Smart Pump | Made active 10/1/2017 | 10/1/17 | Y |
| Revision | Appendix B | Added ECM Supply Fan and Hot Water Smart Pump | 10/1/17 | Y |
| Revision | Appendix D: Installed Measure Wattage and Cost Table | Wattage and Cost updated with FY18 SBI specific measures changes (S52, S81, S110). | 1/1/18 | Y |
| Revision | Appendix D: Installed Measure Wattage and Cost Table | Cost updated with most recent program data for S11, S13, S21, S30, S51, S52, S61, S81, L60.1, L70.1 | 1/1/18 | Y |

| Change Type | TRM Section | Description | Effective Date | effRT Update |
|-------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|--------------|
|-------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|--------------|

Correction: indicates a correction to an existing error in the previous TRM.

New: indicates a measure that was not included in the previous TRM.

Revision: indicates a revision to the savings or costs of an existing measure.

Other: indicates a change to an existing measure or existing text that does not affect savings or cost calculation.

Note that the change log provides a running history of changes. The order of precedence is in reverse order of date. More recent changes may supersede previous changes. Previous change log entries are not changed so as to provide historic reference of past changes.

Lighting Equipment

| Prescriptive Lighting: Lighting Fixtures – Interior Spaces (New Fixtures), Codes S21, S51, S61, S81 | |
|--|--|
| Last Revised Date | 10/1/2017 (retroactive to 7/1/2016) |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | |
| Description | This measure involves the purchase and installation of high-efficiency interior lighting fixtures instead of new standard-efficiency fixtures. |
| Primary Energy Impact | Electric |
| Sector | Commercial/Industrial |
| Program(s) | C&I Prescriptive Program, Small Business Direct Install Program |
| End-Use | Lighting |
| Project Type | New construction, Replace on burnout |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVINGS ALGORITHMS (UNIT SAVINGS) | |
| Demand Savings | $\Delta kW = (LPD_{BASE} \times Area - Qty_{EE} \times Watts_{EE}) / 1,000 \times WHF_d$ $\Delta kW_{SP} = (LPD_{BASE} \times Area - Qty_{EE} \times Watts_{EE}) / 1,000 \times WHF_d \times CF_s$ $\Delta kW_{WP} = (LPD_{BASE} \times Area - Qty_{EE} \times Watts_{EE}) / 1,000 \times CF_w$ |
| Annual Energy Savings | $\Delta kWh/yr = (LPD_{BASE} \times Area - Qty_{EE} \times Watts_{EE}) / 1,000 \times HoursWk \times Weeks \times WHF_{e,cool}$ $\Delta MMBtu/yr^5 = -(LPD_{BASE} \times Area - Qty_{EE} \times Watts_{EE}) / 1,000 \times HoursWk \times Weeks \times WHF_{e,heat}$ |
| Definitions | Unit = Lighting fixture upgrade measure Qty _{EE} = Quantity of energy-efficient fixtures Watts _{EE} = Watts of energy-efficient fixture (based on the specified installed fixture type) (Watts) SAVE _{EE} = Average wattage reduction of fixture (based on the specified installed fixture type) (Watts) LPD _{BASE} = Baseline maximum lighting power density (LPD) for space type (Watts/ft ²) Area = Area of the building or space associated with the design LPD _{BASE} value (ft ²) HoursWk = Weekly hours of equipment operation (hrs/week) Weeks = Weeks per year of equipment operation (weeks/year) WHF _d = Waste heat factor for demand to account for cooling savings from efficient lighting WHF _{e,cool} = Waste heat factor for energy to account for cooling savings from efficient lighting WHF _{e,heat} = Waste heat factor for energy to account for increased heating load from efficient lighting 1,000 = Conversion: 1,000 Watts per kW BC = Baseline Cost EEC = Installed Fixture Cost |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTIONS | |
| Baseline Efficiency | The baseline is represented by building code or standard design practice for the building or space type. |
| Efficient Measure | High-efficiency lighting system that exceeds building code by at least 20%. |

⁵ Fuel interactive effects are distributed across fuels types as follows: 76% Oil, 10% Natural Gas, 7% Propane, 7% Kerosene.

| Prescriptive Lighting: Lighting Fixtures – Interior Spaces (New Fixtures), Codes S21, S51, S61, S81 | | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|
| PARAMETER VALUES | | | | | | | |
| Measure/Type | Qty _{EE} | Watts _{EE} | SAVE _{EE} | Area | Life (yrs) | Cost (\$) | |
| New construction | Actual | Table 31 ⁶ | Table 31 ⁶ | Actual | 15 ⁷ | Table 31 | |
| Measure/Type | HoursWk | Weeks | WHF _d ⁸ | WHF _{e,cool} ⁹ | WHF _{e,heat} ¹⁰ | LPD _{BASE} | |
| New construction | Actual ¹¹ | Actual | 1.144 | 1.06 | 0.00159 | Table 33 ⁶ | |
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | |
| Program | ISR | RR _E | RR _D | CF _S ¹² | CF _W | FR | SO |
| C&I Prescriptive | 100% | 99% ¹³ | 101% ¹³ | Table 29 | Table 29 | 26% ¹⁴ | 1.6% ¹⁵ |
| Small Business Direct Install | 100% | 100% ¹⁶ | 100% | Table 29 | Table 29 | 25% ¹⁷ | 0% ¹⁸ |

⁶ See Appendix D. The fixture wattage and wattage reduction values are based on the specified fixture type. The baseline LPD is based on the specified space type.

⁷ GDS Associates, Inc., Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures Prepared for The New England State Program Working Group (SPWG), June 2007 and the 2005 Measure Life Study Report prepared for The Massachusetts Joint Utilities, by ERS and the 2005 *Measure Life Study Report* prepared for The Massachusetts Joint Utilities, by ERS.

⁸ Derived from the concept set forth in Rundquist, R.A., Johnson, K.F., Aumann, D.J. (1993). Calculating Lighting and HVAC Interactions. ASHRAE Journal, 35(11), 28-37. See Appendix D: Parameter Values Reference Tables for derivation and input assumptions.

⁹ Ibid.

¹⁰ Ibid.

¹¹ Use actual hours when known. If hours are unknown, use the values from Table 34 or Table 35.

¹² See Appendix B.

¹³ Opinion Dynamics Corporation, Evaluation of the Efficiency Maine Trust Business Program, November 30, 2011, Table 1-1. Values for prescriptive measures.

¹⁴ Nexant, Business Incentive Program Process Evaluation, Published October 31, 2016, page 58.

¹⁵ Ibid.

¹⁶ This program is new and has not yet been evaluated. Until the next program impact evaluation, EMT assumes a 100% RR.

¹⁷ Program not yet evaluated, assume default FR of 25%.

¹⁸ Program not yet evaluated, assume default SO of 0%.

| Prescriptive Lighting: Lighting Fixtures – Interior Spaces (Retrofit/Replacement Lamps), Codes S40, S52, S62, S64, S21R, S51R, S61R, S81R, S110R | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|-------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|--------|------------------|------------------------|
| Last Revised Date | 10/1/2017 (retroactive to 7/1/2016) | | | | | | | |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | | | | | | | | |
| Description | This measure involves the purchase and installation of high-efficiency interior lamps or retrofit kits to replace existing operating lighting equipment (retrofit). Note S40 is only applicable to Small Business Direct Install | | | | | | | |
| Primary Energy Impact | Electric | | | | | | | |
| Sector | Commercial/Industrial | | | | | | | |
| Program(s) | C&I Prescriptive Program, Small Business Direct Install Program | | | | | | | |
| End-Use | Lighting | | | | | | | |
| Project Type | Retrofit | | | | | | | |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVINGS ALGORITHMS (UNIT SAVINGS) | | | | | | | | |
| Demand Savings | ΔkW | = (Qty _{BASE} x Watts _{BASE} – Qty _{EE} x Watts _{EE}) / 1,000 x WHF _d | | | | | | |
| | ΔkW _{SP} | = (Qty _{BASE} x Watts _{BASE} – Qty _{EE} x Watts _{EE}) / 1,000 x WHF _d x CF _S | | | | | | |
| | ΔkW _{WP} | = (Qty _{BASE} x Watts _{BASE} – Qty _{EE} x Watts _{EE}) / 1,000 x CF _W | | | | | | |
| Annual Energy Savings | ΔkWh/yr | = (Qty _{BASE} x Watts _{BASE} – Qty _{EE} x Watts _{EE}) / 1,000 x HoursWk x Weeks x WHF _{e,cool} | | | | | | |
| | ΔMMBtu/yr ¹⁹ | = -(Qty _{BASE} x Watts _{BASE} – Qty _{EE} x Watts _{EE}) / 1,000 x HoursWk x Weeks x WHF _{e,heat} | | | | | | |
| Definitions | Unit | = Lighting fixture upgrade measure | | | | | | |
| | Qty _{BASE} | = Quantity of baseline fixtures | | | | | | |
| | Watts _{BASE} | = Watts of baseline fixture (based on the specified existing fixture type) (Watts) | | | | | | |
| | Qty _{EE} | = Quantity of energy-efficient fixtures | | | | | | |
| | Watts _{EE} | = Watts of energy-efficient fixture (based on the specified installed fixture type) (Watts) | | | | | | |
| | HoursWk | = Weekly hours of equipment operation (hrs/week) | | | | | | |
| | Weeks | = Weeks per year of equipment operation (weeks/year) | | | | | | |
| | WHF _d | = Waste heat factor for demand to account for cooling savings from efficient lighting | | | | | | |
| | WHF _{e,cool} | = Waste heat factor for energy to account for cooling savings from efficient lighting | | | | | | |
| | WHF _{e,heat} | = Waste heat factor for energy to account for increased heating load from efficient lighting | | | | | | |
| 1,000 | = Conversion: 1,000 Watts per kW | | | | | | | |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTIONS | | | | | | | | |
| Baseline Efficiency | The existing lighting system. | | | | | | | |
| Efficient Measure | High-efficiency lighting system that exceeds building code. | | | | | | | |
| PARAMETER VALUES | | | | | | | | |
| Measure/Type | Qty _{BASE} | Watts _{BASE} | Qty _{EE} | Watts _{EE} | HoursWk ²⁰ | Weeks | Life (yrs) | Cost (\$) |
| All but S40 | Actual | Table 32 ²¹ | Actual | Table 31 ²¹ | Actual | Actual | 13 ²² | Table 31 ²³ |
| S40 | | | | | | | 7 ²⁴ | |

¹⁹ Fuel interactive effects are distributed across fuels types as follows: 76% Oil, 10% Natural Gas, 7% Propane, 7% Kerosene²⁰ Use actual hours when known. If hours are unknown, use the values from Table 34 or Table 35.²¹ See Appendix D. The fixture wattages are based on the specified fixture types for baseline and installed fixtures.²² GDS Associates, Inc., Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures Prepared for The New England State Program Working Group (SPWG), June 2007 and the 2005 Measure Life Study Report prepared for The Massachusetts Joint Utilities, by ERS and the 2005 *Measure Life Study Report* prepared for The Massachusetts Joint Utilities, by ERS²³ Actual project costs collected for SBI. For C&I Prescriptive see Appendix D: Parameter Values Reference Tables²⁴ Based on 25,000 hour rated life and 3772 hours of use per year.

Prescriptive Lighting: Lighting Fixtures – Interior Spaces (Retrofit/Replacement Lamps), Codes S40, S52, S62, S64, S21R, S51R, S61R, S81R, S110R

| Measure/Type | WHF _d 25 | WHF _{e,cool} ²⁶ | WHF _{e,heat} 27 | | | | |
|----------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Retrofit | 1.144 | 1.06 | 0.00159 | | | | |
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | |
| Program | ISR | RR _E | RR _D | CF _S | CF _W | FR | SO |
| C&I Prescriptive | 100% | 99% ²⁸ | 101% ²⁸ | Table 29 ²⁹ | Table 29 ²⁹ | 26% ³⁰ | 1.6% ³¹ |
| Small Business Direct Install | 100% | 100% ³² | 100% ³² | Table 29 ²⁹ | Table 29 ²⁹ | 25% ³³ | 0% ³⁴ |

²⁵ Derived from the concept set forth in Rundquist, R.A., Johnson, K.F., Aumann, D.J. (1993). Calculating Lighting and HVAC Interactions. ASHRAE Journal, 35(11), 28-37. See Appendix D: Parameter Values Reference Tables for derivation and input assumptions.

²⁶ Ibid.

²⁷ Ibid.

²⁸ Opinion Dynamics Corporation, Evaluation of the Efficiency Maine Trust Business Program, November 30, 2011, Table 1-1. Realization Rates for Prescriptive Measures.

²⁹ See Appendix B.

³⁰ Nexant, Business Incentive Program Process Evaluation, Published October 31, 2016, page 58Nexant, Business Incentive Program Process Evaluation, Published October 31, 2016, page 58.

³¹ Ibid.

³² This program is new and has not yet been evaluated. Until the next program impact evaluation, EMT assumes a 100% RR.

³³ Program not yet evaluated, assume default FR of 25%.

³⁴ Program not yet evaluated, assume default SO of 0%.

Prescriptive Lighting: Lighting Fixtures with Integrated Controls – Interior Spaces (New Construction), Code S71 (Inactive)

Last Revised Date 10/1/2017 (retroactive to 7/1/2015)

MEASURE OVERVIEW

| | |
|-----------------------|---|
| Description | This measure involves the purchase and installation of LED stairway lighting fixtures instead of new standard-efficiency fixtures (new construction). The fixtures must meet one of the following conditions: include integral controls, operate off of remote sensors where remote sensor is packaged together with the luminaire under a single model number, or be designed to operate off of remote sensors, where the luminaire and sensors are sold separately, but the luminaire has features enabling communication with a remote sensor. Controls must ensure that the luminaire reverts to lower-power, lower-light output state when there are no occupants in the vicinity. |
| Primary Energy Impact | Electric |
| Sector | Commercial/Industrial |
| Program(s) | C&I Prescriptive Program |
| End-Use | Lighting |
| Project Type | New construction, Replace on burnout |

GROSS ENERGY SAVINGS ALGORITHMS (UNIT SAVINGS)

| | |
|-----------------------|--|
| Demand Savings | $\Delta Kw = (WHF_d / 1,000) \times (LPD_{BASE} \times Watts_{BASE} - Qty_{EE} \times Watts_{EE})$ $\Delta kW_{SP} = (WHF_d / 1,000) \times [(LPD_{BASE} \times Watts_{BASE} - Qty_{EE} \times Watts_{EE}) \times CF_s + (Qty_{EE} \times Watts_{EE} \times ContOutRed \times CR_s)]$ $\Delta kW_{WP} = (1 / 1,000) \times [(LPD_{BASE} \times Watts_{BASE} - Qty_{EE} \times Watts_{EE}) \times CF_w + (Qty_{EE} \times Watts_{EE} \times ContOutRed \times CR_w)]$ |
| Annual Energy Savings | $\Delta kWh/yr = (HoursWk \times Wks \times WHF_{e,cool} / 1,000) \times [(LPD_{BASE} \times Area - Qty_{EE} \times Watts_{EE}) + (Qty_{EE} \times Watts_{EE} \times ContOutRed \times (1 - Occ))]$ $\Delta MMBtu/yr = -(HoursWk \times Wks \times WHF_{e,heat} / 1,000) \times [(LPD_{BASE} \times Area - Qty_{EE} \times Watts_{EE}) + (Qty_{EE} \times Watts_{EE} \times ContOutRed \times (1 - Occ))]$ |
| Definitions | <p>Unit = Lighting fixture upgrade measure</p> <p>Qty_{EE} = Quantity of energy-efficient fixtures</p> <p>Watts_{EE} = Watts of energy-efficient fixture (based on the specified installed fixture type) (Watts)</p> <p>SAVEEE = Average wattage reduction of fixture (based on the specified installed fixture type) (Watts)</p> <p>LPD_{BASE} = Baseline maximum lighting power density (LPD) for space type (Watts/ft²)</p> <p>Area = Area of the building or space associated with the design LPD value (ft²)</p> <p>HoursWk = Weekly hours of equipment operation (hrs/week)</p> <p>Weeks = Weeks per year of equipment operation (weeks/year)</p> <p>ContOutRed = % light output reduction sensor set point (must be minimum of 50%)</p> <p>Occ = % occupancy for space (default to 10%)</p> <p>WHF_d = Waste heat factor for demand to account for cooling savings from efficient lighting</p> <p>WHF_{e,cool} = Waste heat factor for energy to account for cooling savings from efficient lighting</p> <p>WHF_{e,heat} = Waste heat factor for energy to account for increased heating load from efficient lighting</p> <p>1,000 = Conversion: 1,000 Watts per kW</p> <p>CR_s = Coincidence reduction factor for summer</p> <p>CR_w = Coincidence reduction factor for winter</p> |

Prescriptive Lighting: Lighting Fixtures with Integrated Controls – Interior Spaces (New Construction), Code S71 (Inactive)

EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTIONS

| | |
|---------------------|---|
| Baseline Efficiency | The baseline is represented by building code or standard design practice for the building or space type. |
| Efficient Measure | High-efficiency lighting system that exceeds building code with controls that automatically control the connected lighting systems. |

PARAMETER VALUES

| Measure/Type | Qty _{EE} | Watts _{EE} | SAVE _{EE} | Area | HoursWk ³⁵ | Weeks | Life (yrs) | Cost (\$) |
|--------------|-------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------------|
| Retrofit | Actual | Table 31 ²¹ | Table 31 ²¹ | Actual | Actual | Actual | 13 ³⁶ | Table 31 ³⁷ |
| Measure/Type | ContOutRed | Occ | WHF _d ³⁸ | WHF _{e,cool} ³⁹ | WHF _{e,heat} ⁴⁰ | CR _s | CR _w | |
| Retrofit | Actual | Actual | 1.144 | 1.06 | 0.00159 | 18% ⁴¹ | 12% ⁴² | |

IMPACT FACTORS

| Program | ISR | RR _E | RR _D | CF _s | CF _w | FR | SO |
|------------------|------|-------------------|--------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| C&I Prescriptive | 100% | 99% ⁴³ | 101% ⁴⁴ | Table 29 ⁴⁵ | Table 29 ⁴⁶ | 26% ⁴⁷ | 1.6% ⁴⁸ |

³⁵ Use actual hours when known. If hours are unknown, use the values from Table 34 or Table 35.

³⁶ GDS Associates, Inc., Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures Prepared for The New England State Program Working Group (SPWG), June 2007 and the 2005 Measure Life Study Report prepared for The Massachusetts Joint Utilities, by ERS and the 2005 *Measure Life Study Report* prepared for The Massachusetts Joint Utilities, by ERS.

³⁷ See Appendix D: Parameter Values Reference Tables

³⁸ Derived from the concept set forth in Rundquist, R.A., Johnson, K.F., Aumann, D.J. (1993). Calculating Lighting and HVAC Interactions. ASHRAE Journal, 35(11), 28-37. See Appendix D: Parameter Values Reference Tables for derivation and input assumptions.

³⁹ Ibid.

⁴⁰ Ibid.

⁴¹ Reduction of demand due to reduced coincidence driven by controls measured against install wattage. The Cadmus Group, Inc. (2012). Final Report, Small Business Direct Install Program: Pre/Post Occupancy Sensor Study.

⁴² Ibid.

⁴³ Opinion Dynamics Corporation, Evaluation of the Efficiency Maine Trust Business Program, November 30, 2011, Table 1-1. Realization Rates for Prescriptive Measures.

⁴⁴ Ibid.

⁴⁵ See Appendix B.

⁴⁶ See Appendix B.

⁴⁷ Nexant, Business Incentive Program Process Evaluation, Published October 31, 2016, page 58Nexant, Business Incentive Program Process Evaluation, Published October 31, 2016, page 58.

⁴⁸ Ibid.

| Prescriptive Lighting: Lighting Fixtures with Integrated Controls – Interior Spaces (Retrofit), Code S70 (Inactive) | |
|--|--|
| Last Revised Date | 7/1/2016 |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | |
| Description | This measure involves the purchase and installation of LED stairway lighting fixtures to replace existing operating lighting equipment (retrofit). The fixtures must meet one of the following conditions: include integral controls, operate off of remote sensors where remote sensor is packaged together with the luminaire under a single model number, or be designed to operate off of remote sensors, where the luminaire and sensors are sold separately, but the luminaire has features enabling communication with a remote sensor. Controls must ensure that the luminaire reverts to lower-power, lower-light output state when there are no occupants in the vicinity. |
| Primary Energy Impact | Electric |
| Sector | Commercial/Industrial |
| Program(s) | C&I Prescriptive Program, Small Business Direct Install Program |
| End-Use | Lighting |
| Project Type | Retrofit |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVINGS ALGORITHMS (UNIT SAVINGS) | |
| Demand Savings | $\Delta kW = (WHF_d / 1,000) \times [(Qty_{BASE} \times Watts_{BASE} - Qty_{EE} \times Watts_{EE}) + (Qty_{EE} \times Watts_{EE} \times ContOutRed \times (1 - Occ))]$ $\Delta kW_{SP} = (WHF_d / 1,000) \times [(Qty_{BASE} \times Watts_{BASE} - Qty_{EE} \times Watts_{EE}) + (Qty_{EE} \times Watts_{EE} \times ContOutRed \times (1 - Occ)) \times CF_s]$ $\Delta kW_{WP} = (1 / 1,000) \times [(Qty_{BASE} \times Watts_{BASE} - Qty_{EE} \times Watts_{EE}) + (Qty_{EE} \times Watts_{EE} \times ContOutRed \times (1 - Occ)) \times CF_w]$ |
| Annual Energy Savings | $\Delta kWh/yr = (HoursWk \times Wks \times WHF_{e,cool} / 1,000) \times [(Qty_{BASE} \times Watts_{BASE} - Qty_{EE} \times Watts_{EE}) + (Qty_{EE} \times Watts_{EE} \times ContOutRed \times (1 - Occ))]$ $\Delta MMBtu/yr = -(HoursWk \times Wks \times WHF_{e,heat} / 1,000) \times [(Qty_{BASE} \times Watts_{BASE} - Qty_{EE} \times Watts_{EE}) + (Qty_{EE} \times Watts_{EE} \times ContOutRed \times (1 - Occ))]$ |
| Definitions | Unit = Lighting fixture upgrade measure Qty _{BASE} = Quantity of baseline fixtures Watts _{BASE} = Watts of baseline fixture (based on the specified existing fixture type) (Watts) Qty _{EE} = Quantity of energy-efficient fixtures Watts _{EE} = Watts of energy-efficient fixture (based on the specified installed fixture type) (Watts) HoursWk = Weekly hours of equipment operation (hrs/week) Weeks = Weeks per year of equipment operation (weeks/year) ContOutRed = % light output reduction sensor set point (must be minimum of 50%) Occ = % occupancy for space (default to 10%) WHF _d = Waste heat factor for demand to account for cooling savings from efficient lighting WHF _{e,cool} = Waste heat factor for energy to account for cooling savings from efficient lighting WHF _{e,heat} = Waste heat factor for energy to account for increased heating load from efficient lighting 1,000 = Conversion: 1,000 Watts per kW |

Prescriptive Lighting: Lighting Fixtures with Integrated Controls – Interior Spaces (Retrofit), Code S70 (Inactive)

EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTIONS

| | |
|---------------------|---|
| Baseline Efficiency | The existing lighting system. |
| Efficient Measure | High-efficiency lighting system that exceeds building code with controls that automatically control the connected lighting systems. |

PARAMETER VALUES

| Measure/Type | Qty _{BASE} | Watts _{BASE} | Qty _{EE} | Watts _{EE} | HoursWk ⁴⁹ | Weeks | Life (yrs) | Cost (\$) |
|--------------|---------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------|------------------|------------------------|
| Retrofit | Actual | Table 32 ⁵⁰ | Actual | Table 31 ⁵¹ | Actual | Actual | 13 ⁵² | Table 31 ⁵³ |
| Measure/Type | ContOutRed | Occ | WHF _d ⁵⁴ | WHF _{e,cool} ⁵⁵ | WHF _{e,heat} ⁵⁶ | | | |
| Retrofit | Actual | Actual | 1.144 | 1.06 | 0.00159 | | | |

IMPACT FACTORS

| Program | ISR | RR _E | RR _D | CF _S | CF _W | FR | SO |
|-------------------------------|------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| C&I Prescriptive | 100% | 99% ⁵⁷ | 101% ⁵⁸ | Table 29 ⁵⁹ | Table 29 ⁶⁰ | 26% ⁶¹ | 1.6% ⁶² |
| Small Business Direct Install | 100% | 100% ⁶³ | 100% ⁶⁴ | Table 29 ⁶⁵ | Table 29 ⁶⁶ | 25% ⁶⁷ | 0% ⁶⁸ |

⁴⁹ Use actual hours when known. If hours are unknown, use the values from Table 34 or Table 35.

⁵⁰ See Appendix D. The fixture wattages are based on the specified fixture types for baseline and installed fixtures.

⁵¹ Ibid.

⁵² GDS Associates, Inc., Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures Prepared for The New England State Program Working Group (SPWG), June 2007 and the 2005 Measure Life Study Report prepared for The Massachusetts Joint Utilities, by ERS and the 2005 *Measure Life Study Report* prepared for The Massachusetts Joint Utilities, by ERS.

⁵³ See Appendix D: Parameter Values Reference Tables.

⁵⁴ Derived from the concept set forth in Rundquist, R.A., Johnson, K.F., Aumann, D.J. (1993). Calculating Lighting and HVAC Interactions. ASHRAE Journal, 35(11), 28-37. See Appendix D: Parameter Values Reference Tables for derivation and input assumptions.

⁵⁵ Ibid.

⁵⁶ Ibid.

⁵⁷ Opinion Dynamics Corporation, Evaluation of the Efficiency Maine Trust Business Program, November 30, 2011, Table 1-1. Realization Rates for Prescriptive Measures.

⁵⁸ Ibid.

⁵⁹ See Appendix B.

⁶⁰ See Appendix B.

⁶¹ Nexant, Business Incentive Program Process Evaluation, Published October 31, 2016, page 58.

⁶² Ibid.

⁶³ This program is new and has not yet been evaluated. Until the next program impact evaluation, EMT assumes a 100% RR.

⁶⁴ Ibid.

⁶⁵ See Appendix B.

⁶⁶ See Appendix B.

⁶⁷ Program not yet evaluated, assume default FR of 25%.

⁶⁸ Program not yet evaluated, assume default SO of 0%.

| Prescriptive Lighting: Lighting Fixtures – LED Exit Signs, Code X10 (Inactive) | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|------------------|------------------------|
| Last Revised Date | 7/1/2016 | | | | | | |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | | | | | | | |
| Description | This measure involves the purchase and installation of new LED exit signs to replace existing, operating incandescent or fluorescent exit signs (retrofit). | | | | | | |
| Primary Energy Impact | Electric | | | | | | |
| Sector | Commercial/ Industrial | | | | | | |
| Program(s) | C&I Prescriptive Program, Small Business Direct Install Program | | | | | | |
| End-Use | Lighting | | | | | | |
| Project Type | Retrofit | | | | | | |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVINGS ALGORITHMS (UNIT SAVINGS) | | | | | | | |
| Demand Savings | ΔkW | $= (Qty_{BASE} \times Watts_{BASE} - Qty_{EE} \times Watts_{EE} / 1,000) \times WHF_d$ | | | | | |
| | ΔkW_{SP} | $= (Qty_{BASE} \times Watts_{BASE} - Qty_{EE} \times Watts_{EE} / 1,000) \times WHF_d \times CF_s$ | | | | | |
| | ΔkW_{WP} | $= (Qty_{BASE} \times Watts_{BASE} - Qty_{EE} \times Watts_{EE} / 1,000) \times CF_W$ | | | | | |
| Annual Energy Savings | $\Delta kWh/yr$ | $= (Qty_{BASE} \times Watts_{BASE} - Qty_{EE} \times Watts_{EE} / 1,000) \times HoursYr \times WHF_{e,cool}$ | | | | | |
| | $\Delta MMBtu/yr$ | $= -(Qty_{BASE} \times Watts_{BASE} - Qty_{EE} \times Watts_{EE} / 1,000) \times HoursYr \times WHF_{e,heat}$ | | | | | |
| Definitions | Unit | = Exit sign upgrade measure | | | | | |
| | Qty_{BASE} | = Quantity of baseline fixtures | | | | | |
| | Qty_{EE} | = Quantity of installed fixtures | | | | | |
| | $Watts_{BASE}$ | = Watts of baseline fixture (based on the specified existing fixture type) (Watts) | | | | | |
| | $Watts_{EE}$ | = Watts of Energy-efficient fixture (based on the specified installed fixture type) (Watts) | | | | | |
| | HoursYr | = Annual operating hours (hrs/yr) | | | | | |
| | WHF_d | = Waste heat factor for demand to account for cooling savings from efficient lighting | | | | | |
| | $WHF_{e,cool}$ | = Waste heat factor for energy to account for cooling savings from efficient lighting | | | | | |
| | $WHF_{e,heat}$ | = Waste heat factor for energy to account for increased heating load from efficient lighting | | | | | |
| | 1,000 | = Conversion: 1,000 Watts per kW | | | | | |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTIONS | | | | | | | |
| Baseline Efficiency | Existing incandescent or fluorescent exit sign. | | | | | | |
| Efficient Measure | Exit sign illuminated with LED. | | | | | | |
| PARAMETER VALUES | | | | | | | |
| Measure/Type | Qty_{BASE} | $Watts_{BASE}$ | Qty_{EE} | $Watts_{EE}$ | HoursYr | Life (yrs) | Cost (\$) |
| Retrofit | Actual | Table 32 ⁶⁹ | Actual | Table 31 ⁷⁰ | 8,760 ⁷¹ | 13 ⁷² | Table 31 ⁷³ |
| Measure/Type | WHF_d ⁷⁴ | $WHF_{e,cool}$ ⁷⁵ | $WHF_{e,heat}$ ⁷⁶ | | | | |
| Retrofit | 1.144 | 1.06 | 0.00159 | | | | |

⁶⁹ See Appendix D. The fixture wattages are based on the specified fixture types for baseline and installed fixtures.

⁷⁰ See Appendix D. The fixture wattages are based on the specified fixture types for baseline and installed fixtures.

⁷¹ Exit signs operate continuously, so annual operating hours are 8,760 hours/year (24 hours/day x 365 days/year = 8,760 hours/year).

⁷² GDS Associates, Inc., Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures Prepared for The New England State Program Working Group (SPWG), June 2007 and the 2005 Measure Life Study Report prepared for The Massachusetts Joint Utilities, by ERS and the 2005 *Measure Life Study Report* prepared for The Massachusetts Joint Utilities, by ERS.

⁷³ See Appendix D: Parameter Values Reference Tables.

⁷⁴ Derived from the concept set forth in Rundquist, R.A., Johnson, K.F., Aumann, D.J. (1993). Calculating Lighting and HVAC Interactions. ASHRAE Journal, 35(11), 28-37. See Appendix D: Parameter Values Reference Tables for derivation and input assumptions.

⁷⁵ Ibid.

⁷⁶ Ibid.

| Prescriptive Lighting: Lighting Fixtures – LED Exit Signs, Code X10 (Inactive) | | | | | | | |
|--|------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | |
| Program | ISR | RR _E | RR _D | CF _S | CF _W | FR | SO |
| C&I Prescriptive | 100% | 99% ⁷⁷ | 101% ⁷⁷ | Table 29 ⁷⁸ | Table 29 ⁷⁸ | 26% ⁷⁹ | 1.6% ⁸⁰ |
| Small Business Direct Install | 100% | 100% ⁸¹ | 100% ⁸¹ | Table 29 ⁷⁸ | Table 29 ⁷⁸ | 25% ⁸² | 0% ⁸³ |

⁷⁷ Opinion Dynamics Corporation, Evaluation of the Efficiency Maine Trust Business Program, November 30, 2011, Table 1-1. Realization Rates for Prescriptive Measures.

⁷⁸ See Appendix B.

⁷⁹ Nexant, Business Incentive Program Process Evaluation, Published October 31, 2016, page 58.

⁸⁰ Ibid.

⁸¹ This program is new and has not yet been evaluated. Until the next program impact evaluation, EMT assumes a 100% RR.

⁸² Program not yet evaluated, assume default FR of 25%.

⁸³ Program not yet evaluated, assume default SO of 0%.

| Prescriptive Lighting: Lighting Fixtures – Exterior Spaces (New fixtures), Codes S11, S13, S17, S23 | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------|---|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|
| Last Revised Date | | 7/1/2013 | | | | | | | |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | | | | | | | | | |
| Description | | This measure involves the purchase and installation of high-efficiency exterior lighting fixtures instead of new standard-efficiency lighting fixtures. | | | | | | | |
| Primary Energy Impact | | Electric | | | | | | | |
| Sector | | Commercial/Industrial | | | | | | | |
| Program(s) | | C&I Prescriptive Program, Small Business Direct Install Program | | | | | | | |
| End-Use | | Lighting | | | | | | | |
| Project Type | | New construction, Replace on burnout | | | | | | | |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVINGS ALGORITHMS (UNIT SAVINGS) | | | | | | | | | |
| Demand Savings | | $\Delta kW = Qty_{EE} \times SAVE_{EE} / 1,000$ | | | | | | | |
| Annual Energy Savings | | $\Delta kWh/yr = Qty_{EE} \times SAVE_{EE} / 1,000 \times HoursWk \times Weeks$ | | | | | | | |
| Definitions | Unit | = Lighting fixture upgrade measure | | | | | | | |
| | Qty _{EE} | = Quantity of installed fixtures | | | | | | | |
| | Watts _{EE} | = Watts of energy-efficient fixture (based on the specified installed fixture type) (Watts) | | | | | | | |
| | SAVE _{EE} | = Average wattage reduction of fixture (based on the specified installed fixture type) (Watts) | | | | | | | |
| | LPD _{BASE} | = Baseline maximum lighting power density (LPD) for space type (Watts/ft ²) | | | | | | | |
| | Area | = Area of the building or space associated with the design LPD _{BASE} value (ft ²) | | | | | | | |
| | HoursWk | = Weekly hours of equipment operation (hrs/week) | | | | | | | |
| | Weeks | = Weeks per year of equipment operation (weeks/year) | | | | | | | |
| 1,000 | | = Conversion: 1,000 Watts per kW | | | | | | | |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTIONS | | | | | | | | | |
| Baseline Efficiency | | Building code or standard design practice for the building or space type. | | | | | | | |
| Efficient Measure | | High-efficiency lighting system that exceeds building code. | | | | | | | |
| PARAMETER VALUES | | | | | | | | | |
| Measure/Type | Qty _{EE} | Watts _{EE} | SAVE _{EE} | Area | LPD _{BASE} | HoursWk ⁸⁴ | Weeks | Life (yrs) | Cost (\$) |
| New construction | Actual | Table 31 ⁸⁵ | Table 31 ⁸⁶ | Actual | Table 33 ⁸⁷ | Actual | Actual | 15 ⁸⁸ | Table 31 ⁸⁹ |
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | | | |
| Program | ISR | RR _E | RR _D | CF _S | CF _W | FR | SO | | |
| C&I Prescriptive | 100% | 99% ⁹⁰ | 101% ⁹⁰ | Table 29 ⁹¹ | Table 29 ⁹¹ | 26% ⁹² | 1.6% ⁹³ | | |
| Small Business Direct Install | 100% | 100% ⁹⁴ | 100% ³² | Table 29 ²⁹ | Table 29 ²⁹ | 25% ⁹⁵ | 0% ⁹⁶ | | |

⁸⁴ Use actual when available; otherwise, use 4,380 (operating 12 hrs 365 days a year).

⁸⁵ See Appendix D. The installed fixture wattage and wattage reduction values are based on the specified installed fixture type.

⁸⁶ See Appendix D. The installed fixture wattage and wattage reduction values are based on the specified installed fixture type.

⁸⁷ See Appendix D. The baseline LPD is based on the specified space type.

⁸⁸ GDS Associates, Inc., Residential and Commercial Lighting and HVAC Measures Prepared for The New England State Program Working Group (SPWG), June 2007 and the 2005 Measure Life Study Report prepared for The Massachusetts Joint Utilities, by ERS and the 2005 *Measure Life Study Report* prepared for The Massachusetts Joint Utilities, by ERS.

⁸⁹ See Appendix D: Parameter Values Reference Tables.

⁹⁰ Opinion Dynamics Corporation, Evaluation of the Efficiency Maine Trust Business Program, November 30, 2011, Table 1-1. Realization Rates for Prescriptive Measures.

⁹¹ See Appendix B.

⁹² Nexant, Business Incentive Program Process Evaluation, Published October 31, 2016, page 58.

⁹³ Ibid.

⁹⁴ This program is new and has not yet been evaluated. Until the next program impact evaluation, EMT assumes a 100% RR.

⁹⁵ Program not yet evaluated, assume default FR of 25%.

⁹⁶ Program not yet evaluated, assume default SO of 0%.

| Prescriptive Lighting: Lighting Fixtures – Exterior Spaces (Retrofit/Replacement Lamps), Codes S6, S8, S11R, S13R, S17R, S23R | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|---------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
| Last Revised Date | | 7/1/2016 | | | | | | |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | | | | | | | | |
| Description | | This measure involves the purchase and installation of high-efficiency exterior lighting fixtures to replace existing operating lighting equipment (retrofit). | | | | | | |
| Primary Energy Impact | | Electric | | | | | | |
| Sector | | Commercial/Industrial | | | | | | |
| Program(s) | | C&I Prescriptive Program, Small Business Direct Install Program | | | | | | |
| End-Use | | Lighting | | | | | | |
| Project Type | | Retrofit | | | | | | |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVINGS ALGORITHMS (UNIT SAVINGS) | | | | | | | | |
| Demand Savings | | $\Delta kW = (Qty_{BASE} \times Watts_{BASE} - Qty_{EE} \times Watts_{EE}) / 1,000$ | | | | | | |
| Annual Energy Savings | | $\Delta kWh/yr = (Qty_{BASE} \times Watts_{BASE} - Qty_{EE} \times Watts_{EE}) / 1,000 \times HoursWk \times Weeks$ | | | | | | |
| Definitions | Unit = Lighting fixture upgrade measure Qty _{BASE} = Quantity of baseline fixtures Qty _{EE} = Quantity of installed fixtures Watts _{BAE} = Watts of baseline fixture (based on the specified existing or baseline fixture type) Watts _{EE} (Watts) HoursWk = Watts of energy-efficient fixture (based on the specified installed fixture type) Weeks (Watts) 1,000 = Weekly hours of equipment operation (hrs/week) = Weeks per year of equipment operation (weeks/year) = Conversion: 1,000 Watts per kW | | | | | | | |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTIONS | | | | | | | | |
| Baseline Efficiency | | The existing lighting system. | | | | | | |
| Efficient Measure | | High-efficiency lighting system that exceeds building code. | | | | | | |
| PARAMETER VALUES | | | | | | | | |
| Measure/Type | Qty _{BASE} | Watts _{BASE} | Qty _{EE} | Watts _{EE} | HoursWk ⁹⁷ | Weeks | Life (yrs) | Cost (\$) |
| Retrofit | Actual | Table 32 ⁹⁸ | Actual | Table 31 ⁹⁸ | Actual | Actual | 13 ⁹⁹ | Table 32 ¹⁰⁰ |
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | | |
| Program | ISR | RR _E | RR _D | CF _S | CF _W | FR | SO | |
| C&I Prescriptive | 100% | 99% ¹⁰¹ | 101% ¹⁰² | Table 29 ¹⁰³ | Table 29 ¹⁰³ | 26% ¹⁰⁴ | 1.6% ¹⁰⁵ | |
| Small Business Direct Install | 100% | 100% ¹⁰⁶ | 100% ¹⁰⁶ | Table 29 ¹⁰³ | Table 29 ¹⁰³ | 25% ¹⁰⁷ | 0% ¹⁰⁸ | |

⁹⁷ Use actual when available; otherwise, use 4,380 (operating 12 hrs 365 days a year).

⁹⁸ See Appendix D. The baseline and installed fixture wattages are based on the specified baseline fixture type.

⁹⁹ GDS Associates, Inc., Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures Prepared for The New England State Program Working Group (SPWG), June 2007 and the 2005 Measure Life Study Report prepared for The Massachusetts Joint Utilities, by ERS and the 2005 *Measure Life Study Report* prepared for The Massachusetts Joint Utilities, by ERS.

¹⁰⁰ Actual project cost collected for SBI. For C&I Prescriptive see Appendix D: Parameter Values Reference Tables.

¹⁰¹ Opinion Dynamics Corporation, Evaluation of the Efficiency Maine Trust Business Program, November 30, 2011, Table 1-1. Realization Rates for Prescriptive Measures.

¹⁰² Opinion Dynamics Corporation, Evaluation of the Efficiency Maine Trust Business Program, November 30, 2011, Table 1-1. Realization Rates for Prescriptive Measures.

¹⁰³ See Appendix B.

¹⁰⁴ Nexant, Business Incentive Program Process Evaluation, Published October 31, 2016, page 58.

¹⁰⁵ Ibid.

¹⁰⁶ This program is new and has not yet been evaluated. Until the next program impact evaluation, EMT assumes a 100% RR.

¹⁰⁷ Program not yet evaluated, assume default FR of 25%.

¹⁰⁸ Program not yet evaluated, assume default SO of 0%.

| Prescriptive Lighting: Lighting Controls – Interior Spaces, Codes L60.1, L70.1, L71.1 | |
|--|--|
| Last Revised Date | 10/1/2017 (retroactive to 7/1/2016) |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | |
| Description | This measure involves the installation of lighting controls on new or existing interior lighting fixtures. |
| Primary Energy Impact | Electric |
| Sector | Commercial/Industrial |
| Program(s) | C&I Prescriptive Program, Small Business Direct Install Program |
| End-Use | Lighting |
| Project Type | Retrofit |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVINGS ALGORITHMS (UNIT SAVINGS) | |
| Demand Savings | $\Delta kW = Qty_{FIXTURES} \times Watts / 1,000 \times WHF_d$ $\Delta kW_{SP} = Qty_{FIXTURES} \times Watts / 1,000 \times WHF_d \times CF_s$ $\Delta kW_{WP} = Qty_{FIXTURES} \times Watts / 1,000 \times CF_w$ |
| Annual Energy Savings | $\Delta kWh/yr = Qty_{FIXTURES} \times Watts / 1,000 \times HoursWk \times Weeks \times SVG \times WHF_{e,cool}$ $\Delta MMBtu/yr^{109} = -Qty_{FIXTURES} \times Watts / 1,000 \times HoursWk \times Weeks \times SVG \times WHF_{e,heat}$ |
| Definitions | Unit = Lighting control project or space $Qty_{FIXTURES}$ = Total quantity of fixtures connected to the new controls Watts = Wattage per fixture connected to the new control (Watts) HoursWk = Weekly hours of equipment operation before installation of controls (hrs/week) Weeks = Weeks per year of equipment operation (weeks/year) SVG = % of annual lighting energy saved by lighting control (%) WHF_d = Waste heat factor for demand to account for cooling savings from reduced run time $WHF_{e,cool}$ = Waste heat factor for energy to account for cooling savings from reduced run time $WHF_{e,heat}$ = Waste heat factor for energy to account for increased heating load from efficient lighting 1,000 = Conversion: 1,000 Watts per kW |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTIONS | |
| Baseline Efficiency | The baseline case is a manual switch in the absence of controls. |
| Efficient Measure | Lighting controls that automatically control the connected lighting systems. |

¹⁰⁹ Fuel interactive effects are distributed across fuels types as follows: 76% Oil, 10% Natural Gas, 7% Propane, 7% Kerosene.

| Prescriptive Lighting: Lighting Controls – Interior Spaces, Codes L60.1, L70.1, L71.1 | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|
| PARAMETER VALUES | | | | | | | | |
| Measure/Type | Qty | Watts ¹¹⁰ | | HoursWk ₁₁₁ | Weeks | SVG | Life (yrs) | Cost (\$) |
| Retrofit | Actual | Table 31 or Table 32 | | Actual | Actual | Table 36 ¹¹² | 10 ¹¹³ | Table 32 ¹¹⁴ |
| Measure/Type | WHF _d ¹¹⁵ | | WHF _{e,cool} ₁₁₆ | WHF _{e,heat} ¹¹⁷ | | | | |
| Retrofit | 1.144 | | 1.06 | 0.00159 | | | | |
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | | |
| Program | ISR | RR _E | | RR _D | CF _S | CF _W | FR | SO |
| C&I Prescriptive | 100% | 99% ¹¹⁸ | | 101% ¹¹⁸ | Table 29 ¹¹⁹ | Table 29 ¹¹⁹ | 26% ¹²⁰ | 1.6% ¹²¹ |
| Small Business Direct Install | 100% | 100% ¹²² | | 100% ¹²² | Table 29 ¹¹⁹ | Table 29 ¹¹⁹ | 25% ¹²³ | 0% ¹²⁴ |

¹¹⁰ See Appendix D: Parameter Values Reference Tables. The controlled fixture may be selected from either the baseline or installed wattage tables. The controlled wattage is determined using the wattage tables and the selected of controlled fixture type.

¹¹¹ Use actual hours when known. If hours are unknown, use the values from Table 34 or Table 35.

¹¹² See Appendix D: Parameter Values Reference Tables. The savings factor is determined using the Lighting Control Savings table and the space type specified in the project Data Collection and Information Form. If the space type is unknown, use the “Other” space type value.

¹¹³ GDS Associates, Inc., Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures Prepared for The New England State Program Working Group (SPWG), June 2007 and the 2005 Measure Life Study Report prepared for The Massachusetts Joint Utilities, by ERS.

¹¹⁴ See Appendix D: Parameter Values Reference Tables.

¹¹⁵ Derived from the concept set forth in Rundquist, R.A., Johnson, K.F., Aumann, D.J. (1993). Calculating Lighting and HVAC Interactions. ASHRAE Journal, 35(11), 28-37. See Appendix D: Parameter Values Reference Tables for derivation and input assumptions.

¹¹⁶ Ibid.

¹¹⁷ Ibid.

¹¹⁸ Opinion Dynamics Corporation, Evaluation of the Efficiency Maine Trust Business Program, November 30, 2011, Table 1-1. Realization Rates for Prescriptive Measures.

¹¹⁹ See Appendix B.

¹²⁰ Nexant, Business Incentive Program Process Evaluation, Published October 31, 2016, page 58.

¹²¹ Ibid.

¹²² This program is new and has not yet been evaluated. Until the next program impact evaluation, EMT assumes a 100% RR.

¹²³ Program not yet evaluated, assume default FR of 25%.

¹²⁴ Program not yet evaluated, assume default SO of 0%.

| Prescriptive Lighting: Lighting Fixtures – Refrigerated Spaces, Codes S30, S32 | |
|---|---|
| Last Revised Date | 11/12/2013 |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | |
| Description | This measure involves the purchase and installation of high-efficiency lighting fixtures in refrigerated spaces instead of standard lighting fixtures (new construction projects) or to replace existing operating lighting fixtures (retrofit). The new fixtures may be installed vertically or horizontally in the refrigerated cases. |
| Primary Energy Impact | Electric |
| Sector | Commercial/Industrial |
| Program(s) | C&I Prescriptive Program, Small Business Direct Install Program |
| End-Use | Lighting |
| Project Type | Retrofit |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVINGS ALGORITHMS (UNIT SAVINGS) | |
| Demand Savings | <p><i>For retrofit vertical:</i></p> $\Delta k = (Qty_{BASE} \times Watts_{BASE} - \#doors \times Watts_{EE}) / 1,000 \times BF$ $\Delta kW_{SP} = (Qty_{BASE} \times Watts_{BASE} - \#doors \times Watts_{EE}) / 1,000 \times BF \times CF_S$ $\Delta kW_{WP} = (Qty_{BASE} \times Watts_{BASE} - \#doors \times Watts_{EE}) / 1,000 \times CF_W$ <p><i>For retrofit horizontal:</i></p> $\Delta kW = (Qty_{BASE} \times Watts_{BASE} - \#feet \times Watts_{EE}) / 1,000 \times BF$ $\Delta kW_{SP} = (Qty_{BASE} \times Watts_{BASE} - \#feet \times Watts_{EE}) / 1,000 \times BF \times CF_S$ $\Delta kW_{WP} = (Qty_{BASE} \times Watts_{BASE} - \#feet \times Watts_{EE}) / 1,000 \times CF_W$ |
| Annual Energy Savings | <p><i>For retrofit-vertical:</i></p> $\Delta kWh/yr = (Qty_{BASE} \times Watts_{BASE} - \#doors \times Watts_{EE}) / 1,000 \times HoursWk \times Weeks \times BF$ <p><i>For retrofit horizontal:</i></p> $\Delta kWh/yr = (Qty_{BASE} \times Watts_{BASE} - \#feet \times Watts_{EE}) / 1,000 \times HoursWk \times Weeks \times BF$ |
| Definitions | <p>Unit = Lighting fixture upgrade measure</p> <p>Qty_{BASE} = Quantity of baseline fixtures</p> <p>#doors = Quantity of refrigerated doors with installed LED fixtures</p> <p>#feet = Horizontal feet of new lighting fixture(s) (ft)</p> <p>$SAVE_{EE}$ = Average wattage reduction per door (vertical) or per foot (horizontal) with LED (Watts)</p> <p>$Watts_{BASE}$ = Watts of baseline fixture (based on the specified baseline fixture type) (Watts)</p> <p>$Watts_{EE}$ = Watts per refrigerated door (vertical) or per foot (horizontal) with LED fixture (Watts)</p> <p>HoursWk = Weekly hours of equipment operation (hrs/week)</p> <p>Weeks = Weeks per year of equipment operation (weeks/year)</p> <p>BF = Bonus factor to account for refrigeration savings due to reduced waste heat</p> <p>1,000 = Conversion: 1,000 Watts per kW</p> |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTIONS | |
| Baseline Efficiency | For new construction projects, the baseline is represented by building code or standard design practice for the building or space type. For retrofit projects, the baseline is the existing lighting system. |
| Efficient Measure | High-efficiency lighting system that exceeds building code. |

| Prescriptive Lighting: Lighting Fixtures – Refrigerated Spaces, Codes S30, S32 | | | | | | | |
|--|------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|
| PARAMETER VALUES | | | | | | | |
| Measure/Type | Qty _{BASE} | Watts _{BASE} | #doors, #feet | | Watts _{EE} | SAVE _{EE} | |
| New construction | N/A | N/A | Actual | | N/A | Table 31 ¹²⁵ | |
| Retrofit | Actual | Table 32 ¹²⁵ | Actual | | Table 31 ¹²⁵ | N/A | |
| Measure/Type | HoursWk ¹²⁶ | Weeks | BF | | Life (yrs) | Cost (\$) | |
| New construction | Actual | Actual | 1.29 ¹²⁷ | | 15 ¹²⁸ | Table 32 ¹²⁹ | |
| Retrofit | Actual | Actual | 1.29 ¹²⁷ | | 13 ¹²⁸ | Table 32 ¹²⁹ | |
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | |
| Program | ISR | RR _E | RR _D | CF _S | CF _W | FR | SO |
| C&I Prescriptive | 100% | 99% ¹³⁰ | 101% ¹³⁰ | Table 29 ¹³¹ | Table 29 ¹³¹ | 26% ¹³² | 1.6% ¹³³ |
| Small Business Direct Install | 100% | 100% ¹³⁴ | 100% ¹³⁴ | Table 29 ¹³¹ | Table 29 ¹³¹ | 25% ¹³⁵ | 0% ¹³⁶ |

¹²⁵ See Appendix D. The fixture wattage and wattage reduction values are based on the specified fixture types for both baseline and installed fixtures.

