September 21, 2018 NH Presentation

Efficiency & Electrification: Strategic Partners



About VEIC

- Nonprofit founded in 1986
- 300+ employees
- Locations: DC, NY, OH, VT
- Design and deliver programs and policies nationwide:
 - Energy efficiency
 - Clean transportation
 - Renewable energy



- Our customers:
 - Utilities
 - Government
 - Foundations
 - Environmental &
 - consumer groups
 - Business



Topics

- Overview of heat pump policies and programs in the Northeast
- Efficiency and electrification: strategic partners
- Updating EE programs in the context of electrification





Heat Pumps in the Northeast: Lessons Learned



Research Objectives

- Review policy and program frameworks in Northeast states – New England & New York
- Identify key factors driving program success for air source heat pump (ASHP) adoption
- Develop recommendations for states and utilities interested in promoting heat pumps



https://www.veic.org/resourcelibrary/driving-the-heat-pumpmarket-lessons-learned-fromthe-northeast



Northeast Electric Grid is Getting Cleaner

Emissions from Electricity Generation 2001-2014 (New York and New England)



XEIC

Limited Access to Natural Gas in the Region

Natural Gas Pipeline in Northeast US



Housing Units Heated State with **Natural** Gas 33.6% CT MA 50.1% ME 6.0% NH 19.7% 56.8% NY 51.8% RI VT 16.5%

Source: U.S. EIA Energy Mapping System

Source: U.S. Census Data 2015



Heat Pumps Have Lowest GHG Emissions





Learn more about this report at 2030.acadiacenter.org





Heat Pump Usage in the Northeast

- Most common application:
 - o Ductless mini-split
 - Installed in home with oil or propane boiler
 - Home retains backup fossil fuel system
 - $\circ~$ Adds new cooling load
- Wide variability in use of heat pump vs. backup system
- NEEP Cold Climate specification designates products that meet heating performance standards at low temperatures



State Policies Promoting Heat Pumps

State	Policy	Binding Target?	Dedicated Funding Source?
СТ	Comprehensive Energy Strategy	No	No
MA	Alternative Portfolio Standard	Yes	Yes
ME	State Energy Plan Legislation directing Efficiency Maine to focus on reducing heating costs	No	Yes
NH	Thermal Renewable Energy Certificate program but ASHPs not currently included	No	No
NY	REV Clean Energy Fund	Yes	Yes
RI	Resilient Rhode Island Act Power Sector Transformation	No	No
VT	Comprehensive Energy Plan Renewable Energy Standard including energy transformation	Yes	Yes

XEIC

Policy Lessons Learned

- Policies are most effective when backed by binding targets and dedicated funding
- EE savings targets are necessary but not sufficient to drive heat pump adoption
- Examples of program metrics to drive heat pumps:
 - ${\rm \circ}$ Number of installations
 - Fuel-neutral energy savings
 - \circ GHG reduction
- When there are multiple program administrators, careful coordination is needed to avoid customer and market confusion



Savings Assumptions

State	Program/Utility	Incentive Level	Incremental Electric Savings	Retrofit Fuel Savings	
СТ	Energize CT	\$300	Yes	No	
MA	Mass Save	\$100-300	Yes	No	
ME	Efficiency Maine	\$500	Yes	No	
NH	NH Saves	\$375-750	Yes	No	
	NYSERDA	\$500	Voc	No	
INI	Utility Programs	\$100-300	165	INU	
RI	National Grid	\$100-300	Yes	Yes	
VT	Efficiency Vermont	\$600-800	Yes	Yes	

★ VEIC

Savings & Incentives Lessons Learned

- Electric utility programs that only value incremental electric savings tend to offer lower incentives (\$100-300/unit)
- Programs that offer higher incentives (>\$500/unit):
 - \circ Count the fossil fuel savings towards program goals (e.g., Efficiency Vermont) OR
 - Have non-utility program administrators with broader goals for renewable thermal adoption or GHG reduction (e.g., Efficiency Maine, MassCEC, NYSERDA)





Incentives and Installation Rates

	State	Program/Utility	Incentive Approach	Incentive Level	Annual Install Rate	
	СТ	Energize CT	Midstream	\$300	0.10%	
	ΝΛΛ	Mass Save	Downstream	\$100-300	0.26%	
	MA	MassCEC	Downstream	\$625-1000		
	ME	Efficiency Maine	Downstream	\$500	0.26% 0.82% 0.16% 0.06%	
	NH	NH Saves	Downstream	\$375-750	0.16%	
	NY	NYSERDA	Midstream to contractor	\$500	0.06%	
		Utility Programs	Downstream	\$100-300		
	RI	National Grid	Downstream	\$100-300	0.22%	
		Efficiency Vermont	Midstream	\$600-800		
	VT	Utility RES Compliance	Downstream	\$150-375	1.20%	
		N4-K1-N4-K1-K	1 N4-K4-K1	N4-KI N	4.K. N4-	

Program Design Lessons Learned

- Midstream programs are effective at driving the market
 - Proactive supply channel engagement
 - Instant discount at point of sale
 - $\circ~$ Distributor and/or contractor incentives
- Contractor and customer training is key
 - Contractor incentives (NYSERDA)
 - Trade ally networks (Efficiency Vermont)
- Bundle installation of heat pumps with:
 - Weatherization (NH Electric Coop)
 - Controls (Eversource pilot in CT)



