

ORIGINAL	
IN.P.H.S. Case No.	DT 07-027
EXHIBIT No.	Bailey 62
Witness	Michael Reed / Daniel Coulter
DO NOT REMOVE FROM FILE	

Kearsarge Telephone Company  
Merrimack County Telephone Company  
Docket No. DT 07-027  
Company Responses  
To OCA Phase II, Set 1 Data Requests  
PUBLIC  
May 22, 2009

**OCA 1.10:** On pages 7 and 8 of his testimony, Mr. Reed explains the basis for excluding wireless signal measurements weaker than 90dBm. What definition of "good wireless signal" does C Squared use in the ordinary course of its business? Please provide any industry publication which supports the definition of "good wireless signal" and "very good wireless signal," as used in Mr. Reed's testimony. See, e.g., p. 8, lines 16-19.

**RESPONSE:** The testing provided by C Squared included not only the signal strength but the call quality as well. C Squared agreed with the definitions used by Ms. Gage in her testimony, pages 7 -8, and Mr. Reed's use of very good for the best signal of >-85 dBm and the use of good to describe signals in the range of -85 dBm to -90 dBm. There are many articles on the subject of signal strength that can be researched. See responses to Bailey 1.21, 1.22, 1.27 and 1.28.

Michael C. Reed is responsible for this response.