¹²⁶ Use actual when available; otherwise use 4,057 (retail average annual operating hours, From New York Technical Reference Manual, 2010).

¹²⁷ For prescriptive refrigerated lighting measures, the default value is 1.29 (calculated as $(1 + (1.0 / 3.5))$), based on the assumption that all lighting in refrigerated cases is mechanically cooled, a typical refrigeration efficiency 3.5 COP, and assuming 100% of lighting heat needs to be mechanically cooled at time of summer peak.

¹²⁸ GDS Associates, Inc., Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures Prepared for The New England State Program Working Group (SPWG), June 2007 and the 2005 Measure Life Study Report prepared for The Massachusetts Joint Utilities, by ERS and the 2005 Measure Life Study Report prepared for The Massachusetts Joint Utilities, by ERS.

¹²⁹ See Appendix D: Parameter Values Reference Tables.

¹³⁰ Opinion Dynamics Corporation, Evaluation of the Efficiency Maine Trust Business Program, November 30, 2011, Table 1-1. Realization Rates for Prescriptive Measures.

¹³¹ See Appendix B.

¹³² Nexant, Business Incentive Program Process Evaluation, Published October 31, 2016, page 58.

¹³³ Ibid.

¹³⁴ This program is new and has not yet been evaluated. Until the next program impact evaluation, EMT assumes a 100% RR

¹³⁵ Program not yet evaluated, assume default FR of 25%.

¹³⁶ Program not yet evaluated, assume default SO of 0%.

| Prescriptive Lighting: Lighting Controls – Refrigerated Spaces, Code L50 | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|----------------------|------------------------|--------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Last Revised Date | 7/1/2013 | | | | | | | |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | | | | | | | | |
| Description | This measure involves the purchase and installation of occupancy-based lighting controls on new high-efficiency lighting fixtures in refrigerated spaces. The program does not provide incentives for lighting controls on existing inefficient lighting. | | | | | | | |
| Primary Energy Impact | Electric | | | | | | | |
| Sector | Commercial/Industrial | | | | | | | |
| Program(s) | C&I Prescriptive Program, Small Business Direct Install Program | | | | | | | |
| End-Use | Lighting | | | | | | | |
| Project Type | Retrofit | | | | | | | |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVINGS ALGORITHMS (UNIT SAVINGS) | | | | | | | | |
| Demand Savings | $\Delta kW = Qty \times Watts / 1,000 \times BF$ | | | | | | | |
| Annual Energy Savings | $\Delta kWh/yr = Qty \times Watts / 1,000 \times HoursWk \times Weeks \times SF \times BF$ | | | | | | | |
| Definitions | Unit = 1 new sensor (that may control multiple lighting fixtures) Qty = Quantity of fixtures connected to the control Watts = Fixture wattage of the fixture(s) connected to the control (Watts) HoursWk = Weekly hours of equipment operation (hrs/week) Weeks = Weeks per year of equipment operation (weeks/year) SF = Savings factor, or percentage of savings resulting from a reduction in operating hours BF = Bonus factor to account for refrigeration savings due to reduced waste heat 1,000 = Conversion: 1,000 Watts per kW | | | | | | | |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTIONS | | | | | | | | |
| Baseline Efficiency | No occupancy sensor. | | | | | | | |
| Efficient Measure | Lighting controls which automatically control connected lighting systems based on occupancy. | | | | | | | |
| PARAMETER VALUES | | | | | | | | |
| Measure/Type | Qty | Watts ¹³⁷ | HoursWk ¹³⁸ | Weeks | SF ¹³⁹ | BF ¹⁴⁰ | Life (yrs) ₁₄₁ | Cost (\$) ¹⁴² |
| New construction | Actual | Table 31 | Actual | Actual | 30.7% | 1.29 | 10 | Table 32 |
| Retrofit | Actual | Table 31 | Actual | Actual | 30.7% | 1.29 | 9 | Table 32 |

¹³⁷ See Appendix D. The controlled fixture may be selected from either the baseline or installed wattage tables. The controlled wattage is determined using the wattage tables and the selected of controlled fixture type.

¹³⁸ Use actual when available; otherwise, use 168 HoursWk and 52 Weeks (assuming equipment operates 24 hours per day, year round).

¹³⁹ US DOE, "Demonstration Assessment of Light-Emitting Diode (LED) Freezer Case Lighting." Refrigerated cases were metered for 12 days to determine savings from occupancy sensors. Assumes that refrigerated freezers and refrigerated coolers will see the same amount of savings from sensors.

¹⁴⁰ For prescriptive refrigerated lighting measures, the default value is 1.29 (calculated as $(1 + (1.0 / 3.5))$). Based on the assumption that all lighting in refrigerated cases is mechanically cooled, with a typical 3.5 COP refrigeration system efficiency, and assuming 100% of lighting heat needs to be mechanically cooled at time of summer peak.

¹⁴¹ GDS Associates, Inc., Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures Prepared for The New England State Program Working Group (SPWG), June 2007 and the 2005 Measure Life Study Report prepared for The Massachusetts Joint Utilities, by ERS.

¹⁴² See Appendix D: Parameter Values Reference Tables.

| Prescriptive Lighting: Lighting Controls – Refrigerated Spaces, Code L50 | | | | | | | |
|--|------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | |
| Program | ISR | RR _E | RR _D | CF _S | CF _W | FR | SO |
| C&I Prescriptive | 100% | 99% ¹⁴³ | 101% ¹⁴⁴ | Table 29 ¹⁴⁵ | Table 29 ¹⁴⁵ | 26% ¹⁴⁶ | 1.6% ¹⁴⁷ |
| Small Business Direct Install | 100% | 100% ¹⁴⁸ | 100% ¹⁴⁸ | Table 29 ¹⁴⁵ | Table 29 ¹⁴⁵ | 25% ¹⁴⁹ | 0% ¹⁵⁰ |

¹⁴³ Opinion Dynamics Corporation, Evaluation of the Efficiency Maine Trust Business Program, November 30, 2011, Table 1-1. Realization Rates for Prescriptive Measures.

¹⁴⁴ Ibid.

¹⁴⁵ See Appendix B.

¹⁴⁶ Nexant, Business Incentive Program Process Evaluation, Published October 31, 2016, page 58.

¹⁴⁷ Ibid.

¹⁴⁸ This program is new and has not yet been evaluated. Until the next program impact evaluation, EMT assumes a 100% RR.

¹⁴⁹ Program not yet evaluated, assume default FR of 25%.

¹⁵⁰ Program not yet evaluated, assume default SO of 0%.

Variable Frequency Drives

| Advanced Rooftop Controls | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--------------------------------|--|-----------------|--|-----------------------------|--|-----------------|--|--------------------|--|-------------------|--|
| Last Revised Date | | 6/2/2017 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Description | | This measure involves the installation of a rooftop controller to rooftop units that provide cooling to interior spaces. The installed equipment must incorporate a variable frequency drive which controls RTU supply fan speed. The installed system must be capable of modulating the fan speed based on based on the RTU heating, cooling, ventilation or other control input, and must be installed on an existing constant volume RTU. | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Energy Impact | | Electricity | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sector | | Commercial, Industrial | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Program(s) | | C&I Prescriptive Program | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| End-Use | | Electricity, Space cooling | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Project Type | | Retrofit | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVINGS ALGORITHMS (UNIT SAVINGS) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Demand Savings | | ΔkW | | $= HP_{VFD} \times DSVG$ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Annual Energy Savings | | $\Delta kWh/yr$ | | $= HP_{VFD} \times ESGV$ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Definitions | | Unit = 1 VFD (that may control multiple motors) HP _{VFD} = Total horsepower of motor(s) connected to VFD (hp) ESVG = energy savings factor (kWh/yr/hp) DSVG = demand savings factor (kW/hp) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTIONS | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Baseline Efficiency | | The baseline reflects an existing RTU without supply fan speed or damper controls. | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Efficient Measure | | The high-efficiency case involves the installation of controls that allow for fan speed control based on | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| PARAMETER VALUES | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Measure/Type | | HP _{VFD} | | ESVG | | Life (yrs) | | Cost (\$) | | | | | | | |
| Value | | Actual | | 3049.5 ¹⁵¹ | | .432 | | 7 ¹⁵² Table 2 | | | | | | | |
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Program | | ISR | | RR _E ¹⁵³ | | RR _D | | CF _S | | CF _W | | FR ¹⁵⁴ | | SO ¹⁵⁵ | |
| C&I Prescriptive | | 100% | | 100% | | N/A | | N/A | | N/A | | 25% ¹⁵⁶ | | 0% ¹⁵⁷ | |

¹⁵¹ The baseline equipment controls are assumed to be constant volume units. The ESGV and DSVG have been increased by 50% relative to the values used for the prescriptive VFD measure to reflect the increased savings for the installation of this measure on constant volume units.

¹⁵² The lifetime is assumed to be half of the life of a new RTU.

¹⁵³ This program is new and has not yet been evaluated. Until the next program impact evaluation, EMT assumes a 100% RR.

¹⁵⁴ This program is new and has not yet been evaluated. Until the next program impact evaluation, EMT assumes the program overall values from the Business Incentive Program Evaluation (Opinion Dynamics Corporation, Evaluation of the Efficiency Maine Trust Business Program, November 30, 2011, Table 5-8. NTG Impacts for Program Overall).

¹⁵⁵ Opinion Dynamics Corporation, Evaluation of the Efficiency Maine Trust Business Program, November 30, 2011, Table 5-10. Participant Spillover.

¹⁵⁶ Measure not yet evaluated, assume default FR of 25%.

¹⁵⁷ Measure not yet evaluated, assume default SO of 0%.

| Prescriptive VFD: Variable Frequency Drives (VFDs) for HVAC, Codes SFA, SFP, RFA, RFP, BEF, CWP, HHWP | | | | | | | |
|---|------|---|---------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| Last Revised Date | | 7/1/2013 | | | | | |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | | | | | | | |
| Description | | <p>This measure involves the purchase and installation of a variable frequency drive (VFD) on an electric motor serving HVAC loads. A VFD is a specific type of adjustable-speed drive. VFDs are also known as adjustable-frequency drives (AFDs), variable-speed drives (VSDs), AC drives, and inverter drives.</p> <p>This measure covers VFDs on 5 HP to 100 HP motors for the following HVAC equipment: supply fans, return fans, building exhaust fans, chilled water distribution pumps, and heating hot water circulation pumps. For VFDs on other equipment type or serving non-HVAC loads, use the Custom Measure approach. This measure is not eligible for new construction applications for which VSDs are required per Section 503.2.5.1 of IECC 2009.</p> | | | | | |
| Primary Energy Impact | | Electric | | | | | |
| Sector | | Commercial | | | | | |
| Program(s) | | C&I Prescriptive Program | | | | | |
| End-Use | | VFDs for HVAC | | | | | |
| Project Type | | Retrofit | | | | | |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVINGS ALGORITHMS (UNIT SAVINGS) | | | | | | | |
| Demand Savings | | $\Delta kW = HP_{VFD} \times DSVG$ | | | | | |
| Annual Energy Savings | | $\Delta kWh/yr = HP_{VFD} \times ESGV$ | | | | | |
| Definitions | | <p>Unit = 1 VFD (that may control multiple motors)</p> <p>HP_{VFD} = Total horsepower of motor(s) connected to VFD (hp)</p> <p>ESVG = energy savings factor (kWh/yr/hp)</p> <p>DSVG = demand savings factor (kW/hp)</p> | | | | | |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTIONS | | | | | | | |
| Baseline Efficiency | | The baseline reflects no VFD installed on the HVAC equipment. | | | | | |
| Efficient Measure | | The high-efficiency case involves a VFD installed on existing HVAC equipment to reduce the average motor speed. | | | | | |
| PARAMETER VALUES | | | | | | | |
| Measure/Type | | HP_{VFD} | ESVG | DSVG | Life (yrs) | Cost (\$) | |
| All | | Actual | Table 1 | Table 1 | 13 ¹⁵⁸ | Table 2 | |
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | |
| Program | ISR | RR_E | RR_D | CF_S | CF_W | FR | SO |
| C&I Prescriptive | 100% | 112.2 ¹⁵⁹ | 100% ¹⁶⁰ | Table 29 ¹⁶¹ | Table 29 ¹⁶¹ | 52% ¹⁶² | 1.6% ¹⁶³ |

¹⁵⁸ GDS Associates, Inc., Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures Prepared for The New England State Program Working Group (SPWG), June 2007 and the 2005 Measure Life Study Report prepared for The Massachusetts Joint Utilities, by ERS.

¹⁵⁹ Nexant, Business Incentive Program Impact Evaluation, unpublished draft, May 2017.

¹⁶⁰ RR_D used to adjust Summer and Winter CF to account for BIP program evaluation findings as presented in Appendix D

¹⁶¹ See Appendix C.

¹⁶² Nexant, Business Incentive Program Process Evaluation, Published October 31, 2016, page 58.

¹⁶³ Ibid.

Table 1 – VFD Energy and Peak Demand Savings Factors (ESVG and DSVG)^{164,165}

| Measure Code | Measure Description | ESVG (kWh/yr/hp) | DSVG (kW/hp) |
|--------------|------------------------------------|------------------|--------------|
| SFA, SFP | Supply Fans | 2,033 | 0.288 |
| RFA, RFP | Return Fans | 1,788 | 0.302 |
| BEF | Exhaust Fans | 755 | 0.12 |
| CWP | Chilled Water Pumps | 1,633 | 0.183 |
| HHWP | Heating Hot Water Circulation Pump | 1,548 | 0.096 |

Table 2 – Measure Costs for VFD¹⁶⁶

| Cumulative Motor HP Controlled by Each VFD (HP _{VFD}) | Measure Cost (\$) |
|---|-------------------|
| 5 | \$2,425 |
| 7.5 | \$2,648 |
| 10 | \$2,871 |
| 15 | \$3,317 |
| 20 | \$3,763 |
| 25 | \$4,209 |
| 30 | \$4,655 |

¹⁶⁴ Values for exhaust fans were taken from National Grid 2001 values averaged from previous evaluations of VFD installations. Values are those used for existing construction, except for chilled water pumps, which is used for new construction. National Grid existing construction baseline is similar to Vermont baseline for new and existing applications.

¹⁶⁵ Values for applications other than exhaust fans were taken from: Cadmus. *Variable Speed Drive Loadshape Study*. Prepared for Northeast Energy Efficiency Partnership. August 2014.

¹⁶⁶ Cost data estimated based on correlation between total cost and controlled HP results from: Navigant, NEEP Incremental Cost Study Phase Two Final Report, January 2013, Table 15.

HVAC Equipment

| Prescriptive HVAC: Unitary Air Conditioners, Codes AC1-AC6 (Inactive) | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--------------------|-------------------|-----------|
| Last Revised Date | 7/1/2013 | | | | | |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | | | | | | |
| Description | This measure involves the purchase and installation of new high-efficiency air conditioning equipment instead of new standard-efficiency air conditioning equipment. This measure includes high-efficiency electrically operated air-cooled single package and split system air conditioners, including room or window air conditioners for commercial/industrial facilities. | | | | | |
| Primary Energy Impact | Electric | | | | | |
| Sector | Commercial | | | | | |
| Program | C&I Prescriptive Program | | | | | |
| End-Use | HVAC | | | | | |
| Project Type | New construction, Retrofit | | | | | |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVINGS ALGORITHMS (UNIT SAVINGS) | | | | | | |
| Demand Savings | <i>For equipment with rated size < 5.4 tons (< 65,000 Btuh):</i> $\Delta kW = \text{Tons} \times 12 \times (1/SEER_{BASE} - 1/SEER_{EE})$ <i>For equipment with rated size ≥ 5.4 tons (≥ 65,000 Btuh):</i> $\Delta kW = \text{Tons} \times 12 \times (1/EER_{BASE} - 1/EER_{EE})$ | | | | | |
| Annual Energy Savings | <i>For equipment with rated size < 5.4 tons (< 65,000 Btuh):</i> $\Delta kWh/yr = \text{Tons} \times 12 \times (1/SEER_{BASE} - 1/SEER_{EE}) \times EFLH_C$ <i>For equipment with rated size ≥ 5.4 tons (≥ 65,000 Btuh):</i> $\Delta kWh /yr = \text{Tons} \times 12 \times (1/EER_{BASE} - 1/EER_{EE}) \times EFLH_C$ | | | | | |
| Definitions | Unit = 1 air conditioning unit Tons = Nominal rating of the capacity of the heat pump in tons (tons = kBtuh/12) SEER _{BASE} = Cooling seasonal energy efficiency ratio of the baseline equipment < 5.4 tons (Btuh/Watt) SEER _{EE} = Cooling seasonal energy efficiency ratio of the efficient equipment < 5.4 tons (Btuh/Watt) EER _{BASE} = Cooling energy efficiency ratio of the baseline equipment ≥ 5.4 tons (Btuh/Watt) EER _{EE} = Cooling energy efficiency ratio of the efficient equipment ≥ 5.4 tons (Btuh/Watt) EFLH _C = Cooling equivalent full load hours per year (hrs/yr) 12 = Conversion: 1 ton = 12 kBtuh | | | | | |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTIONS | | | | | | |
| Baseline Efficiency | Meets minimum cooling efficiency requirements based on IECC 2009, Table 503.2.3(1). | | | | | |
| Efficient Measure | Rated cooling and heating efficiency of new equipment must meet or exceed the minimum requirements on the program Data Collection and Measure Code Reference Forms (available on the Efficiency Maine website: http://www.efficiencymaine.com/). | | | | | |
| PARAMETER VALUES | | | | | | |
| Measure/Type | Tons | SEER _{BASE} , EER _{BASE} | SEER _{EE} , EER _{EE} | EFLH _C | Life (yrs) | Cost (\$) |
| Unitary AC < 11.25 tons | Actual | Table 3 | Actual | 829 ¹⁶⁷ | 15 ¹⁶⁸ | Table 3 |
| Unitary AC ≥ 11.25 tons | Actual | Table 3 | Actual | 605 ¹⁶⁷ | 15 ¹⁶⁸ | Table 3 |
| Window AC | Actual | Table 3 | Actual | 829 ¹⁶⁷ | 9 ¹⁶⁹ | Table 3 |

¹⁶⁷ KEMA, NEEP C&I Unitary HVAC Loadshape Project, June 2011, Table 0-3 and 0-4. Values are for the NE-North region.

¹⁶⁸ GDS Associates, Inc., Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures Prepared for The New England State Program Working Group (SPWG), June 2007 and the 2005 Measure Life Study Report prepared for The Massachusetts Joint Utilities, by ERS.

¹⁶⁹ Default assumptions used in the ENERGY STAR® calculator, April 2013.

| Prescriptive HVAC: Unitary Air Conditioners, Codes AC1-AC6 (Inactive) | | | | | | | |
|---|------|--------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | |
| Program | ISR | RR _E | RR _D | CF _S | CF _W | FR | SO |
| C&I Prescriptive | 100% | 99% ¹⁷⁰ | 101% ¹⁷¹ | Table 29 ¹⁷² | Table 29 ¹⁷³ | 52% ¹⁷⁴ | 1.6% ¹⁷⁵ |

Table 3 – Baseline Efficiency Values and Measure Cost for Unitary AC Systems

| Equipment Type | Cooling Capacity (Tons) | Cooling Capacity (Btuh) | Base Efficiency ^A | Incremental Cost (\$/ton) ^B |
|------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| Air Conditioners, Air-Cooled | < 5.4 (Split System) | < 65,000 (Split System) | 13.0 SEER | \$115 |
| | < 5.4 (Single Package) | < 65,000 (Single Package) | 13.0 SEER | \$115 |
| | ≥ 5.4 and < 11.25 | ≥ 65,000 and < 135,000 | 11.2 EER | \$91 |
| | ≥ 11.25 and < 20 | ≥ 135,000 and < 240,000 | 11.0 EER | \$99 |
| | ≥ 20 and < 63.3 | ≥ 240,000 and < 760,000 | 10.0 EER | \$100 ^C |
| | ≥ 63.3 | ≥ 760,000 | 9.7 EER | \$100 ^C |
| Window AC | All | All | 11.0 EER ^C | \$50 ^D |

^A IECC 2009, Table 503.2.3(1): Minimum Efficiency Requirements: Electrically Operated Unitary Air Conditioners and Condensing Units.

^B The total incremental cost values are comparable to the values found in Navigant, NEEP Incremental Cost Study Report Final, September 2011, Table 1-15.

^C Vermont TRM 2014 Tier 1.

^D The baseline efficiency and measure cost for window AC units is based on a 10,000 Btu/h unit (same as assumption for window AC in the Residential TRM).

¹⁷⁰ Opinion Dynamics Corporation, Evaluation of the Efficiency Maine Trust Business Program, November 30, 2011, Table 1-1. Realization Rates for Prescriptive Measures.

¹⁷¹ Ibid.

¹⁷² See Appendix B.

¹⁷³ See Appendix B.

¹⁷⁴ Nexant, Business Incentive Program Process Evaluation, Published October 31, 2016, page 58.

¹⁷⁵ Ibid.

| Prescriptive HVAC: Heat Pump Systems, Codes AH1-AH5, WH | |
|--|--|
| Last Revised Date | 7/1/2017 |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | |
| Description | This measure involves the purchase and installation of a new high-efficiency heat pump system instead of a new standard-efficiency heat pump. It includes high-efficiency electric air-to-air, water source (open loop), and ground source (closed loop) heat pump systems. |
| Primary Energy Impact | Electric |
| Sector | Commercial |
| Program | C&I Prescriptive Program |
| End-Use | HVAC |
| Project Type | New construction, Retrofit |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVINGS ALGORITHMS (UNIT SAVINGS) | |
| Demand Savings | <p><i>For air-to-air equipment < 5.4 tons (< 65,000 Btuh):</i></p> $\Delta kW_C = CAP_C \times 12 \times (1/SEER_{BASE} - 1/SEER_{EE})$ $\Delta kW_H = CAP_H \times 12 \times (1/HSPF_{BASE} - 1/HSPF_{EE})$ <p><i>For air-to-air equipment ≥ 5.4 tons ($\geq 65,000$ Btuh) and all water and ground source equipment:</i></p> $\Delta kW_C = CAP_C \times 12 \times (1/EER_{BASE} - 1/EER_{EE})$ $\Delta kW_H = CAP_H \times 12 \times (1/COP_{BASE} - 1/COP_{EE}) / 3.412$ |
| Annual Energy Savings | <p><i>For air-to-air equipment < 5.4 tons (< 65,000 Btuh):</i></p> $\Delta kWh_C/yr = CAP_C \times 12 \times (1/SEER_{BASE} - 1/SEER_{EE}) \times EFLH_C$ $\Delta kWh_H/yr = CAP_H / 1,000 \times (1/HSPF_{BASE} - 1/HSPF_{EE}) \times EFLH_H$ <p><i>For air-to-air equipment ≥ 5.4 tons ($\geq 65,000$ Btuh) and all water and ground source equipment:</i></p> $\Delta kWh_C/yr = CAP_C \times 12 \times (1/EER_{BASE} - 1/EER_{EE}) \times EFLH_C$ $\Delta kWh_H/yr = CAP_H / 1,000 \times (1/COP_{BASE} - 1/COP_{EE}) \times EFLH_H / 3.412$ |
| Definitions | <p>Unit = 1 new heat pump</p> <p>CAP_C = Rated cooling capacity of the heat pump in tons (tons)</p> <p>CAP_H = Rated heating capacity of the heat pump (Btuh)</p> <p>$SEER_{BASE}$ = Cooling seasonal energy efficiency ratio of the baseline equipment (Btuh/Watt)</p> <p>$SEER_{EE}$ = Cooling seasonal energy efficiency ratio of the efficient equipment (Btuh/Watt)</p> <p>$HSPF_{BASE}$ = Heating seasonal performance factor of the baseline equipment (Btuh/Watt)</p> <p>$HSPF_{EE}$ = Heating seasonal performance factor of the efficient equipment (Btuh/Watt)</p> <p>EER_{BASE} = Cooling energy efficiency ratio of the baseline equipment (Btuh/Watt)</p> <p>EER_{EE} = Cooling energy efficiency ratio of the efficient equipment (Btuh/Watt)</p> <p>COP_{BASE} = Heating coefficient of performance of the baseline equipment</p> <p>COP_{EE} = Heating coefficient of performance of the efficient equipment</p> <p>$EFLH_C$ = Cooling equivalent full load hours per year (hrs/yr)</p> <p>$EFLH_H$ = Heating equivalent full load hours per year (hrs/yr)</p> <p>12 = Conversion: 1 ton = 12 kBtuh</p> <p>3.412 = Conversion: 3.412 kBtuh per kW</p> |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTIONS | |
| Baseline Efficiency | Meets minimum cooling and heating efficiency requirements based on IECC 2009, Table 503.2.3(2). |
| Efficient Measure | Rated cooling and heating efficiency of new equipment must meet or exceed the minimum requirements on the program Data Collection and Measure Code Reference Forms (available on the Efficiency Maine website: http://www.efficiencymaine.com/). |

| Prescriptive HVAC: Heat Pump Systems, Codes AH1-AH5, WH | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|------------------|---------------------------------|---|---|---|---|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| PARAMETER VALUES | | | | | | | | | | |
| Measure/Type | CAP _C | CAP _H ¹⁷⁶ | SEER _{BASE} EER _{BASE} | SEER _{EE} EER _{EE} | HSPF _{BASE} COP _{BASE} | HSPF _{EE} COP _{EE} | EFLH _C ¹⁷⁷ | EFLH _H ¹⁷⁸ | Life (yrs) | Cost (\$/ton) |
| Heat Pump < 5.4 tons | Actual | Actual | Table 4 | Actual | Table 4 | Actual | 829 | 2,200 | 15 ¹⁷⁹ | \$100 ¹⁸⁰ |
| Heat Pump ≥ 5.4 tons and < 11.25 tons | Actual | Actual | Table 4 | Actual | Table 4 | Actual | 829 | 1,600 | 15 ¹⁷⁹ | \$100 ¹⁸⁰ |
| Heat Pump ≥ 11.25 tons | Actual | Actual | Table 4 | Actual | Table 4 | Actual | 605 | 1,600 | 15 ¹⁷⁹ | \$100 ¹⁸⁰ |
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | | | | |
| Program | ISR | RR _E | RR _D | CF _S | CF _W | FR | SO | | | |
| C&I Prescriptive | 100% | 112.2% ¹⁸¹ | 100% ¹⁸¹ | Table 29 ¹⁸² | Table 29 ¹⁸² | 52% ¹⁸³ | 1.6% ¹⁸⁴ | | | |

Table 4 – Efficiency Requirements and Measure Cost for Heat Pump Systems

| Equipment Type | Rated Cooling Capacity, CAP _C | | Base Efficiency ^A | |
|--------------------------------|--|---------------------------|------------------------------|----------|
| | Tons | Btuh | Cooling | Heating |
| Air-Cooled | < 5.4 (split system) | < 65,000 (split system) | 13.0 SEER | 7.7 HSPF |
| | < 5.4 (single package) | < 65,000 (single package) | 13.0 SEER | 7.7 HSPF |
| | ≥ 5.4 and < 11.25 | ≥ 65,000 and < 135,000 | 11.0 EER | 3.3 COP |
| | ≥ 11.25 and < 20 | ≥ 135,000 and < 240,000 | 10.6 EER | 3.2 COP |
| | ≥ 20 | ≥ 240,000 | 9.5 EER | 3.2 COP |
| Water Source | < 1.4 | < 17,000 | 11.2 EER | 4.2 COP |
| | ≥ 1.4 and < 11.25 | ≥ 17,000 and < 135,000 | 12.0 EER | 4.2 COP |
| Groundwater Source (open loop) | < 11.25 | < 135,000 | 16.2 EER | 3.6 COP |
| Ground Source (closed loop) | < 11.25 | < 135,000 | 13.4 EER | 3.1 COP |

^A IECC 2009, Table 503.2.3(2). Minimum Efficiency Requirements: Electrically Operated Unitary and Applied Heat Pumps.

¹⁷⁶ Use actual heating capacity based on application form or equipment specifications. If the heating capacity is unknown, calculate heating capacity based on cooling capacity as follows: for equipment < 5.4 tons: heating capacity = cooling capacity; for equipment ≥ 5.4 tons, heating capacity = cooling capacity × 13,900 / 12,000.

¹⁷⁷ KEMA, NEEP C&I Unitary HVAC Loadshape Project, June 2011, Table 0-3 and 0-4. Values are for the NE-North region.

¹⁷⁸ EMT assumes 2,200 heating full load hours for heat pumps smaller than 5.4 tons (65,000 BTUh) and 1,600 heating full load hours for heat pumps larger than or equal to 5.4 tons.

¹⁷⁹ GDS Associates, Inc., Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures Prepared for The New England State Program Working Group (SPWG), June 2007 and the 2005 Measure Life Study Report prepared for The Massachusetts Joint Utilities, by ERS.

¹⁸⁰ Efficiency Vermont Technical Reference User Manual (TRM) 2014, Table 1, page 40.

¹⁸¹ Nexant, Business Incentive Program Impact Evaluation, unpublished draft, May 2017.

¹⁸² See Appendix B.

¹⁸³ Nexant, Business Incentive Program Process Evaluation, Published October 31, 2016, page 58.

¹⁸⁴ Ibid.

| Prescriptive HVAC: Packaged Terminal Air Conditioners and Heat Pumps (Inactive) | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|------------------|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| Last Revised Date | 7/1/2013 | | | | | | | | | |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | | | | | | | | | | |
| Description | This measure involves the purchase and installation of new high-efficiency packaged terminal air conditioners (PTACs) and packaged terminal heat pumps (PTHPs) equipment instead of new standard-efficiency PTAC or PTHP equipment. | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Energy Impact | Electric | | | | | | | | | |
| Sector | Commercial | | | | | | | | | |
| Program | C&I Prescriptive Program | | | | | | | | | |
| End-Use | HVAC | | | | | | | | | |
| Project Type | New construction, Retrofit | | | | | | | | | |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVINGS ALGORITHMS (UNIT SAVINGS) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Demand Savings | $\Delta kW_C = CAP_C / 1,000 \times (1/EER_{BASE} - 1/EER_{EE})$ $\Delta kW_H = CAP_H / 1,000 \times (1/COP_{BASE} - 1/COP_{EE}) / 3.412$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Annual Energy Savings | $\Delta kWh_C/yr = CAP_C / 1,000 \times (1/EER_{BASE} - 1/EER_{EE}) \times EFLH_C$ $\Delta kWh_H/yr = CAP_H / 1,000 \times (1/COP_{BASE} - 1/COP_{EE}) \times EFLH_H / 3.412$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Definitions | Unit = 1 PTAC or PTHP CAP _C = Rated cooling capacity of the new equipment (Btuh) CAP _H = Rated heating capacity of the new equipment (Btuh) EER _{BASE} = Cooling energy efficiency ratio of the baseline equipment (Btuh/Watt) EER _{EE} = Cooling energy efficiency ratio of the efficient equipment (Btuh/Watt) COP _{BASE} = Heating coefficient of performance of the baseline equipment COP _{EE} = Heating coefficient of performance of the efficient equipment EFLH _C = Cooling equivalent full load hours per year (hrs/yr) EFLH _H = Heating equivalent full load hours per year (hrs/yr) 3.412 = Conversion: 3.412 kBtuh per kW | | | | | | | | | |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTIONS | | | | | | | | | | |
| Baseline Efficiency | The baseline equipment must meet the minimum cooling and heating efficiency requirements based on the current federal energy conservation standards (effective September 30, 2012). | | | | | | | | | |
| Efficient Measure | Rated cooling and heating efficiency of new equipment must meet or exceed the minimum requirements on the program Data Collection and Measure Code Reference Forms (available on the Efficiency Maine website: http://www.efficiencymaine.com/). | | | | | | | | | |
| PARAMETER VALUES | | | | | | | | | | |
| Measure/Type | CAP _C | CAP _H | EER _{BASE} | EER _{EE} | COP _{BASE} | COP _{EE} | EFLH _C ¹⁸⁵ | EFLH _H ¹⁸⁶ | Life (yrs) ¹⁸⁷ | Cost (\$) ¹⁸⁸ |
| PTAC | Actual | Actual | Table 5 | Actual | Table 5 | Actual | 829 | N/A | 15 | \$75 |
| PTHP | Actual | Actual | Table 5 | Actual | Table 5 | Actual | 605 | 2,200 | 15 | \$75 |

¹⁸⁵ KEMA, NEEP C&I Unitary HVAC Loadshape Project, June 2011, Table 0-3 and 0-4. Values are for the NE-North region.

¹⁸⁶ EMT assumes 2,200 heating full load hours for heat pumps smaller than 5.4 tons (65,000 BTU/h) and 1,600 heating full load hours for heat pumps larger than or equal to 5.4 tons.

¹⁸⁷ GDS Associates, Inc., Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures Prepared for The New England State Program Working Group (SPWG), June 2007 and the 2005 Measure Life Study Report prepared for The Massachusetts Joint Utilities, by ERS.

¹⁸⁸ Environmental Protection Agency, ENERGY STAR® Market & Industry Scoping Report Packaged Terminal Air Conditioners and Heat Pumps, December 2011.

| Prescriptive HVAC: Packaged Terminal Air Conditioners and Heat Pumps (Inactive) | | | | | | | |
|---|------|--------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | |
| Program | ISR | RR _E | RR _D | CF _S | CF _W | FR | SO |
| C&I Prescriptive | 100% | 99% ¹⁸⁹ | 101% ¹⁸⁹ | Table 29 ¹⁹⁰ | Table 29 ¹⁹⁰ | 52% ¹⁹¹ | 1.6% ¹⁹² |

Table 5 – Baseline Efficiencies for PTAC and PTHP (effective September 20, 2012)¹⁹³

| Equipment Class | | | Minimum Energy Conservation Standards | |
|-----------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Equipment | Category ^A | Cooling Capacity (Btu/h) | Cooling (EER) | Heating (COP) |
| PTAC | Standard Size | < 7,000 | 11.7 | N/A |
| | | 7,000 – 15,000 | 13.8 – (0.300 × Cap ^B) | N/A |
| | | > 15,000 | 9.3 | N/A |
| | Non-Standard Size | < 7,000 | 9.4 | N/A |
| | | 7,000 – 15,000 | 10.9 – (0.213 × Cap ^B) | N/A |
| | | > 15,000 | 7.7 | N/A |
| PTHP | Standard Size | < 7,000 | 11.9 | 3.3 |
| | | 7,000 – 15,000 | 14.0 – (0.300 × Cap ^B) | 3.7 – (0.052 × Cap ^B) |
| | | > 15,000 | 9.5 | 2.9 |
| | Non-Standard Size | < 7,000 | 9.3 | 2.7 |
| | | 7,000 – 15,000 | 10.8 – (0.213 × Cap ^B) | 2.9 – (0.026 × Cap ^B) |
| | | > 15,000 | 7.6 | 2.5 |

^A Standard size PTAC or PTHP refers to equipment with wall sleeve dimensions having an external wall opening ≥ 16 inches high or ≥ 42 inches wide, and a cross-sectional area ≥ to 670 square inches. Non-standard size refers to PTAC or PTHP equipment with existing wall sleeve dimensions having an external wall opening of < 16 inches high or < 42 inches wide, and a cross-sectional area < 670 square inches.

^B "Cap" means cooling capacity in thousand Btu/h at 95 °F outdoor dry-bulb temperature.

¹⁸⁹ Opinion Dynamics Corporation, Evaluation of the Efficiency Maine Trust Business Program, November 30, 2011, Table 1-1. Realization Rates for Prescriptive Measures.

¹⁹⁰ See Appendix B.

¹⁹¹ RR_D used to adjust Summer and Winter CF to account for BIP program evaluation findings as presented in Appendix D.

¹⁹² Ibid.

¹⁹³ Standards for Packaged Terminal Air Conditioners and Heat Pumps: http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/product.aspx/productid/45.

| Prescriptive HVAC: Demand Control Ventilation, Codes DCVE, DCVN | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|---|
| Last Revised Date | | 7/1/2017 | | | | | |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | | | | | | | |
| Description | This measure involves installation of demand control ventilation (DCV) on new high-efficiency HVAC systems to reduce heating/cooling requirements when spaces are unoccupied. Typically, DCV involves the installation of CO ₂ sensors and controls to measure CO ₂ levels in the controlled space and the outdoor ventilation air and to reduce heating/cooling of the ventilated air during low occupancy periods. This measure is not eligible for new construction applications for which DCV is already required per Section 503.2.5.1 of IECC 2009. | | | | | | |
| Primary Energy Impact | Electric | | | | | | |
| Sector | Commercial | | | | | | |
| Program(s) | C&I Prescriptive Program | | | | | | |
| End-Use | HVAC | | | | | | |
| Project Type | New construction, Retrofit | | | | | | |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVINGS ALGORITHMS (UNIT SAVINGS) | | | | | | | |
| Demand Savings | ΔkW | = Area × VentilationRate × SF _{kW} × 12 / EER _{EE} | | | | | |
| Annual Energy Savings | ΔkWh/yr | = Area × VentilationRate × SF _{kW} × 12 / EER _{EE} × EFLH _C | | | | | |
| Definitions | Unit | = 1 DCV system | | | | | |
| | Area | = Area of conditioned space benefitting from the DCV (ft ²) | | | | | |
| | VentilationRate | = Design outdoor air ventilation rate, based on space type (CFM/ft ²) | | | | | |
| | SF _{kW} | = Savings factor is the average demand cooling load savings per CFM of ventilated air provided to the conditioned space (tons/CFM) | | | | | |
| | EER _{EE} | = Cooling energy efficiency ratio of the new equipment, from application form or customer information; EER may be estimated as SEER/1.1 (Btuh/Watt) | | | | | |
| | EFLH _C | = Cooling equivalent full load hours (hrs/yr) | | | | | |
| | 12 | = Conversion: 12 kBtuh per ton | | | | | |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTIONS | | | | | | | |
| Baseline Efficiency | No DCV system installed on the HVAC units. | | | | | | |
| Efficient Measure | New high-efficiency HVAC unit with DCV system installed. | | | | | | |
| PARAMETER VALUES | | | | | | | |
| Measure/Type | Area | VentilationRate | SF _{kW} | EER _{EE} | EFLH _C | Life (yrs) | Cost (\$) |
| All | Actual | Table 37 | 0.000433 ¹⁹⁴ | Actual | 719 ¹⁹⁵ | 10 ¹⁹⁶ | \$2,100 (Retrofit) \$850 (NC) ¹⁹⁷ |
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | |
| Program | ISR | RR _E | RR _D | CF _S | CF _W | FR | SO |
| C&I Prescriptive | 100% | 112.2% ¹⁹⁸ | 100% ¹⁹⁸ | Table 29 ¹⁹⁹ | Table 29 ¹⁹⁹ | 52% ²⁰⁰ | 1.6% ²⁰¹ |

¹⁹⁴ The demand cooling load saving factor is dependent on the amount of ventilated air brought into the conditioned space, which in turns depend on the occupancy within the space. If the space is frequently filled to its designed capacity, then there will not be any demand savings. This is because the system will bring in the corresponding amount of ventilated air required for the occupants, which is the same as the baseline system minimum ventilation. However, from our past experience, such spaces are typically occupied 85% to 90% of their designed capacities. Thus, there is an approximate savings of 10% to 15% in the amount of ventilated air brought in. This also translates to about the same amount of demand saved in conditioning the ventilated air.

¹⁹⁵ KEMA, NEEP C&I Unitary HVAC Loadshape Project, June 2011, Table 0-2. Values are for the NE-North region.

¹⁹⁶ Studies have shown that the typical life of most electronic control devices and sensor is approximately 10 years

¹⁹⁷ Average incremental cost for this measure has not changed since the Efficiency Maine TRM revision 8/15 2010 based on interviews with suppliers in Maine and the review of Efficiency Maine projects by GDS Associates, December 2011

¹⁹⁸ Nexant, Business Incentive Program Impact Evaluation, unpublished draft, May 2017.

¹⁹⁹ See Appendix B.

²⁰⁰ Nexant, Business Incentive Program Process Evaluation, Published October 31, 2016, page 58.

²⁰¹ Nexant, Business Incentive Program Process Evaluation, Published October 31, 2016, page 58.

| Prescriptive HVAC: Variable Refrigerant Flow, Code VRF | |
|--|---|
| Last Revised Date | 10/1/2017 (retroactive to 7/1/2017) |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | |
| Description | This measure involves the purchase and installation of a new high-efficiency variable refrigerant flow (VRF) AC or heat pump system instead of a new standard-efficiency variable refrigerant flow (VRF) AC or heat pump system. |
| Primary Energy Impact | Electric; Natural Gas; Heating Oil |
| Sector | Commercial |
| Program(s) | C&I Prescriptive Program |
| End-Use | HVAC |
| Project Type | New construction, Replace on burnout/End of useful life |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVINGS ALGORITHMS (UNIT SAVINGS) | |
| Demand savings | $kW_c = kBtu/hr_{capacity} * \left(\frac{1}{IEER_{base}} - \frac{1}{IEER_{ee}} \right)$ $kW_h = kBtu_{heat\ load} * \left(\frac{1}{COP_{base}} - \frac{1}{COP_{ee}} \right) * \frac{1}{EFLH_h}$ |
| Annual energy savings | $kWh_c = kBtu/hr_{capacity} * \left(\frac{1}{IEER_{base}} - \frac{1}{IEER_{ee}} \right) * EFLH_c$ $kWh_h = kBtu_{heat\ load} * \left(\frac{1}{COP_{base}} - \frac{1}{COP_{ee}} \right) * \frac{1}{3.412}$ |
| Definitions | <p> $kBtu/hr_{capacity}$ = Cooling capacity of equipment $IEER_{base}$ = Integrated energy efficiency ratio for baseline system $IEER_{ee}$ = Integrated energy efficiency ratio for VRF system $EFLH_c$ = Cooling equivalent full load hours $EFLH_h$ = Heating equivalent full load hours $kBtu_{heat\ load}$ = (Square feet of building) x (47.4 kBtu/sf²⁰²) COP_{base} = Coefficient of performance for baseline system COP_{ee} = Coefficient of performance for VRF system at 47°F db/43°F wb outdoor air 3.412 = Conversion factor: kBtu/kWh </p> |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTIONS | |
| Baseline Efficiency | Air cooled variable refrigerant flow unit that meets minimum efficiency standards of 90.1-2007. |
| Efficient Measure | High-efficiency variable refrigerant flow unit with IEER of 17 or greater. |

²⁰² New England average heating load from 2003 CBECs

| Prescriptive HVAC: Variable Refrigerant Flow, Code VRF | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|
| PARAMETER VALUES (DEEMED) | | | | | | | | |
| Measure/Type | kBtu/hr _{capacity} | IEER _{base} | IEER _{ee} | EFLH _c | EFLH _h | kBtu _{heat load} | COP _{base} | COP _{ee} |
| VRF HVAC System | Actual | 12.7 ²⁰³ | Actual | 829 ²⁰⁴ | 1600 ²⁰⁵ | Actual | 2.25 ²⁰⁶ | Actual |
| Measure/Type | Conditioned Space (sq. ft.) | | | | | | Life (yrs) | Cost (\$) ²⁰⁷ |
| VRF HVAC System | Actual | | | | | | 20 | \$3.10/sf |
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | | |
| Program | ISR | RR _E | RR _D | CF _S | CF _W | FR | SO | |
| C&I Prescriptive | 100% | 112.2% ²⁰⁸ | 100% ²⁰⁹ | Table 29 ²¹⁰ | Table 29 ²¹¹ | 52% ²¹² | 1.6% ²¹³ | |

²⁰³ ANSI/ASHRAE/IES Addenda CE and CP to ANSI/ASHRAE/IESNA 90.1-2007, Table 6.8.1M, VRF Air Cooled (cooling mode) ≥ 65,000 Btu/h and < 135,000 Btu/h.

²⁰⁴ KEMA, NEEP C&I Unitary HVAC Loadshape Project, June 2011, Table 0-3 and 0-4. Values are for the NE-North region.

²⁰⁵ EMT assumes 1,600 heating full load hours.

²⁰⁶ ANSI/ASHRAE/IES Addenda CE and CP to ANSI/ASHRAE/IESNA 90.1-2007, Table 6.8.1M, VRF Air Cooled (heating mode) ≥ 65,000 Btu/h and < 135,000 Btu/h (cooling capacity) 17°F db/15°F wb outdoor air.

²⁰⁷ Based on project data. VRF = \$3.61/sf. Standard Efficiency Heat Pump with same capacity = \$0.51/sf.

²⁰⁸ Nexant, Business Incentive Program Impact Evaluation, unpublished draft, May 2017.

²⁰⁹ RR_D used to adjust Summer and Winter CF to account for BIP program evaluation findings as presented in Appendix D.

²¹⁰ See Appendix C.

²¹¹ See Appendix C.

²¹² Nexant, Business Incentive Program Process Evaluation, Published October 31, 2016, page 58.

²¹³ Ibid.

| Ductless Heat Pump – Commercial/Industrial, Codes DHP1L-DHP4L | |
|--|--|
| Last Revised Date | 7/1/2015 |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | |
| Description | This measure involves the purchase and installation of a high-efficiency ductless heat pump (DHP) system as the primary heating system in new construction, gut-rehab, or planned retirement/upgrade projects. The new DHP equipment may have one (single-head) or multiple (multi-head) indoor units per outdoor unit. |
| Energy Impacts | Electric |
| Sector | Residential |
| Program(s) | C&I Prescriptive Program |
| End-Use | Cooling, Heating |
| Decision Type | New construction, replace on burnout |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVINGS ALGORITHMS (UNIT SAVINGS) | |
| Demand Savings | $\Delta kW = \max(\Delta kW_C, \Delta kW_H)$ $\Delta kW_C = CAP_{COOL} \times [(1 / EER_B) - (1 / EER_{EE})] / 1,000$ $\Delta kW_{SP} = \Delta kW_C \times CF_S$ $\Delta kW_H = CAP_{HEAT} \times [(1 / HSPF_B) - (1 / HSPF_{EE})] / 1,000$ $\Delta kW_{WP} = \Delta kW_H \times CF_W$ |
| Annual Energy Savings | $\Delta kWh_C = CAP_{COOL} \times [(1 / SEER_B) - (1 / SEER_{EE})] \times EFLH_{COOL} / 1,000$ $\Delta kWh_H = CAP_{HEAT} \times [1 / (HSPF_B) - 1 / (HSPF_{EE})] \times ADJ \times EFLH_{HEAT} / 1,000$ |
| Definitions | Unit = 1 ductless heat pump (DHP) system HSPF _B = Heating seasonal performance factor of the baseline DHP (Btu/Watt-hr) HSPF _{EE} = Heating seasonal performance factor of the high-efficiency DHP (Btu/Watt-hr) CAP _{Cool} = Rated cooling capacity of the DHP (kBtu/h) CAP _{Heat} = Rated heating capacity of the DHP (kBtu/h) SEER _B = Seasonal energy efficiency ratio for baseline cooling unit (Btu/Watt-hr) SEER _E = Seasonal energy efficiency ratio for high-efficiency DHP (Btu/Watt-hr) EER _B = Energy efficiency ratio for baseline cooling unit (Btu/Watt-hr) EER _E = Energy efficiency ratio for high-efficiency DHP (Btu/Watt-hr) EFLH _{COOL} = Cooling equivalent full load hours EFLH _{HEAT} = Heating equivalent full load hours ADJ = Adjustment factor to account for realized HSPF during Maine winter |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTIONS | |
| Baseline Efficiency | The baseline case assumes that the business would be heated with new ductless heat pumps that meet Federal minimum efficiency requirement for units manufactured on or after January 1, 2015: HSPF=8.2 and SEER=14.0. |
| Efficient Measure | The high-efficiency case assumes a new <i>high-efficiency</i> ductless heat pump that meets minimum efficiency requirements for program rebate: HSPF=12.0 (single-head), 10.0 (multi-head). Ductless heat pump is sized to provide 100% of the heat load of the area served at 11°F ambient temperature. |

| Ductless Heat Pump – Commercial/Industrial, Codes DHP1L-DHP4L | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-----------|
| PARAMETER VALUES | | | | | | | | |
| Measure | CAP _{HEAT} | CAP _{COOL} | HSPF _E | HSPF _B | SEER _E | SEER _B | Life (yrs) | Cost (\$) |
| DHP NC/ROB | Actual | Actual | Actual | 8.2 ²¹⁴ | Actual | 14 ²¹⁵ | 15 ²¹⁶ | Table 6 |
| Measure | EER _E | EER _B | ADJ | EFLH _{HEAT} | EFLH _{COOL} | | | |
| DHP NC/ROB | Actual | 11.7 ²¹⁷ | 0.79 ²¹⁸ | 1,195 ²¹⁹ | 709 ²²⁰ | | | |
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | | |
| Program | ISR | RR _E | RR _D | CF _S | CF _W | FR | SO | |
| C&I Prescriptive | 100% ²²¹ | 100% ²²² | 100% ²²² | Table 29 ²²³ | Table 29 ²²³ | 33% ²²⁴ | 1.6% ²²⁵ | |

Table 6 – Measure Cost for DHP Equipment²²⁶

| # of Indoor Units per Outdoor Unit | Measure Cost (\$) |
|------------------------------------|-------------------|
| 1 | \$682 |
| 2 | \$682 |
| 3 | \$682 |
| 4+ | \$682 |

²¹⁴ Federal minimum efficiency requirement for units manufactured on or after January 1, 2015.