VFIC

Energy Code Lessons Learned

- Federal preemption rules are a key barrier to promoting highefficiency mechanical equipment like heat pumps
- States can use local amendments to IECC to work around this constraint:
 - o Limit compliance options to only a performance-based path
 - Develop multiple prescriptive paths that include options for higher mechanical efficiencies while maintaining at least one package that utilizes the federal minimum standard
 - Include an additional high efficiency "Options" package from which builders must choose a minimum number of additional efficiency requirements
 - Promote a voluntary stretch code with more stringent requirements



Energy Efficiency & Electrification:

Strategic Partners



Vermont's Pathway: Efficiency, Electrification, and Renewables



X VEIC

Bulk System Impacts

2016 Rhode Island Peak Energy Demand



Distribution Grid Impacts



GMP Solar Map, updated weekly http://www.greenmountainpower.com/innovative/solar/solar-map/



Building-Level Impacts: "Zero Energy"

• PV output and building electricity demand from October through December



- Small commercial office in Vermont
- High performance building envelope upgrades and cold climate heat pumps



The DER Toolbox: Deploying Electrification & Efficiency Strategically



Example: Controllable Load to Accommodate Renewables

- Steele-Waseca Cooperative Electric, in Minnesota
- Community solar program allows members to subscribe to solar and receive a free, controllable electric water heater
- Thermal storage capacity now exceeds solar generation capacity
- Co-op was able to reduce coincident peak charges, keeping rates low



Example: Time-Targeted Efficiency

Vermont's duck curve problem: sunny vs. cloudy days



Which Efficiency Measure Better Addresses Vermont's Duck Curve?



Efficient Refrigerator





Different Measures Provide Different System Value

Green Line: Average Efficiency Shape



XEIC

Electrification & Efficiency: Strategic Partners

- ✓ The grid has capacity for electrification at the right time and place
- ✓ Efficiency reduces peak demand and creates space for electrification on the grid
- Building shell improvements make heat pump heating and cooling loads more flexible and avoid oversized HVAC and PV systems



Updating EE Programs in Context of Electrification



Northeast States Are Starting to Evolve EE Goals for the Future

- Massachusetts
- New York
- Rhode Island





Massachusetts: Broadening EE Program Scope

Old Goal	New Goal	Advantage
Lifetime kWh savings	Lifetime MMBtu savings	 Converts electric, oil, and propane savings to common units Encourages energy optimization by providing holistic view of tradeoffs such as electrification
NA	Peak kW savings	 Measures savings from both active and passive demand reduction



MA Clean Energy Bill

- MA Legislature passed clean energy bill on July 31, 2018
- Key provisions of H.4857, An Act to Advance Clean Energy:
 - **Replaces "electric" with "energy"** in EE statute
 - Adds energy storage, active demand management, and strategic electrification as eligible under EE programs
 - Adds programs that result in customers switching to renewable energy sources or other clean energy technologies to EE plans
 - Broadens cost-effectiveness screening to ensure that programs "obtain energy savings and other benefits with value greater than the costs of the program" rather than energy savings and system benefits
 - Requires cost-effectiveness at sector level rather than measure level



New York: Incenting Key Outcomes

Under REV, New York seeks to:

- Transition from cost-of-service to performance-based ratemaking
- Provide incentives (earning adjustment mechanisms or EAMs) to utilities for achieving desired outcomes
- Take a system-wide view of energy impacts to encourage private sector activity, not just program-based activity



Earnings Adjustment Mechanisms : New Upside Performance Incentives in Niagara Mohawk Power Co. Joint Proposal

national**grid**



Rhode Island: Baby Steps Toward Performance-Based Regulation

PIM	Objective	Metric
System efficiency (new PIM)	Reduce demand during ISO-NE peak hour	 Sum of annual MW capacity savings from: Demand response Incremental net-metered behind-the-meter PV distributed generation in excess of forecast levels Incremental installed energy storage capacity Non-wires alternatives that reduce system peak
EE savings (incentivized under EE program)	Annual MWh and therm savings	 Also testing new metrics: CO2 reductions from delivered fuel programs (Wx, heat pumps, etc.) Lifetime MWh savings Cost of energy saved Customer satisfaction

Steps to Update Efficiency Programs in the Context of Electrification

- ✓ Build on efficiency program skills and success
- Coordinate delivery of efficiency, demand management, and electrification programs to avoid creating program silos





Steps to Update Efficiency Programs in the Context of Electrification

- ✓ Update cost-benefit tests to include the full benefits of building electrification
 - o GHG reduction, fuel security, comfort, health, economic development
- Change program rules that discourage fuel switching from natural gas to electricity
- Support and dedicate funding for pilots and innovation



Set Next-Generation Goals

- Align EE program goals (and utility performance incentives) with state policy goals:
 - Peak demand reduction
 - Fuel-neutral energy savings or GHG reduction
 - Market transformation indicators
 - Energy or GHG savings for low-income customers or other target groups

VFIC

- Identify building blocks to achieve statewide goals including dedicated targets for EE savings
- ✓ Assign responsibility (and funding) for each building block

A Possible Model?

New York 185 TBtu Savings Target

Btu Savings from EE		Btu Savings from Clean Heating		Btu Savings from MT	Btu Savings from LMI Initiatives
Utility A Target	Utility B Target	Utility A Target	Utility B Target	MT Target	LMI Target



Emily Levin Managing Consultant, Innovative Programs 802-540-7694 elevin@veic.org Thank you!

