1 IROZ2 and Leidy spot prices over the November 1, 2011 to June 30, 2015 period. In 2 addition, the Company developed a daily structured Wright price.<sup>22</sup> Next, the Company 3 determined the daily price multiplier by dividing the daily IROZ2 spot price by the daily 4 structured price at the Wright, New York point. Finally, the Company developed HDD 5 level ranges, and determined the price multiplier for each HDD level range as the simple 6 average of the daily price multipliers. Table 2 summarizes the average prices and price 7 multiplier for each grouping of HDDs used in the SENDOUT® analysis.

8

9

KMHT HDDs	Av Sp	g. IROZ2 oot Price	Av Struc Wright	/g. tured t Price	Avg. IROZ2/Wright Price Multiplier
60 - 64	\$	20.52	<del>\$4.03</del>	\$4.04	5.1
50 - 59	\$	19.10	<del>\$4.62</del>	\$4.64	4.0
40 - 49	\$	12.39	<del>\$4.69</del>	\$4.71	2.6
30 - 39	\$	5.64	<del>\$4.49</del>	\$4.52	1.3
20 - 29	\$	4.58	<del>\$4.18</del>	\$4.32	1.1

As shown in Table 2 above, at HDD levels between 60 and 64, the average IROZ2 price is approximately 5.1 times higher than the structured price at the Wright, New York point; at HDD levels between 50 and 59, the price multiplier is approximately 4.0; at HDD levels between 40 and 49, the price multiplier is approximately 2.6 times; at HDD levels between

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> The structured Wright price was calculated as the Leidy price index plus the calculated demand charges associated with the transportation path from Marcellus to Wright (i.e., Constitution Pipeline). For example, the structured Wright price in December was the daily Leidy spot price plus \$1.68/MMBtu. This approach is the same methodology utilized by the Company to calculate the structured Wright price in Docket DG 14-380 (i.e., in the evaluation of the Market Path Project).

30 and 39, the price multiplier is approximately 1.3 times; and finally, at HDD levels
 between 20 and 29, the price multiplier is approximately 1.1 times.

# **3 Q.** What are the results of the SENDOUT® analyses performed by EnergyNorth?

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

4 A. Table 3 below summarizes the results of the SENDOUT® analyses for the four volume
5 scenarios with the inclusion of the price multiplier.

	Supply Path		Capacity	
	Volume	Total Costs	Release	Net Costs
Volume Scenario	(Dth/day)	(\$000)	(\$000)	(\$000)
(1) Supply Path = 0K	0	\$4,228,254	\$130,513	\$4,097,742
(All Purchases at Wright)		\$4,331,828		\$4,201,315
(2) Supply Path = 115K	115,000	\$3,933,818	\$250,087	\$3,683,731
(3) Resource Mix	<del>78,080</del>	\$3,764,439	\$165,223	\$3,599,216
	79,920	\$3,771,714	\$168,326	\$3,603,388
(4) Resource Mix	78,000	\$3,764,153	\$164,771	\$3,599,382
(Rounded Volume Level)		\$3,769,697	\$165,438	\$3,604,259

#### **Table 3: Summary of SENDOUT® Results**

As illustrated in Table 3 above, the option to purchase all of the Market Path PA supplies at Wright, New York (i.e., Volume Scenario 1) is the most expensive option, with a net cost of approximately \$4.<u>1-2</u> billion over the analysis period. However, if the Supply Path PA capacity is equal to the Market Path PA capacity (i.e., Volume Scenario 2), the net cost is reduced to approximately \$3.7 billion (i.e., a savings of approximately \$400-500 million) over the analysis period. Finally, if the Resource Mix module in SENDOUT® is utilized, the optimal Supply Path volume is <u>78,08079,920</u> Dth per day (i.e., Volume Scenario 3), resulting in a net cost of \$3.6 billion over the analysis period. Stated differently, Volume