²¹⁵ DOE standards for central air conditioners and central air conditioning heat pumps manufactured on or after January 1, 2015 (http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/product.aspx/productid/75).

²¹⁶ GDS Associates, Inc., Measure Life Report – Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures, June 2007; Table 2.

²¹⁷ DOE standards for central air conditioners and central air conditioning heat pumps manufactured on or after January 1, 2015 (http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/product.aspx/productid/75).

²¹⁸ Adjustment factor is estimated using the weather bin analysis for Portland, Bangor, and Caribou, ME, and manufacturer curves to estimate unit efficiency during each weather bin.

²¹⁹ Ibid.

²²⁰ Nexant, Business Incentive Program Impact Evaluation, unpublished draft, May 2017.

²²¹ EMT assumes that all purchased units are installed (i.e., ISR = 100%).

²²² This measure is new and has not yet been evaluated. Until the next program impact evaluation, EMT assumes a 100% RR.

²²³ See Appendix B.

²²⁴ Nexant, Business Incentive Program Process Evaluation, Published October 31, 2016, page 58.

²²⁵ Ibid.

²²⁶ The measure cost is based on program average incremental cost. Measure cost will be refined for number of zones as data become available.

| Ductless Heat Pump – Multifamily, Code MPDHPNC | |
|--|--|
| Last Revised Date | 7/1/2015 |
| Description | This measure involves the purchase and installation of a high-efficiency ductless heat pump (DHP) system as the primary heating system in new construction, gut-rehab, or planned retirement/upgrade multifamily projects. |
| Energy Impacts | Electric |
| Sector | Residential |
| Program(s) | Multifamily Program |
| End-Use | Cooling, Heating |
| Decision Type | New Construction, Replace on Burnout |
| DEEMED GROSS ENERGY SAVINGS ALGORITHMS (UNIT SAVINGS) | |
| Demand Savings | $\Delta kW_{\max} = 0.57$, $\Delta kW_{WP} = 0.18$, $\Delta kW_{SP} = 0.02$ |
| Annual Energy Savings | $\Delta kWh/yr = 874$ $\Delta kWh_H = 845$ $\Delta kWh_C = 29$ |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVINGS ALGORITHMS (UNIT SAVINGS) | |
| Demand Savings | Modeled |
| Annual Energy Savings | Modeled ²²⁷ Heating and cooling savings are modeled using TMY3 data for Portland, Bangor, and Caribou, ME. Results are weighted based on population (71.2% Portland, 23.4% Bangor, 5.4% Caribou). ²²⁸ Savings were calculated based on a model employing the following key assumptions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Average annual Heat Loss is 30 MMBtu per year per housing unit corresponding to an average UA of 161 MMBtu/h/deg F. • DHP's contribution to heating does not exceed 50% of the home's heating load in any temperature bin. Even in temperature bins in which 100% of the home's heating load can be supplied by the DHP, the DHP supplies 50% of the heating load, and the remaining 50% is supplied by the existing heating system to account for behavior effects.²²⁹ • DHP heating output capacity and DHP heating efficiency vary linearly with outside air temperature. • Heating is called for when outside air temperature is less than or equal to 65°F. • Cooling is called for when outside air temperature is greater than or equal to 70°F. |
| Definitions | Unit = 1 ductless heat pump (DHP) system $HSPF_B$ = Heating seasonal performance factor of the baseline DHP (Btu/Watt-hr) $HSPF_{EE}$ = Heating seasonal performance factor of the high-efficiency DHP (Btu/Watt-hr) CAP_{Cool} = Rated cooling capacity of the DHP (kBtu/h) CAP_{Heat} = Rated heating capacity of the DHP (kBtu/h) $SEER_B$ = Seasonal energy efficiency ratio for baseline cooling unit (Btu/Watt-hr) $SEER_E$ = Seasonal energy efficiency ratio for high-efficiency DHP (Btu/Watt-hr) |

²²⁷ Based on Excel Workbook for Ductless Heat Pump.

²²⁸ Calculated based on population of each region; U.S. Census Bureau Census 2010 Summary File 1 population by census tract.

²²⁹ Heat load offset of 50% is extrapolated from other findings. Ecotope, Ductless Heat Pump Retrofits in Multifamily and Small Commercial Buildings, December 7, 2012 reported savings were equivalent to 43%–75% heat load offset in multifamily buildings.

| Ductless Heat Pump – Multifamily, Code MPDHPNC | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTIONS | | | | | | | | |
| Baseline Efficiency | The baseline case assumes the multifamily units would be heated with new ductless heat pumps that meets Federal minimum efficiency requirement for units manufactured on or after January 1, 2015: HSPF=8.2 and SEER=14.0. | | | | | | | |
| Efficient Measure | The high-efficiency case assumes a new <i>high-efficiency</i> ductless heat pump that meets minimum efficiency requirements for program rebate: HSPF=12.0 Btu/W-h HSPF=12.0 and SEER=18.0. | | | | | | | |
| PARAMETER VALUES | | | | | | | | |
| Measure | CAP _{Heat} | CAP _{Cool} | HSPF _E | HSPF _B | SEER _E | SEER _B | Life (yrs) | Cost (\$) |
| DHP Retrofit | 17.5 ²³⁰ | 14.2 ²³⁰ | 13.2 ²³⁰ | 8.2 ²³¹ | 25.6 ²³⁰ | 14 ²³² | 18 ²³³ | \$682 ²³⁴ |
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | | |
| Program | ISR | RR _E | RR _D | CF _S | CF _W | FR | SO | |
| C&I Prescriptive | 100% ²³⁵ | 100% ²³⁶ | 100% ²³⁶ | Table 31 ²³⁷ | Table 31 ²³⁷ | 11.0% ²³⁸ | 1.0% ²³⁸ | |

²³⁰ Weighted average values of the most popular units that have been incentivized under the Efficiency Maine program.

²³¹ Federal minimum efficiency requirement for units manufactured on or after January 1, 2015.

²³² DOE standards for central air conditioners and central air conditioning heat pumps manufactured on or after January 1, 2015 (http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/product.aspx/productid/75).

²³³ GDS Associates, Inc., Measure Life Report – Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures, June 2007; Table 1.

²³⁴ The incremental cost is the difference in cost between a typical high-efficiency unit (\$1,645 based on Fujitsu model 12RLS2, ecomfort.com) and a typical baseline unit (\$963 based on LG model LS093HE, ecomfort.com).

²³⁵ EMT assumes that all purchased units are installed (i.e., ISR = 100%).

²³⁶ This measure is new and has not yet been evaluated. Until the next program impact evaluation, EMT assumes a 100% RR.

²³⁷ See Appendix B.

²³⁸ Opinion Dynamics, Efficiency Maine Multifamily Efficiency Program Evaluation Final, March 2014; Table 1-2.

| Ductless Heat Pump Retrofit – Low-Income Multifamily, Code LIDHP | |
|--|--|
| Last Revised Date | 7/1/2015 |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | |
| Description | This measure involves the purchase and installation of a high-efficiency ductless heat pump (DHP) system to supplement the existing heating system in electric heated homes and to replace existing window air conditioning units. The new DHP equipment may have one (single-head) or multiple (multi-head) indoor units per outdoor unit. |
| Energy Impacts | Electric |
| Sector | Residential |
| Program(s) | Low-Income Program |
| End-Use | Cooling, Heating |
| Decision Type | Retrofit |
| DEEMED GROSS ENERGY SAVINGS ALGORITHMS (UNIT SAVINGS) | |
| Demand Savings | $\Delta kW_{\max} = 0.89$ $\Delta kW_{WP} = 0.62$ $\Delta kW_{SP} = 0.00$ |
| Annual Energy Savings | $\Delta kWh/yr = 3,013$ |
| Demand Savings | Modeled |
| Annual Energy Savings | <p>Modeled²³⁹</p> <p>Heating and cooling savings are modeled using TMY3 data for Portland, Bangor, and Caribou, ME. Results are weighted based on population (71.2% Portland, 23.4% Bangor, 5.4% Caribou).²⁴⁰</p> <p>Savings were calculated based on a model employing the following key assumptions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Average annual heat loss is 30 MMBtu, corresponding to an average UA of 161 MMBtu/h/deg F. • DHP's contribution to heating does not exceed 35% of the home's heating load in any temperature bin. Even in temperature bins in which 100% of the home's heating load can be supplied by the DHP, the DHP supplies 35% of the heating load, and the remaining 65% is supplied by the existing heating system to account for distribution and behavior effects.²⁴¹ • DHP heating output capacity and DHP heating efficiency (both baseline and efficient units) vary linearly with outside air temperature. • Heating is called for when outside air temperature is \leq to 65°F. • Cooling is called for when outside air temperature is \geq to 70°F. • For homes that have equivalent of whole home air conditioning already installed, DHP will replace the cooling load equivalent to the DHP's rated capacity. • For homes that have existing partial cooling (i.e., 1 or 2 existing window air conditioning units), it is unknown if the DHP will be installed in the same areas served by the existing window air conditioning units. If installed in the same area, the DHP will replace the existing cooling load and result in positive savings due to increased efficiency. However, if installed in a different area, the DHP may result in additional cooling load and hence increased energy use. Without any in-situ data, zero net savings is assumed for homes with existing partial cooling. For homes with no existing cooling equipment, it is assumed that the DHP will be used to its full cooling capacity. |

²³⁹ Based on Excel Workbook for Ductless Heat Pump.

²⁴⁰ Calculated based on population of each region; U.S. Census Bureau Census 2010 Summary File 1 population by census tract.

²⁴¹ Heat load offset of 16% is consistent with findings of the Low-Income Weatherization Program Evaluation, NMR Group, 7/1/2015.

| Ductless Heat Pump Retrofit – Low-Income Multifamily, Code LIDHP | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|---------------------|---------------------|----------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| Definitions | Unit | = 1 ductless heat pump (DHP) system | | | | | | |
| | EF _B | = Efficiency Factor of electric baseboard heating system (Btu/Watt-hr) | | | | | | |
| | HSPF _E | = Heating seasonal performance factor of the high-efficiency DHP (Btu/Watt-hr) | | | | | | |
| | CAP _{Cool} | = Rated cooling capacity of the DHP (kBtu/h) | | | | | | |
| | CAP _{Heat} | = Rated heating capacity of the DHP (kBtu/h) | | | | | | |
| | SEER _B | = Seasonal energy efficiency ratio for baseline DHP (Btu/Watt-hr) | | | | | | |
| | SEER _E | = Seasonal energy efficiency ratio for high-efficiency DHP (Btu/Watt-hr) | | | | | | |
| | %COOL _{FULL} | = Percentage of homes with existing cooling equipment equivalent of a whole home air conditioner (equivalent of 3 window air conditioning units) | | | | | | |
| | %COOL _{NONE} | = Percentage of homes with no existing cooling equipment | | | | | | |
| %FUEL | = Home heating fuel distribution excluding coal and other | | | | | | | |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTIONS | | | | | | | | |
| Baseline Efficiency | The baseline case assumes the home retains its existing electric resistance, natural gas, oil, kerosene, or propane heating system and uses a window air conditioning unit for cooling (or has no cooling). A weighted average of the blended baseline fuel heating systems and electric resistance heating systems in Maine homes and single package air conditioner are used in the model (see Table 7). | | | | | | | |
| Efficient Measure | The high-efficiency case assumes the home retains its existing heating system and adds a new <i>high-efficiency</i> DHP that meets minimum efficiency requirements for program rebate: HSPF=12.0 Btu/W-h. | | | | | | | |
| PARAMETER VALUES | | | | | | | | |
| Measure | CAP _{Heat} | CAP _{Cool} | HSPF _E | EF _B | SEER _E | SEER _B | %COOL _{FULL} | %COOL _{NONE} |
| DHP Retrofit | 17.5 ²⁴² | 14.2 ²⁴³ | 13.2 ²⁴⁴ | 3.4 ²⁴⁵ | 25.6 ²⁴² | 9.8 ²⁴⁶ | 40% ²⁴⁷ | 21% ²⁴⁸ |
| Measure | | | | | | | Life (yrs) | Cost (\$) |
| DHP Retrofit | | | | | | | 18 ²⁴⁹ | \$Actual ²⁵⁰ |
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | | |
| Program | ISR | RR _E | RR _D | CF _S | CF _W | FR | SO | |
| Low-Income | 100% ²⁵¹ | 100% ²⁵² | 100% ²⁵² | 0.0% ²⁵³ | 69.5% ²⁵³ | 0% ²⁵⁴ | 0% ²⁵⁴ | |

²⁴² Weighted average values of the most popular units that have been incentivized under the Efficiency Maine program.

²⁴³ Weighted average values of the most popular units that have been incentivized under the Efficiency Maine program.

²⁴⁴ Weighted average values of the most popular units that have been incentivized under the Efficiency Maine program.

²⁴⁵ Assumes electric baseboard heating system has virtually no distribution losses.

²⁴⁶ Federal minimum efficiency requirement for units manufactured before January 1, 2015.

²⁴⁷ Portland Press Herald, <http://www.pressherald.com/2014/05/26/put-power-rates-on-ice-that-s-a-cool-idea/>. In 2010, an estimated 79% of customers in ISO-New England region had room air conditioners. Of the 79%, 40% of homes had equivalent of whole home air conditioning (3 window units); 39% of homes had total cooling capacity equivalent of 1 or 2 window air conditioning units. The remaining 21% have no cooling equipment installed.

²⁴⁸ Portland Press Herald, <http://www.pressherald.com/2014/05/26/put-power-rates-on-ice-that-s-a-cool-idea/>. In 2010, an estimated 79% of customers in ISO-New England region had room air conditioners. Of the 79%, 40% of homes had equivalent of whole home air conditioning (3 window units); 39% of homes had total cooling capacity equivalent of 1 or 2 window air conditioning units. The remaining 21% have no cooling equipment installed.

²⁴⁹ GDS Associates, Inc., Measure Life Report – Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures, June 2007; Table 1.

²⁵⁰ Total cost to program that covers 100% of installation cost.

²⁵¹ EMT assumes that all purchased units are installed (i.e., ISR = 100%).

²⁵² This measure is new and has not yet been evaluated. Until the next program impact evaluation, EMT assumes a 100% RR.

²⁵³ See Appendix B.

²⁵⁴ Program assumes no free ridership or spillover for the low-income direct install program.

Table 7. Parameters for Existing Heating Systems

| Fuel | Baseline: Main Heating Equipment | Efficiency Measure | Share | Efficiency |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|------------|
| Heating Baseline Assumptions | | | | |
| Electric | Electric Baseboard | HSPF | Calculated Separately | 3.4 |
| Gas | Gas-Fired Forced Hot Water Boiler | AFUE | 6% | 75% |
| Gas | Gas-Fired Steam Boiler | AFUE | 3% | 75% |
| Propane | Propane-Fired Forced Hot Water Boiler | AFUE | 8% | 75% |
| Propane | Propane-Fired Steam Boiler | AFUE | 4% | 75% |
| Oil | Oil-Fired Forced Hot Water Boiler | AFUE | 22% | 75% |
| Oil | Oil-Fired Steam Boiler | AFUE | 22% | 75% |
| Oil | Oil-Fired Ducted Furnace | AFUE x Duct Efficiency | 22% | 56% |
| Wood | Wood Stove | AFUE | 12% | 60% |
| Blended | Blended MMBtu Baseline | Blended Efficiency | 100.0% | 76% |
| <i>Duct Efficiency</i> | | | | 75% |
| Cooling Baseline Assumptions | | | | |
| Electric | Single-Package Air Conditioner | SEER | 40% | 14 |
| Electric | Single-Package Air Conditioner | EER | 40% | 12 |

Sources

DOE standards for boilers manufactured on or after September 1, 2012

(http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/product.aspx/productid/72)

DOE standards for furnaces manufactured on or after May 1, 2013

(http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/product.aspx/productid/72)

US DOE: Better Duct Systems for Home Heating and Cooling (<http://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy05osti/30506.pdf>)

DOE standards for central air conditioners and central air conditioning heat pumps manufactured on or after January 1, 2015 (http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/product.aspx/productid/75)

Maine Governor's Energy Office, SPACE HEATING FUEL COMPARISON CALCULATOR

(http://www.maine.gov/energy/fuel_prices/heating-calculator.php)

DOE standards for AC and Heat Pump (on or after January 23, 2006, and before January 1, 2015)

(http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/product.aspx/productid/75)

| Prescriptive HVAC: Modulating Burner Controls for Boilers and Heaters, Code AF1 | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|--------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|
| Last Revised Date | | 10/1/2017 | | | | | | |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | | | | | | | | |
| Description | | This measure is for a non-residential boiler providing heat with a current turndown capacity less than 6:1 between the high firing rate and low firing rate. The modulating burner controls will reduce burner startup and shutdown and allow the burners to meet load more effectively between the high firing rate and the low firing rate. It will also allow for an increased turn down rate to eliminate startup and shutdown when the load is lower than the low firing rate. | | | | | | |
| Energy Impacts | | Natural gas, Heating oil, Propane | | | | | | |
| Sector | | Commercial, Industrial | | | | | | |
| Program(s) | | C&I Prescriptive Program | | | | | | |
| End-Use | | Boilers, Space heating, Process heating | | | | | | |
| Decision Type | | Retrofit | | | | | | |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVINGS ALGORITHMS (UNIT SAVINGS) | | | | | | | | |
| Annual energy savings | | $\Delta \text{MMBtu/yr} = \text{Ngi} \times \text{SF} \times \text{T} / 1,000$ | | | | | | |
| Definitions | | Unit = Modulating burner control installed on a single boiler Ngi = Boiler/heater gas input size (MBtuh) SF = Estimate of annual fuel consumption conserved by modulating burner T = Hours of operation. (Space heating = Effective full load heating hours (EFLH)) 1,000 = Conversion 1,000 MBtu per MMBtu | | | | | | |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTIONS | | | | | | | | |
| Baseline Efficiency | | A baseline boiler high and low firing rate ratio must be a maximum of 6:1 or be subject to loads of less than 30% of the boiler/heater full firing rate for at least 60% of the time. | | | | | | |
| Efficient Measure | | A boiler burner must have a turn down rate of 10:1 or greater and be able to effectively modulate the burner firing rate between the low and high firing rates. | | | | | | |
| PARAMETER VALUES (DEEMED) | | | | | | | | |
| Measure/Type | | Ngi | SF ²⁵⁵ | T (Process) | T (Space Heating) ²⁵⁶ | Life (yrs) ²⁵⁷ | Cost (\$) ²⁵⁸ | |
| All | | Actual | 3% | Hours of Operation | 1,600 EFLH | 21 | \$2.14/MBtuh | |
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | | |
| Program | | ISR | RR _E ²⁵⁹ | RR _D | CF _S | CF _W | FR ²⁶⁰ | SO ²⁶¹ |
| C&I Prescriptive | | 100% | 100% | N/A | N/A | N/A | 52% ²⁶² | 1.6% ²⁶³ |

²⁵⁵ Xcel Energy, 2010/2011/2012 Triennial Plan, Minnesota Electric and Natural Gas Conservation Improvement Program, E,G002/CIP-09-198. Page 474: 80% baseline boiler to 83% overall efficiency with improvement.

²⁵⁶ EMT assumes 1,600 heating full load hours for all natural gas heating equipment. The value is comparable to the recommended value of 1,400 FLH for Massachusetts, which has a shorter heating season than Maine, determined in the following study: KEMA, Project 15 Prescriptive Gas – Final Program Evaluation Report, June 2012, Table ES 2.

²⁵⁷ Illinois Statewide Technical Reference Manual version 4.0, measure 4.4.20 – High Turndown Burner.

²⁵⁸ Based on program data 7/1/2016-8/30/2017. Supplier cost of unit + 20% mark up plus labor (\$1.07*1.2+\$0.86)/Mbtu/h

²⁵⁹ This program is new and has not yet been evaluated. Until the next program impact evaluation, EMT assumes a 100% RR.

²⁶⁰ This program is new and has not yet been evaluated. Until the next program impact evaluation, EMT assumes the program overall values from the Business Incentive Program Evaluation (Opinion Dynamics Corporation, Evaluation of the Efficiency Maine Trust Business Program, November 30, 2011, Table 5-8. NTG Impacts for Program Overall).

²⁶¹ Opinion Dynamics Corporation, Evaluation of the Efficiency Maine Trust Business Program, November 30, 2011, Table 5-10. Participant Spillover.

²⁶² Nexant, Business Incentive Program Process Evaluation, Published October 31, 2016, page 58.

²⁶³ Ibid.

| Prescriptive HVAC: Boiler Stack Heat Exchanger (Boiler Economizer), Code AF2 | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| Last Revised Date | | 3/1/2015 (New) | | | | | | |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | | | | | | | | |
| Description | | Boiler stack economizers are heat exchangers with hot flue gas on one side and boiler feed water on the other. The waste heat from the stack is used to preheat the boiler feed water, which reduces the energy required by the boiler to heat the water. There are two types of stack heat exchangers: standard and condensing. Condensing units conserve more energy by recovering even more energy from the flue gas. But since reducing the stack temperature lower causes the flue gas to condense, additional venting and moisture control precautions must be added, which increases the cost of the unit. | | | | | | |
| Energy Impacts | | Natural gas, Heating oil, Propane | | | | | | |
| Sector | | Industrial | | | | | | |
| Program(s) | | C&I Prescriptive Program | | | | | | |
| End-Use | | Boiler, Process heat recovery | | | | | | |
| Decision Type | | Retrofit | | | | | | |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVINGS ALGORITHMS (UNIT SAVINGS) | | | | | | | | |
| Annual energy savings | | $\Delta \text{MMBtu/yr} = \text{CAP}_{\text{INPUT}} \times \text{EFLH} \times \text{SF} / 1,000$ | | | | | | |
| Definitions | | Unit = 1 boiler retrofitted to add stack heat exchanger CAP _{INPUT} = Boiler input capacity (MBH = MBtu/h) EFLH = Equivalent full load heating hours SF = Estimate of annual gas consumption conserved by adding boiler stack heat exchanger 1,000 = Conversion 1,000 MBtu per MMBtu | | | | | | |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTIONS | | | | | | | | |
| Baseline Efficiency | | Assumed to be a non-condensing boiler with no existing stack heat exchanger installed. | | | | | | |
| Efficient Measure | | Assumed to be a boiler with newly installed stack heat exchanger. | | | | | | |
| PARAMETER VALUES (DEEMED) | | | | | | | | |
| Measure/Type | | CAP _{INPUT} | EFLH ²⁶⁴ | SF ²⁶⁵ | Life (yrs) ²⁶⁶ | Cost (\$) ²⁶⁷ | | |
| Standard Economizer | | Actual | 1,600 | 5% | 20 | \$1,500/MMBtu/h | | |
| Condensing Economizer | | Actual | 1,600 | 10% | 20 | \$2,120/MMBtu/h | | |
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | | |
| Program | | ISR | RR _E ²⁶⁸ | RR _D | CF _S | CF _W | FR ²⁶⁹ | SO ²⁷⁰ |
| C&I Prescriptive | | 100% | 100% | N/A | N/A | N/A | 52% ²⁷¹ | 1.6% ²⁷² |

²⁶⁴ EMT assumes 1,600 heating full load hours for all natural gas heating equipment. The value is comparable to the recommended value of 1,400 FLH for Massachusetts, which has a shorter heating season than Maine, determined in the following study: KEMA, Project 15 Prescriptive Gas – Final Program Evaluation Report, June 2012, Table ES 2.

²⁶⁵ GDS Associates, Inc. (2009). *Natural Gas Energy Efficiency Potential in Massachusetts*. Prepared for GasNetworks.

²⁶⁶ GDS Associates, Inc. (2009). *Natural Gas Energy Efficiency Potential in Massachusetts*. Prepared for GasNetworks. The study references NYSERDA Deemed Savings Database, Rev 09-082006.

²⁶⁷ Ibid.

²⁶⁸ This program is new and has not yet been evaluated. Until the next program impact evaluation, EMT assumes a 100% RR.

²⁶⁹ This program is new and has not yet been evaluated. Until the next program impact evaluation, EMT assumes the program overall values from the Business Incentive Program Evaluation (Opinion Dynamics Corporation, Evaluation of the Efficiency Maine Trust Business Program, November 30, 2011, Table 5-8. NTG Impacts for Program Overall).

²⁷⁰ Opinion Dynamics Corporation, Evaluation of the Efficiency Maine Trust Business Program, November 30, 2011, Table 5-10. Participant Spillover.

²⁷¹ Nexant, Business Incentive Program Process Evaluation, Published October 31, 2016, page 58.

²⁷² Ibid.

| Prescriptive HVAC: Boiler Reset/Lockout Controls, Code AF3 | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Last Revised Date | | 3/1/2015 (New) | | | | | | |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | | | | | | | | |
| Description | | This measure involves the purchase and installation of boiler reset and lockout controls for a non-residential boiler that does not currently have such controls installed. Reset controls achieve energy savings by reducing the hot water supply temperature as a function of outdoor air temperature (OAT). As the site heating load decreases (higher OAT), the temperature to which the boiler must heat the supply hot water decreases. Lockout controls achieve energy savings by shutting down (locking out) the boiler entirely when the OAT is high enough to ensure that there is no heating load. For the purposes of this measure, the lockout temperature should be set no higher than 55°F. Boiler reset controls should not be implemented in conjunction with—or on boilers that already have—modulating burner controls. | | | | | | |
| Energy Impacts | | Natural gas, Heating oil, Propane | | | | | | |
| Sector | | Commercial, Industrial | | | | | | |
| Program(s) | | C&I Prescriptive Program | | | | | | |
| End-Use | | Boilers, Space heating, Process heating | | | | | | |
| Decision Type | | Retrofit | | | | | | |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVINGS ALGORITHMS (UNIT SAVINGS) | | | | | | | | |
| Annual energy savings | | $\Delta \text{MMBtu/yr} = \text{CAP}_{\text{INPUT}} \times \text{EFLH} \times \text{SF} / 1,000$ | | | | | | |
| Definitions | | Unit = 1 boiler retrofitted with reset and lockout controls $\text{CAP}_{\text{INPUT}}$ = Boiler input capacity (MBH = MBtu/h) EFLH = Equivalent full load heating hours SF = Estimate of annual fuel consumption conserved by adding boiler reset controls 1,000 = Conversion 1,000 MBtu per MMBtu | | | | | | |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTIONS | | | | | | | | |
| Baseline Efficiency | | Assumed to be a boiler with no boiler reset or lockout controls installed. | | | | | | |
| Efficient Measure | | Assumed to be a boiler with newly installed reset and lockout controls. | | | | | | |
| PARAMETER VALUES (DEEMED) | | | | | | | | |
| Measure/Type | | $\text{CAP}_{\text{INPUT}}$ | EFLH^{273} | SF^{274} | Life (yrs)^{275} | $\text{Cost (\$)}^{276}$ | | |
| All | | Actual | 1,600 | 8% | 20 | \$612/boiler | | |
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | | |
| Program | | ISR | RR_E^{277} | RR_D | CF_S | CF_W | FR^{278} | SO^{279} |
| C&I Prescriptive | | 100% | 100% | N/A | N/A | N/A | $52\%^{280}$ | $1.6\%^{281}$ |

²⁷³ EMT assumes 1,600 heating full load hours for all natural gas heating equipment. The value is comparable to the recommended value of 1,400 FLH for Massachusetts, which has a shorter heating season than Maine, determined in the following study: KEMA, Project 15 Prescriptive Gas – Final Program Evaluation Report, June 2012, Table ES 2.

²⁷⁴ Illinois Statewide TRM version 4, measure 4.4.4. <http://www.icc.illinois.gov/electricity/TRM.aspx>.

²⁷⁵ Ibid.

²⁷⁶ Ibid.

²⁷⁷ This program is new and has not yet been evaluated. Until the next program impact evaluation, EMT assumes a 100% RR.

²⁷⁸ This program is new and has not yet been evaluated. Until the next program impact evaluation, EMT assumes the program overall values from the Business Incentive Program Evaluation (Opinion Dynamics Corporation, Evaluation of the Efficiency Maine Trust Business Program, November 30, 2011, Table 5-8. NTG Impacts for Program Overall).

²⁷⁹ Opinion Dynamics Corporation, Evaluation of the Efficiency Maine Trust Business Program, November 30, 2011, Table 5-10. Participant Spillover.

²⁸⁰ Nexant, Business Incentive Program Process Evaluation, Published October 31, 2016, page 58.

²⁸¹ Ibid.

| Prescriptive HVAC: Oxygen Trim for Boilers and Heaters, Code AF4 | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|
| Last Revised Date | | 3/1/2015 (New) | | | | | | |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | | | | | | | | |
| Description | | This measure is for a non-residential boiler providing heat without controls for the amount of excess oxygen provided to the burner for combustion. The amount of oxygen is dependent on the amount of air provided. The measure involves the installation of an oxygen sensor in the flue exhaust and a fuel valve and combustion air controls to adjust from that sensor. | | | | | | |
| Energy Impacts | | Natural gas, Heating oil, Propane | | | | | | |
| Sector | | Commercial, Industrial | | | | | | |
| Program(s) | | C&I Prescriptive Program | | | | | | |
| End-Use | | Boilers, Space heating, Process heating | | | | | | |
| Decision Type | | Retrofit | | | | | | |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVINGS ALGORITHMS (UNIT SAVINGS) | | | | | | | | |
| Annual energy savings | | $\Delta \text{MMBtu/yr} = \text{Ngi} \times \text{SF} \times \text{T} / 1,000$ | | | | | | |
| Definitions | | Unit = Single boiler with oxygen trim sensor and control installed Ngi = Boiler/Heater gas input size (MBtu/hr) SF = Estimate of annual fuel consumption conserved by adding oxygen trim controls T = Hours of operation. (Space heating = Effective full Load heating hours (EFLH)) 1,000 = Conversion 1,000 MBtu per MMBtu | | | | | | |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTIONS | | | | | | | | |
| Baseline Efficiency | | A baseline boiler utilizes a single point positioning for burner combustion control. | | | | | | |
| Efficient Measure | | A boiler burner will have an oxygen control system allowing the combustion air to be adjusted based upon operating parameters and the output of oxygen sensors in the flue exhaust or other comparable control scenarios. | | | | | | |
| PARAMETER VALUES (DEEMED) | | | | | | | | |
| Measure/Type | | Ngi | SF ²⁸² | T (Process) | T (Space Heating) ²⁸³ | Life (yrs) ²⁸⁴ | Cost (\$) | |
| All | | Actual | 2% | Actual Hours of Operation | 1,600 EFLH | 15 | \$20,000 ²⁸⁵ | |
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | | |
| Program | | ISR | RR _E ²⁸⁶ | RR _D | CF _S | CF _W | FR ²⁸⁷ | SO ²⁸⁸ |
| C&I Prescriptive | | 100% | 100% | N/A | N/A | N/A | 52% ²⁸⁹ | 1.6% ²⁹⁰ |

²⁸² United States EPA, Climate Wise: Wise Rules for industrial Efficiency, July 1998.

²⁸³ EMT assumes 1,600 heating full load hours for all natural gas heating equipment. The value is comparable to the recommended value of 1,400 FLH for Massachusetts, which has a shorter heating season than Maine, determined in the following study: KEMA, Project 15 Prescriptive Gas – Final Program Evaluation Report, June 2012, Table ES 2.

²⁸⁴ Michigan Master Database of Deemed Savings - 2014 - Weather Sensitive Commercial, Adjusted for Maine heating hours.

²⁸⁵ CODES AND STANDARDS ENHANCEMENT INITIATIVE (CASE) PROCESS BOILERS, 2013 California Building Energy Efficiency Standards, California Utilities Statewide Codes and Standards Team, October 2011, pg. 22

²⁸⁶ This program is new and has not yet been evaluated. Until the next program impact evaluation, EMT assumes a 100% RR.

²⁸⁷ This program is new and has not yet been evaluated. Until the next program impact evaluation, EMT assumes the program overall values from the Business Incentive Program Evaluation (Opinion Dynamics Corporation, Evaluation of the Efficiency Maine Trust Business Program, November 30, 2011, Table 5-8. NTG Impacts for Program Overall).

²⁸⁸ Opinion Dynamics Corporation, Evaluation of the Efficiency Maine Trust Business Program, November 30, 2011, Table 5-10. Participant Spillover.

²⁸⁹ Nexant, Business Incentive Program Process Evaluation, Published October 31, 2016, page 58.

²⁹⁰ Ibid.

| Prescriptive HVAC: Boiler Turbulator, Code AF5 | | | | | | | |
|--|--|------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|
| Last Revised Date | 3/1/2015 (New) | | | | | | |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | | | | | | | |
| Description | This measure involves the installation of turbulators in the tubes of firetube boilers to help increase heat transfer efficiency. Normally located inside of only the last pass tubes, turbulators help recreate lost turbulence and extract the maximum heat transfer possible before the gases exit the unit. | | | | | | |
| Energy Impacts | Natural gas, Heating oil, Propane | | | | | | |
| Sector | Commercial, Industrial | | | | | | |
| Program(s) | C&I Prescriptive Program | | | | | | |
| End-Use | Boilers, Space heating, Process heating | | | | | | |
| Decision Type | Retrofit | | | | | | |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVINGS ALGORITHMS (UNIT SAVINGS) | | | | | | | |
| Annual energy savings | $\Delta \text{MMBtu/yr} = \text{CAP}_{\text{INPUT}} \times \text{EFLH} \times \text{OF} \times \Delta \text{E} / 1,000$ | | | | | | |
| Definitions | Unit = single boiler with turbulators installed $\text{CAP}_{\text{INPUT}}$ = Boiler input capacity (MBtu/hr) OF = Oversize factor (decimal) ΔE = Efficiency improvement; an efficiency improvement of 1% is gained per each 40°F reduction of flue gas temperature ²⁹¹ EFLH = Equivalent full load hours 1,000 = Conversion 1,000 MBtu per MMBtu | | | | | | |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTIONS | | | | | | | |
| Baseline Efficiency | Assumed to be a boiler with no turbulators installed. | | | | | | |
| Efficient Measure | Assumed to be a boiler with newly installed turbulators in the boiler tubes. | | | | | | |
| PARAMETER VALUES (DEEMED) | | | | | | | |
| Measure/Type | $\text{CAP}_{\text{INPUT}}$ | OF | ΔE | EFLH^{292} | Life (yrs) ²⁹³ | Cost (\$) ²⁹⁴ | |
| All | Actual | 0.70 ²⁹⁵ | Actual | 1,600 | 20 | \$15 per turbulator | |
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | |
| Program | ISR | $\text{RR}_{\text{E}}^{296}$ | RR_{D} | CF_{S} | CF_{W} | FR^{297} | SO^{298} |
| C&I Prescriptive | 100% | 100% | N/A | N/A | N/A | 52% ²⁹⁹ | 1.6% ³⁰⁰ |

²⁹¹ http://energy.gov/sites/prod/files/2014/05/f16/steam25_firetube_boilers.pdf.

²⁹² EMT assumes 1,600 heating full load hours for all natural gas heating equipment. The value is comparable to the recommended value of 1,400 FLH for Massachusetts, which has a shorter heating season than Maine, determined in the following study: KEMA, Project 15 Prescriptive Gas – Final Program Evaluation Report, June 2012, Table ES 2.

²⁹³ CenterPoint Energy, Triennial CIP/DSM Plan 2010-2012, June 1, 2009.

²⁹⁴ http://energy.gov/sites/prod/files/2014/05/f16/steam25_firetube_boilers.pdf.

²⁹⁵ PA Consulting, KEMA, Focus on Energy Evaluation, Business Programs: Deemed Savings Manual V1.0, March 22, 2010. This factor implies that boilers are 30% oversized on average.

²⁹⁶ This program is new and has not yet been evaluated. Until the next program impact evaluation, EMT assumes a 100% RR.

²⁹⁷ This program is new and has not yet been evaluated. Until the next program impact evaluation, EMT assumes the program overall values from the Business Incentive Program Evaluation (Opinion Dynamics Corporation, Evaluation of the Efficiency Maine Trust Business Program, November 30, 2011, Table 5-8. NTG Impacts for Program Overall).

²⁹⁸ Opinion Dynamics Corporation, Evaluation of the Efficiency Maine Trust Business Program, November 30, 2011, Table 5-10. Participant Spillover.

²⁹⁹ Nexant, Business Incentive Program Process Evaluation, Published October 31, 2016, page 58.

³⁰⁰ Ibid.

| Prescriptive HVAC: Programmable Thermostat, Code AF6 | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|---------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| Last Revised Date | | 10/1/2017 | | | | | | |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | | | | | | | | |
| Description | | This measure involves the purchase and installation of a single programmable thermostat connected to a single boiler. | | | | | | |
| Energy Impacts | | Natural gas, Heating oil, Propane | | | | | | |
| Sector | | Commercial, Industrial | | | | | | |
| Program(s) | | C&I Prescriptive Program | | | | | | |
| End-Use | | Space heating | | | | | | |
| Decision Type | | Retrofit | | | | | | |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVINGS ALGORITHMS (UNIT SAVINGS) | | | | | | | | |
| Annual energy savings | | $\Delta \text{MMBtu/yr} = (\text{CAP}_{\text{INPUT}} \times \text{EFLH} \times \%_{\text{SAVE}}) / 1,000$ | | | | | | |
| Definitions | | Unit = Single thermostat connected to a single boiler CAP _{INPUT} = Boiler input capacity (MBtu/hr) EFLH = Equivalent full load hours % _{SAVE} = Savings percentage with installation of a programmable thermostat 1,000 = Conversion 1,000 MBtu per MMBtu | | | | | | |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTIONS | | | | | | | | |
| Baseline Efficiency | | Assumed to be a non-programmable thermostat. | | | | | | |
| Efficient Measure | | Assumed to be a programmable thermostat with setbacks. | | | | | | |
| PARAMETER VALUES (DEEMED) | | | | | | | | |
| Measure/Type | | CAP _{INPUT} | EFLH ³⁰¹ | % _{SAVE} ³⁰² | Life (yrs) ³⁰³ | Cost (\$) ³⁰⁴ | | |
| All | | Actual | 1,600 | .068 | 8 | \$157 | | |
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | | |
| Program | | ISR | RR _E | RR _D | CF _S | CF _W | FR | SO |
| C&I Prescriptive | | 100% | 100% | N/A | N/A | N/A | 52% ³⁰⁵ | 1.6% ³⁰⁶ |

³⁰¹ EMT assumes 1,600 heating full load hours for all natural gas heating equipment. The value is comparable to the recommended value of 1,400 FLH for Massachusetts, which has a shorter heating season than Maine, determined in the following study: KEMA, Project 15 Prescriptive Gas – Final Program Evaluation Report, June 2012, Table ES 2.

³⁰² New York Technical Reference Manual, Commercial Programmable Thermostat ESF, revised 10.15.10. While designated as a percentage, the value should be used as a decimal in the savings algorithm.

³⁰³ Illinois Statewide Technical Reference Manual version 4.0, measure 4.4.18 – Small Commercial Programmable Thermostats. 100% persistence factor has been assumed for Maine due to the nature of a new measure and lack of data. <http://www.icc.illinois.gov/electricity/TRM.aspx>.

³⁰⁴ Based on program data 7/1/2016-8/30/2017. Supplier cost of unit + 20% mark up plus labor (\$67*1.2+\$77).

³⁰⁵ Nexant, Business Incentive Program Process Evaluation, Published October 31, 2016, page 58.

³⁰⁶ Ibid.

| Prescriptive HVAC: Boilers and Furnaces, Codes G9-G11, G01M, G07M, G08M, G15M, G16M, H2L, H3L, H1M, H2SM, H2MM, H3VSM, H3SM, H3MM | |
|--|--|
| Last Revised Date | 7/1/2017 |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | |
| Description | This measure involves the purchase and installation of a new high-efficiency natural gas furnace, boiler, or unit heater instead of a new code-compliant unit with equivalent capacity. |
| Energy Impacts | Natural Gas, Heating oil, Propane, Compressed Natural Gas |
| Sector | Commercial, Industrial |
| Program(s) | C&I Prescriptive Program, C&I Midstream |
| End-Use | Space Heating |
| Decision Type | Replace on burnout, New Construction |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVINGS ALGORITHMS (UNIT SAVINGS) | |
| Annual energy savings | $\Delta \text{MMBtu/yr} = \text{AHL} \times (1 / \text{Eff}_{\text{BASE}} - 1 / \text{Eff}_{\text{EE}})$ Where AHL can be calculated as follows: <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div> From Manual J: $\text{AHL} = 186,648 \times \text{DL} / (T_i - T_o) / 1,000,000$ </div> <div> From Equipment Capacity: $\text{AHL} = \text{CAP} \times \text{EFLH}_h / \text{OF} / 1,000,000$ </div> </div> |
| Definitions | Unit = Single boiler AHL = Annual Heat Load (MMBtu/y) Eff_{BASE} = Efficiency of baseline boiler (in Et, or Ec or AFUE) Eff_{EE} = Efficiency of new, efficient boiler (in Et, or Ec or AFUE) 186,648 = Population weighted average of TMY3 heating degree hours for Portland, Bangor, and Caribou, ME DL = Design Load from Manual J T_i = Indoor Design Temperature used in Manual J T_o = Outdoor Design Temperature used in Manual J 1,000,000 = BTU to MMBTU conversion OF = Oversize Factor CAP = Rated Input Capacity of Unit (Btu/hr) EFLH_h = Effective full load hours for heating |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTIONS | |
| Baseline Efficiency | A baseline boiler meets the minimum corresponding federal standards for Commercial Packaged Boilers. |
| Efficient Measure | An efficient boiler that meets or exceeds the EE_{EE} values as listed in Table 8 |

Prescriptive HVAC: Boilers and Furnaces, Codes G9-G11, G01M, G07M, G08M, G15M, G16M, H2L, H3L, H1M, H2SM, H2MM, H3VSM, H3SM, H3MM

PARAMETER VALUES (DEEMED)

| Measure/Type | AHL | | Eff _{BASE} ^{307,308} | | Eff _{EE} | Life (yrs) ³⁰⁹ | | Cost (\$) ³¹⁰ |
|----------------|------------|--------------------------------|--|--------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|
| Boiler | Calculated | | Table 8 | | Actual | 24 | | Table 8 |
| Furnace | | | | | | 18 | | |
| Measure/Type | DL | T _i | T _o | OF | Cap | | ELFH _h | |
| Boiler | Actual | Actual | Actual | 1.7 ³¹¹ | Actual | | 2661 ³¹² | |
| Furnace | | | | | | | | |
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | | |
| Program | ISR | RR _E ³¹³ | RR _D | CF _S | CF _W | FR ³¹⁴ | SO ³¹⁵ | |
| Downstream | 100% | 100% | N/A | N/A | N/A | 52% ³¹⁶ | 1.6% ³¹⁷ | |
| Midstream | | | | | | 25% ³¹⁸ | 0% ³¹⁹ | |

³⁰⁷ U.S. Federal Standards for Commercial Packaged Boilers. http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/product.aspx/productid/74.

³⁰⁸ U.S. Federal Standards for Commercial Warm Air Furnaces. http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/product.aspx/productid/71.

³⁰⁹ "Buildings Energy Data Book," 2011. Table 5.3.9. Published by the Department of Energy.

http://buildingsdatabook.eren.doe.gov/docs%5CDataBooks%5C2011_BEEDB.pdf,

³¹⁰ Incremental cost difference between quoted installation cost and efficient quoted installation cost.

³¹¹ DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY 10 CFR Parts 429 and 430 [Docket No. EERE-2012-BT-TP-0024] RIN: 1904-AC79 Energy Conservation Program for Consumer Products: Test Procedures for Residential Furnaces and Boilers. Page 62. https://energy.gov/sites/prod/files/2015/02/f19/2014_FB_TP_NOPR.pdf

³¹² 7,777 HDD multiplied by 24 hrs per day, divided by an average 70.14°F temperature difference between the 99% winter design outdoor air dry bulb and indoor design heating temperature of 72°F. The average temperature was the weighted average of Portland, Bangor, and Caribou.

³¹³ This program is new and has not yet been evaluated. Until the next program impact evaluation, EMT assumes a 100% RR.

³¹⁴ This program is new and has not yet been evaluated. Until the next program impact evaluation, EMT assumes the program overall values from the Business Incentive Program Evaluation (Opinion Dynamics Corporation, Evaluation of the Efficiency Maine Trust Business Program, November 30, 2011, Table 5-8. NTG Impacts for Program Overall).

³¹⁵ Opinion Dynamics Corporation, Evaluation of the Efficiency Maine Trust Business Program, November 30, 2011, Table 5-10. Participant Spillover.

³¹⁶ Nexant, Business Incentive Program Process Evaluation, Published October 31, 2016, page 58.

³¹⁷ Ibid.

³¹⁸ Measure not yet evaluated, assume default FR of 25%.

³¹⁹ Measure not yet evaluated, assume default SO of 0%.

Table 8 – Commercial Boiler and Furnace Efficiencies: Baseline Efficiencies and Efficient Minimums

| Equipment Type | Subcategory | Measure Code | CAP _{INPUT} (MBtu/hr) | Eff _{BASE} ³²⁰ | Eff _{EE} | Eff Ref ³²¹ | Incremental Cost ³²² | Cost Ref ³²³ |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Commercial Warm Air Furnace | Gas-fired—NG & Propane | G01M | < 300 | 80% Et | 90% AFUE | [1] | \$300 | [A] |
| Commercial Warm Air Furnace | Oil-Fired | H1M | < 300 | 81% Et | 82% Et | [1] | \$300 | [D] |
| Hot Water Commercial Packaged Boilers | Gas-fired—NG & Propane | G07M | < 350 | 82% AFUE | 95% AFUE | [2] | \$2,003 | [B] |
| | | G08M | ≥350 & < 500 | 80% Et | 95% Et | [3] | \$2,800 | [A] |
| | | G9 | ≥500 & < 1,000 | 80% Et | 95% Et | [3] | \$1,982+3.47 MBH | |
| | | G10 | ≥1,000 & < 2,500 | 80% Et | 95% Et | [3] | | |
| | | G11 | ≥2,500 | 82% Ec | 95% Et | [3] | | |
| Hot Water Commercial Packaged Boilers | Oil-fired | H2SM | < 200 | 84% AFUE | 87% AFUE | [2] | \$484 | [D] |
| | | | ≥200 & < 300 | 84% AFUE | 87% AFUE | [2] | \$927 | |
| | | H2MM | ≥300 & < 500 | 82% Et | 85% Et | [3] | \$983 | |
| | | H2L | ≥500 & < 1,000 | 82% Et | 85% Et | [3] | \$1,039 | |
| | | | ≥1,000 & < 2,500 | 82% Et | 87% Et | [3] | \$7,612 | |
| | | | ≥2,500 | 84% Ec | 87% Et | [3] | \$8,416 | |
| Steam Commercial Packaged Boilers | Gas-fired— NG & Propane | Inactive | < 300 | 80% AFUE | 82% AFUE | [2] | \$1,200 | [C] |
| | | | ≥300 & < 2,500 | 77% Et | 82% Et | [3] | \$3,125 | [C] |
| | | | ≥2,500 | 77% Et | 82% Et | [3] | \$3,800 | [C] |
| Steam Commercial Packaged Boilers | Oil-fired | H3VSM | < 200 | 82% AFUE | 85% AFUE | [2] | \$326 | [D] |
| | | H3SM | ≥200 & < 300 | 82% AFUE | 84% AFUE | [2] | \$592 | |
| | | H3MM | ≥300 & 7 < 500 | 81% Et | 84% Et | [3] | \$725 | |
| | | H3L | ≥500 & < 1,000 | 81% Et | 84% Et | [3] | \$858 | |
| | | | ≥1,000 & < 2,500 | 81% Et | 84% Et | [3] | \$2,826 | |
| | | | ≥2,500 | 81% Et | 85% Et | [3] | \$4,738 | |

³²⁰ Where AFUE is annual fuel utilization efficiency, Et is thermal efficiency and Ec is combustion efficiency as defined in 10 CFR 431.82.

