DG 02-106 (Gas Energy Efficiency)

Program	Expenditures (budget) for Program Year 1		Projected Lifetime Therms Sevings - from KED NH November 16, 2004 Filling	Actual Lifetime Therm Sevings <sup>3</sup>	Actual LTT/Projected LTT	Projected TRG from KBO NH: November 16, 2004 Filling	Actual TRC for Year 2	Actual TRC/Projected TRC	Lifetime savinge incentive	Cost effectiveness incentive	Actual After Tax Design Incentive
esidential											
ow Income	\$425,400	122 Participants	618,540	1,546,996	2.501	1.05	2.51	2.39			
esidential High Efficiency Heating	\$257,568	850 Rebates	2,718,200	2,264,440	0.833	5.63	3.70	0.66			
esidential Conservation Services	\$80,616	375 Audits	NA NA	NA .	NA NA	NA	NA	NA.	•		
nergy Star Windows	\$36,300	160 Rebates	593,600	146,804	0.247	11.81	1.44	0.12			
esidential Weatherization	\$34,575	50 Rebates	240,000	1,545,600	6.440	1.99	5.14	2.58			
nergy Analysis: Internet Audit Guide	\$49,000	1,000 Web Site Hits	NA NA	NA	NA .	NA	NA	NA NA			
nergy Star Thermostats	\$42,000	400 Participants	176,000	176,000	1.000	2.44	2.67	1.09			
sidential High Efficiency Water Heating	\$27,500	100 Rebates	140,000	45,820	0.327	2.13	1.46	0.69			
ergy Star Homes	\$28,000	50 Participants	146,125	340,000	2.327	1.68	4.25	2.53			
Total	\$980,959		4,632,465	6,065,660	1.309	2.64	2.97	1.13	\$51,378	\$44,217	\$95,59
ultifamily and C&I											
ommercial Energy Efficiency Program	\$352,329	75 Participants	3,726,000	4,272,480	1,147	4.43	4.61	1.04			
conomic Redevelopment	\$115,874	2 Projects	425,610	425,610	1,000	2.09	1.48	0.71			
ommercial High Efficiency Heating	\$90,000	60 Rebates	354,672	375,860	1,060	2.47	1.33	0.54			
ade Ally Education and Codes/Standards	\$30,000	150 Participants	0	0	NA	N/A	NA				
I Energy Analysis: Online Audit	\$15,000	200 New Users	0	0	NA						
Total - Multi-Family and C/I	\$603,203		4,506,282	5,073,950	1.126	3.42	3.19	0.93	\$27,168	\$22,533	\$49,70
Total of Column <sup>5</sup>	\$1,584,162			_						TOTAL	\$145,29

## Notes:

This shareholder incentive calculation is based on the methodology described in NH PUC Order 24,109 of December 31, 2002.

Threshold: KeySpan must echieve a minimum "threshold" performance before being eligible to eern an incentive

For the cost-effectiveness component, KeySpan must achieve an actual year-end TRC of 1.0 before any incentive cen be earned

For the energy savings component, KeySpan must achieve a minimum of 65% of projected lifetime therm sevings before any incentive can be aarned

Once the threshold is achieved, the earned incentive will be on a sliding scale from 0% to 12%

## Assumptions:

Design Target Incentive = 8%

. Incentive Celculation Formula: Incentive res = Expenditures REs x {[4% x {TRC<sub>Actual</sub> / TRC<sub>Projected</sub>]} + [4% x Lifetime Therm Savings Actual / Lifetime Therm Sevings Projected]}}

Incentive<sub>C&i</sub> = Expenditures<sub>C&i</sub> x ([4% x (TRC<sub>Actual</sub> / TRC<sub>Projected</sub>)] + [4% x Lifetime Therm Savings Actual / Lifetime Therm Savings Projected)])

Per a Saptember 9, 2005 E-mail from Jim Cunningham of the NH PUC to Subid Wagley of KED, the source of the projected lifetime therm savings for each KED New Hampshire neturel gas energy efficiency program and the source of the projected benefit/cost ratios by program is KeySpan's response to NH PUC Staff Data Request 2-31, Pages 3 to 6, Docket DG 04-152, filed by attorney Steven V. Camerino on November 22, 2004).

<sup>2</sup>From the updated Exhibit G showing actual Program Year 2 results - September 9, 2005.

In the Commission approved Settlement Agreement that is part of Order 24,109, the Settling Parties and Staff agree to adopt the simplified Staff template of November 2002 ("Staff Template") attached to the Sattlement Agreement as Exhibit G. This template shall be used only for purposes of establishing a benchmark for the Gas Utilities' incentive sharing mechanism described in Section II(H) of the Settlement Agreement. The Staff Template allows for an evaluation of the Programs on a year-by-year besis.