1		Scenario 3 (i.e., the Resource Mix volume) represents a savings of approximately \$500.600
2		million, compared to Volume Scenario 1 (i.e., no capacity on the Supply Path project), and
3		a savings of approximately \$85-80 million compared to Volume Scenario 2 (i.e., the Supply
4		Path volume equal the Market Path volume). In Volume Scenario 4, the Company rounded
5		the Resource Mix volume level of 78,08079,920 Dth per day to 78,000 Dth per day.
6		Similar to Volume Scenario 3, the rounded Resource Mix volume (i.e., Volume Scenario
7		4) results in a net cost of approximately \$3.6 billion over the analysis period. Therefore,
8		based on the results of the SENDOUT® analysis, a Supply Path PA volume of 78,000 Dth
9		per day is the optimal rounded volume level.
10	VII.	EnergyNorth's Demand Forecast
10 11	VII. Q.	EnergyNorth's Demand Forecast Why did EnergyNorth begin its evaluation with an analysis of the cost-effectiveness
10 11 12	VII. Q.	EnergyNorth's Demand Forecast Why did EnergyNorth begin its evaluation with an analysis of the cost-effectiveness of natural gas purchases within the Appalachian supply basin rather than a
10 11 12 13	VII. Q.	EnergyNorth's Demand Forecast Why did EnergyNorth begin its evaluation with an analysis of the cost-effectiveness of natural gas purchases within the Appalachian supply basin rather than a discussion of the demand forecast?
<ol> <li>10</li> <li>11</li> <li>12</li> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> </ol>	VII. Q. A.	EnergyNorth's Demand Forecast Why did EnergyNorth begin its evaluation with an analysis of the cost-effectiveness of natural gas purchases within the Appalachian supply basin rather than a discussion of the demand forecast? The Supply Path PA is distinct from most firm transportation agreements considered by
<ol> <li>10</li> <li>11</li> <li>12</li> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> </ol>	<b>VII.</b> <b>Q.</b> A.	EnergyNorth's Demand Forecast Why did EnergyNorth begin its evaluation with an analysis of the cost-effectiveness of natural gas purchases within the Appalachian supply basin rather than a discussion of the demand forecast? The Supply Path PA is distinct from most firm transportation agreements considered by EnergyNorth. While most pipeline projects would be premised on the supply of
<ol> <li>10</li> <li>11</li> <li>12</li> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> </ol>	<b>VII.</b> <b>Q.</b> A.	EnergyNorth's Demand Forecast Why did EnergyNorth begin its evaluation with an analysis of the cost-effectiveness of natural gas purchases within the Appalachian supply basin rather than a discussion of the demand forecast? The Supply Path PA is distinct from most firm transportation agreements considered by EnergyNorth. While most pipeline projects would be premised on the supply of incremental transportation capacity to the EnergyNorth system to meet demand, the Supply
<ol> <li>10</li> <li>11</li> <li>12</li> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> </ol>	<b>VII.</b> <b>Q.</b> A.	EnergyNorth's Demand Forecast Why did EnergyNorth begin its evaluation with an analysis of the cost-effectiveness of natural gas purchases within the Appalachian supply basin rather than a discussion of the demand forecast? The Supply Path PA is distinct from most firm transportation agreements considered by EnergyNorth. While most pipeline projects would be premised on the supply of incremental transportation capacity to the EnergyNorth system to meet demand, the Supply Path PA is, instead, focused on providing the lowest cost natural gas supplies to
<ol> <li>10</li> <li>11</li> <li>12</li> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> </ol>	VII. Q.	EnergyNorth's Demand Forecast Why did EnergyNorth begin its evaluation with an analysis of the cost-effectiveness of natural gas purchases within the Appalachian supply basin rather than a discussion of the demand forecast? The Supply Path PA is distinct from most firm transportation agreements considered by EnergyNorth. While most pipeline projects would be premised on the supply of incremental transportation capacity to the EnergyNorth system to meet demand, the Supply Path PA is, instead, focused on providing the lowest cost natural gas supplies to EnergyNorth's customers. This was one of the options that was enabled by entering into

20 More specifically, the Supply Path PA will provide EnergyNorth with access to more 21 liquid, proximate trading points that can lower the cost of natural gas supplied to 1 IROZ2 and Leidy spot prices over the November 1, 2011 to June 30, 2015 period. In 2 addition, the Company developed a daily structured Wright price.<sup>22</sup> Next, the Company 3 determined the daily price multiplier by dividing the daily IROZ2 spot price by the daily 4 structured price at the Wright, New York point. Finally, the Company developed HDD 5 level ranges, and determined the price multiplier for each HDD level range as the simple 6 average of the daily price multipliers. Table 2 summarizes the average prices and price 7 multiplier for each grouping of HDDs used in the SENDOUT® analysis.