³²¹ <https://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/text-idx?SID=0436f2692d9b501e05e0ec53e15c26d3&mc=true&tpl=/ecfrbrowse/Title10/10CIIsubchapD.tpl>

[1] 10 CFR 431.77

[2] 10 CFR 430.32

[3] 10 CFR 431.87

[4] IECC 2009, Table 503.2.3(4).

³²² Incremental cost difference between standard equipment and efficient equipment based on program data 7/1/2016-8/30/2017, online research (performed Aug-Oct 2017) and distributor interviews..

³²³ [A] Based on incremental cost assumptions in the Mid-Atlantic TRM Version 3.0. For boilers, the incremental cost is based on the on the correlation between equipment size and incremental cost in the “Lost Opportunity Incremental Cost” table.

[B] Based on sample of FY16 projects and survey of standard-efficiency boilers performed June 2016.

[C] Based on incremental cost gathered from various program participating contractors June 2015.

[D] Program estimates

| Equipment Type | Subcategory | Measure Code | CAP _{INPUT} (MBtu/hr) | Eff _{BASE} ³²⁰ | Eff _{EE} | Eff Ref ³²¹ | Incremental Cost ³²² | Cost Ref ³²³ |
|----------------------|--------------------------|--------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Infrared Unit Heater | Gas-Fired – NG & Propane | G15M | All | 80% | n/a | [4] | \$425 | [B] |
| Warm Air Unit Heater | Gas-Fired – NG & Propane | G16M | All | 80% | 93% Et | [4] | \$525 | [B] |

| Electronically Commutated Supply Fan Motor (ECMSF) | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|-----------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Last Revised Date | 7/1/2017 | | | | | | |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | | | | | | | |
| Description | This measure involves the installation of an electronically commutated motor (ECM) or brushless permanent magnet motor (BLPM) as part of a new high efficiency HVAC system or as a new replacement for an existing HVAC fan motor. | | | | | | |
| Primary Energy Impact | Electric | | | | | | |
| Sector | Commercial | | | | | | |
| Program(s) | C&I Prescriptive Program, C&I Midstream | | | | | | |
| End-Use | HVAC Motors | | | | | | |
| Project Type | New Construction or Retrofit | | | | | | |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVINGS ALGORITHMS (UNIT SAVINGS) | | | | | | | |
| Demand Savings | ΔkW | = 0.16 summer kW ³²⁴ | | | | | |
| | ΔkW | = 0.18 winter kW ³²⁵ | | | | | |
| Annual Energy Savings | $\Delta kWh/yr$ | = 387.8 for heating only ³²⁶ | | | | | |
| | | = 73.0 for cooling only ³²⁷ | | | | | |
| | | = 460.8 for heating and cooling | | | | | |
| Definitions | Unit | = 1 HVAC fan motor | | | | | |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTIONS | | | | | | | |
| Baseline Efficiency | The baseline is an HVAC fan with a permanent split capacitor (PSC) motor | | | | | | |
| Efficient Measure | The high-efficiency case involves an HVAC fan with an electronically commutated motor or brushless permanent magnet motor | | | | | | |
| PARAMETER VALUES | | | | | | | |
| Measure/Type | Life (yrs) | Cost (\$) | | | | | |
| All | 18 ³²⁸ | \$200 ³²⁹ | | | | | |
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | |
| Program | ISR | RR _E | RR _D | CF _S | CF _W | FR ³³⁰ | SO ³³¹ |
| C&I Prescriptive | 100% | 100% | 100% | Table 29 ³³² | Table 29 ³³³ | 25% | 0% |

³²⁴ UI/Eversource C&LM Program Savings Documentation – 2017, Page 145.

³²⁵ UI/Eversource C&LM Program Savings Documentation – 2017, Page 145.

³²⁶ Calculated using equations from UI/Eversource C&LM Program Savings Documentation – 2017, Page 145, using weighted average Maine HDD of 7,777.

³²⁷ Calculated using equations from UI/Eversource C&LM Program Savings Documentation – 2017, Page 145, using weighted average Maine CDD of 480.

³²⁸ UI/Eversource C&LM Program Savings Documentation – 2017, Page 327.

³²⁹ Estimated incremental cost for efficient motor only. Sachs and Smith, 2003, Page 12.

³³⁰ Measure not yet evaluated, assume default FR of 25%.

³³¹ Measure not yet evaluated, assume default FR of 25%.

³³² See Appendix C. Reference impact factors for “VFDs on Supply Fan”.

³³³ Ibid.

| Electronically Commutated Hot Water Smart Pump (ECMHW) | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|----|
| Last Revised Date | | 7/1/2017 | | | | | | |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | | | | | | | | |
| Description | | This measure involves the installation of hot water circulation pumps with electronically commutated (EC) motors, and the installation of controls to modulate the speed of the circulation pump to match the system load. | | | | | | |
| Primary Energy Impact | | Electric | | | | | | |
| Sector | | Commercial | | | | | | |
| Program(s) | | C&I Prescriptive Program, C&I Midstream | | | | | | |
| End-Use | | Hot Water Heating | | | | | | |
| Project Type | | Retrofit | | | | | | |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVINGS ALGORITHMS (UNIT SAVINGS) | | | | | | | | |
| Demand Savings | | ΔkW = $(\Delta kWh/yr)/Hours$ | | | | | | |
| Annual Energy Savings | | $\Delta kWh/yr$ = See Table 9 | | | | | | |
| Definitions | | Unit = 1 Circulation pump motor | | | | | | |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTIONS | | | | | | | | |
| Baseline Efficiency | | The baseline is a permanent split-capacitor motor | | | | | | |
| Efficient Measure | | The high-efficiency case involves an electronically commutated motor and controls to reduce motor speed with reduced heating load | | | | | | |
| PARAMETER VALUES | | | | | | | | |
| Measure/Type | | Hours | | | | Life (yrs) | Cost | |
| All | | 4,858 ³³⁴ | | | | 20 | Table 9 | |
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | | |
| Program | | ISR | RR _E | RR _D | CF _S | CF _W | FR ³³⁵ | SO |
| C&I Prescriptive | | 100% | 100% | 100% | Table 29 ³³⁶ | Table 29 ³³⁷ | 25% | 0% |

Table 9 - Savings and Measure Cost for EC Circulator Pump Motors

| Rated Current (Amps) | Energy Savings ³³⁸ (kWh/yr) | Measure Cost ³³⁹ (\$) |
|----------------------|---|----------------------------------|
| < 1.25 | 426 | \$368 |
| 1.25 – 5 | 804 | \$758 |
| > 5 | 2,586 | \$1,018 |

³³⁴ Annual hours per year from October 1 through April 30 where the dry bulb temperature is less than 55°F. Weighted average of Portland, Bangor, and Caribou.

³³⁵ Measure not yet evaluated, assume default FR of 25% and SO of 0%.

³³⁶ See Appendix C. Reference impact factors for “VFDs on Heating Hot Water Pumps”.

³³⁷ Ibid.

³³⁸ Efficiency Vermont TRM User Manual No. 2014-87 (3/16/2015), page 29. Adjusted by ratio of hours from ME to VT (4858 to 4684).

³³⁹ From Efficiency Vermont TRM User Manual No. 2014-87 (3/16/2015), page 29.

Refrigeration Equipment

| Prescriptive Refrigeration: Evaporator Fan Motor Control for Cooler/Freezer, Code R10 | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|-----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|------------------------|
| Last Revised Date | | 7/1/2013 | | | | | | | |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | | | | | | | | | |
| Description | | This measure involves the installation of evaporator fan controls on refrigeration systems (coolers and freezers). These systems save energy by turning off cooler/freezer evaporator fans while the compressor is not running, and instead turning on an energy-efficient 35 watt fan to provide air circulation. This measure is not eligible for systems already equipped with electronically commutated motor (ECM) evaporator fan motors. | | | | | | | |
| Primary Energy Impact | | Electric | | | | | | | |
| Sector | | Commercial | | | | | | | |
| Program(s) | | C&I Prescriptive Program | | | | | | | |
| End-Use | | Refrigeration | | | | | | | |
| Project Type | | New construction, Retrofit | | | | | | | |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVINGS ALGORITHMS (UNIT SAVINGS) | | | | | | | | | |
| Demand Savings | | $\Delta kW = (kW_{EVAP} \times n_{EVAP} - kW_{CIRC}) \times (1 - DC_{COMP}) \times BF$ | | | | | | | |
| Annual Energy Savings | | $\Delta kWh/yr = (kW_{EVAP} \times n_{EVAP} - kW_{CIRC}) \times (1 - DC_{COMP}) \times \text{Hours} \times BF$ | | | | | | | |
| Definitions | | Unit = 1 evaporator fan control kW_{EVAP} = Connected load kW of each evaporator fan (kW) n_{EVAP} = Number of controlled evaporator fans kW_{CIRC} = Connected load kW of the circulating fan (kW) DC_{COMP} = Duty cycle of the compressor BF = Bonus factor for reduced cooling load from replacing the evaporator fan with a lower wattage circulating fan when the compressor is not running Hours = Annual operating hours (hrs/yr) | | | | | | | |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTIONS | | | | | | | | | |
| Baseline Efficiency | | A refrigeration system equipped with either shaded-pole or PSC evaporator fans motors and no evaporator fan control. | | | | | | | |
| Efficient Measure | | A refrigeration system with an evaporator fan control and a smaller wattage circulating fan. | | | | | | | |
| PARAMETER VALUES | | | | | | | | | |
| Measure/Type | | kW_{EVAP} | n_{EVAP} | kW_{CIRC} | DC_{COMP} | BF | Hours | Life (yrs) | Cost (\$) |
| All | | 0.123 ³⁴⁰ | Actual | 0.035 ³⁴¹ | 50% ³⁴² | Table 38 ³⁴³ | Actual | 10 ³⁴⁴ | \$2,254 ³⁴⁵ |
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | | | |
| Program | | ISR | RR_E | RR_D | CF_S | CF_W | FR | SO | |
| C&I Prescriptive | | 100% | 112.2% ³⁴⁶ | 100% ³⁴⁶ | Table 29 ³⁴⁷ | Table 29 ³⁴⁷ | 52% ³⁴⁸ | 1.6% ³⁴⁹ | |

³⁴⁰ Based on a weighted average of 80% shaded-pole motors at 132 watts and 20% PSC motors at 88 watts. This weighted average is based on discussions with refrigeration contractors and is considered conservative (market penetration estimated at approximately 10%).

³⁴¹ Wattage of fan is used by Freeaire and Cooltrol.

³⁴² A 50% duty cycle is assumed based on examination of duty cycle assumptions from Richard Traverse, Freeaire Refrigeration (35%-65%), Cooltrol (35%-65%), Natural Cool (70%), Pacific Gas & Electric (58%). Also, manufacturers typically size equipment with a built-in 67% duty factor and contractors typically add another 25% safety factor, which results in a 50% overall duty factor.

³⁴³ See Appendix F.

³⁴⁴ ERS, Measure Life Study Prepared for the Massachusetts Joint Utilities, November 2005, Table 1-1.

³⁴⁵ Average incremental cost for this measure has not changed since the Efficiency Maine TRM revision 8/15 2010 based on interviews with suppliers in Maine and the review of Efficiency Maine projects by GDS Associates, December 2011.

³⁴⁶ Nexant, Business Incentive Program Impact Evaluation, unpublished draft, May 2017.

³⁴⁷ See Appendix C.

³⁴⁸ Nexant, Business Incentive Program Process Evaluation, Published October 31, 2016, page 58.

³⁴⁹ Ibid.

| Prescriptive Refrigeration: Door Heater Controls for Cooler/Freezer, Code R20 | | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| Last Revised Date | 7/1/2013 | | | | | | |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | | | | | | | |
| Description | This measure involves the installation of door heater controls on refrigeration systems (coolers and freezers). Door heater controls save energy by allowing “on-off” control of the door heaters based on either the relative humidity in the space or the door conductivity level. Door heater controls are not applicable to freezers or coolers with “zero energy” doors. | | | | | | |
| Primary Energy Impact | Electric | | | | | | |
| Sector | Commercial | | | | | | |
| Program(s) | C&I Prescriptive Program | | | | | | |
| End-Use | Refrigeration | | | | | | |
| Project Type | New construction, Retrofit | | | | | | |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVINGS ALGORITHMS (UNIT SAVINGS) | | | | | | | |
| Demand Savings | $\Delta kW = kW_{door} \times n_{door} \times BF$ | | | | | | |
| Annual Energy Savings | $\Delta kWh/yr = kW_{door} \times n_{door} \times BF \times \text{Hours} \times SF$ | | | | | | |
| Definitions | Unit = 1 door heater control kW_{door} = Connected load kW of a typical reach-in cooler or freezer door with a heater (kW) n_{door} = Number of doors controlled by sensor BF = Bonus factor for reduced cooling load from eliminating heat generated by the door heater from entering the cooler or freezer SF = Demand savings factor to account for cycling of door heaters after installation of controls Hours = Annual operating hours (hrs/yr) | | | | | | |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTIONS | | | | | | | |
| Baseline Efficiency | A cooler or freezer glass door that is continuously heated to prevent condensation. | | | | | | |
| Efficient Measure | A cooler or freezer glass door with either a humidity-based or conductivity-based door-heater control. | | | | | | |
| PARAMETER VALUES | | | | | | | |
| Measure/Type | kW_{door}^{350} | n_{door} | BF | SF | Hours | Life (yrs) | Cost (\$) |
| All | 0.075 for cooler 0.200 for freezer | Actual | Table 38 ³⁵¹ | Table 10 | 8,760 ³⁵² | 10 ³⁵³ | \$300 ³⁵⁴ |
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | |
| Program | ISR | RR_E | RR_D | CF_S | CF_W | FR | SO |
| C&I Prescriptive | 100% | 112.2% ³⁵⁵ | 100% ³⁵⁵ | Table 29 ³⁵⁶ | Table 29 ³⁵⁶ | 52% ³⁵⁷ | 1.6% ³⁵⁸ |

³⁵⁰ Based on range of wattages from two manufacturers and metered data (cooler 50-130 W, freezer 200-320 W).

³⁵¹ See Appendix F.

³⁵² Refrigeration equipment is assumed to operate continuously.

³⁵³ ERS, Measure Life Study Prepared for the Massachusetts Joint Utilities, November 2005, Table 1-1.

³⁵⁴ Average incremental cost for this measure has not changed since the Efficiency Maine TRM revision 8/15 2010 based on interviews with suppliers in Maine and the review of Efficiency Maine projects by GDS Associates, December 2011.

³⁵⁵ Nexant, Business Incentive Program Impact Evaluation, unpublished draft, May 2017.

³⁵⁶ See Appendix C.

³⁵⁷ Nexant, Business Incentive Program Process Evaluation, Published October 31, 2016, page 58.

³⁵⁸ Ibid.

Table 10 – Savings Factor for Door Heater Controls³⁵⁹

| Control Type | SF |
|--------------|--------------------|
| Conductivity | 80% ³⁶⁰ |
| Humidity | 55% ³⁶¹ |

³⁵⁹ Based on the average of standard reciprocating and discus compressor efficiencies with Saturated Suction Temperatures of -20°F, 20°F, and 45°F, respectively, and a condensing temperature of 90°F, and manufacturers assumption that 65% of heat generated by door enters the refrigerated case ($1 + 0.65/\text{COP}$).

³⁶⁰ Door Miser savings claim.

³⁶¹ R.H. Travers' Freeaire Refrigeration, estimated savings.

| Prescriptive Refrigeration: Zero Energy Doors for Coolers/Freezers, Codes R30, R31 | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|-------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| Last Revised Date | | 7/1/2013 | | | | | | |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | | | | | | | | |
| Description | | This measure involves the purchase and installation of zero energy doors for refrigeration systems (coolers and freezers) instead of standard doors for new construction or retrofit projects. The zero energy doors consist of two or three panes of glass and include a low-conductivity filler gas (e.g., argon) and low-emissivity glass coatings. Standard cooler or freezer doors are glass doors that typically have electric resistance heaters within the door frames to prevent condensation from forming on the glass and to prevent frost formation on door frames. | | | | | | |
| Primary Energy Impact | | Electric | | | | | | |
| Sector | | Commercial | | | | | | |
| Program(s) | | C&I Prescriptive Program | | | | | | |
| End-Use | | Refrigeration | | | | | | |
| Project Type | | New construction, Retrofit | | | | | | |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVINGS ALGORITHMS (UNIT SAVINGS) | | | | | | | | |
| Demand Savings | | $\Delta kW = kW_{door} \times BF$ | | | | | | |
| Annual Energy Savings | | $\Delta kWh/yr = kW_{door} \times BF \times \text{Hours}$ | | | | | | |
| Definitions | | Unit = 1 zero energy door kW_{door} = Connected load kW of a typical reach-in cooler or freezer door with a heater (kW) BF = Bonus factor for reduced cooling load from eliminating heat generated by the door heater from entering the cooler or freezer Hours = Annual operating hours (hrs/yr) | | | | | | |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTIONS | | | | | | | | |
| Baseline Efficiency | | A cooler or freezer glass door that is continuously heated to prevent condensation. | | | | | | |
| Efficient Measure | | A cooler or freezer glass door that prevents condensation with multiple panes of glass, inert gas, and low-e coatings instead of using electrically generated heat. | | | | | | |
| PARAMETER VALUES | | | | | | | | |
| Measure/Type | | kW_{door}^{362} | BF | Hours | Life (yrs) | Cost (\$) | | |
| Cooler (R30) | | 0.075 | Table 38 ³⁶³ | 8,760 | 10 ³⁶⁴ | \$275 ³⁶⁵ | | |
| Freezer (R31) | | 0.200 | Table 38 ³⁶³ | 8,760 | 10 ³⁶⁴ | \$800 ³⁶⁵ | | |
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | | |
| Program | | ISR | RR_E | RR_D | CF_S | CF_W | FR | SO |
| C&I Prescriptive | | 100% | 112.2% ³⁶⁶ | 101% ³⁶⁶ | Table 29 ³⁶⁷ | Table 29 ³⁶⁷ | 52% ³⁶⁸ | 1.6% ³⁶⁹ |

³⁶² Based on range of wattages from two manufacturers and metered data (cooler 50-130 W, freezer 200-320 W).

³⁶³ See Appendix D: Parameter Values Reference Tables.

³⁶⁴ ERS, Measure Life Study Prepared for the Massachusetts Joint Utilities, November 2005, Table 1-1.

³⁶⁵ Average incremental cost for this measure has not changed since the Efficiency Maine TRM revision 8/15 2010 based on interviews with suppliers in Maine and the review of Efficiency Maine projects by GDS Associates, December 2011

³⁶⁶ Nexant, Business Incentive Program Impact Evaluation, unpublished draft, May 2017.

³⁶⁷ See Appendix B.

³⁶⁸ Nexant, Business Incentive Program Process Evaluation, Published October 31, 2016, page 58.

³⁶⁹ Ibid.

| Prescriptive Refrigeration: High-Efficiency Evaporative Fan Motors, Codes R40, R41, R42 | | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------------|---|----------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|-----------|
| Last Revised Date | | 7/1/2013 | | | | | |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | | | | | | | |
| Description | | This measure involves the purchase and installation of a new high-efficiency brushless DC fan electronically commutated motor (ECM) on a refrigeration system, instead of conventional, shaded-pole or permanent split capacitor (PSC) evaporator fan motor. Refrigeration systems typically contain two to six evaporator fans that run nearly 24 hours per day, 365 days a year. If the system has single-phase power, electricity usage can be reduced by choosing brushless DC, or ECM, motors. This measure is not eligible for high-efficiency motors installed in new construction walk-in coolers and freezer applications, as high-efficiency motors are required by federal codes and standards. ³⁷⁰ | | | | | |
| Primary Energy Impact | | Electric | | | | | |
| Sector | | Commercial | | | | | |
| Program(s) | | C&I Prescriptive Program | | | | | |
| End-Use | | Refrigeration | | | | | |
| Project Type | | New construction (refrigerated cases only), Retrofit (refrigerated cases and walk-in coolers/freezers) | | | | | |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVINGS ALGORITHMS (UNIT SAVINGS) | | | | | | | |
| Demand Savings | | $\Delta kW = (kW_{BASE} - kW_{BDC}) \times BF$ | | | | | |
| Annual Energy Savings | | $\Delta kWh/yr = (kW_{BASE} - kW_{BDC}) \times \text{Hours} \times DC_{EVAP} \times BF$ | | | | | |
| Definitions | | Unit = 1 ECM fan kW_{BASE} = Connected load kW of the baseline evaporator fan (kW) kW_{BDC} = Connected load kW of a brushless DC evaporator fan (kW) DC_{Evap} = Duty cycle of the evaporator fan (%) BF = Bonus factor for reduced cooling load Hours = Annual operating hours (hrs/yr) | | | | | |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTIONS | | | | | | | |
| Baseline Efficiency | | A refrigeration system equipped with either shaded-pole or PSC evaporator fan motor. | | | | | |
| Efficient Measure | | A refrigeration system with a brushless DC fan ECM. | | | | | |
| PARAMETER VALUES | | | | | | | |
| Measure/Type | kW_{BASE} ³⁷¹ | kW_{BDC} ³⁷² | DC_{Evap} ³⁷³ | BF ³⁷⁴ | Hours ³⁷⁵ | Life (yrs) ³⁷⁶ | Cost (\$) |
| Walk-in Cooler/Freezer (R40) | 0.123 | 0.040 | 100% for cooler, 94% for freezer | Table 38 | 8,760 | 15 | Table 11 |
| Refrigerated Warehouse (R41) | 0.123 | 0.040 | 100% for cooler, 94% for freezer | Table 38 | 8,760 | 15 | Table 11 |
| Merchandise Case (R42) | 0.123 | 0.040 | 100% for cooler, 94% for freezer | Table 38 | 8,760 | 15 | Table 11 |

³⁷⁰ Energy Independence and Securities Act of 2007, Section 312.

³⁷¹ Based on a weighted average of 80% shaded-pole motors at 132 watts and 20% PSC motors at 88 watts. This weighted average is based on discussions with refrigeration contractors and is considered conservative (market penetration estimated at approximately 10%).

³⁷² Based on research for typical power demand high-efficiency evaporator fan motors for refrigeration applications (40 Watts).

³⁷³ A evaporator fan in a cooler runs all the time, but a freezer runs only 8,273 hours per year due to defrost cycles (4 20-min defrost cycles per day).

³⁷⁴ See Appendix D: Parameter Values Reference Tables.

³⁷⁵ Refrigeration equipment is assumed to operate continuously.

³⁷⁶ ERS, Measure Life Study Prepared for the Massachusetts Joint Utilities, November 2005, Table 1-1.

| Prescriptive Refrigeration: High-Efficiency Evaporative Fan Motors, Codes R40, R41, R42 | | | | | | | |
|---|------|-----------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | |
| Program | ISR | RR _E | RR _D | CF _S | CF _W | FR | SO |
| C&I Prescriptive | 100% | 112.2% ³⁷⁷ | 100% ³⁷⁷ | Table 29 ³⁷⁸ | Table 29 ³⁷⁸ | 52% ³⁷⁹ | 1.6% ³⁸⁰ |

Table 11 – Measure Costs for Evaporative Fan Motors³⁸¹

| Measure Code | Application | Measure Cost |
|--------------|--------------------------|--------------|
| R40 | Walk-in Coolers/Freezers | \$60 |
| R41 | Refrigerated Warehouses | \$135 |
| R42 | Merchandise Cases | \$25 |

³⁷⁷ Nexant, Business Incentive Program Impact Evaluation, unpublished draft, May 2017.

³⁷⁸ See Appendix B.

³⁷⁹ Nexant, Business Incentive Program Process Evaluation, Published October 31, 2016, page 58.

³⁸⁰ Ibid.

³⁸¹ Average incremental cost for this measure has not changed since the Efficiency Maine TRM revision 8/15 2010 based on interviews with suppliers in Maine and the review of Efficiency Maine projects by GDS Associates, December 2011.

| Prescriptive Refrigeration: Floating-Head Pressure Controls, Codes R50, R51, R52 | | | | | | | |
|--|------|--|---------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| Last Revised Date | | 7/1/2013 | | | | | |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | | | | | | | |
| Description | | This measure involves the purchase and installation of a “floating-head pressure control” condenser system on a refrigeration system. The floating-head pressure control changes the condensing temperatures in response to different outdoor temperatures so that as the outdoor temperature drops, the compressor does not have to work as hard to reject heat from the cooler or freezer. | | | | | |
| Primary Energy Impact | | Electric | | | | | |
| Sector | | Commercial | | | | | |
| Program(s) | | C&I Prescriptive Program | | | | | |
| End-Use | | Refrigeration | | | | | |
| Project Type | | New construction, Retrofit | | | | | |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVINGS ALGORITHMS (UNIT SAVINGS) | | | | | | | |
| Demand Savings | | $\Delta kW = HP_{COMPRESSOR} \times \Delta kWh/hp / FLH$ | | | | | |
| Annual Energy Savings | | $\Delta kWh/yr = HP_{COMPRESSOR} \times \Delta kWh/hp$ | | | | | |
| Definitions | | $HP_{COMPRESSOR}$ = Compressor horsepower (hp) $\Delta kWh/hp$ = Average kWh savings per hp (kWh/yr/hp) FLH = Full load hours (hrs/yr) | | | | | |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTIONS | | | | | | | |
| Baseline Efficiency | | A refrigeration system without a floating-head pressure control system. | | | | | |
| Efficient Measure | | A refrigeration system with a floating-head pressure control system. | | | | | |
| PARAMETER VALUES | | | | | | | |
| Measure/Type | | $HP_{COMPRESSOR}$ | $\Delta kWh/hp$ | FLH | Life (yrs) | Cost (\$) | |
| All | | Actual | Table 12 | 7,221 ³⁸² | 10 ³⁸³ | Table 13 | |
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | |
| Program | ISR | RR_E | RR_D | CF_S | CF_W | FR | SO |
| C&I Prescriptive | 100% | 112.2% ³⁸⁴ | 100% ³⁸⁴ | Table 29 ³⁸⁵ | Table 29 ³⁸⁵ | 52% ³⁸⁶ | 1.6% ³⁸⁷ |

³⁸² The refrigeration is assumed to be in operation every day of the year, while savings from floating-head pressure control are expected to occur when the temperature outside is below 75°F, or 8,125 hours. However, due to varied levels of savings at different temperatures, the full load hours are assumed to be 7,221 hours.

³⁸³ ERS, Measure Life Study Prepared for the Massachusetts Joint Utilities, November 2005, Table 1-1.

³⁸⁴ Nexant, Business Incentive Program Impact Evaluation, unpublished draft, May 2017.

³⁸⁵ See Appendix B.

³⁸⁶ Nexant, Business Incentive Program Process Evaluation, Published October 31, 2016, page 58.

³⁸⁷ Ibid.

Table 12 – Floating-Head Pressure Control kWh Savings per Horsepower (kWh/yr/hp)³⁸⁸

| Compressor Type | Range of Saturated Suction Temperature (SST) | | |
|------------------------|---|---|--|
| | Low Temperature (–35°F to –5°F SST) (Ref. Temp –20°F SST) | Medium Temperature (0°F to 30°F SST) (Ref. Temp 20°F SST) | High Temperature (35°F to 55°F SST) (Ref. Temp 45°F SST) |
| Standard Reciprocating | 695 | 727 | 657 |
| Discus | 607 | 598 | 694 |
| Scroll | 669 | 599 | 509 |

Table 13 – Measure Costs for Floating-Head Pressure Control³⁸⁹

| Measure Code | Description | Measure/Incremental Cost |
|--------------|---------------------|--------------------------|
| R50 | Controlling 1 Coil | \$518 |
| R51 | Controlling 2 Coils | \$734 |
| R52 | Controlling 3 Coils | \$984 |

³⁸⁸ Average savings values are based on previous EMT projects.

³⁸⁹ Average incremental cost for this measure has not changed since the Efficiency Maine TRM revision 8/15 2010 based on interviews with suppliers in Maine and the review of Efficiency Maine projects by GDS Associates, December 2011.

| Prescriptive Refrigeration: Discus & Scroll Compressors, Codes R60, R61, R62, R63, R70, R71, R72, R73, R74 | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|-----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| Last Revised Date | | 7/1/2013 | | | | | | |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | | | | | | | | |
| Description | | This measure involves the purchase and installation of a high-efficiency discus or scroll compressor in a refrigeration system. The high-efficiency discus or scroll compressor increases operating efficiency and reduces energy consumption of the system. | | | | | | |
| Primary Energy Impact | | Electric | | | | | | |
| Sector | | Commercial | | | | | | |
| Program(s) | | C&I Prescriptive Program | | | | | | |
| End-Use | | Refrigeration | | | | | | |
| Project Type | | New construction, Retrofit | | | | | | |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVINGS ALGORITHMS (UNIT SAVINGS) | | | | | | | | |
| Demand Savings | | $\Delta kW = HP_{\text{COMPRESSOR}} \times \Delta kWh/hp / FLH$ | | | | | | |
| Annual Energy Savings | | $\Delta kWh/yr = HP_{\text{COMPRESSOR}} \times \Delta kWh/hp$ | | | | | | |
| Definitions | | Unit = 1 compressor $HP_{\text{COMPRESSOR}}$ = Compressor horsepower (hp) $\Delta kWh/hp$ = kWh per HP (kWh/yr/hp) FLH = Full load hours (hrs/yr) | | | | | | |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTIONS | | | | | | | | |
| Baseline Efficiency | | Standard hermetic or semi-hermetic reciprocating compressor. | | | | | | |
| Efficient Measure | | High-efficiency discus or scroll compressor. | | | | | | |
| PARAMETER VALUES | | | | | | | | |
| Measure/Type | | $HP_{\text{COMPRESSOR}}$ | $\Delta kWh/hp$ | FLH | Life (yrs) | Cost (\$) | | |
| All | | Actual | Table 14 | 5,858 ³⁹⁰ | 15 ³⁹¹ | Table 15 | | |
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | | |
| Program | | ISR | RR_E | RR_D | CF_S | CF_W | FR | SO |
| C&I Prescriptive | | 100% | 112.2% ³⁹² | 100% ³⁹² | Table 29 ³⁹³ | Table 29 ³⁹³ | 52% ³⁹⁴ | 1.6% ³⁹⁵ |

³⁹⁰ Derived from Washington Electric Coop data by West Hill Energy Consultants. The freezer is assumed to always be plugged in but because of compressor and fan cycling the full load hours are 5,858 hours.

³⁹¹ ERS, Measure Life Study Prepared for the Massachusetts Joint Utilities, November 2005, Table 1-1.

³⁹² Nexant, Business Incentive Program Impact Evaluation, unpublished draft, May 2017.

³⁹³ See Appendix B.

³⁹⁴ Nexant, Business Incentive Program Process Evaluation, Published October 31, 2016, page 58.

³⁹⁵ Ibid.

Table 14 – Compressor kWh Savings per Horsepower (kWh/hp)³⁹⁶

| Compressor Type | Temperature Range | | |
|-----------------|---|---|--|
| | Low Temperature (–35°F to –5°F SST) (Ref. Temp –20°F SST) | Medium Temperature (0°F to 30°F SST) (Ref. Temp 20°F SST) | High Temperature (35°F to 55°F SST) (Ref. Temp 45°F SST) |
| Discus | 517 | 601 | 652 |
| Scroll | 208 | 432 | 363 |

Table 15 – Measure Costs for Discus and Scroll Compressors³⁹⁷

| Equipment Type | Measure Code | Size (hp) | Measure/Incremental Cost |
|----------------|--------------|-----------|--------------------------|
| Discus | R60 | 3 | \$650 |
| | R61 | 4 | \$765 |
| | R62 | 5 | \$900 |
| | R63 | 6 | \$1,330 |
| Scroll | R70 | 2 | \$400 |
| | R71 | 3 | \$525 |
| | R72 | 4 | \$600 |
| | R73 | 5 | \$1,000 |
| | R74 | 6 | \$1,300 |

³⁹⁶ Savings calculations summarized in <Compressor kWh compared.xls>; calculations performed in spreadsheet tool <Refrigeration Compressor Evaluation Vers. 2.01 July 2003.xls>.

³⁹⁷ Average incremental cost for this measure has not changed since the Efficiency Maine TRM revision 8/15 2010 based on interviews with suppliers in Maine and the review of Efficiency Maine projects by GDS Associates, December 2011.

| Prescriptive Refrigeration: ENERGY STAR® Reach-in Coolers and Freezers, Code R80 | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|-----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--|
| Last Revised Date | | 7/1/2013 | | | | | | | |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | | | | | | | | | |
| Description | | This measure involves the purchase and installation of a new ENERGY STAR®-qualified commercial cooler (refrigerator) or freezer instead of a new standard-efficiency cooler or freezer. | | | | | | | |
| Primary Energy Impact | | Electric | | | | | | | |
| Sector | | Commercial | | | | | | | |
| Program(s) | | C&I Prescriptive Program | | | | | | | |
| End-Use | | Refrigeration | | | | | | | |
| Project Type | | New construction, Retrofit | | | | | | | |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVINGS ALGORITHMS (UNIT SAVINGS) | | | | | | | | | |
| Demand Savings | | $\Delta kW = \Delta kWh_{UNIT} / FLH$ | | | | | | | |
| Annual Energy Savings | | $\Delta kWh/yr = \Delta kWh_{UNIT}$ | | | | | | | |
| Definitions | | Unit = 1 reach-in cooler or freezer | | | | | | | |
| | | ΔkWh_{UNIT} = Average annual energy savings from high-efficiency unit (kWh/yr) | | | | | | | |
| | | FLH = Full load hours (hrs/yr) | | | | | | | |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTIONS | | | | | | | | | |
| Baseline Efficiency | | Commercial reach-in refrigerators or freezers of at least 15 cubic feet interior volume that meet the Federal Code requirements for maximum daily energy consumption (MDEC). | | | | | | | |
| Efficient Measure | | Commercial reach-in refrigerators or freezers of at least 15 cubic feet interior volume that meet ENERGY STAR® MDEC requirements. | | | | | | | |
| PARAMETER VALUES | | | | | | | | | |
| Measure/Type | | ΔkWh_{UNIT} | | FLH | | Life (yrs) | | Cost (\$) | |
| All | | Table 16 | | 5,858 ³⁹⁸ | | 12 ³⁹⁹ | | 155 ⁴⁰⁰ | |
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | | | |
| Program | | ISR | RR _E | RR _D | CF _S | CF _W | FR | SO | |
| C&I Prescriptive | | 100% | 112.2% ⁴⁰¹ | 100% ⁴⁰¹ | Table 29 ⁴⁰² | Table 29 ⁴⁰² | 52% ⁴⁰³ | 1.6% ⁴⁰⁴ | |

³⁹⁸ Derived from Washington Electric Coop data by West Hill Energy Consultants. The freezer is assumed to always be plugged in but because of compressor and fan cycling the full load hours are 5,858 hours.

³⁹⁹ Environmental Protection Agency, "Savings Calculator for ENERGY STAR Qualified Commercial Kitchen Equipment." Accessed April 8, 2013.

⁴⁰⁰ Representative cost of participating units based on the following cost data from Vermont TRM 2014: Solid Ref/Freezer Tier 1 \$95 one door; \$125 two door; \$155 three door – Tier 2 is TWICE Tier 1; Glass Ref Tier 1 \$120 one door; \$155 two door; \$195 three door – Tier 2 is TWICE Tier 1; Glass Freezer only 1 Tier \$142 < 15 cu ft; \$166 15–50 cu ft; \$407 > 50 cu ft.

⁴⁰¹ Nexant, Business Incentive Program Impact Evaluation, unpublished draft, May 2017.

⁴⁰² See Appendix B.

⁴⁰³ Nexant, Business Incentive Program Process Evaluation, Published October 31, 2016, page 58.

⁴⁰⁴ Ibid.

Table 16 – Stipulated Annual Energy Consumption and Savings for Commercial Reach-in Coolers and Freezers

| Equipment Type | Type | Internal Volume (cubic feet) | Annual Energy Consumption per Unit (kWh/yr) | | Annual Energy Savings per Unit (kWh/yr) |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|---|
| | | | Federal Code ⁴⁰⁵ⁱ | Qualifying Products ⁴⁰⁶ | |
| Coolers/Refrigerators | Solid Door (VCS.SC.M) | $15 \leq V < 30$ | 907 | 655 | 252 |
| | | $30 \leq V < 50$ | 1226 | 971 | 255 |
| | | $50 \leq V$ | 1637 | 1174 | 463 |
| | Glass Door (VCT.SC.M) | $15 \leq V < 30$ | 1135 | 819 | 316 |
| | | $30 \leq V < 50$ | 1774 | 1212 | 562 |
| | | $50 \leq V$ | 2595 | 1946 | 649 |
| Freezers | Solid Door (VCS.SC.L) | $15 \leq V < 30$ | 2310 | 1624 | 686 |
| | | $30 \leq V < 50$ | 3716 | 3138 | 578 |
| | | $50 \leq V$ | 5522 | 4506 | 1016 |
| | Glass Door (VCT.SC.L) | $15 \leq V < 30$ | 3458 | 2172 | 1286 |
| | | $30 \leq V < 50$ | 5311 | 3540 | 1771 |
| | | $50 \leq V$ | 7692 | 5218 | 2474 |

Note: V = internal volume (ft³)

⁴⁰⁵ Derived from Department of Energy Docket Number EERE-2010_BT-STD_0003; Energy Conservation Program: Energy Conservation Standards for Commercial Refrigeration Equipment, Table I.1

⁴⁰⁶ Derived from ENERGY STAR Program Requirements: Product Specification for Commercial Refrigerators and Freezers, Eligibility Criteria. DRAFT 1: Version 4.0, Table 1

| Prescriptive Refrigeration: ENERGY STAR® Commercial Ice Makers, Code R90 | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|-----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--|
| Last Revised Date | | 7/1/2013 | | | | | | | |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | | | | | | | | | |
| Description | | This measure involves the purchase and installation of new self-contained air-cooled ice makers that meet current ENERGY STAR® or CEE Tier 2 specifications for use in commercial applications (e.g., hospitals, hotels, food service, and food preservation) instead of standard-efficiency ice makers. High-efficiency ice makers typically use high-efficiency compressors and fan motors and thicker insulation. A list of qualified CEE commercial ice makers (as of January 2015) is available at: http://library.cee1.org/sites/default/files/library/9558/2015-01_Ice_Machines.xlsx . | | | | | | | |
| Primary Energy Impact | | Electric | | | | | | | |
| Sector | | Commercial | | | | | | | |
| Program(s) | | C&I Prescriptive Program | | | | | | | |
| End-Use | | Refrigeration | | | | | | | |
| Project Type | | New construction, Retrofit | | | | | | | |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVINGS ALGORITHMS (UNIT SAVINGS) | | | | | | | | | |
| Demand Savings | | $\Delta kW = \Delta kWh_{ICEMACHINE} / FLH$ | | | | | | | |
| Annual Energy Savings | | $\Delta kWh/yr = \Delta kWh_{ICEMACHINE}$ | | | | | | | |
| Definitions | | Unit = 1 commercial ice maker $\Delta kWh_{ICEMACHINE}$ = Average annual energy savings from high-efficiency ice machine (kWh/yr) FLH = Full load hours (hrs/yr) | | | | | | | |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTIONS | | | | | | | | | |
| Baseline Efficiency | | Commercial ice maker that meets the federal minimum efficiency requirements. | | | | | | | |
| Efficient Measure | | Commercial ice maker that meets current ENERGY STAR® or CEE Tier 2 specifications. | | | | | | | |
| PARAMETER VALUES | | | | | | | | | |
| Measure/Type | | $\Delta kWh_{ICEMACHINE}$ | | FLH | | Life (yrs) | | Cost (\$) | |
| All | | Table 17 | | 5,858 ⁴⁰⁷ | | 8 ⁴⁰⁸ | | \$0 ⁴⁰⁹ | |
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | | | |
| Program | | ISR | RR _E | RR _D | CF _S | CF _W | FR | SO | |
| C&I Prescriptive | | 100% | 112.2% ⁴¹⁰ | 100% ⁴¹⁰ | Table 29 ⁴¹¹ | Table 29 ⁴¹¹ | 52% ⁴¹² | 1.6% ⁴¹³ | |

⁴⁰⁷ Derived from Washington Electric Coop data by West Hill Energy Consultants. The freezer is assumed to always be plugged in but because of compressor and fan cycling the full load hours are 5,858 hours.

⁴⁰⁸ Environmental Protection Agency, "Savings Calculator for ENERGY STAR Qualified Commercial Kitchen Equipment." Accessed April 8, 2013.

⁴⁰⁹ ENERGY STAR® Commercial Kitchen Equipment Calculator.

⁴¹⁰ Nexant, Business Incentive Program Impact Evaluation, unpublished draft, May 2017.

⁴¹¹ See Appendix B.

⁴¹² Nexant, Business Incentive Program Process Evaluation, Published October 31, 2016, page 58.

⁴¹³ Ibid.

Table 17 – CEE Specifications for Air-Cooled Self-Contained Ice Makers⁴¹⁴

| Equipment | Harvest Rate range (lbs ice per day) | Savings (kWh/yr) |
|-------------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| Air Cooled, Self-Contained | ≤ 175 lbs ice per day | 758 |
| | > 175 and ≤ 400 lbs ice per day | 2,344 |
| | > 400 and ≤ 600 lbs ice per day | 6,029 |
| | > 600 lbs ice per day | 8,045 |

⁴¹⁴ From CEE, High Efficiency Specifications for Commercial Ice Makers effective 07/01/2011, and energystar.gov.

Water Heating Equipment

| Prescriptive Water Heating: Tankless Water Heater, Code WH1M | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| Last Revised Date | | 10/1/2017 | | | | | | | | |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | | | | | | | | | | |
| Description | | This measure involves the purchase and installation of a new tankless (on-demand) natural gas water heater instead of a new storage natural gas water heater. | | | | | | | | |
| Energy Impacts | | Natural gas, Propane | | | | | | | | |
| Sector | | Commercial, Industrial | | | | | | | | |
| Program(s) | | C&I Midstream | | | | | | | | |
| End-Use | | Water heating | | | | | | | | |
| Decision Type | | New, Replace on burnout | | | | | | | | |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVINGS ALGORITHMS (UNIT SAVINGS) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Annual energy savings | | $\Delta \text{MMBtu/yr} = [\text{GAL} \times 8.33 \times 1 \times (T_{\text{WH}} - T_{\text{in}}) \times (1/\text{TE}_{\text{BASE}} - 1/\text{TE}_{\text{EE}}) / 1,000,000] + [\text{SL} \times 8760 / 1,000,000]$ | | | | | | | | |
| Definitions | | Unit = Single water heater GAL = Average amount of hot water consumed annually per water heater (gal/yr) T_{WH} = Water heater setpoint temperature (°F) T_{in} = Average water at the main (°F) TE_{BASE} = Thermal efficiency for baseline stand-alone tank water heater TE_{EE} = Thermal efficiency for on-demand water heater 8.33 = Density of water: 8.33 lb/gallon water 1 = Specific heat of water: 1 Btu/lb-°F 1,000,000 = Conversion: 1,000,000 Btu/MMBtu Input = Input rating of water heater (Btu/hr) Tank = Tank volume of baseline water heater (gallons) SL^{415} = Maximum standby losses (in Btu/hr) for gas fired storage water heaters (SL = Input / 800 + 110 x $\sqrt{\text{Tank}}$) | | | | | | | | |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTIONS | | | | | | | | | | |
| Baseline Efficiency | | Assumed to be a standard gas-fired storage water heater with a Federal Minimum Thermal Efficiency and Federal Maximum Standby Loss. | | | | | | | | |
| Efficient Measure | | Assumed to be a newly installed tankless water heater with a minimum efficiency of 0.82. | | | | | | | | |
| PARAMETER VALUES (DEEMED) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Measure/Type | | GAL | T_{WH} | T_{in} | TE_{BASE} | TE_{EE} | Input | Tank | Life (yrs) | Cost (\$) |
| < 155,000Btuh | | Actual ⁴¹⁶ | 126.2 ⁴¹⁷ | 50.8 ⁴¹⁸ | 0.80 ⁴¹⁹ | Actual | Actual | 75 | 20 ⁴²⁰ | \$780 ⁴²¹ |
| ≥ 155,000Btuh | | | | | | | | 150 | | |
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | | | | |
| Program | | ISR | $\text{RR}_{\text{E}}^{422}$ | RR_{D} | CF_{S} | CF_{W} | FR^{423} | SO^{424} | | |
| C&I Prescriptive | | 100% | 100% | N/A | N/A | N/A | 25% | 0% | | |

⁴¹⁵ Commercial Water Heating Equipment, Gas-fired storage water heaters http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/product.aspx/productid/51.

⁴¹⁶ Use actual annual hot water gallons per year. Alternatively, default values from the DEER Database (www.deeresources.com) may be used based on building type.

⁴¹⁷ NMR Group, Inc., Efficiency Maine Appliance Rebate Program Evaluation Overall Report – FINAL, July 18, 2014.

⁴¹⁸ Standard Building America DHW Schedules, weighted average by population of all Maine water main sources.

⁴¹⁹ Federal Standards for Commercial Gas Water Heaters. http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/product.aspx/productid/51.

⁴²⁰ DEER Database, updated 2/5/2014. http://www.deeresources.com/files/DEER2013codeUpdate/download/DEER2014-EUL-table-update_2014-02-05.xlsx.

⁴²¹ Incremental cost is shown as calculated by GDS engineering review of available cost data. Average tankless water heater \$1,356. Average gas storage water heater \$576.

⁴²² This program is new and has not yet been evaluated. Until the next program impact evaluation, EMT assumes a 100% RR.

⁴²³ This program is new and has not yet been evaluated. Until the next program impact evaluation, EMT assumes the program overall values from the Business Incentive Program Evaluation (Opinion Dynamics Corporation, Evaluation of the Efficiency Maine Trust Business Program, November 30, 2011, Table 5-8. NTG Impacts for Program Overall).

⁴²⁴ Opinion Dynamics Corporation, Evaluation of the Efficiency Maine Trust Business Program, November 30, 2011, Table 5-10. Participant Spillover.

Agricultural Equipment

| Prescriptive Agricultural: New Vapor-Tight High Performance T8 Fluorescent Fixtures (Inactive) | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|-----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Last Revised Date | | 7/1/2013 | | | | | | | |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | | | | | | | | | |
| Description | | This measure involves the purchase and installation of new High-Performance T8 (HPT8) lamps and ballasts with vapor-tight housing. | | | | | | | |
| Primary Energy Impact | | Electric | | | | | | | |
| Sector | | Commercial | | | | | | | |
| Program(s) | | C&I Prescriptive Program | | | | | | | |
| End-Use | | Agriculture | | | | | | | |
| Project Type | | New construction, Retrofit | | | | | | | |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVINGS ALGORITHMS (UNIT SAVINGS) | | | | | | | | | |
| Demand Savings | | $\Delta kW = (Qty_{BASE} \times Watts_{BASE} - Qty_{EE} \times Watts_{EE}) / 1,000$ | | | | | | | |
| Annual Energy Savings | | $\Delta kWh/yr = (Qty_{BASE} \times Watts_{BASE} - Qty_{EE} \times Watts_{EE}) / 1,000 \times HoursWk \times Weeks$ | | | | | | | |
| Definitions | | Unit = 1 new fixture with 1–4 lamps and 1 ballast Qty_{BASE} = Quantity of baseline fixtures (fixtures) Qty_{EE} = Quantity of new efficient fixtures (fixtures) $Watts_{BASE}$ = Watts of baseline fixture (Watts/fixture) $Watts_{EE}$ = Watts new fixture (Watts/fixture) HoursWk = Weekly hours of equipment operation (hrs/week) Weeks = Weeks per year of equipment operation (weeks/year) 1,000 = Conversion: 1,000 Watts per kW | | | | | | | |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTIONS | | | | | | | | | |
| Baseline Efficiency | | T12 lighting fixtures. | | | | | | | |
| Efficient Measure | | High-Performance T8 lamps and ballasts with vapor-tight housing. | | | | | | | |
| PARAMETER VALUES | | | | | | | | | |
| Measure/Type | | Qty_{BASE} | Qty_{EE} | $Watts_{BASE}$ | $Watts_{SEE}$ | $HoursWk^{425}$ | Weeks | Life (yrs) | Cost (\$) |
| New Construction | | Actual | Actual | Table 32 ⁴²⁶ | Table 31 ⁴²⁷ | Actual | Actual | 15 ⁴²⁸ | \$96 ⁴²⁹ |
| Retrofit | | Actual | Actual | Table 32 ⁴²⁶ | Table 31 ⁴²⁷ | Actual | Actual | 13 ⁴²⁸ | \$96 ⁴²⁹ |
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | | | |
| Program | | ISR | RR_E | RR_D | CF_S | CF_W | FR | SO | |
| C&I Prescriptive | | 100% | 112.2% ⁴³⁰ | 100% ⁴³⁰ | Table 29 ⁴³¹ | Table 29 ⁴³¹ | 52% ⁴³² | 1.6% ⁴³³ | |

⁴²⁵ Use actual hours when known. If hours are unknown, use the values from Table 35.

