DG 01-182

NORTHERN UTILITIES, INC.

Petition for Rate Change

Order Approving Procedural Schedule

ORDERNO. 23,904

January 25, 2002

APPEARANCES: Rubin and Rudman, L.L.P., by Maribeth Ladd, Esq. on behalf of Northern Utilities Inc.; Office of Consumer Advocate by Kenneth Traum on behalf of residential ratepayers; and Marcia A. B. Thunberg, Esq. on behalf of the Staff of the New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission.

I. PROCEDURAL HISTORY AND BACKGROUND

Northern Utilities, Inc. (Northern) is a public utility organized and existing under the laws of the State of New Hampshire and primarily engaged in distributing natural gas in the seacoast area of New Hampshire and Maine. On September 19, 2001, Northern filed with the New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission (Commission), a notice of intent to file rate schedules and on November 15, 2001, filed a petition for an increase in permanent rates in the amount of \$3,834,344. This represents a 7.4% increase over weathernormalized test year revenues, with a bill impact representing an average increase of 8.2% for customers, to be effective on December 16, 2001 (Petition). Also filed on September 19 was a Petition for Temporary Rates in the amount of \$3,631,049 or 7.0% over weather-normalized test year revenues. The Office

-2-

of Consumer Advocate (OCA) entered an appearance on behalf of residential ratepayers on September 24, 2001.

Northern asserts that the increase in revenues is required because it is not earning a return adequate to cover its cost of capital or a reasonable return on the actual cost of its property used and useful in the public service.

Northern contends that its overall rate of return was 4.87% for the test year ending June 30, 2001, which is substantially lower than the currently allowed 10.01% rate of return.

On December 7, 2001, the Commission issued an Order of Notice (No. 23,863) setting a prehearing conference for January 8, 2002. The Order of Notice also directed parties to meet for a technical session following the prehearing and to develop a proposed procedural schedule. The prehearing conference and technical session took place as scheduled. On January 15, 2002, Staff submitted to the Commission the procedural schedule proposed by the parties:

Data Requests from Staff/Intervenors, January 8, 2002

Set No. 1, to Northern

Data Responses from Northern, January 15, 2002 DR 1-1 and DR 1-2

Data Responses from Northern, January 22, 2002

Set No. 1

Technical session on temporary rates, January 23,

DG 01-182 -3-

		2002
	conference call at 9:30 AM Evening public hearing on	January 29, 2002
	permanent rates	
	Staff and intervenor testimony on temporary rates	January 29, 2002
	Data Responses due from Northern, DR 1-3	February 1, 2002
	Hearing on temporary rates	February 7, 2002
2002	Technical session on permanent rates	February 7,
		and February 8, 2002
2002	Data Requests, Set No. 2, to Northern	February 15,
	Data Responses due from Northern	March 1, 2002
	Data Requests, Set No. 3 to Northern	March 15, 2002
	Data Responses from Northern	April 5, 2002
	Staff and Intervenor testimony on permanent rates	May 15, 2002
	Settlement Conference	May 21, 2002
	Data Requests from Northern to Staff and Intervenors	May 24, 2002
	Data Responses from Staff and Intervenors	June 6, 2002
	Settlement Conference	June 11, 2002
	Rebuttal testimony from Northern	June 13, 2002

DG 01-182 -4-

Hearing on permanent rate request

June 25, 26 and 27, 2002 DG 01-182 -5-

II. POSITIONS OF THE PARTIES AND STAFF

A. Northern Utilities Inc.

At the prehearing conference, Northern Utilities briefly summarized its reasons for seeking a rate increase at this time. Northern stated that existing base rates covering the company's non-fuel-related expenses do not provide sufficient revenue to cover operating expenses and provide a reasonable return on invested capital. It has been ten years since Northern requested approval to implement a general rate increase. The Commission's approval of a step adjustment mechanism to offset the impact of an accelerated Bare Steel Replacement Program that took place during the 1990's has helped postpone the need for a general rate increase. Upon questioning by the Commission, Northern agreed to publish a display ad publicizing the upcoming evening public hearing and temporary rate hearing no later than January 22, 2002.

B. Office of Consumer Advocate

The OCA noted that its preliminary review of the filing indicated Northern was probably entitled to an increase. The OCA expressed its concern that the permanent rate request was probably too high. The OCA noted this was likely due to a high cost of capital figure, proformas going out more than 12 months from the end of the test year,

improper matching by proforming expenses but not recognizing the corresponding sales and customer growth, etc. The OCA was particularly concerned that the temporary request approximated the permanent request and thus expressed the same concerns that the proposed temporary rates are excessive.

C. Staff

After its preliminary review of the filing, Staff noted the following areas it intends to pursue with the Company: cost of equity, implementation of an automated meter reading system; funding for the Gas Research Institute; Northern's response to customer service complaints; and adequacy of the number of customer service phone lines.

III. COMMISSION ANALYSIS

Having reviewed the proposed procedural schedule, we find that it is reasonable and will aid in the orderly review of the petitioner's filing. Accordingly, we will approve the procedural schedule for the duration of the proceeding.

Based on the foregoing, it is hereby

ORDERED, that the procedural schedule set forth above is approved and shall govern the remainder of this proceeding.

DG 01-182 -7-

By order of the Public Utilities Commission of New Hampshire this twenty-fifth day of January, 2002.

Thomas B. Getz Susan S. Geiger

Chairman

Susan S. Geiger Commissioner

Nancy Brockway Commissioner

Attested by:

Debra A. Howland Executive Director and Secretary