8

Table 2: I	Price Mu	ultiplier
------------	----------	-----------

	Avg. IROZ2	Avg. Structured	Avg. IROZ2/Wright Price
KMHT HDDs	Spot Price	Wright Price	Multiplier
60 - 64	\$ 20.52	\$ 4.04	5.1
50 - 59	\$ 19.10	\$ 4.64	4.0
40 - 49	\$ 12.39	\$ 4.71	2.6
30 - 39	\$ 5.64	\$ 4.52	1.3
20 - 29	\$ 4.58	\$ 4.32	1.1

9

As shown in Table 2 above, at HDD levels between 60 and 64, the average IROZ2 price is approximately 5.1 times higher than the structured price at the Wright, New York point; at HDD levels between 50 and 59, the price multiplier is approximately 4.0; at HDD levels between 40 and 49, the price multiplier is approximately 2.6 times; at HDD levels between

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> The structured Wright price was calculated as the Leidy price index plus the calculated demand charges associated with the transportation path from Marcellus to Wright (i.e., Constitution Pipeline). For example, the structured Wright price in December was the daily Leidy spot price plus \$1.68/MMBtu. This approach is the same methodology utilized by the Company to calculate the structured Wright price in Docket DG 14-380 (i.e., in the evaluation of the Market Path Project).

1 30 and 39, the price multiplier is approximately 1.3 times; and finally, at HDD levels 2 between 20 and 29, the price multiplier is approximately 1.1 times.

# **3 Q.** What are the results of the SENDOUT® analyses performed by EnergyNorth?

4 A. Table 3 below summarizes the results of the SENDOUT® analyses for the four volume
5 scenarios with the inclusion of the price multiplier.

	Supply Path		Capacity	
	Volume	Total Costs	Release	Net Costs
Volume Scenario	(Dth/day)	(\$000)	(\$000)	(\$000)
(1) Supply Path = 0K	0	\$4,331,828	\$130,513	\$4,201,315
(All Purchases at Wright)				
(2) Supply Path = 115K	115,000	\$3,933,818	\$250,087	\$3,683,731
(3) Resource Mix	79,920	\$3,771,714	\$168,326	\$3,603,388
(4) Resource Mix	78,000	\$3,769,697	\$165,438	\$3,604,259
(Rounded Volume Level)				

### **Table 3: Summary of SENDOUT® Results**

7

6

As illustrated in Table 3 above, the option to purchase all of the Market Path PA supplies 8 9 at Wright, New York (i.e., Volume Scenario 1) is the most expensive option, with a net 10 cost of approximately \$4.2 billion over the analysis period. However, if the Supply Path 11 PA capacity is equal to the Market Path PA capacity (i.e., Volume Scenario 2), the net cost 12 is reduced to approximately \$3.7 billion (i.e., a savings of approximately \$500 million) over the analysis period. Finally, if the Resource Mix module in SENDOUT® is utilized, 13 14 the optimal Supply Path volume is 79,920 Dth per day (i.e., Volume Scenario 3), resulting 15 in a net cost of \$3.6 billion over the analysis period. Stated differently, Volume Scenario

1	3 (i.e., the Resource Mix volume) represents a savings of approximately \$600 million,
2	compared to Volume Scenario 1 (i.e., no capacity on the Supply Path project), and a savings
3	of approximately \$80 million compared to Volume Scenario 2 (i.e., the Supply Path
4	volume equal the Market Path volume). In Volume Scenario 4, the Company rounded the
5	Resource Mix volume level of 79,920 Dth per day to 78,000 Dth per day. Similar to
6	Volume Scenario 3, the rounded Resource Mix volume (i.e., Volume Scenario 4) results in
7	a net cost of approximately \$3.6 billion over the analysis period. Therefore, based on the
8	results of the SENDOUT® analysis, a Supply Path PA volume of 78,000 Dth per day is
9	the optimal rounded volume level.

## 10 VII. EnergyNorth's Demand Forecast

Q. Why did EnergyNorth begin its evaluation with an analysis of the cost-effectiveness
 of natural gas purchases within the Appalachian supply basin rather than a
 discussion of the demand forecast?

A. The Supply Path PA is distinct from most firm transportation agreements considered by
EnergyNorth. While most pipeline projects would be premised on the supply of
incremental transportation capacity to the EnergyNorth system to meet demand, the Supply
Path PA is, instead, focused on providing the lowest cost natural gas supplies to
EnergyNorth's customers. This was one of the options that was enabled by entering into
the Market Path PA.

20 More specifically, the Supply Path PA will provide EnergyNorth with access to more 21 liquid, proximate trading points that can lower the cost of natural gas supplied to