⁴²⁶ See Appendix E. The baseline fixture wattage is determined using the Baseline Fixture Rated Wattage table and the baseline fixture type specified in the project Data Collection and Information form.

⁴²⁷ See Appendix D.

⁴²⁸ GDS Associates, Inc., Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures Prepared for The New England State Program Working Group (SPWG), June 2007 and the 2005 Measure Life Study Report prepared for The Massachusetts Joint Utilities, by ERS.

⁴²⁹ Measure Costs assume 50% retrofit and 50% market opportunity for 1 lamp fixture based on cost data provided in Vermont TRM 2014.

⁴³⁰ Nexant, Business Incentive Program Impact Evaluation, unpublished draft, May 2017.

⁴³¹ See Appendix B.

⁴³² Nexant, Business Incentive Program Process Evaluation, Published October 31, 2016, page 58.

⁴³³ Ibid.

| Prescriptive Agricultural: Plate Heat Exchangers for Milk Processing (Inactive) | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--------|-------------------|---------------------|----------------------|-----------|-----|-------------------|----------------------|
| Last Revised Date | 7/1/2013 | | | | | | | | |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | | | | | | | | | |
| Description | This measure involves the purchase and installation of a plate heat exchanger (PHX) that uses tap or well water to pre-cool milk (to between 55°F and 70°F) before the milk enters the cooling tank, thereby reducing the energy required for cooling. The PHX may also use the heat extracted from the milk to preheat water for domestic hot water (DHW) applications. | | | | | | | | |
| Primary Energy Impact | Electric | | | | | | | | |
| Sector | Commercial | | | | | | | | |
| Program(s) | C&I Prescriptive Program | | | | | | | | |
| End-Use | Agriculture | | | | | | | | |
| Project Type | New construction, Retrofit | | | | | | | | |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVINGS ALGORITHMS (UNIT SAVINGS) | | | | | | | | | |
| Demand Savings | $\Delta kW = \Delta kWh/yr / \text{Hours}$ | | | | | | | | |
| Annual Energy Savings | $\Delta kWh/yr = \Delta kWh_{COMP} + \Delta kWh_{DHW}$ | | | | | | | | |
| | $\Delta kWh_{COMP} = MPD \times 365 \times CP_{MILK} \times ETR / EER / 1,000$ | | | | | | | | |
| | $\Delta kWh_{DHW} = MPD \times 365 \times CP_{MILK} \times ETR \times EF_{HX} \times DHW / 3,412$ | | | | | | | | |
| Definitions | Unit = 1 PHX for milk processing | | | | | | | | |
| | ΔkWh_{COMP} = Compressor annual kWh reduction | | | | | | | | |
| | ΔkWh_{DHW} = Domestic hot water annual kWh reduction | | | | | | | | |
| | ETR = Expected Temperature Reduction (°F) | | | | | | | | |
| | MPD = Pounds of milk per day (lb/day) | | | | | | | | |
| | CP_{MILK} = Specific heat of whole milk (Btu/lb- °F) | | | | | | | | |
| | EER = EER of cooling systems (Btuh/Watt) | | | | | | | | |
| | Hours = Annual operating hours (hrs/yr) | | | | | | | | |
| | EF_{HX} = Heat transfer efficiency of device (%) | | | | | | | | |
| | DHW = Indicator for electric DHW system | | | | | | | | |
| | 365 = Conversion: 365 days per year | | | | | | | | |
| 3,412 = Conversion: 3,412 Btu per kWh | | | | | | | | | |
| 1,000 = Conversion: 1,000 Watts per kW | | | | | | | | | |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTIONS | | | | | | | | | |
| Baseline Efficiency | No PHX. | | | | | | | | |
| Efficient Measure | PHX installed; may be with or without DHW heat reclaim. | | | | | | | | |
| PARAMETER VALUES | | | | | | | | | |
| Measure/Type | MPD | EER | ETR | CP_{MILK} | Hours | EF_{HX} | DHW | Life (yrs) | Cost (\$) |
| PHX without DHW | Actual | Actual | 35 ⁴³⁴ | 0.93 ⁴³⁵ | 2,850 ⁴³⁶ | N/A | 0 | 20 ⁴³⁷ | 2,500 ⁴³⁸ |
| PHX with Electric DHW | Actual | Actual | 35 ⁴³⁴ | 0.93 ⁴³⁵ | 2,850 ⁴³⁶ | 59% | 1.0 | 20 ⁴³⁷ | 2,500 ⁴³⁸ |

⁴³⁴ Estimated average temperature reduction: PHX typically reduce milk temperatures from 98°F to temperatures to between 55°F and 70°F.

⁴³⁵ K M Sahay, K. K. Singh, *Unit Operations of Agricultural Processing*, 2001; page 346.

⁴³⁶ Full load operating hours of 2,850 hours per year assume 6 hours per day of full load operation during milking, with an additional 10% cycle time to maintain tank temperature during non-milking hours.

⁴³⁷ PA Consulting Group for the State of Wisconsin Public Service Commission, Focus on Energy Evaluation. Business Programs: Measure Life Study. August 25, 2009.

⁴³⁸ Average incremental cost for this measure has not changed since the Efficiency Maine TRM revision 8/15 2010 based on interviews with suppliers in Maine and the review of Efficiency Maine projects by GDS Associates, December 2011.

| Prescriptive Agricultural: Plate Heat Exchangers for Milk Processing (Inactive) | | | | | | | |
|--|---|--------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | |
| Program | ISR | RR _E | RR _D | CF _S | CF _W | FR | SO |
| C&I Prescriptive | 100% | 99% ⁴³⁹ | 101% ⁴³⁹ | Table 29 ⁴⁴⁰ | Table 29 ⁴⁴⁰ | 52% ⁴⁴¹ | 1.6% ⁴⁴² |
| Prescriptive Agricultural: Adjustable Speed Drives for Dairy Vacuum Pumps, Codes VP<X> | | | | | | | |
| Prescriptive Agricultural: Adjustable Speed Drives for Dairy Vacuum Pumps, Codes VP<X> | | | | | | | |
| Last Revised Date | 7/1/2013 | | | | | | |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | | | | | | | |
| Description | This measure involves the purchase and installation of an Adjustable Speed Drive (ASD) to control the speed of the dairy vacuum pump. This prescriptive measure includes dairy vacuum pumps smaller than 30 HP. | | | | | | |
| Primary Energy Impact | Electric | | | | | | |
| Sector | Commercial | | | | | | |
| Program(s) | C&I Prescriptive Program | | | | | | |
| End-Use | Agriculture | | | | | | |
| Project Type | New construction, Retrofit | | | | | | |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVINGS ALGORITHMS (UNIT SAVINGS) | | | | | | | |
| Demand Savings | $\Delta kW = HP \times 0.746 \times LF / M_{EFF} - (0.0495 \times 2 \times \#MilkUnits + 1.7729)$ | | | | | | |
| Annual Energy Savings | $\Delta kWh/yr = \Delta kW \times DRT \times 365$ | | | | | | |
| Definitions | Unit = New ASD HP = Full load HP rating of vacuum pump motor (hp) LF = Average load factor for constant speed vacuum pump (%) M _{EFF} = Motor efficiency (%) #MilkUnits = Number of milk units processed per day DRT = Daily Run Time, hours per day of vacuum pump operation (hrs/day) 365 = Conversion: 365 days per year 0.746 = Conversion: 0.746 kW per hp 0.0495, 2, 1.7729 = Regression coefficients for average ASD speed and processed milk units | | | | | | |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTIONS | | | | | | | |
| Baseline Efficiency | Standard dairy vacuum pump operating at constant speed. | | | | | | |
| Efficient Measure | Dairy vacuum pump with adjustable speed drive installed. | | | | | | |
| PARAMETER VALUES | | | | | | | |
| Measure/Type | HP | LF | M _{EFF} ⁴⁴³ | #MilkUnits | DRT | Life (yrs) | Cost (\$) |
| All | Table 18 | 75% ⁴⁴⁴ | Actual | Actual | Actual | 15 ⁴⁴⁵ | \$5,322 ⁴⁴⁶ |
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | |
| Program | ISR | RR _E | RR _D | CF _S | CF _W | FR | SO |

⁴³⁹ Opinion Dynamics Corporation, Evaluation of the Efficiency Maine Trust Business Program, November 30, 2011, Table 1-1. Realization Rates for Prescriptive Measures.

⁴⁴⁰ See Appendix B.

⁴⁴¹ Nexant, Business Incentive Program Process Evaluation, Published October 31, 2016, page 58.

⁴⁴² Ibid.

⁴⁴³ Use rated motor efficiency for the actual equipment. If the actual efficiency value is unknown, use the values in Table 18 for existing or new motors.

⁴⁴⁴ Assumed value based on typical operations.

⁴⁴⁵ PA Consulting Group for the State of Wisconsin Public Service Commission, Focus on Energy Evaluation. Business Programs: Measure Life Study. August 25, 2009.

⁴⁴⁶ Average Incremental costs based on interviews with suppliers in Maine, the review of Efficiency Maine projects and incremental costs based from the Efficiency Vermont TRM Users Manual No. 2010-64, 12/14/10 by GDS Associates, December 2011.

| | | | | | | | |
|------------------|------|-----------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| C&I Prescriptive | 100% | 112.2% ⁴⁴⁷ | 100% ⁴⁴⁸ | Table 29 ⁴⁴⁹ | Table 29 ⁴⁴⁹ | 52% ⁴⁵⁰ | 1.6% ⁴⁵¹ |
|------------------|------|-----------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|

Table 18 – Standard Motor Efficiency⁴⁵²

| Measure | Size (HP) | Existing Motor | New Motor |
|--|-----------|----------------|-----------|
| MILK: Vacuum Pump with Adjustable Speed Drive Package – 7.5 HP | 7.5 | 89.5% | 91.7% |
| MILK: Vacuum Pump with Adjustable Speed Drive Package – 10 HP | 10 | 90.2% | 91.7% |
| MILK: Vacuum Pump with Adjustable Speed Drive Package – 15 HP | 15 | 91.0% | 93.0% |
| MILK: Vacuum Pump with Adjustable Speed Drive Package – 30 HP | 30 | 92.4% | 94.1% |

⁴⁴⁷ Nexant, Business Incentive Program Impact Evaluation, unpublished draft, May 2017.

⁴⁴⁸ RR₀ used to adjust Summer and Winter CF to account for BIP program evaluation findings as presented in Appendix D.

⁴⁴⁹ See Appendix B.

⁴⁵⁰ Nexant, Business Incentive Program Process Evaluation, Published October 31, 2016, page 58.

⁴⁵¹ Ibid.

⁴⁵² Values are the highest minimum efficiency values for each size category from the Energy Policy Act of 1992 (for existing motors) and NEMA Premium Efficiency (for new motors).

| Prescriptive Agricultural: Scroll Compressors, Codes AMSC<X> | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|-----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| Last Revised Date | | 7/1/2013 | | | | | | |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | | | | | | | | |
| Description | | This measure involves the purchase and installation of a high-efficiency scroll compressor for use in the milk cooling process. | | | | | | |
| Primary Energy Impact | | Electric | | | | | | |
| Sector | | Commercial | | | | | | |
| Program(s) | | C&I Prescriptive Program | | | | | | |
| End-Use | | Agriculture | | | | | | |
| Project Type | | New construction, Retrofit | | | | | | |
| GRISS ENERGY SAVINGS ALGORITHMS (UNIT SAVINGS) | | | | | | | | |
| Demand Savings | | $\Delta kW = HP_{\text{COMPRESSOR}} \times \Delta kWh/hp / FLH$ | | | | | | |
| Annual Energy Savings | | $\Delta kWh/yr = HP_{\text{COMPRESSOR}} \times \Delta kWh/hp$ | | | | | | |
| Definitions | | Unit = 1 new scroll compressor | | | | | | |
| | | $HP_{\text{COMPRESSOR}}$ = Compressor horsepower (hp) | | | | | | |
| | | $\Delta kWh/hp$ = kWh savings per HP (kWh/hp/yr) | | | | | | |
| | | FLH = Full load hours (hrs/yr) | | | | | | |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTIONS | | | | | | | | |
| Baseline Efficiency | | Standard hermetic compressor. (Note: kWh savings based on an average size dairy farm in Maine with 100 milking cows.) | | | | | | |
| Efficient Measure | | High-efficiency scroll compressor. | | | | | | |
| PARAMETER VALUES | | | | | | | | |
| Measure/Type | | $HP_{\text{COMPRESSOR}}$ | $\Delta kWh/hp$ | FLH | Life (yrs) | Cost (\$) | | |
| All | | Actual | 432 ⁴⁵³ | 2,850 ⁴⁵⁴ | 15 ⁴⁵⁵ | Table 19 | | |
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | | |
| Program | | ISR | RR_E | RR_D | CF_S | CF_W | FR | SO |
| C&I Prescriptive | | 100% | 112.2% ⁴⁵⁶ | 100% ⁴⁵⁷ | Table 29 ⁴⁵⁸ | Table 29 ⁴⁵⁸ | 52% ⁴⁵⁹ | 1.6% ⁴⁶⁰ |

Table 19 – Measure Costs for Scroll Compressor⁴⁶¹

| Equipment Type | Size (HP) | Measure/Incremental Cost |
|-------------------|-----------|--------------------------|
| Scroll Compressor | 2 | \$400 |
| | 3 | \$525 |
| | 5 | \$1,000 |
| | 6 | \$1,300 |
| | 7.5 | \$1,538 |
| | 10 | \$2,051 |

Prescriptive Agricultural: Adjustable Speed Drives on Ventilation Fans (Potato Storage Equipment), Codes ASD<X>

⁴⁵³ Average savings value based on Wisconsin Focus on Energy Dairy Audit tool, used for a 100 herd dairy farm in Maine.⁴⁵⁴ Full load operating hours of 2,850 hours per year assume 6 hours per day of full load operation during milking, with an additional 10% cycle time to maintain tank temperature during non-milking hours.⁴⁵⁵ PA Consulting Group for the State of Wisconsin Public Service Commission, Focus on Energy Evaluation. Business Programs: Measure Life Study. August 25, 2009.⁴⁵⁶ Nexant, Business Incentive Program Impact Evaluation, unpublished draft, May 2017.⁴⁵⁷ RR_D used to adjust Summer and Winter CF to account for BIP program evaluation findings as presented in Appendix D.⁴⁵⁸ See Appendix B.⁴⁵⁹ Nexant, Business Incentive Program Process Evaluation, Published October 31, 2016, page 58.⁴⁶⁰ Nexant, Business Incentive Program Process Evaluation, Published October 31, 2016, page 58.⁴⁶¹ Average incremental costs based on interviews with suppliers in Maine and the review of Efficiency Maine projects by GDS Associates, December 2011.

| Prescriptive Agricultural: Adjustable Speed Drives on Ventilation Fans (Potato Storage Equipment), Codes ASD<X> | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|---------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| Last Revised Date | 7/1/2013 | | | | | | |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | | | | | | | |
| Description | This measure involves the purchase and installation of an Adjustable Speed Drive (ASD) on potato storage ventilation fans. Savings are realized during periods when less than full speed is required. | | | | | | |
| Primary Energy Impact | Electric | | | | | | |
| Sector | Commercial | | | | | | |
| Program(s) | C&I Prescriptive Program | | | | | | |
| End-Use | Agriculture | | | | | | |
| Project Type | New construction, Retrofit | | | | | | |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVINGS ALGORITHMS (UNIT SAVINGS) | | | | | | | |
| Demand Savings | ΔkW | $= HP_{VFD} \times LF / EF \times (A + B \times SF_F + C \times SF_F^2 - (A + B \times SF_H + C \times SF_H^2))$ $= HP_{VFD} \times 0.71$ | | | | | |
| Annual Energy Savings | $\Delta kWh/yr$ | $= HP_{VFD} \times LF/EF \times HOU_{HALF} \times (A + B \times SF_F + C \times SF_F^2 - A + B \times SF_H + C \times SF_H^2)$ $= HP_{VFD} \times 2540$ | | | | | |
| Definitions | Unit | = 1 new ASD | | | | | |
| | HP_{VFD} | = Total fan horsepower connected to the ASD (hp) | | | | | |
| | LF | = Load factor | | | | | |
| | EF | = Motor efficiency | | | | | |
| | HOU_{HALF} | = Hours of use at half power | | | | | |
| | A, B, C | = Fan Default Curve Correlation Coefficients | | | | | |
| | SF_F | = Speed factor for full speed | | | | | |
| | SF_H | = Speed factor for half speed | | | | | |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTIONS | | | | | | | |
| Baseline Efficiency | Standard ventilation fan with no adjustable speed drive installed. | | | | | | |
| Efficient Measure | Ventilation fan with ASD installed. | | | | | | |
| PARAMETER VALUES | | | | | | | |
| Measure/Type | HP_{VFD} | | | | HOU_{HALF} | Life (yrs) | Cost (\$) |
| All | Actual | | | | 3600 ⁴⁶² | 15 ⁴⁶³ | Table 20 |
| Measure/Type | LF | EF | A | B | C | SF_F | SF_H |
| All | 0.8 ⁴⁶⁴ | 0.91 ⁴⁶⁴ | 0.22 ⁴⁶⁵ | -0.87 ⁴⁶⁵ | 1.65 ⁴⁶⁵ | 1 | 0.5 |
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | |
| Program | ISR | RR_E | RR_D | CF_S | CF_W | FR | SO |
| C&I Prescriptive | 100% | 112.2% ⁴⁶⁶ | 100% ⁴⁶⁷ | Table 29 ⁴⁶⁸ | Table 29 ⁴⁶⁸ | 52% ⁴⁶⁹ | 1.6% ⁴⁷⁰ |

⁴⁶² Fans can run at half speed 24/7 from December 1 to April 30 as reported by Steve Belyea, ME Dept of Agriculture, evaluation.

⁴⁶³ GDS Associates, Inc., Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures Prepared for The New England State Program Working Group (SPWG), June 2007 and the 2005 Measure Life Study Report prepared for The Massachusetts Joint Utilities, by ERS.

⁴⁶⁴ Program assumption.

⁴⁶⁵ Fan Default Curve Correlation Coefficients for VFD. Variable Frequency Drive Evaluation Protocol, SBW Consulting, Inc., Table 1.

⁴⁶⁶ Nexant, Business Incentive Program Impact Evaluation, unpublished draft, May 2017.

⁴⁶⁷ RR_D used to adjust Summer and Winter CF to account for BIP program evaluation findings as presented in Appendix D.

⁴⁶⁸ See Appendix B.

⁴⁶⁹ Nexant, Business Incentive Program Process Evaluation, Published October 31, 2016, page 58.

⁴⁷⁰ Ibid.

Table 20 – Measure Cost for ASD on Ventilation Fans⁴⁷¹

| Size (hp) | Measure Cost |
|----------------------|---------------------|
| 3 | \$963 |
| 5 | \$1,105 |
| 7.5 | \$1,467 |
| 10 | \$1,745 |
| 15 | \$2,525 |
| 20 | \$2,725 |

⁴⁷¹ Average incremental cost for this measure has not changed since the Efficiency Maine TRM revision 8/15 2010 based on interviews with suppliers in Maine and the review of Efficiency Maine projects by GDS Associates, December 2011.

| Prescriptive Agricultural: High-Volume Low-Speed Fans, Code AOLSF | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|---------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| Last Revised Date | | 7/1/2013 | | | | | | | |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | | | | | | | | | |
| Description | | This measure involves the purchase and installation of high-volume low-speed (HVLS) fans in a free stall dairy barn to move large amounts of air efficiently (with lower noise). | | | | | | | |
| Primary Energy Impact | | Electric | | | | | | | |
| Sector | | Commercial | | | | | | | |
| Program(s) | | C&I Prescriptive Program | | | | | | | |
| End-Use | | Agriculture | | | | | | | |
| Project Type | | New construction, Retrofit | | | | | | | |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVINGS ALGORITHMS (UNIT SAVINGS) | | | | | | | | | |
| Demand Savings | | ΔkW | $= (HP_{BASE} / M_{EFF,BASE} - HP_{HVLS} / M_{EFF,HVLS}) \times 0.746 \times LF$ | | | | | | |
| Annual Energy Savings | | $\Delta kWh/yr$ | $= \Delta kW \times \text{Hours}$ | | | | | | |
| Definitions | | Unit | $= 1 \text{ new HVLS}$ | | | | | | |
| | | HP_{BASE} | $= \text{Total combined horsepower of existing fan motors (hp)}$ | | | | | | |
| | | $M_{EFF,BASE}$ | $= \text{Average motor efficiency of existing fan motors (\%)}$ | | | | | | |
| | | HP_{HVLS} | $= \text{Total combined HP of HVLS fan motors (hp)}$ | | | | | | |
| | | $M_{EFF,HVLS}$ | $= \text{Rated motor efficiency of new HVLS fan (\%)}$ | | | | | | |
| | | LF | $= \text{Average motor load factor}$ | | | | | | |
| | | Hours | $= \text{Annual operating hours (hrs/yr)}$ | | | | | | |
| | | 0.746 | $= \text{Conversion: 0.746 kW per hp}$ | | | | | | |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTIONS | | | | | | | | | |
| Baseline Efficiency | | 1-hp basket type fans (approximately 10–13 four-foot fans replaced by 1 HVLS). | | | | | | | |
| Efficient Measure | | HVLS ventilation fans. | | | | | | | |
| PARAMETER VALUES | | | | | | | | | |
| Measure/Type | | HP_{BASE} | $M_{EFF,BASE}$ | HP_{HVLS} | $M_{EFF,HVLS}$ | LF | Hours | Life (yrs) | Cost (\$) |
| All | | Actual | 80% ⁴⁷² | Actual | Actual | 80% ⁴⁷³ | 3,660 ⁴⁷⁴ | 15 ⁴⁷⁵ | 1,165 ⁴⁷⁶ |
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | | | |
| Program | | ISR | RR_E | RR_D | CF_S | CF_W | FR | SO | |
| C&I Prescriptive | | 100% | 112.2% ⁴⁷⁷ | 100% ⁴⁷⁸ | Table 29 ⁴⁷⁹ | Table 29 ⁴⁷⁹ | 52% ⁴⁸⁰ | 1.6% ⁴⁸¹ | |

⁴⁷² Conservative estimate for efficiency of existing 1–2 hp fan motors, based on minimum efficiency requirements in the Energy Policy Act of 2007.

⁴⁷³ Assumed value based on typical operations.

⁴⁷⁴ Fan typically operates 5 months out of the year or approximately 3,660 hours.

⁴⁷⁵ PA Consulting Group for the State of Wisconsin Public Service Commission, Focus on Energy Evaluation. Business Programs: Measure Life Study. August 25, 2009.

⁴⁷⁶ Average incremental cost for this measure has not changed since the Efficiency Maine TRM revision 8/15 2010 based on interviews with suppliers in Maine and the review of Efficiency Maine projects by GDS Associates, December 2011

⁴⁷⁷ Nexant, Business Incentive Program Impact Evaluation, unpublished draft, May 2017.

⁴⁷⁸ RR_D used to adjust Summer and Winter CF to account for BIP program evaluation findings as presented in Appendix D.

⁴⁷⁹ See Appendix C.

⁴⁸⁰ Nexant, Business Incentive Program Process Evaluation, Published October 31, 2016, page 58.

⁴⁸¹ Ibid.

Commercial Kitchen Equipment

| ENERGY STAR® Natural Gas Kitchen Equipment, Codes G17–G22 | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|--------------|-------------|
| Last Revised Date | | 7/1/2013 | | | | | | |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | | | | | | | | |
| Description | | This measure involves the purchase and installation of new high-efficiency natural gas kitchen equipment. | | | | | | |
| Primary Energy Impact | | Natural gas | | | | | | |
| Sector | | Commercial, Industrial | | | | | | |
| Program(s) | | C&I Prescriptive Program | | | | | | |
| End-Use | | Natural gas | | | | | | |
| Project Type | | New construction, Retrofit | | | | | | |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVINGS ALGORITHMS (UNIT SAVINGS) | | | | | | | | |
| Annual Energy Savings | | $\Delta\text{MMBtu/yr} = \Delta\text{MMBTU}_{\text{UNIT}}$ | | | | | | |
| Definitions | | Unit = 1 new kitchen equipment | | | | | | |
| | | $\Delta\text{MMBTU}_{\text{UNIT}}$ = Deemed annual MMBtu savings per unit (MMBtu/yr) | | | | | | |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTIONS | | | | | | | | |
| Baseline Efficiency | | Standard-efficiency natural gas kitchen equipment. | | | | | | |
| Efficient Measure | | High-efficiency natural gas kitchen equipment. | | | | | | |
| PARAMETER VALUES | | | | | | | | |
| Measure/Type | | $\Delta\text{MMBTU}_{\text{UNIT}}$ | | | | Life (yrs) | Cost (\$) | |
| All | | Table 21 | | | | 12^{482} | Table 21 | |
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | | |
| Program | | ISR | RR_{E} | RR_{D} | CF_{S} | CF_{W} | FR | SO |
| C&I Prescriptive | | 100% | $100\%^{483}$ | N/A | N/A | N/A | $25\%^{484}$ | $0\%^{485}$ |

⁴⁸² Energy Protection Agency, Savings Calculator for ENERGY STAR® Qualified Commercial Kitchen Equipment. Accessed April 9, 2013. The calculator uses a 12-year measure life value for the life-cycle cost analysis for ovens, fryers, griddles, and steamers.

⁴⁸³ This program is new and has not yet been evaluated. Until the next program impact evaluation, EMT assumes a 100% RR.

⁴⁸⁴ Measure not yet evaluated, assume default FR of 25%.

⁴⁸⁵ Measure not yet evaluated, assume default SO of 0%.

Table 21 – Natural Gas Kitchen Equipment Measure Detail⁴⁸⁶

| Measure Code | Description | Size | Deemed Savings | Incremental Cost (\$/unit) |
|--------------|------------------|-------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|
| | | | Δ Therms _{UNIT} | |
| G17 | Fryer | Standard | 508 | 0 |
| | | Large Vat | 415 | \$1,120 |
| G19 | Convection oven | Any | 129 | \$0 |
| G20 | Combination oven | 30 pans | 730 | \$0 |
| G21 | Steamer | 3 pan | 766 | \$260 |
| | | 5 pan | 962 | \$0 |
| | | 6 pan | 1,054 | \$870 |
| | | 10 pan | 1,622 | \$870 |
| G22 | Griddle | 2 feet wide | 57 | \$360 |
| | | 3 feet wide | 131 | \$360 |
| | | 4 feet wide | 206 | \$360 |
| | | 5 feet wide | 280 | \$360 |
| | | 6 feet wide | 355 | \$360 |

⁴⁸⁶ Savings and measure cost values are based on: ENERGY STAR® Commercial Kitchen Equipment Calculator. Accessed November 2016 using default assumptions.

| Demand Control Kitchen Ventilation, Code DCKV | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|------------------------------|---|---------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| Last Revised Date | | 7/1/2016 (New Measure for PY17) | | | | | | |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | | | | | | | | |
| Description | | This measure involves the installation of a controls package on the ventilation exhaust system of commercial cooking equipment to be operated in tandem with a dedicated Make-Up Air (MUA) unit serving the space. The installed system must be capable of varying the rate of kitchen exhaust air through VFD control and the rate of outside air delivered to the space through VFD or outside air damper modulation. The installed system must have thermal and opacity (smoke) sensors. | | | | | | |
| Primary Energy Impact | | Natural gas | | | | | | |
| Sector | | Commercial, Industrial | | | | | | |
| Program(s) | | C&I Prescriptive Program | | | | | | |
| End-Use | | Natural gas, Space heating | | | | | | |
| Project Type | | Retrofit | | | | | | |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVINGS ALGORITHMS (UNIT SAVINGS) | | | | | | | | |
| Annual Energy Savings | | $\Delta \text{MMBtu/yr} = 611 \times \text{HP} \times \text{AHL} / (\text{Eff}_{\text{heat}} \times 1,000,000)$ | | | | | | |
| Definitions | | Unit = 1 Controlled Exhaust Fan 611 = CFM reduction per exhaust fan horsepower ⁴⁸⁷ HP = Exhaust fan horsepower AHL = Annual heating load (Btu) of outside air through MUA unit Eff_{heat} = Heating efficiency of MUA unit 1,000,000 = Conversion of Btu to MMBtu | | | | | | |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTIONS | | | | | | | | |
| Baseline Efficiency | | Assumed to be a standard commercial kitchen ventilation system with dedicated MUA and standard on/off controls. | | | | | | |
| Efficient Measure | | Assumed to be a ventilation system with VFDs and interlocked controls that vary based on the energy required for cooking exhaust effluence. | | | | | | |
| PARAMETER VALUES | | | | | | | | |
| Measure/Type | | HP | AHL ⁴⁸⁸ | Eff_{heat} ⁴⁸⁹ | Life (yrs) ⁴⁹⁰ | Cost (\$) ⁴⁹¹ | | |
| All | | Actual | Actual | Actual | 15 | \$2,000 per exhaust fan | | |
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | | |
| Program | | ISR | RR_E ⁴⁹² | RR_D | CF_S | CF_W | FR ⁴⁹³ | SO ⁴⁹⁴ |
| C&I Prescriptive | | 100% | 100% | N/A | N/A | N/A | 25% ⁴⁹⁵ | 0% ⁴⁹⁶ |

⁴⁸⁷ Commercial Kitchen Demand Ventilation Controls study, PG&E, PGECOFST116, June 2009, average reduction and fan horsepower.

⁴⁸⁸ Refer to the Food Service Technology Center Outside Air Load Calculator (<http://www.fishnick.com/ventilation/oalc/oac.php>). Enter a design Outdoor Air Flow as 1 CFM under Air Setpoints and retrieve the Total Annual Heating Load in Btu, do not retrieve the Design Heating Load.

⁴⁸⁹ Expressed as a decimal, i.e., 80% AFUE is .80.

⁴⁹⁰ DEER Database 2014.

⁴⁹¹ GDS review of regional databases and TRMs.

⁴⁹² This program is new and has not yet been evaluated. Until the next program impact evaluation, EMT assumes a 100% RR.

⁴⁹³ This program is new and has not yet been evaluated. Until the next program impact evaluation, EMT assumes the program overall values from the Business Incentive Program Evaluation (Opinion Dynamics Corporation, Evaluation of the Efficiency Maine Trust Business Program, November 30, 2011, Table 5-8. NTG Impacts for Program Overall).

⁴⁹⁴ Opinion Dynamics Corporation, Evaluation of the Efficiency Maine Trust Business Program, November 30, 2011, Table 5-10. Participant Spillover.

⁴⁹⁵ Measure not yet evaluated, assume default FR of 25%.

⁴⁹⁶ Measure not yet evaluated, assume default SO of 0%.

| High Efficiency Pre-Rinse Spray Valves (HPSV) | |
|---|---|
| Last Revised Date | 1/1/2017 |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | |
| Description | This measure involves the installation of a high efficiency pre-rinse spray valve in Commercial/Industrial kitchens |
| Energy Impacts | Natural Gas, Heating Oil, Propane, Electric |
| Sector | Commercial, Industrial |
| Program(s) | C& I Prescriptive Program |
| End-Use | Boilers, Water Heating |
| Decision Type | Retrofit, ROB |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVINGS ALGORITHMS (UNIT SAVINGS) | |
| Annual energy savings | $\Delta \text{MMBtu/yr} = (\text{Vol}_{\text{base}} - \text{Vol}_{\text{ee}}) \times 60 \times \text{Hours} \times \text{Days} \times 8.33 \times 1 \times (T_{\text{out}} - T_{\text{in}}) / \text{Eff} / 1,000,000$ $\Delta \text{kWh/yr} = (\text{Vol}_{\text{base}} - \text{Vol}_{\text{ee}}) \times 60 \times \text{Hours} \times \text{Days} \times 8.33 \times 1 \times (T_{\text{out}} - T_{\text{in}}) / \text{Eff} / 1,000,000 \times 0.003413$ |
| Annual water savings | $\Delta \text{Gallons/yr} = (\text{Vol}_{\text{base}} - \text{Vol}_{\text{ee}}) \times 60 \times \text{Hours} \times \text{Days}$ |
| Definitions | Unit = Single pre-rinse spray valve Vol_{base} = Base case flow in gallons per minute (gal/min) Vol_{ee} = Efficient case flow in gallons per minute (gal/min) 60 = Conversion factor: minutes per hour (min/hr) Hours = Hours per day that the pre-rinse spray valve is used at the site (hrs/day) Days = Days per year (days/yr) 8.33 = Conversion factor: pounds per gallon of water (lb/gal) 1 = Heat capacity of water (Btu/lb/°F) T_{out} = Average mixed hot water discharge (after spray valve) temperature (°F) T_{in} = Average water temperature at the main (°F) Eff_{elec} = Efficiency of electric water heater supplying hot water to pre-rinse spray valve (%) Eff_{fuel} = Efficiency of fuel water heater supplying hot water to pre-rinse spray valve 1,000,000 = Conversion: 1,000,000 Btu/MMBtu 0.003413 = Conversion: 0.003413 MMBtu/kWh |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTIONS | |
| Baseline Efficiency | For Retrofit, the baseline is the standard defined by The Energy Policy Act. For ROB, the baseline is the average population efficiency taken from an evaluation report for California Urban Water Conservation Council. |
| Efficient Measure | High efficiency pre-rinse spray valve with a maximum flowrate of 1.15 gallons per minute. |

| High Efficiency Pre-Rinse Spray Valves (HPSV) | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------|---------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|
| PARAMETER VALUES (DEEMED) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Measure/Type | Vol _{base} | Vol _{ee} ⁴⁹⁷ | T _{out} ⁴⁹⁸ | T _{in} ⁴⁹⁹ | Hours | Days ⁵⁰⁰ | Eff _{fuel} ⁵⁰¹ | Eff _{elec} ⁵⁰² | Life ⁵⁰³ (yrs) | Cost (\$) |
| Point of Purchase/Replace on Burnout | 1.6 ⁵⁰⁴ | 1.15 | 120 | 50.8 | Table 22 | 312 days/yr | 80% | 98% | 5 | Actual |
| Food Service Retrofit | 2.25 ⁵⁰⁵ | | | | | | | | | |
| Grocery Retrofit | 2.15 ⁵⁰⁶ | | | | | | | | | |
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | | | | |
| Measure/Type | ISR | RR _E ⁵⁰⁷ | RR _D | CF _S | | | CF _W | | FR ⁵⁰⁸ | SO ⁵⁰⁹ |
| All | 100% | 100% | N/A | N/A | | | N/A | | 25% | 0% |

Table 22 – Hours per Day that the Pre-Rinse Spray Valve is used at Different Sites

| Site | Hours ^{510,511} (hrs/day) |
|---|------------------------------------|
| Small, quick-service restaurants | 0.5 |
| Medium-sized casual dining restaurants | 1.5 |
| Large institutional establishments with cafeteria | 3.0 |
| Grocery Store | 0.1 |

⁴⁹⁷ The FSTC recommends a pre-rinse spray valve with a flow rate of 1.15 gallons per minute or less, and with a cleanability performance of 26 seconds per plate or less, based on the ASTM Standard Test Method for Performance of Pre-Rinse Spray Valves. <http://www.fishnick.com/equipment/sprayvalves/>

⁴⁹⁸ According to ASTM F2324 03 Cleanability Test the optimal operating conditions are at 120F discharge temperature.

⁴⁹⁹ Standard Building America DHW Schedules, weighted average by population of all Maine water main sources.

⁵⁰⁰ 312 days/yr is based on an assumption of 6 days/week and 52 weeks/year

⁵⁰¹ Federal Standards for Commercial Gas Water Heaters. http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/product.aspx/productid/51

⁵⁰² NREL, Building America Research Benchmark Definition, 2009, p.12, <http://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy10osti/47246.pdf>

⁵⁰³ Impact and Process Evaluation Final Report for California Urban Water Conservation Council 2004-5 Pre-Rinse Spray Valve Installation Program (Phase 2), SBW Consulting, 2007, p. 30. <http://www.allianceforwaterefficiency.org/WorkArea/DownloadAsset.aspx?id=976>

⁵⁰⁴ The Energy Policy Act (EPAct) of 2005 sets the maximum flow rate for pre-rinse spray valves at 1.6 GPM at 60 pounds per square inch of water pressure when tested in accordance with ASTM F2324-03. <https://www3.epa.gov/watersense/products/prsv.html>

⁵⁰⁵ Impact and Process Evaluation Final Report for California Urban Water Conservation Council 2004-5 Pre-Rinse Spray Valve Installation Program (Phase 2), SBW Consulting, 2007, p. 30. <http://www.allianceforwaterefficiency.org/WorkArea/DownloadAsset.aspx?id=976>

⁵⁰⁶ Ibid.

⁵⁰⁷ This program is new and has not yet been evaluated. Until the next program impact evaluation, EMT assumes 100% realization rate.

⁵⁰⁸ This program is new and has not yet been evaluated. Until the next program impact evaluation, standard assumption of 25% is to be used.

⁵⁰⁹ This program is new and has not yet been evaluated. Until the next program impact evaluation, standard assumption of 0% is to be used.

⁵¹⁰ Hours based on PG&E savings estimates, algorithms, sources (2005), Food Service Pre-Rinse Spray Valves with review of 2010 Ohio Technical Reference Manual and Act on Energy Business Program Technical Resource Manual Rev05.

⁵¹¹ Grocery Store duration from: Impact and Process Evaluation Final Report for California Urban Water Conservation Council 2004-5 Pre-Rinse Spray Valve Installation Program (Phase 2), SBW Consulting, 2007, Table 3-6, p. 24. <http://www.allianceforwaterefficiency.org/WorkArea/DownloadAsset.aspx?id=976>

Compressed Air Equipment

| Prescriptive Compressed Air: High-Efficiency Air Compressors, Codes C1–C4 | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|-----------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|
| Last Revised Date | | 7/1/2013 | | | | | | |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | | | | | | | | |
| Description | | This measure involves the purchase and installation of a high-efficiency variable frequency drive (VFD) or load/no-load air compressor. | | | | | | |
| Primary Energy Impact | | Electric | | | | | | |
| Sector | | Commercial/Industrial | | | | | | |
| Program(s) | | C&I Prescriptive Program | | | | | | |
| End-Use | | Compressed air | | | | | | |
| Project Type | | New construction, Retrofit | | | | | | |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVINGS ALGORITHMS (UNIT SAVINGS) | | | | | | | | |
| Demand Savings | | $\Delta kW = HP_{\text{COMPRESSOR}} \times \Delta kW/HP$ | | | | | | |
| Annual Energy Savings | | $\Delta kWh/yr = HP_{\text{COMPRESSOR}} \times \Delta kW/HP \times \text{Hours/Week} \times \text{Weeks}$ | | | | | | |
| Definitions | | Unit = 1 new compressor | | | | | | |
| | | $HP_{\text{COMPRESSOR}}$ = HP of the proposed compressor (hp) | | | | | | |
| | | $\Delta kW/HP$ = Stipulated savings per compressor based on compressor size (kW/hp) | | | | | | |
| | | Hours/Week = Total operating hours per week (hrs/week) | | | | | | |
| | | Weeks = Total operating weeks per year (week/yr) | | | | | | |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTIONS | | | | | | | | |
| Baseline Efficiency | | Inlet modulation fixed-speed compressor. ⁵¹² | | | | | | |
| Efficient Measure | | VFD or load/no-load air compressor. | | | | | | |
| PARAMETER VALUES | | | | | | | | |
| Measure/Type | | HP | $\Delta kW/HP$ | Hours/Week | Weeks | Life (yrs) | Cost (\$) | |
| All | | Actual | Table 23 | Actual | Actual | 15 ⁵¹³ | \$164/HP ⁵¹⁴ | |
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | | |
| Program | | ISR | RR _E | RR _D | CF _S | CF _W | FR | SO |
| C&I Prescriptive | | 100% | 112.2% ⁵¹⁵ | 100% ⁵¹⁶ | Table 29 ⁵¹⁷ | Table 29 ⁵¹⁷ | 52% ⁵¹⁸ | 1.6% ⁵¹⁹ |

⁵¹² Stipulated measure savings derived from 149 actual Efficiency Maine projects – inlet modulation fixed-speed compressors were the dominant baseline machines among this sample of projects.

⁵¹³ 2005 Measure Life Study prepared for the Massachusetts Joint Utility by Energy Resource Solutions (2005). Measure life study prepared for the Massachusetts Joint Utilities.

⁵¹⁴ Based on a correlation between measure cost and compressor horsepower using measure cost data from 149 custom compressed air projects completed by Efficiency Maine between 2007 and 2011.

⁵¹⁵ Nexant, Business Incentive Program Impact Evaluation, unpublished draft, May 2017.

⁵¹⁶ RR_D used to adjust Summer and Winter CF to account for BIP program evaluation findings as presented in Appendix D.

⁵¹⁷ See Appendix C.

⁵¹⁸ Nexant, Business Incentive Program Process Evaluation, Published October 31, 2016, page 58.

⁵¹⁹ Ibid.

Table 23 – Stipulated Savings per Compressor Based on Compressor Size⁵²⁰

| Measure Code | HP | Δ kW/HP |
|--------------|---------------|----------------|
| C1 | ≤ 15 | 0.2556 |
| C2 | 16 HP – 30 HP | 0.2358 |
| C3 | 31 HP – 60 HP | 0.2154 |
| C4 | > 60 HP | 0.1861 |

⁵²⁰ (kW/HP) values are derived from 149 actual custom compressed air projects completed by Efficiency Maine between 2007 and 2011.

| Prescriptive Compressed Air: High-Efficiency Dryers, Codes C10–C16 | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|---------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|
| Last Revised Date | | 7/1/2017 | | | | | | |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | | | | | | | | |
| Description | | This measure involves the purchase and installation of high-efficiency cycling or VFD-equipped refrigerated air dryers. The dryers must be properly sized and equipped with automated controls that cycle the refrigerant compressor (or reduce the output for VFD modes) in response to compressed air demand. | | | | | | |
| Primary Energy Impact | | Electric | | | | | | |
| Sector | | Commercial/Industrial | | | | | | |
| Program(s) | | C&I Prescriptive Program | | | | | | |
| End-Use | | Compressed air | | | | | | |
| Project Type | | New construction, Retrofit | | | | | | |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVINGS ALGORITHMS (UNIT SAVINGS) | | | | | | | | |
| Demand Savings | | ΔkW | $= CFM_{DRYER} \times \Delta kW/CFM$ | | | | | |
| Annual Energy Savings | | $\Delta kWh/yr$ | $= CFM_{DRYER} \times \Delta kW/CFM \times \text{Hours/Week} \times \text{Weeks}$ | | | | | |
| Definitions | | Unit | $= 1$ new dryer | | | | | |
| | | CFM_{DRYER} | $=$ Full-flow rated capacity of refrigerated air dryer (CFM) | | | | | |
| | | $\Delta kW/CFM$ | $=$ Stipulated input power reduction per full-flow rating (CFM) of dryer (kW/CFM) | | | | | |
| | | Hours/Week | $=$ Total operating hours per week (hrs/week) | | | | | |
| | | Weeks | $=$ Total operating weeks per year (week/yr) | | | | | |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTIONS | | | | | | | | |
| Baseline Efficiency | | Non-cycling refrigerated air dryer. | | | | | | |
| Efficient Measure | | High-efficiency cycling or VFD-equipped refrigerated air dryer. | | | | | | |
| PARAMETER VALUES | | | | | | | | |
| Measure/Type | | CFM_{DRYER} | $\Delta kW/CFM$ | Hours/Week | Weeks | Life (yrs) | Cost (\$) | |
| All | | Actual | Table 24 | Actual | Actual | 15 ⁵²¹ | \$6.54/CFM ⁵²² | |
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | | |
| Program | | ISR | RR_E | RR_D | CF_S | CF_W | FR | SO |
| C&I Prescriptive | | 100% | 112.2% ⁵²³ | 100% ⁵²⁴ | Table 29 ⁵²⁵ | Table 29 ⁵²⁵ | 52% ⁵²⁶ | 1.6% ⁵²⁷ |

Table 24 – Input Power Reduction per Full-Flow Rating (CFM) of Dryer⁵²⁸

| Measure Code | Dryer CFM | $\Delta kW/CFM$ |
|--------------|----------------------|-----------------|
| C10 | < 100 | 0.00474 |
| C11, C12 | ≥ 100 and < 200 | 0.00359 |
| C13, C14 | ≥ 200 and < 300 | 0.00316 |
| C15 | ≥ 300 and < 400 | 0.00290 |
| C16 | ≥ 400 | 0.00272 |

⁵²¹ 2005 Measure Life Study prepared for the Massachusetts Joint Utility by ERS.⁵²² Based on historical measure cost for EMT projects, provided by Greg Scott, Trask-Decrow Machinery.⁵²³ Nexant, Business Incentive Program Impact Evaluation, unpublished draft, May 2017.⁵²⁴ RR_D used to adjust Summer and Winter CF to account for BIP program evaluation findings as presented in Appendix D.⁵²⁵ See Appendix C.⁵²⁶ Nexant, Business Incentive Program Process Evaluation, Published October 31, 2016, page 58.⁵²⁷ Ibid.⁵²⁸ Massachusetts Technical Reference Manual for Estimating Savings from Energy Efficiency Measures, 2013-2015 Program Years – Plan Version, October 2012, Page 262.

| Prescriptive Compressed Air: Receivers, Codes C20–C27 | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|---------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| Last Revised Date | | 7/1/2013 | | | | | | |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | | | | | | | | |
| Description | | This measure involves the installation of appropriately sized receivers in a compressed air system to diminish the downstream drop in pressure that results from surges in demand, eliminating the need for artificially high compressor output pressure. Note: When there is insufficient storage capacity in a compressed air system, surges in compressed air consumption cause dramatic dips in the downstream distribution system pressure. This requires that compressor output pressure be adjusted to artificially high levels to sustain downstream pressure at the desired level. | | | | | | |
| Primary Energy Impact | | Electric | | | | | | |
| Sector | | Commercial/Industrial | | | | | | |
| Program(s) | | C&I Prescriptive Program | | | | | | |
| End-Use | | Compressed air | | | | | | |
| Project Type | | New construction, Retrofit | | | | | | |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVINGS ALGORITHMS (UNIT SAVINGS) | | | | | | | | |
| Demand Savings | | Δ kW | $= HP_{\text{COMPRESSOR}} \times 0.746 \times \Delta \text{psi} / 2 \times \text{SAVE}$ | | | | | |
| Annual Energy Savings | | Δ kWh/yr | $= HP_{\text{COMPRESSOR}} \times 0.746 \times \Delta \text{psi} / 2 \times \text{SAVE} \times \text{Hours/Week} \times \text{Weeks}$ | | | | | |
| Definitions | | Unit | $= 1$ air receiver | | | | | |
| | | $HP_{\text{COMPRESSOR}}$ | $=$ Compressor horsepower (hp) | | | | | |
| | | Δ psi | $=$ Average reduction in system pressure (psi) | | | | | |
| | | SAVE | $=$ Average percentage demand reduction per pressure drop (%/psi) | | | | | |
| | | Hours/Week | $=$ Total compressed air system operating hours per week (hrs/week) | | | | | |
| | | Weeks | $=$ Total compressed air system operating weeks per year (week/yr) | | | | | |
| | | 0.746 | $=$ Conversion: 0.746 kW per hp | | | | | |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTIONS | | | | | | | | |
| Baseline Efficiency | | Compressed air system with inadequate receiver capacity. | | | | | | |
| Efficient Measure | | Compressed air system with receivers installed to achieve appropriately sized receiver capacity allowing a lower set point on system pressure. | | | | | | |
| PARAMETER VALUES | | | | | | | | |
| Measure/Type | | $HP_{\text{COMPRESSOR}}$ | Δ psi | Hours/Week | Weeks | SAVE | Life (yrs) | Cost (\$) |
| All | | Actual | 5 ⁵²⁹ | Actual | Actual | 1%/2 psi ⁵³⁰ | 10 ⁵³¹ | Table 25 |
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | | |
| Program | | ISR | RR_E | RR_D | CF_S | CF_W | FR | SO |
| C&I Prescriptive | | 100% | 112.2% ⁵³² | 100% ⁵³³ | Table 29 ⁵³⁴ | Table 29 ⁵³⁴ | 52% ⁵³⁵ | 1.6% ⁵³⁶ |

⁵²⁹ Compressed air systems generally range in operating pressure from 105 psi to 115 psi and since most compressed air end uses do not require pressure higher than 100psi, 5psi is a conservative maximum pressure drop available to systems lacking in storage capacity based on achieved results from previous Efficiency Maine projects.

⁵³⁰ Rule of thumb from Paul Shaw at Scales Industrial Technologies and the instructor of the Compressed Air Challenge course: 1% demand reduction for every 2 psi system pressure reduction.

⁵³¹ 2012 Technical Reference User Manual, Efficiency Vermont, 12/19/12, page 193.

⁵³² Nexant, Business Incentive Program Impact Evaluation, unpublished draft, May 2017.

⁵³³ RR_D used to adjust Summer and Winter CF to account for BIP program evaluation findings as presented in Appendix D.

⁵³⁴ See Appendix C.

⁵³⁵ Nexant, Business Incentive Program Process Evaluation, Published October 31, 2016, page 58.

⁵³⁶ Ibid.

Table 25 – Measure Cost for Compressed Air Receivers⁵³⁷

| Measure Code | Added Capacity (Gallons) | Cost (\$) |
|---------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|
| C20 | 60 | \$360 ^A |
| C21 | 80 | \$630 |
| C22 | 120 | \$1,058 |
| C23 | 200 | \$1,418 |
| C24 | 240 | \$1,463 |
| C25 | 400 | \$2,195 |
| N/A | 500 | \$3,360 |
| C26 | 660 | \$5,327 |
| C27 | 1060 | \$7,492 |

^A Cost data projected based on correlation between cost and HP for other size levels.

⁵³⁷ Cost data provided by Greg Scott, Trask-Decrow Machinery.

| Prescriptive Compressed Air: Low Pressure Drop Filters, Codes C30–C33 | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|-----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|
| Last Revised Date | | 7/1/2013 | | | | | | |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | | | | | | | | |
| Description | | This measure involves the purchase and installation of low pressure drop (LPD) filters in compressed air systems to remove oil particulates or other contaminates from the compressed air at the front end of the distribution system. The reduction in pressure drop across these filters translates directly to an allowable reduction in the output pressure set point of the compressor. | | | | | | |
| Primary Energy Impact | | Electric | | | | | | |
| Sector | | Commercial/Industrial | | | | | | |
| Program(s) | | C&I Prescriptive Program | | | | | | |
| End-Use | | Compressed air | | | | | | |
| Project Type | | New construction, Retrofit | | | | | | |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVINGS ALGORITHMS (UNIT SAVINGS) | | | | | | | | |
| Demand Savings | | $\Delta kW = HP_{\text{COMPRESSOR}} \times 0.746 \times \Delta \text{psi} / 2 \times \text{SAVE}$ | | | | | | |
| Annual Energy Savings | | $\Delta \text{kWh/yr} = HP_{\text{COMPRESSOR}} \times 0.746 \times \Delta \text{psi} / 2 \times \text{SAVE} \times \text{HoursWk} \times \text{Weeks}$ | | | | | | |
| Definitions | | Unit = 1 low pressure drop filter $HP_{\text{COMPRESSOR}}$ = Compressor horsepower (hp) Δpsi = Calculated system pressure reduction per LDP filter (psi) SAVE = Average percentage demand reduction per pressure drop (%/psi) HoursWk = Total compressed air system operating hours per week (hrs/week) Weeks = Total compressed air system operating weeks per year (week/yr) 0.746 = Conversion: 0.746 kW per hp | | | | | | |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTIONS | | | | | | | | |
| Baseline Efficiency | | Compressed air system with standard filters (that result in a large drop in pressure as air passes through filter). | | | | | | |
| Efficient Measure | | Compressed air system with low-pressure drop filters. | | | | | | |
| PARAMETER VALUES | | | | | | | | |
| Measure/Type | | $HP_{\text{COMPRESSOR}}$ | Δpsi | SAVE | Hours/Week | Weeks | Life (yrs) | Cost (\$) |
| All | | Actual | 2 ⁵³⁸ | 1%/2 psi ⁵³⁹ | Actual | Actual | 4 ⁵⁴⁰ | \$4.60/HP ⁵⁴¹ |
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | | |
| Program | | ISR | RR_E | RR_D | CF_S | CF_W | FR | SO |
| C&I Prescriptive | | 100% | 112.2% ⁵⁴² | 100% ⁵⁴³ | Table 29 ⁵⁴⁴ | Table 29 ⁵⁴⁴ | 52% ⁵⁴⁵ | 1.6% ⁵⁴⁶ |

⁵³⁸ Based on information derived from the Compressed Air Challenge and confirmed with Trask-Decrow Machinery.

⁵³⁹ Rule of thumb from Paul Shaw at Scales Industrial Technologies and the instructor of the Compressed Air Challenge course: 1% demand reduction for every 2 psi system pressure reduction.

⁵⁴⁰ Rhode Island Technical Reference, 2012 Program Year. EMT uses the average of measure life for retrofit (3 years) and for new construction (5 years).

⁵⁴¹ Based historical measure cost data for EMT projects, provided by Greg Scott, Trask-Decrow Machinery.

⁵⁴² Nexant, Business Incentive Program Impact Evaluation, unpublished draft, May 2017.

⁵⁴³ RR_D used to adjust Summer and Winter CF to account for BIP program evaluation findings as presented in Appendix D.

⁵⁴⁴ See Appendix C.

⁵⁴⁵ Nexant, Business Incentive Program Process Evaluation, Published October 31, 2016, page 58.

⁵⁴⁶ Ibid.

| Prescriptive Compressed Air: Air-Entraining Nozzles, Code C40 | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|-----------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| Last Revised Date | | 7/1/2017 | | | | | | |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | | | | | | | | |
| Description | | This measure involves the purchase and installation of air-entraining nozzles to reduce the consumption of compressed air by “blow-off” nozzles, while maintaining performance by inducing the flow of air surrounding the nozzle. | | | | | | |
| Primary Energy Impact | | Electric | | | | | | |
| Sector | | Commercial/Industrial | | | | | | |
| Program(s) | | C&I Prescriptive Program | | | | | | |
| End-Use | | Compressed air | | | | | | |
| Project Type | | New construction, Retrofit | | | | | | |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVINGS ALGORITHMS (UNIT SAVINGS) | | | | | | | | |
| Demand Savings | | $\Delta kW = \Delta kW_{NOZZLE} \times \%Use$ | | | | | | |
| Annual Energy Savings | | $\Delta kWh/yr = \Delta kW_{NOZZLE} \times \%Use \times HoursWk \times Weeks$ | | | | | | |
| Definitions | | Unit = 1 nozzle | | | | | | |
| | | ΔkW_{NOZZLE} = Average demand savings per nozzle (kW) | | | | | | |
| | | HoursWk = Weekly hours of operation (hrs/week) | | | | | | |
| | | Weeks = Weeks per year of operation (weeks/yr) | | | | | | |
| | | % Use = % of compressor operating hours when nozzle is in use (%) | | | | | | |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTIONS | | | | | | | | |
| Baseline Efficiency | | Compressed air system with standard nozzles (without air-entraining design). | | | | | | |
| Efficient Measure | | Compressed air system with air-entraining nozzles. | | | | | | |
| PARAMETER VALUES | | | | | | | | |
| Measure/Type | | ΔkW_{NOZZLE} | Hours/Week | Weeks | %Use | Life (yrs) | Cost (\$) | |
| All | | Table 26 | Actual | Actual | 5% ⁵⁴⁷ | 10 ⁵⁴⁸ | 14 ⁵⁴⁹ | |
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | | |
| Program | | ISR | RR _E | RR _D | CF _S | CF _W | FR | SO |
| C&I Prescriptive | | 100% | 112.2% ⁵⁵⁰ | 100% ⁵⁵¹ | Table 29 ⁵⁵² | Table 29 ⁵⁵² | 52% ⁵⁵³ | 1.6% ⁵⁵⁴ |

⁵⁴⁷ Assume 5% based on an average of 3 seconds per minute. Assumes 50% handheld air guns and 50% stationary air nozzles. Manual air guns tend to be used less than stationary air nozzles, and a conservative estimate of 1 second of blow-off per minute of compressor runtime is assumed. Stationary air nozzles are commonly more wasteful, as they are often mounted on machine tools and can be manually operated, resulting in the possibility of a long-term open blow situation. An assumption of 5 seconds of blow-off per minute of compressor runtime is used. From 2012 Technical Reference User Manual, Efficiency Vermont, 12/19/12, page 184.

⁵⁴⁸ 2012 Technical Reference User Manual, Efficiency Vermont, 12/19/12, page 186.

⁵⁴⁹ 2010 Ohio Technical Reference Manual, Vermont Energy Investment Corp, August 6, 2010, pages 226–227.

⁵⁵⁰ Nexant, Business Incentive Program Impact Evaluation, unpublished draft, May 2017.

⁵⁵¹ RR_D used to adjust Summer and Winter CF to account for BIP program evaluation findings as presented in Appendix D.

⁵⁵² See Appendix C.

⁵⁵³ Nexant, Business Incentive Program Process Evaluation, Published October 31, 2016, page 58.

⁵⁵⁴ Ibid.

Table 26 – Stipulated Savings for Standard Nozzle vs. Air-Entraining Nozzle CFM

| Size | Standard Nozzle CFM ^A | Air-Entraining Nozzle CFM ^B | $\Delta kW/CFM^B$ | ΔkW_{NOZZLE}^C |
|------|----------------------------------|--|-------------------|------------------------|
| 1/8" | 21 | 6 | 0.19 | 2.85 |
| 1/4" | 58 | 11 | 0.15 | 7.05 |

^A Machinery's Handbook, 25th Ed. Ed by Erik Oberg (Et Al). Industrial Press, Inc. ISBN-10: 0831125756

^B 2010 Ohio Technical Reference Manual, Vermont Energy Investment Corp, August 6, 2010 Pg 226-227.

^C $\Delta kW_{NOZZLE} = (Flow_{Standard} - Flow_{AE}) \times \Delta kW/CFM$

Thermal Envelope

| Multifamily Attic/Roof/Ceiling Insulation (MIA) | |
|--|--|
| Last Revised Date | 8/1/2017 (new measure) |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | |
| Description | This measure involves the insulation of the attic floor to decrease heating and cooling losses. The attic floor must also be air-sealed prior to insulation application. The total savings below reflect savings due to the added insulation and improved air sealing. |
| Energy Impacts | Natural Gas |
| Sector | Residential/Commercial |
| Program(s) | Low Income |
| End-Use | Heating, Cooling |
| Decision Type | Retrofit |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVINGS ALGORITHMS (UNIT SAVINGS) | |
| Demand savings | If mechanical cooling equipment is present $\Delta kW_{SP} = \Delta kWh \times LSF_{SP}$ |
| Annual Energy savings | $\Delta MMBtu_{NG} = \Delta MMBtu_{HEAT} / EFF$ If mechanical cooling equipment is present $\Delta kWh = \Delta MMBtu_{COOL} / EER \times 1000$ $\Delta MMBtu_{HEAT} = HDD \times 24 \times [SQFT \times (1/RVAL_{PRE} + 1/RVAL_{POST}) + \Delta CFM50 \times 14.8 \times 0.018 \times 60] / 1,000,000$ $\Delta MMBtu_{COOL} = CDD \times 24 \times [SQFT \times (1/RVAL_{PRE} + 1/RVAL_{POST}) + \Delta CFM50 \times 14.8 \times 0.018 \times 60] / 1,000,000$ |
| Definitions | Unit = Attic/roof insulation project $\Delta MMBtu_{HEAT}$ = Reduction in annual heat loss due to improved insulation and associated air sealing $\Delta MMBtu_{COOL}$ = Reduction in annual heat gain due to improved insulation and associated air sealing EFF = Efficiency factor of heating system (Btu/Btu) EER = Energy-efficiency ratio of cooling system (Btu/Wh) 1000 = Conversion factor (kW/MW) HDD = Heating Degree Days (°F-days) CDD = Cooling Degree Days (°F-days) 24 = Conversion factor (hours/day) SQFT = Area of attic insulation (ft ²) assumed in temperature bin analysis RVAL _{PRE} = Pre-upgrade attic R-value (ft ² -°F-hr/Btu) assumed in temperature bin analysis RVAL _{POST} = Post-upgrade attic R-value (ft ² -°F-hr/Btu) assumed in temperature bin analysis ΔCFM50 = Change in air infiltration measured at 50 Pascals (ft ³ /minute) 14.8 = LBNL Conversion factor (CFM50/CFM _{natural}) 0.018 = Heatloss from air infiltration (Btu/CFM _{natural} /°F) 60 = Conversion factor (minutes/hour) 1,000,000 = Conversion factor (MMBtu/Btu) LSF _{SP} = Summer peak load shape factor (kW/kWh/yr) |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTIONS | |
| Baseline Efficiency | The baseline is the existing (pre-upgrade) insulation |
| Efficient Measure | The high-efficiency case is the upgraded insulation |

| Multifamily Attic/Roof/Ceiling Insulation (MIA) | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------|
| PARAMETER VALUES (DEEMED) | | | | | | | |
| Measure | EFF ⁵⁵⁵ | EER ⁵⁵⁶ | HDD ⁵⁵⁷ | CDD ⁵⁵⁸ | Life (yrs) ⁵⁵⁹ | Cost (\$) ⁵⁶⁰ | |
| Attic/Roof Insulation | Actual or 80.5 | Actual or 9.8 | 9350 | 229 | 25 | 2,654/[1000 ft²] | |
| Measure | SQFT ⁵⁶¹ | RVAL _{PRE} ⁵⁶² | RVAL _{POST} ⁵⁶³ | ΔCFM50 ⁵⁶⁴ | | LSF _{sp} ⁵⁶⁵ | |
| Attic/Roof Insulation | Actual | Actual | Actual | Actual or 392/[1000 ft²] | | 0.00176 | |
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | |
| Program | ISR ⁵⁶⁶ | RR _E ⁵⁶⁷ | RR _D ⁵⁶⁷ | CF _S ⁵⁶⁸ | CF _W ⁵⁶⁸ | FR ⁵⁶⁹ | SO ⁵⁷⁰ |
| Low Income Initiatives | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 0% | 0% |

⁵⁵⁵ If actual heating system efficiency is unknown use stated value. Stated value is for a representative heating system efficiency based on NMR Group, Maine Single-Family Residential Baseline Study, September 14, 2015.

⁵⁵⁶ If actual cooling equipment efficiency is unknown use stated value. Stated value is set to the federal standard of 9.8 according to DOE Federal Test Procedure 10 CFR 430, Appendix F: <http://buildingsdatabook.eere.energy.gov/TableView.aspx?table=7.5.1>. The code was effective for products manufactured on or after October 1, 2000. Since the measure life for room air-conditioners is about 9 years, most units will meet this standard.

⁵⁵⁷ Heating Degree Days based on weighted temperature bin analysis using TMY3 temperature bins for Portland (71.2%), Bangor (23.4%) and Caribou (5.4%) with an indoor heating design temperature of 70°. A higher indoor heating design temperature was selected for attic insulation to account for temperature stratification within the building.

⁵⁵⁸ Cooling Degree Days based on weighted temperature bin analysis using TMY3 temperature bins for Portland (71.2%), Bangor (23.4%) and Caribou (5.4%) with an indoor cooling design temperature of 70°.

⁵⁵⁹ GDS Measure Life Report, Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures, Prepared for the New England State Program Working Group, June 2007; Table 1.

⁵⁶⁰ Average cost of sampled 2016 projects where attic insulation was itemized separately on contractor invoice (N=58).

⁵⁶¹ Actual square footage of insulation installed. This value should exclude area not insulated due to structures and framing.

⁵⁶² Actual R-value for existing attic floor construction including insulation, sheathing and other construction materials

⁵⁶³ Actual resulting R-value from insulation installation including insulation, sheathing and other construction materials

⁵⁶⁴ If blower door test results are not available use stated Δ CFM50 value per 1000 square feet insulated. Stated value is based on FY16 project blower-door tests for projects consisting of only air sealing and attic insulation minus the average CFM50 reduction of air sealing only projects.

⁵⁶⁵ Based on temperature bin analysis of ductless heat pump seasonal cooling using TMY3 temperature bins

⁵⁶⁶ EMT assumes insulation is fully installed (i.e. ISR = 100%).

⁵⁶⁷ The measure has not yet been evaluated. Until the next program impact evaluation, EMT assumes 100 percent realization rate.

⁵⁶⁸ Peak coincidence factors for this measure are embedded in the evaluated peak demand impacts.

⁵⁶⁹ Program assumes no free ridership for Low Income Initiatives

⁵⁷⁰ Program not yet evaluated, assume default SO of 0%.

| Multifamily Wall Insulation (MIW) | |
|--|--|
| Last Revised Date | 8/1/2017 (new measure) |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | |
| Description | This measure involves the insulation of wall cavities to decrease heating and cooling losses. The walls must also be air-sealed prior to insulation application. The total savings below reflect savings due to the added insulation and improved air sealing. |
| Energy Impacts | Natural Gas |
| Sector | Residential/Commercial |
| Program(s) | Low Income |
| End-Use | Heating, Cooling |
| Decision Type | Retrofit |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVINGS ALGORITHMS (UNIT SAVINGS) | |
| Demand savings | If mechanical cooling equipment is present $\Delta kW_{SP} = \Delta kWh \times LSF_{SP}$ |
| Annual Energy savings | $\Delta MMBtu_{NG} = \Delta MMBtu_{HEAT} / EFF$ If mechanical cooling equipment is present $\Delta kWh = \Delta MMBtu_{COOL} / EER \times 1000$ $\Delta MMBtu_{HEAT} = HDD \times 24 \times [SQFT \times (1/RVAL_{PRE} + 1/RVAL_{POST}) + \Delta CFM50 \times 14.8 \times 0.018 \times 60] / 1,000,000$ $\Delta MMBtu_{COOL} = CDD \times 24 \times [SQFT \times (1/RVAL_{PRE} + 1/RVAL_{POST}) + \Delta CFM50 \times 14.8 \times 0.018 \times 60] / 1,000,000$ |
| Definitions | Unit = Attic/roof insulation project $\Delta MMBtu_{HEAT}$ = Reduction in annual heat loss due to improved insulation and associated air sealing $\Delta MMBtu_{COOL}$ = Reduction in annual heat gain due to improved insulation and associated air sealing EFF = Efficiency factor of heating system (Btu/Btu) EER = Energy-efficiency ratio of cooling system (Btu/Wh) 1000 = Conversion factor (kW/MW) HDD = Heating Degree Days (°F-days) CDD = Cooling Degree Days (°F-days) 24 = Conversion factor (hours/day) SQFT = Area of attic insulation (ft ²) assumed in temperature bin analysis RVAL _{PRE} = Pre-upgrade attic R-value (ft ² -°F-hr/Btu) assumed in temperature bin analysis RVAL _{POST} = Post-upgrade attic R-value (ft ² -°F-hr/Btu) assumed in temperature bin analysis ΔCFM50 = Change in air infiltration measured at 50 Pascals (ft ³ /minute) 14.8 = LBNL Conversion factor (CFM50/CFM _{natural}) 0.018 = Heatloss from air infiltration (Btu/CFM _{natural} /°F) 60 = Conversion factor (minutes/hour) 1,000,000 = Conversion factor (MMBtu/Btu) LSF _{SP} = Summer peak load shape factor (kW/kWh/yr) |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTIONS | |
| Baseline Efficiency | The baseline is the existing (pre-upgrade) insulation |
| Efficient Measure | The high-efficiency case is the upgraded insulation |

| Multifamily Wall Insulation (MIW) | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------|
| PARAMETER VALUES (DEEMED) | | | | | | | |
| Measure | EFF ⁵⁷¹ | EER ⁵⁷² | HDD ⁵⁷³ | CDD ⁵⁷⁴ | Life (yrs) ⁵⁷⁵ | Cost (\$) ⁵⁷⁶ | |
| Attic/Roof Insulation | Actual or 80.5 | Actual or 9.8 | 7777 | 229 | 25 | 2,382/[1000 ft²] | |
| Measure | SQFT ⁵⁷⁷ | RVAL _{PRE} ⁵⁷⁸ | RVAL _{POST} ⁵⁷⁹ | ΔCFM50 ⁵⁸⁰ | | LSF _{sp} ⁵⁸¹ | |
| Attic/Roof Insulation | Actual | Actual | Actual | Actual or 710/[1000 ft²] | | 0.00176 | |
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | |
| Program | ISR ⁵⁸² | RR _E ⁵⁸³ | RR _D ⁵⁶⁷ | CF _s ⁵⁸⁴ | CF _w ⁵⁶⁸ | FR ⁵⁸⁵ | SO ⁵⁸⁶ |
| Low Income Initiatives | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 0% | 0% |

⁵⁷¹ If actual heating system efficiency is unknown use stated value. Stated value is for a representative heating system efficiency based on NMR Group, Maine Single-Family Residential Baseline Study, September 14, 2015.

⁵⁷² If actual cooling equipment efficiency is unknown use stated value. Stated value is set to the federal standard of 9.8 according to DOE Federal Test Procedure 10 CFR 430, Appendix F: <http://buildingsdatabook.eere.energy.gov/TableView.aspx?table=7.5.1>. The code was effective for products manufactured on or after October 1, 2000. Since the measure life for room air-conditioners is about 9 years, most units will meet this standard.

⁵⁷³ Heating Degree Days based on weighted temperature bin analysis using TMY3 temperature bins for Portland (71.2%), Bangor (23.4%) and Caribou (5.4%) with an indoor heating design temperature of 65°.

⁵⁷⁴ Cooling Degree Days based on weighted temperature bin analysis using TMY3 temperature bins for Portland (71.2%), Bangor (23.4%) and Caribou (5.4%) with an indoor cooling design temperature of 70°.

⁵⁷⁵ GDS Measure Life Report, Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures, Prepared for the New England State Program Working Group, June 2007; Table 1.

⁵⁷⁶ Average cost of sampled 2016 projects where wall insulation was itemized separately on contractor invoice (N=42).

⁵⁷⁷ Actual square footage of insulation installed. This value should exclude area not insulated due to structures and framing.

⁵⁷⁸ Actual R-value for existing attic floor construction including insulation, sheathing and other construction materials

⁵⁷⁹ Actual resulting R-value from insulation installation including insulation, sheathing and other construction materials

⁵⁸⁰ If blower door test results are not available use stated Δ CFM50 value per 1000 square feet insulated. Stated value is based on FY16 project blower-door tests for projects consisting of only air sealing and attic insulation minus the average CFM50 reduction of air sealing only projects.

⁵⁸¹ Based on temperature bin analysis of ductless heat pump seasonal cooling using TMY3 temperature bins

⁵⁸² EMT assumes insulation is fully installed (i.e. ISR = 100%).

⁵⁸³ The measure has not yet been evaluated. Until the next program impact evaluation, EMT assumes 100 percent realization rate.

⁵⁸⁴ Peak coincidence factors for this measure are embedded in the evaluated peak demand impacts.

⁵⁸⁵ Program assumes no free ridership for Low Income Initiatives

⁵⁸⁶ Program not yet evaluated, assume default SO of 0%.

| Multifamily Basement Insulation (MIB) | |
|---|--|
| Last Revised Date | 8/1/2017 (new measure) |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | |
| Description | This measure involves the insulation of the rim joist and the basement wall (at least 2 feet below grade) with 1" spray foam to decrease heating losses. The rim joist must also be air-sealed prior to insulation application. The total savings below reflect savings due to the added insulation and improved air sealing. |
| Energy Impacts | Natural Gas |
| Sector | Residential/Commercial |
| Program(s) | Low Income |
| End-Use | Heating, Cooling |
| Decision Type | Retrofit |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVINGS ALGORITHMS (UNIT SAVINGS) | |
| Demand savings | If mechanical cooling equipment is present $\Delta kW_{SP} = \Delta kWh \times LSF_{SP}$ |
| Annual Energy savings | $\Delta MMBtu_{NG} = \Delta MMBtu_{HEAT} / EFF$ If mechanical cooling equipment is present $\Delta kWh = \Delta MMBtu_{COOL} / EER \times 1000$ $\Delta MMBtu_{HEAT} = HDD \times 24 \times [SQFT \times (1/RVAL_{PRE} + 1/RVAL_{POST}) + \Delta CFM50 \times 14.8 \times 0.018 \times 60] / 1,000,000$ $\Delta MMBtu_{COOL} = CDD \times 24 \times [SQFT \times (1/RVAL_{PRE} + 1/RVAL_{POST}) + \Delta CFM50 \times 14.8 \times 0.018 \times 60] / 1,000,000$ |
| Definitions | Unit = Attic/roof insulation project $\Delta MMBtu_{HEAT}$ = Reduction in annual heat loss due to improved insulation and associated air sealing $\Delta MMBtu_{COOL}$ = Reduction in annual heat gain due to improved insulation and associated air sealing EFF = Efficiency factor of heating system (Btu/Btu) EER = Energy-efficiency ratio of cooling system (Btu/Wh) 1000 = Conversion factor (kW/MW) HDD = Heating Degree Days (°F-days) CDD = Cooling Degree Days (°F-days) 24 = Conversion factor (hours/day) SQFT = Area of attic insulation (ft ²) assumed in temperature bin analysis RVAL _{PRE} = Pre-upgrade attic R-value (ft ² -°F-hr/Btu) assumed in temperature bin analysis RVAL _{POST} = Post-upgrade attic R-value (ft ² -°F-hr/Btu) assumed in temperature bin analysis ΔCFM50 = Change in air infiltration measured at 50 Pascals (ft ³ /minute) 14.8 = LBNL Conversion factor (CFM50/CFM _{natural}) 0.018 = Heatloss from air infiltration (Btu/CFM _{natural} /°F) 60 = Conversion factor (minutes/hour) 1,000,000 = Conversion factor (MMBtu/Btu) LSF _{SP} = Summer peak load shape factor (kW/kWh/yr) |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTIONS | |
| Baseline Efficiency | The baseline is the existing (pre-upgrade) insulation |
| Efficient Measure | The high-efficiency case is the upgraded insulation |

| Multifamily Basement Insulation (MIB) | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------|
| PARAMETER VALUES (DEEMED) | | | | | | | |
| Measure | EFF ⁵⁸⁷ | EER ⁵⁸⁸ | HDD ⁵⁸⁹ | CDD ⁵⁹⁰ | Life (yrs) ⁵⁹¹ | Cost (\$) ⁵⁹² | |
| Attic/Roof Insulation | Actual or 80.5 | Actual or 9.8 | 3954 | 0 | 25 | 1,167/[1000 ft²] | |
| Measure | SQFT ⁵⁹³ | RVAL _{PRE} ⁵⁹⁴ | RVAL _{POST} ⁵⁹⁵ | ΔCFM50 ⁵⁹⁶ | | LSF _{sp} ⁵⁹⁷ | |
| Attic/Roof Insulation | Actual | Actual | Actual | Actual or 259/[1000 ft²] | | 0.00176 | |
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | |
| Program | ISR ⁵⁹⁸ | RR _E ⁵⁹⁹ | RR _D ⁵⁶⁷ | CF _S ⁶⁰⁰ | CF _W ⁵⁶⁸ | FR ⁶⁰¹ | SO ⁶⁰² |
| Low Income Initiatives | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 0% | 0% |

⁵⁸⁷ If actual heating system efficiency is unknown use stated value. Stated value is for a representative heating system efficiency based on NMR Group, Maine Single-Family Residential Baseline Study, September 14, 2015.

⁵⁸⁸ If actual cooling equipment efficiency is unknown use stated value. Stated value is set to the federal standard of 9.8 according to DOE Federal Test Procedure 10 CFR 430, Appendix F: <http://buildingsdatabook.eere.energy.gov/TableView.aspx?table=7.5.1>. The code was effective for products manufactured on or after October 1, 2000. Since the measure life for room air-conditioners is about 9 years, most units will meet this standard.

⁵⁸⁹ Heating Degree Days based on weighted temperature bin analysis using TMY3 temperature bins for Portland (71.2%), Bangor (23.4%) and Caribou (5.4%) with an indoor heating design temperature of 50°. A lower indoor design temperature was selected for basement insulation to account for temperature stratification within the building and assumes an unheated basement.

⁵⁹⁰ It is assumed that the basement is not air conditioned and heat gain through the basement wall does not contribute to the cooling load of the building.

⁵⁹¹ GDS Measure Life Report, Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures, Prepared for the New England State Program Working Group, June 2007; Table 1.

⁵⁹² Average cost of sampled 2016 projects where basement insulation was itemized separately on contractor invoice (N=42).

⁵⁹³ Actual square footage of insulation installed. This value should exclude area not insulated due to structures and framing.

⁵⁹⁴ Actual R-value for existing attic floor construction including insulation, concrete and other construction materials

⁵⁹⁵ Actual resulting R-value from insulation installation including insulation, concrete and other construction materials

⁵⁹⁶ If blower door test results are not available use stated ΔCFM50 value per 1000 square feet insulated. Stated value is based on FY16 project blower-door tests for projects consisting of only air sealing and attic insulation minus the average CFM50 reduction of air sealing only projects.

⁵⁹⁷ Based on temperature bin analysis of ductless heat pump seasonal cooling using TMY3 temperature bins

⁵⁹⁸ EMT assumes insulation is fully installed (i.e. ISR = 100%).

⁵⁹⁹ The measure has not yet been evaluated. Until the next program impact evaluation, EMT assumes 100 percent realization rate.

⁶⁰⁰ Peak coincidence factors for this measure are embedded in the evaluated peak demand impacts.

⁶⁰¹ Program assumes no free ridership for Low Income Initiatives

⁶⁰² Program not yet evaluated, assume default SO of 0%.

Commercial Laundry Equipment

| Multifamily Common Area Clothes Washer (MCW) | |
|--|---|
| Last Revised Date | 8/1/2017 (new measure) |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | |
| Description | This measure involves the purchase and installation of a new ENERGY STAR®-certified clothes washer in place of an existing top load clothes washer. The associated water heater and clothes dryer must be natural gas. |
| Energy Impacts | Natural Gas |
| Sector | Residential/Commercial |
| Program(s) | Low Income |
| End-Use | Process |
| Decision Type | Retrofit |
| DEEMED GROSS ENERGY SAVINGS (UNIT SAVINGS) | |
| Demand savings | $\Delta kW = 0.108$ $\Delta kW_{SP} = 0.005$ $\Delta kW_{WP} = 0.007$ |
| Annual energy savings | $\Delta kWh/yr = 105$ $\Delta MMBtu_{GAS}/yr = 6.624$ |
| Annual water savings | $\Delta Gallons/yr = 17,320$ |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVINGS ALGORITHMS (UNIT SAVINGS) | |
| Demand savings | $kW = \Delta kWh/yr / Loads^{603}$ |
| Annual Energy savings | $\Delta kWh/yr = CAP_{EE} \times Loads \times [(1/IMEF_{BASE}) \times \%E_{MACHINE_B} - (1/IMEF_{EE}) \times \%E_{MACHINE_EE}]$ $\Delta MMBtu_{GAS}/yr = CAP_{EE} \times Loads \times [(1/IMEF_{BASE}) \times (\%EDHW_B + \%EDRYER_B \times \%Dried) - (1/IMEF_{EE}) \times (\%EDHW_EE + \%EDRYER_EE \times \%Dried)] \times 0.003412 / Eff_{GAS}$ |
| Annual water savings | $\Delta Gallons/yr = CAP_{EE} \times (IWF_{BASE} - IWF_{EE}) \times Loads$ |
| Definitions | Unit = 1 clothes washer CAP_{EE} = Rated capacity of the installed clothes washer (ft ³) Loads = Washer loads per year (cycles/yr) $IMEF_{BASE}$ = Rated Integrated Modified Energy Factor for baseline model (ft ³ /kWh/cycle) $IMEF_{EE}$ = Rated Integrated Modified Energy Factor for ENERGY STAR® model (ft ³ /kWh/cycle) $\%E_{MACHINE_B}$ = Percentage of baseline clothes washer system energy used for washer machine $\%E_{MACHINE_EE}$ = Percentage of ENERGY STAR® clothes washer system energy used for washer machine $\%EDHW_B$ = Percentage of baseline clothes washer system energy used for water heating $\%EDHW_EE$ = Percentage of ENERGY STAR® clothes washer system energy used for water heating $\%EDRYER_B$ = Percentage of baseline clothes washer system energy used for the clothes dryer $\%EDRYER_EE$ = Percentage of ENERGY STAR® clothes washer system energy used for the clothes dryer $\%Dried$ = Percentage of washed loads that are dried in dryer (%) Eff_{GAS} = Efficiency of existing gas-fired water heaters (%) IWF_{BASE} = Rated integrated water factor for the baseline clothes washer (gallons/cycle/ft ³) IWF_{EE} = Rated integrated water factor for the ENERGY STAR® clothes washer (gallons/cycle/ft ³) 0.003412 = Conversion factor: 0.003412 MMBtu per kWh |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTIONS | |
| Baseline Efficiency | The baseline is a standard top loading clothes washer. The current federal standard requires a minimum IMEF of 1.29 and IWF of 8.4 for top loading machines. These standards are valid for clothes washers manufactured on or after March 7, 2015. New standards become effective January 1, 2018. |
| Efficient Measure | ENERGY STAR®-certified front loading clothes washer. |

⁶⁰³ Demand savings algorithm assumes that the average load time is one hour.

| Multifamily Common Area Clothes Washer (MCW) | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|
| PARAMETER VALUES (DEEMED) | | | | | | | |
| Measure | CAP _{EE} ⁶⁰⁴ | | Loads ⁶⁰⁵ | IMEF _{BASE} ⁶⁰⁶ | IMEF _{EE} ⁶⁰⁷ | Life (yrs) ⁶⁰⁸ | Cost (\$) |
| ENERGY STAR® CW | 3.81 | | 967.2 | 1.29 | 2.38 | 11 | Actual |
| | %E _{MACHINE_B} ⁶⁰⁹ | %E _{MACHINE_EE} ⁶¹⁰ | %E _{DRYER_B} ⁶¹¹ | %E _{DRYER_EE} ⁶¹² | %E _{DHW_B} ⁶¹³ | %E _{DHW_EE} ⁶¹⁴ | |
| | 8% | | 8% | 61% | 69% | 31% | 23% |
| | Eff _{GAS} ⁶¹⁵ | | %Dried ⁶¹⁶ | IWF _{BASE} ⁶¹⁷ | IWF _{EE} ⁶¹⁸ | | |
| | Actual or 62% | | 100% | 8.4 | 3.7 | | |
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | |
| Program | ISR ⁶¹⁹ | RR _E ⁶²⁰ | RR _D ⁶²¹ | CF _S ⁶²² | CF _W ⁶²³ | FR ⁶²⁴ | SO ⁶²⁵ |
| Low Income Initiatives | 100% | 100% | 100% | 4.8%% | 6.3% | 0% | 0% |

⁶⁰⁴ NMR Group, Inc., Efficiency Maine Appliance Rebate Program Evaluation Overall Report – FINAL, July 18, 2014, Table 2-13.

⁶⁰⁵ Assumed to be 3 times the average number of loads for a single family home with one clothes washer provided for every three apartments

⁶⁰⁶ Federal Standard for Top Loading units

⁶⁰⁷ ENERGYSTAR® criteria for Front Loading units

⁶⁰⁸ NMR Group, Inc., Efficiency Maine Appliance Rebate Program Evaluation Overall Report – FINAL, July 18, 2014, Table 2-18.

⁶⁰⁹ Illinois Statewide TRM Effective 06/01/15.

⁶¹⁰ Ibid.

⁶¹¹ Ibid.

⁶¹² Ibid.

⁶¹³ Ibid.

⁶¹⁴ Ibid.

⁶¹⁵ EMT assumes 62 percent efficiency for existing natural gas-fired water heaters based on an atmospheric, storage tank water heater.

⁶¹⁶ NMR Group, Inc., Efficiency Maine Appliance Rebate Program Evaluation Overall Report – FINAL, July 18, 2014, p. 40: consistent with implicit assumption used in the savings algorithm for clothes washers.

⁶¹⁷ Federal Standard for Top Loading units

⁶¹⁸ ENERGYSTAR® criteria for Front Loading units

⁶¹⁹ EMT assumes all units are installed (i.e. ISR = 100%).

⁶²⁰ The measure has not yet been evaluated. Until the next program impact evaluation, EMT assumes 100 percent realization rate.

⁶²¹ The measure has not yet been evaluated. Until the next program impact evaluation, EMT assumes 100 percent realization rate.

⁶²² Derived from summer peak demand NMR Group, Inc., Efficiency Maine Appliance Rebate Program Evaluation Overall Report – FINAL, July 18, 2014, p. 45

⁶²³ Derived from winter peak demand Memo provided to supplement NMR Group, Inc., Efficiency Maine Appliance Rebate Program Evaluation Overall Report – FINAL, July 18, 2014

⁶²⁴ Program assumes no free ridership for Low Income Initiatives

⁶²⁵ Program not yet evaluated, assume default SO of 0%.

| Multifamily Common Area Clothes Dryer (MCD) | | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Last Revised Date | | 8/1/2017 (new measure) | | | | | |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | | | | | | | |
| Description | | This measure involves the purchase and installation of a new ENERGY STAR®-certified clothes dryer in place of an existing clothes dryer. | | | | | |
| Energy Impacts | | Natural Gas | | | | | |
| Sector | | Residential/Commercial | | | | | |
| Program(s) | | Low Income | | | | | |
| End-Use | | Process | | | | | |
| Decision Type | | Retrofit | | | | | |
| DEEMED GROSS ENERGY SAVINGS (UNIT SAVINGS) | | | | | | | |
| Demand savings | | N/A | | | | | |
| Annual energy savings | | ΔMMBtu _{GAS} /yr = 1.212 | | | | | |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVINGS ALGORITHMS (UNIT SAVINGS) | | | | | | | |
| Demand savings | | N/A ⁶²⁶ | | | | | |
| Annual Energy savings | | ΔMMBtu _{GAS} /yr = CAP _{EE} × Loads × [(1/CEF _{BASE})– (1/CEF _{EE})] × 0.003412 | | | | | |
| Definitions | | Unit = 1 clothes washer CAP _{EE} = Average capacity of clothes dryer (lb) Loads = Washer loads per year (cycles/yr) CEF _{BASE} = Rated Combined Energy Factor for baseline model (lb/kWh/cycle) CEF _{EE} = Rated Combined Energy Factor for ENERGY STAR® model (lb/kWh/cycle) 0.003412 = Conversion factor: 0.003412 MMBtu per kWh | | | | | |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTIONS | | | | | | | |
| Baseline Efficiency | | The baseline is a standard clothes dryer. The current federal standard requires a minimum CEF of 3.3 | | | | | |
| Efficient Measure | | ENERGY STAR®-certified clothes dryer. | | | | | |
| PARAMETER VALUES (DEEMED) | | | | | | | |
| Measure | CAP _{EE} ⁶²⁷ | Loads ⁶²⁸ | CEF _{BASE} ⁶²⁹ | CEF _{EE} ⁶³⁰ | Life (yrs) ⁶³¹ | Cost (\$) | |
| ENERGY STAR® CW | 9.21 | 967.2 | 3.3 | 3.8 | 11 | Actual | |
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | |
| Program | ISR ⁶³² | RR _E ⁶³³ | RR _D ⁶³⁴ | CF _S | CF _W | FR ⁶³⁵ | SO ⁶³⁶ |
| Low Income Initiatives | 100% | 100% | 100% | N/A | N/A | 0% | 0% |

⁶²⁶ All savings are attributed to Natural Gas⁶²⁷ Average capacity of ENERGYSTAR® certified units as of August 15, 2017⁶²⁸ Assumed to be 3 times the average number of loads for a single family home with one clothes washer provided for every three apartments⁶²⁹ Federal Standard for gas units⁶³⁰ Average combined energy factor for ENERGYSTAR® certified units as of August 15, 2017⁶³¹ NMR Group, Inc., Efficiency Maine Appliance Rebate Program Evaluation Overall Report – FINAL, July 18, 2014, Table 2-18.⁶³² EMT assumes all units are installed (i.e. ISR = 100%).⁶³³ The measure has not yet been evaluated. Until the next program impact evaluation, EMT assumes 100 percent realization rate.⁶³⁴ The measure has not yet been evaluated. Until the next program impact evaluation, EMT assumes 100 percent realization rate.⁶³⁵ Program assumes no free ridership for Low Income Initiatives⁶³⁶ Program not yet evaluated, assume default SO of 0%.

Commercial and Industrial Custom Program

| Advanced Building, Codes AB – <X> | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--|--|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|----|
| Last Revised Date | | 7/1/2017 | | | | | | |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | | | | | | | | |
| Description | | This measures involve the various prescriptive criteria as outlined in Tier 2 of the New Construction Guide published by New Buildings Institute (NBI) | | | | | | |
| Primary Energy Impact | | Electricity & Natural Gas or Propane or Fuel Oil | | | | | | |
| Sector | | Commercial and Industrial | | | | | | |
| Program(s) | | Maine Advanced Building (MAB) | | | | | | |
| End-Use | | New Construction > 100,000ft² | | | | | | |
| Project Type | | New Construction or complete renovation with a change of use | | | | | | |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVINGS ALGORITHMS | | | | | | | | |
| Annual Energy Savings | | Gross annual thermal energy and demand savings projections for Advanced Building projects are calculated using engineering analysis and project-specific details pertaining to equipment performance specifications, operating parameters, and load shapes. Calculation of savings for MAB projects are deemed savings based on savings calculated through NBI’s New Construction Guide. | | | | | | |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTIONS | | | | | | | | |
| Baseline Efficiency | | Efficiency criteria for baseline equipment in replacement (replace on burnout, natural replacement) and new construction situations are based on manufacturer’s performance specifications and/or independent test data. Baseline efficiency criteria for these projects must meet or exceed any applicable energy codes. | | | | | | |
| Efficient Measure | | Efficiency criteria for the proposed energy-efficient equipment are project specific and must meet the specifications outlined in NBI’s New Construction Guide. | | | | | | |
| PARAMETER VALUES (DEEMED) | | | | | | | | |
| Measure | | Parameters for Energy and Demand Deemed Savings | | | | Life (yrs) ⁶³⁷ | Cost(\$) ⁶³⁸ | |
| AB - <X> | | All parameters required for energy and demand savings are determined from NBI’s New Construction Guide Tier 2 prescriptive criteria | | | | 20 | Actual | |
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | | |
| Measure | | ISR ⁶³⁹ | RR _E ⁶⁴⁰ | RR _D ⁶⁴¹ | CF _s | CF _w | FR | SO |
| AB - <X> | | 100% | 100% | 100% | Custom | Custom | 0% | 0% |

⁶³⁷ Assumed average equivalent measure life of 20 years across all measures in a project.

⁶³⁸ Measure cost should be determined by the project engineer

⁶³⁹ Program has 100% inspection rate, savings reflect as built

⁶⁴⁰ This program has not yet been evaluated. Until the next program impact evaluation, EMT assumes a 100% RR.

⁶⁴¹ Ibid

| Custom – C&I Custom Electric Projects, Codes CC<X>, CG<X>, CSS<X>, CSolar | |
|---|--|
| Last Revised Date | 10/1/2017 |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | |
| Description | <p>Small Custom</p> <p>Small Custom projects are energy efficiency projects involving complex site-specific applications that require detailed engineering analysis and/or projects with energy conservation measures that are not covered in the prescriptive incentive offerings. Small Custom project incentives are available for retrofit, replace on burnout, or new installation projects that result in cost-effective electric energy savings. Small Custom project incentives are available only for projects where the validated first-year energy savings, as determined by the Efficiency Maine custom review process, exceeds 35,714 kWh.</p> <p>Large Custom</p> <p>Large Custom projects are generally targeted for the nearly 500 electric customers in the state with average kW demand of over 400 kW.⁶⁴² The program offers incentives for large custom energy efficiency that offset customer demand on the grid. Large Custom projects are designed to reduce kWh consumption or distribution system loading during peak summer demand periods from grid-connected businesses. Large Custom project incentives are available only for projects where the validated first-year energy savings, as determined by the Efficiency Maine custom review process, exceeds 714,280 kWh.</p> |
| Primary Energy Impact | Electric |
| Sector | Commercial and Industrial |
| Program(s) | C&I Custom Program |
| End-Use | See Table 28 |
| Project Type | New construction, Retrofit |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVINGS ALGORITHMS | |
| Demand and Annual Energy Savings | Gross annual energy, summer peak demand, and winter peak demand savings projections for custom projects are calculated using engineering analysis and project-specific details pertaining to equipment performance specifications, operating parameters, and load shapes. Calculation of savings for custom projects typically involves one or more of the following methods: whole-building simulation models, weather-based bin analysis, other spreadsheet-based tools, and generally accepted engineering practice. See additional information in Appendix H, under “Determination of coincident peak demand impact.” |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTIONS | |
| Baseline Efficiency | <p>Retrofit: Efficiency criteria for the baseline equipment in retrofit situations is based on the operating efficiency of the existing equipment, which is determined from manufacturer’s performance specification and/or actual recorded data related to input power and output capacity.</p> <p>New Construction: Efficiency criteria for baseline equipment in replacement (replace on burnout, natural replacement) and new construction situations is based on manufacturer’s performance specifications and/or independent test data for standard industry practice equipment. Baseline efficiency criteria for these projects must meet or exceed any applicable energy codes.</p> |
| Efficient Measure | Efficiency criteria for the proposed energy-efficient equipment are project specific and must be supported by manufacturer’s performance specifications and/or independent test data. |

⁶⁴² Although the program targets these larger customers, there is no minimum average demand requirement for participation.

| Custom – C&I Custom Electric Projects, Codes CC<X>, CG<X>, CSS<X>, CSolar | | | | | | | |
|---|---|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------------------|---------------------|
| PARAMETER VALUES | | | | | | | |
| Measure | Parameters for Energy and Demand Savings Calculations | | | | | Life (yrs) ⁶⁴³ | Cost (\$) |
| All | All parameters required for energy and demand savings are determined from project-specific details documented in the project application forms. | | | | | Table 28 | Actual |
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | |
| Program | ISR | RR _E | RR _D | CF _S | CF _W | FR | SO |
| C&I Custom | 100% | 96.5% ⁶⁴⁴ | 94.6% ⁶⁴⁵ | Custom | Custom | 8.2% ⁶⁴⁶ | 0.7% ⁶⁴⁷ |

⁶⁴³ Measure life should be determined by the project engineer. The referenced table provides suggested measure life values for various custom projects.

⁶⁴⁴ Nexant, Large Customer Program Evaluation, April 7, 2017.

⁶⁴⁵ Nexant, Large Customer Program Evaluation, April 7, 2017.

⁶⁴⁶ Nexant, Large Customer Program Evaluation, unpublished draft May 2016, page 27.

⁶⁴⁷ Ibid.

| Custom – C&I Custom Natural Gas Projects, Codes CC<X>, CG<X>, CSS<X> | | | |
|--|--|--|-------------------------------------|
| Last Revised Date | | 10/1/2017 | |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | | | |
| Description | | <p>Small Custom</p> <p>Small Custom thermal projects are energy efficiency projects involving complex site-specific applications that require detailed engineering analysis and/or projects with energy conservation measures that are not covered in the prescriptive incentive offerings. Small Custom project incentives are available for retrofit, replace on burnout, or new installation projects that result in cost-effective thermal energy savings. Small Custom project incentives are available only for projects where the validated first-year energy savings, as determined by the Efficiency Maine custom review process, exceeds 400 MMBtu (4,000 therms).</p> <p>Large Custom</p> <p>Large Custom thermal projects are energy efficiency projects involving complex site-specific applications that require detailed engineering analysis and/or projects with energy conservation measures that are not covered in the prescriptive incentive offerings. Large Custom project incentives are available for retrofit, replace on burnout, or new installation projects that result in cost-effective thermal energy savings. Large Custom project incentives are available only for projects where the validated first-year energy savings, as determined by the Efficiency Maine custom review process, exceeds 8,000 MMBtu (80,000 therms).</p> | |
| Primary Energy Impact | | Natural gas | |
| Sector | | Commercial and Industrial | |
| Program(s) | | C&I Custom Incentive Program | |
| End-Use | | See Table 28 | |
| Project Type | | New construction, Retrofit | |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVINGS ALGORITHMS | | | |
| Annual Energy Savings | | Gross annual natural gas savings projections for custom projects are calculated using engineering analysis and project-specific details pertaining to equipment performance specifications, operating parameters, and load shapes. Calculation of savings for custom projects typically involves one or more of the following methods: whole-building simulation models, weather-based bin analysis, other spreadsheet-based tools, and generally accepted engineering practice. | |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTIONS | | | |
| Baseline Efficiency | | <p>Retrofit: Efficiency criteria for the baseline equipment in retrofit situations is based on the operating efficiency of the existing equipment, which is determined from manufacturer’s performance specification and/or actual recorded data related to input and output capacity.</p> <p>New Construction: Efficiency criteria for baseline equipment in replacement (replace on burnout, natural replacement) and new construction situations is based on manufacturer’s performance specifications and/or independent test data. Baseline efficiency criteria for these projects must meet or exceed any applicable energy codes.</p> | |
| Efficient Measure | | Efficiency criteria for the proposed energy-efficient equipment are project specific and must be supported by manufacturer’s performance specifications and/or independent test data. | |
| PARAMETER VALUES | | | |
| Measure | Parameters for Energy Savings Calculations | | Life (yrs) ⁶⁴⁸ Cost (\$) |

⁶⁴⁸ Measure life should be determined by the project engineer. The referenced table provides suggested measure life values for various custom projects.

| Custom – C&I Custom Natural Gas Projects, Codes CC<X>, CG<X>, CSS<X> | | | | | | | |
|--|---|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| All | All parameters required for energy and demand savings are determined from project-specific details documented in the project application forms. | | | | | Table 28 | Actual |
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | |
| Program | ISR | RR _E | RR _D | CF _S | CF _W | FR | SO |
| C&I Custom | 100% | 96.5% ⁶⁴⁹ | 94.6% ⁶⁵⁰ | Custom | Custom | 8.2% ⁶⁵¹ | 0.7% ⁶⁵² |

⁶⁴⁹ Nexant, Large Customer Program Evaluation, April 7, 2017.

⁶⁵⁰ Nexant, Large Customer Program Evaluation, April 7, 2017.

⁶⁵¹ Nexant, Large Customer Program Evaluation, April 7, 2017.

⁶⁵² Ibid.

| Custom – C&I Custom Thermal Projects, Codes CC<X>, CG<X>, CSS<X> | |
|--|---|
| Last Revised Date | 10/1/2017 |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | |
| Description | <p>Small Custom</p> <p>Small Custom thermal projects are energy efficiency projects involving complex site-specific applications that require detailed engineering analysis and/or projects with energy conservation measures that are not covered in the prescriptive incentive offerings. Small Custom project incentives are available for retrofit, replace on burnout, or new installation projects that result in cost-effective thermal energy savings. Small Custom project incentives are available only for projects where the validated first-year energy savings, as determined by the Efficiency Maine custom review process, exceeds 400 MMBtu</p> <p>Large Custom</p> <p>Large Custom thermal projects are energy efficiency projects involving complex site-specific applications that require detailed engineering analysis and/or projects with energy conservation measures that are not covered in the prescriptive incentive offerings. Large Custom project incentives are available for retrofit, replace on burnout, or new installation projects that result in cost-effective thermal energy savings. Large Custom project incentives are available only for projects where the validated first-year energy savings, as determined by the Efficiency Maine custom review process, exceeds 8,000 MMBtu</p> |
| Primary Energy Impact | Heating oil, Natural gas, Propane, Kerosene, Biomass, Other |
| Sector | Commercial and Industrial |
| Program(s) | C&I Custom Program |
| End-Use | See Table 28 |
| Project Type | New construction, Retrofit |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVINGS ALGORITHMS | |
| Annual Energy Savings | Gross annual thermal energy savings projections for custom projects are calculated using engineering analysis and project-specific details pertaining to equipment performance specifications, operating parameters, and load shapes. Calculation of savings for custom projects typically involves one or more of the following methods: whole-building simulation models, weather-based bin analysis, other spreadsheet-based tools, and generally accepted engineering practice. |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTIONS | |
| Baseline Efficiency | <p>Retrofit: Efficiency criteria for the baseline equipment in retrofit situations is based on the operating efficiency of the existing equipment, which is determined from manufacturer's performance specification and/or actual recorded data related to input power and output capacity.</p> <p>New Construction: Efficiency criteria for baseline equipment in replacement (replace on burnout, natural replacement) and new construction situations is based on manufacturer's performance specifications and/or independent test data. Baseline efficiency criteria for these projects must meet or exceed any applicable energy codes.</p> |
| Efficient Measure | Efficiency criteria for the proposed energy-efficient equipment are project specific and must be supported by manufacturer's performance specifications and/or independent test data. |
| PARAMETER VALUES | |

| Custom – C&I Custom Thermal Projects, Codes CC<X>, CG<X>, CSS<X> | | | | | | | |
|--|---|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------------------|---------------------|
| Measure | Parameters for Energy and Demand Savings Calculations | | | | | Life (yrs) ⁶⁵³ | Cost (\$) |
| All | All parameters required for energy and demand savings are determined from project-specific details documented in the project application forms. | | | | | Table 28 | Actual |
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | |
| Program | ISR | RR _E | RR _D | CF _S | CF _W | FR | SO |
| C&I Custom | 100% | 96.5% ⁶⁵⁴ | 94.6% ⁶⁵⁵ | Custom | Custom | 8.2% ⁶⁵⁶ | 0.7% ⁶⁵⁷ |

⁶⁵³ Measure life should be determined by the project engineer. The referenced table provides suggested measure life values for various custom projects.

⁶⁵⁴ Nexant, Large Customer Program Evaluation, April 7, 2017.

⁶⁵⁵ Nexant, Large Customer Program Evaluation, April 7, 2017.

⁶⁵⁶ Nexant, Large Customer Program Evaluation, unpublished draft May 2016, page 27.

⁶⁵⁷ Ibid.

| Custom – C&I Custom Distributed Generation Projects, Codes CC<X>, CG<X>, CSS<X>, CSolar | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Last Revised Date | | 10/1/2017 | | | | | | |
| MEASURE OVERVIEW | | | | | | | | |
| Description | | <i>Distributed Generation</i> The program offers incentives cost effective custom distributed generation projects that offset customer demand on the grid. Distributed Generation projects are designed to reduce kWh consumption or distribution system loading during peak summer demand periods from grid-connected businesses. Distributed Generation project incentives are available only for projects where the validated first-year energy savings, as determined by the Efficiency Maine custom review process, exceeds 35,714 kWh. | | | | | | |
| Primary Energy Impact | | Electric | | | | | | |
| Sector | | Commercial and Industrial | | | | | | |
| Program(s) | | C&I Custom Program | | | | | | |
| End-Use | | See Table 28 | | | | | | |
| Project Type | | Retrofit | | | | | | |
| GROSS ENERGY SAVINGS ALGORITHMS | | | | | | | | |
| Demand and Annual Energy Savings | | Gross annual energy, summer peak demand, and winter peak demand savings projections for custom projects are calculated using engineering analysis and project-specific details pertaining to equipment performance specifications, operating parameters, and load shapes. Calculation of savings for custom projects typically involves one or more of the following methods: whole-building simulation models, weather-based bin analysis, other spreadsheet-based tools, and generally accepted engineering practice. See additional information in Appendix H, under “Determination of coincident peak demand impact.” | | | | | | |
| EFFICIENCY ASSUMPTIONS | | | | | | | | |
| Baseline Efficiency | | Retrofit: Efficiency criteria for the baseline equipment in retrofit situations is based on the operating efficiency of the existing equipment, which is determined from manufacturer’s performance specification and/or actual recorded data related to input power and output capacity. | | | | | | |
| Efficient Measure | | Efficiency criteria for the proposed energy-efficient equipment are project specific and must be supported by manufacturer’s performance specifications and/or independent test data. | | | | | | |
| PARAMETER VALUES | | | | | | | | |
| Measure | | Parameters for Energy and Demand Savings Calculations | | | | Life (yrs) ⁶⁵⁸ | Cost (\$) | |
| All | | All parameters required for energy and demand savings are determined from project-specific details documented in the project application forms. | | | | Table 28 | Actual | |
| IMPACT FACTORS | | | | | | | | |
| Program | | ISR | RR _E | RR _D | CF _S | CF _W | FR | SO |
| C&I Custom | | 100% | 96.5% ⁶⁵⁹ | 94.6% ⁶⁶⁰ | Custom | Custom | 8.2% ⁶⁶¹ | 0.7% ⁶⁶² |

⁶⁵⁸ Measure life should be determined by the project engineer. The referenced table provides suggested measure life values for various custom projects.

⁶⁵⁹ Nexant, Large Customer Program Evaluation, April 7, 2017.

⁶⁶⁰ Nexant, Large Customer Program Evaluation, April 7, 2017.

⁶⁶¹ Nexant, Large Customer Program Evaluation, unpublished draft May 2016, page 27.

⁶⁶² Ibid.

Table 27 – Default Values Representing the Energy Content of Various Fuels

| Fuel | Typical Commercial Unit | Energy Content Btu/Unit | Energy Content MMBtu/Unit | | Typical Industrial Units | Energy Content MMBTU/Unit | Source | Source Location |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|--|--------------------------|---------------------------|---|-------------------|
| Petroleum Products | | | | | | | | |
| Distillate Fuel (No. 1, No. 2, No. 4, Fuel Oil and Diesel) | Gallon | 137,452 | 0.1375 | | Barrel | 5.773 | http://www.eia.gov/totalenergy/data/monthly/pdf/mer.pdf | Table A3 |
| Jet Fuel | Gallon | 127,500 | 0.1275 | | Barrel | 5.355 | http://www.eia.gov/totalenergy/data/monthly/pdf/mer.pdf | Table A1 |
| Kerosene | Gallon | 135,000 | 0.1350 | | Barrel | 5.670 | http://www.eia.gov/totalenergy/data/monthly/pdf/mer.pdf | Table A1 |
| Liquefied Petroleum Gases | Gallon | 84,048 | 0.0840 | | Barrel | 3.530 | http://www.eia.gov/totalenergy/data/monthly/pdf/mer.pdf | Table A3 |
| Motor Gasoline | Gallon | 120,405 | 0.1204 | | Barrel | 5.057 | http://www.eia.gov/totalenergy/data/monthly/pdf/mer.pdf | Table A3 |
| Residual Fuel (No. 5 and No. 6 Fuel Oil) | Gallon | 149,690 | 0.1497 | | Barrel | 6.287 | http://www.eia.gov/totalenergy/data/monthly/pdf/mer.pdf | Table A1 |
| Natural Gas (pipeline) | CCF | 103,200 | 0.1032 | | Deca-therm | 1.000 | http://www.eia.gov/totalenergy/data/monthly/pdf/mer.pdf | Table A4 |
| Propane | Gallon | 91,333 | 0.0913 | | Barrel | 3.836 | http://www.eia.gov/totalenergy/data/monthly/pdf/mer.pdf | Table A1 |
| Other Gaseous Fuels ^a | | | | | | | | |
| Methane | CCF | 84,100 | 0.0841 | | Deca-therm | 1.000 | http://www.eia.gov/renewable/renewables/trends06.pdf | Table 1.10 |
| Landfill Gas | CCF | 49,000 | 0.0490 | | Deca-therm | 1.000 | | Table 1.10 |
| Digester Gas | CCF | 61,900 | 0.0619 | | Deca-therm | 1.000 | | Table 1.10 |
| Wood-Based Fuels ^a | | | | | | | | |
| 0% Moisture | Lb. | 8,514 | 0.0085 | | Short Ton | 17.029 | Biomass Energy Data Book -- 2001 -- http://cta.ornl.gov.bedb - Entry is the average of hardwood and softwood values. http://cta.ornl.gov/bedb/appendix_a/The_Effect_of_Moisture_on_Heating_V alues.pdf | App. A - Page 202 |
| 10% Moisture | Lb. | 7,663 | 0.0077 | | Short Ton | 15.326 | | |
| 30% Moisture | Lb. | 5,960 | 0.0060 | | Short Ton | 11.920 | | |
| 50% Moisture | Lb. | 4,257 | 0.0043 | | Short Ton | 8.514 | | |

| Fuel | Typical Commercial Unit | Energy Content Btu/Unit | Energy Content MMBtu/Unit | | Typical Industrial Units | Energy Content MMBTU/Unit | Source | Source Location |
|---------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|--|--------------------------|---------------------------|---|-----------------|
| Other Fuels | | | | | | | | |
| Ethanol | Gallon | 84,262 | 0.0843 | | Barrel | 3.539 | http://www.eia.gov/renewable/renewables/trends06.pdf | Table 1.10 |
| Biodiesel | Gallon | 127,595 | 0.1276 | | Barrel | 5.359 | http://www.eia.gov/renewable/renewables/trends06.pdf | Table 1.10 |
| Black Liquor ^a | N/A | N/A | N/A | | Short Ton | 11.758 | http://www.eia.gov/renewable/renewables/trends06.pdf | Table 1.10 |
| Electricity | kWh | 3,412 | 0.0034 | | MWh | 3.412 | Definition of a kWh | |

^a The energy content of some fuels can vary depending on various factors, including the actual fuel composition and the tree species and moisture content associated with wood-based fuels.

The entries in the above table represent default values; alternate values may be accepted if sufficient supporting documentation of actual fuel composition, moisture content, etc. is provided.

For fuels not listed in the table, the applicant must provide documentation of fuel composition and energy content per unit of fuel.

Table 28 – Measure Life Reference for Custom Projects⁶⁶³

| End-Use | Measure Category | New Construction | Retrofit |
|------------------------|---|------------------|----------|
| Custom Lighting | Equipment | 15 | 13 |
| | Controls | 10 | 9 |
| Custom HVAC | Chillers/Chiller Plant | 20 | N/A |
| | HVAC Equipment | 15 | 13 |
| | EMS & HVAC Controls | 15 | 10 |
| | Heating System Replacement/Upgrade | 25 | 18 |
| | Heating System Maintenance (e.g., burner optimization, tune-up) | 5 | 5 |
| Custom Motors and VFDs | Equipment | 15 | 13 |
| Custom Compressed Air | Equipment | 15 | 13 |
| Custom Miscellaneous | Process Cooling or Heating | 15 | 13 |
| | Commercial Compressors | 15 | 13 |
| | Industrial Compressors | 20 | 18 |
| | Controls | 10 | 9 |
| | O&M | N/A | 5 |
| | Retro-commissioning | N/A | 5 |
| | Envelope | 20 | 20 |
| Custom Solar PV | Solar PV | 20 | 20 |

⁶⁶³ ERS, Measure Life Study Prepared for the Massachusetts Joint Utilities, November 2005, Table 1-2.

Appendix A: Glossary

Definitions are based primarily on the Northeast Energy Efficiency Partnerships (NEEP), Regional Evaluation, Measurement & Verification (EMV) Forum, Glossary of Terms, Version 2.0 (PAH Associates, March 2011), indicated below as: NEEP EMV Glossary.

Adjusted Gross Savings: The change in energy consumption and/or demand that results directly from program-related actions taken by participants in an efficiency program, regardless of why they participated adjusted for evaluation findings. It adjusts for such factors as data errors, installation and persistence rates, and hours of use, but does not adjust for free ridership or spillover. Adjusted Gross Savings can be calculated as an annual or lifetime value. [NEEP EMV Glossary, edited]

Actual: Actual means the project-specific value that is recorded in the Project Application/Documentation for this measure.

Algorithm: An equation or set of equations, more broadly a method, used to calculate a number. In this case, it is an estimate of energy use or energy savings tied to operation of a piece of equipment or a system of interacting pieces of equipment. An algorithm may include certain standard numerical assumptions about some relevant quantities, leaving the user to supply other data to calculate the use or savings for the particular measure or equipment. [NEEP EMV Glossary]

Annual Demand Savings: The maximum reduction in electric demand in a given year within defined boundaries. The demand reduction is typically the result of the installation of higher efficiency equipment, controls, or behavioral change. The term can be applied at various levels, from individual projects and energy-efficiency programs, to overall program portfolios. [NEEP EMV Glossary, edited]

Annual Energy Savings: The reduction in electricity usage (reported as ΔkWh) or in fossil-fuel use (reported as ΔMMBtu) in a given year from the savings associated with an energy-saving measure, project, or program. [NEEP EMV Glossary, edited]

Average Annual Operating Hours: see Hours of Use.

Baseline Efficiency: The assumed efficiency condition of the baseline equipment that is being replaced by the subject energy-efficiency measure. It is used to determine the energy savings obtained by the more efficient measure. [NEEP EMV Glossary, edited]

Btu: A standard measure of heat energy, one Btu is required to raise the temperature of one pound of water one degree Fahrenheit from 58.5 to 59.5 degrees under standard pressure of 30 inches of mercury at or near its point of maximum density. [NEEP EMV Glossary, edited]

Coincident Demand: The demand of a device, circuit or building that occurs at the same time as the peak demand of a system load or some other peak of interest. The peak of interest should be specified. [NEEP EMV Glossary]

Coincidence Factor (CF): The ratio of the average hourly demand of a group of measures during a specified period of time to the sum of their individual maximum demands (or connected loads) within the same period. [NEEP EMV Glossary, edited]

Deemed Savings: An estimate of energy or demand savings for a single unit of an installed energy-efficiency measure that (a) has been developed from data sources and analytical methods that are widely considered acceptable for the measure and purpose, and (b) is applicable to the situation being evaluated. A measure with deemed savings will have the same savings per unit. Individual parameters used to calculate savings and/or savings calculation methods can also be deemed. [NEEP EMV Glossary, edited]

Delta Watts: The difference in the wattage between existing or baseline equipment and its more efficient replacement or installation at a specific time, expressed in watts or kilowatts. [NEEP EMV Glossary]

Demand: The time rate of energy flow. Demand usually refers to the amount of electric energy used by a customer or piece of equipment at a specific time, expressed in kilowatts (kW). [NEEP EMV Glossary]

Energy Star®: A joint program of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency and the U.S. Department of Energy designed to reduce energy use and its impact on the environment. The ENERGY STAR® label is awarded to products that meet applicable energy-efficiency guidelines as well as to homes and commercial buildings that meet specified energy-efficiency standards. [NEEP EMV Glossary, edited]

Free rider: A program participant who would have implemented the program measure or practice in the absence of the program. A free rider can be: 1) total, in which the participant's activity would have completely replicated the program measure; 2) partial, in which the participant's activity would have partially replicated the program measure; or 3) deferred, in which the participant's activity would have completely replicated the program measure, but at a future time beyond the program's timeframe. [NEEP EMV Glossary, edited]

Free ridership Rate (FR): The percent of energy savings through an energy-efficiency program attributable to free riders. [NEEP EMV Glossary, edited]

Gross Savings: The change in energy consumption and/or demand that results directly from program-related actions taken by participants in an efficiency program, regardless of why they participated and not adjusted for any factors. [NEEP EMV Glossary, edited]

Hours of Use (HOU) or Operating Hours: The average number of hours a measure is in use during a specified time period, typically a day or a year. [NEEP EMV Glossary]

Incremental Cost: The difference between the cost of existing or baseline equipment/service and the cost of energy-efficient equipment/service. [NEEP EMV Glossary]

In-Service Rate (ISR): The percentage of energy-efficiency measures adopted in response to program incentives that are actually installed and operating. The in-service rate is calculated by dividing the number of measures installed and operating by the number of incentives offered by an efficiency program in a defined period of time. [NEEP EMV Glossary, edited]

Interactive Effects (IE) - The influence of one technology's application on the energy required to operate another application. An example is the reduced heat in a facility as a result of replacing incandescent lights with CFLs, and the resulting need to increase space heating from another source, usually oil or gas fired. [NEEP EMV Glossary]
Kilowatt (kW): A measure of the rate of power used during a preset time period (e.g., minutes, hours, days or months) equal to 1,000 watts. [NEEP EMV Glossary]

Kilowatt-Hour (kWh): A common unit of electric energy; one kilowatt-hour is numerically equal to 1,000 watts used for one hour. [NEEP EMV Glossary]

Lifetime Energy Savings: The energy savings over the lifetime of an installed measure calculated by multiplying the measure's annual energy usage reduction by its expected lifetime. [NEEP EMV Glossary, edited]

Measure Life: The length of time that a measure is expected to be functional. Measure Life is a function of: (1) *equipment life* – meaning the number of years that a measure is installed and will operate until failure; and (2) *measure persistence* which takes into account business turnover, early retirement of installed equipment, and other reasons that measures might be removed or discontinued. Measure Life is sometimes referred to as expected useful life (EUL) [adapted from NEEP EMV Glossary, edited].

Meter-level Savings: Savings from energy-efficiency programs at the customer meter or premise level. [NEEP EMV Glossary, edited]

Net Present Value (NPV): Present value of benefits and costs that occur over the life of the measure taking the time value of money into account.

Net Savings: The savings that is attributable to an energy-efficiency program (which differs from gross savings because it includes the effects of the free ridership and/or spillover rates).

Net-to-Gross Ratio (NTGR or NTG): The ratio of net savings to gross savings. The NTGR may be determined from the free ridership and spillover rates ($NTGR = 1 - FR + SO$), if available, or it may be a distinct value relating gross savings to the net effect of the program with no separate specification of FR and SO values; it can be applied separately to either energy or demand savings.

Realization Rate (RR): The ratio of savings adjusted for data errors and for evaluated or verified results (verified) to initial estimates of project savings. RR_E (Energy Realization Rate) is applied to kWh and all fuels, while RR_D (Demand Realization Rate) is applied only to kW.

Seasonal Energy Efficiency Ratio (SEER): The total cooling output of a central AC unit in Btus during its normal usage period for cooling divided by the total electrical energy input in watt-hours during the same period, as determined using specified federal test procedures. [NEEP EMV Glossary]

Spillover (SO): Reductions in energy consumption and/or demand caused by the presence of an energy-efficiency program, beyond the program-related gross savings of the participants and without financial or technical assistance from the program. There can be participant and/or non-participant spillover. Participant spillover is the additional energy savings that occur when a program participant independently installs energy-efficiency measures or applies energy-saving practices in response to their participation in the efficiency

program. Non-participant spillover refers to energy savings that occur when someone who did not participate in a program still installs energy-efficiency measures or applies energy savings practices as a result of a program's influence. [NEEP EMV Glossary, edited]

Spillover Rate (SO): Estimate of energy savings attributable to spillover effects expressed as a percent of savings installed by participants through an energy efficiency program. [NEEP EMV Glossary]

Typical Meteorological Year 3: The TMY3s are data sets of hourly values of solar radiation and meteorological elements for a 1-year period published by the National Renewable Energy Laboratory. Their intended use is for computer simulations of solar energy conversion systems and building systems to facilitate performance comparisons of different system types, configurations, and locations in the United States and its territories. Because they represent typical rather than extreme conditions, they are not suited for designing systems to meet the worst-case conditions occurring at a location.

Waste Heat Factor (WHF): The interaction between a lighting measure's incidental heat output and installed HVAC systems.

Appendix B: Energy Period Factors and Coincidence Factors

Coincidence factors are used to determine the average electric demand savings during the summer and winter on-peak periods as defined by the ISO-NE Forward Capacity Market (FCM). The on-peak demand periods are defined as follows:⁶⁶⁴

- **Summer On-Peak:** 1:00 PM to 5:00 PM on non-holiday weekdays in June, July, and August.
- **Winter On-Peak:** 5:00 PM to 7:00 PM on non-holiday weekdays in December and January.

Energy period factors are used to allocate the annual energy savings into one of the four energy periods. This allocation is performed in order to apply the appropriate avoided cost values in the calculation of program benefits. The four energy periods are defined as follows⁶⁶⁵:

- **Winter Peak:** 7:00 AM to 11:00 PM on non-holiday weekdays during October through May (8 months).
- **Winter Off Peak:** 11:00 PM to 7:00 AM on non-holiday weekdays and all hours on weekends and holidays during October through May (8 months).
- **Summer Peak:** 7:00 AM to 11:00 PM on non-holiday weekdays during June through September (4 months).
- **Summer Off Peak:** 11:00 PM to 7:00 AM on non-holiday weekdays and all hours on weekends and holidays during June through September (4 months).

Table 29 includes a listing of measure coincidence factors and energy period allocations.

Table 29 – Commercial Coincidence Factors and Energy Period Factors

| Measure | End-Use | Coincidence Factor | | Footnote Reference | Energy Period Factors | | | | Footnote Reference |
|-------------------------------------|----------|--------------------|----------------|--------------------|-----------------------|----------|--------|----------|--------------------|
| | | Winter On-Peak | Summer On-Peak | | Winter | | Summer | | |
| | | | | | Peak | Off Peak | Peak | Off Peak | |
| Lighting Fixtures – Interior Spaces | Lighting | 63.0% | 76.0% | 666 | 50.0% | 19.0% | 23.0% | 9.0% | 667 |
| Lighting Fixtures – LED Exit Signs | Lighting | 100.0% | 100.0% | 668 | 30.4% | 36.2% | 15.6% | 17.9% | 668 |
| Lighting Fixtures – Exterior Spaces | Lighting | 70.2% | 3.7% | 669 | 20.5% | 50.6% | 6.1% | 22.8% | 669 |

⁶⁶⁴ <http://www.iso-ne.com/markets-operations/markets/demand-resources/about>

⁶⁶⁵ <http://www.efficiencymaine.com/docs/2015-AESC-Report-With-Appendices-Attached.pdf>, p. 2-71.

⁶⁶⁶ KEMA, C&I Lighting Load Shape Project FINAL Report, July 2011.

⁶⁶⁷ Central Maine Power, Non-residential load profile for 3/1/08-2/28/09.

⁶⁶⁸ Values are based on continuous operation. For energy period factors, values may assume that energy savings are evenly distributed across all hours of the year.

⁶⁶⁹ Efficiency Vermont TRM 2012, Commercial Outdoor Lighting.

| Measure | End-Use | Coincidence Factor | | Footnote Reference | Energy Period Factors | | | | Footnote Reference |
|--|----------|--------------------|----------------|--------------------|-----------------------|----------|--------|----------|--------------------|
| | | Winter On-Peak | Summer On-Peak | | Winter | | Summer | | |
| | | | | | Peak | Off Peak | Peak | Off Peak | |
| Lighting Fixtures with Integrated Controls | Lighting | 63.0% | 76.0% | 670 | 50.0% | 19.0% | 23.0% | 9.0% | 667 |
| Lighting Controls – Interior Spaces | Lighting | 12.0% | 18.0% | 671 | 50.0% | 19.0% | 23.0% | 9.0% | 667 |
| Lighting Fixtures – Refrigerated Spaces | Lighting | 84.7% | 90.8% | 672 | 39.7% | 26.7% | 19.7% | 13.9% | 672 |
| Lighting Controls – Refrigerated Spaces | Lighting | 30.7% | 30.7% | 673 | 30.4% | 36.2% | 15.6% | 17.9% | 673 |
| VFDs on Heating Hot Water Pumps & Electronically Commutated Hot Water Smart Pump & Electronically Commutated Supply Fan Motor (heating only) | Motors | 73.7% | 0.0% | 674 | 53.6% | 46.3% | 0.0% | 0.1% | 675 |
| Electronically Commutated Supply Fan Motor (heating only) | Motors | 100.0% | 0.0% | 676 | 53.6% | 46.3% | 0.0% | 0.1% | 677 |
| Electronically Commutated Supply Fan Motor (cooling only) | HVAC | 0.0% | 100.0% | 678 | 17.0% | 3.0% | 62.0% | 18.0% | 679 |
| Electronically Commutated Supply Fan Motor (heating and cooling) | HVAC | 100.0% | 100.0% | 680 | 39.0% | 30.5% | 21.6% | 8.9% | 681 |
| VFDs on Chilled Water Pumps | Motors | 0.0% | 86.5% | 674 | 30.9% | 18.1% | 35.9% | 15.1% | 675 |
| VFDs on Supply Fan | Motors | 14.6% | 48.7% | 674 | 39.0% | 30.5% | 21.6% | 8.9% | 675 |
| VFDs on Return Fan | Motors | 21.0% | 68.3% | 674 | 39.0% | 30.8% | 21.4% | 8.8% | 675 |
| VFDs on Exhaust Fan | Motors | 73.7% | 35.5% | 674 | 44.4% | 22.2% | 16.0% | 17.4% | 675 |

⁶⁷⁰ Coincidence factors for interior lighting fixtures. KEMA, C&I Lighting Load Shape Project FINAL Report, July 2011.

⁶⁷¹ The Cadmus Group, Inc. (2012). Final Report, Small Business Direct Install Program: Pre/Post Occupancy Sensor Study.

⁶⁷² Efficiency Vermont TRM 2012, Grocery/Convenience Store Indoor Lighting.

⁶⁷³ US DOE, "Demonstration Assessment of Light-Emitting Diode (LED) Freezer Case Lighting." Refrigerated cases were metered for 12 days to determine savings from occupancy sensors. Assumes that refrigerated freezers and refrigerated coolers will see the same amount of savings from sensors.

⁶⁷⁴ See Appendix D for evaluation adjusted coincidence factors.

⁶⁷⁵ Efficiency Vermont TRM 2012. Values used for VFDs on VFD Boiler Feedwater Pumps, 10 HP; VFD Chilled Water Pumps, <10 HP; VFD Supply Fans, <10 HP; VFD Returns Fans, <10 HP; and VFD Exhaust Fans, <10 HP

⁶⁷⁶ Coincidence factor embedded in deemed peak demand reduction.

⁶⁷⁷ Based on VFDs for Heating Hot Water Pumps

⁶⁷⁸ Coincidence factor embedded in deemed peak demand reduction.

⁶⁷⁹ Based on Unitary Air Conditioners

⁶⁸⁰ Coincidence factor embedded in deemed peak demand reduction.

⁶⁸¹ Based on VFDs on Supply Fan

| Measure | End-Use | Coincidence Factor | | Footnote Reference | Energy Period Factors | | | | Footnote Reference |
|---|---------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------------|-----------------------|----------|--------|----------|--------------------|
| | | Winter On-Peak | Summer On-Peak | | Winter | | Summer | | |
| | | | | | Peak | Off Peak | Peak | Off Peak | |
| Unitary Air Conditioners and Split Systems (< 11.25 tons) | HVAC | 0.0% | 37.2% | 682 | 17.0% | 3.0% | 62.0% | 18.0% | 667 |
| Unitary Air Conditioners and Split Systems (≥ 11.25 tons) | HVAC | 0.0% | 29.0% | 682 | 17.0% | 3.0% | 62.0% | 18.0% | 667 |
| Heat Pump Systems (< 11.25 tons) | HVAC | 42.0% | 35.7% | 674 | 17.0% | 3.0% | 62.0% | 18.0% | 667 |
| Heat Pump Systems (≥ 11.25 tons) | HVAC | 42.0% | 27.8% | 674 | 17.0% | 3.0% | 62.0% | 18.0% | 667 |
| Packaged Terminal Air Conditioners and Heat Pumps | HVAC | 57.0% | 37.2% | 682 | 17.0% | 3.0% | 62.0% | 18.0% | 667 |
| Demand Control Ventilation | HVAC | 1.5% | 77.7% | 674 | 17.0% | 3.0% | 62.0% | 18.0% | 667 |
| Ductless Heat Pump | HVAC | 63.0% | 20.0% | 683 | 58.1% | 38.8% | 1.7% | 1.4% | 683 |
| Variable Refrigerant Flow, New Construction | HVAC | 42.0% | 35.7% | 674 | 17.0% | 3.0% | 62.0% | 18.0% | 667 |
| Modulating Burner Controls for Boilers and Heaters (AF1) | HVAC | N/A | N/A | 684 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 684 |
| Boiler Stack Heat Exchanger (Boiler Economizer) (AF2) | HVAC | N/A | N/A | 684 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 684 |
| Boiler Reset/Lockout Controls (AF3) | HVAC | N/A | N/A | 684 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 684 |
| Oxygen Trim for Boilers and Heaters (AF4) | HVAC | N/A | N/A | 684 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 684 |
| Boiler Turbulator (AF5) | HVAC | N/A | N/A | 684 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 684 |
| Programmable Thermostat (AF6) | HVAC | N/A | N/A | 684 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 684 |
| Evaporator Fan Motor Control for Cooler/Freezer, Code R10 | Refrigeration | 33.8% | 41.2% | 674 | 29.1% | 39.5% | 13.7% | 17.7% | 685 |
| Door Heater Controls for Cooler/Freezer, Code R20 | Refrigeration | 73.7% | 95.9% | 674 | 47.6% | 52.4% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 686 |

⁶⁸² KEMA, NEEP Unitary HVAC AC Load Shape Project Final Report, June 2011.

⁶⁸³ Nexant, Business Incentive Program Impact Evaluation, unpublished draft, May 2017.

⁶⁸⁴ Measure applicable to non-electric savings only.

⁶⁸⁵ Efficiency Vermont TRM 2012, Evaporator Fan Control.

⁶⁸⁶ Efficiency Vermont TRM 2012, Door Heater Control.

| Measure | End-Use | Coincidence Factor | | Footnote Reference | Energy Period Factors | | | | Footnote Reference |
|--|----------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------------|-----------------------|----------|--------|----------|--------------------|
| | | Winter On-Peak | Summer On-Peak | | Winter | | Summer | | |
| | | | | | Peak | Off Peak | Peak | Off Peak | |
| Zero Energy Doors for Coolers/Freezers, Code R30, R31 | Refrigeration | 73.7% | 95.9% | 674 | 30.4% | 36.2% | 15.6% | 17.8% | 667 |
| H.E. Evaporative Fan Motors, Code R40, R41, R42 | Refrigeration | 73.7% | 95.9% | 674 | 30.4% | 36.2% | 15.6% | 17.8% | 667 |
| Floating-Head Pressure Controls, Code R50, R51, R52 | Refrigeration | 73.7% | 0.0% | 674 | 33.3% | 37.1% | 12.8% | 16.8% | 687 |
| Discus & Scroll Compressors, Code R60-R63, R70-R74 | Refrigeration | 50.9% | 74.0% | 674 | 33.0% | 32.6% | 17.0% | 17.4% | 688 |
| Commercial Reach-in Cooler/Refrigerator and Freezers and Ice Makers, Code R80, R90 | Refrigeration | 50.9% | 74.0% | 674 | 33.0% | 32.6% | 17.0% | 17.4% | 689 |
| New Vapor-Tight High Performance T8 Fluorescent Fixtures | Agriculture | 63.0% | 76.0% | 674 | 50.0% | 19.0% | 23.0% | 9.0% | 667 |
| Plate Heat Exchangers for Milk Processing | Agriculture | 27.0% | 16.1% | 690 | 29.0% | 16.4% | 31.6% | 23.0% | 690 |
| Adjustable Speed Drives for Dairy Vacuum Pumps | Agriculture | 46.7% | 27.5% | 674 | 36.9% | 30.1% | 18.2% | 14.8% | 691 |
| Scroll Compressors | Agriculture | 67.4% | 32.7% | 674 | 43.6% | 23.2% | 21.7% | 11.5% | 692 |
| Adjustable Speed Drives on Ventilation Fans, potato storage equipment | Agriculture | 73.7% | 0.0% | 674 | 43.6% | 23.2% | 21.7% | 11.5% | 693 |
| HVLS Fans | Agriculture | 67.4% | 32.6% | 674 | 43.6% | 23.2% | 21.7% | 11.5% | 694 |
| High-Efficiency Air Compressors, Codes C1-C4 | Compressed Air | 70.0% | 91.1% | 674 | 30.4% | 36.1% | 15.6% | 17.9% | 668 |

⁶⁸⁷ Efficiency Vermont TRM 2012, Floating-Head Pressure Control.

⁶⁸⁸ Efficiency Vermont TRM 2012, Commercial Refrigeration.

⁶⁸⁹ Efficiency Vermont TRM 2012, Commercial Refrigeration.

⁶⁹⁰ Efficiency Vermont TRM 2012, Farm Plate Cooler/Heat Recover Unit.

⁶⁹¹ Efficiency Vermont TRM 2012, VFD Milk Vacuum Pump.

⁶⁹² Efficiency Vermont TRM 2012, Dairy Farm Combined End Uses.

⁶⁹³ Savings are realized 24/7 Dec 1 – April 30.

⁶⁹⁴ Savings are realized 24/7 Dec 1 – April 30.

| Measure | End-Use | Coincidence Factor | | Footnote Reference | Energy Period Factors | | | | Footnote Reference |
|--|----------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------------|-----------------------|----------|--------|----------|--------------------|
| | | Winter On-Peak | Summer On-Peak | | Winter | | Summer | | |
| | | | | | Peak | Off Peak | Peak | Off Peak | |
| High-Efficiency Dryers, Codes C10-C16 | Compressed Air | 70.0% | 91.1% | 674 | 30.4% | 36.1% | 15.6% | 17.9% | 668 |
| Receivers, Codes C20-C27 | Compressed Air | 70.0% | 91.1% | 674 | 30.4% | 36.1% | 15.6% | 17.9% | 668 |
| Low Pressure Drop Filters, Codes C30-C33 | Compressed Air | 70.0% | 91.1% | 674 | 30.4% | 36.1% | 15.6% | 17.9% | 668 |
| Air-Entraining Nozzles, Code C40 | Compressed Air | 70.0% | 91.1% | 674 | 30.4% | 36.1% | 15.6% | 17.9% | 668 |
| Custom – Compressed Air | Compressed Air | Custom | Custom | 695 | 44.3% | 30.3% | 15.2% | 10.2% | 696 |
| Custom - Lighting | Lighting | Custom | Custom | 695 | 44.3% | 30.3% | 15.2% | 10.2% | 696 |
| Custom – VFD | Motors | Custom | Custom | 695 | 44.3% | 30.3% | 15.2% | 10.2% | 696 |
| Custom – HVAC | HVAC | Custom | Custom | 695 | 44.3% | 30.3% | 15.2% | 10.2% | 696 |
| Custom – Miscellaneous | All | Custom | Custom | 695 | 44.3% | 30.3% | 15.2% | 10.2% | 696 |
| Custom – Generic | Various | Custom | Custom | 695 | 44.3% | 30.3% | 15.2% | 10.2% | 696 |
| Custom– Continuous Process | Process | Custom | Custom | 695 | 29.9% | 36.7% | 15.5% | 17.9% | 697 |
| Custom – Single Shift Process | Process | Custom | Custom | 695 | 66.7% | 0% | 33.3% | 0% | 698 |
| Custom – Solar PV | Solar PV | 0 | 36.3% | 699 | 37.0% | 19.0% | 29.7% | 14.3% | 700 |
| Gas Equipment | | | | | | | | | |
| Natural Gas Heating Equipment | HVAC | N/A | N/A | 684 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 684 |
| Natural Gas Kitchen Equipment | Process | N/A | N/A | 684 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 684 |
| Other Fuels Equipment | | | | | | | | | |
| Oil/Propane Boilers and Furnaces | HVAC | N/A | N/A | 684 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 684 |

⁶⁹⁵ Coincidence factors for custom projects are estimated for each project based on project-specific information.

⁶⁹⁶ Values based on CMP loadshape for “Process C&I.”

⁶⁹⁷ Analysis performed by ERS. Winter peak % = (16 hours per day x 243 days during winter x 5 weekdays per week / 7 days per week – 10 holidays in winter) / 8,760 hours per year; Winter off-peak % = (243 days during winter x 24 hours per day – Winter peak hours) / 8,760 hours per year; Summer peak % = (16 hours per day 122 days during summer x 5 weekdays per week / 7 days per week – 2 holidays in summer) / 8,760 hours per year; Summer off-peak % = (122 days during summer x 24 hours per day – summer peak hours) / 8,760 hours per year.

⁶⁹⁸ Analysis performed by ERS. Assumes shift starts after 7 AM and ends before 11 PM in summer and winter on weekdays only. Winter peak % = 243 days in winter / 365 days per year; Summer peak % = 122 days in summer / 365 days per year.

⁶⁹⁹ Analysis performed by ERS. Factors based on TMY3 solar radiation averaged for Portland, Lewiston-Auburn, Bangor and Presque Isle.

⁷⁰⁰ Analysis performed by ERS. Factors based on TMY3 solar radiation averaged for Portland, Lewiston-Auburn, Bangor and Presque Isle.

Appendix C: Carbon Dioxide Emission Factors

Table 30 – Emission Factors

| Fuel | Emission Factor | Units |
|--|-----------------|--------------------------------|
| Coal by Rank | | |
| Anthracite | 103.69 | kg CO ₂ / MMBtu |
| Bituminous | 93.28 | kg CO ₂ / MMBtu |
| Sub-bituminous | 97.17 | kg CO ₂ / MMBtu |
| Lignite | 97.72 | kg CO ₂ / MMBtu |
| Natural Gas | | |
| Pipeline Natural Gas | 53.06 | kg CO ₂ / MMBtu |
| | 5.306 | kg CO ₂ / therm |
| Flared Natural Gas | 54.71 | kg CO ₂ / MMBtu |
| | 5.471 | kg CO ₂ / therm |
| Petroleum Fuels | | |
| Middle Distillate Fuels (No. 1, No. 2, No. 4 fuel oil, diesel, home heating oil) | 73.15 | kg CO ₂ / MMBtu |
| | 10.15 | kg CO ₂ / gallon |
| Jet Fuel (Jet A, JP-8) | 70.88 | kg CO ₂ / MMBtu |
| | 9.57 | kg CO ₂ / gallon |
| Kerosene | 72.31 | kg CO ₂ / MMBtu |
| | 9.76 | kg CO ₂ / gallon |
| Heavy Fuel Oil (No. 5, 6 fuel oil), bunker fuel | 78.80 | kg CO ₂ / MMBtu |
| | 11.80 | kg CO ₂ / gallon |
| Ethane | 59.59 | kg CO ₂ / MMBtu |
| | 4.14 | kg CO ₂ / gallon |
| Propane | 63.07 | kg CO ₂ / MMBtu |
| | 5.74 | kg CO ₂ / gallon |
| Isobutane | 65.07 | kg CO ₂ / MMBtu |
| | 6.45 | kg CO ₂ / gallon |
| n-Butane | 64.95 | kg CO ₂ / MMBtu |
| | 6.69 | kg CO ₂ / gallon |
| Unspecified LPG | 62.28 | kg CO ₂ / MMBtu |
| | - | kg CO ₂ / gallon |
| Refinery (Still) Gas | 64.20 | kg CO ₂ / MMBtu |
| | 9.17 | kg CO ₂ / gallon |
| Crude Oil | 74.54 | kg CO ₂ / MMBtu |
| | 10.29 | kg CO ₂ / gallon |
| Petroleum Coke | 102.12 | kg CO ₂ / MMBtu |
| | 14.65 | kg CO ₂ / gallon |
| Other Fuels | | |
| Tires/Tire Derived Fuel | 85.97 | kg CO ₂ / MMBtu |
| Waste Oil | 9.98 | kg CO ₂ / gallon |
| Waste Oil Blended with Residual Fuel Oil | 66.53 | kg CO ₂ / MMBtu |
| Waste Oil Blended with Distillate Fuel Oil | 71.28 | kg CO ₂ / MMBtu |
| Municipal Solid Waste | 417.04 | kg CO ₂ / short ton |
| Municipal Solid Waste | 41.70 | kg CO ₂ / MMBtu |
| Plastics Portion of MSW | 2,539.80 | kg CO ₂ / short ton |
| Electricity ⁷⁰¹ | 1.029 | Pounds per kWh |

⁷⁰¹ From Avoided Energy Supply Cost in New England, 2015, Rick Hornby, et al.

Appendix D: Parameter Values Reference Tables

Table 31 – Installed Measure Wattage and Cost Table (Watts_{EE}, SAVE_{EE}, Cost_{EE})

| Description | Note | Measure Code | C&I Prescriptive ⁷⁰² | | | | | Small Business Direct Install ⁷⁰³ | | | | |
|--|------|--------------|---------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|--|---|-------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------|
| | | | Wattage (Watts _{EE}) | Wattage Reduction (SAVE _{EE}) | Installed Cost: High Efficiency | Installed Cost: Baseline | Measure Cost (Cost) | Wattage (Watts _{EE}) | Wattage Reduction (SAVE _{EE}) | Material Cost (Standard Tier) | Material Cost (Premium Tier) | Labor Hours |
| LED Outdoor Replacement Lamp: Type B Low Output (250 - 5000 lm) | [2] | S6 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 22 | N/A | \$35.00 | N/A | 0.75 |
| LED Outdoor Replacement Lamp: Type B Mid Output (>5000 - 10000 lm) | [2] | S6 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 44 | N/A | \$67.50 | N/A | 0.75 |
| LED Outdoor Replacement Lamp: Type B High Output (>10000 lm) | [2] | S6 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 100 | N/A | \$161.00 | N/A | 0.75 |
| LED Outdoor Replacement Lamp: Type C Low Output (250 - 5000 lm) | [2] | S6 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 42 | N/A | \$123.00 | N/A | 0.75 |
| LED Outdoor Replacement Lamp: Type C Mid Output (>5000 - 10000 lm) | [2] | S6 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 55 | N/A | \$150.50 | N/A | 0.75 |
| LED Outdoor Replacement Lamp: Type C High Output (>10000 lm) | [2] | S6 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 121 | N/A | \$260.00 | N/A | 0.75 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| LED Outdoor Retrofit Kits: <50W | | S8 | 38 | N/A | \$234.00 | \$0.00 | \$234.00 | 35 | N/A | \$120.00 | N/A | 1.5 |
| LED Outdoor Retrofit Kits: ≥50 - <100W | | S8 | 73 | N/A | \$306.00 | \$0.00 | \$306.00 | 62 | N/A | \$175.00 | N/A | 1.5 |
| LED Outdoor Retrofit Kits: ≥100 - <200W | | S8 | 128 | N/A | \$399.00 | \$0.00 | \$399.00 | 103 | N/A | \$199.00 | N/A | 1.5 |
| LED Outdoor Retrofit Kits: ≥200W | | S8 | 241 | N/A | \$431.00 | \$0.00 | \$431.00 | 237 | N/A | \$299.00 | N/A | 1.5 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| LED Outdoor Parking Fixture: <50W | | S11/S11R | 40 | 179 | \$330.00 | \$215.00 | \$115.00 | 45 | 170 | \$93.68 | \$135.00 | 1.5 |
| LED Outdoor Parking Fixture: 50W - 100W | | S11/S11R | 80 | 215 | \$685.00 | \$400.00 | \$285.00 | 72 | 333 | \$122.00 | \$335.00 | 1.5 |
| LED Outdoor Parking Fixture: 100W - 250W | | S11/S11R | 156 | 289 | \$926.30 | \$565.00 | \$361.30 | 100 | 230 | \$365.00 | \$425.00 | 1.5 |
| LED Outdoor Parking Fixture: >250W | | S11/S11R | 306 | 769 | \$1,023.14 | \$511.00 | \$512.14 | 100 | 230 | \$365.00 | \$425.00 | 1.5 |
| LED Pole-Mounted Streetlight: <50W | | S11/S11R | 40 | 179 | \$330.00 | \$215.00 | \$115.00 | 45 | 170 | \$93.68 | \$135.00 | 1.5 |
| LED Pole-Mounted Streetlight: 50W - 100W | | S11/S11R | 80 | 215 | \$685.00 | \$400.00 | \$285.00 | 72 | 333 | \$122.00 | \$335.00 | 1.5 |
| LED Pole-Mounted Streetlight: 100W-250W | | S11/S11R | 156 | 289 | \$778.00 | \$565.00 | \$213.00 | 100 | 230 | \$365.00 | \$425.00 | 1.5 |
| LED Pole-Mounted Streetlight: >250W | | S11/S11R | 306 | 769 | \$1,012.00 | \$511.00 | \$501.00 | 100 | 230 | \$365.00 | \$425.00 | 1.5 |

⁷⁰² C&I Prescriptive parameter values are deemed based on analysis of program data. They represent expected weighted averages for each measure type.⁷⁰³ Small Business Direct Install parameter values reflect specific products included in the program.

| Description | Note | Measure Code | C&I Prescriptive ⁷⁰² | | | | | Small Business Direct Install ⁷⁰³ | | | | |
|--|------|--------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|--|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------|
| | | | Wattage (Watts_EE) | Wattage Reduction (SAVE_EE) | Installed Cost: High Efficiency | Installed Cost: Baseline | Measure Cost (Cost) | Wattage (Watts_EE) | Wattage Reduction (SAVE_EE) | Material Cost (Standard Tier) | Material Cost (Premium Tier) | Labor Hours |
| LED Outdoor Wall Pack: <30W | | S13/S13R | 35 | 155 | \$307.21 | \$130.00 | \$177.21 | 15 | 152 | \$90.00 | \$100.00 | 0.75 |
| LED Outdoor Wall Pack: 30 - 60W | | S13/S13R | 35 | 155 | \$307.21 | \$130.00 | \$177.21 | 39 | 161 | \$127.00 | \$127.00 | 0.75 |
| LED Outdoor Wall Pack: 60 - 100W | | S13/S13R | 35 | 155 | \$307.21 | \$130.00 | \$177.21 | 69 | 171 | \$145.00 | \$325.00 | 0.75 |
| LED Outdoor Wall Pack: >100W | | S13/S13R | 35 | 155 | \$307.21 | \$130.00 | \$177.21 | 135 | 173 | \$235.00 | \$450.00 | 0.75 |
| LED Canopy/Parking Garage Fixture: <50W | | S17/S17R | 37 | 91 | \$313.00 | \$93.00 | \$220.00 | 25 | 96 | \$100.00 | \$122.00 | 1.5 |
| LED Canopy/Parking Garage Fixture: ≥50 - <80W | | S17/S17R | 64 | 126 | \$309.00 | \$150.00 | \$159.00 | 52 | 133 | \$124.00 | \$286.50 | 1.5 |
| LED Canopy/Parking Garage Fixture: ≥80 - 130W | | S17/S17R | 99 | 189 | \$421.00 | \$315.00 | \$106.00 | 82 | 174 | \$309.00 | \$310.00 | 1.5 |
| LED Canopy/Parking Garage Fixture: ≥130W | | S17/S17R | 174 | 284 | \$450.00 | \$356.00 | \$94.00 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| LED 12W 5" Recessed Can Retrofit Kit | [1] | S21/S21R | 12 | N/A | \$111.10 | \$60.00 | \$51.10 | 10 | N/A | \$12.50 | N/A | 0.18 |
| LED 12W 6-8" Recessed Can Retrofit Kit | [1] | S21/S21R | 12 | N/A | \$111.10 | \$60.00 | \$51.10 | 10 | N/A | \$13.50 | N/A | 0.18 |
| LED 15W Surface-Mounted Downlight | | S21R | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 16 | N/A | \$19.99 | N/A | 0.18 |
| LED Flood/Spot: <50W | | S23./S23R | 29 | 99 | \$238.00 | \$110.00 | \$128.00 | 13 | 109 | \$35.00 | \$45.00 | 1.5 |
| LED Flood/Spot: 50 - 100W | | S23./S23R | 72 | 118 | \$564.00 | \$210.00 | \$354.00 | 50 | 101 | \$175.00 | \$295.00 | 1.5 |
| LED Flood/Spot: >100W | | S23./S23R | 134 | 154 | \$696.00 | \$310.00 | \$386.00 | 111 | 180 | \$299.00 | \$497.75 | 1.5 |
| LED Refrigerated Caselight (Vertical) - 3' Fixture: Center | | S30 | 38 | N/A | \$175.68 | \$0.00 | \$175.68 | 19 | N/A | \$55.00 | N/A | 1 |
| LED Refrigerated Caselight (Vertical) - 3' Fixture: End | | S30 | 38 | N/A | \$175.68 | \$0.00 | \$175.68 | 19 | N/A | \$55.00 | N/A | 1 |
| LED Refrigerated Caselight (Vertical) - 4' Fixture: Center | | S30 | 38 | N/A | \$175.68 | \$0.00 | \$175.68 | 15 | N/A | \$62.00 | N/A | 1 |
| LED Refrigerated Caselight (Vertical) - 4' Fixture: End | | S30 | 38 | N/A | \$175.68 | \$0.00 | \$175.68 | 7 | N/A | \$62.00 | N/A | 1 |

| Description | Note | Measure Code | C&I Prescriptive ⁷⁰² | | | | | Small Business Direct Install ⁷⁰³ | | | | |
|--|------|--------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|--|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------|
| | | | Wattage (Watts_EE) | Wattage Reduction (SAVE_EE) | Installed Cost: High Efficiency | Installed Cost: Baseline | Measure Cost (Cost) | Wattage (Watts_EE) | Wattage Reduction (SAVE_EE) | Material Cost (Standard Tier) | Material Cost (Premium Tier) | Labor Hours |
| LED Refrigerated Caselight (Vertical) - 5' Fixture: Center | | S30 | 38 | N/A | \$175.68 | \$0.00 | \$175.68 | 20 | N/A | \$78.00 | N/A | 1 |
| LED Refrigerated Caselight (Vertical) - 5' Fixture: End | | S30 | 38 | N/A | \$175.68 | \$0.00 | \$175.68 | 10 | N/A | \$78.00 | N/A | 1 |
| LED Refrigerated Caselight (Vertical) - 6' Fixture: Center | | S30 | 38 | N/A | \$175.68 | \$0.00 | \$175.68 | 22 | N/A | \$85.99 | N/A | 1 |
| LED Refrigerated Caselight (Vertical) - 6' Fixture: End | | S30 | 38 | N/A | \$175.68 | \$0.00 | \$175.68 | 22 | N/A | \$85.99 | N/A | 1 |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|-----|------|-----|----------|--------|----------|----|-----|---------|-----|---|
| LED Refrigerated Caselight (Horizontal) - 3' Fixture | | S32 | 7.2 | N/A | \$220.00 | \$0.00 | \$220.00 | 19 | N/A | \$63.00 | N/A | 1 |
| LED Refrigerated Caselight (Horizontal) - 4' Fixture | | S32 | 9.6 | N/A | \$220.00 | \$0.00 | \$220.00 | 22 | N/A | \$68.00 | N/A | 1 |
| LED Refrigerated Caselight (Horizontal) - 5' Fixture | | S32 | 12 | N/A | \$220.00 | \$0.00 | \$220.00 | 20 | N/A | \$73.00 | N/A | 1 |
| LED Refrigerated Caselight (Horizontal) - 6' Fixture | | S32 | 14.4 | N/A | \$220.00 | \$0.00 | \$220.00 | 30 | N/A | \$79.00 | N/A | 1 |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|--|-----|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|-----|--------|-----|------|
| 7 Watt MR16 LED Flood | | S40 | 7 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 7 | N/A | \$7.00 | N/A | 0.18 |
| 7 Watt MR16 LED Spot | | S40 | 7 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 7 | N/A | \$7.00 | N/A | 0.18 |
| 9 Watt PAR20 LED Flood | | S40 | 9 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 6 | N/A | \$5.50 | N/A | 0.18 |
| 9 Watt PAR20 LED Spot | | S40 | 9 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 6 | N/A | \$5.50 | N/A | 0.18 |
| 12 Watt PAR30 LED Flood | | S40 | 12 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 10 | N/A | \$7.50 | N/A | 0.18 |
| 12 Watt PAR30 LED Spot | | S40 | 12 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 10 | N/A | \$7.50 | N/A | 0.18 |
| 22 Watt PAR38 LED Flood | | S40 | 22 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 13 | N/A | \$8.25 | N/A | 0.18 |
| 22 Watt PAR38 LED Spot | | S40 | 22 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 13 | N/A | \$8.28 | N/A | 0.18 |
| LED BR30 Screw-In | | S40 | 12 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 11 | N/A | \$6.25 | N/A | 0.18 |
| LED BR40 Screw-In | | S40 | 22 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 17 | N/A | \$8.25 | N/A | 0.18 |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|-----|-----------|----|-----|----------|---------|---------|----|-----|---------|---------|-----|
| LED 2x2 Recessed Fixture: <40W | [1] | S51./S51R | 31 | N/A | \$159.41 | \$60.00 | \$99.41 | 35 | N/A | \$46.50 | \$58.00 | 0.5 |
| LED 2x2 Recessed Fixture: ≥40W | [1] | S51./S51R | 47 | N/A | \$142.81 | \$78.00 | \$64.81 | 40 | N/A | \$46.50 | \$65.00 | 0.5 |
| LED 2x4 Recessed Fixture: <50W | [1] | S51./S51R | 39 | N/A | \$169.75 | \$72.00 | \$97.75 | 40 | N/A | \$75.00 | \$80.00 | 0.5 |

| Description | Note | Measure Code | C&I Prescriptive ⁷⁰² | | | | | Small Business Direct Install ⁷⁰³ | | | | |
|--------------------------------|------|--------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|--|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------|
| | | | Wattage (Watts_EE) | Wattage Reduction (SAVE_EE) | Installed Cost: High Efficiency | Installed Cost: Baseline | Measure Cost (Cost) | Wattage (Watts_EE) | Wattage Reduction (SAVE_EE) | Material Cost (Standard Tier) | Material Cost (Premium Tier) | Labor Hours |
| LED 2x4 Recessed Fixture: ≥50W | [1] | S51./S51R | 64 | N/A | \$179.13 | \$90.00 | \$89.13 | 50 | N/A | \$85.50 | \$95.00 | 0.5 |
| LED 1x4 Recessed Fixture: <40W | [1] | S51./S51R | 31 | N/A | \$182.00 | \$61.00 | \$121.00 | 33 | N/A | \$73.00 | \$99.00 | 0.5 |
| LED 1x4 Recessed Fixture: ≥40W | [1] | S51./S51R | 47 | N/A | \$200.00 | \$84.00 | \$116.00 | 40 | N/A | \$112.00 | \$120.00 | 0.5 |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|------|----|-----|----------|--------|----------|-----|-----|---------|-----|------|
| Integrated Retrofit Kit for LED 2x2 Interior Fixture <40W | | S52. | 28 | N/A | \$115.33 | \$0.00 | \$115.33 | 22 | N/A | \$78.75 | N/A | 0.75 |
| Integrated Retrofit Kit for LED 2x2 Interior Fixture ≥40W | | S52. | 46 | N/A | \$99.00 | \$0.00 | \$99.00 | 30 | N/A | \$89.89 | N/A | 0.75 |
| Integrated Retrofit Kit for LED 2x4 Interior Fixture <50W | | S52. | 36 | N/A | \$153.92 | \$0.00 | \$153.92 | 30 | N/A | \$97.50 | N/A | 0.75 |
| Integrated Retrofit Kit for LED 2x4 Interior Fixture ≥50W | | S52. | 53 | N/A | \$152.00 | \$0.00 | \$152.00 | 44 | N/A | \$97.50 | N/A | 0.75 |
| Integrated Retrofit Kit for LED 1x4 Interior Fixture <40W | | S52. | 26 | N/A | \$171.00 | \$0.00 | \$171.00 | 30 | N/A | \$93.50 | N/A | 0.75 |
| Integrated Retrofit Kit for LED 1x4 Interior Fixture ≥40W | | S52. | 49 | N/A | \$171.00 | \$0.00 | \$171.00 | 43 | N/A | \$93.50 | N/A | 0.75 |
| Linear Retrofit Kit for LED 2x2 Interior Fixture <40W | | S52. | 28 | N/A | \$109.80 | \$0.00 | \$109.80 | 21 | N/A | \$54.00 | N/A | 0.75 |
| Linear Retrofit Kit for LED 2x2 Interior Fixture ≥40W | | S52. | 46 | N/A | \$158.00 | \$0.00 | \$158.00 | 20 | N/A | \$54.00 | N/A | 0.75 |
| Linear Retrofit Kit for LED 2x4 Interior Fixture <50W | | S52. | 36 | N/A | \$113.71 | \$0.00 | \$113.71 | 41 | N/A | \$65.00 | N/A | 0.75 |
| Linear Retrofit Kit for LED 2x4 Interior Fixture ≥50W | | S52. | 53 | N/A | \$123.34 | \$0.00 | \$123.34 | 48 | N/A | \$67.00 | N/A | 0.75 |
| Linear Retrofit Kit for LED 1x4 Interior Fixture <40W | | S52. | 26 | N/A | \$124.39 | \$0.00 | \$124.39 | 22 | N/A | \$59.00 | N/A | 0.75 |
| Linear Retrofit Kit for LED 1x4 Interior Fixture ≥40W | | S52. | 49 | N/A | \$125.35 | \$0.00 | \$125.35 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----|-----------|-----|-----|----------|----------|----------|-----|-----|----------|----------|-----|
| LED High/Low Bay Fixture: <100W | [1] | S61./S61R | 74 | N/A | \$329.04 | \$274.00 | \$55.04 | 67 | N/A | \$144.00 | \$144.00 | 1.5 |
| LED High/Low Bay Fixture: ≥100 - <150W | [1] | S61./S61R | 123 | N/A | \$501.22 | \$269.00 | \$232.22 | 110 | N/A | \$165.00 | \$165.00 | 1.5 |
| LED High/Low Bay Fixtures: ≥150 - <200W | [1] | S61./S61R | 170 | N/A | \$385.87 | \$265.00 | \$120.87 | 160 | N/A | \$210.00 | \$255.00 | 1.5 |
| LED High/Low Bay Fixtures: ≥200 - <300W | [1] | S61./S61R | 233 | N/A | \$738.08 | \$265.00 | \$473.08 | 221 | N/A | \$320.00 | \$320.00 | 1.5 |

| Description | Note | Measure Code | C&I Prescriptive ⁷⁰² | | | | | Small Business Direct Install ⁷⁰³ | | | | |
|----------------------------------|------|--------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|--|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------|
| | | | Wattage (Watts_EE) | Wattage Reduction (SAVE_EE) | Installed Cost: High Efficiency | Installed Cost: Baseline | Measure Cost (Cost) | Wattage (Watts_EE) | Wattage Reduction (SAVE_EE) | Material Cost (Standard Tier) | Material Cost (Premium Tier) | Labor Hours |
| LED High/Low Bay Fixtures: ≥300W | [1] | S61./S61R | 418 | N/A | \$652.00 | \$394.00 | \$258.00 | 319 | N/A | \$375.00 | \$375.00 | 1.5 |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|--|-----|-----|-----|----------|--------|----------|-----|-----|----------|-----|-----|
| LED High/Low Bay Retrofit Kit: <150W | | S62 | 108 | N/A | \$246.43 | \$0.00 | \$246.43 | 105 | N/A | \$150.00 | N/A | 1.5 |
| LED High/Low Bay Retrofit Kit: ≥150W | | S62 | 180 | N/A | \$348.47 | \$0.00 | \$348.47 | 152 | N/A | \$259.99 | N/A | 1.5 |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|----------|-----|-----|
| LED High/Low Bay Replacement Lamps: <50W - Type A | [2] | S64 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| LED High/Low Bay Replacement Lamps: ≥50W - Type A | [2] | S64 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| LED High/Low Bay Replacement Lamps: <80W w/new driver - Type B & C | [2] | S64 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 52 | N/A | \$75.00 | N/A | 1 |
| LED High/Low Bay Replacement Lamps: ≥80W w/new driver - Type B & C | [2] | S64 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 96 | N/A | \$165.00 | N/A | 1 |
| LED High/Low Bay Replacement Lamps: <120W w/new driver - Type B & C | [2] | S64 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 96 | N/A | \$165.00 | N/A | 1 |
| LED High/Low Bay Replacement Lamps: ≥120W w/new driver - Type B & C | [2] | S64 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 119 | N/A | \$240.00 | N/A | 1 |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|--|-----------|-----|-----|----------|-------|-------|------|-----|-----|----------|------|
| Linear Ambient <50W (Strip) | | S81./S81R | 35 | N/A | \$191.74 | \$64 | \$128 | 32 | N/A | N/A | \$85.00 | 0.5 |
| Linear Ambient <50W (Wrap) | | S81./S81R | 35 | N/A | \$191.54 | \$64 | \$128 | 41.1 | N/A | N/A | \$49.00 | 0.5 |
| Linear Ambient 50-100W | | S81./S81R | 71 | N/A | \$293.82 | \$92 | \$202 | 68 | N/A | N/A | \$135.00 | 0.75 |
| Linear Ambient >100W | | S81./S81R | 122 | N/A | \$430.44 | \$113 | \$317 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|-----|-------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|-----|---------|-----|------|
| 2' LED Lamp/Type A (1 lamp) | [2] | S110R | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 9.0 | N/A | \$7.44 | N/A | 0.25 |
| 2' LED Lamp/Type A (2 lamps) | [2] | S110R | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 18.0 | N/A | \$14.88 | N/A | 0.33 |
| 2' LED Lamp/Type A (3 lamps) | [2] | S110R | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 27.0 | N/A | \$22.32 | N/A | 0.42 |
| 2' LED Lamp/Type A (4 lamps) | [2] | S110R | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 36.0 | N/A | \$29.76 | N/A | 0.50 |
| 4' LED Lamp/Type A (1 lamp) | [2] | S110R | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 15.0 | N/A | \$6.25 | N/A | 0.25 |
| 4' LED Lamp/Type A (2 lamps) | [2] | S110R | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 20.0 | N/A | \$12.50 | N/A | 0.33 |
| 4' LED Lamp/Type A (3 lamps) | [2] | S110R | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 45.0 | N/A | \$18.75 | N/A | 0.42 |

| Description | Note | Measure Code | C&I Prescriptive ⁷⁰² | | | | | Small Business Direct Install ⁷⁰³ | | | | |
|--|------|--------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|--|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------|
| | | | Wattage (Watts_EE) | Wattage Reduction (SAVE_EE) | Installed Cost: High Efficiency | Installed Cost: Baseline | Measure Cost (Cost) | Wattage (Watts_EE) | Wattage Reduction (SAVE_EE) | Material Cost (Standard Tier) | Material Cost (Premium Tier) | Labor Hours |
| 4' LED Lamp/Type A (4 lamps) | [2] | S110R | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 60.0 | N/A | \$25.00 | N/A | 0.50 |
| 2' LED Lamp/Type C Kit (1 Lamp & 1 external driver) | [2] | S110R | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 10.0 | N/A | \$14.50 | N/A | 0.25 |
| 2' LED Lamp/Type C Kit (2 Lamps & 1 external driver) | [2] | S110R | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 22.0 | N/A | \$22.50 | N/A | 0.33 |
| 2' LED Lamp/Type C Kit (3 Lamps & 1 external driver) | [2] | S110R | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 30.0 | N/A | \$32.04 | N/A | 0.42 |
| 2' LED Lamp/Type C Kit (4 Lamps & 1 external driver) | [2] | S110R | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 40.0 | N/A | \$42.50 | N/A | 0.50 |
| 4' LED Lamp/Type C Kit (1 Lamp & 1 external driver) | [2] | S110R | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 15.0 | N/A | \$16.75 | N/A | 0.25 |
| 4' LED Lamp/Type C Kit (2 Lamps & 1 external driver) | [2] | S110R | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 30.0 | N/A | \$28.25 | N/A | 0.33 |
| 4' LED Lamp/Type C Kit (3 Lamps & 1 external driver) | [2] | S110R | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 40.0 | N/A | \$44.50 | N/A | 0.42 |
| 4' LED Lamp/Type C Kit (4 Lamps & 1 external driver) | [2] | S110R | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 50.0 | N/A | \$58.00 | N/A | 0.50 |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|-------|-----|-----|----------|-----|---------|-----|-----|---------|-----|------|
| Cooler Case Mounted Occupancy Sensor For LED Fixtures | | L50 | N/A | N/A | \$68 | \$0 | \$68 | N/A | N/A | \$60.00 | N/A | 0.55 |
| Fixture Mounted Occupancy Sensor | | L60.1 | N/A | N/A | \$68.86 | \$0 | \$68.9 | N/A | N/A | \$27.00 | N/A | 0.55 |
| Remote Mounted Occupancy Sensor | | L70.1 | N/A | N/A | \$173.25 | \$0 | \$173.3 | N/A | N/A | \$62.00 | N/A | 0.73 |
| Vacancy Sensor | | L71.1 | N/A | N/A | \$59 | \$0 | \$59 | N/A | N/A | \$40.00 | N/A | 0.73 |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|---------|-----|------|
| Interior Occupancy Sensor Power Supply (power pack) | | - | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$29.00 | N/A | 0.73 |
| Photo cell | | - | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 1-lamp HPT8 Ballast for Type A Lamps | | | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$13.00 | N/A | 0.18 |
| 2-lamp HPT8 Ballast for Type A Lamps | | | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$13.00 | N/A | 0.18 |
| 3-lamp HPT8 Ballast for Type A Lamps | | | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$13.45 | N/A | 0.18 |
| 4-lamp HPT8 Ballast for Type A Lamps | | | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | \$14.07 | N/A | 0.18 |

Note 1: Baseline cost is based on the installed cost (material plus labor) of a single standard-efficiency fixture (one-for-one).

Note 2: Because the existing lamp has an expected life of less than 1 year, the replacement cost of the existing lamp type is assumed for the installed cost: baseline.

Table 32 – Existing Fixture Rated Wattage Table (Watts_{BASE})⁷⁰⁴

| Existing Fixture Description | Wattage (Watts _{BASE}) | Existing Fixture Description | Wattage (Watts _{BASE}) |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| CFL - 11W | 11 | PSMH - 100W | 118 |
| CFL - 13W | 13 | PSMH - 150W | 170 |
| CFL - 27W | 27 | PSMH - 200W | 219 |
| Exit Sign - (2) 20W Incandescent | 40 | PSMH - 320W | 349 |
| Exit Sign - (2) 5W CFL | 10 | PSMH - 400W | 435 |
| Exit Sign - (2) 7.5W Incandescent | 15 | T12 - 1-Lamp 4' T12 | 41.7 |
| Exit Sign - (2) 9W CFL | 18 | T12 - 1-Lamp 4' T12 HO | 84 |
| Halogen - 20W | 20 | T12 - 1-Lamp 5' T12 HO | 97 |
| Halogen - 50W | 50 | T12 - 1-Lamp 6' T12 HO | 113 |
| HPS - 100W | 138 | T12 - 2-Lamp 4' T12 | 70.7 |
| HPS - 150W | 188 | T12 - 2-Lamp 4' T12 HO | 131 |
| HPS - 250W | 295 | T12 - 2-Lamp 5' T12 HO | 170 |
| HPS - 400W | 465 | T12 - 2-Lamp 6' T12 HO | 193 |
| HPS - 50W | 65 | T12 - 2-Lamp 8' T12 | 120.6 |
| HPS - 70W | 95 | T12 - 2-Lamp 8' T12 HO | 197.9 |
| Incandescent - 100W | 100 | T12 - 2-Lamp U T12 | 72.5 |
| Incandescent - 40W | 40 | T12 - 3-Lamp 4' T12 | 112.3 |
| Incandescent - 60W | 60 | T12 - 4-Lamp 4' T12 | 141.2 |
| Incandescent - 65W | 65 | T8 - 1-Lamp 4' T8 | 31 |
| Incandescent - 75W | 75 | T8 - 1-Lamp 4' T8 HO | 53 |
| MH - 1000W | 1075 | T8 - 1-Lamp 5' T8 HO | 62 |
| MH - 100W | 128 | T8 - 1-Lamp 6' T8 HO | 80 |
| MH - 150W | 190 | T8 - 2-Lamp 4' T8 | 59 |
| MH - 175W | 215 | T8 - 2-Lamp 4' T8 HO | 100 |
| MH - 200W | 232 | T8 - 2-Lamp 5' T8 HO | 116 |
| MH - 250W | 288 | T8 - 2-Lamp 6' T8 HO | 136 |
| MH - 400W | 458 | T8 - 2-Lamp U T8 | 60 |
| LED MR16 | 7 | T8 - 3-Lamp 4' T8 | 89 |
| LED PAR20 | 9 | T8 - 4-Lamp 4' T8 | 112 |
| LED PAR30 | 12 | LED A | 10 |
| LED PAR38 | 22 | LED BR 30 | 12 |
| | | LED BR 40 | 22 |

⁷⁰⁴ Table also includes fixtures not included in Installed Measure table that may be selected as controlled fixtures for control measures.

Table 33 – Baseline Lighting Power Density (Watt/ft²) by Space-Type⁷⁰⁵

| Space Type | LPD _{BASE} | Space Type | LPD _{BASE} |
|--|---------------------|--|---------------------|
| Active Storage | 0.8 | Health Care (Operating Room) | 2.2 |
| Active Storage (For Health Care) | 0.9 | Health Care (Patient Room) | 0.7 |
| Atrium (Each Additional Floor) | 0.2 | Health Care (Pharmacy) | 1.2 |
| Atrium (First 3 Floors) | 0.6 | Health Care (Physical Therapy) | 0.9 |
| Audience/Seating Area | 0.9 | Health Care (Radiology) | 0.4 |
| Audience/Seating Area (For Convention Center) | 0.7 | Health Care (Recovery) | 0.8 |
| Audience/Seating Area (For Exercise Center) | 0.3 | Hotel/Motel Guest Rooms | 1.1 |
| Audience/Seating Area (For Gymnasium) | 0.4 | Inactive Storage | 0.3 |
| Audience/Seating Area (For Motion Picture Theater) | 1.2 | Inactive Storage (For Museum) | 0.8 |
| Audience/Seating Area (For Penitentiary) | 0.7 | Laboratory | 1.4 |
| Audience/Seating Area (For Performing Arts Theater) | 2.6 | Library (Card File and Cataloging) | 1.1 |
| Audience/Seating Area (For Religious Buildings) | 1.7 | Library (Reading Area) | 1.2 |
| Audience/Seating Area (For Sports Arenas) | 0.4 | Library (Stacks) | 1.7 |
| Audience/Seating Area (For Transportation) | 0.5 | Lobby | 1.3 |
| Automotive (Service/Repair) | 0.7 | Lobby (For Hotel) | 1.1 |
| Bank/Office (Banking Activity Area) | 1.5 | Lobby (For Motion Picture Theater) | 1.1 |
| Classroom/Lecture/Training | 1.4 | Lobby (For Performing Arts Center) | 3.3 |
| Classroom/Lecture/Training (For Penitentiary) | 1.3 | Lounge/Recreation | 1.2 |
| Conference/Meeting/Multipurpose | 1.3 | Lounge/Recreation (For Health Care) | 0.8 |
| Convention Center (Exhibit Space) | 1.3 | Manufacturing (Control Room) | 0.5 |
| Corridor/Transition | 0.5 | Manufacturing (Detailed Manufacturing) | 2.1 |
| Corridor/Transition (For Health Care) | 1 | Manufacturing (Equipment Room) | 1.2 |
| Corridor/Transition (For Manufacturing Facility) | 0.5 | Manufacturing (High Bay, >25 ft. Ceiling Height) | 1.7 |
| Courthouse/Police Station/Penitentiary (Confinement Cells) | 0.9 | Manufacturing (Low Bay, <25 ft. Ceiling Height) | 1.2 |
| Courthouse/Police Station/Penitentiary (Courtroom) | 1.9 | Museum (General Exhibition) | 1 |
| Courthouse/Police Station/Penitentiary (Judges' Chambers) | 1.3 | Museum (Restoration) | 1.7 |
| Dining Area | 0.9 | Office (Enclosed) | 1.1 |
| Dining Area (For Bar/Lounge/Leisure Dining) | 1.4 | Office (Open Plan) | 1.1 |
| Dining Area (For Family Dining) | 2.1 | Parking Garage (Garage Area) | 0.2 |
| Dining Area (For Hotel) | 1.3 | Post Office (Sorting Area) | 1.2 |
| Dining Area (For Motel) | 1.2 | Religious Buildings (Fellowship Hall) | 0.9 |
| Dining Area (For Penitentiary) | 1.3 | Religious Buildings (Worship Pulpit/Choir) | 2.4 |
| Dormitory Living Quarters | 1.1 | Restrooms | 0.9 |
| Dressing/Locker/Fitting Room | 0.6 | Retail (Mall Concourse) | 1.7 |
| Electrical/Mechanical | 1.5 | Retail (Sales Area) | 1.7 |
| Fire Stations (Engine Room) | 0.8 | Sales Area | 1.7 |
| Fire Stations (Sleeping Quarters) | 0.3 | Sports Arena (Court Sports Area) | 2.3 |

⁷⁰⁵ Lighting Power Allowance per IECC 2009, which is based on the ASHRAE 90.1 2007 technical requirements

| Space Type | LPD _{BASE} | Space Type | LPD _{BASE} |
|---|---------------------|--|---------------------|
| Food Preparation | 1.2 | Sports Arena (Indoor Playing Field Area) | 1.4 |
| Gymnasium/Exercise Center (Exercise Area) | 0.9 | Sports Arena (Ring Sports Area) | 2.7 |
| Gymnasium/Exercise Center (Playing Area) | 1.4 | Stairs (Active) | 0.6 |
| Health Care (Emergency) | 2.7 | Transportation (Air/Tran/Bus - Baggage Area) | 1 |
| Health Care (Exam/Treatment) | 1.5 | Transportation (Airport - Concourse) | 0.6 |
| Health Care (Laundry/Washing) | 0.6 | Transportation (Terminal - Ticket Counter) | 1.5 |
| Health Care (Medical Supply) | 1.4 | Warehouse (Fine Material Storage) | 1.4 |
| Health Care (Nursery) | 0.6 | Warehouse (Medium/Bulky Storage) | 0.9 |
| Health Care (Nurses' Station) | 1 | Workshop | 1.9 |

Table 34 – Reference Lighting Annual Operating Hours by facility type⁷⁰⁶

| Building Type | Proposed | Data Source |
|--|----------|-------------|
| Agricultural | 4698 | WI 2015 |
| College | 3416 | KEMA 2011 |
| Convenience Store | 6019 | KEMA 2011 |
| Garage/Repair | 3521 | KEMA 2011 |
| Grocery | 6019 | KEMA 2011 |
| Health | 4007 | KEMA 2011 |
| Hospital | 7666 | NY 2016 |
| K-12 School | 2456 | KEMA 2011 |
| Lodging | 4808 | KEMA 2011 |
| Manufacturing | 4781 | KEMA 2011 |
| Nursing Home/Assisted Living/Health Care | 5840 | NY 2016 |
| Office | 3642 | KEMA 2011 |
| Other | 4265 | KEMA 2011 |
| Restaurant | 4089 | KEMA 2011 |
| Retail | 4103 | KEMA 2011 |
| Warehouse | 4009 | KEMA 2011 |

⁷⁰⁶ WI 2005 refers to Wisconsin Technical Reference Manual, 2005; NY2016 refers to New York Technical Reference Manual, 2010; KEMA 2011 refers to KEMA C&I Lighting Load Shape Project FINAL Report, July 19, 2011.

Table 35 – Reference Lighting Annual Operating Hours by facility and space type⁷⁰⁷

| Space Type | Facility Type | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|---------------|----------|----------|---------|---------|--------|-------|------------|--------|--------------|-----------|
| | Apartments | Assembly | Industry | Lodging | Medical | Office | Other | Restaurant | Retail | School(K-12) | Warehouse |
| Assembly | 4,890 | 1,064 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 899 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Break Room | NA | 884 | 1,257 | NA | 1,200 | 1,829 | 1,682 | NA | 1,802 | 1,303 | 2,918 |
| Cafeteria | NA | 375 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 2,356 | 1,775 |
| Classroom | NA | 596 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 172 | NA | 4,842 | 1,429 | NA |
| Conference | 4,035 | 488 | 1,671 | NA | 675 | 971 | 261 | NA | 1,018 | 1,221 | 1,277 |
| Dining | 1,448 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 1,758 | 4,452 | NA | NA | NA |
| Equipment | NA | 707 | 780 | NA | 975 | 2,064 | 1,610 | 1,324 | 2,034 | NA | NA |
| Gym | 563 | 101 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 1,406 | NA | 6,566 | 2,545 | NA |
| Hallway | 8,528 | 1,424 | 2,995 | NA | 3,778 | 1,914 | 2,098 | 4,896 | 2,262 | 3,598 | 2,483 |
| Kitchen | 2,329 | 1,308 | 1,936 | NA | 3,818 | 2,037 | 308 | 5,081 | 1,737 | 1,626 | 1,925 |
| Library/CPU | NA | 1,782 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 1,767 | NA |
| Office (Closed) | 1,929 | 678 | 1,620 | NA | 1,291 | 1,671 | 1,575 | 4,683 | 2,449 | 1,444 | 1,994 |
| Office (Open) | 3,020 | 2,734 | 2,334 | 8,760 | 2,455 | 2,378 | 2,223 | NA | 3,417 | 2,338 | 2,758 |
| Other | 4,366 | 2,213 | 1,215 | NA | 2,523 | 2,550 | 1,853 | NA | 3,263 | 2,111 | 2,202 |
| Production | NA | NA | 2,959 | NA | NA | 1,972 | NA | NA | 2,897 | NA | 3,351 |
| Restroom | 38 | 873 | 431 | 267 | 685 | 1,212 | 1,679 | 3,212 | 587 | 1,515 | 1,140 |
| Retail | NA | 3,184 | 2,632 | NA | 2,716 | 3,558 | NA | NA | 2,825 | NA | NA |
| Storage | 1,904 | 401 | 927 | 17 | 984 | 992 | 1,325 | 3,077 | 1,801 | 1,420 | 1,516 |
| Warehouse | NA | NA | 2,195 | NA | NA | 1,661 | 1,945 | NA | 2,550 | NA | 2,295 |

⁷⁰⁷ Based on results from Michigan Statewide Commercial and Industrial Lighting Hours-of-Use Study, EMI Consulting, June 6, 2014.

Table 36 – Savings Factors for Lighting Controls

| Commercial/Industrial | |
|------------------------------|--|
| Space Type | % of Annual Lighting Energy Saved (SVG)^A |
| Break Room | 20% |
| Classrooms | 30% |
| Conference rooms | 45% |
| Cooler/Freezer Case | 31% |
| Corridors | 15% |
| Gymnasiums | 35% |
| Open offices | 15% |
| Other Type ^B | 25% |
| Private offices | 30% |
| Restrooms | 40% |
| Storage | 55% |
| Warehouses | 50% |

^A SVG values for Gymnasiums, Warehouses, and Storage areas are from IES Paper #43, An Analysis of Energy & Cost Savings Potential of Occupancy Sensors for Commercial Lighting Spaces. 8/16/2000. SVG for Cooler/Freezer case from US DOE, “Demonstration Assessment of Light-Emitting Diode (LED) Freezer Case Lighting.” Refrigerated cases were metered for 12 days to determine savings from occupancy sensors. Assumes that refrigerated freezers and refrigerated coolers will see the same amount of savings from sensors. The SVG value for the “other” category is a conservative estimate of savings intended to ensure reported savings are not overstated when the controls are installed in areas other than those specifically listed.

^B Each industrial/manufacturing space has very specific occupancy patterns, and a literature search revealed no published values for typical savings resulting from controls in these spaces. When sensors are installed in these space types, the “other” category, reflecting the most conservative SVG value should be selected.

Table 37 – Ventilation Rates (CFM/ft²)⁷⁰⁸

| Space Type | VentilationRate | Space Type | VentilationRate |
|----------------------------|-----------------|--|-----------------|
| Art classroom | 0.38 | Health club/weight rooms | 0.26 |
| Auditorium seating area | 0.81 | Kitchen (cooking) | 0.27 |
| Bank vaults/safe deposit | 0.09 | Laundry rooms within dwelling units | 0.17 |
| Banks or bank lobbies | 0.17 | Laundry rooms, central | 0.17 |
| Barbershop | 0.25 | Lecture classroom | 0.55 |
| Barracks sleeping areas | 0.16 | Lecture hall (fixed seats) | 1.19 |
| Bars, cocktail lounges | 0.93 | Legislative chambers | 0.31 |
| Beauty and nail salons | 0.62 | Libraries | 0.17 |
| Bedroom/living room | 0.11 | Lobbies | 0.81 |
| Booking/waiting | 0.44 | Lobbies/prefunction | 0.29 |
| Bowling alley (seating) | 0.52 | Main entry lobbies | 0.11 |
| Break rooms | 0.19 | Mall common areas | 0.36 |
| Cafeteria/fast-food dining | 0.93 | Media center | 0.37 |
| Cell | 0.25 | Multipurpose assembly | 0.66 |
| Classrooms (age 9 plus) | 0.47 | Multi-use assembly | 0.81 |
| Classrooms (ages 5–8) | 0.37 | Museums (children's) | 0.42 |
| Coffee stations | 0.16 | Museums/galleries | 0.36 |
| Coin-operated laundries | 0.21 | Music/theater/dance | 0.41 |
| Common corridors | 0.06 | Occupiable storage rooms for liquids or gels | 0.13 |
| Computer (not printing) | 0.08 | Occupiable storage rooms for dry materials | 0.07 |
| Computer lab | 0.37 | Office space | 0.09 |
| Conference/meeting | 0.31 | Pet shops (animal areas) | 0.26 |
| Corridors | 0.06 | Pharmacy (prep. area) | 0.23 |
| Courtrooms | 0.41 | Photo studios | 0.17 |
| Daycare (through age 4) | 0.43 | Places of religious worship | 0.66 |
| Daycare sickroom | 0.43 | Reception areas | 0.21 |
| Dayroom | 0.21 | Restaurant dining rooms | 0.71 |
| Disco/dance floors | 2.06 | Sales | 0.23 |
| Dwelling unit | 0.07 | Science laboratories | 0.43 |
| Electrical equipment rooms | 0.06 | Shipping/receiving | 0.12 |
| Elevator machine rooms | 0.12 | Sorting, packing, light assembly | 0.17 |
| Gambling casinos | 1.08 | Spectator areas | 1.19 |
| Game arcades | 0.33 | Sports arena (play area) | 0.3 |

⁷⁰⁸ ASHRAE Standard 62.1 Outdoor Air Rates, Table 6-1 and Table E-1. The ventilation rates are the combined rates for CFM per person and CFM per area based on default values for occupancy.

| Space Type | VentilationRate | Space Type | VentilationRate |
|---|-----------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|
| General manufacturing (excludes heavy industrial and processes using chemicals) | 0.25 | Stages, studios | 0.76 |
| Guard stations | 0.14 | Storage rooms | 0.12 |
| Gym, stadium (play area) | 0.3 | Supermarket | 0.12 |
| Health Care: Patient Rooms | 0.25 | Swimming (pool & deck) | 0.48 |
| Health Care: Medical Procedure | 0.30 | Telephone closets | 0 |
| Health Care: Operating Rooms | 0.60 | Telephone/data entry | 0.36 |
| Health Care: Recovery and ICU | 0.30 | Transportation waiting | 0.81 |
| Health Care: Autopsy Rooms | 0.50 | University/college laboratories | 0.43 |
| Health Care: Physical Therapy | 0.30 | Warehouses | 0.06 |
| Health club/aerobics room | 0.86 | Wood/metal shop | 0.38 |

Table 38 – Refrigeration Bonus Factors

| Measures | Bonus Factor | Temperature | | |
|--|-----------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| | | Low (COP = 2.0) | Medium (COP = 3.5) | High (COP = 5.4) |
| R10 Evaporator Fan Motor Controls R40/R41/R42 H.E. Evaporative Fan Motors | $(1 + 1 / \text{COP})^A$ | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.2 |
| R20 Door Heater Controls R30/R31 Zero Energy Doors for Coolers/Freezers | $(1 + 0.65 / \text{COP})^B$ | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.1 |

^A Based on the average of standard reciprocating and discus compressor efficiencies with Saturated Suction Temperatures of -20°F, 20°F, and 45°F, respectively, and a condensing temperature of 90°F.

^B Based on the average of standard reciprocating and discus compressor efficiencies with Saturated Suction Temperatures of -20°F, 20°F, and 45°F, respectively, and a condensing temperature of 90°F, and manufacturers assumption that 65% of heat generated by door enters the refrigerated case $(1 + 0.65 / \text{COP})$.

Interactive Effects Derivation

More efficient lighting provides the same amount of lumens with fewer watts. Halogen and incandescent bulbs generate a lot of heat in addition to light. The wattage that produces heat rather than light is referred to as waste heat. When cooling is called for, the waste heat generated by inefficient lights requires the cooling system to work harder. By replacing inefficient lights with efficient lights less waste heat is produced which reduces the load on the cooling system. The magnitude of the reduced cooling load is proportional to the magnitude of the wattage reduction of the lights. Conversely, when heating is called for, the reduction in waste heat from the replacement of inefficient lights with efficient lights increases the load on the heating system. To calculate the interactive factors several factors must be considered as define below.

Factors included in the calculation of Interactive Effects Factors:

IGC = Internal Gain Contribution (%) – This factor accounts for some portion of the wattage reduction not contributing to the interactive effects. Some waste heat escapes through ceiling and wall penetrations without contributing to internal gains that affect the load on HVAC systems.

%A = Applicability (%) – Interactive effects are only applicable if the waste heat reduction interacts with a HVAC system. Lights installed in unconditioned spaces do not contribute to interactive effects. Applicability is calculated as the product of % of bulbs installed in interior sockets and the % of buildings with mechanical cooling. ($\%A = \%I * \%A/C$)

C_{HVAC} = Concurrency with Heating/Cooling – Waste heat only impacts HVAC systems when the lights and the systems are on concurrently. Cooling interactive effects only occur during the cooling season and heating interactive effects only occur during the heating season.

Eff_{HVAC} = Efficiency of the HVAC system – The change in consumption of the HVAC system is determined by the efficiency of the system.

Cooling Demand Interactive Effects Factor

The following formula is used to calculate the cooling demand interactive effects factor. Total demand reduction is calculated by multiplying the demand reduction from the lighting change by the cooling demand factor. The values used in the formula are defined in the table below.

$$IE_{COOL_D} = 1 + \frac{IGC \times \%A \times C_{HVAC}}{Eff_{HVAC}}$$

Cooling Energy Interactive Effects Factor

The following formula is used to calculate the cooling energy interactive effects factor. Total energy savings is calculated by multiplying the energy savings from the lighting change by the cooling energy factor. The values used in the formula are defined in the table below.

$$IE_{COOL_E} = 1 + \frac{IGC \times \%A \times C_{HVAC}}{Eff_{HVAC}}$$

Heating Energy Interactive Effects Factor

The following formula is used to calculate the heating energy interactive effects factor. Heating energy increased used (in MMBtu) is calculated by multiplying the energy savings from the lighting change (in kWh) by the heating energy factor. The values used in the formula are defined in the table below.

$$IE_{HEAT_E} = \frac{IGC \times \%A \times C_{HVAC}}{Eff_{HVAC}} \times 0.003412 \text{ MMBtu/kWh}$$

Table 39. Interactive Effects Input Factors and resulting IE Factors

| Input Factors | IGC | | %A | | CHVAC | | Eff _{HVAC} | | Interactive Effects Factor | |
|-----------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------------------|-------|----------------------------|---------|
| | Value | Note | Value | Note | Value | Note | Value | Note | Term | Value |
| Residential Cooling Demand | 75% | [709] | 46% | [710] | 100% | [711] | 400% | [712] | IE _{COOL_D} | 1.085 |
| Residential Cooling Energy | 75% | [709] | 46% | [710] | 25% | [713] | 400% | [712] | IE _{COOL_E} | 1.021 |
| Residential Heating | 75% | [709] | 86% | [714] | 50% | [715] | 80.5% | [716] | IE _{HEAT_E} | 0.00137 |
| Commercial Cooling Demand | 75% | [709] | 77% | [717] | 100% | [711] | 400% | [712] | IE _{COOL_D} | 1.144 |
| Commercial Cooling Energy | 75% | [709] | 77% | [717] | 42% | [718] | 400% | [712] | IE _{COOL_E} | 1.060 |
| Commercial Heating | 75% | [709] | 100% | [719] | 50% | [715] | 80.5% | [716] | IE _{HEAT_E} | 0.00159 |

⁷⁰⁹ Based on engineering judgment

⁷¹⁰ Per 2015 Maine Residential Baseline Study, 86% of bulbs are installed in locations that are conditioned. According to Portland Press Herald, <http://www.pressherald.com/2014/05/26/put-power-rates-on-ice-that-s-a-cool-idea/>, in 2010, an estimated 79 percent of customers in ISO-New England region had room air conditioners. Of the 79 percent, 40 percent of homes have equivalent of whole home A/C (3 window A/Cs); 39 percent of homes have total cooling capacity equivalent of 1 or 2 window A/C units. The remaining 21percent have no cooling equipment installed. Assuming that a window A/C unit cools 1/3 of a home that works out to be 53% of residential homes are mechanical cooled. (%A = 46% = 86%*53%)

⁷¹¹ Maximum demand reduction occurs when lights and cooling systems are on concurrently. Coincidence factors are then applied to determine coincidence with peak hours.

⁷¹² Cooling equipment efficiency is assumed to be 400% based on a SEER of 14 which is the current federal minimum efficiency standard.

⁷¹³ Cooling season is assumed to be 3 months for residential applications. (3/12 = 25%)

⁷¹⁴ Per 2015 Maine Residential Baseline Study 86% of bulbs are installed in locations that are conditioned. 100% of residences are heated. (%A = 86% = 86%*100%)

⁷¹⁵ Heating season is assumed to be 6 months. (6/12=50%)

⁷¹⁶ Per 2015 Maine Residential Baseline Study, the average heating system efficiency is 80.5%. It is assumed that commercial heating systems have a similar average efficiency.

⁷¹⁷ For commercial applications, it is assumed that all bulbs are installed in interior sockets. The C&I Prescriptive program tracks exterior lights separately and interactive effect factors are not applied to those measures. Based on the cooling system type saturation in the 2012 EMT Baseline Opportunities Study and assuming that window unit A/C cools 1/3 of the conditioned space, 77% of commercial space is mechanically cooled in Maine. (%A = 77% = 100%*53%)

⁷¹⁸ Cooling season is assumed to be 5 months for commercial applications due to higher internal gains. (5/12=42%)

⁷¹⁹ For commercial applications, it is assumed that all bulbs are installed in interior sockets. The C&I Prescriptive program tracks exterior lights separately and interactive effect factors are not applied to those measures. It is assumed that 100% of commercial spaces are heated. (%A = 100% = 100%*100%)

Table 40 – Realization Rate Adjusted Coincidence Factors for Prescriptive Non-Lighting Measures⁷²⁰

| Measure | Winter CF | Summer CF | Footnote | RR _D Winter | RR _D Summer | RR _D Adjusted Winter CF | RR _D Adjusted Summer CF |
|--|-----------|-----------|----------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| SFA Prescriptive Variable Frequency Drives (VFD) for HVAC | 19.8% | 50.8% | 721 | 73.7% | 95.9% | 14.6% | 48.7% |
| SFP Prescriptive Variable Frequency Drives (VFD) for HVAC | 19.8% | 50.8% | 721 | 73.7% | 95.9% | 14.6% | 48.7% |
| RFA Prescriptive Variable Frequency Drives (VFD) for HVAC | 28.5% | 71.2% | 721 | 73.7% | 95.9% | 21.0% | 68.3% |
| RFP Prescriptive Variable Frequency Drives (VFD) for HVAC | 28.5% | 71.2% | 721 | 73.7% | 95.9% | 21.0% | 68.3% |
| BEF Prescriptive Variable Frequency Drives (VFD) for HVAC | 100.0% | 37.0% | 721 | 73.7% | 95.9% | 73.7% | 35.5% |
| CWP Prescriptive Variable Frequency Drives (VFD) for HVAC | 0.0% | 90.2% | 721 | 73.7% | 95.9% | 0.0% | 86.5% |
| HHWP Prescriptive Variable Frequency Drives (VFD) for HVAC | 100.0% | 0.0% | 721 | 73.7% | 95.9% | 73.7% | 0.0% |
| DCVE, DCVN Prescriptive HVAC: Demand Control Ventilation | 2.0% | 81.0% | 722 | 73.7% | 95.9% | 1.5% | 77.7% |
| VRF Prescriptive HVAC: Variable Refrigerant Flow | 57.0% | 37.2% | 723 | 73.7% | 95.9% | 42.0% | 35.7% |
| AH1-AH3, WH Heat Pump Systems (< 11.25 tons) | 57.0% | 37.2% | 724 | 73.7% | 95.9% | 42.0% | 35.7% |

⁷²⁰ RR_D used to adjust Summer and Winter CF to account for BIP program evaluation findings. Nexant, [Business Incentive Program Impact Evaluation, unpublished draft, May 2017](#).

⁷²¹ Efficiency Vermont TRM 2012. Values used for VFDs on VFD Boiler Feedwater Pumps, 10 HP; VFD Chilled Water Pumps, < 10 HP; VFD Supply Fans, < 10 HP; VFD Returns Fans, < 10 HP; and VFD Exhaust Fans, < 10 HP.

⁷²² Central Maine Power, Non-residential load profile for 3/1/08-2/28/09.

⁷²³ KEMA, NEEP Unitary HVAC AC Load Shape Project Final Report, June 2011.

⁷²⁴ KEMA, NEEP Unitary HVAC AC Load Shape Project Final Report, June 2011.

| Measure | Winter CF | Summer CF | Footnote | RR _D Winter | RR _D Summer | RR _D Adjusted Winter CF | RR _D Adjusted Summer CF |
|---|-----------|-----------|----------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Heat Pump Systems (≥ 11.25 tons) | 57.0% | 29.0% | 724 | 73.7% | 95.9% | 42.0% | 27.8% |
| R10 Prescriptive Refrigeration: Evaporator Fan Motor Control for Cooler/Freezer | 45.9% | 43.0% | 725 | 73.7% | 95.9% | 33.8% | 41.2% |
| R20 Prescriptive Refrigeration: Door Heater Controls for Cooler/Freezer | 100.0% | 100.0% | 726 | 73.7% | 95.9% | 73.7% | 95.9% |
| R30, R31 Prescriptive Refrigeration: Zero Energy Doors for Coolers/Freezers | 100.0% | 100.0% | 727 | 73.7% | 95.9% | 73.7% | 95.9% |
| R40, R41, R42 Prescriptive Refrigeration: High-Efficiency Evaporative Fan Motors | 100.0% | 100.0% | 727 | 73.7% | 95.9% | 73.7% | 95.9% |
| R50, R51, R52 Prescriptive Refrigeration: Floating-Head Pressure Controls | 100.0% | 0.0% | 728 | 73.7% | 95.9% | 73.7% | 0.0% |
| R60, R61, R62, R63, R70, R71, R72, R73, R74 Prescriptive Refrigeration: Discus & Scroll Compressors | 69.0% | 77.2% | 729 | 73.7% | 95.9% | 50.9% | 74.0% |
| R80 Prescriptive Refrigeration: ENERGY STAR® Reach-in Coolers and Freezers | 69.0% | 77.2% | 729 | 73.7% | 95.9% | 50.9% | 74.0% |

⁷²⁵ Efficiency Vermont TRM 2012, Evaporator Fan Control.

⁷²⁶ Efficiency Vermont TRM 2012, Door Heater Control.

⁷²⁷ Values are based on continuous operation. For energy period factors, values may assume that energy savings are evenly distributed across all hours of the year.

⁷²⁸ Efficiency Vermont TRM 2012, Floating-Head Pressure Control.

⁷²⁹ Efficiency Vermont TRM 2012, Commercial Refrigeration.

| Measure | Winter CF | Summer CF | Footnote | RR _D Winter | RR _D Summer | RR _D Adjusted Winter CF | RR _D Adjusted Summer CF |
|--|-----------|-----------|----------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| R90 Prescriptive Refrigeration: ENERGY STAR® Commercial Ice Makers | 69.0% | 77.2% | 729 | 73.7% | 95.9% | 50.9% | 74.0% |
| VP<X> Prescriptive Agricultural: Adjustable Speed Drives for Dairy Vacuum Pumps | 63.4% | 28.7% | 730 | 73.7% | 95.9% | 46.7% | 27.5% |
| AMSC<X> Prescriptive Agricultural: Scroll Compressors | 91.5% | 34.1% | 731 | 73.7% | 95.9% | 67.4% | 32.7% |
| ASD<X> Prescriptive Agricultural: Adjustable Speed Drives on Ventilation Fans (Potato Storage Equipment) | 100.0% | 0.0% | 732 | 73.7% | 95.9% | 73.7% | 0.0% |
| AOLSF Prescriptive Agricultural: High-Volume Low-Speed Fans | 91.5% | 34.0% | 732 | 73.7% | 96.0% | 67.4% | 32.6% |
| C1–C4 Prescriptive Compressed Air: High-Efficiency Air Compressors | 95.0% | 95.0% | 733 | 73.7% | 95.9% | 70.0% | 91.1% |
| C10–C16 Prescriptive Compressed Air: High-Efficiency Dryers | 95.0% | 95.0% | 733 | 73.7% | 95.9% | 70.0% | 91.1% |
| C20–C27 Prescriptive Compressed Air: Receivers | 95.0% | 95.0% | 733 | 73.7% | 95.9% | 70.0% | 91.1% |
| C30–C33 Prescriptive Compressed Air: Low Pressure Drop Filters | 95.0% | 95.0% | 733 | 73.7% | 95.9% | 70.0% | 91.1% |
| C40 Prescriptive Compressed Air: Air-Entraining Nozzles | 95.0% | 95.0% | 733 | 73.7% | 95.9% | 70.0% | 91.1% |

⁷³⁰ Efficiency Vermont TRM 2012, VFD Milk Vacuum Pump.

⁷³¹ Efficiency Vermont TRM 2012, Dairy Farm Combined End Uses.

⁷³² Savings are realized 24/7 Dec 1 – April 30.

⁷³³ Efficiency Vermont TRM 2012, page 13.
